trying to overbind mostly right away does not look promissing

Definition 1 (Q). For a literal/clause φ , $Q(\varphi)$ denotes the quantifier block consisting of every lifting variable in φ with appropriate quantifier type. The order is yet to be defined \triangle

For
$$l \in C$$
 for $C \in \Gamma$: $Q(l) = \exists \bar{y}$
For $l \in C$ for $C \in \Delta$: $Q(l) = \forall \bar{x}$

basic axioms which should be fulfilled for a reasonable procedure

start

•
$$\Gamma \vDash \operatorname{LI}_{\operatorname{cl}}(C)$$

$$\Gamma = \{P(f(x))\} \Rightarrow \operatorname{LI}_{\operatorname{cl}}(C) \stackrel{\text{must be}}{=} \exists x P(x)$$

$$\Gamma = \{\neg P(f(x))\} \Rightarrow \operatorname{LI}_{\operatorname{cl}}(C) \stackrel{\text{must be}}{=} \exists x \neg P(x)$$

Δ ⊨?

inferences LI is always basically just Γ -part always want: $\Gamma \models LI$, $\Delta \models \neg LI$

•
$$\Gamma: P(f(x)) \Rightarrow \exists x P(x)$$

 $\Delta: \neg P(y) \Rightarrow \forall y \neg P(x)$

•
$$\Gamma : \neg P(f(x)) \Rightarrow \exists x \neg P(x)$$

 $\Delta : P(y) \Rightarrow \forall y P(x)$

•
$$\Gamma : \neg P(x) \Rightarrow \forall x \neg P(x)$$

 $\Delta : P(g(y)) \Rightarrow \exists y P(y)$

$$\bullet \ \Gamma: P(x) \Rightarrow \forall x P(x)$$

$$\Delta: \neg P(g(y)) \Rightarrow \neg \exists y P(y)$$

but must not tear apart $P(x) \lor \neg P(x)$ to $\forall x P(x) \lor \forall x \neg P(x)$

example for "var does not occur in clause any more-condition":

$$\frac{R(f(z)) - R(x) \vee P(x)}{-R(f(z)) \mid P(f(z))}$$
 Note that $(\forall y_{f(x)} \neg R(y_{f(x)})) \vee P(x)$ is not valid!

Not sure what this example is supposed to demonstrate

attempt for a definition

Definition 2 (LI).

Base case.

For $l \in C$ for $C \in \Gamma \cup \Delta$: $Q(l)\ell[C] \in LI_{cl}(C)$

LI as usual

Resolution.

Definition 3 (χ : lifting with quantification on literal level).

$$\chi(F \circ G) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \chi(F) \circ \chi(G)$$

$$\chi(\neg G) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \neg \chi(F)$$

$$\chi(Q(\lambda)\lambda) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q(\lambda\sigma)\lambda\sigma$$

where $Q(\lambda \sigma)$ is $Q(\lambda)$ with quantifiers and lifting variables for additional maximal colored terms introduced by σ into λ

$$\operatorname{LI}_{\operatorname{cl}} C \stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{=} \chi(\operatorname{LI}_{\operatorname{cl}}(C_1) \setminus \{l_{\operatorname{LIcl}}\}) \, \vee \, \chi(\operatorname{LI}_{\operatorname{cl}}(C_2) \setminus \{l'_{\operatorname{LIcl}}\})$$

- 1. If l is $\Gamma\text{-colored} \colon \mathrm{LI}(C) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \chi(\mathrm{LI}(C_1)) \, \vee \, \chi(\mathrm{LI}(C_2))$
- 2. If l is $\Delta\text{-colored}\colon \mathrm{LI}(C)\stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \chi(\mathrm{LI}(C_1))\, \wedge\, \chi(\mathrm{LI}(C_2))$
- 3. If l is grey: $\mathrm{LI}(C) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} (l_{\mathrm{LIcl}}\tau \wedge \mathrm{LI}(C_2)\tau) \vee (\neg \ell[l'_{\mathrm{LIcl}}\tau] \wedge \ell[\mathrm{LI}(C_1)\tau])$

 \triangle

Conjectured Lemma 4. $\Gamma \models LI(C) \lor LI_{cl}(C)$

Proof. Start works.

Step:

resolved literals: have same coloring

IH

$$\Gamma \vDash \operatorname{LI}(C_1) \vee \operatorname{LI}_{\operatorname{cl}}(C_1^*) \vee l_{\operatorname{LIcl}}$$

$$\Gamma \vDash \operatorname{LI}(C_2) \vee \operatorname{LI}_{\operatorname{cl}}(C_2^*) \vee l'_{\operatorname{LIcl}}$$

overbind just within thight constraints

 $\langle A \rangle$ Lemma 5. If a variable does occurs in \bar{C} but does not in C, then it is not modified by any mgu of a subsequent inference.

2.1 naive interpolant extraction based on 5

Definition 6 (LI with stepwise prenex interplants but globally non-prenex ones).

Base case.

For
$$l \in C$$
 for $C \in \Gamma \cup \Delta$: $C \in LI_{cl}(C)$

LI as usual

Resolution.

$$\operatorname{LI}_{\operatorname{cl}}(C) \stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{=} \operatorname{LI}_{\operatorname{cl}}(C_1) \setminus \{l_{\operatorname{LIcl}}\} \sigma \vee \operatorname{LI}_{\operatorname{cl}}(C_2) \setminus \{l'_{\operatorname{LIcl}}\} \sigma$$

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{LI}_{\operatorname{cl}}(C) = C$$

 $\chi(F)\colon$ lift all maximal colored terms which contain some variable which does not occur in $\mathrm{LI_{cl}}(C)$

TODO: not sure where we can quantify ground terms as they can be added arbitrarily (possibly lift every occurrence of a ground term t distinctly)

apropos ground term: imagine procedure which conceptually adds some variable as argument to every term. if then we can overbind ground terms, we should be able to have a convention to enable nested ground term lifting directly

TODO: need not be prenex here, can pull in as far as regular quantifier pull in rules allow

- 1. If l is Γ-colored: $LI^{\bullet}(C) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} LI(C_1)\tau \vee LI(C_2)\tau$
- 2. If l is Δ -colored: $LI^{\bullet}(C) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} LI(C_1)\tau \wedge LI(C_2)\tau$
- 3. If l is grey: $LI(C)^{\bullet} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (l_{LIcl}\sigma LI(C_2))\tau \vee (\neg l'_{LIcl} \wedge LI(C_1))\sigma$

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{LI}^*(C) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \chi(\operatorname{LI}^\bullet(C)) \\ \operatorname{LI}(C) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q_{\operatorname{LI}^*(C)} \operatorname{LI}^*(C) \\ \\ \Gamma &\models \operatorname{LI}(C) \vee C \\ (\Delta &\models \neg \operatorname{LI}(C) \vee C) \end{split}$$

lifting only Δ -terms in this way for now

does not really work like this because Γ -quantifiers are somewhat included, also nesting of quantifier is not treated in this "proof"

Conjectured Lemma 7. $\Gamma \models LI^{\Delta}(C) \lor C$

Proof. This is implied by the Lemma for the other lifting strategy, as we just Lift *Less* Δ -terms here, so this is always an instance of the other Lemma induction on strenghtening, as always.

```
but additional strenghtening: lift all \Delta-terms, just like in other lemma
\begin{split} &C_{\Gamma} = C_1^{\bigstar}{}_{\Gamma} \vee C_2^{\bigstar}{}_{\Gamma} \\ &\text{IH:} \\ &\Gamma \models \text{LI}^{\Delta}(C_1) \vee C_1^{\bigstar}{}_{\Gamma} \vee l_{\Gamma} \\ &\Gamma \models \text{LI}^{\Delta}(C_2) \vee C_2^{\bigstar}{}_{\Gamma} \vee \neg l_{\Gamma}' \end{split}
 Hence:

\Gamma \vDash (\operatorname{LI}^{\Delta}(C_1) \vee C_1^*\Gamma \vee l_{\Gamma})\sigma
\begin{split} \Gamma &\models (\operatorname{LI}^{\Delta}(C_{1}) \vee C_{1}^{\Gamma} \Gamma \vee \operatorname{V}_{\Gamma})\sigma \\ \Gamma &\models (\operatorname{LI}^{\Delta}(C_{2}) \vee C_{2}^{*} \Gamma \vee \neg \operatorname{I}'_{\Gamma})\sigma \\ \text{Supp grey:} \\ \Gamma &\models (I \wedge \operatorname{LI}^{\Delta}(C_{2}))\sigma \vee (I' \wedge \operatorname{LI}^{\Delta}(C_{1}))\sigma \vee C_{\Gamma} \\ \Gamma &\models \operatorname{LI}^{\Delta}(C) \vee C_{\Gamma} \\ \text{the literal is of course equal as by clearly $C$ is not affected.} \end{split}
```

 $X = LV(LI^{\Delta}(C)) \setminus LV(LI^{\Delta}_{cl}(C_{\Gamma}))$

X': take from X those lifting variables, which contain variables which do not occur in C(this is safer than only $LI_{cl}^{\Delta}(C)$)

```
Y = LV(\ell_{\Gamma}[LI^{\Delta}(C)])
```

 $Y' = \{z_t \in Y \mid t \text{ contains a variable which does not occur in } C\}$

From other pdf: $\Gamma \vDash \operatorname{LI}^{\Delta}(C) \vee \operatorname{LI}^{\Delta}_{\operatorname{cl}}(C)$ Hence $\Gamma \vDash (Q(Y')\operatorname{LI}^{\Delta}(C)) \vee \operatorname{LI}^{\Delta}_{\operatorname{cl}}(C)$

2.3 lifting with nesting

Conjectured Lemma 8. $\Gamma \models \ell_{\Delta}[LI(C)] \lor \ell_{\Delta}[C]$

```
Proof. induction on C_{\Gamma}. take care of this properly when writing this up properly
   Base case:
   C \in \Gamma: Then \ell_{\Delta}[C] = C and \Gamma \models C
   C \in \Delta: Then LI(C) = \top
```

```
Ind step: Supp \Gamma \vDash \ell_{\Delta}[\operatorname{LI}(C_i)] \lor \ell_{\Delta}[C_i]
By lemma ?? \Gamma \vDash \ell_{\Delta}[\ell_{\Delta}[\operatorname{LI}(C_i)]\tau] \lor \ell_{\Delta}[\ell_{\Delta}[C_i]\tau]
By Lemma ??, \Gamma \vDash \ell_{\Delta}[\operatorname{LI}(C_i)\tau] \lor \ell_{\Delta}[C_i\tau]
formulate differently: (\circ)(*)\colon \Gamma \vDash \ell_{\Delta}[\operatorname{LI}(C_i)\tau] \lor \ell_{\Delta}[C_1^*\tau] \lor \ell_{\Delta}[l\tau]
Clearly: l\tau = l'\tau
By Lemma TODO (not even needed): \ell_{\Delta}[C] = \ell_{\Delta}[C_1^*\sigma] \lor \ell_{\Delta}[C_2^*\sigma] = \ell_{\Delta}[C_1]\tau \lor \ell_{\Delta}[C_2]\tau
```

• Supp l grey.

Need to show for $LI^{\bullet}(C)$:

$$\Gamma \vDash \ell_{\Delta}[C] \ \lor \ (\ell_{\Delta}[l]\tau \lor \ell_{\Delta}[\mathrm{LI}(C_2)]\tau) \ \lor \ (\neg \ell_{\Delta}[l']\tau \lor \ell_{\Delta}[\mathrm{LI}(C_1)]\tau)$$

By $(\circ)(*)$:

$$\Gamma \vDash \ell_{\Delta}[\mathrm{LI}_{\mathrm{cl}}(C_1)\tau] \vee \ell_{\Delta}[C_1^*\tau] \vee \ell_{\Delta}[l\tau]$$

$$\Gamma \vDash \ell_{\Delta}[\mathrm{LI}_{\mathrm{cl}}(C_2)\tau] \vee \ell_{\Delta}[C_2^*\tau] \vee \neg \ell_{\Delta}[l'\tau]$$

Hence:

$$\Gamma \vDash \ell_{\Delta}[\mathrm{LI}_{\mathrm{cl}}(C_1)\tau] \lor \ell_{\Delta}[\mathrm{LI}_{\mathrm{cl}}(C_2)\tau] \lor (\ell_{\Delta}[l\tau] \lor \ell_{\Delta}[\mathrm{LI}(C_2)\tau]) \lor (\neg \ell_{\Delta}[l'\tau] \lor \ell_{\Delta}[\mathrm{LI}(C_1)\tau])$$

is nothing else than:

$$\Gamma \models \ell_{\Delta}[C] \vee \ell_{\Delta}[LI^{\bullet}(C)]$$

• Supp l Γ -colored

Then resolve on l in $(\circ)(*)$ and obtain the same thing as in the other cases

• Supp l Δ -colored

Then $(\circ)(*)$ collapse to:

$$\Gamma \models \ell_{\Delta}[\mathrm{LI}(C_1)\tau] \vee \ell_{\Delta}[C_1^*\tau]$$

$$\Gamma \models \ell_{\Delta}[\mathrm{LI}(C_2)\tau] \vee \ell_{\Delta}[C_2^*\tau]$$

Clearly
$$\Gamma \models \ell_{\Delta}[LI(C_1)\tau] \lor \ell_{\Delta}[LI(C_2)\tau] \lor \ell_{\Delta}[C_1^*\tau] \land \ell_{\Delta}[C_2^*\tau]$$

is nothing else than:

$$\Gamma \models \ell_{\Delta}[C] \lor \ell_{\Delta}[LI^{\bullet}(C)]$$

Let t be a term in $LI^{\bullet}(C)$ at p such that t is maximal colored, contains a variable which does not occur in C.

• Suppose t is Δ -colored:

$$LI(C)|_p$$
 is $\ell_{\Delta}^x[t] = x_t$

However $\ell_{\Delta}[LI^{\bullet}]|_{p} = x_{t}$

IDEA: "do not occur in $\ell_{\Delta}[C]$

2.4. random ideas 6

• Suppose t is Γ -colored:

```
Then LI^*(C)|_p = y_t
and \exists y_t is contained in Q_{LI^*(C)}
But LI^{\bullet}(C)|_p = t (t by assumption has not been lifted before)
also \ell_{\Delta}[LI^{\bullet}(C)]|_p = \ell_{\Delta}[t]
```

Hence here we have the witness, and it contains quantified Δ -lifting vars.

Also more Δ -colored terms in $\ell_{\Delta}[LI^{\bullet}(C)]$ are lifted, but due to τ , they correspond exactly to the non-lifted terms. Hence with respect to the Δ -terms, LI(C) is an instance of $\ell_{\Delta}[LI^{\bullet}(C)]$.

As t contains a variable which does not occur elsewhere, t is not a subterm of a term which does not occur here.

 $Q_{\mathrm{LI}^*(C)}$ sorts according to subterm relation. Hence if t is a Γ -term and its witness term in $\ell_{\Delta}[\mathrm{LI}^{\bullet}(C)]$ contains a Δ -lifting variable, say x_s , then it is quantified in $Q_{\mathrm{LI}^*(C)}$ before y_t is.

if s contains the important variable, then t and s are both lifted at this inference.

if s does not, then t contains the important variable. Then s might be lifted later if it occurs elsewhere, or possibly not at all.

irrelevant remark: it is quantified at some point if it contains a variable as the last inference creates the empty clause, which does not contain variables. \Box

2.4 random ideas

- we can pull apart existentially quantified variables: $\exists x(P(x) \lor Q(x))$ implies $\exists x P(x) \lor \exists y P(y)$. this does not work with universally quantified variables $(P(f(x)) \lor \neg P(f(x)))$ but interpolants are somewhat symmetric, if it's existential for Γ , it's universal for Δ .

– suppose we lift all ground terms in the interpolant if no maximal colored term in the current clause is a subterm.