Study	I^2	1	Mean Difference (95% Crl)	
drops_acet30 vs drops				
Seifi 2013		- 	-0.80 (-1.9, 0.27)	
Pooled (pair–wise)		-	–0.80 (–3.9, 2.3) NA	
Indirect (back-calculated) Pooled (network)			-0.21 (-2.7, 2.3)	
drops_ebm_mult vs drop	ns		0.21 (2.11, 2.0)	
Rosali 2015	50		-2.6 (-3.7, -1.5)	
Pooled (pair-wise)		←	-2.6 (-5.7, 0.52)	
Indirect (back-calculated)		<i>i</i> ⊙	-2.4 (-5.6, 0.83)	
Pooled (network)	0.0%		-2.5 (-4.8, -0.29)	
drops_sweet vs drops				
Gal 2005			-2.2 (-3.7, -0.67)	
Xin 2016		0	-3.2 (-3.6, -2.8)	
Seifi 2013			-4.7 (-5.6, -3.8)	
Pooled (pair–wise)	81.7%	-	-3.4 (-5.2, -1.6)	
Indirect (back-calculated) Pooled (network)	82.0%		NA -3.5 (-5.0, -1.9)	
drops_sweet_mult vs dr		•	-3.3 (-3.0, -1.9)	
Zeraati 2016	ops		-3.6 (-4.3, -2.9)	
Pooled (pair-wise)		<u> </u>	-3.6 (-6.6, -0.59)	
Indirect (back-calculated)		<i></i>	-3.8 (-7., -0.54)	
Pooled (network)	0.0%	←	-3.7 (-5.9, -1.5)	
drops_WFDRI vs drops				
Dhaliwal 2010		-	-0.20 (-0.74, 0.34)	
Pooled (pair-wise)			-0.19 (- 3.2, 2.8)	
Indirect (back-calculated)			NA	
Pooled (network)		-	-0.20 (-2.9, 2.5)	
placebo vs drops				
Cogen 2011			→ 1.6 (-1.9, 5.1)	
Marsh 2005	0.00/		2.5 (1.2, 3.8)	
Pooled (pair–wise) Indirect (back–calculated)	0.0%		- 2.2 (–0.40, 4.7) NA	
Pooled (network)	0.0%		2.3 (-0.15, 4.6)	
sweet vs drops	0.070		2.5 (0.10, 1.0)	
Nesargi 2015			-1.3 (-3., 0.37)	
Pooled (pair-wise)			-1.3 (-4.6, 2.0)	
Indirect (back-calculated)			NA Ý	
Pooled (network)			-1.3 (-4.3, 1.7)	
drops_sweet vs drops_acet30				
Seifi 2013		← →	-3.9 (-5.3, -2.6)	
Pooled (pair-wise)		←	-3.9 (-7.1, -0.70)	
Indirect (back-calculated)			NA	
Pooled (network)			^{−3.3} (−5.8, −0.73)	
		- 5 0	5	

Study	I^2	N	Mean Difference (95% Crl)	
drops_phys vs drops_ebm_mult				
SenerTaplak 2017 Pooled (pair–wise) Indirect (back–calculated) Pooled (network)	13.3%		-0.18 (-1.7, 1.4) -0.19 (-3.5, 3.1) 1.3 (-1.8, 4.4) 0.60 (-1.7, 2.8)	
drops_sweet_mult vs dr	ops_ebm_mult			
SenerTaplak 2017 Pooled (pair–wise) Indirect (back–calculated) Pooled (network)	0.0%	•	-0.49 (-2.4, 1.4) -0.48 (-3.9, 3.) -1.6 (-4.3, 1.2) -1.2 (-3.3, 0.99)	
drops_sweet vs drops_N2O_sweet				
Mandel 2012 Pooled (pair–wise) Indirect (back–calculated) Pooled (network)			-0.100 (-1.7, 1.5) -0.096 (-3.4, 3.2) NA -0.095 (-3.1, 2.9)	
drops_sweet_mult vs dr	ops_phys			
Dilli 2014 Grabska 2005 Ilarslan 2012 Mitchell 2004 SenerTaplak 2017 Pooled (pair–wise) Indirect (back–calculated) Pooled (network)	72.9% 73.2%		-2.7 (-3.7, -1.7) -0.00067 (-2.1, 2.1) -2.7 (-3.8, -1.6) -2.6 (-4.4, -0.80) -0.30 (-1.6, 1.0) -1.8 (-3.2, -0.30) NA -1.8 (-3.0, -0.42)	
sweet_rep vs placebo				
Benzer 2015 Pooled (pair–wise) Indirect (back–calculated) Pooled (network)		→	-2.4 (-4.3, -0.54) -2.4 (-5.8, 1.0) NA -2.4 (-5.4, 0.58)	
sweet_sing vs placebo				
Benzer 2015 Pooled (pair–wise) Indirect (back–calculated) Pooled (network)		←	-2.2 (-4.3, -0.077) -2.2 (-5.7, 1.4) NA -2.2 (-5.2, 0.87)	
sweet_sing vs sweet_rep				
Benzer 2015 Pooled (pair–wise) Indirect (back–calculated) Pooled (network)		-5 0	0.20 (-1.7, 2.1) 0.18 (-3.2, 3.7) NA 0.19 (-2.8, 3.2)	
			~	