# border cases: arrows not within supposedly connected components

211a

$$\frac{Q(x) \vee P(f(x,a)) \qquad \neg Q(y) \vee R(f(y,b))}{Q(x) \mid P(f(x,a)) \vee R(f(x,b))}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ no arr between } P \text{ and } R$$

211a'

$$\frac{Q(x) \vee P(f(x,a)) \qquad \neg Q(y) \vee R(f(y,b))}{Q(x) \mid P(f(x,a)) \vee R(f(x,b))} \qquad \frac{\neg P(f(u,z)) \vee S(u)) \qquad \overset{\Pi}{\neg S(c)}}{S(c) \mid \neg P(f(c,z))}$$

$$Q(x) \mid P(f(x,a)) \vee R(f(x,b)) \qquad S(c) \mid \neg P(f(c,z)) \rangle$$

$$Q(x) \vee P(f(x,a)) \vee R(f(x,b)) \qquad S(c) \mid \neg P(f(c,a)) \wedge Q(c) \rangle \qquad S(c) \mid \neg P(f(c,b)) \qquad S(c) \mid \neg P($$

 $c \sim x_1$   $f(c,a) \sim y_2$ 

 $(P(y_2) \land S(x_1)) \lor (\neg P(y_2) \land Q(x_1)) \mid R(y_3)$  NOTE: arrow merge on resolution is not drawn here (but is necessary)  $\forall x_1 \exists y_2 \exists y_3$ 

this is not valid per se as the left hand side only contains  $\Sigma$ -formulas, but it probably could be fixed by adding some  $\Pi$ -inferences Lesson is: no extra arrows needed, if a term enters, it does so via x, but there is a variable from the grey x to both colored x.

211b

$$\frac{Q(x) \vee P(f(x)) \qquad R(y) \vee \neg P(f(y))}{P(f(x)) \mid Q(x) \vee R(x)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ no arr between } Q \text{ and } R$$

NB: should be fixed by backwards merging special case

211b'

$$\frac{Q(x) \vee P(f(x)) \qquad R(y) \vee \neg P(f(y))}{P(f(x)) \mid Q(x) \vee R(x) \qquad \qquad \prod_{\substack{\neg Q(a) \\ P(f(a)) \vee Q(a) \mid R(a)}}$$

WRONG: conjecture: Q and R do not need arrows as they are lifted by the same variable anyway, so constraints on Q do the work

211c

$$\frac{Q(\underbrace{f(x))}^{\Sigma} \vee R(x)}{\neg R(g(y))} \frac{\Pi}{\neg R(g(y))}$$

$$Q(f(g(y))) \vee R(g(y))$$

Have same var but no merge arrow. The whole term g(y) is somehow the "travelling term", there is no "renaming".

## 211d - problem cases with lemma grey->colored

currently not clear what the connetion between the arguments of R on the RHS is If we use factorisation, not sure how to handle yet, but could be like:  $R(t[x], \underline{s[x]}) \vee Q(x)$ 

$$Q(\underbrace{y) \vee Q'(z) \vee P(f(y)) \vee R(g(y), g'(z))}_{R(g(h(x)), g'(x)) \mid Q(h(x)) \vee Q'(x) \vee P(f(h(x)))} \neg R(g(h(x)), g'(x))$$

NB: this is different since x occurs grev as well (example not finished)

Problem case 1: x grey and colored, but not connection

$$Q'(\underline{z}) \vee P(\underline{f(y)}) \vee R(\underline{g(f(y))},\underline{g'(z)}) \qquad \neg R(\underline{g(f(h(x)))},\underline{g'(x)})$$

$$R(\underline{g(f(h(x)))},\underline{g'(x)}) \mid \underline{Q(x)} \vee P(\underline{f(h(x))}) \qquad y \mapsto h(x), z \mapsto x$$

$$NB: \text{ no connection between Q and P}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ backwards merging}$$

Problem case 2: x colored and colored, not sure what the connection is supposed to be

$$\frac{Q'(\underbrace{k(z)) \vee P(f(y)) \vee R(g(y),g'(z))} \neg R(g(h(x)),g'(x))}{R(g(h(x)),g'(x)) \mid Q'(k(x)) \vee P(f(h(x)))} \xrightarrow{y \mapsto h(x),z \mapsto x}$$

# lifting var doesn't correspond to actual term exactly in context of unifier arrows

212a

$$\frac{R(g(x)) \vee S(x) \qquad \neg S(a)}{S(a) \mid R(g(a))} x \mapsto a \qquad \sum_{\substack{\Sigma \\ P(h(f(y))) \vee \neg R(y) \\ S(a) \mid R(g(a)) \mid P(h(f(g(a)))) \\ }} \frac{S(a) \mid R(g(a)) \mid R(g(a)) \mid P(h(f(g(a))))}{S(a) \mid R(g(a)), P(h(f(g(a))))} u \mapsto g(f(g(a)))$$

lifted:

$$\frac{R(x_{g(x)}) \vee S(x) \qquad \neg S(x_a)}{S(x_a) \mid R(x_{g(x)})} x \mapsto a \qquad \sum_{\substack{\Sigma \\ P(y_{h(f(y))}) \vee \neg R(y) \\ S(x_a) \mid R(x_{g(a)}) \mid P(y_{h(f(y))}) \\ S(x_a) \mid R(x_{g(a)}), P(y_{h(f(g(a)))}) \mid Q(y_{f(g(a))}) \\ u \mapsto g(f(g(a)))$$

at \*,  $R(x_g(x))$  is not known to refer to g(a). can we resort to check grey occurrences of g(a)? need arrow from R to P (this situation should be more critical if it is a backwards arrow) thought: concerns only stuff in literal, maybe can leverage something here (all lifting vars  $x_i$  point to same term or so)

#### 212b - same but more info not present

$$\frac{R(g'(g(x))) \vee S(x) \qquad \neg S(a)}{S(a) \mid\mid R(g'(g(a)))} x \mapsto a \qquad \frac{P(h(f(y))) \vee \neg T(y) \qquad T(z) \vee \neg R(g'(z))}{T(y) \mid\mid P(h(f(y))) \vee \neg R(g'(y))} z \mapsto y}{S(a) \mid\mid \neg R(g'(g(a))) \vee T(g(a)) \mid\mid P(h(f(g(a))))} y \mapsto g(a) *$$

lifted w unifier arrows:

$$\frac{R(x_{g'(g(x))}) \vee S(x) \qquad \stackrel{\Pi}{\neg S(x_a)}}{S(x_a) \mid\mid R(x_{g'(g(x))})} x \mapsto a \qquad \frac{P(y_{h(f(y))}) \vee \neg T(y) \qquad T(z) \vee \neg R(x_{g'(z)})}{T(y) \mid\mid P(y_{h(f(y))}) \vee \neg R(x_{g'(y)})} z \mapsto y}{S(x_a) \mid \neg R(x_{g'(g(a))}) \vee T(x_{g(a)}) \mid\mid P(y_{h(f(y))})} y \mapsto g(a) *$$

\* is similar here, but the grey occurrence of y isn't even in the literal

## 212c - other approach

$$\frac{P(f(x),u) \vee Q(x) \vee R(u) \qquad \neg Q(g(z)) \vee R(z)}{Q(g(z)) \mid P(f(g(z)),u) \vee R(u) \vee R(z) \qquad u \mapsto z} \qquad \sum_{\substack{Q(g(z)) \mid P(f(g(z)),z) \vee R(z) \\ \hline P(f(g(c)),c) \mid Q(g(c)) \mid S(h(g(c))) \vee R(c) \\ \hline} \qquad u \mapsto g(c),z \mapsto c$$
(arrows for  $c$  not shown)

(the bold S receives the  $\Delta$ -term here, the rest is technical details. it's about how S receives the arrow) lifted:

$$\frac{P(y_{f(x)}, u) \vee Q(x) \vee R(u) \qquad \neg Q(x_{g(z)}) \vee R(z)}{Q(x_{g(z)}) \mid P(y_{f(g(z))}, u) \vee R(u) \vee R(z)} \\ \frac{Q(x_{g(z)}) \mid P(y_{f(g(z))}, u) \vee R(u) \vee R(z)}{Q(x_{g(z)}) \mid P(y_{f(g(z))}, z) \vee R(z)} \\ \frac{S(y_{h(u)}) \vee \neg P(y_{f(u)}, y_c)}{P(y_{f(g(c))}, y_c) \mid Q(x_{g(z)}) \mid S(y_{h(u)})) \vee R(y_c)}$$

Need arrow to S. possibly work in C, not lifted variants.

Problem 2: lifting var in P is updated, but the one in Q is not, hence index of lifting vars don't match, which per se isn't a problem

 $\Delta$ -lifted:

$$\frac{P(f(x),u) \vee Q(x) \vee R(u) \qquad \neg Q(x_{g(z)}) \vee R(z)}{\frac{Q(x_{g(z)}) \mid P(f(x_{g(z)}),u) \vee R(u) \vee R(z)}{Q(x_{g(z)}) \mid P(f(x_{g(z)}),z) \vee R(z)}} \frac{\Sigma}{S(h(u)) \vee \neg P(f(u),c)} \\ \frac{Q(x_{g(z)}) \mid P(f(x_{g(z)}),y) \vee R(z)}{P(f(x_{g(c)}),y_c) \mid Q(x_{g(c)}) \mid S(h(x_{g(c)})) \vee R(c)}$$
 lifting var in  $Q$  is fixed here

# term unified which is just produced

$$\frac{P(g(x),x) \quad \neg P(y,a)}{P(g(a),a)} \; y \mapsto g(a), x \mapsto a$$

 $\Rightarrow$  can only add arrow from terms in C, as they do not exist before.

# old examples with unifier arrows

214a (210f) 
$$\frac{ \sum\limits_{\substack{ \bot \mid P(f(\boldsymbol{x})) \vee Q(\boldsymbol{x}) \quad \bot \mid \neg Q(y) \vee R(g(y)) \\ \hline Q(x) \mid \bot \mid P(f(x)) \vee R(g(x)) \\ \hline \frac{Q(a), P(f(a) \mid S(a) \mid R(g(a)) \\ \hline Q(a), P(f(a) \mid S(a) \mid R(g(a)) \\ \hline Q(a), P(f(a)) \mid S(a) \vee R(g(a)$$

what if different starting point:

Denote:
$$\frac{\perp \mid P(f(x)) \lor R(g(x))}{\sum_{\substack{\bot \mid P(f(z)) \lor S(z) \\ \hline P(f(a) \mid S(a) \mid R(g(a)) \\ \hline P(f(a)) \mid S(a) \lor R(g(a)) \\ \hline} \underbrace{x \mapsto a}_{\substack{\Pi \\ \top \mid \neg R(u) \\ \hline P(f(a)) \mid S(a) \lor R(g(a)) \\ \hline}_{\substack{\square \\ P(f(a)) \mid S(a) \lor R(g(a)) \\ \hline}$$

More special cases from proof:

215a

$$\frac{P(f(g(v)), f(v)) \quad \neg P(f(g(a)), f(u)) \lor S(h(u))}{P(f(g(a)), f(a)) \mid S(h(a))}$$
need arrow to S

prequel to this situation:

RHS:

$$\frac{R(g(a)) \qquad \neg R(x) \vee \neg P(f(x), f(u)) \vee S(h(u))}{\neg R(g(a)) \mid \neg P(f(g(a)), f(u)) \vee S(h(u))}$$

LHS1:

$$\frac{R(y) \vee P(f(y), f(v)) \vee Q(v) \qquad \neg R(g(z)) \vee Q(z)}{R(g(z)) \mid P(f(g(z)), f(v)) \vee Q(v) \vee Q(z)}$$

$$R(g(v)) \mid P(f(g(v)), f(v)) \vee Q(v)$$

⇒ have grey occ of v, it is only a problem if there isn't one