

# MATH223 - Linear Algebra (class notes)

Sandrine Monfourny-Daigneault

McGill University

## Contents

<b>January 7th 2019</b>	<b>3</b>
Motivation . . . . .	3
Complex numbers . . . . .	4
<b>January 9th 2019</b>	<b>6</b>
Complex numbers as points in $\mathbb{R}^2$ . . . . .	6
Equations with complex numbers . . . . .	6
Vector spaces (Ch 4) . . . . .	8
<b>January 11th 2019</b>	<b>8</b>
Geometric vectors ('arrows') . . . . .	8
Definition of a vector space . . . . .	9
Examples of vector spaces . . . . .	9
<b>January 14th 2019</b>	<b>10</b>
More examples of vector spaces . . . . .	11
<b>January 16th 2019</b>	<b>13</b>
Linear combinations and spans . . . . .	15
<b>January 18th 2019</b>	<b>16</b>
Last class . . . . .	16
Subspaces . . . . .	17
<b>January 21st 2019</b>	<b>18</b>
A note on logic . . . . .	18
Subspaces (cont'd) . . . . .	19
Examples of subspaces and non-subspaces . . . . .	19
Two special subspaces . . . . .	21
A refinement on the definition of span . . . . .	21
<b>January 23rd 2019</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>January 25th 2019</b>	<b>23</b>
Interlude : Symbolic logic (briefly) . . . . .	23
De Morgan's Laws . . . . .	24
Quantifiers . . . . .	24
Negating quantifiers . . . . .	24

Proof by contradiction . . . . .	24
Last time . . . . .	25
Illustration of this theorem . . . . .	25
Intersection of two subspaces . . . . .	26
<b>January 28th 2019</b>	<b>26</b>
Linear independence . . . . .	27
<b>January 30th 2019</b>	<b>28</b>
Last class . . . . .	28
Some important cases . . . . .	29
Extending an independent set . . . . .	30
Basis and dimension . . . . .	30
<b>February 1st 2019</b>	<b>31</b>
Two important questions . . . . .	31
Illustration of this thm . . . . .	32
<b>February 4th 2019</b>	<b>33</b>
Last class . . . . .	33
Lagrange Interpolation . . . . .	33
Dimension of subspaces . . . . .	35
<b>February 6th 2019</b>	<b>36</b>
Intuition . . . . .	36
General case . . . . .	37
<b>February 8th 2019</b>	<b>39</b>
Linear transformations - Definition and basic properties . . .	39
<b>February 15th 2019</b>	<b>41</b>
Two special linear transformations . . . . .	42
Kernel and Image (ch. 5.4) . . . . .	42

## List of Theorems

1	Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Algebra) . . . . .	7
1.1	Corollary . . . . .	7
2	Theorem (Cancellation Law) . . . . .	13
3	Theorem . . . . .	14
4	Theorem . . . . .	14
5	Theorem . . . . .	16
6	Theorem . . . . .	18
7	Theorem . . . . .	19
8	Theorem . . . . .	19
9	Theorem . . . . .	21

10	Theorem . . . . .	21
11	Theorem . . . . .	22
12	Theorem . . . . .	22
13	Theorem . . . . .	23
14	Theorem . . . . .	25
15	Theorem . . . . .	26
15.1	Corollary . . . . .	26
16	Theorem . . . . .	27
17	Proposition . . . . .	29
18	Theorem . . . . .	30
19	Theorem (Bases exist) . . . . .	32
20	Theorem . . . . .	32
21	Theorem . . . . .	32
22	Theorem . . . . .	33
23	Theorem . . . . .	33
24	Proposition . . . . .	34
20	Theorem . . . . .	35
21	Theorem . . . . .	38
22	Proposition . . . . .	40
23	Proposition . . . . .	41
24	Proposition . . . . .	41
25	Theorem . . . . .	43

*January 7th 2019*

Should know how to solve a linear system and calculate a determinant... things like that.

- Written assignments (5) : 10%
- Webwork assignments (5) : 5%
- Midterm : 20%
- Final : 65%

Textbook: **Schaum's Outline - Linear Algebra.**

*Motivation*

We have linear systems, with two equations, like such:

$$\begin{aligned} 3x - 2y + z &= 2 \\ x - y + z &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

There is an algebraic way of seeing this, but we can also see this, from the geometric standpoint, as the intersection of the two planes

in  $R^3$ . Linear algebra has to do with things that are "flat", like a plane. As soon as we add in exponents to these equations, we get some curvature, and the techniques to solve these are different.

- Linear equations are the simplest kind, so you *must* understand them. Also, you *can* understand 'everything' about them.
- Theory used to describe solutions, etc.
- Linear equations are often used to approximate or model more complicated equations/situations.
- In applications, linear systems are often quite big (10000 equations/variables)

### Complex numbers

**Def:** Let  $i$  be a symbol. We declare  $i^2 = -1$ .

Now, what we'd like to do is take this symbol  $i$  and combine it with the usual real numbers that we are familiar with. We set, for example,

$$\begin{aligned} 3i \\ i - 4 \\ 3i - \pi \\ \sqrt{i} + 21 \end{aligned}$$

**Def:** The field of complex numbers  $C$  consists of all expressions of the form  $a + bi$ , where  $a, b \in R$ .

**Def:** Addition (subtraction) and multiplication of complex numbers is defined by the following rules:

(i)

$$(a + bi) + (c + di) = (a + c) + (b + d)i$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} (a + bi)(c + di) &= ac + adi + bci + bdi^2 \\ &= ac + adi + bci - bd \\ &= (ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i \end{aligned}$$

**Notation:**

- $0 + bi = bi$
- $a + 0i = a$  (a *real* number)

- $0 + 0i = 0$

**Ex:** If  $z_1 = 2 - i$ ,  $z_2 = 5i$ , then

$$z_1 + z_2 = 2 + 4i$$

and

$$z_1 z_2 = (2 - i)(5i) = 10i - 5i^2 = 5 + 10i$$

**Def:** Let  $z = a + bi \in \mathbb{C}$

- (i)  $\bar{z} = a - bi$ , called the *complex conjugate* of  $z$
- (ii)  $|z| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ , called the *absolute value* or *modulus*

**Def:** If  $z = a + bi \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $z \neq 0$  (ie  $z \neq 0 + 0i$ ), then the number

$$\begin{aligned} z^{-1} &= \frac{\bar{z}}{|z|^2} \\ &= \frac{a}{a^2 + b^2} - \frac{b}{a^2 + b^2}i \end{aligned}$$

is called the (multiplicative) inverse of  $z$ . It has the property  $zz^{-1} = 1 = z^{-1}z$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} zz^{-1} &= (a + bi)\left(\frac{a}{a^2 + b^2} - \frac{b}{a^2 + b^2}i\right) \\ &= \frac{a^2 - abi + abi - b^2i^2}{a^2 + b^2} \\ &= \frac{a^2 + 0 + b^2}{a^2 + b^2} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

**Note:** Since  $z \neq 0 + 0i$ ,  $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0$

□

**Def:** If  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $z \neq 0$  then

$$\frac{w}{z} = wz^{-1}$$

**Ex:** If  $z = 1 + 2i$ ,  $w = 3 - i$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{w}{z} &= wz^{-1} \\ &= (3 - i)\left(\frac{1}{5} - \frac{2}{5}i\right) \\ &= \frac{3}{5} - \frac{6}{5}i - \frac{i}{5} + \frac{2}{5}i^2 \\ &= \frac{3}{5} - \frac{2}{5} - \frac{7}{5}i \\ &= \frac{1}{5} - \frac{7}{5}i \end{aligned}$$

Or,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{3-i}{1+2i} \cdot \frac{(1-2i)}{(1-2i)} &= \frac{3-6i-i+2i^2}{1-2i+2i-4i^2} \\ &= \frac{1-7i}{5}\end{aligned}$$

January 9th 2019

### Complex numbers as points in $\mathbb{R}^2$

You can view  $a + bi$  as a point  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . The usefulness of this is that we can consider, say,  $(3 + 2i)$  and  $(3 - i)$  as vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and they will conserve the same properties (addition of complex numbers corresponds to vector addition in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ). For the interpretation of multiplication to make sense, it's necessary to use polar coordinates.

### Equations with complex numbers

**Fact:** Every real number  $a \neq 0$  has two square roots:

- if  $a > 0$ , roots  $\pm\sqrt{a}$
- if  $a < 0$ , two roots are  $\pm i\sqrt{|a|}$ , since:

$$\begin{aligned}(\pm i\sqrt{|a|})^2 &= i^2(\sqrt{|a|})^2 \\ &= -1 \cdot |a| \\ &= a\end{aligned}\quad (\text{since } a < 0)$$

**Fact:** Quadratic equation  $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$  has solution

$$x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$

which may be in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Ex:** Solve  $x^2 - 2x + 3 = 0$ , and factor  $x^2 - 2x + 3$ .

**Sol:**

$$\begin{aligned}x &= \frac{-2 \pm \sqrt{4 - 4(1)(3)}}{2} \\ &= \frac{2 \pm \sqrt{-8}}{2} \\ &= \frac{2 \pm i\sqrt{8}}{2} \\ &= \frac{2 \pm i2\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ &= 1 \pm i\sqrt{2}\end{aligned}$$

**Note:** If  $ax^2 + bx + c$  has  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$  has a non-real root, say  $z$ , its other root is  $\bar{z}$  ( $z = a + bi$ ,  $\bar{z} = a - bi$ ). This is not necessarily true if  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Back to problem. Factor  $x^2 - 2x + 3 = (x - (1 + i\sqrt{2}))(x - (1 - i\sqrt{2}))$ .

**Caution:**  $-1$  has two roots, namely  $\pm i$ , so you may write  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ , but be careful:

$$\begin{aligned} -1 &= i^2 \\ &= i \cdot i \\ &= \sqrt{-1} \cdot \sqrt{-1} \\ &= \sqrt{(-1)(-1)} && \text{(this step doesn't quite work)} \\ &= \sqrt{1} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1** (Fundamental Theorem of Algebra). *If*

$$p(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 x^0$$

*is a polynomial with  $a_n \neq 0$ , and  $a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ , then  $p(x)$  factors into linear factors,*

$$p(x) = a_n \cdot (x - r_1) \cdot (x - r_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (x - r_n)$$

*for some complex numbers  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n$ . Some  $r_i$ 's may be equal.*

**Corollary 1.1.** *Every such polynomial has at least one root, and at most  $n$  distinct roots.*

**Note:** Finding the roots is, in general, quite difficult.

**Ex:** Factor  $2x^3 + 2x$  (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ).

**Sol:**

$$\begin{aligned} 2(x^3 + x) &= 2(x - 0)(x^2 + 1) \\ &= 2(x - 0)(x^2 - i^2) \\ &= 2(x - 0)(x - i)(x + i) \end{aligned}$$

**Ex:** Solve  $x^2 - i = 0$

**Sol:**  $x^2 = i$  so  $x = \pm\sqrt{i}$ . Want  $\sqrt{i}$  in format  $a + bi$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sqrt{i} &= a + bi \\
 i &= (a + bi)^2 \\
 &= a^2 + 2abi + b^2i^2 \\
 0 + i &= (a^2 - b^2) + 2abi \\
 0 &= a^2 - b^2 \\
 1 &= 2ab \\
 a &= \pm b \\
 ab &= \frac{1}{2} && (\text{so } a=b \text{ both } + \text{ or both } -) \\
 a^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \\
 a &= \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = b
 \end{aligned}$$

Two solutions,  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i$  and  $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i$ .

#### Vector spaces (Ch 4)

**Def.** The sets  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  (and also  $\mathbb{Q}$ , rational numbers, although we won't go into details of this) are called *fields* (or *fields of scalars*). In this class, "a field of  $K$ " means that  $K$  is either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

January 11th 2019

**Last time:** Field  $K$  is  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  (for this class).

#### Geometric vectors ('arrows')

You can add two vectors (arrows) (see figure 1)




Figure 1: Vector addition

**Observation:**  $\vec{u} + \vec{v} = \vec{v} + \vec{u}$ .

You can rescale a vector (see figure 2) **Observation:**  $a(b\vec{u}) = (ab)\vec{u}$ .

Also:  $1\vec{u} = \vec{u}$

**Question:** What properties are interesting? What other objects obey the same properties?

**Abstraction:** Focus on properties more than on the objects.






Figure 2: Vector rescaling

### Definition of a vector space

Let  $V$  be a set, called set of "vectors", and let  $K$  be a field ( $R$  or  $C$ ) (elements of  $K$  called *scalars*). Assume that we have already defined two operations:

- (1) One called *addition*, which takes two vectors  $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in V$  and produces another vector denoted  $\vec{u} + \vec{v} \in V$ .
- (2) One called *scalar multiplication* which takes a vector  $\vec{u} \in V$  and a scalar  $a \in K$  and produces another vector denoted  $a\vec{u} \in V$

Then if, for all vectors  $\vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{w} \in V$  and all scalars  $a, b \in K$ , the following 8 properties are true, then  $V$  is called a *vector space* (over  $K$ ).

- (A1)  $u + v = v + u$  (commutative laws)
- (A2) There exists a vector in  $V$ , named *zero vector* and denoted  $0$  (or  $\vec{0}$ ) such that for all  $u \in V$ ,  $u + 0 = u$
- (A3) For each  $u \in V$ , there is a vector in  $V$ , called the (additive) inverse of  $u$  and denoted  $-u$ , having the property  $u + (-u) = 0$  (where  $0$  is the zero vector defined in A2)
- (A4)  $(u + v) + w = u + (v + w)$
- (SM1)  $a(u + v) = au + av$  (distributive laws)
- (SM2)  $(a + b)u = au + bu$
- (SM3)  $a(bu) = (ab)u$
- (SM4)  $1u = u$  ( $1 \in R$  or  $C$ )

These are called the vector space *axioms*.

### Examples of vector spaces

Some examples:

- (1)  $K^n = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) | a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in K\}$ , with addition defined by
 
$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) + (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) = (a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, \dots, a_n + b_n)$$

and scalar multiplication by

$$c(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (ca_1, ca_2, \dots, ca_n)$$

where  $c \in K$  (and  $K = \text{set of scalar}$ ).

**Proof that  $K^n$  is a vector space**

Need to prove all 8 properties. We will do 2, the rest are exercises.

(A4) To prove for all  $u, v \in V$ ,  $u + v = v + u$ .

*Proof concept:* To prove "for all  $x \in A$ , something", say "let  $x \in A$ " (means  $x$  is an arbitrary element of  $A$ , ie you only know  $x \in A$ ). Then, prove something for that  $x$ .

*Proof:* Let  $u, v \in K^n$ . This means  $u = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $v = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$  for some  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \in K$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} u + v &= (a_1, \dots, a_n) + (b_1, \dots, b_n) \\ &= (a_1 + b_1, \dots, a_n + b_n) \quad (\text{definition of addition in } K^n) \\ &= (b_1 + a_1, \dots, b_n + a_n) \quad (\text{since } a + b = b + a \text{ for } R \text{ and } C) \\ &= (b_1, \dots, b_n) + (a_1, \dots, a_n) \quad (\text{definition of addition in } K^n) \\ &= v + u \end{aligned}$$

(A2) *Proof concept:* To prove "there exists" something, one method is to describe the thing directly.

Define  $0 = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$  (which is in  $K^n$ ). To prove for all  $u \in K^n$ ,  $u + 0 = u$ , let  $u \in K^n$ . This means  $u = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} u + 0 &= (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) + (0, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &= (a_1 + 0, a_2 + 0, \dots, a_n + 0) \\ &= (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \\ &= u \end{aligned}$$

(2) In the vector space  $C^2$ ,  $(2 + 3i, 5 - 7i) \in C^2$  is an example of a vector and  $2i \in C$  is a scalar, so an example of scalar mult is :

$$\begin{aligned} 2i(u) &= 2i(2 + 3i, 5 - 7i) \\ &= (4i + 6i^2, 10i - 14i^2) \\ &= (-6 + 4i, 14 + 10i) \end{aligned}$$

January 14th 2019

**Problem:** Let  $J = \{(x, y) | x \in R, y \in R\}$  but define addition by

$$(x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2) = (-x_1 - x_2, y_1 + y_2)$$

and scalar multiplication by

$$c(x, y) = (cx, cy)$$

Show that  $J$  is not a vector space.

**Solution:** Show *one* of the 8 vector space axioms is false. Consider (A1):

$$(x_2, y_2) + (x_1, y_1) = (-x_2 - x_1, y_2 + y_1)$$

This is actually ok! Now consider (A4):

$$\begin{aligned}(x_1, y_1) + ((x_2, y_2) + (x_3, y_3)) &= (x_1, y_1) + (-x_2 - x_3, y_2 + y_3) \\ &= (-x_1 - (-x_2 - x_3), y_1 + y_2 + y_3) \\ &= (-x_1 + x_2 + x_3, y_1 + y_2 + y_3)\end{aligned}$$

While

$$\begin{aligned}((x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2)) + (x_3, y_3) &= (-x_1 - x_2, y_1 + y_2) + (x_3, y_3) \\ &= (-(-x_1 - x_2) - x_3, y_1 + y_2 + y_3) \\ &= (x_1 + x_2 - x_3, y_1 + y_2 + y_3)\end{aligned}$$

This does not quite yet prove that the axiom is false. To do so, give *specific* case where the equation is false.

**Actual proof:** Let  $u = (1, 1)$ ,  $v = (2, 2)$  and  $w = (3, 3)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}u + (v + w) &= (1, 1) + ((2, 2) + (3, 3)) \\ &= (1, 1) + (-2 - 3, 5) \\ &= (1, 1) + (-5, 5) \\ &= (-1 + 5, 6) \\ &= (4, 6)\end{aligned}$$

Whereas,

$$\begin{aligned}(u + v) + w &= ((1, 1) + (2, 2)) + (3, 3) \\ &= (-1 - 2, 3) + (3, 3) \\ &= (-3, 3) + (3, 3) \\ &= (-(-3) - 3, 6) \\ &= (0, 6)\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the axiom does not hold.

*More examples of vector spaces*

- (1)  $K^n$  (ie  $R^n$  or  $C^n$ ). See before
- (2)  $P(K)$  = polynomials, where coefficients are in  $K$ . Addition, scalar multiplication are "as expected", ie for multiplication:

$$\begin{aligned}f(x) &= x^2 + 2ix - 4 \in P(C) \\ g(x) &= -x^2 + ix \in P(C) \quad (\text{and also in } P(R))\end{aligned}$$

For addition,

$$f(x) + g(x) = 3ix - 4$$

And for scalar multiplication,

$$\begin{aligned} 2if(x) &= 2ix^2 + 4i^2x - 8i \\ &= 2ix^2 - 4x - 8i \end{aligned}$$

- (3)  $P_n(K)$  = polynomials of degree  $n$  or less, coefficient from  $K$ . For example,

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 - 2x + 2 &\in P_2(R) \\ x^2 - 2x + 2 &\in P_3(R) \\ x^2 - 2x + 2 &\in P_2(C) \\ x^2 - 2x + 2 &\notin P_1(R) \end{aligned}$$

**Note:** In  $P(K)$ ,  $P_n(K)$  the “vectors” are polynomials.

- (4)  $M_{m \times n}(K)$  =  $m \times n$  matrices with entries from  $K$ . Scalars are  $K$ , addition and scalar multiplication as expected.

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & i \\ 0 & \pi \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(C) \\ B &= \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1+i & -\pi \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(C) \\ A+B &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1+i \\ 1+i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ 2iA &= \begin{pmatrix} 4i & 2i^2 \\ 0 & 2i\pi \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 4i & -2 \\ 0 & 2\pi i \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The “zero vector” in  $M_{m \times n}(K)$  is the  $m \times n$  matrix with all entries 0.

- (5) Let  $X$  be any set (think  $x = R$  or  $C$ , but not required). Define

$F(X, K) = \{f : X \rightarrow K\}$  = all functions from  $X$  to  $K$ .

**Ex:**  $f(x) = x^2 \in F(R, R)$ .

**Ex:** Let  $x = \{1, 2\}$ . Then  $g$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} g(1) &= 3 \\ g(2) &= \sqrt{2} \end{aligned}$$

*Addition* in this space is defined by:

If  $f, g \in F(X, K)$  then  $f + g$  is the function defined by

$$(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$$

Note that  $f(x) \in K$  and  $g(x) \in K$ , in other words they are *numbers* (scalars). The  $+$  in  $(f + g)$  is the addition of vectors  $f$  and  $g$ , while the other  $+$  is scalar addition.

*Scalar multiplication* in this space is defined by: if  $f \in F(X, K), c \in K$  then  $cf$  is the function defined by

$$(cf)(x) = cf(x)$$

Note that  $cf$  is the name of the function, that "multiplication" is scalar multiplication  $F(X, K)$  and  $cf(x)$  is the multiplication of two scalars (numbers).

The fact that  $F(X, K)$  is a vector space and the axioms are followed is not so obvious.

**Prove (A2) true for  $F(X, K)$ .** Define  $z \in F(X, K)$  by

$$z(x) = 0 \quad (\text{for all } x \in X)$$

Note that 0 here is a scalar. Then if  $f \in F(X, K)$  is an arbitrary element, then we need to prove  $f + z = f$ . This is true since for all  $x \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (f + z)(x) &= f(x) + z(x) \\ &= f(x) + 0 \\ &= f(x) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $f + z, f$  have the same output (namely  $f(x)$ ) for every input. Hence,  $f + z = f$ .

**Exercise:** Try (A3).

*January 16th 2019*

**Theorem 2** (Cancellation Law). Suppose  $V$  is a vector space over  $K$ . For all vectors  $u, v, w \in V$ , if  $u + w = v + w$  then  $u = v$ .

*Note:* To prove "for all" you say let  $u \in V$  (means  $u$  is an arbitrary vector).

To prove "if  $p$  then  $q$ ", denoted  $p \rightarrow q$ , assume  $p$  is true and use it to prove  $q$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u, v, w \in V$ . Assume  $u + w = v + w$ . By vector space axiom

A3, there is a vector  $(-w) \in V$ . Add  $(-w)$  to both sides:

$$\begin{aligned}(u + w) + (-w) &= (v + w) + (-w) \\ u + (w + (-w)) &= v + (w + (-w)) && \text{(by A1)} \\ u + \vec{0} &= v + \vec{0} && \text{(by A3)} \\ &= u = v && \text{(by A2)}\end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 3.** Two points:

1. The zero vector is unique
2. For each  $u \in V$ ,  $-u$  is unique

*Note:* To prove something is unique, suppose you have two of them and show they are the same.

*Proof.* 1) Assume 0 and z both satisfy the property (A2:  $\forall u \in V, u + 0 = u$  (\*) and  $u + z = u$  (\*\*)). Goal is to prove  $0 = z$ .

$$\begin{aligned}z &= z + 0 && \text{(by *, with } u = z\text{)} \\ &= 0 + z && \text{(by A4)} \\ z &= 0 && \text{(by **, with } u = 0\text{)}\end{aligned}$$

So the zero vector is unique.

2) Exercise.

□

**Theorem 4.**  $\forall u \in V, c \in K$ ,

- 1)  $c\vec{0} = \vec{0}$
- 2)  $0u = \vec{0}$
- 3)  $-(cu) = ((-c)u)$

*Proof.* Of 2). Let  $u \in V$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}0u + 0u &= (0 + 0)u && \text{(By SM2)} \\ 0u + 0u &= 0u && \text{(by R addition)} \\ 0u + 0u &= 0u + \vec{0} && \text{(by A2)} \\ 0u + 0u &= \vec{0} + 0u && \text{(by A4)} \\ 0u &= \vec{0} && \text{(by cancellation law)}\end{aligned}$$

□

*Note:*  $0 + u = u$  is true for all  $u \in V$  (same as  $u + 0 = u$  then apply A4)

*Linear combinations and spans*

**Def:** Let  $u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in V$ . If there are scalars  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in K$  such that  $u = a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots + a_nv_n$  then  $u$  is said to be a linear combination of  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ .

**Ex:** In  $P(R)$ ,  $x^2 + 2x - 4$  is a linear comb of  $x^2, x, 1$ .

**Important problem:** Given vectors  $u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ , determine if  $u$  is a linear combination of  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  and if so find  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ .

**Ex:** Determine if  $f(x) = 2x^2 + 6x + 8$  is a linear combination of

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x) &= x^2 + 2x + 1 \\ g_2(x) &= -2x^2 - 4x - 2 \\ g_3(x) &= 2x^2 - 3 \end{aligned}$$

**Sol.** Are there  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} 2x^2 + 6x + 8 &= a_1(x^2 + 2x + 1) + a_2(-2x^2 - 4x - 2) + a_3(2x^2 - 3) \\ &= (a_1 - 2a_2 + 2a_3)x^2 + (2a_1 - 4a_2)x + (a_1 - 2a_2 - 3a_3) \end{aligned}$$

Equating coefficients,

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 - 2a_2 + 2a_3 &= 2 \\ 2a_1 - 4a_2 &= 6 \\ a_1 - 2a_2 - 3a_3 &= 8 \end{aligned}$$

Solve the linear system:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & -2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & -4 & 0 & 6 \\ 1 & -2 & -3 & 8 \end{array} \right] \\ &\quad \downarrow \\ &\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (\text{row reduce}) \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$  No solution, because of the last row.  $f$  is not a linear combination of  $g_1, g_2, g_3$ .

**Def:** Let  $S \subseteq V$  ( $S$  is a subset of  $V$ ) and assume  $s \neq 0$ . The span of  $s$ , denoted  $\text{span}(s)$  is the set of all linear combinations of vectors from  $S$ , ie

$$\begin{aligned} \text{span}(s) &= \{u \in V \mid \exists v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in S \\ &\quad \text{and scalars } a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \text{ s.t.} \\ &\quad u = a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots + a_nv_n\} \end{aligned}$$

January 18th 2019

Last class

$$S \subseteq V$$

$$\text{span}(S) = \{u \in V \mid \exists v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in S$$

$$\text{and scalars } a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \text{ s.t.}$$

$$u = a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + \dots + a_n v_n\}$$

**Ex:**  $S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ . Prove  $\text{span}(S) = \mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Note:**  $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$  means  $(a, b)$ .

**Proof note:** To prove two sets  $A, B$  are equal, ie  $A = B$ , you can prove  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq A$ .

**Sol:**

- (1) Prove  $\text{span}(S) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ . Trivial, since any linear combination of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is still in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- (2) Prove  $\mathbb{R}^2 \subseteq \text{span}(S)$ . Let  $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  (arbitrary). To prove that there exists scalars  $x_1, x_2 \in K$  so that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

In other words,

$$a = x_1 + 3x_2$$

$$b = 2x_1 + x_2$$

Want to show this has a solution (for all  $a, b$ ). System is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

But,

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 1 - 2(3) \neq 0$$

hence the system has (exactly one) solution.  $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \text{span}(S)$  so  $\mathbb{R}^2 \subseteq \text{span}(S)$ . So by (1), (2),  $\text{span}(S) = \mathbb{R}^2$ .  $\square$

**Note:**  $Ax = b$ ,  $A_{n \times n}$  if  $A$  inv,  $x = A^{-1}b$ .

**Theorem 5.** Let  $S \subseteq V$ ,  $S \neq \emptyset$  ( $\emptyset = \text{empty set}$ ). Then,

- (1) If  $u, v \in \text{span}(S)$  then  $u + v \in \text{span}(S)$
- (2) If  $u \in \text{span}(S)$  and  $c \in K$ , then  $cu \in \text{span}(S)$
- (3)  $\vec{0} \in \text{span}(S)$



*Proof.* By direct proof.

- (1) (Note, "if  $u, v \in \text{span}(S)$ " means for all  $u, v \in \text{span}(S)$ ).

Let  $u, v \in \text{span}(S)$ . Then,

$$u = a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + \dots + a_nu_n \text{ where } u_1, \dots, u_n \in S, a_1, \dots, a_n \in K$$

$$v = b_1v_1 + b_2v_2 + \dots + b_mv_m \text{ where } v_1, \dots, v_m \in S, b_1, \dots, b_m \in K$$

Then  $u + v = a_1u_1 + \dots + a_nu_n + b_1v_1 + \dots + b_mv_m$  which is in  $\text{span}(S)$  since  $u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_m \in S$ .

- (2) Let  $u \in \text{span}(S), c \in K$ . Then,

$$u = a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + \dots + a_nu_n \text{ where } u_1, \dots, u_n \in S, a_1, \dots, a_n \in K$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} cu &= c(a_1u_1) + c(a_2u_2) + \dots + c(a_nu_n) \\ &= (ca_1)u_1 + (ca_2)u_2 + \dots + (ca_n)u_n \end{aligned}$$

**Note:** If you want to be very formal, you need to write down all of the vector space axioms. Which is in  $\text{span}(S)$  since it is a linear combination of  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  which are in  $S$ .

- (3) (Prove  $\vec{0} \in \text{span}(S)$ ) Let  $u \in S$ . **Note:** This is possible only because  $S \neq \emptyset$ .

Then  $u = 1u$ , so  $u \in \text{span}(S)$ . Then using  $c = 0$  and (2) and fact that  $u \in \text{span}(S)$ ,

$$cu = 0u = \vec{0}$$

is also in  $\text{span}(S)$ . **Note:** Since  $u = 1u$ ,  $S \subseteq \text{span}(S)$ .

□

### Subspaces

**Def.** Let  $V$  be a vector space and  $W \subseteq V$  (subset). If  $W$ , using addition and scalar multiplication as defined in  $V$ , satisfies the definition of vector space, then  $W$  is called a subspace of  $V$ , denoted  $W \leq V$  (less than equal sign, read as "subspace").

**Note:** Main issue is that addition and scalar multiplication with vector from  $W$  produce vectors which are still in  $W$ .

**Theorem 6.** Let  $W \subseteq V$ . Then, if the following three properties hold,  $W \leq V$  (subspace).

(SS1) For all  $w_1, w_2 \in W$ , we have  $w_1 + w_2 \in W$  ("closure under addition")

(SS2) For all  $w \in W$  and scalars  $c \in K$ , we have  $cw \in W$  ("closure under scalar multiplication")

(SS3)  $\vec{0} \in W$ .

These are the same properties we just proved for spans; in other words, we proved earlier that  $\text{span}(S)$  is a subspace.

*Proof.* For  $W$  to have operations addition, scalar multiplication, just means (SS1) and (SS2) are true. So now, check (A1) - (SM4). Most of them are true because they are true in a larger vector space.

(A1) Let  $u, v, w \in W$ . Then since  $u, v, w \in V$ , and (A1) holds in  $V$ ,  
 $u + (v + w) = (u + v) + w$ .

(A2) This is (SS3).

(A3) This is the one we have to do a bit more work for. Let  $w \in W$ .  
 Want to show  $-w \in W$ . Then, using (SS2) with  $c = -1$  gives

$$-1(w) = -w \quad (\text{thm from last class})$$

is in  $W$ , as needed.

(A4) Still true because it is true in  $V$ .

(SM1-SM4) All hold because they hold in  $V$ .

□

*January 21st 2019*

*A note on logic*

Let  $P, Q$  be statements that are true or false.

- (1) "If  $P$  then  $Q$ ", also written symbolically as " $P \Rightarrow Q$ " ( $P$  implies  $Q$ ) means if  $P$  is true, then  $Q$  is also true. To *prove* " $P \Rightarrow Q$ ", assume  $P$  and prove  $Q$  is true. If you *know* that " $P \Rightarrow Q$ " is true, you can *use it*: if you can establish that  $P$  is true, you may conclude  $Q$  is true.

**Ex:** Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix:

$$P : \det(A) = 1 \quad Q : "A \text{ is invertible}"$$

**Thm:**  $P \Rightarrow Q$

- (2) The *converse* of " $P \Rightarrow Q$ " is " $Q \Rightarrow P$ ". This is a (logically) different statement.

**Ex:** With  $P$  and  $Q$  as above, " $Q \Rightarrow P$ " is not true because  $A_{inv} \nRightarrow \det(A) = 1$ .

- (3) The *contrapositive* of " $P \Rightarrow Q$ " is " $\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$ " ie "if  $Q$  false, then  $P$  also false". Logically, this is the same as " $P \Rightarrow Q$ ".

- (4) The *equivalence* " $P$  if and only if  $Q$ ", written " $P \iff Q$ " means " $P \Rightarrow Q$  and also  $Q \Rightarrow P$ " is true. Also means that either both  $P$  and  $Q$  are true or both are false.

**Ex:**  $\det(A) \neq 0 \iff A$  is invertible.

To prove " $P \iff Q$ ", need to prove " $P \Rightarrow Q$ " and " $Q \Rightarrow P$ ".

**Note:**  $\neg P \Rightarrow \neg Q$  is the same as  $Q \Rightarrow P$ .

### Subspaces (cont'd)

**Thm (last class):** Let  $W \subseteq V$  (subset). If

1. For all  $u, v \in W$ ,  $u + v \in W$
2. For all  $u \in W$ ,  $c \in K$ ,  $cu \in W$
3.  $\vec{0} \in W$

then  $W \leq V$  (subspace). (ie: (1), (2), (3) are true  $\Rightarrow W \leq V$ )

**Theorem 7.** Let  $W \subseteq V$ . Then

$$W \leq V \Rightarrow (1), (2), (3) \text{ are true}$$

(ie the converse of last theorem is true).

**Proof.** Exercise.

**Theorem 8.** Let  $W \subseteq V$ . Then

$$W \leq V \iff (1), (2), (3) \text{ are true}$$

### Examples of subspaces and non-subspaces

Is each subset a subspace?

- (a)  $W = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ . Not a subspace, since the zero vector is not in  $W$ . The others are also false, but it's enough to prove that one of the statements does not hold. But  $\text{span}(W) = \mathbb{R}^2$  (so  $\text{span}(W) \leq \mathbb{R}^2$ )

- (b)  $W = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x + y - z = 0 \right\}$ . Need to check (1), (2), (3):

- (1) Let  $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{pmatrix} \in W$ . Then we know  $x + y - z = 0$  and  $x' + y' - z' = 0$ . Check:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x + x' \\ y + y' \\ z + z' \end{pmatrix}$$

Verify

$$\begin{aligned} (x + x') + (y + y') - (z + z') &= (x + y - z) + (x' + y' - z') \\ &= 0 + 0 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

So yes, it is in  $W$ .

- (2) Let  $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in W$  (means  $x + y - z = 0$ ), let  $c \in K$ . To prove

$$c \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} cx \\ cy \\ cz \end{pmatrix} \in W$$

Here,  $cx + cy - cz = c(x + y - z) = c(0) = 0$ . So  $c \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in W$

- (3)  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in W$ , since  $0 + 0 - 0 = 0$

Since (1), (2), (3) true,  $W \leq R^2$  (subspace)

- (c)  $W = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in R^3 \mid x + y - z = 1 \right\}$ . This is *not* a subspace. (3) is false.

- (d)  $W = \{A \in M_{2 \times 2} \mid A_{ij} \geq 0 \forall i, j\}$ , where  $A_{ij}$  is the entry of  $A$  in row  $i$ , column  $j$ . (1) and (3) are true:

- (1) Add two matrices with non-negatives entries, result has non-negative entries.

- (2)  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in W$

Note, we wrote these out very informally. Now, (2) is false since,  
for example  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in W$  but

$$(-1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \notin W$$

*Two special subspaces*

Let  $V$  be a vector space.

- (1)  $V \leq V$  is true
- (2)  $\{\vec{0}\} \leq V$  is true ("zero subspace")

*A refinement on the definition of span*

**Def.** If  $S = \emptyset$  (emptyset), define  $\text{span}(S) = \{\vec{0}\}$  (if  $S \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\text{span}(S)$  defined as before).

**Theorem 9.**  $\text{span}(S) \leq V$ .

**Proof** Two cases :

- 1. If  $S = \emptyset$ ,  $\text{span}(S) = \{\vec{0}\} \leq V$
- 2. If  $S \neq \emptyset$ , you already proved  $\text{span}(S)$  satisfies (1), (2), (3).  
So  $\text{span}(S) \leq V$ .

**Theorem 10.** (improved version of subspace conditions) Let  $W \subseteq V$ . Then

$$W \leq V \iff W \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \forall w_1, w_2 \in W \text{ and } c \in K \text{ we have } cw_1 + w_2 \in W$$

**Proof** We will actually prove  $(1), (2), (3) \iff \text{RHS}$  (right-hand side). Two parts to proof.

- (1) " $(1), (2), (3) \Rightarrow \text{RHS}$ " or " $\Rightarrow$ "

*January 23rd 2019*

**Recap:**

- (1) If  $u, v \in W$  then  $u + v \in W$
- (2) if  $u \in W, c \in K$  then  $cu \in W$
- (3)  $\vec{0} \in W$

**Theorem 11.** Let  $W \subseteq V$ . Then

$$W \leq V \iff W \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \forall u, v \in W, c \in K \text{ we have } cu + v \in W$$

**Proof:** Suffices to prove (1), (2), (3)  $\iff$  RHS.

1.  $\Rightarrow$  Assume (1), (2), (3) (prove right-hand side). Two things to prove:

(1) Since  $\vec{0} \in W$  (by (3)),  $W \neq \emptyset$

(2) Let  $u, v \in W$  and  $c \in K$ . Since (2) holds,  $cu \in W$ . Since (1) holds,  $cu \in W$  and  $v \in W$ , so  $cu + v \in W$ .

2.  $\Leftarrow$  Assume RHS, prove (1), (2), (3).

(1) Let  $u, v \in W$ . Apply RHS with  $\Leftarrow$  to get

$$cu + v = 1u + v = u + v \in W$$

(2) (Prove  $\vec{0} \in W$ ) Since  $W \neq \emptyset$ , there is a vector  $w \in W$ . Apply right-hand side with  $u = w, v = w, c = -1$ . So  $cu + v = (-1)w + w = -w + w = \vec{0} \in W$ .

(3) Let  $u \in W, c \in K$ . Apply RHS ( $cu + v \in W$ ) with  $u = u, c = c, v = \vec{0}$  (note:  $\vec{0} \in W$  by (3) above). Then  $cu + v = cu + \vec{0} = cu \in W$   $\square$

**Ex:** In  $F(R, R) = V$  (functions  $f : R \rightarrow R$ ), prove that

$$W = \{f \in V \mid f(3) = 0\}$$

is a subspace. Eg:  $f(x) = (x - 3)e^x \in W$ .

**Solution:** (1), (2) together (by last thm). Let  $f, g \in W, c \in R$  (prove  $cf + g \in W$ ). We know  $f(3) = 0$  and  $g(3) = 0$ . Then, check  $(cf + g)(3) = cf(3) + g(3) = 0 + 0 = 0$ . So  $cf + g \in W$ .

Also, prove  $W \neq \emptyset$ .  $f(x) = x - 3 \in W$ , since  $f(3) = 0$  (or,  $z(3) = 0$  satisfies  $z(3) = 0$  so  $z \in W$ . Note that  $z$  is the zero vector of  $F(R, R)$ ).

**Theorem 12.** Let  $A \in M_{m \times n}(K), b \in K^m$ . Define

$$S = \{x \in K^n \mid Ax = b\}$$

ie  $S$  = solution set to linear system  $Ax = b$ . Then,

$$S \leq K^n \iff b = \vec{0} \text{ (ie system is homogeneous)}$$

**Proof**

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  Assume  $S \leq K^n$ . Then  $\vec{0}_n \in S$  (by (3)). So  $A\vec{0} = b$  but  $A\vec{0}_n = \vec{0}_m$  so  $\vec{0} = b$ .

- (ii)  $\Leftarrow$  Assume  $b = \vec{0}_m$  (prove  $S \leq K^n$ ). Then  $A\vec{0}_n = \vec{0}_m$ , so  $\vec{0}_n \in S$ .  
 Next, let  $u, v \in S, c \in K$ . So  $u, v \in K^n$  and  $Au = b, Av = b$ . Verify  $cu + v$  is a solution.

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(cu + v) &= A(cu) + Av && \text{(prop of matrix multiplication)} \\
 &= c(Au) + Av && \text{(prop of matrix multiplication)} \\
 &= cb + b \\
 &= c\vec{0} + \vec{0} \\
 &= \vec{0} \\
 &= b \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

**Ex:** Equation  $ax + by + cz = d$  describes a plane in  $R^3$  (eg  $x + y + z = 1$ ) (and also, every plane can be described this way). That is,

$$\{(x, y, z) \in R^3 | ax + by + cz = d\}$$

is a plane.

By last thm,

$$\begin{aligned}
 P \text{ is a subspace} &\iff ax + by + cz = d \text{ is a homogeneous system} \\
 &\iff d = 0 \\
 &\iff P \text{ passes through origin } (0, 0, 0)
 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 13.** Let  $S \subseteq V$ . Then,

- (1)  $\text{span}(S) \leq V$  and  $S \subseteq \text{span}(S)$
- (2) If  $S \subseteq W$ , and  $W \leq V$  (subspace) then  $\text{span}(S) \subseteq W$  (actually,  $\text{span}(S) \leq W$ , subspace by (1))

**Proof:**

- (1)  $\leq$  We know already. Let  $u \in S$ . Then  $u = 1u$ , so  $u \in \text{span}(S)$
- (2) Assume  $S \subseteq W$ , and  $W \leq V$ . Let  $v \in \text{span}(S)$ . Then  $v = a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + \dots + a_nu_n$  for some scalars and vectors  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in S$ . Since  $S \subseteq W$ ,  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in W$ . But  $W$  subspace. So  $a_1u_1, a_2u_2, \dots, a_nu_n \in W$  (by prop (2) subspace) then  $a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 \in W$  (by prop (1) of subspaces). So then  $(a_1u_1 + a_2u_2) + a_3u_3 \in W$  (etc). So  $a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + \dots + a_nu_n \in W$ .

**Note:** "etc" here is actually a proof by mathematical induction.

Omit for now.

January 25th 2019

Interlude : Symbolic logic (briefly)

Let  $P, Q$  be statements that could be true (T) or false (F). Define:

- (1)  $\neg P$ , "not P", is  $F$  when  $P$  is  $T$ ,  $T$  when  $P$  is  $F$
- (2)  $P \wedge Q$ , "P and Q", is  $T$  exactly when  $P, Q$  both  $T$
- (3)  $P \vee Q$ , "P or Q" is  $T$  when  $P, Q$  both  $F$
- (4)  $P \Rightarrow Q$ , "P implies Q", is  $T$  *unless*  $P$  is  $T$  and  $Q$  is  $F$ . Hence,  $P \Rightarrow Q$  is *equivalent to*  $\neg P \vee Q$ . We will write  $P \Rightarrow Q \equiv \neg P \vee Q$ .
- (5)  $P \iff Q$ , "P if and only if Q", is  $T$  if both  $T$  or both  $F$ .

### De Morgan's Laws

- $\neg(P \wedge Q) \equiv \neg P \vee \neg Q$
- $\neg(P \vee Q) \equiv \neg P \wedge \neg Q$

### Quantifiers

- $\forall$  means "for all"
- $\exists$  means "there exists"

Ex. (A4) (commutativity)  $\forall u, v \in V \quad u + v = v + u$ .

Ex. 2 (A2) (zero vector)  $\exists z \in V \quad \forall u \in V \quad (u + z = u) \wedge (z + u = u)$   
(textbook version)

### Negating quantifiers

- $\neg \forall u \in V P(u) \equiv \exists u \in V \neg P(u)$
- $\neg \exists u \in V P(u) \equiv \forall u \in V \neg P(u)$

Ex.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \neg(A2) &\equiv \neg \exists z \in V \forall u \in V \quad u + z = u \wedge z + u = u \\
 &\equiv \forall z \in V \neg \forall u \in V \quad u + z = u \wedge z + u = u \\
 &\equiv \forall z \in V \exists u \in V \quad \neg(u + z = u \wedge z + u = u) \\
 &\equiv \forall z \in V \exists u \in V \quad (u + z \neq u \vee z + u \neq u)
 \end{aligned}$$

### Proof by contradiction

You want to prove some statement  $P$ . Proof by contradiction works this way:

- (1) Assume  $\neg P$
- (2) Derive a contradiction (hard part)
- (3) Conclude  $P$  is true



**Ex.** Outline of how to prove (A2) *does not hold* in some vector space.  
You want to prove  $\neg(A2)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\neg(A2) &\equiv \neg \exists z \in V \forall u \in V \quad u + z = u \wedge z + u = u \\ &\equiv \forall z \in V \neg \forall u \in V \quad u + z = u \wedge z + u = u\end{aligned}$$

Let  $z \in V$ . Prove the right-hand part ( $\neg \forall u \in V \quad u + z = u \wedge z + u = u$ ) by contradiction. Assume (for contradiction) that

$$\forall u \in V \quad u + z = u \wedge z + u = u \quad (1)$$

Use (1) by substituting  $u =$  some specific vector (derive a contradiction). Conclude that ( $\neg \forall u \in V \quad u + z = u \wedge z + u = u$ ) is true.

*Last time*

**Theorem 14.** If  $S \subseteq W$ ,  $W \leq V$  then  $\text{span}(S) \subseteq W$ .

**Note.** This means if you "promote" a subset to a subspace, adding in only what's necessary, what you get is  $\text{span}(S)$ . Or,  $\text{span}(S)$  is the "smallest" subspace containing  $S$ .

**Fact.** Subspaces are "closed under taking linear combinations". Ie if  $W \leq V$ ,  $w_1, \dots, w_n \in W$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in K$  then

$$a_1 w_1 + a_2 w_2 + \dots + a_n w_n \in W$$

**Caution.** Linear combinations are *finite* sums by definition. So you can't sum up infinitely many vectors.

*Illustration of this theorem*

Let  $S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subseteq W = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid x, y \in R \right\}$ . Then

$\text{span}(S) \subseteq W$  ie  $\text{span}(S)$  is in  $xy$  plane. In fact,  $\text{span}(S) = W$ .

**Def.** If  $W = \text{span}(S)$ , we say that  $S$  spans  $W$  or is a spanning set for  $W$ .

**Ex.**  $S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ ,  $\text{span}(S) = xy\text{-plane in } R^3$ . So  $S$  spans the  $xy\text{-plane}$ .

**Ex. 2.**  $S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ ,  $\text{span}(S) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in R \right\} = \text{line}$ .

### Intersection of two subspaces

**Theorem 15.** Let  $W_1 \leq V, W_2 \leq V$ . Then  $W_1 \cap W_2 \leq V$  (ie intersection of two subspaces is a subspace).

**Proof.**  $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{w \in V | w \in W_1 \wedge w \in W_2\}$ .

- (1)  $\vec{0} \in W_1, \vec{0} \in W_2$  (because subspace). So  $\vec{0} \in W_1 \cap W_2$ .
- (2) Let  $u, v \in W_1 \cap W_2, c \in K$ . So  $u, v \in W_1$  and  $W_1 \leq V$  so  $cu + v \in W_1$  and  $u, v \in W_2$  and  $W_2 \leq V$  so  $cu + v \in W_2$ . Hence  $cu + v \in W_1 \cap W_2$ .  $\square$

January 28th 2019

**Last time:**  $W_1 \leq V$  and  $W_2 \leq V \Rightarrow W_1 \cap W_2 \leq V$ .

**Corollary 15.1.** The intersection of any number of subspaces is a subspace.

**Problem.** Prove that  $W = \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} | f(1) = 0 \wedge f(2) = 0\}$  is a subspace of  $F(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ .

**Sol #1:** Directly from subspace properties (omit)

**Sol #2:** We saw an example proving that  $\{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} | f(3) = 0\}$  is a subspace. The "3" is not important, so similarly:

$$W_1 = \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} | f(1) = 0\}$$

$$W_2 = \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} | f(2) = 0\}$$

both subspaces of  $F(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ . Then  $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} | f(1) = 0 \wedge f(2) = 0\}$  is a subspace.

**Q:** Is union of two subspaces also a subspace?

**A:** Not in general.

**Eg:**  $W_1 = x\text{-axis} = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} | x \in \mathbb{R}\right\} \leq \mathbb{R}^2$

$$W_2 = y\text{-axis} = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y \end{pmatrix} | y \in \mathbb{R}\right\} \leq \mathbb{R}^2$$

$W_1 \cup W_2 = xy\text{-axis} = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} | x = 0 \vee y = 0\right\}$ , which, importantly, is not  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Not a subspace, since  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in W_1 \cup W_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in W_1 \cup W_2$ , but  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \notin W_1 \cup W_2$ .

**Note:** To promote  $W_1 \cup W_2$  to a subspace, you form  $\text{span}(W_1 \cup W_2)$ .

**Def:** Let  $W_1 \leq V, W_2 \leq V$ . The *sum* of  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  is

$$W_1 + W_2 = \{v \in V | \exists w_1 \in W_1, w_2 \in W_2, \text{ such that } v = w_1 + w_2\}$$

**Ex:**

$$W_1 = \{ax^2 | a \in \mathbb{R}\} \leq P(\mathbb{R})$$

$$W_2 = \{ax | a \in \mathbb{R}\} \leq P(\mathbb{R})$$

We have,

$$W_1 + W_2 = \{ax^2 + bx | a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

**Theorem 16.** Let  $W_1 \leq V, W_2 \leq V$ . Then

(a)  $W_1 + W_2 = \text{span}(W_1 \cup W_2)$  (hence  $W_1 + W_2$  is a subspace)

(b)  $W_1 \leq W_1 + W_2, W_2 \leq W_1 + W_2$

**Proof:**

(a)(1) Prove  $W_1 + W_2 \subseteq \text{span}(W_1 \cup W_2)$ . Let  $v \in W_1 + W_2$ , so  $v = w_1 + w_2$  where  $w_1 \in W_1$  and  $w_2 \in W_2$ . Then  $w_1, w_2 \in W_1 \cup W_2$  so  $v \in \text{span}(W_1 \cup W_2)$

(2) " $\supseteq$ ". Let  $v \in \text{span}(W_1 \cup W_2)$ . Means  $v = a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + \dots + a_nu_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in W_1 \cup W_2$  and  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in K$ . Each  $u_i$  is in  $W_1 \cup W_2$ . Separate into two groups and relabel, so that:

- Those in  $W_1$ , call these

$$u_1, u_2, \dots, u_l$$

So  $0 \leq l \leq n, l = 0$  means *none* in  $W_1$ .

- Those in  $W_2 \setminus W_1 = \{w \in W_2 | w \notin W_1\}$  ("set difference"), call these

$$u_{l+1}, \dots, u_n$$

So  $l = 0$  means all in  $W_2 \setminus W_1, l = n$  means all in  $W_1$ .

Then, let  $w_1 = a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + \dots + a_lu_l$  (or  $w_1 = \vec{0}$  if  $l = 0$ ),  
 $w_2 = a_{l+1}u_{l+1} + \dots + a_nu_n$  (or  $w_2 = \vec{0}$  if  $l = n$ ).

Then  $w_1 \in W_1$  since  $W_1$  is a subspace, similarly  $w_2 \in W_2$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} v &= a_1u_1 + \dots + a_nu_n \\ &= w_1 + w_2 \in W_1 + W_2 \text{ as required} \end{aligned}$$

(b)  $W_1 \leq W_1 + W_2, W_2 \leq W_1 + W_2$ . Follows from (a), since  $S \subseteq \text{span}(S)$   $\square$ .

### Linear independence

**Def:** Vectors  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in V$  (all distinct) are said to be *linearly dependent* if  $\exists$  scalars  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in K$  not all 0 such that

$$a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + \dots + a_nu_n = \vec{0}$$

Above equation called a *dependence relation*.

**Note:** If  $a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + \dots + a_nu_n = \vec{0}$  and  $a_1 \neq 0$ , then you can solve for  $u_1$ :

$$u_1 = \frac{-a_2}{a_1}u_2 - \frac{a_3}{a_1}u_3 - \dots - \frac{a_n}{a_1}u_n$$

ie  $u_1$  = linear combination of others, "depends on" others.

**Ex:**  $\{x^2 + x, 2x^2, \frac{x}{10}\}$  is a dependent set of vectors in  $P(\mathbb{R})$  since

$$(x^2 + x) - \frac{1}{2}(2x^2) - 10(\frac{x}{10}) = 0$$

**Def:** A set of vectors  $S \subseteq V$  (possibly infinite) is dependent if  $\exists$  a finite subset  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} \subseteq S$  of it which is dependent.

**Def:** Vectors  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  are linearly independent if they are *not* dependent. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \neg \exists a_1, \dots, a_n \in K \quad (a_1u_1 + \dots + a_nu_n = \vec{0} \wedge \neg(a_1 = 0 \wedge a_2 = 0 \wedge \dots \wedge a_n = 0)) \\ \forall a_1, \dots, a_n \in K \quad \neg(a_1u_1 + \dots + a_nu_n = \vec{0} \wedge \neg(a_1 = 0 \wedge a_2 = 0 \wedge \dots \wedge a_n = 0)) \\ \forall a_1, \dots, a_n \in K \quad (\neg(a_1u_1 + \dots + a_nu_n = \vec{0}) \vee (a_1 = 0 \wedge a_2 = 0 \wedge \dots \wedge a_n = 0)) \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $P \implies Q \equiv \neg P \vee Q$ . In other words,  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  are linearly independent if

$$\forall a_1, \dots, a_n \in K (a_1u_1 + \dots + a_nu_n = \vec{0} \implies a_1 = 0 \wedge \dots \wedge a_n = 0)$$

Which is to say that the only solution to  $a_1u_1 + \dots + a_nu_n = \vec{0}$  is the trivial solution  $a_1 = 0, a_2 = 0, \dots, a_n = 0$ .

*January 30th 2019*

*Last class*

$v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  independent if  $x_1v_1 + \dots + x_nv_n = \vec{0}$  has only trivial solution  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0$ .

**Ex:** Prove that  $\{1 + x^2, x + x^2, 1 + x + x^2\}$  is independent.

**Solution:** Consider equation

$$a(1 + x^2) + b(x + x^2) + c(1 + x + x^2) = 0 = 0(1) + 0x + 0x^2$$

Want to show  $a = b = c = 0$  is the only solution.

Equation means for all  $x \in K$  ( $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ),

$$a(1 + x^2) + b(x + x^2) + c(1 + x + x^2) = 0$$

So, substitute any scalar for  $x$ :

$$\begin{aligned} x = 0 \quad a + c &= 0 \\ x = 1 \quad 2a + 2b + 2c &= 0 \\ x = -1 \quad 2a + 0b + c &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Can translate into linear system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Row-reduce:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Only solution is  $a = 0, b = 0, c = 0$  so vectors are independent.  
If we obtain infinitely many, then you can find dependent set so dependent.

*Some important cases*

- (i)  $S = \emptyset$  is linearly independent since there are no vectors with which to form a dep. relation.
- (ii) If  $\vec{0} \in S$ , then dependent (since  $1\vec{0} = \vec{0}$  is a dep. relation)
- (iii)  $\{u\}$  is independent  $\iff u \neq \vec{0}$ .  
**Note:**  $u + (-1)u = \vec{0}$  is *not* a dep. relation, since  $u$  is repeated. But,  $\{u, -u\}$  is dependent since

$$u + (-u) = \vec{0}$$

is a dep. relation.

**Proposition 17.** Let  $A, B \subseteq V$  where  $A \subseteq B$ .

- (i) If  $A$  is dependent,  $B$  is also dependent
- (ii) If  $B$  is independent,  $A$  is also independent (contrapositive)

**Proof:**

- (i) If  $A$  dep, we have a dep relation

$$a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + \dots + a_n v_n = \vec{0} \quad (\text{not all scalars } 0, v_1, \dots, v_n \in A)$$

which is also a dependence relation in  $B$  since  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in B$ .

- (ii) This is the contrapositive of (i).  $\square$

**Note:** Converse is false,  $B \text{ dep} \not\Rightarrow A \text{ dep}$ .

### Extending an independent set

**Theorem 18.** Let  $S \subseteq V$  be linearly independent and suppose  $u \notin S$ . Then,  $S \cup \{u\}$  independent  $\iff u \notin \text{span}(S)$ .

**Proof:**

- (i) " $\rightarrow$ " We will prove this as the contrapositive, ie  $u \in \text{span}(S) \rightarrow \text{dep}$ . Assume  $u \in \text{span}(S)$ . So,

$$u = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n \quad \text{where } v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in S$$

$$\vec{0} = (-1)u + a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n$$

Which is a linear combination of vectors from  $S \cup \{u\}$ , not all coefficients 0 since first is  $-1$ . Also, the vectors  $u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  are all distinct, since  $u \notin S$ . So this is a dependence relation on  $S \cup \{u\}$ , so the set is dependent.

- (ii) " $\leftarrow$ " Also by contrapositive. Assume  $S \cup \{u\}$  dep, want to show that  $u \in \text{span}(S)$ . So there is a dependence relation on  $S \cup \{u\}$ .

Two cases:

- **Case 1:** Dependence relation does not involve  $u$  (or, involves  $u$  but with coefficient 0), ie we have

$$a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n = \vec{0} \quad (\text{not all scalars } 0, v_1, \dots, v_n \in S)$$

But this contradicts independence of  $S$ , so case 1 does not occur.

- **Case 2:** Dependence relation involves  $u$  (with coeff *not* 0), so

$$au + a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n = \vec{0} \quad v_1, \dots, v_n \in S$$

and  $a \neq 0$ . Rewrite:

$$u = \frac{-a_1}{a}v_1 - \frac{a_2}{a}v_2 - \dots - \frac{a_n}{a}v_n \quad (a \neq 0)$$

Hence  $u \in \text{span}(S)$ .  $\square$

**Note:** Conclusion can be restated as

$$S \cup \{u\} \text{ dependent} \iff u \in \text{span}(S)$$

### Basis and dimension

**Fact:** If  $W$  is subspace, then  $\text{span}(W) = W$ . (Exercise)

So every subspace is a span. But thinking of  $W$  as  $\text{span}(W)$  is excessive. Would like to find the *smallest*  $S$  such that

$$\text{span}(S) = W$$

**Def:** Let  $W \leq V$ . A *basis* of  $W$  is a set  $B \subseteq V$  such that

- (i)  $\text{span}(B) = W$  ("enough vectors to produce  $W$ ")
- (ii)  $B$  is linearly independent ("no extra vectors in  $B$ ")

**Examples:**

(i) Let  $e_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow (\text{row } i)$ . Then,

$$\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$$

is a basis for  $K^n$ . For example,

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

is a basis for  $K^3$ .

More next class.

*February 1st 2019*

**Recall:**  $B$  is a basis of  $W$  if  $\text{span}(B) = W$  and  $B$  is linearly independent.

**Examples:**

- (1)  $P_n(K)$  has basis  $\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$
- (2)  $P(K)$  has basis  $\{1, x, x^2, x^3, \dots\}$  (infinitely many)
- (3)  $M_{m \times n}(K)$  has basis  $\{E^{ij} | 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$  where  $E^{ij} = m \times n$  matrix of 0s except 1 in row  $i$ , column  $j$ . eg:  $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$  has basis

$$E^{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, E^{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, E^{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, E^{22} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (4)  $W = \{\vec{0}\}$  has basis  $\emptyset$  since

- (i)  $\text{span } \emptyset = \{\vec{0}\}$  (by special def)
- (ii)  $\emptyset$  is independent

*Two important questions*

- (1) Does  $W$  *always* have basis? (spoiler: yes)
- (2) How to *find* a basis?

**Theorem 19** (Bases exist). *Let  $V$  be vector space and  $S$  a finite set with  $\text{span}(S) = V$ . Then there is a subset  $B \subseteq S$  which is a basis of  $V$ .*

*Proof.* Algorithm to produce  $B$ .

- (1) If  $V = \{\vec{0}\}$ , use  $B = \emptyset$ .
- (2) Take one vector,  $u_1 \in S (u_1 \neq \vec{0})$ . Consider  $\text{span}\{u_1\}$
- (3) If  $\text{span}\{u_1\} = V$ , done.  $B = \{u_1\}$  is a basis (set of one non-zero vector is independent)
- (4) If  $\text{span}\{u_1\} \neq V$ , there must be a vector  $u_2 \in S$  where  $u_2 \notin \text{span}\{u_1\}$  (Why? If not,  $S \subseteq \text{span}\{u_1\} \leq V$ , then  $\text{span}(S) \subseteq \text{span}\{u_1\}$ , but  $\text{span}(S) = V$  contradicts  $V \neq \text{span}\{u_1\}$ ). By previous theorem, since  $u_2 \notin \text{span}\{u_1\}$ ,  $\{u_1, u_2\}$  is linearly independent.
- (5) Consider  $\{u_1, u_2\}$ . If  $\text{span}\{u_1, u_2\} = V$ , done:  $B = \{u_1, u_2\}$ . Else, continue as before, finding  $u_3 \in S, u_3 \notin \text{span}\{u_1, u_2\}$  (etc)

Since  $S$  is finite, this must stop and at that point you have basis  $B \subseteq S$ .  $\square$

*Illustration of this thm*

Find basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that is a subset of

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Following this algorithm,

$$u_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, u_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, u_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Theorem 20.** *Let  $V$  be a vector space,  $L \subseteq V$  a linearly independent set, and  $S \subseteq V$  a spanning set (ie  $V = \text{span}(S)$ ). Then  $\exists$  a subset  $E \subseteq S$  such that  $L \cup E$  is a basis of  $V$  (ie you can always extend it to a basis)*

**Proof** Omitted.

**Theorem 21.** *Suppose  $V$  has a finite spanning set  $S$ . Then  $V$  has a basis and all bases have the same size, which is at most  $|S|$ .*

**Proof** Omitted.

**Def** If  $V$  has a finite basis  $B$ , then the dimension of  $V$  is

$$\dim V = |B|$$



If  $V$  does not have a finite basis, it is called *infinite dimensional*.

Ex:

(1)  $\dim K^n = n$ .

$$\left( \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \dots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \right)$$

(2)  $\dim P_n(K) = n + 1$  (basis  $\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$ )

(3)  $P(K)$  is infinite dimensional (A#1, proved a finite set of polynomials cannot span  $P(K)$ )

(4)  $\dim M_{m \times n}(K) = mn$  (see basis  $E^{ij}$ , defined above)

**Theorem 22.** Every vector space (including the infinite dimensional ones) has a basis.

**Proof** Uses Axiom of Choice. Difficult.

**Theorem 23.** Suppose  $\dim V = n$ . Let  $A \subseteq V$ . Then,

- (1) If  $\text{span}(A) = V$ , then  $|A| \geq n$  (or, if  $|A| < n$  then  $A$  does not span  $V$ ) and if also  $|A| = n$  then  $A$  is linearly independent, hence basis.
- (2) If  $A$  is linearly independent, then  $|A| \leq n$  (or, if  $|A| > n$  then  $A$  dep) and if also  $|A| = n$  then  $\text{span}(A) = V$  hence  $A$  is a basis.

**Proof** Omitted.

**Note:** If you have *correct number* of vectors, you need only check spanning or independent, not both, to check if basis.

**Ex:** If you have 7 matrices in  $M_{3 \times 2}(K)$ , they *will be* dependent. If you have 5, it's *not* a basis.

February 4th 2019

Last class

Suppose  $\dim V = n$ ,  $S \subseteq V$ ,  $|S| = n$ . Then  $S \text{ span } V \iff S \text{ linearly independent}$  (only in case  $|S| = \dim V$ ).

Lagrange Interpolation

**Problem** Given "data points"  $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2), \dots, (a_n, b_n)$  where all  $a_i$  are different. Find a polynomial  $p(x)$  of degree  $n - 1$ ,  $p(x) =$

$c_{n-1}x^{n-1} + c_{n-2}x^{n-2} + \dots + c_1x + c_0$  whose graph  $y = p(x)$  passes through all the points.

**Sol #1** Substitute  $(a_1, b_1)$  into  $y = p(x)$ :

$$b_1 = c_{n-1}a_1^{n-1} + \dots + c_1a_1 + c_0 \quad (\text{for each } i = 1, \dots, n)$$

Which is a system of  $n$  linear equations (vars =  $c_{n-1}, \dots, c_0$ ) in  $n$  variables.

We'll do something different.

**Def** For scalars  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  (all different), define the *Lagrange polynomials* for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  set

$$\begin{aligned} l_i(x) &= \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^n \frac{(x - a_k)}{(a_i - a_k)} \\ &= \frac{(x - a_1)}{(a_i - a_1)} \cdot \frac{(x - a_2)}{(a_i - a_2)} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{(x - a_n)}{(a_i - a_n)} \quad (\text{omitting } \frac{(x - a_i)}{(a_i - a_i)}) \end{aligned}$$

**Ex** For  $a_1 = 2, a_2 = 4, a_3 = 6$  we would have

$$\begin{aligned} l_1(x) &= \frac{(x - 4)}{2 - 4} \cdot \frac{(x - 6)}{(2 - 6)} \\ l_2(x) &= \frac{(x - 2)}{4 - 2} \cdot \frac{(x - 6)}{(4 - 6)} \\ l_3(x) &= \frac{(x - 2)}{6 - 2} \cdot \frac{(x - 4)}{(6 - 4)} \end{aligned}$$

**Note:** All degree 2,  $l_1(4) = 0, l_1(6) = 0, l_1(2) = 1$ .

**Fact**  $l_i(a_j) = 0$  if  $i \neq j$  and 1 if  $i = j$ .

**Proof** If  $i \neq j$ , there is a factor  $\frac{x - a_j}{a_i - a_j}$ , so at  $x = a_j$ ,  $\frac{a_j - a_j}{a_i - a_j} = 0$ . If  $i = j$ ,

$$l_i(a_i) = \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^n \frac{(a_i - a_k)}{(a_i - a_i)} = 1$$

**Proposition 24.** *Lagrange polynomials  $l_1(x), \dots, l_n(x)$  form a basis of  $P_{n-1}(\mathbb{R})$ .*

**Proof** We have  $n$  polynomials (they are distinct),  $\dim P_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}) = n - 1 + 1 = n$ . So correct number. Suffices to prove *span* or *lin independence*. We'll prove independence. Suppose

$$d_1l_1(x) + d_2l_2(x) + \dots + d_nl_n(x) = 0 \quad (\text{note: for all } x \in \mathbb{R})$$

Substitute  $x = a_1, x = a_2$ , etc into the above. At  $x = a_1, l_1(a_1) = 1$  but  $l_j(a_1) = 0$  for  $j \neq 1$  so

$$d_11 + d_20 + \dots + d_n0 = 0$$

so  $d_1 = 0$ . Similarly,  $d_j = 0$  for all  $j$ . More formally, for any  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  we have at  $x = a_j$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i l_i(a_j) = 0$$

but all terms are 0 *except* when  $i = j$ . Set

$$d_j = d_j(1) = d_j l_j(a_j) = 0$$

Hence Lagrange polynomials for a basis.

**Problem** Find poly degree  $n - 1$  through points  $(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n)$ .

**Sol:** Set  $p(x) = b_1 l_1(x) + b_2 l_2(x) + \dots + b_n l_n(x)$  (it has degree  $n - 1$ ).

Then

$$\begin{aligned} p(a_1) &= b_1 l_1(a_1) + b_2 l_2(a_1) + \dots + b_n l_n(a_1) \\ &= b_1(1) + 0 + 0 + \dots + 0 \\ &= b_1 \end{aligned}$$

For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} p(a_i) &= \sum_{j=1}^n b_j l_j(a_i) \\ &= 0 + 0 + \dots + b_i l_i(a_i) + \dots + 0 \\ &= b_i \end{aligned}$$

*Dimension of subspaces*

**Theorem 20.** Let  $W \leq V$ ,  $V$  finite-dimensional. Then

$$(i) \dim W \leq \dim V$$

$$(ii) \dim W = \dim V \iff W = V$$

**Proof**

- (i) Similar to proof that  $V$  has basis. Use  $W$  as a spanning set for  $W$ . Pick out vectors one at a time (similar to before) to build a basis. You cannot put more than  $\dim V$  vectors into your basis, as this would give an independent set in  $V$  of size *more than*  $\dim V$  (impossible). So this process has to stop, and it produces a basis for  $W$ .
- (ii) " $\rightarrow$ " Assume  $\dim W = \dim V = n$ . Take basis  $B$  of  $W$ . It is a size  $n$  linearly independent set inside  $V$ , hence  $B$  also basis for  $V$ , hence,

$$V = \text{span } B = W$$

" $\leftarrow$ " If  $W = V$ , clearly  $\dim W = \dim V$ .  $\square$

$\dim W$	Classification
0	$\{\vec{0}\}$
1	$\text{span}\{u\} = \text{line through origin}$
2	$\text{span}\{u, v\} = \text{plane through origin}$
3	$\mathbb{R}^3$

**Subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^3$**  If  $W \leq \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\dim W = 0, 1, 2$  or  $3$ .

This allows us to make the following classification: **Problem** Let  $W = \{A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \mid \text{tr}(A) = 0\}$ , where  $\text{tr}(A) = \text{trace of } A = \text{sum of entries on diagonal} = A_{11} + A_{22} + \dots + A_{nn}$ .

**Exercise** Prove  $W$  is a subspace.

**Will do next class:** Find  $\dim W$  and find a basis of  $W$ .

February 6th 2019

*Intuition*

Solution set  $W$  to a homogeneous system  $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$  is a subspace of  $K^n$  ( $n = \#$  of variables). If no equations,  $W = K^n$ ,  $\dim W = n$ . For each equation, *expect* the dimension of  $W$  to drop by 1, unless the equation is *redundant*.

**Eg:** In  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , one equation

$$a_1x + b_1y + c_1z = 0 \quad (= \text{plane})$$

$$\text{add in } a_2x + b_2y + c_2z = 0 \quad (\text{intersection of two planes, = line})$$

$$\text{add in } a_3x + b_3y + c_3z = 0 \quad (\text{intersection of three planes, (0,0)})$$

**Problem:**  $W = \{A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \mid \text{tr } A = 0\}$ . Find  $\dim W$ , basis of  $W$ .

**Solution #1:** Clever way: "guess" a basis. Note:  $\text{tr } A = A_{11} + A_{22} + \dots + A_{nn}$  (one linear condition). Expecting

$$\dim W = n^2 - 1$$

Observe that  $\dim W \neq n^2$ . This happens only if  $W = M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ , and obviously there are matrices which don't have trace 0. Specifically:

$$\text{tr} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(In proofs, can choose any example, provided property holds).

Know  $\dim W \leq n^2 - 1$ . If you can find independent set of size  $n^2 - 1$  in  $W$ , it *will be* a basis. Try first  $n = 3$ . Looking for  $3^2 - 1 = 8$  independent  $3 \times 3$  matrices, all trace 0.

Want trace = 0. Therefore, consider all matrices which have all 0's in the diagonal:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

These 6 are obviously independent. Now, take two more which are independent:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now we have 8 independent matrices (check carefully). So for  $n = 3$ ,  $\dim W = 8$ , this is a basis.

### General case

Two types of basis matrices:

- (I) All  $E^{ij}$  (1 in  $(i, j)$ -pos, 0 elsewhere)) where  $i \neq j$ . How many are there?

$$\begin{aligned} \# \text{ of non-diagonal entries} &= \text{entries} - \text{entries on diagonal} \\ &= n^2 - n \end{aligned}$$

Or,  $\binom{n}{2}$  ways to choose 2 distinct values from  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , 2 ways to order each pair. Total:

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n}{2} 2 &= \frac{n!}{2!(n-2)!} 2 \\ &= n(n-1) \\ &= n^2 - n \end{aligned}$$

- (II) Looking for  $n - 1$  more, since  $n^2 - n + n - 1 = n^2 - 1$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & 0 \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & \dots \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots$$

(n-1 of those)

Formally, let, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ ,  $D_i$  = matrix with 1 in pos  $(i, i)$  and  $-1$  in pos  $(i + 1, i + 1)$ , 0 elsewhere.

Verifying all matrices  $E^{ij}$ ,  $D_i$  are independent; clear that suffices to check  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_{n-1}$  independent. Suppose

$$x_1 D_1 + x_2 D_2 + \dots + x_n D_n = n \times n \text{ zero matrix}$$

The  $(1,1)$ -entry on left is  $x_1$ , so  $x_1 = 0$ . The  $(2,2)$ -entry on left is  $-x_1 + x_2$ ,

$$x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & 0 \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & \dots \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \dots = \begin{pmatrix} & 0 & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & \dots \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

but  $x_1 = 0$  so  $x_2 = 0$  also, etc. So similarly for all  $x_i = 0$ , so independent. Formally you'd do a proof by induction, but this is good enough.

Now have  $n^2 - 1$  independent vectors in  $W_1$  so  $\dim W \geq n^2 - 1$ .

1. Already, know  $\dim W \leq n^2 - 1$ . So  $\dim W = n^2 - 1$ , have independent set of correct size, so basis.

**Solution #2:** Let  $x_{ij}$  be the  $(i,j)$ -entry of  $A$ . So have  $n^2$  variables  $(x_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$  one equation,

$$x_{11} + x_{22} + \dots + x_{nn} = 0 \quad (\text{tr } A = 0)$$

Solve system. All  $x_{ij}, i \neq j$  free variables, so are  $x_{22}, \dots, x_{nn}$ .

**Theorem 21.** Let  $U, W$  be finite dimension subspaces of  $V$ . Then,

$$\dim(U + W) = \dim U + \dim W - \dim U \cap W$$

It's like sets,  $|A \cup B| = |A| + |B| - |A \cap B|$ .

**Proof Omitted.**

**Ex:** If  $W$  is a plane in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (through  $(0,0)$ ) and  $L$  is a line in  $\mathbb{R}$  (through  $(0,0)$ ) and  $L$  is not in the plane, prove  $W + L = \mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Sol:**  $L$  not in plane gives  $L \cap W = \{\vec{0}\}$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(L + W) &= \dim L + \dim W - \dim L \cap W \\ &= 1 + 2 - 0 \\ &= 3 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $L + W = \mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Problem:** Suppose  $\dim V = n$ , and  $U, W$  subspaces, each of dimension more than  $\frac{n}{2}$ . Prove that  $U \cap W \neq \{\vec{0}\}$ .

**Proof** By contradiction. Suppose  $U \cap W = \{\vec{0}\}$ . So  $\dim U \cap W = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(U + W) &= \dim U + \dim W - \dim U \cap W \\ &> \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2} - 0 = n \end{aligned}$$

Says  $U + W$  is a subspace of  $V$  of  $\dim$  more than  $\dim V$ . Impossible, so  $U \cap W \neq \{\vec{0}\}$ .

**END OF MIDTERM MATERIAL.**

February 8th 2019

**Monday:** No class, office hours during class time. Tuesday night :  
Midterm!

*Linear transformations - Definition and basic properties*

(Chap. 5 in the text) **Def.** Let  $U, V$  be vector spaces, both over field  $K$ .  
A function  $T : U \rightarrow V$  is called a *linear transformation* if

- (i)  $\forall u_1, u_2 \in U \quad T(u_1 + u_2) = T(u_1) + T(u_2)$ . The first '+' is in  $U$ ,  
while the second '+' is in  $V$ . The vector spaces need not be related  
in any way, except that they must be over the same field of scalars.
- (ii)  $\forall u \in U, c \in K \quad T(cu) = cT(u)$ . Again, the first scalar multiplication  
happens in  $U$ , while the second scalar multiplication happens in  
 $V$ .

**Comment:** Linear transformations are the functions that are somehow "compatible" with the vector space operations.

**Ex:** Prove that  $T : P_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  defined by

$$T(ax^2 + bx + c) = \begin{pmatrix} a + b \\ b + c \end{pmatrix}$$

**Sol:**

- (i) Let  $p_1(x) = a_1x^2 + b_1x + c_1$ ,  $p_2(x) = a_2x^2 + b_2x + c_2$  be in  $P_2(x)$ .  
Then,

$$\begin{aligned} T(p_1(x) + p_2(x)) &= T((a_1 + a_2)x^2 + (b_1 + b_2)x + c_1 + c_2) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 + a_2 + b_1 + b_2 \\ b_1 + b_2 + c_1 + c_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ T(p_1(x)) + T(p_2(x)) &= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 + b_1 \\ b_1 + c_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a_2 + b_2 \\ b_2 + c_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 + b_1 + a_2 + b_2 \\ b_1 + c_1 + b_2 + c_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) Let  $p(x) = ax^2 + bx + c \in P_2(\mathbb{R}), d \in K$ .

$$\begin{aligned} T(dp(x)) &= T(dax^2 + dbx + dc) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} da + db \\ db + dc \end{pmatrix} \\ &= d \begin{pmatrix} a + b \\ b + c \end{pmatrix} \\ &= dT(ax^2 + bx + c) \\ &= dT(p(x)) \end{aligned}$$

So  $T$  is a linear transformation.

**Ex** Define  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  by  $T(x, y) = (x^2, x + y)$ . Show that  $T$  is *not* a linear transformation.

**Sol** Try  $u = (2, 3), v = (3, 4)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} T(u + v) &= T(5, 7) \\ &= (25, 12) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} T(u) + T(v) &= T(2, 3) + T(3, 4) \\ &= (4, 5) + (9, 7) \\ &= (13, 12) \\ &\neq (25, 12) \end{aligned}$$

So  $T$  is *not* linear.

**Ex:** Define  $\frac{d}{dx} : P(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow P(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$\frac{d}{dx}p(x) = p'(x) \quad (\text{derivative})$$

Then  $\frac{d}{dx}$  is a linear transformation, since we know from calculus that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx}(p(x) + q(x)) &= \frac{d}{dx}p(x) + \frac{d}{dx}q(x) \\ \frac{d}{dx}(cp(x)) &= c \frac{d}{dx}p(x) \quad (c \in \mathbb{R}) \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 22.** Let  $T : U \rightarrow V$  be a linear transformation. Then,

- (i)  $T(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}$  (where the first  $\vec{0}$  is the zero vector of  $U$  and the second is the zero vector of  $V$ )
- (ii)  $\forall u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in U$  and  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n \in K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} T(c_1u_1 + c_2u_2 + \dots + c_nu_n) &= \\ c_1T(u_1) + c_2T(u_2) + \dots + c_nT(u_n) \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* (i)

$$\begin{aligned} T(\vec{0}_U) &= T(\vec{0}_U + \vec{0}_U) \\ T(\vec{0}_U) &= T(\vec{0}_U) + T(\vec{0}_U) \quad (\text{T linear}) \\ \vec{0}_V + T(\vec{0}_U) &= T(\vec{0}_U) + T(\vec{0}_U) \quad (\text{A2}) \\ \vec{0}_V &= T(\vec{0}_V) \quad (\text{cancellation law}) \end{aligned}$$



(ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
T(c_1u_1 + (c_2u_2 + \dots + c_nu_n)) &= T(c_1u_1) + T(c_2u_2 + \dots + c_nu_n) \\
&\quad \text{(T linear)} \\
&= c_1T(u_1) + T(c_2u_2 + \dots + c_nu_n) \\
&\quad \text{(T linear)} \\
&= \dots \quad \text{(proof by induction)} \\
&= c_1T(u_1) + \dots + c_nT(u_n)
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 23.** Let  $T : U \rightarrow V$  function ( $U, V$  vector spaces). Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
&T \text{ is linear transformation} \iff \\
&\forall u_1, u_2 \in U \ c \in K, T(cu_1 + u_2) = cT(u_1) + T(u_2)
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** Exercise. □

February 15th 2019

**Def** ("matrix defines a linear transformation") Let  $A \in M_{m \times n}(K)$ .

Define a function  $L_A : K^n \rightarrow K^m$  by

$$L_A(v) = Av \quad (\text{A an } m \times n \text{ matrix, } v \ n \times 1)$$

ie multiply matrix by vector.

**Proposition 24.**  $L_A$  is a linear transformation.

*Proof.* Let  $u, v \in K^n, c \in K$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
L_A(cu + v) &= A(cu + v) \\
&= A(cu) + Av \quad \text{(prop of matrix multiplication)} \\
&= cAu + Av \\
&= cL_A(u) + L_A(v)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Ex  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 4 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $L_A : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ . Calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} L_A(1, 3, -2) &= \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 4 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 2-3-4 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

**Spoiler:** All linear transformations between finite-dim vector spaces can be described in this way, “matrix transformation”.

*Two special linear transformations*

- (1) **Zero transformations:**  $0 : V \rightarrow W$  defined by  $O(v) = \vec{0}$  ( $\vec{0}$  of  $W$ ) for all  $v \in V$ .
- (2) **Identity transformation,**  $I : V \rightarrow V$  (same vector space)  $I(v) = v$  for all  $v \in V$

Both are linear transformations (exercise).

*Kernel and Image (ch. 5.4)*

**Def** Let  $T : V \rightarrow W$  be a linear transformation. Define:

- (i) **Kernel or nullspace** of  $T$ ,

$$\text{Ker}(T) = \{v \in V \mid T(v) = \vec{0}\}$$

**Note:** Always one vector which satisfies this.

- (ii) **Image** of  $T$  is

$$\text{Im}(T) = \{w \in W \mid \exists v \in V \ w = T(v)\}$$

**Note:**  $\text{Ker}(T) \subseteq V$ ,  $\text{Im}(T) \subseteq W$ .

Ex Define  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  by

$$T(x, y) = (x, 0) \quad \text{("proj onto x-axis")}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Ker}(T) &= \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid T(x, y) = (0, 0)\} \\
 &= \{(0, y) \mid y \in \mathbb{R}\} \\
 &= "y - \text{axis}" \\
 \text{Im}(T) &= \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid (x, y) = T(x', y') \text{ some } x', y' \in \mathbb{R}\} \\
 &= \{(x, 0) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\} \\
 &= "x - \text{axis}"
 \end{aligned}$$

**Ex** Define  $D : P_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow P_n(\mathbb{R})$  to be derivative,  $D(f(x)) = f'(x)$ . Find kernel and image of  $D$ .

**Sol** We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Ker}(D) &= \{f \in P_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid f'(x) = 0\} \\
 &= \text{const. polys} \\
 &= \{a \mid a \in \mathbb{R}\} \\
 &= P_0(\mathbb{R})
 \end{aligned}$$

Claim  $\text{Im}(D) = P_{n-1}(\mathbb{R})$ .

*Proof.* Prove inclusion " $\subseteq$ " and " $\supseteq$ ".

- (i) " $\subseteq$ " Let  $f(x) \in \text{Im}(D)$ . Then  $\exists g(x) \in P_n$  s.t.  $f(x) = D(g(x)) = g'(x)$ . Since  $\deg(g) \leq n$ ,  $\deg(f) = \deg(g') \leq n - 1$  (property of differentiation). So  $f(x) \in P_{n-1}$ .
- (ii) " $\supseteq$ " Let  $f(x) \in P_{n-1}$ . Need to find  $g(x) \in P_n$  such that  $D(g(x)) = g'(x) = f(x)$ . Set  $g(x) = \int f(x)dx$ . Know from calculus that the degree of  $g$  is one higher, ie

$$\deg(g(x)) = 1 + \deg(f(x))$$

So  $\deg(g) \leq n$ . So  $g(x) \in P_n$  and  $g'(x) = f(x)$  (calculus).

□

**Theorem 25.** Let  $T : V \rightarrow W$  be linear transformation. Then,

$$(i) \text{Ker}(T) \leq V$$

$$(ii) \text{Im}(T) \leq W$$

Ie they are subspaces.

*Proof.* By direct proof.

- (i)  $T(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}$  always (lin transform) so  $\vec{0} \in \text{Ker}(T)$ . Let  $v_1, v_2 \in \text{Ker}(T), c \in K$ . We know  $T(v_1) = \vec{0}, T(v_2) = \vec{0}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(cv_1 + v_2) &= cT(v_1) + T(v_2) && \text{(T linear)} \\ &= c\vec{0} + \vec{0} \\ &= \vec{0} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $cv_1 + v_2 \in \text{Ker}(T)$ . So  $\text{Ker}(T) \subseteq V$  (we already knew  $\text{Ker}(T) \subseteq V$ )

- (ii)  $T(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}$ , hence  $\vec{0}_w = T(\text{something})$ , ie  $\vec{0}_w \in \text{Im}(T)$ . Let  $w_1, w_2 \in \text{Im}(T), c \in K$ . We know  $w_1 = T(v_1), w_2 = T(v_2)$  for some  $v_1, v_2 \in V$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} cw_1 + w_2 &= cT(v_1) + T(v_2) \\ &= T(cv_1 + v_2) && \text{(T linear)} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $cw_1 + w_2 \in \text{Im}(T)$ . So  $\text{Im}(T) \leq W$ .

□

**Def**  $T : V \rightarrow W$  linear. The *nullity* of  $T$  is  $\dim \text{Ker}(T)$  (dim nullspace). The *rank* of  $T$  is  $\dim \text{Im}(T)$ .

**Note:**  $\text{Ker}(T) \leq V$  so  $\text{nullity}(T) \leq \dim V$ ,  $\text{Im}(T) \leq W$  so  $\text{rank}(T) \leq \dim W$ .

**Ex In**  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ , proj onto x-axis,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}(T) &= y - \text{axis} && \text{(so nullity}(T) = 1) \\ \text{Im}(T) &= x - \text{axis} && \text{(so rank}(T) = 1) \end{aligned}$$

**Ex 2** For  $D : P_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow P_n(\mathbb{R})$ , differentiation.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker } D &= P_0(\mathbb{R}) && \text{(so nullity}(D) = 1) \\ \text{Im } D &= P_{n-1} && \text{(so rank}(D) = n) \end{aligned}$$