

Vowel duration and aspiration effects in Icelandic

Stefano Coretta

August 6, 2016

Chapter 1

Methodology

1.1 Participants

For this study, I recruited six Icelandic speakers who were living in York (UK) when the recordings were made. Recruitment was done through University channels, the Icelandic Embassy in London and the York Anglo Scandinavian Society. All the participants were native speakers of Icelandic, above 18 years old and claimed to have normal hearing and speech abilities. The information on each participant is given in Table 1.1. Participant JR had to be excluded from the analysis since he misunderstood the task, while part of participant SHG's task was lost due to a technical fault in the recording equipment.

1.2 Materials

The material used in the task consisted of a list of Icelandic words (the “target words”) with the following forms: (C)VCC (monosyllabic) and (C)VCCV (bisyllabic). The list of target words is given in Appendix A. The target words were selected so as to control for as many of the following aspects as possible: phonation, manner and place of articulation of consonants following the target vowel; height and frontness of the target vowel; phonation, manner and place of articulation of consonants preceding the target vowel; and height and frontness of the eventual word-final vowel.

Table 1.1: Information on participants

id	sex	age	born	city	languages	abroad
TT	female	24	Reykjavik	Reykjavik	English, Danish, German	Yes
BRS	female	25	Hofn	Hofn	Danish, English, Spanish	Yes
BTE	female	27	Reykjavik	Reykjavik	English, Danish	Yes
JJ	female	46	Reykjavik	Kopavogur	English, Danish	Yes
SHG	male	25	Selfoss	Selfoss	English	No
JR	male	66	Reykjavik	York	English	Yes

Control over these parameters was prioritised according to the order in which they were presented here. Unfortunately, obtaining a well controlled word list proved to be extremely difficult and several compromises have been made.

1.3 Procedure

The target words were embedded in the frame sentence *Segðu __ aftur*, ‘Say __ again.’ This sentence was chosen with the aid of one of the participants so as to control for naturalness, number of syllables and phonetic contexts preceding and following the target word, and phrase stress. The participants were asked to read aloud the sentences with the target words shown on a computer screen. They were advised to speak as naturally as possible, while keeping the same volume and pace. They did not familiarise themselves with the word list before starting the task. The decision of not showing the words beforehand was made to reduce the speakers’ control over their speech. The task was presented through the software PsychoPy (Peirce, 2009), on a Apple MacBook Pro (mid 2014 model). Each sentence was shown three times consecutively and the order of appearance was randomised across subjects. The reading task was self-paced; the participant read a sentence shown on the screen and moved to the next sentence when ready by pressing the space bar.

Appendix A

Word list

Table A.1: List of target words

word	IPA	word	IPA
kokk	k ^h o ^h k	kembt	kem̩t
gogg	kokk	kembdi	kem̩tɪ
dökk	tœ ^h k	kampa	k ^h am̩pa
dögg	tœkk	kamba	k ^h ampa
kopp	k ^h o ^h p	kempa	k ^h em̩pa
kubb	k ^h ypp	kemba	k ^h empa
vítt	vi ^h t	punta	p ^h ynta
vídd	vitt	punda	p ^h ynta
þítt	θi ^h t	vanta	van̩ta
þíddi	θittɪ	vanda	vanta
fætt	fai ^h t	fínn	fit̩n̩
fæddi	faittɪ	kinn	k ^h inn
ýtt	i ^h t	duld	tylt
ydd	itt	dult	tylt
ótt	ou ^h t	gelta	kel̩ta
odd	ott	gelda	kelta
sets	sess	orka	or̩ka
sett	se ^h t	orga	orka
feits	feiss	mjólká	m̩jou̩lka
feitt	fei ^h t	ólga	oulka
vots	voss	hefna	hepna
vott	vo ^h t	vopna	vo ^h pna
takka	t ^h a ^h ka	nafla	napla
kagga	k ^h akka	japla	ja ^h pla
detta	te ^h ta	kafli	kaplɪ
gedda	ketta	kapli	ka ^h plɪ
kamp	k ^h am̩p	tefla	tepla
kamb	k ^h am̩p	tipla	ti ^h pla
punt	p ^h ynt		
pund	p ^h ynt		

Bibliography

Peirce, J. W. (2009). Generating stimuli for neuroscience using PsychoPy. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics* 2(10).