

Remark ()*. Any substitution, in particular σ , only changes a finite number of variables. Furthermore a result of a run of the unification algorithm is acyclic in the sense that if a substitution $u \mapsto t$ is added to the resulting substitution, it is never the case that at a later stage $t \mapsto u$ is added. This can easily be seen by considering that at the point when $u \mapsto t$ is added to the resulting substitution, every occurrence of u is replaced by t , so u is not encountered by the algorithm at a later stage.

Therefore in order to show that a statement holds for every $u \mapsto t$ in a unifier σ , it suffices to show by an induction argument that for every substitution $v \mapsto s$ which is added to the resulting unifier by the unification algorithm that it holds for $v \mapsto s$ under the assumption that it holds for every $w \mapsto r$ such that w occurs in s and $w \mapsto r$ is added to the resulting substitution at a later stage. \triangle

Conjecture 1. *Let C be a clause in a resolution refutation. Suppose that $\text{AI}^\Delta(C)$ contains a maximal Γ -term $\gamma_j[x_i]$ which contains a lifting variable x_i (lifting a Δ -term δ_i). Then there is an arrow in $\mathcal{A}^*(C)$ from an occurrence of x_k in $\text{AI}^\Delta(C)$ such that δ_k is an abstraction of δ_i to $\gamma_j[x_i]$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction. For the base case, note that no multicolored terms occur in initial clauses, so no lifting term can occur inside of a Γ -term.

Suppose a clause C is the result of a resolution of $C_1 : D \vee l$ and $C_2 : E \vee \neg l$ with $l\sigma = l'\sigma$. Furthermore suppose that for every lifting term inside a Γ -term in the clauses C_1 and C_2 of the refutation the desired arrows exist. We show that the same holds true for every new term of the form $\gamma_j[x_i]$ for some j, i in $\text{AI}^\Delta(C)$. By “new”, we mean terms which are not present in $\text{AI}^\Delta(C_1)$ or $\text{AI}^\Delta(C_2)$. Note that new terms in $\text{AI}^\Delta(C)$ are of the form $\ell_{\Delta, x}[t\sigma]\tau$ for some $t \in \text{AI}^\Delta(C_1) \cup \text{AI}^\Delta(C_2)$. By Lemma ??, σ does not introduce lifting variables. Hence a new term of the form $\gamma_j[x_i]$ is created either by introducing a Δ -term into a Γ -term or by introducing $\gamma_j[\delta_i]$ via σ , both followed by the lifting. Note that τ only substitutes lifting variables by other lifting variables and hence does not introduce lifting variables. Furthermore by Lemma ??, τ only substitutes lifting variables for other lifting variables, whose corresponding term is more specialised. Hence if there exists an arrow from a lifting variable to $\gamma_j[x_i]$ according to this lemma, it is also an appropriate arrow if $\gamma_j[x_i]$ is replaced by $\gamma_j[x_i]\tau$.

We now distinguish the two cases under which a new term $\gamma_j[x_i]$ can occur in $\text{AI}^\Delta(C)$:

Suppose for some Γ -term $\tilde{\gamma}_j[u]$ in $\text{AI}^\Delta(C_1)$ or $\text{AI}^\Delta(C_2)$, $(\tilde{\gamma}_j[u])\sigma = \gamma_j[\delta_i]$ for some i .

The substitution can also introduce a grey term containing a delta term, make sure to handle that!

The substitution can also introduce a gamma term containing a delta term, make sure to handle that!

TODO:

Suppose for some variable v in $\text{AI}^\Delta(C_1)$ or $\text{AI}^\Delta(C_2)$, $v\sigma = \gamma_j[\delta_i]$ for some i .

As v is affected by the unifier, it occurs in the literal being unified, say w.l.o.g. in l in C_1 . At one point in the unification algorithm, v is substituted by an abstraction of $\gamma_j[\delta_i]$. Let p be the position of the occurrence of v in l which leads to this situation. Furthermore, let p' be the position corresponding to p in l' .

Note that any arrow from or to p' also applies to p in $\mathcal{A}^*(C)$ and hence to $\gamma_j[x_i]$ as they are merged due to occurring in the resolved literal. So it suffices to show that there is an arrow from an appropriate lifting variable to p' . We denote the term at p' by t .

Note that $t\sigma = \gamma_j[\delta_i]$. So t is either a Γ -term containing a Δ -term, in which case we know that there is an appropriate arrow by the induction hypothesis as t occurs in l' in C_2 , or t is an abstraction of $\gamma_j[\delta_i]$, in which case we can assume the existence of an appropriate arrow by Remark (*). \square