MATH223 - Linear Algebra (class notes)

Sandrine Monfourny-Daigneault

McGill University

Contents

1   January 7th 2019

Should know how to solve a linear system and calculate a determinant... things like that.

- Written assignments (5) : 10%

- Webwork assignments (5) : 5%

- Midterm : 20%

- Final : 65%

Textbook: **Schaum's Outline - Linear Algebra**.

1.1   Motivation

We have linear systems, with two equations, like such:

$$3x - 2y + z = 2$$
$$x - y + z = 1$$

There is an algebraic way of seeing this, but we can also see this, from the geometric standpoint, as the intersection of the two planes in R^3. Linear algebra has to do with things that are "flat", like a plane. As soon as we add in exponents to these equations, we get some curvature, and the techniques to solve these are different.

- Linear equations are the simplest kind, so you *must* understand them. Also, you *can* understand 'everything' about them.

- Theory used to describe solutions, etc.

- Linear equations are often used to approximate or model more complicated equations/situations.

- In applications, linear systems are often quite big (10000 equations/variables)

1.2 Complex numbers

Def: Let i be a symbol. We declare $i^2 = -1$.

Now, what we'd like to do is take this symbol i and combine it with the usual real numbers that we are familiar with. We set, for example,

$$3i$$
$$i - 4$$
$$3i - \pi$$
$$\sqrt{i} + 21$$

Def: The field of complex numbers C consists of all expressions of the form $a + bi$, where $a, b \in R$.

Def: Addition (subtraction) and multiplication of complex numbers is defined by the following rules:

(i)

$$(a + bi) + (c + di) = (a + c) + (b + d)i$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned}(a + bi)(c + di) &= ac + adi + bci + bdi^2 \\ &= ac + adi + bci - bd \\ &= (ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i\end{aligned}$$

Notation:

- $0 + bi = bi$

- $a + 0i = a$ (a *real* number)

- $0 + 0i = 0$

Ex: If $z_1 = 2 - i$, $z_2 = 5i$, then

$$z_1 + z_2 = 2 + 4i$$

and

$$z_1 z_2 = (2 - i)(5i) = 10i - 5i^2 = 5 + 10i$$

Def: Let $z = a + bi \in C$

(i) $\bar{z} = a - bi$, called the *complex conjugate* of z

(ii) $|z| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$, called the *absolute value* or *modulus*

Def: If $z = a + bi \in C$ and $z \neq 0$ (ie $z \neq 0 + 0i$), then the number

$$z^{-1} = \frac{\bar{z}}{|z|^2}$$

$$= \frac{a}{a^2 + b^2} - \frac{b}{a^2 + b^2}i$$

is called the (multiplicative) inverse of z. It has the property $zz^{-1} = 1 = z^{-1}z$.

Proof. We have

$$zz^{-1} = (a + bi)(\frac{a}{a^2 + b^2} - \frac{b}{a^2 + b^2}i)$$

$$= \frac{a^2 - abi + abi - b^2 i^2}{a^2 + b^2}$$

$$= \frac{a^2 + 0 + b^2}{a^2 + b^2}$$

$$= 1$$

Note: Since $z \neq 0 + 0i$, $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0$ $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad$ □

Def: If $z, w \in C$ and $z \neq 0$ then

$$\frac{w}{z} = wz^{-1}$$

Ex: If $z = 1 + 2i, w = 3 - i$ then

$$\frac{w}{z} = wz^{-1}$$

$$= (3 - i)(\frac{1}{5} - \frac{2}{5}i)$$

$$= \frac{3}{5} - \frac{6}{5}i - \frac{i}{5} + \frac{2}{5}i^2$$

$$= \frac{3}{5} - \frac{2}{5} - \frac{7}{5}i$$

$$= \frac{1}{5} - \frac{7}{5}i$$

Or,

$$\frac{3 - i}{1 + 2i} \cdot \frac{(1 - 2i)}{(1 - 2i)} = \frac{3 - 6i - i + 2i^2}{1 - 2i + 2i - 4i^2}$$

$$= \frac{1 - 7i}{5}$$

2 January 9th 2019

2.1 Complex numbers as points in R^2

You can view $a + bi$ as a point $(a, b) \in R^2$. The usefulness of this is that we can consider, say, $(3 + 2i)$ and $(3 - i)$ as vectors in R^2, and they will conserve the same properties (addition of complex numbers corresponds to vector addition in R^2). For the interpretation of multiplication to make sense, it's necessary to use polar coordinates.

2.2 Equations with complex numbers

Fact: Every real number $a \neq 0$ has two square roots:

- if $a > 0$, roots $\pm\sqrt{a}$

- if $a < 0$, two roots are $\pm i\sqrt{|a|}$, since:

$$\begin{aligned}(\pm i\sqrt{|a|}) &= i^2(\sqrt{|a|})^2 \\ &= -1 \cdot |a| \\ &= a \qquad\qquad (\text{since } a < 0)\end{aligned}$$

Fact: Quadratic equation $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$ has solution

$$x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$

which may be in C.

Ex: Solve $x^2 - 2x + 3 = 0$, and factor $x^2 - 2x + 3$.
Sol:

$$\begin{aligned}x &= \frac{-2 \pm \sqrt{4 - 4(1)(3)}}{2} \\ &= \frac{2 \pm \sqrt{-8}}{2} \\ &= \frac{2 \pm i\sqrt{8}}{2} \\ &= \frac{2 \pm i2\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ &= 1 \pm i\sqrt{2}\end{aligned}$$

Note: If $ax^2 + bx + c$ has $a, b, c \in R$ has a non-real root, say z, its other root is $\bar{z}$ ($z = a + bi$, $\bar{z} = a - bi$). This is not necessarily true if $a, b, c \in C$.

Back to problem. Factor $x^2 - 2x + 3 = (x - (1 + i\sqrt{2}))(x - (1 - i\sqrt{2}))$.

Caution: -1 has two roots, namely $\pm i$, so you may write $i = \sqrt{-1}$, but be careful:

$$
\begin{aligned}
-1 &= i^2 \\
&= i \cdot i \\
&= \sqrt{-1} \cdot \sqrt{-1} \\
&= \sqrt{(-1)(-1)} \qquad\qquad \text{(this step doesn't quite work)} \\
&= \sqrt{1} \\
&= 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem: (Fundamental Theorem of Algebra) If

$$
p(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \ldots + a_0 n^0
$$

is a polynomial with $a_n \neq 0$, and $a_n, a_{n-1}, \ldots, a_0 \in C$, then $p(x)$ factors into linear factors,

$$
p(x) = a_n \cdot (x - r_1) \cdot (x - r_2) \cdot \ldots \cdot (x - r_n)
$$

for some complex numbers $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n$. Some r_i's may be equal.

Corollary: Every such polynomial has at least one root, and at most n distinct roots.

Note: *Finding* the roots is, in general, quite difficult.

Ex: Factor $2x^3 + 2x$ (over C).
Sol:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2(x^3 + x) &= 2(x - 0)(x^2 + 1) \\
&= 2(x - 0)(x^2 - i^2) \\
&= 2(x - 0)(x - i)(x + i)
\end{aligned}
$$

Ex: Solve $x^2 - i = 0$

Sol: $x^2 = i$ so $x = \pm\sqrt{i}$. Want $\sqrt{i}$ in format $a + bi$, $a, b \in R$.

$$\sqrt{i} = a + bi$$
$$i = (a + bi)^2$$
$$= a^2 + 2abi + b^2 i^2$$
$$0 + i = (a^2 - b^2) + 2abi$$

$$0 = a^2 - b^2$$
$$1 = 2ab$$
$$a = \pm b$$
$$ab = \frac{1}{2} \qquad\qquad \text{(so a=b both + or both -)}$$
$$a^2 = \frac{1}{2}$$
$$a = \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = b$$

Two solutions, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i$ and $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i$.

## 2.3 Vector spaces (Ch 4)

Def. The sets R and C (and also Q, rational numbers, although we won't go into details of this) are called *fields* (or *fields of scalars*). In this class, "a field of K" means that K is either R or C.

3 January 11th 2019

Last time: *Field K is R or C* (for this class).

3.1 Geometric vectors ('arrows')

You can add two vectors (arrows).

Observation: $\vec{u} + \vec{v} = \vec{v} + \vec{u}$.

You can rescale a vector:

Observation: $a(b\vec{u}) = (ab)\vec{u}$.

Also: $1\vec{u} = \vec{u}$

Question: What properties are interesting? What other objects obey the same properties?

Abstraction: Focus on properties more than on the objects.

3.2 Definition of a vector space

Let V be a set, called set of "vectors", and let K be a field (R or C) (elements of K called *scalars*). Assume that we have already defined two operations:

(1) One called *addition*, which takes two vectors $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in V$ and produces another vector denoted $\vec{u} + \vec{v} \in V$.

(2) One called *scalar multiplication* which takes a vector $\vec{u} \in V$ and a scalar $a \in K$ and produces another vector denoted $a\vec{u} \in V$

Then if, for all vectors $\vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{w} \in V$ and all scalars $a, b \in K$, the following 8 properties are true, then V is called a *vector space* (over K).

(A1) $u + v = v + u$ (commutative laws)

(A2) There exists a vector in V, named *zero vector* and denoted 0 (or $\vec{0}$) such that for all $u \in V$, $u + 0 = u$

(A3) For each $u \in V$, there is a vector in V, called the (additive) inverse of u and denoted $-u$, having the property $u + (-u) = 0$ (where 0 is the zero vector defined in A2)

(A4) $(u + v) + w = u + (v + w)$

(SM1) $a(u + v) = au + av$ (distributive laws)

(SM2) $(a + b)u = au + bu$

(SM3) $a(bu) = (ab)u$

(SM4) $1u = u$ ($1 \in R$ or C)

These are called the vector space *axioms*.

3.3 Examples of vector spaces

Some examples:

(1) $K^n = \{(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) | a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in K\}$, with addition defined by

$$(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) + (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n) = (a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, \ldots, a_n + b_n)$$

and scalar multiplication by

$$c(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) = (ca_1, ca_2, \ldots, ca_n)$$

where $c \in K$ (and $K =$ set of scalar).

Proof that K^n is a vector space
Need to prove all 8 properties. We will do 2, the rest are exercises.

(A4) To prove for all $u, v \in V$, $u + v = v + u$.
Proof concept: To prove "for all $x \in A$, something", say "let $x \in A$" (means x is an arbitrary element of A, ie you only know $x \in A$). Then, prove something for that x.
Proof: Let $u, v \in K^n$. This means $u = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$, $v = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n)$ for some $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n \in K$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
u + v &= (a_1, \ldots, a_n) + (b_1, \ldots, b_n) \\
&= (a_1 + b_1, \ldots, a_n + b_n) && \text{(definition of addition in } K^n) \\
&= (b_1 + a_1, \ldots, b_n + a_n) && \text{(since } a + b = b + a \text{ for } R \text{ and } C) \\
&= (b_1, \ldots, b_n) + (a_1, \ldots, a_n) && \text{(definition of addition in } K^n) \\
&= v + u
\end{aligned}$$

(A2) *Proof concept:* To prove "there exists" something, one method is to describe the thing directly.
Define $0 = (0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ (which *is* in K^n). To prove for all $u \in K^n$, $u + 0 = u$, let $u \in K^n$. This means $u = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
u + 0 &= (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n + (0, 0, \ldots, 0) \\
&= (a_1 + 0, a_2 + 0, \ldots, a_n + 0) \\
&= (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \\
&= u
\end{aligned}$$

(2) In the vector space C^2, $(2 + 3i, 5 - 7i) \in C^2$ is an example of a vector and $2i \in C$ is a scalar, so an example of scalar mult is :

$$\begin{aligned}
2i(u) &= 2i(2 + 3i, 5 - 7i) \\
&= (4i + 6i^2, 10i - 14i^2) \\
&= (-6 + 4i, 14 + 10i)
\end{aligned}$$

4   January 14th 2019

Problem: Let $J = \{(x, y)|x \in R, y \in R\}$ but define addition by

$$(x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2) = (-x_1 - x_2, y_1 + y_2)$$

and scalar multiplication by

$$c(x, y) = (cx, cy)$$

Show that J is not a vector space.
Solution: Show *one* of the 8 vector space axioms is false. Consider (A1):

$$(x_2, y_2) + (x_1, y_1) = (-x_2 - x_1, y_2 + y_1)$$

This is actually ok! Now consider (A4):

$$
\begin{aligned}
(x_1, y_1) + ((x_2, y_2) + (x_3, y_3)) &= (x_1, y_1) + (-x_2 - x_3, y_2 + y_3) \\
&= (-x_1 - (-x_2 - x_3), y_1 + y_2 + y_3) \\
&= (-x_1 + x_2 + x_3, y_1 + y_2 + y_3)
\end{aligned}
$$

While

$$
\begin{aligned}
((x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2)) + (x_3, y_3) &= (-x_1 - x_2, y_1 + y_2) + (x_3, y_3) \\
&= (-(-x_1 - x_2) - x_3, y_1 + y_2 + y_3) \\
&= (x_1 + x_2 - x_3, y_1 + y_2 + y_3)
\end{aligned}
$$

This does not quite yet prove that the axiom is false. To do so, give *specific* case where the equation is false.
Actual proof: Let $u = (1, 1)$, $v = (2, 2)$ and $w = (3, 3)$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u + (v + w) &= (1, 1) + ((2, 2) + (3, 3)) \\
&= (1, 1) + (-2 - 3, 5) \\
&= (1, 1) + (-5, 5) \\
&= (-1 + 5, 6) \\
&= (4, 6)
\end{aligned}
$$

Whereas,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(u + v) + w &= ((1, 1) + (2, 2)) + (3, 3) \\
&= (-1 - 2, 3) + (3, 3) \\
&= (-3, 3) + (3, 3) \\
&= (-(-3) - 3, 6) \\
&= (0, 6)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the axiom does not hold.

4.1 More examples of vector spaces

(1) K^n (ie R^n or C^n). See before

(2) $P(K)$ = polynomials, where coefficients are in K. Addition, scalar multiplication are "as expected", ie for multiplication:

$$f(x) = x^2 + 2ix - 4 \quad \in P(C)$$
$$g(x) = -x^2 + cx \quad \in P(C) \qquad \text{(and also in } P(R))$$

For addition,

$$f(x) + g(x) = 3ix - 4$$

And for scalar multiplication,

$$2if(x) = 2ix^2 + 4i^2x - 8i$$
$$= 2ix^2 - 4x - 8i$$

(3) $P_n(K)$ = polynomials of degree n or less, coefficient from K. For example,

$$x^2 - 2x + 2 \in P_2(R)$$
$$x^2 - 2x + 2 \in P_3(R)$$
$$x^2 - 2x + 2 \in P_2(C)$$
$$x^2 - 2x + 2 \notin P_1(R)$$

Note: In $P(K), P_n(K)$ the "vectors" are polynomials.

(4) $M_{m \times n}(K) = m \times n$ matrices with entries from K. Scalars are K, addition and scalar multiplication as expected.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & i \\ 0 & \pi \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(C)$$
$$B = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1+i & -\pi \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(C)$$
$$A + B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1+i \\ 1+i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$2iA = \begin{pmatrix} 4i & 2i^2 \\ 0 & 2i\pi \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 4i & -2 \\ 0 & 2\pi i \end{pmatrix}$$

The "zero vector" in $M_{m \times n}(K)$ is the $m \times n$ matrix with all entries 0.

(5) Let X be any set (think $x = R$ or C, but not required). Define $F(X, K) = \{f : X \to K\}$ = all functions from X to K.

Ex: $f(x) = x^2 \in F(R, R)$.
Ex: Let $x = \{1, 2\}$. Then g defined by

$$g(1) = 3$$
$$g(2) = \sqrt{2}$$

Addition in this space is defined by:
If $f, g \in F(X, K)$ then $f + g$ is the function defined by

$$(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$$

Note that $f(x) \in K$ and $g(x) \in K$, in other words they are *numbers* (scalars). The $+$ in $(f + g)$ is the addition of vectors f and g, while the other $+$ is scalar addition.
Scalar multiplication in this space is defined by: if $f \in F(X, K), c \in K$ then cf is the function defined by

$$(cf)(x) = cf(x)$$

Note that cf is the name of the function, that "multiplication" is scalar multiplication $F(X, \vDash)$ and $cf(x)$ is the multiplication of two scalars (numbers).
The fact that $F(X, K)$ is a vector space and the axioms are followed is not so obvious.
Prove (A2) true for $F(X, K)$. Define $z \in F(X, K)$ by

$$z(x) = 0 \qquad \qquad \text{(for all } x \in X)$$

Note that 0 here is a scalar. Then if $f \in F(X, K)$ is an arbitrary element, then we need to prove $f + z = f$. This is true since for all $x \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(f + z)(x) &= f(x) + z(x) \\
&= f(x) + 0 \\
&= f(x)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $f+z, f$ have the same output (namely $f(x)$) for every input. Hence, $f + z = f$.
Exercise: Try (A3).

5 January 16th 2019

Theorem: ("Cancellation Law") Suppose v is a vector space over K. For all vectors $u, v, w \in V$, if $u + w = v + w$ then $u = v$.
Note: To prove "for all" you say let $u \in V$ (means u is an arbitrary vector).
    To prove "if p then q", denoted $p \rightarrow q$, assume p is true and use it to prove q.

Proof. Let $u, v, w \in V$. Assume $u + w = v + w$. By vector space axiom A3, there is a vector $(-w) \in V$. Add $(-w)$ to both sides:

$$(u + w) + (-w) = (v + w) + (-w)$$
$$u + (w + (-w)) = v + (w + (-w)) \qquad \text{(by A1)}$$
$$u + \vec{0} = v + \vec{0} \qquad \text{(by A3)}$$
$$= u = v \qquad \text{(by A2)}$$

$\square$

Theorem:

1. The zero vector is unique

2. For each $u \in V$, $-u$ is unique

Note: To prove something is unique, suppose you have two of them and show they are the same.

Proof. 1) Assume 0 and z both satisfy the property (A2: $\forall u \in V, u + 0 = u$ (*) and $u + z = u$ (**)). Goal is to prove $0 = z$.

$$z = z + 0 \qquad \text{(by *, with } u = z)$$
$$= 0 + z \qquad \text{(by A4)}$$
$$z = 0 \qquad \text{(by **, with } u = 0)$$

So the zero vector is unique.

2) Exercise.

$\square$

Theorem: $\forall u \in V, c \in K$,

1) $c\vec{0} = \vec{0}$

2) $0u = \vec{0}$

3) $-(cu) = ((-c)u)$

Proof. Of 2). Let $u \in V$. Then,

$$0u + 0u = (0 + 0)u \qquad \text{(By SM2)}$$
$$0u + 0u = 0u \qquad \text{(by R addition)}$$
$$0u + 0u = 0u + \vec{0} \qquad \text{(by A2)}$$
$$0u + 0u = \vec{0} + 0u \qquad \text{(by A4)}$$
$$0u = \vec{0} \qquad \text{(by cancellation law)}$$

$\square$

Note: $0 + u = u$ is true for all $u \in V$ (same as $u + 0 = u$ then apply A4)

13

5.1 Linear combinations and spans

Def: Let $u, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n \in V$. If there are scalars $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in K$ such that $u = a_1 v_1, a_2 v_2 \ldots a_n v_n$ then u is said to be a linear combination of $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$.
Ex: In $P(R)$, $x^2 + 2x - 4$ is a linear comb of $x^2, x, 1$.

Important problem: Given vectors $u, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$, determine if u is a linear combination of $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ and if so find $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$.

Ex: Determine if $f(x) = 2x^2 + 6x + 8$ is a linear combination of

$$g_1(x) = x^2 + 2x + 1$$
$$g_2(x) = -2x^2 - 4x - 2$$
$$g_3(x) = 2x^2 - 3$$

Sol. Are there a_1, a_2, a_3 s.t.

$$2x^2 + 6x + 8 = a_1(x^2 + 2x + 1) + a_2(-2x^2 - 4x - 2) + a_3(2x^2 - 3)$$
$$= (a_1 - 2a_2 + 2a_3)x^2 + (2a_1 - 4a_2)x + (a_1 - 2a_2 - 3a_3)$$

Equating coefficients,

$$a_1 - 2a_2 + 2a_3 = 2$$
$$2a_1 - 4a_2 = 6$$
$$a_1 - 2a_2 - 3a_3 = 8$$

Solve the linear system:

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & -2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & -4 & 0 & 6 \\ 1 & -2 & -3 & 8 \end{array} \right]$$
$$\downarrow$$
$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \qquad \text{(row reduce)}$$

$\therefore$ No solution, because of the last row. f is not a linear combination of g_1, g_2, g_3.

Def: Let $S \subseteq V$ (S is a subset fof V) and assume $s \neq 0$. The span of s, denoted $span(s)$ is the set of all linear combinations of vectors from S, ie

$$span(s) = \{u \in V \mid \exists \, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n \in S$$
$$\text{and scalars } a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \text{ s.t.}$$
$$u = a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + \ldots + a_n v_n\}$$

14

6 January 18th 2019

6.1 Last class

$$S \subseteq V$$
$$span(s) = \{u \in V \mid \exists \, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n \in S$$
$$\text{and scalars } a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \text{ s.t.}$$
$$u = a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + \ldots + a_n v_n\}$$

Ex: $S = \{\binom{1}{2}, \binom{3}{1}\} \subseteq R^2$. Prove $span(S) = R^2$.
Note: $\binom{a}{b}$ means (a, b).
Proof note: To prove two sets A, B are equal, ie $A = B$, you can prove $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$.
Sol:

(1) Prove $span(S) \subseteq R^2$. Trivial, since any linear combination of vectors in R^2 is still in R^2.

(2) Prove $R^2 \subseteq span(S)$. Let $\binom{a}{b} \in R^2$ (arbitrary). To prove that there exists scalars $x_1, x_2 \in K$ so that

$$\binom{a}{b} = x_1 \binom{1}{2} + x_2 \binom{3}{1}$$

In other words,

$$a = x_1 + 3x_2$$
$$b = 2x_1 + x_2$$

Want to show this has a solution (for all a, b). System is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

But,

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 1 - 2(3) \neq 0$$

hence the system has (exactly one) solution. $\binom{a}{b} \in span(S)$ so $R^2 \subseteq span(S)$. So by (1), (2), $span(S) = R^2$. $\quad \square$

Note: $Ax = b$, $A_{n \times n}$ if A inv, $x = A^{-1}b$.
Theorem: Let $S \subseteq V$, $S \neq \emptyset$ ($\emptyset$ = empty set). Then,

(1) If $u, v \in span(S)$ then $u + v \in span(S)$

(2) If $u \in span(S)$ and $c \in K$, then $cu \in span(S)$

15

(3) $\vec{0} \in span(S)$

Proof. By direct proof.

(1) (Note, "if $u, v \in span(S)$" means for all $u, v \in span(S)$).
Let $u, v \in span(S)$. Then,

$$u = a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \ldots + a_n u_n \text{ where } u_1, \ldots, u_n \in S, a_1, \ldots, a_n \in K$$
$$v = b_1 v_1 + b_2 v_2 + \ldots + b_m v_m \text{ where } v_1, \ldots, v_m \in S, b_1, \ldots, b_m \in K$$

Then $u + v = a_1 u_1 + \ldots + a_n u_n + b_1 v_1 + \ldots b_m v_m$ which is in $span(S)$
since $u_1, \ldots, u_n, v_1, \ldots, v_m \in S$.

(2) Let $u \in span(S), c \in K$. Then,

$$u = a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \ldots + a_n u_n \text{ where } u_1, \ldots, u_n \in S, a_1, \ldots, a_n \in K$$

So,

$$cu = c(a_1 u_1) + c(a_2 u_2) + \ldots + c(a_n u_n)$$
$$= (ca_1)u_1 + (ca_2)u_2 + \ldots (c_n a_n)u_n$$

Note: If you want to be very formal, you need to write down all of the
vector space axioms. Which is in $span(S)$ since it is a linear combination
of $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ which are in S.

(3) (Prove $\vec{0} \in span(S)$) Let $u \in S$. **Note**: This is possible only because
$S \neq \emptyset$.
Then $u = 1u$, so $u \in span(S)$. Then using $c = 0$ and (2) and fact that
$u \in span(S)$,
$$cu = 0u = \vec{0}$$
is also in $span(S)$. **Note:** Since $u = 1u$, $S \subseteq span(S)$.

$\square$

6.2 Subspaces

Def. Let V be a vector space and $W \subseteq V$ (subset). If W, using addition and
scalar multiplication as defined in V, satisfies the definition of vector space,
then W is called a subspace of V, denoted $W \leq V$ (less than equal sign, read
as "subspace").
Note: Main issue is that addition and scalar multiplication with vector from
W produce vectors which are still in W.
Theorem: Let $W \subseteq V$. Then, if the following three properties hold, then
$W \leq V$ (subspace).

(SS1) For all $w_1, w_2 \in W$, we have $w_1 + w_2 \in W$ ("closure under addition")

(SS2) For all $w \in W$ and scalars $c \in K$, we have $cw \in W$ ("closure under scalar multiplication")

(SS3) $\vec{0} \in W$.

These are the same properties we just proved for spans; in other words, we proved earlier that $span(S)$ is a subspace.

Proof. For W to have operatios addition, scalar multiplication, just means (SS1) and (SS2) are true. So now, check (A1) - (SM4). Most of them are true because they are true in a larger vector space.

(A1) Let $u, v, w \in W$. Then since $u, v, w \in V$, and (A1) holds in V, $u+(v+w) = (u+v)+w$.

(A2) This is (SS3).

(A3) This is the one we have to do a bit more work for. Let $w \in W$. Want to show $-w \in W$. Then, using (SS2) with $c = -1$ gives

$$-1(w) = -w \qquad \text{(thm from last class)}$$

is in W, as needed.

(A4) Still true because it is true in V.

(SM1-SM4) All hold because they hold in V.

$\square$

7 January 21st 2019

7.1 A note on logic

Let P, Q be statements that are true or false.

(1) "If P then Q", also written symbolically as "$P \Rightarrow Q$" (P *implies* Q) means if P is true, then Q is also true. To *prove* "$P \Rightarrow Q$", assume P and prove Q is true. If you *know* that "$P \Rightarrow Q$" is true, you can *use it*: if you can establish that P is true, you may conclude Q is true.
Ex: Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix:

$$P : dot(A) = 1 \qquad Q : \text{"A is invertible"}$$

Thm: $P \Rightarrow Q$

(2) The *converse* of "$P \Rightarrow Q$" is "$Q \Rightarrow P$". This is a (logically) different statement.
Ex: With P and Q as above, "$Q \Rightarrow P$" is not true because $A_{inv} \not\Rightarrow det(A) = 1$.

(3) The *contrapositive* of "$P \Rightarrow Q$" is "$\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$" ie "if Q false, then P also false". Logically, this is the same as "$P \Rightarrow Q$".

(4) The *equivalence* "P if and only if Q", written "$P \iff Q$" means "$P \Rightarrow Q$ and also $Q \Rightarrow P$" is true. Also means that either both P and Q are true or both are false.
Ex: $det(A) \neq 0 \iff$ A is invertible.
To prove "$P \iff Q$", need to prove "$P \Rightarrow Q$" and "$Q \Rightarrow P$".
Note: $\neg P \Rightarrow \neg Q$ is the same as $Q \Rightarrow P$.

7.2 Subspaces (cont'd)

Thm (last class): Let $W \subseteq V$ (*subset*). If

1. For all $u, v \in W$, $u + v \in W$

2. For all $u \in W$, $c \in K$, $cu \in W$

3. $\vec{0} \in W$

then $W \leq V$ (*subspace*). (ie: $(1), (2), (3)$ are true $\Rightarrow W \leq V$)
Thm. Let $W \subseteq V$. Then

$$W \leq V \Rightarrow (1), (2), (3) \text{ are true}$$

(ie the converse of last theorem is true).
Proof. Exercise.
Thm. Let $W \subseteq V$. Then

$$W \leq V \iff (1), (2), (3) \text{ are true}$$

7.3 Examples of subspaces and non-subspaces

Is each subset a subspace?

(a) $W = \{\binom{1}{2}, \binom{3}{1}\} \subseteq R^2$. Not a subspace, since the zero vector is not in W. The others are also false, but it's enough to prove that one of the statements does not hold. But $span(W) = R^2$ (so $span(W) \leq R^2$)

(b) $W = \{\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in R^3 | x + y - z = 0\}$. Need to check $(1), (2), (3)$:

(1) Let $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{pmatrix} \in W$. Then we know $x+y-z = 0$ and $x'+y'-z' = 0$.
Check:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x + x' \\ y + y' \\ z + z' \end{pmatrix}$$

18

Verify

$$(x + x') + (y + y') - (z + z') = (x + y - z) + (x' + y' - z')$$
$$= 0 + 0$$
$$= 0$$

So yes, it is in W.

(2) Let $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in W$ (means $x + y - z = 0$), let $c \in K$. To prove

$$c \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} cx \\ cy \\ cz \end{pmatrix} \in W$$

Here, $cx + cy - cz = c(x + y - z) = c(0) = 0$. So $c \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in W$

(3) $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in W$, since $0 + 0 - 0 = 0$

Since (1), (2), (3) true, $W \leq R^2$ (subspace)

(c) $W = \{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in R^3 | x + y - z = 1 \}$. This is *not* a subspace. (3) is false.

(d) $W = \{ A \in M_{2 \times 2} | A_{ij} \geq 0 \forall i, j \}$, where A_{ij} is the entry of A in row i, column j. (1) and (3) are true:

(1) Add two matrices with non-negatives entries, result has non-negative entries.

(2) $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in W$

Note, we wrote these out very informally. Now, (2) is false since, for example $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in W$ but

$$(-1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in W$$

7.4   Two special subspaces

Let V be a vector space.

(1) $V \leq V$ is true

(2) $\{\vec{0}\} \leq V$ is true ("zero subspace")

7.5 A refinement on the definition of span

Def. If $S = \emptyset$ (emptyset), define $span(S) = \{\vec{0}\}$ (if $S \neq \emptyset$, $span(S)$ defined as before).

Thm. $span(S) \leq V$.

Proof Two cases :

1. If $S = \emptyset$, $span(S) = \{\vec{0}\} \leq V$

2. If $S \neq \emptyset$, you already proved $span(S)$ satisfies (1), (2), (3).
   So $span(S) \leq V$.

Thm. (improved version of subspace conditions) Let $W \subseteq V$. Then

$$W \leq V \iff W \neq \emptyset \text{ and}$$
$$\forall w_1, w_2 \in W \text{ and } c \in K \text{ we have } cw_1 + w_2 \in W$$

Proof We will actually prove $(1), (2), (3) \iff RHS$ (right-hand side). Two parts to proof.

(1) "$(1), (2), (3) \Rightarrow RHS$" or "$\Rightarrow$"

8 January 23rd 2019

Recap:

(1) If $u, v \in W$ then $u + v \in W$

(2) if $u \in W, c \in K$ then $cu \in W$

(3) $\vec{0} \in W$

Theorem: Let $W \subseteq V$. Then

$$W \leq V \iff W \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \forall u, v \in W, c \in K \text{ we have } cu + v \in W$$

Proof: Suffices to prove $(1), (2), (3) \iff RHS$.

1. $\Rightarrow$ Assume $(1), (2), (3)$ (prove right-hand side). Two things to prove:

   (1) Since $\vec{0} \in W$ (by (3)), $W \neq \emptyset$

   (2) Let $u, v \in W$ and $c \in K$. Since (2) holds, $cu \in W$. Since (1) holds, $cu \in W$ and $v \in W$, so $cu + v \in W$.

2. $\Leftarrow$ Assume RHS, prove (1), (2), (3).

   (1) Let $u, v \in W$. Apply RHS with $\Leftarrow$ to get

   $$cu + v = 1u + v = u + v \in W$$

(2) (Prove $\vec{0} \in W$) Since $W \neq \emptyset$, there is a vector $w \in W$. Apply right-hand side with $u = w$, $v = w$, $c = -1$. So $cu + v = (-1)w + w = -w + w = \vec{0} \in W$.

(3) Let $u \in W, c \in K$. Apply RHS $(cu+v \in W)$ with $u = u$, $c = c$, $v = \vec{0}$ (note: $\vec{0} \in W$ by (3) above). Then $cu + v = cu + \vec{0} = cu \in W$ $\quad\square$

Ex: In $F(R, R) = V$ (functions $f : R \to R$), prove that

$$W = \{f \in V | f(3) = 0\}$$

is a subspace. Eg: $f(x) = (x - 3)e^x \in W$.
Solution: (1), (2) together (by last thm). Let $f, g \in W, c \in R$ (prove $cf + g \in W$). We know $f(3) = 0$ and $g(3) = 0$. Then, check $(cf + g)(3) = cf(3) + g(3) = 0 + 0 = 0$. So $cf + g \in W$.

Also, prove $w \neq \emptyset$. $f(x) = x - 3 \in W$, since $f(3) = 0$ (or, $z(3) = 0$ satisfies $z(3) = 0$ so $z \in W$. Note that z is he zero vector of $F(R, R)$).

Theorem: Let $A \in M_{m \times n}(K), b \in K^m$. Define

$$S = \{x \in K^n | Ax = b\}$$

ie $S =$ solution set to linear system $Ax = b$. Then,

$$S \leq K^n \iff b = \vec{0} \text{ (ie system is homogeneous)}$$

Proof

(i) $\Rightarrow$ Assume $S \leq K^n$. Then $\vec{0}_n \in S$ (by (3)). So $A\vec{0} = b$ but $A\vec{0}_n = \vec{0}_m$ so $\vec{0} - b$.

(ii) $\Leftarrow$ Assume $b = \vec{0}_m$ (prove $S \leq K^n$). Then $A\vec{0}_n = \vec{0}_m$, so $\vec{0}_n \in S$. Next, let $u, v \in S, c \in K$. So $u, v \in K^n$ and $Au = b, Av = b$. Verify $cu + v$ is a solution.

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(cu + v) &= A(cu) + Av && \text{(prop of matrix multiplication)} \\
&= c(Au) + Av && \text{(prop of matrix multiplication)} \\
&= cb + b \\
&= c\vec{0} + \vec{0} \\
&= \vec{0} \\
&= b \quad \square
\end{aligned}
$$

Ex: Equation $ax + by + cz = d$ describes a plane in R^3 (eg $x + y + z = 1$) (and also, every plane can be described this way). That is,

$$\{(x, y, z) \in R^3 | ax + by + z = d\}$$

21

is a plane.

By last thm,

$$P \text{ is a subspace} \iff ax + by + cz = d \text{ is a homogeneous system}$$
$$\iff d = 0$$
$$\iff P \text{ passes through origin } (0,0,0)$$

Theorem: Let $S \subseteq V$. Then,

(1) $span(S) \leq V$ and $S \subseteq span(S)$

(2) If $S \subseteq W$, and $W \leq V$ (subspace) then $span(S) \subseteq W$ (actually, $span(S) \leq W$, subspace by (1))

Proof:

(1) $\leq$ We know already. Let $u \in S$. Then $u = 1u$, so $u \in span(S)$

(2) Assume $S \subseteq W$, and $W \leq V$. Let $v \in span(S)$. Then $v = a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \ldots + a_n u_n$ for some scalars and vectors $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in S$. Since $S \subseteq W$, $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in W$. But W subspace. So $a_1 u_1, a_2 u_2, \ldots, a_n u_n \in W$ (by prop (2) subspace) then $a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 \in W$ (by prop (1) of subspaces). So then $(a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2) + a_3 u_3 \in W$ (etc). So $a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \ldots + a_n u_n \in W$. **Note:** "etc" here is actually a proof by mathematical induction. Omit for now.

9 January 25th 2019

9.1 Interlude : Symbolic logic (briefly)

Let P, Q be statements that could be true (T) or false (F). Define:

(1) $\neg P$, "not P", is F when P is T, T when P is F

(2) $P \wedge Q$, "P and Q", is T exactly when P, Q both T

(3) $P \vee Q$, "P or Q" is T when P, Q both F

(4) $P \Rightarrow Q$, "P implies Q", is T *unless* P is T and Q is F. Hence, $P \Rightarrow Q$ is *equivalent to* $\neg P \vee Q$. We will write $P \Rightarrow Q \equiv \neg P \vee Q$.

(5) $P \iff Q$, "P if and only if Q", is T if both T or both F.

9.1.1 De Morgan's Laws

- $\neg(P \wedge Q) \equiv \neg P \vee \neg Q$

- $\neg(P \vee Q) \equiv \neg P \wedge \neg Q$

9.1.2 Quantifiers

- $\forall$ means "for all"

- $\exists$ means "there exists"

Ex. (A4) (commutativity) $\forall u, v \in V \quad u + v = v + u$.
Ex. 2 (A2) (zero vector) $\exists z \in V \quad \forall u \in V \quad (u + z = u) \wedge (z + u = u)$ (textbook version)

9.1.3 Negating quantifiers

- $\neg \forall u \in V P(u) \equiv \exists u \in V \neg P(u)$

- $\neg \exists u \in V P(u) \equiv \forall u \in V \neg P(u)$

Ex.

$$\begin{aligned}
\neg(A2) &\equiv \neg \exists z \in V \forall u \in V \quad u + z = u \wedge z + u = u \\
&\equiv \forall z \in V \neg \forall u \in V \quad u + z = u \wedge z + u = u \\
&\equiv \forall z \in V \exists u \in V \quad \neg(u + z = u \wedge z + u = u) \\
&\equiv \forall z \in V \exists u \in V \quad (u + z \neq u \vee z + u \neq u)
\end{aligned}$$

9.1.4 Proof by contradiction

You want to prove some statement P. Proof by contradiction works this way:

(1) Assume $\neg P$

(2) Derive a contradiction (hard part)

(3) Conclude P is true

Ex. Outline of how to prove (A2) *does not hold* in some vector space. You want to prove $\neg(A2)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\neg(A2) &\equiv \neg \exists z \in V \ \forall u \in V \quad u + z = u \wedge z + u = u \\
&\equiv \forall z \in V \neg \forall u \in V \quad u + z = u \wedge z + u = u
\end{aligned}$$

Let $z \in V$. Prove the right-hand part $(\neg \forall u \in V \quad u + z = u \wedge z + u = u)$ by contradiction. Assume (for contradiction) that

$$\forall u \in V \quad u + z = u \wedge z + u = u \tag{1}$$

Use (1) by substituting $u =$ some specific vector (derive a contradiction). Conclude that $(\neg \forall u \in V \quad u + z = u \wedge z + u = u)$ is true.

9.2   Last time

Thm. If $S \subseteq W$, $W \leq V$ then $span(S) \subseteq W$.

Note. This means if you "promote" a subset to a subspace, adding in only what's necessary, what you get is $span(S)$. Or, $span(S)$ is the "smallest" subspace containing S.

Fact. Subspaces are "closed under taking linear combinations". Ie if $W \leq V$, $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in W$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in K$ then

$$a_1 w_1 + a_2 w_2 + \ldots + a_n w_n \in W$$

Caution. Linear combinations are *finite* sums by definition. So you can't sum up infinitely many vectors.

9.3   Illustration of this theorem

Let $S = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \} \subseteq W = \{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \, | x, y \in R \}$. Then $span(S) \subseteq W$ ie $span(S)$ is in xy plan. In fact, $span(S) = W$.

Def. If $W = span(S)$, we say that S spans W or is a spanning set for W.

Ex. $S = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \}$, $span(S) = $ xy-plane in R^3. So S spans the xy-plane.

Ex. 2. $S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \}$, $span(S) = \{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \, | x \in R \} = $ line.

9.4   Intersection of two subspaces

Theorem Let $W_1 \leq V, W_2 \leq V$. Then $W_1 \cap W_2 \leq V$ (ie intersection of two subspaces is a subspace).

Proof. $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{ w \in V \, | w \in W_1 \wedge w \in W_2 \}$.

(1) $\vec{0} \in W_1, \vec{0} \in W_2$ (because subspace). So $\vec{0} \in W_1 \cap W_2$.

(2) Let $u, v \in W_1 \cap W_2, c \in K$. So $u, v \in W_1$ and $W_1 \in V$ so $cu + v \in W_1$ and $u, v \in W_2$ and $W_2 \in V$ so $cu + v \in W_2$. Hence $cu + v \in W_1 \cap W_2$. $\square$