## Contents

0.1 random notes
0.1 random notes
• As long as every pair of literal is variable disjoint, the quantifier ordering is arbitrary (proof idea: establish that some ordering works, then pull quantifier inwards and back outwards in arbitrary order).
<ul> <li>lifted terms which contain variables are disjoint for different clauses but ground lifted terms can be the same (which does not appear to be necessarily so!)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>the resolved/factorised literal should be the same (else this kind of proof doesn't go through)</li> </ul>
• $\forall x \exists y \varphi \Leftrightarrow \exists y \forall x \text{ does not hold for formula coding } f(0) = 1, \ f(1) = 0$ $(Z(y) \supset O(x)) \land (O(y) \supset Z(x), \ \mathcal{U} = \{0,1\}, \ Z/1 \text{ and } O/1 \text{ encode being } 0$ or 1 respectively.
Lemma 1. $\Gamma \models \mathrm{LI}^{\Delta}(C) \vee \mathrm{LI}^{\Delta}_{\mathrm{cl}}(C)$ .
Lemma 2. $\Gamma \models \forall \overline{x} \exists \overline{y} (LI(C) \lor LI_{cl}(C)).$
Proof. By 1, $\Gamma \vDash \operatorname{LI}^{\Delta}(C) \vee \operatorname{LI}^{\Delta}_{\operatorname{cl}}(C)$ . Hence $\Gamma \vDash \forall \overline{x} \left( \operatorname{LI}^{\Delta}(C) \vee \operatorname{LI}^{\Delta}_{\operatorname{cl}}(C) \right)$ . and also $\Gamma \vDash \forall \overline{x} \exists \overline{y}  \ell_{\Gamma} \left[ \operatorname{LI}^{\Delta}(C) \vee \operatorname{LI}^{\Delta}_{\operatorname{cl}}(C) \right]$ . by some lemma then $\Gamma \vDash \forall \overline{x} \exists \overline{y} \left( \operatorname{LI}(C) \vee \operatorname{LI}_{\operatorname{cl}}(C) \right)$ .
but can't invert this idea: Let $\hat{\Delta} = \Gamma$ and $\hat{\Gamma} = \Delta$ .  Then with $\hat{\pi}$ and 2: $\hat{\Gamma} \models \forall \bar{x} \exists \bar{y} \ (\text{LI}(\bar{\pi}))$ Hence (some lemma) $\Delta \models \forall \bar{y} \exists \bar{x} \ (\neg \text{LI}(\pi))$ .  Hence $\Delta \models \neg \exists \bar{y} \ \forall \bar{x} \ (\text{LI}(\pi))$ .  need some consistent ordering, so possibly just prove that all work, because we need to shuffle a lot anyway

Contents

0.1. random notes 2

example with same lifting var in two children of a connective:

 $601-lifting\ vars\ interleaved\ so\ quantifier\ pull\ in/out\ trick\ doesn't\ work$ 

$$\frac{P(f(x)) \stackrel{\Sigma}{\vee} S(f(x)) \qquad \neg P(z) \vee Q(g(y)) \vee R(g(y))}{P(f(x)) \mid S(f(x)) \vee Q(g(y)) \vee R(g(y))} \qquad \stackrel{\Sigma}{\neg Q(z)} \\ -Q(g(y)) \wedge P(f(x)) \mid S(f(x)) \vee R(g(y))$$

$$\begin{split} \Sigma &\vDash \forall u \exists v \big( (\neg Q(u_{g(y)}) \land P(v_{f(x)})) \lor S(v_{f(x)}) \lor R(u_{g(y)}) \big) \\ \Rightarrow \text{not interesting as } R \text{ is not mentioned, so it collapses.} \end{split}$$

$$\Pi \vDash \exists u \forall v \big( (Q(u_{g(y)}) \lor \neg P(v_{f(x)})) \lor S(v_{f(x)}) \lor R(u_{g(y)}) \big)$$

$$\frac{\neg Q(g(y)) \land P(f(x)) \mid S(f(x)) \lor R(g(y)) \qquad \neg S(x_7)}{S(f(x)) \lor (\neg Q(g(y)) \land P(f(x))) \mid R(g(y))}$$

$$\begin{split} \Sigma &\vDash \forall u \exists v \Big( S(v) \vee (\neg Q(u) \vee P(v)) \vee R(u) \Big) \\ \Pi &\vDash \exists u \forall v \Big( (\neg S(v_{f(x)}) \wedge (Q(u_{g(y)}) \vee \neg P(v_{f(x)}))) \ \vee \ R(u_{g(y)}) \Big) \end{split}$$

Can't see much of interest, but can not apply quantifier pulling in and out trick

same again with direct overbinding:

$$\frac{\exists v (P(v) \lor S(v)) \qquad \forall u (\neg P(z) \lor Q(u) \lor R(u))}{\exists v \ \forall u \ (P(v) \mid S(v) \lor Q(u) \lor R(u))}$$

only  $\Delta$ :  $\forall u(P(f(x)) \mid S(f(x)) \lor Q(u) \lor R(u))$ 

no subterm relation anyway

0.1. random notes 3

## 602 - counterexample with alternating function

$$\frac{F(x) \vee \neg Z(f(x)) \vee O(\alpha) \qquad G(y) \vee \neg O(g(y))}{O(g(y)) \mid F(x) \vee \neg Z(f(x)) \vee G(y)} \qquad \prod_{\substack{I \\ Z(\alpha) \vee M\beta}} \frac{O(g(y)) \mid F(x) \vee \neg Z(f(x)) \mid F(x) \vee G(y) \vee M(\beta)}{O(g(y)) \vee \neg Z(f(x)) \mid F(x) \vee G(y) \vee M(\beta)}$$

$$\frac{F(x') \vee Z(\alpha) \vee \neg O(f(x')) \qquad G(y') \vee \neg Z(g(y'))}{Z(g(y')) \mid F(x') \vee \neg O(f(x')) \vee G(y') \qquad O(\alpha) \vee \neg M(\beta)}{Z(g(y')) \vee \neg O(f(x')) \mid F(x') \vee G(y') \vee M(\beta)}$$

conbining:

$$\frac{(Z(g(y')) \vee \neg O(f(x'))) \quad \wedge \quad (O(g(y)) \vee \neg Z(f(x))) \quad | \quad F(x) \vee G(y) \vee F(x') \vee G(y')}{(Z(g(y)) \vee \neg O(f(x))) \quad \wedge \quad (O(g(y)) \vee \neg Z(f(x))) \quad | \quad F(x) \vee G(y)}$$

interpolant is lifted version: 
$$\forall y_g \exists y_f \Big( (Z(y_g) \vee \neg O(y_f)) \quad \wedge \quad (O(y_g) \vee \neg Z(y_f)) \quad | \quad F(x) \vee G(y) \Big)$$

## 602a: with constants

$$\frac{-Z(a) \vee O(\alpha) \qquad \prod_{\substack{O(b) \mid \neg Z(a)}} \prod_{\substack{Z(\alpha) \vee M\beta}} \prod_{\substack{Z(\alpha) \vee M\beta}} \bigcap_{\substack{D(b) \mid \neg Z(a) \mid M(\beta)}} \prod_{\substack{D(b) \mid A \mid$$

In such cases, we always have  $O(\alpha)$ , i.e. something universally quantified