Interpolation in First-Order Logic with Equality Master Thesis Presentation

Bernhard Mallinger

Advisor: Stefan Hetzl

Institute of Discrete Mathematics and Geometry TU Wien

October 29, 2014

- Introduction
- Proof by Reduction
- Interpolant Extraction
- Semantic Proof
- Conclusion

Introduction

- Introduction

Theorem ([Craig, 1957]).

Let Γ and Δ be finite sets of first-order formulas where

- □ Contains red and gray symbols and
- △ contains blue and gray symbols

such that:

Introduction

 \bullet $\Gamma \models \Delta$

Then there is a interpolant I containing only gray symbols such that:

- 「 ⊨ /
- $l \models \Delta$



Semantic Proof

Craig Interpolation (2/2)

- Let $\Gamma = \{P(a)\}$ and $\Delta = \{\forall x (P(x) \supset Q(x)), \exists y Q(y)\}.$
- Interpolant: $\exists z P(z)$

- Let $\Gamma = \{P(a), \neg P(b)\}\$ and $\Delta = \{a \neq b\}.$
- Interpolant: $a \neq b$

- Let $\Gamma = \{P(a), \neg P(a)\}, \Delta = \emptyset$.
- Interpolant: ⊥

Craig Interpolation (2/2)

Example

- Let $\Gamma = \{P(a)\}$ and $\Delta = \{\forall x (P(x) \supset Q(x)), \exists y Q(y)\}.$
- Interpolant: $\exists z P(z)$

Example

- Let $\Gamma = \{P(a), \neg P(b)\}\$ and $\Delta = \{a \neq b\}.$
- Interpolant: $a \neq b$

- Let $\Gamma = \{P(a), \neg P(a)\}, \Delta = \emptyset$.
- Interpolant: ⊥

Example

Introduction

- Let $\Gamma = \{P(a)\}$ and $\Delta = \{\forall x (P(x) \supset Q(x)), \exists y Q(y)\}.$
- Interpolant: $\exists z P(z)$

Example

- Let $\Gamma = \{P(a), \neg P(b)\}\$ and $\Delta = \{a \neq b\}.$
- Interpolant: $a \neq b$

- Let $\Gamma = \{P(a), \neg P(a)\}, \Delta = \emptyset$.
- Interpolant: ⊥

Craig Interpolation (2/2)

Example

- Let $\Gamma = \{P(a)\}$ and $\Delta = \{\forall x (P(x) \supset Q(x)), \exists y Q(y)\}.$
- Interpolant: $\exists z P(z)$

Example

- Let $\Gamma = \{P(a), \neg P(b)\}\$ and $\Delta = \{a \neq b\}.$
- Interpolant: $a \neq b$

- Let $\Gamma = \{P(a), \neg P(a)\}, \Delta = \emptyset$.
- Interpolant: ⊥

Craig Interpolation (2/2)

Example

- Let $\Gamma = \{P(a)\}$ and $\Delta = \{\forall x (P(x) \supset Q(x)), \exists y Q(y)\}.$
- Interpolant: $\exists z P(z)$

Example

- Let $\Gamma = \{P(a), \neg P(b)\}\$ and $\Delta = \{a \neq b\}.$
- Interpolant: $a \neq b$

- Let $\Gamma = \{P(a), \neg P(a)\}, \Delta = \emptyset$.
- Interpolant: ⊥

Example

Introduction

- Let $\Gamma = \{P(a)\}$ and $\Delta = \{\forall x (P(x) \supset Q(x)), \exists y Q(y)\}.$
- Interpolant: $\exists z P(z)$

Example

- Let $\Gamma = \{P(a), \neg P(b)\}\$ and $\Delta = \{a \neq b\}.$
- Interpolant: $a \neq b$

- Let $\Gamma = \{P(a), \neg P(a)\}, \Delta = \emptyset$.
- Interpolant: ⊥

Applications

Introduction

- Proof of Beth's Definability Theorem
- Model checking
- Reasoning with large knowledge bases

Motivation

- Craig interpolation in full first-order logic with equality has received little attention so far
- Interest for constructive proofs

Applications

Introduction

- Proof of Beth's Definability Theorem
- Model checking
- Reasoning with large knowledge bases

Motivation

- Craig interpolation in full first-order logic with equality has received little attention so far
- Interest for constructive proofs

- Proof by Reduction

Proof by Reduction due to Craig

Reduction to FOL without equality and function symbols:

$$\left(P(c)\right)^* \equiv \exists x (C(x) \land P(x))$$

$$\left(P(f(c))\right)^* \equiv \exists x (\exists y (C(y) \land F(y,x)) \land P(x))$$

$$\left(s = t\right)^* \equiv E(s,t)$$

$$\left(\varphi\right)^* \equiv \left(\mathsf{T}_E \land \bigwedge_{f \in ES} \mathsf{T}_F\right) \supset \varphi^*$$

Clearly φ and φ^* are equisatisfiable.

Proof in FOL without Equality and Function Symbols

Lemma (Maehara)

Let Γ and Δ be sets of first-order formulas without equality and function symbols such that $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$ is provable in **sequent calculus**. Then for any partition $\langle (\Gamma_1; \Delta_1), (\Gamma_2; \Delta_2) \rangle$ with $\Gamma_1 \uplus \Gamma_2 = \Gamma$ and $\Delta_1 \uplus \Delta_2 = \Delta$ there is an interpolant Γ such that

- $\bullet \quad \Gamma_1 \vdash \Delta_1, I \ \textit{is provable}$
- **2** Γ_2 , $I \vdash \Delta_2$ is provable

[Baaz and Leitsch, 2011] presents a strengthening which includes function symbols.

Open question: Can it be extended to include equality rules for LK?

Proof in FOL without Equality and Function Symbols

Lemma (Maehara)

Let Γ and Δ be sets of first-order formulas without equality and function symbols such that $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$ is provable in **sequent calculus**. Then for any partition $\langle (\Gamma_1; \Delta_1), (\Gamma_2; \Delta_2) \rangle$ with $\Gamma_1 \uplus \Gamma_2 = \Gamma$ and $\Delta_1 \oplus \Delta_2 = \Delta$ there is an interpolant I such that

- \bullet $\Gamma_1 \vdash \Delta_1$, I is provable

[Baaz and Leitsch, 2011] presents a strengthening which includes function symbols.

Open question: Can it be extended to include equality rules for LK?

- Interpolant Extraction

Interpolant Extraction from Resolution Proofs

Motivation

- Proof by reduction is impractical
- Goal: Compute interpolants from proof
- The following is based on [Huang, 1995]

Interpolant Extraction from Resolution Proofs

Motivation

- Proof by reduction is impractical
- Goal: Compute interpolants from proof
- The following is based on [Huang, 1995]

Semantic Proof

The Resolution Calculus

Resolution:
$$\frac{C \vee I \quad D \vee \neg I'}{(C \vee D)\sigma}$$
 res $\sigma = \text{mgu}(I, I')$

Factorization:
$$\frac{C \vee I \vee I'}{(C \vee I)\sigma}$$
 fac $\sigma = \text{mgu}(I, I')$

$$\textit{Paramodulation:} \quad \frac{\textit{D} \lor \textit{s} = \textit{t} \quad \textit{E}[\textit{r}]_{\textit{p}}}{(\textit{D} \lor \textit{E}[\textit{t}]_{\textit{p}})\sigma} \, \mathsf{par} \quad \sigma = \mathsf{mgu}(\textit{s},\textit{r})$$

Interpolation and Resolution

- Skolemisation and clausal form transformation do no alter the set of interpolants
- Have to use "reverse" (but equivalent) formulation of interpolation

Huang's Algorithm

Proof:



Extract propositional interpolant structure from proof

Propositional Interpolant:



 $\dots Q(f(c), c) \dots$

Semantic Proof

Replace colored function and constant symbols

Prenex First-Order Interpolant:



 $\exists x_3 \forall x_5 \dots Q(x_5, x_3) \dots$

Propositional Interpolant Extraction Example

Paramodulation rule:

$$\frac{C_1: D \vee s = t \qquad C_2: E[r]_p}{C: (D \vee E[t]_p)\sigma} \text{ par } \quad \sigma = \text{mgu}(s, r)$$

Propositional interpolant¹:

$$\mathsf{PI}(C) \stackrel{\mathsf{def}}{=} [(s = t \land \mathsf{PI}(C_2)) \lor (s \neq t \land \mathsf{PI}(C_1))] \sigma$$

 $^{^{1}}$ Provided that r is not contained in a colored term

Interpolation Extraction in One Phase

Proof:



Combined structure extraction and replacing of colored symbols

Interpolant modulo current clause:



$$\forall x_5 \dots Q(x_5, c) \dots$$

Recursively applied to all inferences of the proof results in:

Non-Prenex First-Order Interpolant:



$$\exists x_3 \ldots \forall x_5 \ldots Q(x_5, x_3) \ldots$$

- Semantic Proof

Semantic Proof

- Indirect proof of the interpolation theorem
- Inherently non-constructive
- Equality does not require explicit handling
- Interpolation in FOL with equality equivalent to Robinson's joint consistency theorem

- Conclusion

Conclusion

- Craig's and Huang's proof based interpolant extraction from proofs
 - ⇒ but differ in practical applicability
- Craig shows that the interpolation theorem holds also in FOL with equality
- Huang shows that interpolants can efficiently be extracted in FOL with equality
 - Handling of equality does not require a different approach
 - Little attention so far in research
- Huang's two-stage approach can be adapted to a one-stage approach yielding non-prenex interpolants
- Interpolation also allows for a model theoretic approach

References



Baaz, M. and Leitsch, A. (2011).

Methods of Cut-Elimination.

Trends in Logic. Springer.



Craig, W. (1957).

Linear Reasoning. A New Form of the Herbrand-Gentzen Theorem.

Journal of Symbolic Logic, 22(3):250–268.



Huang, G. (1995).

Constructing Craig Interpolation Formulas.

In Proceedings of the First Annual International Conference on Computing and Combinatorics, COCOON '95, pages 181–190, London, UK, UK. Springer-Verlag.