Study	I^2		Mean Difference (95% Crl)
drops_acet30 vs drops			
Seifi 2013		<del>-</del>	0.20 (-1.1, 1.5)
Pooled (pair-wise)			— 0.19 (–6.2, 6.7)
Indirect (back-calculated)			NA
Pooled (network)			-0.56 (-5.8, 4.7)
drops_acet60 vs drops			
Manjunatha 2009		<del></del>	-1.6 (-4.8, 1.5)
Pooled (pair-wise)		<del>-</del>	-1.6 (-8.6, 5.4)
Indirect (back-calculated)			NA
Pooled (network)		<b>←</b>	-1.6 (-7.6, 4.5)
drops_ebm_mult vs drops			
Rosali 2015		<b>←</b>	-6.2 (-7.6, -4.8)
Pooled (pair-wise)		←	-6.2 ( -13., 0.28)
Indirect (back-calculated)		<i></i>	-4.9 (-11., 1.6)
Pooled (network)	0.0%	<b>←</b>	-5.6 (-10., -0.99)
drops_morph vs drops			
Manjunatha 2009		<del></del>	-2.7 (-5.3, -0.12)
Pooled (pair-wise)		<del>-</del>	-2.7 (-9.5, 4.1) <sup>*</sup>
Indirect (back-calculated)			NA
Pooled (network)		<b>←</b>	-2.7 (-8.6, 3.2)
drops_sweet vs drops			
Costa 2013		<del></del>	-5.7 (-6.4, -5.)
Gal 2005		<del>-0 </del>	-0.60 (-1.6, 0.37)
Seifi 2013		<del></del>	-0.90 (-2.5, 0.73)
Pooled (pair-wise)	97.8%	<del></del>	-2.5 (-6.2, 1.3)
Indirect (back-calculated)			NA
Pooled (network)	97.8%	<del></del>	-2.5 (-5.7, 0.87)
drops_sweet_mult vs dr	ops		
Zeraati 2016		<del>-0-</del>	-3.5 (-4.3, -2.7)
Pooled (pair-wise)		<b>←</b>	-3.5 (-9.9, 3.0)
Indirect (back-calculated)		<i>∴</i> ····⊙······	-4.7 (-11., 1.8)
Pooled (network)	57.5%	<del>-</del>	-4.1 (-8.7, 0.46)
placebo vs drops			
Marsh 2005		<del>  0                                   </del>	1.2 (-0.13, 2.5)
Pooled (pair-wise)			→ 1.2 (-5.3, 7.7)
Indirect (back-calculated)			NA
Pooled (network)			<b>1.2</b> (-4.6, 6.9)
sweet vs drops			
Nesargi 2015		<del></del>	0.90 (-1.2, 3.0)
Pooled (pair-wise)			→ 0.89 (-5.8, 7.6)
Indirect (back-calculated)			NA
Pooled (network)		-	0.89 (–5.1, 6.8)
		-7 0	7

