$$\Sigma' = \{R(z) \lor \exists x P(f(x)), \neg Q(x), \}$$

$$\Pi' = \{\forall y \, g(y) = y, \forall y \neg P(g(y)) \lor Q(y), \neg R(d)\}$$

$$\Sigma = \operatorname{sk}(\Sigma') = \{R(z) \lor P(f(c)), \neg Q(y), \}$$

$$\Pi = \operatorname{sk}(\Pi') = \{g(u) = u, \neg P(g(v)) \lor Q(v), \neg R(d)\}$$

$$L(\Sigma) = \{R, P, Q, f, z, x, c\}$$

$$L(\Pi) = \{R, P, Q, g, u, v, d\}$$

Refutation:

$$\underbrace{\frac{R(z) \vee P(f(c))_{\Sigma} \qquad \neg R(d)_{\Pi}}{P(f(c))}}_{ \qquad P(f(c))} z \mapsto d \qquad \underbrace{\frac{\neg P(g(v)) \vee Q(v)_{\Pi} \qquad \neg Q(y)_{\Sigma}}{\neg P(g(y))}}_{ \qquad \qquad P(g(v))} v \mapsto y \qquad \qquad g(u) = u_{\Pi}}_{ \qquad P(u)} y \mapsto u$$

Interpolants:

$$\frac{\frac{\bot}{(\neg R(d) \land \bot) \lor (R(d) \land \top) \equiv R(d)} \theta_0}{\frac{(\neg Q(y) \land \top) \lor (Q(y) \land \top) \equiv \neg Q(y)}{(\neg Q(u) \land g(u) = u) \lor (\top \land g(u) \neq u)} \theta_1}{(\neg Q(u) \land g(u) = u) \lor (\top \land g(u) \neq u)} \theta_2} \theta_2$$

Relative interpolant properties:

θ_0 :	$\Sigma \vdash R(d) \lor P(f(c))$	$\Pi \vdash \neg R(d) \lor P(f(c))$
θ_1 :	$\Sigma \vdash \neg Q(y) \lor \neg P(g(y))$	$\Pi \vdash Q(y) \lor \neg P(g(y))$
θ_2 :	$\Sigma \vdash (\neg Q(u) \land g(u) = u) \lor g(u) \neq u \lor \neg P(u)$	$\Pi \vdash \neg((\neg Q(u) \land g(u) = u) \lor g(u) \neq u) \lor \neg P(u)$
		$\Pi \vdash ((Q(u) \lor g(u) \neq u) \land g(u) = u) \lor \neg P(u)$
θ_3 :	$\Sigma \vdash \theta_3$	$\Pi \vdash \neg \theta_3$
	Proof: Either $\neg P(f(c))$, then $R(d)$.	Proof:
	Otw. either $g(f(c)) \neq f(c)$.	$\neg (\neg P(fc) \land R(d)) \lor (P(fc) \land (\neg Q(fc) \land g(fc) = fc) \lor g(fc) \neq fc)$
	Otw. also $\neg Q(f(c))$.	$\equiv (P(fc) \vee \neg R(d)) \wedge (\neg P(fc) \vee (Q(fc) \vee g(fc) \neq fc) \wedge g(fc) = fc)$
		Have $g(fc) = fc$ and $\neg R(d)$, so remaining: $\neg P(fc) \lor Q(fc)$. Get by
		axiom and unification with $g(u) = u$.

$$\Sigma = \{R(z) \lor P(f(c)), \neg Q(y), \}$$

$$\Pi = \{g(u) = u, \neg P(g(v)) \lor Q(v), \neg R(d)\}$$

Propositional refutation tree (no non-trivial unifiers):

$$\begin{array}{c|c} R(d) \vee P(f(c))_{\Sigma} & \neg R(d)_{\Pi} & \frac{\neg P(g(f(c))) \vee Q(f(c))_{\Pi} & \neg Q(f(c))_{\Sigma}}{\neg P(g(f(c)))} & g(f(c)) = f(c)_{\Pi} \\ \hline P(f(c)) & & \neg P(f(c)) \\ \hline & & \Box \\ \end{array}$$

Lifting:

```
terms: g(f(c)), f(c), d

max \Pi-terms: \{g(f(c)), d\} \sim \{x_1, x_2\}

max \Sigma-terms: \{f(c)\} \sim \{x_3\}

\overline{(\neg P(f(c)) \land R(d))} \lor \overline{(P(f(c)) \land ((\neg Q(f(c)) \land g(f(c)) = f(c))} \lor \overline{g(f(c)) \neq f(c))})(x_1, x_2)
\Leftrightarrow \neg P(f(c)) \land R(x_2) \lor \overline{(P(f(c)) \land ((\neg Q(f(c)) \land x_1 = f(c))} \lor x_1 \neq f(c)))
By Lemma 12, \Sigma \models \overline{\theta_3} (proof from above still goes through).

\hat{\theta}(x_3) = (\neg P(x_3) \land R(x_2)) \lor \overline{(P(x_3) \land ((\neg Q(x_3) \land x_1 = x_3) \lor x_1 \neq x_3))}

quantifiers according to order: |d| < |f(c)| < |g(f(c))|

\theta = \forall x_2 \exists x_3 \forall x_1 (\neg P(x_3) \land R(x_2)) \lor \overline{(P(x_3) \land (\neg Q(x_3) \lor x_1 \neq x_3))}

\neg \theta = \exists x_2 \forall x_3 \exists x_1 (P(x_3) \lor \neg R(x_2)) \land \overline{(\neg P(x_3) \lor (Q(x_3) \land x_1 = x_3))}

\Rightarrow \Sigma \vdash \theta : \Pi \vdash \neg \theta
```

Example 2:

$$\Sigma = \{ P(c), \neg P(d) \}$$

$$\Pi = \{ P(d) \lor g(u) = u, \neg P(g(x)) \}$$

Refutation:

Relative interpolants:

$$\frac{ \begin{array}{c|c} \top & \bot \\ \hline (\neg P(d) \land \top) \lor (P(d) \land \bot) \equiv \neg P(d) & \top \\ \hline (g(x) = x \land \top) \lor (g(x) \neq x \land \neg P(d)) & \bot \\ \hline (\neg P(c) \land \bot) \lor P(c) \land (g(c) = c \lor (g(c) \neq c \land \neg P(d))) \end{array}} x \mapsto c$$

$$\theta = P(c) \land (g(c) = c \lor \neg P(d))$$

$$\neg \theta = \neg P(c) \lor (g(c) \neq c \land P(d))$$

terms: g(c), c, d

max Π-terms: g(c)

 $\max Σ$ -terms: c

ordered by length ASCENDING: $\{c, g(c)\}$

$$\overline{\theta}(x_2) = P(c) \land (x_2 = c \lor \neg P(d))$$

$$\hat{\theta}(x_1) = P(x_1) \wedge (x_2 = x_1 \vee \neg P(d))$$

$$\Sigma \vdash \exists x_1 \forall x_2 P(x_1) \land (x_2 = x_1 \lor \neg P(d))$$

$$\Pi \vdash \neg \exists x_1 \forall x_2 P(x_1) \land (x_2 = x_1 \lor \neg P(d))$$

$$\Pi \vdash \forall x_1 \exists x_2 \neg P(x_1) \lor (x_2 \neq x_1 \land P(d))$$

A possible interpolant: $\neg P(d) \land \exists x P(x)$

Example 2 (Craig translation):

$$\begin{split} \Sigma &= \{P(c), \neg P(d)\} \\ \Pi &= \{P(d) \lor g(u) = u, \neg P(g(x))\} \\ T(\Sigma) &= \{\forall x \ x = x\} \cup \{\forall x \forall y \ x = y \supset P(x) \supset P(y)\} \cup \Sigma \end{split}$$

$$T(\Pi) = \{ \forall x \ x = x \} \cup$$

$$\{ \forall x \forall y \ x = y \supset P(x) \supset P(y), \forall x_1 \forall x_2 \forall y_1 \forall y_2 \ x_1 = y_1 \supset x_2 = y_2 \supset x_1 = x_2 \supset y_1 = y_2, \forall x_1 \forall x_2 \forall y_1 \forall y_2 \ x_1 = y_1 \supset x_2 = y_2 \supset G(x_1, x_2) \supset G(y_1, y_2) \} \cup$$

$$\{ P(d) \lor (\exists z G(u, z) \land (\forall y G(u, y) \supset z = y) \land z = u), \neg P(g(x)) \}$$

Example 3 Bonacina/Johannson:

$$\Sigma = \{A \lor B, \neg C\}$$
$$\Pi = \{\neg A \lor C, \neg B\}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} A \lor B_{\Sigma} & \neg A \lor C_{\Pi} \\ \hline B \lor C & \neg C_{\Sigma} \\ \hline B & \neg B_{\Pi} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Bon/Joh:

$$\frac{ \begin{array}{c} \bot & \top \\ (A \lor \bot) \land \top \equiv A \end{array} \quad \bot}{A \land (\neg C \lor \bot) \equiv A \land \neg C} \quad \top \\ \hline (B \lor (A \land \neg C)) \land \top \end{array}$$

Huang:

$$\frac{\bot \qquad \top}{(\neg A \land \bot) \lor (A \land \top) \equiv A} \qquad \bot$$
$$\frac{(\neg C \land A) \lor (C \land \bot) \equiv \neg C \land A}{(\neg B \land (\neg C \land A)) \lor (B \land \top)} \qquad \top$$

-> logically equivalent

Example 3B Bonacina/Johannson:

$$\Sigma = \{A \vee B, \neg C, \neg D\}$$

$$\Pi = \{\neg A \vee C, \neg B \vee D\}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} A \lor B_{\Sigma} & \neg A \lor C_{\Pi} \\ \hline B \lor C & \neg C_{\Sigma} & \neg D_{\Sigma} & \neg B \lor D_{\Pi} \\ \hline B & & \neg B \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Bon/Joh:

$$\frac{\frac{\bot}{(A \lor \bot) \land \top \equiv A} \quad \bot}{A \land (\neg C \lor \bot) \equiv A \land \neg C} \quad \frac{\bot}{\top \land (\neg D \lor \bot) \equiv \neg D}$$

$$\frac{(B \lor (A \land \neg C)) \land \neg D}$$

Huang:

$$\frac{\bot \qquad \top}{(\neg A \land \bot) \lor (A \land \top) \equiv A} \qquad \bot \qquad \bot \qquad \top \\
\underline{(\neg C \land A) \lor (C \land \bot) \equiv \neg C \land A} \qquad (\neg D \land \top) \lor (D \land \bot) \equiv \neg D} \\
\underline{(\neg B \land \neg C \land A) \lor (B \land \neg D)}$$

-> not logically equivalent

Example 4: Paramodulation special case in Huang (not sure if this try was successful)

$$\Sigma = \{c = d, \neg C(x)\}\$$

$$\Pi = \{ P(f(c)) \lor Q(f(c)) \lor C(f(c), \neg P(f(d), \neg Q(f(d))) \}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} P(f(c)) \vee Q(f(c)) \vee C(f(c))_{\Pi} & \neg C(x)_{\Sigma} \\ \hline P(f(c)) \vee Q(f(c)) & c = d_{\Sigma} \\ \hline P(f(d) \vee Q(f(d)) & \neg P(f(d))_{\Pi} \\ \hline Q(f(d)) & & \neg Q(f(d))_{\Pi} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\frac{ \frac{\top}{\neg C(f(c))} \perp}{ \frac{(c = d \land \neg C(f(c))) \lor (c \neq d \land \bot) \lor (c = d \land f(c) \neq f(d)) \equiv (c = d \land \neg C(f(c))) \lor (c = d \land f(c) \neq f(d))}{ \frac{(c = d \land \neg C(f(c)) \lor (c = d \land f(c) \neq f(d)) \land \top}{(c = d \land \neg C) \lor (c = d \land f(c) \neq f(d)) \land \top}}$$

$$(c = d \land \neg C(f(c))) \lor (c = d \land f(c) \neq f(d))$$

max Π-terms: f(c), f(d)

$$\theta^* = \forall x_1 \forall x_2 (c = d \land \neg C(x_1)) \lor (c = d \land x_1 \neq x_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Sigma \vdash \theta^*; \Pi \vdash \neg \theta^*$$

Example 5: cases for one pass overbinding algo

want to have step in between where only one of the "critical" terms appears in the interpolant and a decision on the order is forced

$$\frac{P(y_1, y_2)_{\Sigma}}{P(y_1, y_2)_{\Sigma}} \xrightarrow{Q(\alpha)_{\Sigma} -Q(z) \vee \neg P(z, \beta)_{\Pi}} z \mapsto \alpha$$

$$\frac{\neg P(\alpha, \beta)}{\neg P(\alpha, \beta)}$$

$$\frac{\bot \quad \top}{Q(\alpha)^{\circ}} z \mapsto \alpha$$

$$P(\alpha, \beta) \wedge Q(\alpha)$$

 \Rightarrow need to overbind α first, no matter which order would be assigned later

NOTE: b might be f(a), i.e. we don't know a priori at which level it is and how many smaller or larger terms will be added.

Let
$$\alpha = b$$
, $\beta = g(z)$.

 $\theta^{\circ *} = \exists x_1 Q(x_1)$

$$\theta^* = \exists x_1 \forall x_2 P(x_1, x_2) \land Q(x_1)$$

$$\neg \theta^* = \forall x_1 \exists x_2 \neg P(x_1, x_2) \lor \neg Q(x_1)$$

$$\theta^{\circ *} = \exists x_1 Q(x_1)$$
Let $\alpha = g(x), \ \beta = b.$

$$\theta^* = \exists x_1 \forall x_2 P(x_1, x_2) \land Q(x_1)$$

$$\neg \theta^* = \forall x_1 \exists x_2 \neg P(x_1, x_2) \lor \neg Q(x_1)$$

 \Rightarrow works – is order only relevant when it comes to equality? c.f. Ex 2

Example 5b: overbinding with equality

for arbitrary a, b:

$$\Sigma = \{ P(a), a = b \}$$

$$\Pi = \{\neg P(b)\}\$$

$$\frac{P(a)_{\Sigma} \quad a = b_{\Sigma}}{P(b)} \quad \neg P(b)_{\Pi}$$

$$\frac{\bot \quad \bot}{P(b)} \quad \top$$

OR

$$\frac{a = b_{\Sigma} \qquad \neg P(b)_{\Pi}}{\neg P(a)} \qquad P(a)_{\Sigma}$$

$$\frac{\bot \qquad \top}{a = b} \qquad \bot$$

$$(P(a) \land a = b)$$