DNN Speech Recognizer

REVIEW

CODE REVIEW 5

HISTORY

Meets Specifications

Congratulations for passing this project!
Good luck with future submissions :)

To really build a good speech recognition model using DNN's, I would urge you to see the following links:

https://research.google.com/pubs/archive/38131.pdf
https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/CNN_ASLPTrans2-14.pdf

STEP 2: Model 0: RNN

The submission trained the model for at least 20 epochs, and none of the loss values in `model_0.pickle` are undefined. The trained weights for the model specified in `simple_rnn_model` are stored in `model_0.h5`.

This simple model doesn't fit the data very well and thus the loss is very high.

STEP 2: Model 1: RNN + TimeDistributed Dense

The submission includes a `sample_models.py` file with a completed `rnn_model` module containing the

correct architecture.

Adding batch normalization and a time distributed layer improves the loss by a lot! Try different units here, SimpleRNN, LSTM, and GRU to see how their performance differs.

The submission trained the model for at least 20 epochs, and none of the loss values in `model_1.pickle` are undefined. The trained weights for the model specified in `rnn_model` are stored in `model_1.h5`.

STEP 2: Model 2: CNN + RNN + TimeDistributed Dense

The submission includes a `sample_models.py` file with a completed `cnn_rnn_model` module containing the correct architecture.

These models are very powerful but have a tendency to severely overfit the data. You can add dropout to combat this.

The submission trained the model for at least 20 epochs, and none of the loss values in `model_2.pickle` are undefined. The trained weights for the model specified in `cnn_rnn_model` are stored in `model_2.h5`.

STEP 2: Model 3: Deeper RNN + TimeDistributed Dense

The submission includes a `sample_models.py` file with a completed `deep_rnn_model` module containing the correct architecture.

Adding additional layers allows your network to capture more complex sequence representations, but also makes it more prone to over tting. You can add dropout to combat this.

The submission trained the model for at least 20 epochs, and none of the loss values in `model_3.pickle` are undefined. The trained weights for the model specified in `deep_rnn_model` are stored in `model_3.h5`.

STEP 2: Model 4: Bidirectional RNN + TimeDistributed Dense

The submission includes a `sample_models.py` file with a completed `bidirectional_rnn_model` module

containing the correct architecture.

These models tend to converge quickly. They take advantage of future information through the forward and backward processing of data.

The submission trained the model for at least 20 epochs, and none of the loss values in `model_4.pickle` are undefined. The trained weights for the model specified in `bidirectional_rnn_model` are stored in `model_4.h5`.

STEP 2: Compare the Models

The submission includes a detailed analysis of why different models might perform better than others.

This is a pretty good analysis of each individual model and explains why different models perform better than others.
Additionally, you can also compare these in a table by looking at things such as overfitting, the number of parameters that need to be tuned, and the training time for each individual model.
For Speech Recognition models, Batch Normalization and the TimeDistributedDense layer are very useful in general.

STEP 2: Final Model

The submission trained the model for at least 20 epochs, and none of the loss values in `model_end.pickle` are undefined. The trained weights for the model specified in `final_model` are stored in `model_end.h5`.

You can use the stopping callback function in keras where you don't have to manually tune the number of epochs :). You can also consider adding more dropout and recurrent dropouts.

The submission includes a `sample_models.py` file with a completed `final_model` module containing a final architecture that is not identical to any of the previous architectures.

The submission includes a detailed description of how the final model architecture was designed.

Nice job. Your reasoning is sound here. Did the model perform as well as you thought it would? Why or why not?

DOWNLOAD PROJECT

5 CODE REVIEW COMMENTS ❯

RETURN TO PATH

Rate this review