

Contents

Contents	1
0.1 random notes	1

0.1 random notes

- As long as every pair of literal is variable disjoint, the quantifier ordering is arbitrary (proof idea: establish that some ordering works, then pull quantifier inwards and back outwards in arbitrary order).
- – lifted terms which contain variables are disjoint for different clauses, but ground lifted terms can be the same (which does not appear to be necessarily so!)
- the resolved/factorised literal should be the same (else this kind of proof doesn't go through)

⁽¹⁾ **Lemma 1.** $\Gamma \models \text{LI}^\Delta(C) \vee \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(C)$.

⁽²⁾ **Lemma 2.** $\Gamma \models \forall \bar{x} \exists \bar{y} (\text{LI}(C) \vee \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C))$.

Proof. By 1, $\Gamma \models \text{LI}^\Delta(C) \vee \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(C)$.

Hence $\Gamma \models \forall \bar{x} (\text{LI}^\Delta(C) \vee \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(C))$.

and also $\Gamma \models \forall \bar{x} \exists \bar{y} \ell_\Gamma[\text{LI}^\Delta(C) \vee \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(C)]$.

by some lemma then $\Gamma \models \forall \bar{x} \exists \bar{y} (\text{LI}(C) \vee \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C))$. □

but can't invert this idea:

Let $\hat{\Delta} = \Gamma$ and $\hat{\Gamma} = \Delta$.

Then with $\hat{\pi}$ and 2: $\hat{\Gamma} \models \forall \bar{x} \exists \bar{y} (\text{LI}(\bar{\pi}))$

Hence (some lemma) $\Delta \models \forall \bar{y} \exists \bar{x} (\neg \text{LI}(\bar{\pi}))$.

Hence $\Delta \models \neg \exists \bar{y} \forall \bar{x} (\text{LI}(\bar{\pi}))$.

need some consistent ordering, so possibly just prove that all work, because we need to shuffle a lot anyway