Fundamentals of Database Systems COMPSCI 351

Instructor: Sebastian Link

The University of Auckland

Relational Query Languages: Calculus

Logic is the beginning of wisdom, not the end.

— Spock

Logic is the beginning of wisdom, not the end.

— Spock

Logic is a science prior to all others, which contains the ideas and principles underlying all sciences.

- Kurt Gödel

	Logic i	is the	beginning	of	wisdom,	not	the	end.
--	---------	--------	-----------	----	---------	-----	-----	------

— Spock

Logic is a science prior to all others, which contains the ideas and principles underlying all sciences.

— Kurt Gödel

Logic will get you from A to B. Imagination will take you everywhere.

- Albert Einstein

Consider our database schema from before

- MOVIE(title, year, country, run_time, genre), DIRECTOR(id, title, year)
- Person(id, first_name, last_name, year_born), Actor(id, title, year, role)

Consider our database schema from before

- MOVIE(title, year, country, run_time, genre), DIRECTOR(id, title, year)
- Person(id, first_name, last_name, year_born), Actor(id, title, year, role)

Query in English

What are the movies directed by 'Akira Kurosawa'?

Consider our database schema from before

- MOVIE(title, year, country, run_time, genre), DIRECTOR(id, title, year)
- Person(id, first_name, last_name, year_born), Actor(id, title, year, role)

Query in English

What are the movies directed by 'Akira Kurosawa'?

SQL

FROM MOVIE m, DIRECTOR d, PERSON p

,

Consider our database schema from before

- MOVIE(title, year, country, run_time, genre), DIRECTOR(id, title, year)
- Person(id, first_name, last_name, year_born), Actor(id, title, year, role)

Query in English

What are the movies directed by 'Akira Kurosawa'?

SQL

FROM MOVIE m, DIRECTOR d, PERSON p
WHERE m.title=d.title AND m.year=d.year AND

 $\label{eq:did_p.id_AND_p.first_name} $$ d.id=p.id_AND_p.first_name='Akira'_AND_p.last_name='Kurosawa';$

Consider our database schema from before

- MOVIE(title, year, country, run_time, genre), DIRECTOR(id, title, year)
- Person(id, first_name, last_name, year_born), Actor(id, title, year, role)

Query in English

What are the movies directed by 'Akira Kurosawa'?

SQL

SELECT m.title, m.year

FROM MOVIE m, DIRECTOR d, PERSON p
WHERE m.title=d.title AND m.year=d.year AND

did-nid AND n first name-'Akira' AND n

 $d.id = p.id \ AND \ p.first_name = 'Akira' \ AND \ p.last_name = 'Kurosawa';$

Consider our database schema from before

- MOVIE(title, year, country, run_time, genre), DIRECTOR(id, title, year)
- Person(id, first_name, last_name, year_born), Actor(id, title, year, role)

Consider our database schema from before

- MOVIE(title, year, country, run_time, genre), DIRECTOR(id, title, year)
- Person(id, first_name, last_name, year_born), Actor(id, title, year, role)

Query in English

What are the movies directed by 'Akira Kurosawa'?

Consider our database schema from before

- MOVIE(title, year, country, run_time, genre), DIRECTOR(id, title, year)
- Person(id, first_name, last_name, year_born), Actor(id, title, year, role)

Query in English

What are the movies directed by 'Akira Kurosawa'?

Relational algebra

Movie Director Person

Consider our database schema from before

- MOVIE(title, year, country, run_time, genre), DIRECTOR(id, title, year)
- Person(id, first_name, last_name, year_born), Actor(id, title, year, role)

Query in English

What are the movies directed by 'Akira Kurosawa'?

Relational algebra

Movie ⋈ Director ⋈ Person

Consider our database schema from before

- MOVIE(title, year, country, run_time, genre), DIRECTOR(id, title, year)
- Person(id, first_name, last_name, year_born), Actor(id, title, year, role)

Query in English

What are the movies directed by 'Akira Kurosawa'?

Relational algebra

 $\sigma_{\mathsf{first_name}=\mathsf{'Akira'}}(\mathsf{Movie} \bowtie \mathsf{Director} \bowtie \mathsf{Person})$

Consider our database schema from before

- MOVIE(title, year, country, run_time, genre), DIRECTOR(id, title, year)
- Person(id, first_name, last_name, year_born), Actor(id, title, year, role)

Query in English

What are the movies directed by 'Akira Kurosawa'?

Relational algebra

 $\sigma_{\mathsf{last_name}='\mathsf{Kurosawa'}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{first_name}='\mathsf{Akira'}}(\mathsf{Movie}\bowtie\mathsf{Director}\bowtie\mathsf{Person}))$

Consider our database schema from before

- MOVIE(title, year, country, run_time, genre), DIRECTOR(id, title, year)
- Person(id, first_name, last_name, year_born), Actor(id, title, year, role)

Query in English

What are the movies directed by 'Akira Kurosawa'?

Relational algebra

 $\pi_{\mathsf{title},\mathsf{year}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{last_name}=\mathsf{'Kurosawa'}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{first_name}=\mathsf{'Akira'}}(\mathsf{MOVIE}\bowtie\mathsf{DIRECTOR}\bowtie\mathsf{PERSON})))$

Consider our database schema from before

- MOVIE(title, year, country, run_time, genre), DIRECTOR(id, title, year)
- Person(id, first_name, last_name, year_born), Actor(id, title, year, role)

Consider our database schema from before

- MOVIE(title, year, country, run_time, genre), DIRECTOR(id, title, year)
- Person(id, first_name, last_name, year_born), Actor(id, title, year, role)

Query in English

What are the movies directed by 'Akira Kurosawa'?

Consider our database schema from before

- MOVIE(title, year, country, run_time, genre), DIRECTOR(id, title, year)
- Person(id, first_name, last_name, year_born), Actor(id, title, year, role)

Query in English

What are the movies directed by 'Akira Kurosawa'?

```
\{ & \qquad \qquad \text{MOVIE}(x_{\text{title}}, x_{\text{year}}, x_{\text{country}}, x_{\text{run\_time}}, x_{\text{genre}}) \\ & \qquad \qquad \text{DIRECTOR}(x_{\text{id}}, x_{\text{title}}, x_{\text{year}}) & \qquad \text{PERSON}(x_{\text{id}}, '\text{Akira'}, '\text{Kurosawa'}, x_{\text{year\_born}}) \ \}
```

Consider our database schema from before

- MOVIE(title, year, country, run_time, genre), DIRECTOR(id, title, year)
- Person(id, first_name, last_name, year_born), Actor(id, title, year, role)

Query in English

What are the movies directed by 'Akira Kurosawa'?

Consider our database schema from before

- MOVIE(title, year, country, run_time, genre), DIRECTOR(id, title, year)
- Person(id, first_name, last_name, year_born), Actor(id, title, year, role)

Query in English

What are the movies directed by 'Akira Kurosawa'?

```
 | \exists x_{\text{country}}, x_{\text{run\_time}}, x_{\text{genre}}, x_{\text{id}}, x_{\text{year\_born}} (\text{MOVIE}(x_{\text{title}}, x_{\text{year}}, x_{\text{country}}, x_{\text{run\_time}}, x_{\text{genre}}) \land \\ \text{DIRECTOR}(x_{\text{id}}, x_{\text{title}}, x_{\text{year}}) \land \text{PERSON}(x_{\text{id}}, '\text{Akira'}, '\text{Kurosawa'}, x_{\text{year\_born}})) \}
```

Consider our database schema from before

- MOVIE(title, year, country, run_time, genre), DIRECTOR(id, title, year)
- Person(id, first_name, last_name, year_born), Actor(id, title, year, role)

Query in English

What are the movies directed by 'Akira Kurosawa'?

```
 \{ (x_{\mathsf{title}}, x_{\mathsf{year}}) \mid \exists x_{\mathsf{country}}, x_{\mathsf{run\_time}}, x_{\mathsf{genre}}, x_{\mathsf{id}}, x_{\mathsf{year\_born}} (\mathsf{MOVIE}(x_{\mathsf{title}}, x_{\mathsf{year}}, x_{\mathsf{country}}, x_{\mathsf{run\_time}}, x_{\mathsf{genre}}) \land \\ \mathsf{DIRECTOR}(x_{\mathsf{id}}, x_{\mathsf{title}}, x_{\mathsf{year}}) \land \mathsf{PERSON}(x_{\mathsf{id}}, '\mathsf{Akira'}, '\mathsf{Kurosawa'}, x_{\mathsf{year\_born}})) \}
```

Relation Schema

 $\label{eq:def:def:Director} \begin{subarray}{ll} DIRECTOR = \{name, movie, role\} \end{subarray}$

Query in English:

Which directors acted in all of their movies in precisely one role?

In Relational algebra:

directors who acted in all their movies, and in only one role in all their movies

$$(\pi_{\mathsf{name}}(\mathsf{DIRECTOR}) - \pi_{\mathsf{name}}(\mathsf{DIRECTOR} - \pi_{\mathsf{name},\mathsf{movie}}(\mathsf{DIRECTOR} \bowtie \mathsf{ACTOR}))) \bowtie \\ (\pi_{\mathsf{name}}(\mathsf{ACTOR}) - \pi_{\mathsf{name}}((\mathsf{ACTOR} \bowtie \delta_{\mathsf{role} \mapsto \mathsf{role}'}(\mathsf{ACTOR})) - \sigma_{\mathsf{role} = \mathsf{role}'}(\mathsf{ACTOR} \bowtie \delta_{\mathsf{role} \mapsto \mathsf{role}'}(\mathsf{ACTOR}))))$$

© Professor Sebastian Link

Relation Schema

DIRECTOR={name, movie} and ACTOR={name, movie, role}

Query in English:

Which directors acted in all of their movies in precisely one role?

In Relational algebra:

directors who acted in all their movies, and in only one role in all their movies

```
(\pi_{\mathsf{name}}(\mathsf{DIRECTOR}) - \pi_{\mathsf{name}}(\mathsf{DIRECTOR} - \pi_{\mathsf{name},\mathsf{movie}}(\mathsf{DIRECTOR} \bowtie \mathsf{ACTOR}))) \bowtie \\ (\pi_{\mathsf{name}}(\mathsf{ACTOR}) - \pi_{\mathsf{name}}((\mathsf{ACTOR} \bowtie \delta_{\mathsf{role} \mapsto \mathsf{role}'}(\mathsf{ACTOR})) - \sigma_{\mathsf{role} = \mathsf{role}'}(\mathsf{ACTOR} \bowtie \delta_{\mathsf{role} \mapsto \mathsf{role}'}(\mathsf{ACTOR}))))
```

Directors acting in some of their movies

Relation Schema

DIRECTOR={name, movie} and ACTOR={name, movie, role}

Query in English:

Which directors acted in all of their movies in precisely one role?

In Relational algebra:

directors who acted in all their movies, and in only one role in all their movies

$$(\pi_{\mathsf{name}}(\mathsf{DIRECTOR}) - \pi_{\mathsf{name}}(\mathsf{DIRECTOR} - \pi_{\mathsf{name},\mathsf{movie}}(\mathsf{DIRECTOR} \bowtie \mathsf{ACTOR}))) \bowtie \\ (\pi_{\mathsf{name}}(\mathsf{ACTOR}) - \pi_{\mathsf{name}}((\mathsf{ACTOR} \bowtie \delta_{\mathsf{role} \mapsto \mathsf{role}'}(\mathsf{ACTOR})) - \sigma_{\mathsf{role} = \mathsf{role}'}(\mathsf{ACTOR} \bowtie \delta_{\mathsf{role} \mapsto \mathsf{role}'}(\mathsf{ACTOR}))))$$

Names of directors with movie in which they did not act

Relation Schema

DIRECTOR={name, movie} and ACTOR={name, movie, role}

Query in English:

Which directors acted in all of their movies in precisely one role?

In Relational algebra:

directors who acted in all their movies, and in only one role in all their movies

$$(\pi_{\mathsf{name}}(\mathsf{DIRECTOR}) - \pi_{\mathsf{name}}(\mathsf{DIRECTOR} - \pi_{\mathsf{name},\mathsf{movie}}(\mathsf{DIRECTOR} \bowtie \mathsf{ACTOR}))) \bowtie \\ (\pi_{\mathsf{name}}(\mathsf{ACTOR}) - \pi_{\mathsf{name}}((\mathsf{ACTOR} \bowtie \delta_{\mathsf{role} \mapsto \mathsf{role}'}(\mathsf{ACTOR})) - \sigma_{\mathsf{role} = \mathsf{role}'}(\mathsf{ACTOR} \bowtie \delta_{\mathsf{role} \mapsto \mathsf{role}'}(\mathsf{ACTOR}))))$$

Names of directors that do not have movies in which they did not act

Relation Schema

DIRECTOR={name, movie} and ACTOR={name, movie, role}

Query in English:

Which directors acted in all of their movies in precisely one role?

In Relational algebra:

directors who acted in all their movies, and in only one role in all their movies

```
(\pi_{\mathsf{name}}(\mathsf{DIRECTOR}) - \pi_{\mathsf{name}}(\mathsf{DIRECTOR} - \pi_{\mathsf{name},\mathsf{movie}}(\mathsf{DIRECTOR} \bowtie \mathsf{ACTOR}))) \bowtie \\ (\pi_{\mathsf{name}}(\mathsf{ACTOR}) - \pi_{\mathsf{name}}((\mathsf{ACTOR} \bowtie \delta_{\mathsf{role} \mapsto \mathsf{role}'}(\mathsf{ACTOR})) - \sigma_{\mathsf{role} = \mathsf{role}'}(\mathsf{ACTOR} \bowtie \delta_{\mathsf{role} \mapsto \mathsf{role}'}(\mathsf{ACTOR}))))
```

Actors who played different roles in the same movie

Relation Schema

DIRECTOR={name, movie} and ACTOR={name, movie, role}

Query in English:

Which directors acted in all of their movies in precisely one role?

In Relational algebra:

directors who acted in all their movies, and in only one role in all their movies

$$(\pi_{\mathsf{name}}(\mathsf{DIRECTOR}) - \pi_{\mathsf{name}}(\mathsf{DIRECTOR} - \pi_{\mathsf{name},\mathsf{movie}}(\mathsf{DIRECTOR} \bowtie \mathsf{ACTOR}))) \bowtie \\ (\pi_{\mathsf{name}}(\mathsf{ACTOR}) - \pi_{\mathsf{name}}((\mathsf{ACTOR} \bowtie \delta_{\mathsf{role} \mapsto \mathsf{role}'}(\mathsf{ACTOR})) - \sigma_{\mathsf{role} = \mathsf{role}'}(\mathsf{ACTOR} \bowtie \delta_{\mathsf{role} \mapsto \mathsf{role}'}(\mathsf{ACTOR}))))$$

Name of actors who played only one role in their movies

Relation Schema

DIRECTOR={name, movie} and ACTOR={name, movie, role}

Query in English:

Which directors acted in all of their movies in precisely one role?

In Relational algebra:

directors who acted in all their movies, and in only one role in all their movies

$$(\pi_{\mathsf{name}}(\mathsf{DIRECTOR}) - \pi_{\mathsf{name}}(\mathsf{DIRECTOR} - \pi_{\mathsf{name},\mathsf{movie}}(\mathsf{DIRECTOR} \bowtie \mathsf{ACTOR}))) \bowtie \\ (\pi_{\mathsf{name}}(\mathsf{ACTOR}) - \pi_{\mathsf{name}}((\mathsf{ACTOR} \bowtie \delta_{\mathsf{role} \mapsto \mathsf{role}'}(\mathsf{ACTOR})) - \sigma_{\mathsf{role} = \mathsf{role}'}(\mathsf{ACTOR} \bowtie \delta_{\mathsf{role} \mapsto \mathsf{role}'}(\mathsf{ACTOR}))))$$

Directors who acted in all their movies and only in one role

Relation Schema

DIRECTOR={name, movie} and ACTOR={name, movie, role}

Query in English:

Which directors acted in all of their movies in precisely one role?

```
SELECT d1.name FROM DIRECTOR d1

WHERE NOT EXISTS(

SELECT d2.movie FROM DIRECTOR d2

WHERE d2.name = d1.name AND NOT EXISTS(

SELECT a1.role FROM ACTOR a1

WHERE a1.name = d2.name AND a1.movie = d2.movie

AND NOT EXISTS(

SELECT a2.role FROM ACTOR a2

WHERE a2.role ≠ a1.role

AND a2.movie = a1.movie AND a2.name= a1.name)))
```

Relation Schema

DIRECTOR={name, movie} and ACTOR={name, movie, role}

Query in English:

Which directors acted in all of their movies in precisely one role?

```
SELECT d1.name FROM DIRECTOR d1

WHERE NOT EXISTS(

SELECT d2.movie FROM DIRECTOR d2

WHERE d2.name = d1.name AND NOT EXISTS(

SELECT a1.role FROM ACTOR a1

WHERE a1.name = d2.name AND a1.movie = d2.movie

AND NOT EXISTS(

SELECT a2.role FROM ACTOR a2

WHERE a2.role \neq a1.role

AND a2.movie = a1.movie AND a2.name= a1.name)))
```

Relation Schema

DIRECTOR={name, movie} and ACTOR={name, movie, role}

Query in English:

Which directors acted in all of their movies in precisely one role?

```
SELECT d1.name FROM DIRECTOR d1

WHERE NOT EXISTS(

SELECT d2.movie FROM DIRECTOR d2

WHERE d2.name = d1.name AND NOT EXISTS(

SELECT a1.role FROM ACTOR a1

WHERE a1.name = d2.name AND a1.movie = d2.movie

AND NOT EXISTS(

SELECT a2.role FROM ACTOR a2

WHERE a2.role \neq a1.role

AND a2.movie = a1.movie AND a2.name= a1.name)))
```

Relation Schema

DIRECTOR={name, movie} and ACTOR={name, movie, role}

Query in English:

Which directors acted in all of their movies in precisely one role?

```
SELECT d1.name FROM DIRECTOR d1
WHERE NOT EXISTS(
SELECT d2.movie FROM DIRECTOR d2
WHERE d2.name = d1.name AND NOT EXISTS(
SELECT a1.role FROM ACTOR a1
WHERE a1.name = d2.name AND a1.movie = d2.movie
AND NOT EXISTS(
SELECT a2.role FROM ACTOR a2
WHERE a2.role \neq a1.role
AND a2.movie = a1.movie AND a2.name= a1.name)))
```

Relation Schema

DIRECTOR={name, movie} and ACTOR={name, movie, role}

Query in English:

Which directors acted in all of their movies in precisely one role?

```
SELECT d1.name FROM DIRECTOR d1
WHERE NOT EXISTS(
SELECT d2.movie FROM DIRECTOR d2
WHERE d2.name = d1.name AND NOT EXISTS(
SELECT a1.role FROM ACTOR a1
WHERE a1.name = d2.name AND a1.movie = d2.movie
AND NOT EXISTS(
SELECT a2.role FROM ACTOR a2
WHERE a2.role \neq a1.role
AND a2.movie = a1.movie AND a2.name= a1.name)))
```

Relation Schema

DIRECTOR={name, movie} and ACTOR={name, movie, role}

Query in English:

Which directors acted in all of their movies in precisely one role?

```
SELECT d1.name FROM DIRECTOR d1

WHERE NOT EXISTS(

SELECT d2.movie FROM DIRECTOR d2

WHERE d2.name = d1.name AND NOT EXISTS(

SELECT a1.role FROM ACTOR a1

WHERE a1.name = d2.name AND a1.movie = d2.movie

AND NOT EXISTS(

SELECT a2.role FROM ACTOR a2

WHERE a2.role ≠ a1.role

AND a2.movie = a1.movie AND a2.name= a1.name)))
```

Many simple natural language queries are not simple in RA or SQL

Many simple natural language queries are not simple in RA or SQL

Need a query language that is:

- close to natural language
 - \hookrightarrow to help express queries easily
 - \hookrightarrow i.e., declarative, and not operational language

Many simple natural language queries are not simple in RA or SQL

Need a query language that is:

- close to natural language
 - → to help express queries easily
 - → i.e., declarative, and not operational language
- is precise
 - → to exclude ambiguities in queries and their results

Many simple natural language queries are not simple in RA or SQL

Need a query language that is:

- close to natural language
 - → to help express queries easily
 - → i.e., declarative, and not operational language
- is precise
 - \hookrightarrow to exclude ambiguities in queries and their results
- provides a foundation for queries in practice
 - \hookrightarrow to enable real-world querying

Relational Calculus

Relational calculus is a QL based on first-order logic

Formalization of everyday language using

- o connectors (not, and, or), and
- quantifiers (exists, for all)

Relational Calculus

Relational calculus is a QL based on first-order logic

Formalization of everyday language using

- connectors (not, and, or), and
- quantifiers (exists, for all)

Safe Relational Calculus

- syntactic fragment of Relational Calculus equivalent to Relational Algebra
- queries can be transformed automatically into queries resembling SQL style

Set Comprehension

Simply declare what the result should be

Give me the set of all tuples t that satisfy the (complex) conditions expressed by arphi

Set Comprehension

Simply declare what the result should be

Give me the set of all tuples t that satisfy the (complex) conditions expressed by arphi

Relational Calculus does just that

 $\{t \mid \varphi\}$ with some formula φ

Set Comprehension

Simply declare what the result should be

Give me the set of all tuples t that satisfy the (complex) conditions expressed by φ

Relational Calculus does just that

 $\{t \mid \varphi\}$ with some formula φ

Need to formalise this approach

A language for these formulae, that is, how do these formulae look like and what do they mean?

Flavors of Relational Calculus

Two different, yet equivalent versions

Flavors of Relational Calculus

Two different, yet equivalent versions

Tuple Relational Calculus (TRC)

Variables represent tuples

Domain Relational Calculus (DRC)

Variables represent individual values in the domains

Flavors of Relational Calculus

Two different, yet equivalent versions

Tuple Relational Calculus (TRC)

Variables represent tuples

Domain Relational Calculus (DRC)

Variables represent individual values in the domains

We focus on the Domain Relational Calculus

 $SCREENING = \{cinema, movie, date, time\}$ and $CINEMA = \{cinema, city, address\}$

 ${\tt SCREENING} = \{{\tt cinema, movie, date, time}\} \ {\tt and} \ {\tt CINEMA} = \{{\tt cinema, city, address}\}$

Query in English

What are the movies that play in the 'Event' cinema?

 ${\tt SCREENING} = \{{\tt cinema, movie, date, time}\} \ {\tt and} \ {\tt CINEMA} = \{{\tt cinema, city, address}\}$

Query in English

What are the movies that play in the 'Event' cinema?

Variables as placeholders for domain values

 $x_{
m movie}$ for movies, $x_{
m date}$ for dates, and $x_{
m time}$ for time

 ${\tt SCREENING} = \{ {\tt cinema, movie, date, time} \} \ {\tt and \ CINEMA} = \{ {\tt cinema, city, address} \}$

Query in English

What are the movies that play in the 'Event' cinema?

Variables as placeholders for domain values

 x_{movie} for movies, x_{date} for dates, and x_{time} for time

The query in DRC

 $\{x_{\text{movie}} \mid \exists x_{\text{date}}, x_{\text{time}} (\text{SCREENING}(\text{`Event'}, x_{\text{movie}}, x_{\text{date}}, x_{\text{time}}))\}$

Meaning

 $\mathrm{SCREENING} = \{ \text{cinema, movie, date, time} \} \text{ and } \mathrm{CINEMA} = \{ \text{cinema, city, address} \}$

Query in English

What are the movies that play in the 'Event' cinema?

Variables as placeholders for domain values

 x_{movie} for movies, x_{date} for dates, and x_{time} for time

The query in DRC

 $\{x_{\text{movie}} \mid \exists x_{\text{date}}, x_{\text{time}} (\text{SCREENING}('\text{Event}', x_{\text{movie}}, x_{\text{date}}, x_{\text{time}}))\}$

Meaning

 ${\tt SCREENING} = \{{\tt cinema, movie, date, time}\} \ {\tt and} \ {\tt CINEMA} = \{{\tt cinema, city, address}\}$

Query in English

What are the movies that play in the 'Event' cinema?

Variables as placeholders for domain values

 x_{movie} for movies, x_{date} for dates, and x_{time} for time

The query in DRC

 $\{x_{\text{movie}} \mid \exists x_{\text{date}}, x_{\text{time}} (\text{SCREENING}(\text{`Event'}, x_{\text{movie}}, x_{\text{date}}, x_{\text{time}}))\}$

Meaning

 ${\tt SCREENING} = \{{\tt cinema, movie, date, time}\} \ {\tt and} \ {\tt CINEMA} = \{{\tt cinema, city, address}\}$

Query in English

What are the movies that play in the 'Event' cinema?

Variables as placeholders for domain values

 x_{movie} for movies, x_{date} for dates, and x_{time} for time

The query in DRC

 $\{x_{\text{movie}} \mid \exists x_{\text{date}}, x_{\text{time}} (\text{SCREENING}(\text{`Event'}, x_{\text{movie}}, x_{\text{date}}, x_{\text{time}}))\}$

Meaning

 $\mathrm{SCREENING} = \{ \text{cinema, movie, date, time} \} \text{ and } \mathrm{CINEMA} = \{ \text{cinema, city, address} \}$

Query in English

What are the movies that play in the 'Event' cinema?

Variables as placeholders for domain values

 x_{movie} for movies, x_{date} for dates, and x_{time} for time

The query in DRC

 $\{x_{\text{movie}} \mid \exists x_{\text{date}}, x_{\text{time}} (\text{SCREENING}(\text{`Event'}, x_{\text{movie}}, x_{\text{date}}, x_{\text{time}}))\}$

Meaning

 ${\tt SCREENING} = \{ {\tt cinema, movie, date, time} \} \ {\tt and \ CINEMA} = \{ {\tt cinema, city, address} \}$

Query in English

What are the movies that play in the 'Event' cinema?

Variables as placeholders for domain values

 x_{movie} for movies, x_{date} for dates, and x_{time} for time

The query in DRC

 $\{x_{\text{movie}} \mid \exists x_{\text{date}}, x_{\text{time}} (\text{SCREENING}(\text{`Event'}, x_{\text{movie}}, x_{\text{date}}, x_{\text{time}}))\}$

Meaning

Basic objects (terms): placeholders (variables) and values (constants)

Basic objects (terms): placeholders (variables) and values (constants)

Variables

Let V_i $(i \in I)$ be set of "sufficiently many" variables

Basic objects (terms): placeholders (variables) and values (constants)

Variables

Let V_i $(i \in I)$ be set of "sufficiently many" variables

Variables are placeholders

Each variable $x \in V_i$ is a placeholder for elements from domain D_i We say D_i is the *sort* of x

Basic objects (terms): placeholders (variables) and values (constants)

Variables

Let V_i ($i \in I$) be set of "sufficiently many" variables

Variables are placeholders

Each variable $x \in V_i$ is a placeholder for elements from domain D_i We say D_i is the *sort* of x

 $V = \bigcup_{i \in I} V_i$ denotes the set of all variables

Constants

Elements of the domain D_i are called *constants* of sort D_i

Constants

Elements of the domain D_i are called *constants* of sort D_i

Terms

Variables and constants together form the set of terms of sort D_i

Constants

Elements of the domain D_i are called *constants* of sort D_i

Terms

Variables and constants together form the set of terms of sort D_i

#t denotes the sort of the term t

Constants

Elements of the domain D_i are called *constants* of sort D_i

Terms

Variables and constants together form the set of terms of sort D_i

#t denotes the sort of the term t

Example

'Event' constant of sort string, x_{time} variable of sort time

Predicate Formulae

Composing objects into rows of a table

Predicate Formulae

Composing objects into rows of a table

If $R \in \mathcal{S}$ and R has arity n, and t_i is a term of sort dom(i) for all $i=1,\ldots,n$, then the expression $R(t_1,\ldots,t_n)$ is called a *predicate formula*

Predicate Formulae

Composing objects into rows of a table

If $R \in \mathcal{S}$ and R has arity n, and t_i is a term of sort dom(i) for all $i=1,\ldots,n$, then the expression $R(t_1,\ldots,t_n)$ is called a *predicate formula*

Screening('Event', x_{movie}, x_{date}, x_{time})

Predicate Formulae

Composing objects into rows of a table

If $R \in \mathcal{S}$ and R has arity n, and t_i is a term of sort dom(i) for all $i=1,\ldots,n$, then the expression $R(t_1,\ldots,t_n)$ is called a *predicate formula*

Screening('Event', x_{movie}, x_{date}, x_{time})

Comparison Formulae

Comparing objects

Predicate Formulae

Composing objects into rows of a table

If $R \in \mathcal{S}$ and R has arity n, and t_i is a term of sort dom(i) for all i = 1, ..., n, then the expression $R(t_1, ..., t_n)$ is called a *predicate formula*

Screening('Event', x_{movie}, x_{date}, x_{time})

Comparison Formulae

Comparing objects

For terms t and t' of the same sort #t the equation t=t' is called a *comparison formula*

Predicate Formulae

Composing objects into rows of a table

If $R \in \mathcal{S}$ and R has arity n, and t_i is a term of sort dom(i) for all i = 1, ..., n, then the expression $R(t_1, ..., t_n)$ is called a *predicate formula*

Screening ('Event', x_{movie}, x_{date}, x_{time})

Comparison Formulae

Comparing objects

For terms t and t' of the same sort #t the equation t=t' is called a *comparison formula*

x_{movie}='The Seven Samurai'

Predicate Formulae

Composing objects into rows of a table

If $R \in \mathcal{S}$ and R has arity n, and t_i is a term of sort dom(i) for all i = 1, ..., n, then the expression $R(t_1, ..., t_n)$ is called a *predicate formula*

SCREENING ('Event', x_{movie}, x_{date}, x_{time})

Comparison Formulae

Comparing objects

For terms t and t' of the same sort #t the equation t=t' is called a *comparison formula*

x_{movie}='The Seven Samurai'

Predicate formulae and comparison formulae together form the set \mathcal{F}_0 of atomic formulae (or atoms)

Compose complex properties out of simpler ones

Compose complex properties out of simpler ones

A formula is either an atom or built from other formulae by the following rules:

ullet if arphi is a formula, then this is also true for $(\neg arphi)$ (negation)

Compose complex properties out of simpler ones

A formula is either an atom or built from other formulae by the following rules:

- if φ is a formula, then this is also true for $(\neg \varphi)$ (negation)
- ullet if arphi and ψ are formulae, then this is also true for $(arphi \wedge \psi)$ (conjunction)

Compose complex properties out of simpler ones

A formula is either an atom or built from other formulae by the following rules:

- if φ is a formula, then this is also true for $(\neg \varphi)$ (negation)
- ullet if arphi and ψ are formulae, then this is also true for $(arphi \wedge \psi)$ (conjunction)
- if φ is a formula and $x \in V$, then $(\exists x \varphi)$ (existential quantification) is a formula

Compose complex properties out of simpler ones

A formula is either an atom or built from other formulae by the following rules:

- if φ is a formula, then this is also true for $(\neg \varphi)$ (negation)
- ullet if arphi and ψ are formulae, then this is also true for $(arphi \wedge \psi)$ (conjunction)
- if φ is a formula and $x \in V$, then $(\exists x \varphi)$ (existential quantification) is a formula

We omit parenthesis when this does not lead to ambiguity

Compose complex properties out of simpler ones

A formula is either an atom or built from other formulae by the following rules:

- ullet if arphi is a formula, then this is also true for $(\neg arphi)$ (negation)
- ullet if arphi and ψ are formulae, then this is also true for $(arphi \wedge \psi)$ (conjunction)
- ullet if arphi is a formula and $x\in V$, then $(\exists xarphi)$ (existential quantification) is a formula

We omit parenthesis when this does not lead to ambiguity

 ${\cal F}$ denotes the set of all formulae

 $\mathrm{Screening} = \{\mathsf{cinema}, \ \mathsf{movie}, \ \mathsf{date}, \ \mathsf{time}\} \ \mathsf{and} \ \mathrm{Director} = \{\mathsf{name}, \ \mathsf{movie}\}$

 $\mathrm{Screening} = \{\mathsf{cinema, movie, date, time}\} \ \mathsf{and} \ \mathrm{Director} = \{\mathsf{name, movie}\}$

'Akira Kurosawa'

Constant from domain of name for DIRECTOR

 $\mathrm{Screening} = \{\mathsf{cinema, movie, date, time}\} \ \mathsf{and} \ \mathrm{Director} = \{\mathsf{name, movie}\}$

'Akira Kurosawa', x_{movie}

Variable over domain of movie

 $\mathrm{Screening} = \{\mathsf{cinema, movie, date, time}\} \ \mathsf{and} \ \mathrm{Director} = \{\mathsf{name, movie}\}$

 ${\tt DIRECTOR}({\tt 'Akira~Kurosawa'}, x_{\tt movie})$

Predicate formula over DIRECTOR

 $SCREENING = \{cinema, movie, date, time\}$ and $DIRECTOR = \{name, movie\}$

'Event'

 ${\tt DIRECTOR}({\tt 'Akira~Kurosawa'}, x_{\tt movie})$

Constant from domain of cinema

 $SCREENING = \{cinema, \ movie, \ date, \ time\} \ and \ DIRECTOR = \{name, \ movie\}$

'Event', x_{movie}

 ${\tt DIRECTOR}({\tt 'Akira~Kurosawa'}, x_{\tt movie})$

Variable over domain of movie

 $Screening = \{cinema, movie, date, time\} \text{ and } Director = \{name, movie}\}$

'Event', x_{movie} , x_{date}

 ${\tt DIRECTOR}({\tt 'Akira~Kurosawa'}, x_{\tt movie})$

Variable over domain of date

 $\mathrm{Screening} = \{\mathsf{cinema, movie, date, time}\} \ \mathsf{and} \ \mathrm{Director} = \{\mathsf{name, movie}\}$

 $\texttt{`Event'}, x_{\texttt{movie}}, x_{\texttt{date}}, x_{\texttt{time}} \qquad \text{DIRECTOR}(\texttt{'Akira Kurosawa'}, x_{\texttt{movie}})$

Variable over domain of time

 $\mathrm{Screening} = \{\mathsf{cinema, movie, date, time}\} \ \mathsf{and} \ \mathrm{Director} = \{\mathsf{name, movie}\}$

Screening ('Event', x_{movie} , x_{date} , x_{time}) Director ('Akira Kurosawa', x_{movie})

Predicate formula over Screening

 $\mathrm{Screening} = \{\mathsf{cinema}, \ \mathsf{movie}, \ \mathsf{date}, \ \mathsf{time}\} \ \mathsf{and} \ \mathrm{Director} = \{\mathsf{name}, \ \mathsf{movie}\}$

 $\texttt{SCREENING('Event'}, x_{\texttt{movie}}, x_{\texttt{date}}, x_{\texttt{time}}) \land \texttt{DIRECTOR('Akira Kurosawa'}, x_{\texttt{movie}})$

Conjunction of two predicate formulae

 ${\tt SCREENING} = \{{\tt cinema, movie, date, time}\} \ {\tt and \ DIRECTOR} = \{{\tt name, movie}\}$

 $\exists x_{\mathsf{time}}(\mathsf{SCREENING}(\mathsf{'Event'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}) \land \mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Akira\ Kurosawa'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}))$

Existential quantification over domain of time

 $Screening = \{cinema, \ movie, \ date, \ time\} \ and \ Director = \{name, \ movie\}$

 $\exists x_{\mathsf{date}} (\exists x_{\mathsf{time}} (\mathsf{SCREENING}(\mathsf{`Event'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}) \land \mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{`Akira\ Kurosawa'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}})))$

Existential quantification over domain of date

Inequation

 $t \neq t'$ short for $\neg(t = t')$

Inequation

 $t \neq t'$ short for $\neg(t = t')$

Disjunction

 $\varphi \lor \psi$ short for $\neg(\neg \varphi \land \neg \psi)$

Inequation

$$t \neq t'$$
 short for $\neg(t = t')$

Implication

$$\varphi \Rightarrow \psi$$
 short for $\neg \varphi \vee \psi$

Disjunction

$$\varphi \lor \psi$$
 short for $\neg (\neg \varphi \land \neg \psi)$

Inequation

$$t \neq t'$$
 short for $\neg(t = t')$

Implication

$$\varphi \Rightarrow \psi$$
 short for $\neg \varphi \vee \psi$

Disjunction

$$\varphi \lor \psi$$
 short for $\neg(\neg \varphi \land \neg \psi)$

Equivalence

$$\varphi \Leftrightarrow \psi$$
 short for $(\varphi \Rightarrow \psi) \wedge (\psi \Rightarrow \varphi)$

Inequation

$$t \neq t'$$
 short for $\neg(t = t')$

Implication

$$\varphi \Rightarrow \psi$$
 short for $\neg \varphi \vee \psi$

Disjunction

$$\varphi \lor \psi$$
 short for $\neg (\neg \varphi \land \neg \psi)$

Equivalence

$$\varphi \Leftrightarrow \psi \text{ short for } (\varphi \Rightarrow \psi) \land (\psi \Rightarrow \varphi)$$

Universal quantification

 $\forall x(\varphi)$ short for $\neg \exists x(\neg \varphi)$

Inequation

$$t \neq t'$$
 short for $\neg(t = t')$

Implication

$$\varphi \Rightarrow \psi$$
 short for $\neg \varphi \lor \psi$

Disjunction

$$\varphi \lor \psi$$
 short for $\neg (\neg \varphi \land \neg \psi)$

Equivalence

$$\varphi \Leftrightarrow \psi \text{ short for } (\varphi \Rightarrow \psi) \land (\psi \Rightarrow \varphi)$$

Universal quantification

$$\forall x(\varphi)$$
 short for $\neg \exists x(\neg \varphi)$

Successive existential quantification

$$\exists x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n(\varphi)$$
 short for $\exists x_1(\exists x_2(\dots(\exists x_n(\varphi))\dots))$

Inequation

$$t \neq t'$$
 short for $\neg (t = t')$

Implication

$$\varphi \Rightarrow \psi$$
 short for $\neg \varphi \lor \psi$

Disjunction

$$\varphi \lor \psi$$
 short for $\neg (\neg \varphi \land \neg \psi)$

Equivalence

$$\varphi \Leftrightarrow \psi$$
 short for $(\varphi \Rightarrow \psi) \land (\psi \Rightarrow \varphi)$

Universal quantification

$$\forall x(\varphi)$$
 short for $\neg \exists x(\neg \varphi)$

Successive existential quantification

$$\exists x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n(\varphi)$$
 short for $\exists x_1(\exists x_2(\dots(\exists x_n(\varphi))\dots))$

Successive universal quantification

$$\forall x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n(\varphi)$$
 short for $\forall x_1(\forall x_2(\dots(\forall x_n(\varphi))\dots))$

```
\forall x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}(\mathsf{SCREENING}(x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}) \Rightarrow \neg (\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Polanski'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}) \lor \mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Kubrick'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}})))
```

```
\forall x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}(\neg \text{SCREENING}(x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}) \lor \neg (\text{DIRECTOR}('\mathsf{Polanski'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}) \lor \text{DIRECTOR}('\mathsf{Kubrick'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}})))
```

```
\neg \exists x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}} (\neg (\neg \mathsf{SCREENING}(x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}) \lor \neg (\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Polanski'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}) \lor \mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Kubrick'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}))))
```

```
\neg \exists x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}} (\neg \neg \mathsf{SCREENING}(x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}) \land \\ \neg \neg (\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Polanski'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}) \lor \mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Kubrick'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}})))
```

Cinemas in which every movie screened is directed neither by Polanski nor Kubrick

 $\neg \exists x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}(\mathsf{SCREENING}(x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}) \land \\ (\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Polanski'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}) \lor \mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Kubrick'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}})))$

Cinemas in which every movie screened is directed neither by Polanski nor Kubrick

$$\neg \exists x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}(\mathsf{SCREENING}(x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}) \land (\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Polanski'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}) \lor \mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Kubrick'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}})))$$

Cinemas in which there is no screening of a movie directed by Polanski or Kubrick

Those placeholders that describe the structure of query answers

Those placeholders that describe the structure of query answers

Those variables that are not bound by any quantifier

Let $fr(\varphi)$ denote the set of free variables of $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$

• If φ is an atom, then each variable occurring in φ belongs to $\mathit{fr}(\varphi)$

Those placeholders that describe the structure of query answers

Those variables that are not bound by any quantifier

Let $\mathit{fr}(\varphi)$ denote the set of free variables of $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$

- ullet If arphi is an atom, then each variable occurring in arphi belongs to $\mathit{fr}(arphi)$
- Negation does not change the set of free variables, i.e., $fr(\neg \varphi) = fr(\varphi)$

Those placeholders that describe the structure of query answers

Those variables that are not bound by any quantifier

Let $\mathit{fr}(\varphi)$ denote the set of free variables of $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$

- ullet If arphi is an atom, then each variable occurring in arphi belongs to $\mathit{fr}(arphi)$
- Negation does not change the set of free variables, i.e., $fr(\neg \varphi) = fr(\varphi)$
- For conjunction we have $fr(\varphi \wedge \psi) = fr(\varphi) \cup fr(\psi)$

Free Variables

Those placeholders that describe the structure of query answers

Those variables that are not bound by any quantifier,

Let $fr(\varphi)$ denote the set of free variables of $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$

- ullet If arphi is an atom, then each variable occurring in arphi belongs to $\mathit{fr}(arphi)$
- Negation does not change the set of free variables, i.e., $fr(\neg \varphi) = fr(\varphi)$
- For conjunction we have $fr(\varphi \wedge \psi) = fr(\varphi) \cup fr(\psi)$
- For existential quantification we obtain $fr(\exists x(\varphi)) = fr(\varphi) \{x\}$

Compute the free variables of the following formula φ :

 $\neg \exists x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}(\mathsf{SCREENING}(x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}) \land \\ (\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Polanski'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}) \lor \mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Kubrick'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}})))$

$$fr(\varphi) =$$

Compute the free variables of the following formula φ :

$$\neg \exists x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}(\mathsf{SCREENING}(x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}) \land \\ (\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Polanski'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}) \lor \mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Kubrick'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}})))$$

$$fr(\varphi) = fr(\cdot)$$

Compute the free variables of the following formula φ :

$$\neg \exists x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}(\mathsf{SCREENING}(x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}) \land \\ (\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Polanski'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}) \lor \mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Kubrick'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}})))$$

$$fr(\varphi)=$$

$$fr(\cdot) - \{x_{\text{movie}}, x_{\text{date}}, x_{\text{time}}\}$$

Compute the free variables of the following formula φ :

$$\neg \exists x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}(\mathsf{SCREENING}(x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}) \land \\ (\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Polanski'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}) \lor \mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Kubrick'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}})))$$

$$fr(\varphi) =$$

$$(fr(\cdot) \cup \{x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}\}) - \{x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}\}$$

Compute the free variables of the following formula φ :

 $\neg \exists x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}(\mathsf{SCREENING}(x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}) \land \\ (\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Polanski'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}) \lor \mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Kubrick'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}})))$

$$fr(arphi) =$$

 $((\{x_{\mathsf{movie}}\} \cup \{x_{\mathsf{movie}}\}) \cup \{x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}\}) - \{x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}\}$

Compute the free variables of the following formula φ :

 $\neg \exists x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}(\mathsf{SCREENING}(x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}) \land (\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Polanski'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}) \lor \mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Kubrick'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}})))$

$$fr(\varphi) =$$

 $\{x_{\mathsf{Cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}\} - \{x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}\}$

Compute the free variables of the following formula φ :

 $\neg \exists x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}(\mathsf{SCREENING}(x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}) \land \\ (\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Polanski'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}) \lor \mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Kubrick'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}})))$

$$fr(\varphi) = \{x_{cinema}\}$$

Compute the free variables of the following formula φ :

 $\neg \exists x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}(\mathsf{SCREENING}(x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}) \land \\ (\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Polanski'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}) \lor \mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Kubrick'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}})))$

$$fr(\varphi) = \{x_{\text{cinema}}\}$$

Indeed, we are looking for all the cinemas in which no movie directed by Polanski or Kubrick is screened.

Formulae and their Evaluation

A formula describes a (complex) property of an object

Formulae and their Evaluation

A formula describes a (complex) property of an object

Formulae evaluate to either true (T) or false (F) since a property either holds or does not hold for any object

The evaluation of any formulae over $\mathcal S$ is determined by

- ullet a database instance over ${\cal S}$
- and a mapping of all its placeholders to constants from their domains

An interpretation ${\mathcal I}$ of the domain relational calculus over a database schema ${\mathcal S}$ consists of

ullet a database db over ${\cal S}$ and

An interpretation ${\mathcal I}$ of the domain relational calculus over a database schema ${\mathcal S}$ consists of

- ullet a database db over ${\cal S}$ and
- a variable assignment $\tau: V \to D$ with $\tau(x) \in D_i$ for $\#x = D_i$

An interpretation ${\mathcal I}$ of the domain relational calculus over a database schema ${\mathcal S}$ consists of

- ullet a database db over ${\cal S}$ and
- a variable assignment $\tau: V \to D$ with $\tau(x) \in D_i$ for $\#x = D_i$

Write
$$\mathcal{I} = (db, \tau)$$

An interpretation ${\mathcal I}$ of the domain relational calculus over a database schema ${\mathcal S}$ consists of

- ullet a database db over ${\cal S}$ and
- a variable assignment $\tau: V \to D$ with $\tau(x) \in D_i$ for $\#x = D_i$

Write
$$\mathcal{I} = (db, \tau)$$

Next: define how to evaluate formulae based on a fixed interpretation $\mathcal{I}=(db,\tau)$

Which movies are shown at which time at the *Event* cinema on 28.03.?

 $Q(x_{\text{movie}}, x_{\text{time}}) = \text{SCREENING}(\text{`Event'}, x_{\text{movie}}, \text{'28.03.'}, x_{\text{time}})$

Which movies are shown at which time at the Event cinema on 28.03.?

$$Q(x_{\text{movie}}, x_{\text{time}}) = \text{SCREENING}(\text{`Event'}, x_{\text{movie}}, \text{`28.03.'}, x_{\text{time}})$$

Given the fixed Screening-relation:				
cinema	movie	date	time	
Event	The Seven Samurai	27.03.	8pm	
Event	The Godfather	28.03.	4pm	
Event	The Godfather	28.03.	8pm	
Rialto	Inception	28.03.	8pm	

The query $Q(x_{\text{movie}}, x_{\text{time}})$ returns all those $(x_{\text{movie}}, x_{\text{time}})$ -pairs which make the formula $Q(x_{\text{movie}}, x_{\text{time}})$ true

Which movies are shown at which time at the Event cinema on 28.03.?

$$Q(x_{\text{movie}}, x_{\text{time}}) = \text{SCREENING}(\text{`Event'}, x_{\text{movie}}, \text{'28.03.'}, x_{\text{time}})$$

Given the fixed SCREENING-relation:				
cinema	movie	date	time	
Event	The Seven Samurai	27.03.	8pm	
Event	The Godfather	28.03.	4pm	
Event	The Godfather	28.03.	8pm	
Rialto	Inception	28.03.	8pm	

The query $Q(x_{\rm movie}, x_{\rm time})$ returns all those $(x_{\rm movie}, x_{\rm time})$ -pairs which make the formula $Q(x_{\rm movie}, x_{\rm time})$ true

E.g. the variable assignments ($x_{\text{movie}} \mapsto$ 'The Godfather', $x_{\text{time}} \mapsto$ '4pm') and ($x_{\text{movie}} \mapsto$ 'The Godfather', $x_{\text{time}} \mapsto$ '8pm') make $Q(x_{\text{movie}}, x_{\text{time}})$ true, i.e., ('The Godfather', '4pm') and ('The Godfather', '8pm') form the answer

Which movies are shown at which time at the Event cinema on 28.03.?

$$Q(x_{\text{movie}}, x_{\text{time}}) = \text{SCREENING}(\text{`Event'}, x_{\text{movie}}, \text{'28.03.'}, x_{\text{time}})$$

Giv	en the fixed Screenin	G-relation:		
cinema	movie	date	time	
Event	The Seven Samurai	27.03.	8pm	
Event	The Godfather	28.03.	4pm	
Event	The Godfather	28.03.	8pm	
Rialto	Inception	28.03.	8pm	

The query $Q(x_{\rm movie}, x_{\rm time})$ returns all those $(x_{\rm movie}, x_{\rm time})$ -pairs which make the formula $Q(x_{\rm movie}, x_{\rm time})$ true

E.g. the variable assignments ($x_{\text{movie}} \mapsto$ 'The Godfather', $x_{\text{time}} \mapsto$ '4pm') and ($x_{\text{movie}} \mapsto$ 'The Godfather', $x_{\text{time}} \mapsto$ '8pm') make $Q(x_{\text{movie}}, x_{\text{time}})$ true, i.e., ('The Godfather', '4pm') and ('The Godfather', '8pm') form the answer

Which movies are shown at which time at the Event cinema on 28.03.?

$$Q(x_{\text{movie}}, x_{\text{time}}) = \text{SCREENING}(\text{`Event'}, x_{\text{movie}}, \text{'28.03.'}, x_{\text{time}})$$

Giv	en the fixed Screenin	G-relation:		
cinema	movie	date	time	
Event	The Seven Samurai	27.03.	8pm	
Event	The Godfather	28.03.	4pm	
Event	The Godfather	28.03.	8pm	
Rialto	Inception	28.03.	8pm	

The query $Q(x_{\text{movie}}, x_{\text{time}})$ returns all those $(x_{\text{movie}}, x_{\text{time}})$ -pairs which make the formula $Q(x_{\text{movie}}, x_{\text{time}})$ true

E.g. the variable assignments ($x_{\text{movie}} \mapsto$ 'The Godfather', $x_{\text{time}} \mapsto$ '4pm') and ($x_{\text{movie}} \mapsto$ 'The Godfather', $x_{\text{time}} \mapsto$ '8pm') make $Q(x_{\text{movie}}, x_{\text{time}})$ true, i.e., ('The Godfather', '4pm') and ('The Godfather', '8pm') form the answer

Which movies are shown at which time at the Event cinema on 28.03.?

$$Q(x_{\text{movie}}, x_{\text{time}}) = \text{SCREENING}(\text{`Event'}, x_{\text{movie}}, \text{`28.03.'}, x_{\text{time}})$$

Giv	en the fixed SCREENIN	G-relation:		
cinema	movie	date	time	
Event	The Seven Samurai	27.03.	8pm	
Event	The Godfather	28.03.	4pm	
Event	The Godfather	28.03.	8pm	
Rialto	Inception	28.03.	8pm	

The query $Q(x_{\rm movie}, x_{\rm time})$ returns all those $(x_{\rm movie}, x_{\rm time})$ -pairs which make the formula $Q(x_{\rm movie}, x_{\rm time})$ true

E.g. the variable assignments ($x_{\text{movie}} \mapsto$ 'The Godfather', $x_{\text{time}} \mapsto$ '4pm') and ($x_{\text{movie}} \mapsto$ 'The Godfather', $x_{\text{time}} \mapsto$ '8pm') make $Q(x_{\text{movie}}, x_{\text{time}})$ true, i.e., ('The Godfather','4pm') and ('The Godfather','8pm') form the answer

How are formulae evaluated in general?

Extend $\mathcal{I} = (db, \tau)$ to all terms and formulae using the notation $\omega = \omega_{(db,\tau)}$:

• for terms t we simply put $\omega(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tau(t) & \text{, if } t \text{ is a variable,} \\ \omega(t) = t & \text{, if } t \text{ is a constant.} \end{array} \right.$

- for terms t we simply put $\omega(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \tau(t) & \text{, if } t \text{ is a variable,} \\ \omega(t) = t & \text{, if } t \text{ is a constant.} \end{array} \right.$
- for formulae $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ we proceed inductively:
 - $\omega(R(t_1,\ldots,t_n)) = \mathbf{T}$ iff $R(\omega(t_1),\ldots,\omega(t_n)) \in db$



- for terms t we simply put $\omega(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \tau(t) & \text{, if } t \text{ is a variable,} \\ \omega(t) = t & \text{, if } t \text{ is a constant} \end{array} \right.$
- for formulae $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ we proceed inductively:
 - $\omega(R(t_1,\ldots,t_n)) = \mathbf{T}$ iff $R(\omega(t_1),\ldots,\omega(t_n)) \in db$
 - $\omega(t=t')=\mathbf{T}$ iff $\omega(t)$ and $\omega(t')$ are equal in D_i

- for terms t we simply put $\omega(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \tau(t) & \text{, if } t \text{ is a variable,} \\ \omega(t) = t & \text{, if } t \text{ is a constant} \end{array} \right.$
- for formulae $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ we proceed inductively:
 - $\omega(R(t_1,\ldots,t_n)) = \mathbf{T}$ iff $R(\omega(t_1),\ldots,\omega(t_n)) \in db$
 - $\omega(t=t')=\mathbf{T}$ iff $\omega(t)$ and $\omega(t')$ are equal in D_i
 - $\omega(\neg \varphi) = \mathbf{T}$ iff $\omega(\varphi) = \mathbf{F}$

- for terms t we simply put $\omega(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \tau(t) & \text{, if } t \text{ is a variable,} \\ \omega(t) = t & \text{, if } t \text{ is a constant} \end{array} \right.$
- for formulae $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ we proceed inductively:
 - $\omega(R(t_1,\ldots,t_n)) = \mathbf{T}$ iff $R(\omega(t_1),\ldots,\omega(t_n)) \in db$
 - $\omega(t=t')=\mathbf{T}$ iff $\omega(t)$ and $\omega(t')$ are equal in D_i
 - $\omega(\neg \varphi) = \mathbf{T} \text{ iff } \omega(\varphi) = \mathbf{F}$
 - $\omega(\varphi \wedge \psi) = \mathbf{T}$ iff $\omega(\varphi) = \omega(\psi) = \mathbf{T}$

- for terms t we simply put $\omega(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \tau(t) & \text{, if } t \text{ is a variable,} \\ \omega(t) = t & \text{, if } t \text{ is a constant.} \end{array} \right.$
- for formulae $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ we proceed inductively:
 - $\omega(R(t_1,\ldots,t_n)) = \mathbf{T}$ iff $R(\omega(t_1),\ldots,\omega(t_n)) \in db$
 - $\omega(t=t')=\mathbf{T}$ iff $\omega(t)$ and $\omega(t')$ are equal in D_i
 - $\omega(\neg \varphi) = \mathbf{T} \text{ iff } \omega(\varphi) = \mathbf{F}$
 - $\omega(\varphi \wedge \psi) = \mathbf{T}$ iff $\omega(\varphi) = \omega(\psi) = \mathbf{T}$
 - $\omega(\exists x(\varphi)) = \mathbf{T}$ iff there is some replacement of x in φ by a constant $d \in \#x$ that leads to a formula ψ with $\omega(\psi) = \mathbf{T}$

Which cinemas show on which day a movie directed by Akira Kurosawa?

$$Q(x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}) = \\ \exists x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{time}} \ (\mathrm{Screening}(x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}) \land \mathrm{Director}(\mathsf{'Akira\ Kurosawa'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}))$$

Screening-relation			
cinema	movie	date	time
Event	The Seven Samurai	27.03.	8pm
Event	The Godfather	28.03.	8pm
Rialto	Inception	28.03.	8pm

	Director-relation			
•	name	movie		
	Akira Kurosawa	The Seven Samurai		
	F.F. Coppola	The Godfather		
	Christopher Nolan	Inception		
			٠.	

Which cinemas show on which day a movie directed by Akira Kurosawa?

 $Q(x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}) = \\ \exists x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{time}} \ (\mathsf{SCREENING}(x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}) \land \mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Akira\ Kurosawa'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}))$

	Screening-relation	on		
cinema	movie	date	time	
Event	The Seven Samurai	27.03.	8pm	
Event	The Godfather	28.03.	8pm	
Rialto	Inception	28.03.	8pm	

Director-relation		
name	movie	
Akira Kurosawa	The Seven Samurai	
F.F. Coppola	The Godfather	
Christopher Nolan	Inception	

Which cinemas show on which day a movie directed by Akira Kurosawa?

$$Q(x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}) = \\ \exists x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{time}} \ (\mathrm{SCREENING}(x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}) \land \mathrm{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Akira\ Kurosawa'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}))$$

Screening-relation			
cinema	movie	date	time
Event	The Seven Samurai	27.03.	8pm
Event	The Godfather	28.03.	8pm
Rialto	Inception	28.03.	8pm

DIRECTOR-relation			
name	movie		
Akira Kurosawa	The Seven Samurai		
F.F. Coppola	The Godfather		
Christopher Nolan	Inception		

Assignment ($x_{cinema} \mapsto 'Event', x_{date} \mapsto '27.03.'$) makes $Q(x_{cinema}, x_{date})$ true

Which cinemas show on which day a movie directed by Akira Kurosawa?

 $Q(x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}) = \\ \exists x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{time}} \ (\mathsf{SCREENING}(x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}) \land \mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Akira\ Kurosawa'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}))$

Screening-relation				
cinema	movie	date	time	
Event	The Seven Samurai	27.03.	8pm	
Event	The Godfather	28.03.	8pm	
Rialto	Inception	28.03.	8pm	

Director-relation		
name	movie	
Akira Kurosawa	The Seven Samurai	
F.F. Coppola	The Godfather	
Christopher Nolan	Inception	

Assignment ($x_{cinema} \mapsto \text{'Event'}, x_{date} \mapsto \text{'27.03.'}$) makes $Q(x_{cinema}, x_{date})$ true

There are values for x_{movie} ('The Seven Samurai') and x_{time} ('8pm') such that SCREENING('Event', 'The Seven Samurai', '27.03.', '8pm') \land DIRECTOR('Akira Kurosawa', 'The Seven Samurai') evaluates to true

Which cinemas show on which day a movie directed by Akira Kurosawa?

$$Q(x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}) = \\ \exists x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{time}} \ (\mathsf{SCREENING}(x_{\mathsf{cinema}}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}, x_{\mathsf{date}}, x_{\mathsf{time}}) \land \mathsf{DIRECTOR}(\mathsf{'Akira\ Kurosawa'}, x_{\mathsf{movie}}))$$

Screening-relation				
cinema	movie	date	time	
Event	The Seven Samurai	27.03.	8pm	
Event	The Godfather	28.03.	8pm	
Rialto	Inception	28.03.	8pm	

Director-relation			
name movie			
Akira Kurosawa	The Seven Samurai		
F.F. Coppola	The Godfather		
Christopher Nolan	Inception		

Assignment ($x_{cinema} \mapsto \text{'Event'}, x_{date} \mapsto \text{'27.03.'}$) makes $Q(x_{cinema}, x_{date})$ true

In fact, it is true that

('Event', 'The Seven Samurai', '27.03.', '8pm') forms a row in the SCREENING-table and ('Akira Kurosawa', 'The Seven Samurai') forms a row in the DIRECTOR-table

Each query Q in the language $\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{DRC}}$ of the domain relational calculus has the form

$$Q = \{(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \mid \varphi\}$$

with variables $x_i \in V$ and a formula $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\mathit{fr}(\varphi) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$

Each query Q in the language $\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{DRC}}$ of the domain relational calculus has the form

$$Q = \{(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \mid \varphi\}$$

with variables $x_i \in V$ and a formula $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ with $fr(\varphi) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$

ullet The input-schema is the underlying database schema: $\mathit{in}(Q) = \mathcal{S}$

Each query Q in the language $\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{DRC}}$ of the domain relational calculus has the form

$$Q = \{(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \mid \varphi\}$$

with variables $x_i \in V$ and a formula $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\mathit{fr}(\varphi) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$

- ullet The input-schema is the underlying database schema: $\mathit{in}(Q) = \mathcal{S}$
- The output-schema is $out(Q) = \{ans(Q)\}$ with a relation schema ans(Q) of arity n with $dom(i) = \#x_i$ for i = 1, ..., n

Each query Q in the language $\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{DRC}}$ of the domain relational calculus has the form

$$Q = \{(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \mid \varphi\}$$

with variables $x_i \in V$ and a formula $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ with $fr(\varphi) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$

- ullet The input-schema is the underlying database schema: $in(Q) = \mathcal{S}$
- The output-schema is $out(Q) = \{ans(Q)\}$ with a relation schema ans(Q) of arity n with $dom(i) = \#x_i$ for i = 1, ..., n
- The query mapping q(Q) is given by

$$q(Q)(db) = \{ans(Q)(\tau(x_1), \ldots, \tau(x_n)) \mid \omega_{(db,\tau)}(\varphi) = \mathbf{T}\},$$

where au denotes variable assignments

Example (Which movies are screened in the *Event* cinema?) $\{(m) \mid \}$

```
Example (Which movies are screened in the Event cinema?) \{(m) \mid SCREENING( , m, , ) \}
```

```
Example (Which movies are screened in the Event cinema?)
\{(m) \mid SCREENING('Event', m, , ) \}
```

Example (Which movies are screened in the *Event* cinema?)

 $\{(m) \mid \exists d, t (SCREENING('Event', m, d, t)) \}$

```
Example (Which movies are screened in the Event cinema?) \{(m) \mid \exists d, t ( SCREENING('Event', m, d, t)) \}
```

```
Example (Where and when do we find screenings of movies directed by Akira\ Kurosawa?)  \{ \qquad | \qquad \qquad \}
```

Example (Which movies are screened in the *Event* cinema?)

```
\{(m) \mid \exists d, t ( SCREENING('Event', m, d, t)) \}
```

Example (Where and when do we find screenings of movies directed by Akira Kurosawa?)

$$\{(c,c',a,d,t)\mid$$

.

Example (Which movies are screened in the *Event* cinema?)

$$\{(m) \mid \exists d, t (SCREENING('Event', m, d, t)) \}$$

Example (Where and when do we find screenings of movies directed by Akira Kurosawa?)

$$\{(c,c',a,d,t)\mid$$

CINEMA(c, c', a) SCREENING(c, m, d, t)

Example (Which movies are screened in the *Event* cinema?)

$$\{(m) \mid \exists d, t (SCREENING('Event', m, d, t)) \}$$

Example (Where and when do we find screenings of movies directed by Akira Kurosawa?)

$$\{(c,c',a,d,t)\mid$$

CINEMA(c, c', a) Screening(c, m, d, t) Director(Akira Kurosawa', m)

Example (Which movies are screened in the *Event* cinema?)

$$\{(m) \mid \exists d, t (SCREENING('Event', m, d, t)) \}$$

Example (Where and when do we find screenings of movies directed by Akira Kurosawa?)

$$\{(c, c', a, d, t) \mid$$

CINEMA(c, c', a) $\exists m(\text{Screening}(c, m, d, t) \land \text{Director}(\text{'Akira Kurosawa'}, m)))$

Example (Which movies are screened in the *Event* cinema?)

$$\{(m) \mid \exists d, t (SCREENING('Event', m, d, t)) \}$$

Example (Where and when do we find screenings of movies directed by Akira Kurosawa?),

$$\{(c, c', a, d, t) \mid$$

 $\texttt{CINEMA}(c,c',a) \land \exists m(\texttt{SCREENING}(c,m,d,t) \land \texttt{DIRECTOR}(\texttt{'Akira Kurosawa'},m))\}$

Example (Which movies are screened in the *Event* cinema?)

$$\{(m) \mid \exists d, t (SCREENING('Event', m, d, t)) \}$$

Example (Where and when do we find screenings of movies directed by Akira Kurosawa?)

$$\{(c, c', a, d, t) \mid$$

 $CINEMA(c, c', a) \land \exists m(SCREENING(c, m, d, t) \land DIRECTOR('Akira Kurosawa', m))\}$

Example (In which movies did Reese Witherspoon or Jack Nicholson act?)

```
{ |
```

Example (Which movies are screened in the *Event* cinema?)

$$\{(m) \mid \exists d, t (SCREENING('Event', m, d, t)) \}$$

Example (Where and when do we find screenings of movies directed by Akira Kurosawa?)

$$\{(c, c', a, d, t) \mid$$

 $\texttt{CINEMA}(c,c',a) \land \exists \textit{m}(\texttt{SCREENING}(c,m,d,t) \land \texttt{DIRECTOR}(\texttt{`Akira Kurosawa'},m))\}$

Example (In which movies did Reese Witherspoon or Jack Nicholson act?)

```
{(m) |
```

}

Example (Which movies are screened in the *Event* cinema?)

 $\{(m) \mid \exists d, t (SCREENING('Event', m, d, t)) \}$

Example (Where and when do we find screenings of movies directed by Akira Kurosawa?)

$$\{(c, c', a, d, t) \mid$$

 $\texttt{CINEMA}(c,c',a) \land \exists \textit{m}(\texttt{SCREENING}(c,m,d,t) \land \texttt{DIRECTOR}(\texttt{`Akira Kurosawa'},m))\}$

Example (In which movies did Reese Witherspoon or Jack Nicholson act?)

 $\{(m) \mid ACTOR('Reese Witherspoon', m,) ACTOR('Jack Nicholson', m,) \}$

Example (Which movies are screened in the *Event* cinema?)

 $\{(m) \mid \exists d, t (SCREENING('Event', m, d, t)) \}$

Example (Where and when do we find screenings of movies directed by Akira Kurosawa?)

$$\{(c, c', a, d, t) \mid$$

 $\texttt{CINEMA}(c,c',a) \land \exists \textit{m}(\texttt{SCREENING}(c,m,d,t) \land \texttt{DIRECTOR}(\texttt{`Akira Kurosawa'},m))\}$

Example (In which movies did Reese Witherspoon or Jack Nicholson act?)

 $\{(m) \mid ACTOR('Reese Witherspoon', m,) \lor ACTOR('Jack Nicholson', m,) \}$

Example (Which movies are screened in the *Event* cinema?)

$$\{(m) \mid \exists d, t (SCREENING('Event', m, d, t)) \}$$

Example (Where and when do we find screenings of movies directed by Akira Kurosawa?)

$$\{(c, c', a, d, t) \mid$$

 $\texttt{CINEMA}(c,c',a) \land \exists \textit{m}(\texttt{SCREENING}(c,m,d,t) \land \texttt{DIRECTOR}(\texttt{`Akira Kurosawa'},m))\}$

Example (In which movies did Reese Witherspoon or Jack Nicholson act?)

 $\{(m) \mid \exists r (ACTOR('Reese Witherspoon', m, r) \lor ACTOR('Jack Nicholson', m, r))\}$

Example (Which movies are screened in the *Event* cinema?)

 $\{(m) \mid \exists d, t (SCREENING('Event', m, d, t)) \}$

Example (Where and when do we find screenings of movies directed by Akira Kurosawa?)

$$\{(c, c', a, d, t) \mid$$

 $\texttt{CINEMA}(c,c',a) \land \exists \textit{m}(\texttt{SCREENING}(c,m,d,t) \land \texttt{DIRECTOR}(\texttt{`Akira Kurosawa'},m))\}$

Example (In which movies did Reese Witherspoon or Jack Nicholson act?)

 $\{(m) \mid \exists r (ACTOR(`Reese Witherspoon', m, r) \lor ACTOR(`Jack Nicholson', m, r))\}$

```
Example (Are there screenings in the Rialto cinema?)
{ | }
```

```
Example (Are there screenings in the Rialto cinema?)
{() | }
```

```
Example (Are there screenings in the Rialto cinema?) \{() \mid SCREENING( , m, d, t) \}
```

Example (Are there screenings in the *Rialto* cinema?)

 $\{() \mid SCREENING('Rialto', m, d, t) \}$

Example (Are there screenings in the Rialto cinema?)

 $\{() \mid \exists m, d, t(SCREENING('Rialto', m, d, t))\}$

Example (Are there screenings in the *Rialto* cinema?)

```
\{() \mid \exists m, d, t(SCREENING('Rialto', m, d, t)) \}
```

Example (In which cinemas are only movies screened that are directed only by non-actors?)

{

}

Example (Are there screenings in the *Rialto* cinema?)

 $\{() \mid \exists m, d, t(SCREENING('Rialto', m, d, t)) \}$

Example (In which cinemas are only movies screened that are directed only by non-actors?)

```
\{(c,c',a)\mid \text{CINEMA}(c,c',a)
```

}

Example (Are there screenings in the Rialto cinema?)

 $\{() \mid \exists m, d, t(SCREENING('Rialto', m, d, t)) \}$

$$\{(c,c',a)\mid \text{CINEMA}(c,c',a)$$

SCREENING
$$(c, m, d, t)$$

Example (Are there screenings in the Rialto cinema?)

 $\{() \mid \exists m, d, t(SCREENING('Rialto', m, d, t)) \}$

Example (In which cinemas are only movies screened that are directed only by non-actors?)

```
\{(c,c',a) \mid \text{CINEMA}(c,c',a) \ \text{DIRECTOR}(n,m)
```

Screening(c, m, d, t)

}

Example (Are there screenings in the Rialto cinema?)

 $\{() \mid \exists m, d, t(SCREENING('Rialto', m, d, t)) \}$

Example (Are there screenings in the Rialto cinema?)

 $\{() \mid \exists m, d, t(SCREENING('Rialto', m, d, t)) \}$

Example (Are there screenings in the Rialto cinema?)

 $\{() \mid \exists m, d, t(SCREENING('Rialto', m, d, t)) \}$

```
 \{(c, c', a) \mid \text{CINEMA}(c, c', a) \qquad (\text{SCREENING}(c, m, d, t) \\ \land \text{DIRECTOR}(n, m)) \qquad \neg \exists m', r(\text{ACTOR}(n, m', r)) \}
```

Example (Are there screenings in the *Rialto* cinema?)

 $\{() \mid \exists m, d, t(SCREENING('Rialto', m, d, t)) \}$

```
 \{(c,c',a) \mid \text{CINEMA}(c,c',a) \qquad (\text{SCREENING}(c,m,d,t) \\ \land \text{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m', r(\text{ACTOR}(n,m',r)) \}
```

Example (Are there screenings in the Rialto cinema?)

 $\{() \mid \exists m, d, t(SCREENING('Rialto', m, d, t)) \}$

```
 \{(c, c', a) \mid \text{Cinema}(c, c', a) \quad \forall m, d, t, n((\text{Screening}(c, m, d, t) \land \text{Director}(n, m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m', r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r))) \}
```

Example (Are there screenings in the Rialto cinema?)

 $\{() \mid \exists m, d, t(SCREENING('Rialto', m, d, t)) \}$

```
 \{(c, c', a) \mid \text{Cinema}(c, c', a) \land \forall m, d, t, n((\text{Screening}(c, m, d, t) \land \text{Director}(n, m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m', r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r))) \}
```

Example (Are there screenings in the *Rialto* cinema?)

 $\{() \mid \exists m, d, t(SCREENING('Rialto', m, d, t)) \}$

Example (In which cinemas are only movies screened that are directed only by non-actors?)

```
 \{(c,c',a) \mid \text{Cinema}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n((\text{Screening}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{Director}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{Actor}(n,m',r)))\}
```

Example (Which directors played exactly one role in each of their movies?)

{

}

Example (Are there screenings in the *Rialto* cinema?)

 $\{() \mid \exists m, d, t(SCREENING('Rialto', m, d, t)) \}$

Example (In which cinemas are only movies screened that are directed only by non-actors?)

```
 \{(c,c',a) \mid \text{Cinema}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n ((\text{Screening}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{Director}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{Actor}(n,m',r))) \}
```

Example (Which directors played exactly one role in each of their movies?)

```
\{(n) \mid \text{DIRECTOR}(n, m)\}
```

,

Example (Are there screenings in the *Rialto* cinema?)

 $\{() \mid \exists m, d, t(SCREENING('Rialto', m, d, t)) \}$

Example (In which cinemas are only movies screened that are directed only by non-actors?)

$$\{(c,c',a) \mid \text{Cinema}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n((\text{Screening}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{Director}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{Actor}(n,m',r)))\}$$

Example (Which directors played exactly one role in each of their movies?)

```
\{(n) \mid \text{DIRECTOR}(n, m) \}
ACTOR(n, m', r)
```

© Professor Sebastian Link

Example (Are there screenings in the Rialto cinema?)

 $\{() \mid \exists m, d, t(SCREENING('Rialto', m, d, t)) \}$

Example (In which cinemas are only movies screened that are directed only by non-actors?)

$$\{(c,c',a) \mid \text{Cinema}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n((\text{Screening}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{Director}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{Actor}(n,m',r)))\}$$

Example (Which directors played exactly one role in each of their movies?)

```
\{(n) \mid \text{DIRECTOR}(n, m) \text{DIRECTOR}(n, m') \}
ACTOR(n, m', r)
```

Example (Are there screenings in the *Rialto* cinema?)

 $\{() \mid \exists m, d, t(SCREENING('Rialto', m, d, t)) \}$

Example (In which cinemas are only movies screened that are directed only by non-actors?)

$$\{(c,c',a) \mid \text{Cinema}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n((\text{Screening}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{Director}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{Actor}(n,m',r)))\}$$

```
\{(n) \mid \text{DIRECTOR}(n, m) \quad \text{DIRECTOR}(n, m') \\ \exists r(\text{ACTOR}(n, m', r))
```

Example (Are there screenings in the Rialto cinema?)

 $\{() \mid \exists m, d, t(SCREENING('Rialto', m, d, t)) \}$

Example (In which cinemas are only movies screened that are directed only by non-actors?)

$$\{(c,c',a) \mid \text{Cinema}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n((\text{Screening}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{Director}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{Actor}(n,m',r)))\}$$

```
\{(n) \mid \text{DIRECTOR}(n, m) \quad \text{DIRECTOR}(n, m') \\ \Rightarrow \exists r (\text{ACTOR}(n, m', r))
```

Example (Are there screenings in the *Rialto* cinema?)

 $\{() \mid \exists m, d, t(SCREENING('Rialto', m, d, t)) \}$

Example (In which cinemas are only movies screened that are directed only by non-actors?)

$$\{(c,c',a) \mid \text{Cinema}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n((\text{Screening}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{Director}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{Actor}(n,m',r)))\}$$

```
 \{(n) \mid \text{DIRECTOR}(n, m) \quad \forall m'(\text{DIRECTOR}(n, m') \\ \Rightarrow \exists r(\text{ACTOR}(n, m', r)) \}
```

Example (Are there screenings in the *Rialto* cinema?)

 $\{() \mid \exists m, d, t(SCREENING('Rialto', m, d, t)) \}$

Example (In which cinemas are only movies screened that are directed only by non-actors?)

$$\{(c,c',a) \mid \text{Cinema}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n((\text{Screening}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{Director}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{Actor}(n,m',r)))\}$$

```
 \{(n) \mid \text{DIRECTOR}(n, m) \quad \forall m'(\text{DIRECTOR}(n, m') \\ \Rightarrow \exists r(\text{ACTOR}(n, m', r) \quad \text{ACTOR}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))\}
```

Example (Are there screenings in the *Rialto* cinema?)

 $\{() \mid \exists m, d, t(SCREENING('Rialto', m, d, t)) \}$

Example (In which cinemas are only movies screened that are directed only by non-actors?)

$$\{(c,c',a) \mid \text{Cinema}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n((\text{Screening}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{Director}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{Actor}(n,m',r)))\}$$

```
 \{(n) \mid \text{DIRECTOR}(n, m) \quad \forall m'(\text{DIRECTOR}(n, m') \\ \Rightarrow \exists r(\text{ACTOR}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{ACTOR}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))) \}
```

Example (Are there screenings in the *Rialto* cinema?)

 $\{() \mid \exists m, d, t(SCREENING('Rialto', m, d, t)) \}$

Example (In which cinemas are only movies screened that are directed only by non-actors?)

$$\{(c,c',a) \mid \text{Cinema}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n((\text{Screening}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{Director}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{Actor}(n,m',r)))\}$$

```
 \{(n) \mid \text{DIRECTOR}(n, m) \land \forall m'(\text{DIRECTOR}(n, m') \\ \Rightarrow \exists r(\text{ACTOR}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{ACTOR}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))) \}
```

Example (Are there screenings in the *Rialto* cinema?)

 $\{() \mid \exists m, d, t(SCREENING('Rialto', m, d, t)) \}$

Example (In which cinemas are only movies screened that are directed only by non-actors?)

$$\{(c,c',a) \mid \text{Cinema}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n((\text{Screening}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{Director}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{Actor}(n,m',r)))\}$$

```
 \{(n) \mid \exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \\ \Rightarrow \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))) \}
```

Example (Are there screenings in the *Rialto* cinema?)

 $\{() \mid \exists m, d, t(SCREENING('Rialto', m, d, t)) \}$

Example (In which cinemas are only movies screened that are directed only by non-actors?)

$$\{(c,c',a) \mid \text{Cinema}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n((\text{Screening}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{Director}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{Actor}(n,m',r)))\}$$

```
 \{(n) \mid \exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \\ \Rightarrow \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))) \}
```

Tuple Relational Calculus: Sorts and Terms

The TRC is based on a named global perspective

Tuple Relational Calculus: Sorts and Terms

The TRC is based on a named global perspective

Sorts

- Sorts are not only the domains D_i , but also relation schemata $R \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ including those in \mathcal{S}
- Only provide 'sufficiently large' sets V_R of variables for each of the 'relation sorts' $R \subseteq \mathcal{U}$

Tuple Relational Calculus: Sorts and Terms

The TRC is based on a named global perspective

Sorts

- Sorts are not only the domains D_i , but also relation schemata $R \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ including those in \mathcal{S}
- Only provide 'sufficiently large' sets V_R of variables for each of the 'relation sorts' $R \subseteq \mathcal{U}$

Terms

- ullet terms of sort R are only the variables $t \in V_R$
- terms of sort D_i are either the constants $d \in D_i$ or have the form t : A, where A is an attribute in #t (again denoting the sort of t) with $dom(A) = D_i$

Tuple Relational Calculus: Atoms and Formulae

Atoms

- ullet Either *predicate formulae* of the form R(t) with $t \in V_R$ or
- comparison formulae of the form $t_1 = t_2$ with terms t_1 , t_2 of the same sort

Tuple Relational Calculus: Atoms and Formulae

Atoms

- ullet Either *predicate formulae* of the form R(t) with $t \in V_R$ or
- comparison formulae of the form $t_1 = t_2$ with terms t_1 , t_2 of the same sort

Formulae

- Are built inductively from the atoms as for the DRC
- Free variables are defined analogously

Interpretation

Needs value assignment τ to the tuple variables:

for $t \in V_R$ the value au(t) is some R-tuple

Interpretation

Needs value assignment τ to the tuple variables: for $t \in V_R$ the value $\tau(t)$ is some R-tuple

Extending interpretations

Interpret an atom R(t) as true iff $\tau(t)$ is a tuple in the R-relation of the database db

Interpretation

Needs value assignment au to the tuple variables:

for $t \in V_R$ the value $\tau(t)$ is some R-tuple

Extending interpretations

Interpret an atom R(t) as true iff au(t) is a tuple in the R-relation of the database db

Queries in the TRC

$$\{t \mid \varphi\}$$
 where $fr(\varphi) = \{t\}$

Interpretation

Needs value assignment τ to the tuple variables:

for $t \in V_R$ the value $\tau(t)$ is some R-tuple

Extending interpretations

Interpret an atom R(t) as true iff au(t) is a tuple in the R-relation of the database db

Queries in the TRC

$$\{t \mid \varphi\}$$
 where $fr(\varphi) = \{t\}$

Query mapping

$$q(Q)(db) = \{\tau(t) \mid \omega_{(db,\tau)}(\varphi) = \mathbf{T}\}$$

Interpretation

Needs value assignment τ to the tuple variables:

for $t \in V_R$ the value $\tau(t)$ is some R-tuple

Extending interpretations

Interpret an atom R(t) as true iff au(t) is a tuple in the R-relation of the database db

Queries in the TRC

$$\{t \mid \varphi\}$$
 where $fr(\varphi) = \{t\}$

Query mapping

$$q(Q)(db) = \{\tau(t) \mid \omega_{(db,\tau)}(\varphi) = \mathbf{T}\}$$

DRC and TRC can shown to be equivalent

Examples of TRC queries

Which movies are screened in the *Event* cinema?

- in DRC: $\{(m) \mid \exists d, t \text{ (SCREENING('Event', } m, d, t))}$
- in TRC: $\{(s:m) \mid \exists s', s': c, s': m(SCREENING(s') \land s': c = 'Event' \land s': m = s:m)\}$

Examples of TRC queries

Which movies are screened in the Event cinema?

- in DRC: $\{(m) \mid \exists d, t \text{ (SCREENING('Event', } m, d, t))\}$
- in TRC: $\{(s:m) \mid \exists s', s': c, s': m(SCREENING(s') \land s': c = 'Event' \land s': m = s:m)\}$

Which movie directors played exactly one role in each of their movies?

• in DRC:

$$\{(n) \mid \exists m. \text{Director}(n, m) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))) \}$$

• in TRC:

```
 \{ (d:n) \mid \exists d', d': n(\mathrm{DIRECTOR}(d') \land d:n = d': n \land \\ \forall d'', d'': n, d'': m((\mathrm{DIRECTOR}(d'') \land d'': n = d': n) \Rightarrow \\ \exists a, a: n, a: m, a: r(\mathrm{ACTOR}(a) \land a: n = d'': n \land a: m = d'': m \land \\ \forall a', a': n, a': m, a': r((\mathrm{ACTOR}(a') \land a': n = a: n \land a': m = a: m) \Rightarrow a': r = a: r)))) \}
```

Intended: List cinemas that never screen 'The Godfather'

 $\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg SCREENING(c, 'The Godfather', d, t))\}$

Intended: List cinemas that never screen 'The Godfather'

 $\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg SCREENING(c, 'The Godfather', d, t))\}$

produces an *infinite* answer, since $\sharp c = \mathtt{string}$ and for every string c that is not an actual cinema no d and no t exist such that (c, ')The Godfather', d, t) is in Screening

Intended: List cinemas that never screen 'The Godfather'

 $\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg SCREENING(c, 'The Godfather', d, t))\}$

produces an *infinite* answer, since $\sharp c = \mathtt{string}$ and for every string c that is not an actual cinema no d and no t exist such that (c, The Godfather, d, t) is in Screening

$$\{(c) \mid \exists c', a(\texttt{CINEMA}(c, c', a)) \land \forall d, t(\neg \texttt{SCREENING}(c, '\mathsf{The} \; \mathsf{Godfather}', d, t))\}$$

Intended: List (c, d) pairs that shows cinemas which screen 'The Piano' on d = 28.03.', or screen 'Inception' in the c = 'Event' cinema

 $\{(c,d)\mid$

 $\exists t (SCREENING(c, 'The Piano', '28.03.', t) \lor SCREENING('Event', 'Inception', d, t)) \}$

Intended: List (c,d) pairs that shows cinemas which screen 'The Piano' on d=28.03.', or screen 'Inception' in the c= 'Event' cinema

```
\{(c,d) \mid \exists t(\text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Piano'}, '28.03.', t) \lor \text{SCREENING}('\text{Event'}, '\text{Inception'}, d, t))\}
```

undesired answers: if ('Rialto', 'The Piano', '28.03.', '9pm') in Screening, then ('Rialto', d) in answer for every date d, and if ('Event', 'Inception', '26.03.', '8pm') in Screening, then (c, '26.03.') in answer for every string c

Intended: List (c,d) pairs that shows cinemas which screen 'The Piano' on d= '28.03.', or screen 'Inception' in the c= 'Event' cinema

 $\{(c,d) \mid \exists t (SCREENING(c, 'The Piano', '28.03.', t) \lor SCREENING('Event', 'Inception', d, t))\}$

undesired answers: if ('Rialto','The Piano','28.03.','9pm') in Screening, then ('Rialto', d) in answer for every date d, and if ('Event','Inception','26.03.','8pm') in Screening, then (c, '26.03.') in answer for every string c

$$\{(c,d) \mid \exists t ((SCREENING(c, 'The Piano', d, t) \land d = '28.03.') \lor (SCREENING(c, 'Inception', d, t) \land c = 'Event')) \}$$

Intended: List cinemas that always screen 'When Harry met Sally'

 $\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\texttt{SCREENING}(c, \texttt{'When Harry met Sally'}, d, t))\}$

Intended: List cinemas that always screen 'When Harry met Sally'

 $\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, 'When Harry met Sally', }d, t))\}$

Problems

• produces a finite answer which depends on the domains dom(date) and dom(time)

Intended: List cinemas that always screen 'When Harry met Sally'

 $\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, 'When Harry met Sally', }d, t))\}$

Problems

- produces a finite answer which depends on the domains dom(date) and dom(time)
- if dom(date) is infinite, then the answer relation will be empty

Intended: List cinemas that always screen 'When Harry met Sally'

 $\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, 'When Harry met Sally', }d, t))\}$

Problems

- produces a finite answer which depends on the domains dom(date) and dom(time)
- if dom(date) is infinite, then the answer relation will be empty
- if it is finite, it is possible to get a non-empty answer relation

Intended: List cinemas that always screen 'When Harry met Sally'

 $\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(SCREENING(c, 'When Harry met Sally', d, t))\}$

Problems

- produces a finite answer which depends on the domains dom(date) and dom(time)
- if dom(date) is infinite, then the answer relation will be empty
- if it is finite, it is possible to get a non-empty answer relation

$$\{(c) \mid \exists c', a(\text{CINEMA}(c, c', a)) \land \forall m, d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, m, d, t) \Rightarrow \text{SCREENING}(c, 'When Harry met Sally', d, t))\}$$

Intended: List cinemas that always screen 'When Harry met Sally'

 $\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, 'When Harry met Sally', }d, t))\}$

Problems

- produces a finite answer which depends on the domains dom(date) and dom(time)
- if dom(date) is infinite, then the answer relation will be empty
- if it is finite, it is possible to get a non-empty answer relation

$$\{(c) \mid \exists c', a(\text{CINEMA}(c, c', a)) \land \forall m, d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, m, d, t)) \Rightarrow \text{SCREENING}(c, 'When Harry met Sally', d, t)\}$$

General problem: answer relation depends on the domains D_i

Solutions - Active Domains

Notation

Let adom(Q) denote the (finite) set of constants occurring in the query Q, and let adom(db) denote the (finite) sets of constants occurring in the database db

Solutions - Active Domains

Notation

Let adom(Q) denote the (finite) set of constants occurring in the query Q, and let adom(db) denote the (finite) sets of constants occurring in the database db

Restriction of variable assignments

$$\tau(x) \in (adom(Q) \cup adom(db)) \cap D_i$$
 is required for $\#x = D_i$

Solutions - Active Domains

Notation

Let adom(Q) denote the (finite) set of constants occurring in the query Q, and let adom(db) denote the (finite) sets of constants occurring in the database db

Restriction of variable assignments

$$au(x) \in (adom(Q) \cup adom(db)) \cap D_i$$
 is required for $\#x = D_i$

we talk of active domain semantics

Solutions - Domain Independence

Consider sets adom with

 $adom(db) \cup adom(Q) \subseteq adom \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} D_i$

Solutions - Domain Independence

Consider sets adom with

$$adom(db) \cup adom(Q) \subseteq adom \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} D_i$$

- consider variable assignments restricted to $\tau(x) \in adom \cap D_i$ for $\#x = D_i$
- only allow queries for which the result does not depend on the chosen adom
- we talk of domain independent semantics

Solutions - Domain Independence

Consider sets adom with

$$adom(db) \cup adom(Q) \subseteq adom \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} D_i$$

- consider variable assignments restricted to $\tau(x) \in adom \cap D_i$ for $\#x = D_i$
- only allow queries for which the result does not depend on the chosen adom
- we talk of domain independent semantics

domain independence is an undecidable property

Solutions - Safe Relational Calculus

syntactic restrictions on the formulae used in DRC queries

Solutions - Safe Relational Calculus

syntactic restrictions on the formulae used in DRC queries

such formulae will be called safe

Safe Range Normal Form

Safe range normal form (SRNF)

Checks whether a query is safe or not

Safe Range Normal Form

Safe range normal form (SRNF)

Checks whether a query is safe or not

SRNF of a query Q does not change Q's meaning, it just checks if Q is safe

Safe Range Normal Form

Safe range normal form (SRNF)

Checks whether a query is safe or not

SRNF of a query Q does not change Q's meaning, it just checks if Q is safe

SRNF results from the application of transformation rules to formulae that preserve their meaning

Elimination of shortcuts

First eliminate shortcuts related to universal quantification \forall , implication \Rightarrow and equivalence \Leftrightarrow

Elimination of shortcuts

First eliminate shortcuts related to universal quantification \forall , implication \Rightarrow and equivalence \Leftrightarrow

Bounded renaming

Change the names of bounded variables, i.e., those occurring within the scope of a quantifier, in such a way that there is no variable which is both free and bound.

© Professor Sebastian Link

Elimination of shortcuts

First eliminate shortcuts related to universal quantification \forall , implication \Rightarrow and equivalence \Leftrightarrow

Bounded renaming

Change the names of bounded variables, i.e., those occurring within the scope of a quantifier, in such a way that there is no variable which is both free and bound.

Shift negation

Successively replace subformulae in a way that negation only occurs in front of an existential quantifier or an atom:

- remove double negation, i.e., replace $\neg\neg\varphi$ by φ
- replace $\neg(\varphi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_n)$ by $\neg \varphi_1 \vee \cdots \vee \neg \varphi_n$
- replace $\neg(\varphi_1 \lor \cdots \lor \varphi_n)$ by $\neg \varphi_1 \land \cdots \land \neg \varphi_n$

Shift disjunction

Successively apply the distribution laws for conjunction and disjunction until there is no more disjunction occurring within the scope of a conjunction.

Shift disjunction

Successively apply the distribution laws for conjunction and disjunction until there is no more disjunction occurring within the scope of a conjunction.

Omit parentheses

Omit all parentheses that are unnecessary according to associativity laws.

Hitchcock query

 $\exists m(\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \land \forall m'(\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(n,m') \Rightarrow \exists r(\mathsf{ACTOR}(n,m',r) \land \forall r'(\mathsf{ACTOR}(n,m',r') \Rightarrow r = r')))$

Replace inner implication

 $\exists m(\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \land \forall m'(\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(n,m') \Rightarrow \exists r(\mathsf{ACTOR}(n,m',r) \land \forall r'(\mathsf{ACTOR}(n,m',r') \Rightarrow r = r')))$

Replace inner implication

 $\exists m(\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \land \forall m'(\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(n,m') \Rightarrow \exists r(\mathsf{ACTOR}(n,m',r) \land \forall r'(\neg \mathsf{ACTOR}(n,m',r') \lor r = r')))$

Replace inner universal quantification

 $\exists m(\texttt{Director}(n,m)) \land \forall m' (\texttt{Director}(n,m') \Rightarrow \exists r(\texttt{Actor}(n,m',r) \land \forall r' (\neg \texttt{Actor}(n,m',r') \lor r = r')))$

Replace inner universal quantification

 $\exists m(\texttt{Director}(n,m)) \land \forall m'(\texttt{Director}(n,m') \Rightarrow \exists r(\texttt{Actor}(n,m',r) \land \neg \exists r'(\neg(\neg \texttt{Actor}(n,m',r') \lor r = r'))))$

Shift negation

 $\exists m(\mathsf{Director}(n,m)) \land \forall m'(\mathsf{Director}(n,m') \Rightarrow \exists r(\mathsf{Actor}(n,m',r) \land \neg \exists r'(\neg(\neg \mathsf{Actor}(n,m',r') \lor r = r'))))$

Shift negation

 $\exists m(\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \land \forall m'(\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(n,m') \Rightarrow \exists r(\mathsf{ACTOR}(n,m',r) \land \neg \exists r'(\neg \neg \mathsf{ACTOR}(n,m',r') \land \neg r = r')))$

Eliminate double negation

 $\exists m(\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \land \forall m'(\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(n,m') \Rightarrow \exists r(\mathsf{ACTOR}(n,m',r) \land \neg \exists r'(\neg \neg \mathsf{ACTOR}(n,m',r') \land \neg r = r')))$

Eliminate double negation

 $\exists m(\text{Director}(n,m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n,m') \Rightarrow \exists r(\text{Actor}(n,m',r) \land \neg \exists r'(\text{Actor}(n,m',r') \land r \neq r')))$

Replace implication

 $\exists m(\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \land \forall m'(\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(n,m') \Rightarrow \exists r(\mathsf{ACTOR}(n,m',r) \land \neg \exists r'(\mathsf{ACTOR}(n,m',r') \land r \neq r')))$

Replace implication

 $\exists m \big(\text{Director}(n,m) \big) \land \forall m' \big(\neg \text{Director}(n,m') \lor \exists r \big(\text{Actor}(n,m',r) \land \neg \exists r' \big(\text{Actor}(n,m',r') \land r \neq r' \big) \big) \big)$

Replace universal quantification

 $\exists m(\text{Director}(n,m)) \land \forall m'(\neg \text{Director}(n,m') \lor \exists r(\text{Actor}(n,m',r) \land \neg \exists r'(\text{Actor}(n,m',r') \land r \neq r')))$

Replace universal quantification

 $\exists m(\mathsf{Director}(n,m)) \land \neg \exists m' (\neg (\neg \mathsf{Director}(n,m') \lor \exists r(\mathsf{Actor}(n,m',r) \land \neg \exists r' (\mathsf{Actor}(n,m',r') \land r \neq r'))))$

Shift negation

 $\exists m(\text{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \land \neg \exists m' (\neg (\neg \text{DIRECTOR}(n,m') \lor \exists r(\text{ACTOR}(n,m',r) \land \neg \exists r' (\text{ACTOR}(n,m',r') \land r \neq r'))))$

Shift negation

 $\exists m(\text{Director}(n,m)) \land \neg \exists m'(\neg \neg \text{Director}(n,m') \land \neg \exists r(\text{Actor}(n,m',r) \land \neg \exists r'(\text{Actor}(n,m',r') \land r \neq r')))$

Eliminate double negation

 $\exists m(\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \land \neg \exists m'(\neg \neg \mathsf{DIRECTOR}(n,m') \land \neg \exists r(\mathsf{ACTOR}(n,m',r) \land \neg \exists r'(\mathsf{ACTOR}(n,m',r') \land r \neq r')))$

Eliminate double negation

 $\exists m(\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \land \neg \exists m'(\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(n,m') \land \neg \exists r(\mathsf{ACTOR}(n,m',r) \land \neg \exists r'(\mathsf{ACTOR}(n,m',r') \land r \neq r')))$

Hitchcock in SRNF

 $\exists m(\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \land \neg \exists m'(\mathsf{DIRECTOR}(n,m') \land \neg \exists r(\mathsf{ACTOR}(n,m',r) \land \neg \exists r'(\mathsf{ACTOR}(n,m',r') \land r \neq r')))$

Safe Formulae

Major characteristic of formulae in SRNF

for each negated formula $\neg \varphi$,

the subformula φ is either an atom or an existentially quantified formula

Safe Formulae

Major characteristic of formulae in SRNF

 $\text{for each negated formula } \neg \varphi,$ the subformula φ is either an atom or an existentially quantified formula

Roughly speaking a formula is safe iff all free variables in its SRNF are range-restricted

The set $rr(\varphi)$ of range-restricted variables is defined inductively

The set $rr(\varphi)$ of range-restricted variables is defined inductively

Atoms

$$rr(\varphi) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \textit{undefined} & \textit{, if } \varphi \textit{ denotes } x = y \\ \textit{fr}(\varphi) & \textit{, otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

The set $rr(\varphi)$ of range-restricted variables is defined inductively

Atoms

$$rr(\varphi) = \begin{cases} undefined & \text{, if } \varphi \text{ denotes } x = y \\ fr(\varphi) & \text{, otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Conjunction $\varphi \equiv \varphi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_n$

- Let \mathbb{F} be those φ_i that are not comparison formulae x=y involving just two variables or negations of such formulae
- If at least one $rr(\varphi_i)$ with $\varphi_i \in \mathbb{F}$ is undefined, then $rr(\varphi)$ is undefined, too.
- Otherwise, for equivalence class $[\![x]\!]_=$ of x wrt equality in $\varphi_i \notin \mathbb{F}$ define:

$$rr(\varphi) = \bigcup_{\varphi_i \in \mathbb{F}} rr(\varphi_i) \cup \{ \llbracket x \rrbracket = | \text{ some } \varphi_i \text{ is } x = y \text{ and } \llbracket x \rrbracket = \cap rr(\varphi_j) \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } \varphi_j \in \mathbb{F} \}$$

Disjunction $\varphi \equiv \varphi_1 \vee \cdots \vee \varphi_n$

- $rr(\varphi) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} rr(\varphi_i)$, if all $rr(\varphi_i)$ are defined
- ullet Otherwise let $\mathit{rr}(\varphi)$ be undefined

Range-restricted Variables

Disjunction $\varphi \equiv \varphi_1 \lor \cdots \lor \varphi_n$

- $rr(\varphi) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} rr(\varphi_i)$, if all $rr(\varphi_i)$ are defined
- Otherwise let $rr(\varphi)$ be undefined

Existential formulae

- $rr(\exists x(\psi)) = rr(\psi) \{x\}$, if $rr(\psi)$ is defined and $x \in rr(\psi)$
- Otherwise let it be undefined

Range-restricted Variables

Disjunction $\varphi \equiv \varphi_1 \lor \cdots \lor \varphi_n$

- $rr(\varphi) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} rr(\varphi_i)$, if all $rr(\varphi_i)$ are defined
- Otherwise let $rr(\varphi)$ be undefined

Existential formulae

- $rr(\exists x(\psi)) = rr(\psi) \{x\}$, if $rr(\psi)$ is defined and $x \in rr(\psi)$
- Otherwise let it be undefined

Negation

- $rr(\neg \varphi) = \emptyset$, if $rr(\varphi)$ is defined
- Otherwise let it be undefined

Safe Relational Calculus

A formula φ is called *safe* iff its SRNF ψ satisfies $rr(\psi) = fr(\varphi)$

Safe Relational Calculus

A formula φ is called *safe* iff its SRNF ψ satisfies $rr(\psi) = fr(\varphi)$

A query in DRC is safe iff its defining formula is safe

$$\{(m) \mid \exists d, t \text{ (SCREENING('Event', } m, d, t))\}$$

The formula is already in SRNF. Here we get $rr(\varphi) = \{m\} = fr(\varphi)$.

$$\{(m) \mid \exists d, t \text{ (SCREENING('Event', } m, d, t))\}$$

The formula is already in SRNF. Here we get $rr(\varphi) = \{m\} = fr(\varphi)$.

$$\{(c, c', a, d, t) \mid CINEMA(c, c', a) \land \exists m(SCREENING(c, m, d, t) \land DIRECTOR('Akira Kurosawa', m))\}$$

The formula is already in SRNF. Here we get $rr(\varphi) = \{c, c', a, d, t\} = fr(\varphi)$.

$$\{(m) \mid \exists d, t \text{ (SCREENING('Event', } m, d, t))\}$$

The formula is already in SRNF. Here we get $rr(\varphi) = \{m\} = fr(\varphi)$.

$$\{(c, c', a, d, t) \mid CINEMA(c, c', a) \land \exists m(SCREENING(c, m, d, t) \land DIRECTOR('Akira Kurosawa', m))\}$$

The formula is already in SRNF. Here we get $rr(\varphi) = \{c, c', a, d, t\} = fr(\varphi)$.

$$\{(m) \mid \exists r (ACTOR('Reese Witherspoon', m, r) \lor ACTOR('Jack Nicholson', m, r))\}$$

The formula is already in SRNF. Here we get $rr(\varphi) = \{m\} = fr(\varphi)$.

$\overline{\{(m) \mid \exists d, t \text{ (SCREENING('Event', } m, d, t))\}}$

The formula is already in SRNF. Here we get $rr(\varphi) = \{m\} = fr(\varphi)$.

$$\{(c, c', a, d, t) \mid CINEMA(c, c', a) \land \exists m(SCREENING(c, m, d, t) \land DIRECTOR('Akira Kurosawa', m))\}$$

The formula is already in SRNF. Here we get $rr(\varphi) = \{c, c', a, d, t\} = fr(\varphi)$.

$$\{(m) \mid \exists r (ACTOR('Reese Witherspoon', m, r) \lor ACTOR('Jack Nicholson', m, r))\}$$

The formula is already in SRNF. Here we get $rr(\varphi) = \{m\} = fr(\varphi)$.

$\{() \mid \exists m, d, t(\text{SCREENING}('\text{Rialto}', m, d, t))\}$

The formula is already in SRNF. Here we get $rr(\varphi) = \emptyset = fr(\varphi)$.

Original Query

 $\{(c,c',a) \mid \text{CINEMA}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n((\text{SCREENING}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{ACTOR}(n,m',r)))\}$

Original Query

$$\{(c,c',a) \mid \text{CINEMA}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n((\text{SCREENING}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{ACTOR}(n,m',r)))\}$$

```
 \{(c,c',a) \mid \text{CINEMA}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n((\text{SCREENING}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{ACTOR}(n,m',r)))\}
```

Original Query

$$\{(c,c',a) \mid \text{CINEMA}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n((\text{SCREENING}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{ACTOR}(n,m',r)))\}$$

```
 \{(c,c',a) \mid \text{CINEMA}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n((\text{SCREENING}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{ACTOR}(n,m',r))) \}
```

Original Query

$$\{(c,c',a) \mid \text{CINEMA}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n((\text{SCREENING}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{ACTOR}(n,m',r)))\}$$

```
 \{(c,c',a) \mid \text{Cinema}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n(\neg(\text{Screening}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{Director}(n,m)) \lor \neg \exists m',r(\text{Actor}(n,m',r)))\}
```

Original Query

$$\{(c,c',a) \mid \text{CINEMA}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n((\text{SCREENING}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{ACTOR}(n,m',r)))\}$$

```
 \{(c,c',a) \mid \text{Cinema}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t, n(\neg(\text{Screening}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{Director}(n,m)) \lor \neg \exists m', r(\text{Actor}(n,m',r)))\}
```

Original Query

$$\{(c,c',a) \mid \text{CINEMA}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n((\text{SCREENING}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{ACTOR}(n,m',r)))\}$$

```
 \{(c,c',a) \mid \text{Cinema}(c,c',a) \land \neg \exists m,d,t,n \neg (\neg (\text{Screening}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{Director}(n,m)) \lor \neg \exists m',r(\text{Actor}(n,m',r))) \}
```

Original Query

$$\{(c,c',a) \mid \text{CINEMA}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n((\text{SCREENING}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{ACTOR}(n,m',r)))\}$$

```
 \{(c,c',a) \mid \text{Cinema}(c,c',a) \land \neg \exists m,d,t,n \neg (\neg (\text{Screening}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{Director}(n,m)) \lor \neg \exists m',r(\text{Actor}(n,m',r)))\}
```

Original Query

$$\{(c,c',a) \mid \text{CINEMA}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n((\text{SCREENING}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{ACTOR}(n,m',r)))\}$$

```
 \{(c,c',a) \mid \text{CINEMA}(c,c',a) \land \neg \exists m,d,t,n \neg \neg (\text{SCREENING}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \land \neg \neg \exists m',r(\text{ACTOR}(n,m',r))) \}
```

Original Query

$$\{(c,c',a) \mid \text{CINEMA}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n((\text{SCREENING}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{ACTOR}(n,m',r)))\}$$

```
 \{(c,c',a) \mid \text{Cinema}(c,c',a) \land \neg \exists m,d,t,n \neg \neg (\text{Screening}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{Director}(n,m)) \land \neg \neg \exists m',r(\text{Actor}(n,m',r))) \}
```

Original Query

$$\{(c,c',a) \mid \text{CINEMA}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n((\text{SCREENING}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{ACTOR}(n,m',r)))\}$$

```
 \{(c,c',a) \mid \text{Cinema}(c,c',a) \land \neg \exists m,d,t,n (\text{Screening}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{Director}(n,m)) \land \exists m',r (\text{Actor}(n,m',r))) \}
```

Original Query

$$\{(c,c',a) \mid \text{CINEMA}(c,c',a) \land \forall m,d,t,n((\text{SCREENING}(c,m,d,t) \land \text{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists m',r(\text{ACTOR}(n,m',r)))\}$$

Transform into SRNF

$$\{(c,c',a) \mid \text{Cinema}(c,c',a) \land \neg \exists m,d,t,n (\text{Screening}(c,m,d,t) \land \\ \text{Director}(n,m)) \land \exists m',r (\text{Actor}(n,m',r))) \}$$

The query is safe because $rr(\varphi) = \{c, c', a\} = fr(\varphi)$

Original Query

```
 \{(n) \mid \exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))) \}
```

Original Query

$$\{(n) \mid \exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))) \}$$

```
\exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r')))
```

Original Query

$$\{(n) \mid \exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))) \}$$

```
\exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r')))
```

Original Query

$$\{(n) \mid \exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))) \}$$

```
\exists m(\text{DIRECTOR}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{DIRECTOR}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{ACTOR}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\neg \text{ACTOR}(n, m', r') \lor r = r')))
```

Original Query

$$\{(n) \mid \exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))) \}$$

```
\exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\neg \text{Actor}(n, m', r') \lor r = r')))
```

Original Query

$$\{(n) \mid \exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))) \}$$

```
\exists m(\text{DIRECTOR}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{DIRECTOR}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{ACTOR}(n, m', r) \land \neg \exists r' \neg (\neg \text{ACTOR}(n, m', r') \lor r = r')))
```

Original Query

```
 \{(n) \mid \exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))) \}
```

```
\exists m(\text{DIRECTOR}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{DIRECTOR}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{ACTOR}(n, m', r) \land \neg \exists r' \neg (\neg \text{ACTOR}(n, m', r') \lor r = r')))
```

Original Query

$$\{(n) \mid \exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))) \}$$

```
\exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \neg \exists r'(\neg \neg \text{Actor}(n, m', r') \land \neg r = r')))
```

Original Query

$$\{(n) \mid \exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))) \}$$

```
\exists m(\text{DIRECTOR}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{DIRECTOR}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{ACTOR}(n, m', r) \land \neg \exists r'(\neg \neg \text{ACTOR}(n, m', r') \land \neg r = r')))
```

Original Query

$$\{(n) \mid \exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))) \}$$

```
\exists m(\text{DIRECTOR}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{DIRECTOR}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{ACTOR}(n, m', r) \land \neg \exists r'(\text{ACTOR}(n, m', r') \land r \neq r')))
```

Original Query

$$\{(n) \mid \exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))) \}$$

```
\exists m(\text{DIRECTOR}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{DIRECTOR}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{ACTOR}(n, m', r) \land \neg \exists r'(\text{ACTOR}(n, m', r') \land r \neq r')))
```

Original Query

```
 \{(n) \mid \exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))) \}
```

```
\exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\neg \text{Director}(n, m') \lor \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \neg \exists r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \land r \neq r')))
```

Original Query

$$\{(n) \mid \exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))) \}$$

$\mathsf{Transform}$ into SRNF

```
\exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\neg \text{Director}(n, m') \lor \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \neg \exists r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \land r \neq r')))
```

Original Query

$$\{(n) \mid \exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))) \}$$

```
\exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \neg \exists m' \neg (\neg \text{Director}(n, m') \lor \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \neg \exists r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \land r \neq r')))
```

Original Query

$$\{(n) \mid \exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))) \}$$

```
\exists m(\operatorname{DIRECTOR}(n,m)) \land \neg \exists m' \neg (\neg \operatorname{DIRECTOR}(n,m') \lor \exists r(\operatorname{ACTOR}(n,m',r) \land \neg \exists r'(\operatorname{ACTOR}(n,m',r') \land r \neq r')))
```

Original Query

$$\{(n) \mid \exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))) \}$$

```
\exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \neg \exists m'(\neg \neg \text{Director}(n, m') \land \neg \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \neg \exists r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \land r \neq r')))
```

Original Query

$$\{(n) \mid \exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))) \}$$

```
\exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \neg \exists m'(\neg \neg \text{Director}(n, m') \land \neg \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \neg \exists r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \land r \neq r')))
```

Another Example Revisited

Original Query

$$\{(n) \mid \exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))) \}$$

Transform into SRNF

```
\exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \neg \exists m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \land \neg \exists r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \neg \exists r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \land r \neq r')))
```

Another Example Revisited

Original Query

$$\{(n) \mid \exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \forall m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \Rightarrow \\ \exists r(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \forall r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \Rightarrow r = r'))) \}$$

Transform into SRNF

$$\exists m(\text{Director}(n, m)) \land \neg \exists m'(\text{Director}(n, m') \land \neg \exists r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r) \land \neg \exists r'(\text{Actor}(n, m', r') \land r \neq r')))$$

The query is safe because $rr(\varphi) = \{n\} = fr(\varphi)$

```
\overline{\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg \text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather}', d, t))\}}
```

- ullet The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(\operatorname{SCREENING}(c, '\mathsf{The Godfather}', d, t))$
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) =$

```
\overline{\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg \text{SCREENING}(c, '\mathsf{The Godfather}', d, t))\}}
```

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(SCREENING(c, 'The Godfather', d, t))$
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) =$

```
\overline{\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg \text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather}', d, t))\}}
```

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(SCREENING(c, 'The Godfather', d, t))$
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \{c, d, t\}$

```
\overline{\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg \text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather'}, d, t))\}}
```

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather}', d, t))$
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \{c, d, t\}$

```
\overline{\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg \text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather'}, d, t))\}}
```

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather}', d, t))$
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \{c\}$

```
\overline{\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg \text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather'}, d, t))\}}
```

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather}', d, t))$
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \{c\}$

```
\overline{\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg \text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather}', d, t))\}}
```

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather}', d, t))$
- ullet It is not safe because $\mathit{rr}(arphi) = \emptyset$

$\overline{\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg \text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather'}, d, t))\}}$

- ullet The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(\operatorname{SCREENING}(c, '\mathsf{The Godfather}', d, t))$
- ullet It is not safe because $\mathit{rr}(arphi) = \emptyset
 eq \{c\} = \mathit{fr}(arphi)$

```
\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg SCREENING(c, 'The Godfather', d, t))\}
```

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather}', d, t))$
- It is not safe because $\mathit{rr}(\varphi) = \emptyset \neq \{c\} = \mathit{fr}(\varphi)$

```
\{(c,d) \mid \exists t(\text{Screening}(c,\text{'The Piano'},\text{'28.03.'},t) \lor \text{Screening}(\text{'Event'},\text{'Inception'},d,t))\}
```

- Is in SRNF
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) =$

```
\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg SCREENING(c, 'The Godfather', d, t))\}
```

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather}', d, t))$
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \emptyset \neq \{c\} = fr(\varphi)$

```
\{(c,d) \mid \exists t(\text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Piano'}, '28.03.', t) \lor \text{SCREENING}('\text{Event'}, '\text{Inception'}, d, t))\}
```

- Is in SRNF
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) =$

```
\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg SCREENING(c, 'The Godfather', d, t))\}
```

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather}', d, t))$
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \emptyset \neq \{c\} = fr(\varphi)$

```
\{(c,d) \mid \exists t(\text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Piano}', '28.03.', t) \lor \text{SCREENING}('\text{Event}', '\text{Inception}', d, t))\}
```

- Is in SRNF
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \{c, t\} \cap \{d, t\}$

```
\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg SCREENING(c, 'The Godfather', d, t))\}
```

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather}', d, t))$
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \emptyset \neq \{c\} = fr(\varphi)$

```
\{(c,d) \mid \exists t(\text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Piano}', '28.03.', t) \lor \text{SCREENING}('\text{Event}', '\text{Inception}', d, t))\}
```

- Is in SRNF
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \{t\}$

```
\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg SCREENING(c, 'The Godfather', d, t))\}
```

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather}', d, t))$
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \emptyset \neq \{c\} = fr(\varphi)$

```
\{(c,d) \mid \exists t (SCREENING(c, 'The Piano', '28.03.', t) \lor SCREENING('Event', 'Inception', d, t)\}
```

- Is in SRNF
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \{t\}$

$\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg SCREENING(c, 'The Godfather', d, t))\}$

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather}', d, t))$
- It is not safe because $\mathit{rr}(\varphi) = \emptyset \neq \{c\} = \mathit{fr}(\varphi)$

```
\{(c,d) \mid \exists t (SCREENING(c, 'The Piano', '28.03.', t) \lor SCREENING('Event', 'Inception', d, t)\}
```

- Is in SRNF
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \emptyset$

$\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg SCREENING(c, 'The Godfather', d, t))\}$

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather}', d, t))$
- It is not safe because $\mathit{rr}(\varphi) = \emptyset \neq \{c\} = \mathit{fr}(\varphi)$

$\{(c,d)\mid$

 $\exists t (\texttt{SCREENING}(c, \texttt{'The Piano'}, \texttt{'28.03.'}, t) \lor \texttt{SCREENING}(\texttt{'Event'}, \texttt{'Inception'}, d, t)) \}$

- Is in SRNF
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \emptyset \neq \{c,d\} = fr(\varphi)$

$\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg SCREENING(c, 'The Godfather', d, t))\}$

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather}', d, t))$
- ullet It is not safe because $\mathit{rr}(arphi) = \emptyset
 eq \{c\} = \mathit{fr}(arphi)$

$\{(c,d)\mid$

 $\exists t (SCREENING(c, 'The Piano', '28.03.', t) \lor SCREENING('Event', 'Inception', d, t)) \}$

- Is in SRNF
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \emptyset \neq \{c, d\} = fr(\varphi)$

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t (\neg SCREENING(c, 'When Harry met Sally', d, t))$
- The query is not safe because $rr(\varphi)$

$\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg SCREENING(c, 'The Godfather', d, t))\}$

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather}', d, t))$
- ullet It is not safe because $\mathit{rr}(arphi) = \emptyset
 eq \{c\} = \mathit{fr}(arphi)$

```
\{(c,d) \mid \exists t(\text{Screening}(c,\text{'The Piano'},\text{'28.03.'},t) \lor \text{Screening}(\text{'Event'},\text{'Inception'},d,t))\}
```

- Is in SRNF
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \emptyset \neq \{c,d\} = fr(\varphi)$

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t (\neg SCREENING(c, 'When Harry met Sally', d, t))$
- The query is not safe because $rr(\varphi)$

$\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg \text{SCREENING}(c, '\mathsf{The Godfather}', d, t))\}$

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather}', d, t))$
- ullet It is not safe because $\mathit{rr}(arphi) = \emptyset
 eq \{c\} = \mathit{fr}(arphi)$

$\{(c,d)\mid$

 $\exists t (SCREENING(c, 'The Piano', '28.03.', t) \lor SCREENING('Event', 'Inception', d, t)))$

- Is in SRNF
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \emptyset \neq \{c, d\} = fr(\varphi)$

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(\neg SCREENING(c, 'When Harry met Sally', d, t))$
- The query is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \{c, d, t\}$

$\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg \text{SCREENING}(c, '\mathsf{The Godfather}', d, t))\}$

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather}', d, t))$
- It is not safe because $\mathit{rr}(\varphi) = \emptyset \neq \{c\} = \mathit{fr}(\varphi)$

$\{(c,d)\mid$

 $\exists t (SCREENING(c, 'The Piano', '28.03.', t) \lor SCREENING('Event', 'Inception', d, t)) \}$

- Is in SRNF
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \emptyset \neq \{c, d\} = fr(\varphi)$

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t (\neg SCREENING(c, 'When Harry met Sally', d, t))$
- The query is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \{c, d, t\}$

$\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg SCREENING(c, 'The Godfather', d, t))\}$

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather}', d, t))$
- ullet It is not safe because $\mathit{rr}(arphi) = \emptyset
 eq \{c\} = \mathit{fr}(arphi)$

$\{(c,d)\mid$

 $\exists t (SCREENING(c, 'The Piano', '28.03.', t) \lor SCREENING('Event', 'Inception', d, t)))$

- Is in SRNF
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \emptyset \neq \{c, d\} = fr(\varphi)$

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t (\neg SCREENING(c, 'When Harry met Sally', d, t))$
- The query is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \emptyset$

$\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg SCREENING(c, 'The Godfather', d, t))\}$

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather}', d, t))$
- ullet It is not safe because $\mathit{rr}(arphi) = \emptyset
 eq \{c\} = \mathit{fr}(arphi)$

```
\{(c,d) \mid \exists t(\text{Screening}(c,\text{'The Piano'},\text{'28.03.'},t) \lor \text{Screening}(\text{'Event'},\text{'Inception'},d,t))\}
```

- Is in SRNF
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \emptyset \neq \{c, d\} = fr(\varphi)$

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t (\neg SCREENING(c, 'When Harry met Sally', d, t))$
- The query is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \emptyset$

$\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg SCREENING(c, 'The Godfather', d, t))\}$

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather}', d, t))$
- It is not safe because $\mathit{rr}(\varphi) = \emptyset \neq \{c\} = \mathit{fr}(\varphi)$

$\{(c,d) \mid \exists t(\text{Screening}(c,\text{'The Piano'},\text{'28.03.'},t) \lor \text{Screening}(\text{'Event'},\text{'Inception'},d,t))\}$

- Is in SRNF
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \emptyset \neq \{c,d\} = fr(\varphi)$

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t (\neg SCREENING(c, 'When Harry met Sally', d, t))$
- The query is not safe because $rr(\varphi)$ is undefined

$\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg SCREENING(c, 'The Godfather', d, t))\}$

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather}', d, t))$
- It is not safe because $\mathit{rr}(\varphi) = \emptyset \neq \{c\} = \mathit{fr}(\varphi)$

$\{(c,d) \mid \exists t(SCREENING(c, 'The Piano', '28.03.', t) \lor SCREENING('Event', 'Inception', d, t))\}$

- Is in SRNF
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \emptyset \neq \{c, d\} = fr(\varphi)$

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t (\neg SCREENING(c, 'When Harry met Sally', d, t))$
- The query is not safe because $rr(\varphi)$ is undefined

$\{(c) \mid \forall d, t(\neg SCREENING(c, 'The Godfather', d, t))\}$

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t(\text{SCREENING}(c, '\text{The Godfather}', d, t))$
- It is not safe because $\mathit{rr}(\varphi) = \emptyset \neq \{c\} = \mathit{fr}(\varphi)$

$\{(c,d)\mid$

 $\exists t (SCREENING(c, 'The Piano', '28.03.', t) \lor SCREENING('Event', 'Inception', d, t)))$

- Is in SRNF
- It is not safe because $rr(\varphi) = \emptyset \neq \{c, d\} = fr(\varphi)$

- The SRNF is $\neg \exists d, t (\neg SCREENING(c, 'When Harry met Sally', d, t))$
- The query is not safe because $rr(\varphi)$ is undefined, while $fr(\varphi) = \{c\}$

A Clever Way of Writing Difficult SQL Queries

- Formalize your query in safe relational calculus
- Transform your query into SRNF
- Transform SRNF into SQL
- Example: the SRNF of the Hitchcock-query is simple to translate into SQL
- TRC queries in SRNF are even closer to SQL syntax

Example: from TRC to SQL

Hitchcock query in TRC in SRNF

```
 \{d: \mathsf{n} \mid \exists d1, d1: \mathsf{n} \big( \mathsf{DIRECTOR} \big( d1 \big) \land d: \mathsf{n} = d1: \mathsf{n} \land \\ \neg \exists d2, d2: \mathsf{n}, d2: \mathsf{m} \big( \mathsf{DIRECTOR} \big( d2 \big) \land d2: \mathsf{n} = d1: \mathsf{n} \land \\ \neg \exists a1, a1: \mathsf{n}, a1: \mathsf{m}, a1: \mathsf{r} \big( \mathsf{ACTOR} \big( a1 \big) \land a1: \mathsf{n} = d2: \mathsf{n} \land a1: \mathsf{m} = d2: \mathsf{m} \land \\ \neg \exists a2, a2: \mathsf{n}, a2: \mathsf{m}, a2: \mathsf{r} \big( \mathsf{ACTOR} \big( a2 \big) \land a2: \mathsf{n} = a1: \mathsf{n} \land a2: \mathsf{m} = a1: \mathsf{m} \land a2: \mathsf{r} \neq a1: \mathsf{r} \big) \big) \big) \}
```

Example: from TRC to SQL

Hitchcock query in TRC in SRNF

```
 \begin{cases} d: n \mid \exists d1, d1: n \big( \text{DIRECTOR}(d1) \land d: n = d1: n \land \\ \neg \exists d2, d2: n, d2: m \big( \text{DIRECTOR}(d2) \land d2: n = d1: n \land \\ \neg \exists a1, a1: n, a1: m, a1: r \big( \text{ACTOR}(a1) \land a1: n = d2: n \land a1: m = d2: m \land \\ \neg \exists a2, a2: n, a2: m, a2: r \big( \text{ACTOR}(a2) \land a2: n = a1: n \land a2: m = a1: m \land a2: r \neq a1: r \big) \big) \big) \}
```

Transformed into SQL

Summary

- Relational calculus offers a declarative way for specifying queries
 - → based on first-order logic

 - → two equivalent versions: Domain and Tuple Relational Calculus
- Some queries in Relational Calculus are problematic
- Safe Relational Calculus

 - → domain-independent (no problems)
- Foundation of industry standard for query language (SQL)