
Contents

Contents	1
0.1 from other pdf	1
0.2 proof	2

0.1 from other pdf

ma:lifting_order_not_relevant) **Lemma 1.** *Basically $\ell_\Gamma^y[\ell_\Delta^x[\varphi]] = \ell_\Delta^x[\ell_\Gamma^y[\varphi]]$.*

0.2 proof

Definition 2 ($\tau(\iota)$). For an inference ι with $\sigma = \text{mgu}(\iota)$, we define the infinite substitution $\tau(\iota)$ with $\text{dom}(\tau(\iota)) = \text{dom}(\sigma) \cup \{z_s \mid s\sigma \neq s\}$ as follows for a variable x :

$$x\tau(\iota) = \begin{cases} x\sigma & x \text{ is a non-lifting variable} \\ z_{t\sigma} & x \text{ is a lifting variable } z_t \end{cases}$$

△

define infinite substitutions properly and apply definition here

Definition 3 (Incremental lifting). Let π be a resolution refutation of $\Gamma \cup \Delta$. We define $\text{LI}(\pi)$ ($\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(\pi)$) to be $\text{LI}(\square)$ ($\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(\square)$), where \square is the empty clause derived in π .

Let C be a clause in π . For a literal λ in C , we denote the corresponding literal in $\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C)$ by λ_{LIcl} , which exists by Proposition 4.

We define $\text{LI}(C)$ and $\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C)$ as follows:

Base case. If $C \in \Gamma$, $\text{LI}(C) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \perp$. If otherwise $C \in \Delta$, $\text{LI}(C) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \top$.

In any case, $\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ell[C]$.

Resolution. If the clause C is the result of a resolution step ι of $C_1 : D \vee l$ and $C_2 : E \vee \neg l'$ using a unifier σ such that $l\sigma = l'\sigma$, then let $\tau = \tau(\iota)$ and define $\text{LI}(C)$ and $\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C)$ as follows:

$$\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ell[(\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C_1) \setminus \{l_{\text{LIcl}}\})\tau] \vee \ell[(\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C_2) \setminus \{l'_{\text{LIcl}}\})\tau]$$

1. If l is Γ -colored: $\text{LI}(C) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ell[\text{LI}(C_1)\tau] \vee \ell[\text{LI}(C_2)\tau]$
2. If l is Δ -colored: $\text{LI}(C) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ell[\text{LI}(C_1)\tau] \wedge \ell[\text{LI}(C_2)\tau]$
3. If l is grey: $\text{LI}(C) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\ell[l_{\text{LIcl}}\tau] \wedge \ell[\text{LI}(C_2)\tau]) \vee (\neg \ell[l'_{\text{LIcl}}\tau] \wedge \ell[\text{LI}(C_1)\tau])$

Factorisation. If the clause C is the result of a factorisation step ι of $C_1 : l \vee l' \vee D$ using a unifier σ such that $l\sigma = l'\sigma$, then $\text{LI}(C) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ell[\text{LI}(C_1)\tau(\iota)]$ and $\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ell[(\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C_1) \setminus \{l'_{\text{LIcl}}\})\tau(\iota)]$. △

(prop:corresponding_literal) **Proposition 4.** Every literal λ in C has a corresponding literal λ_{LIcl} in $\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C)$.

Definition 5. $\text{LI}^\Delta(C)$ ($\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(C)$) for a clause C is defined as $\text{LI}(C)$ ($\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C)$) with the difference that in its inductive definition, every lifting $\ell[\varphi]$ for a formula or term φ is replaced by a lifting of only the Δ -terms $\ell_\Delta[\varphi]$. △

(lemma:gamma_proves_pide) **Lemma 6.** For a clause C of a resolution refutation of $\Gamma \cup \Delta$, $\Gamma \models \text{LI}^\Delta(C) \vee \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(C)$.

Proof. Induction of the strengthening $\Gamma \models \text{LI}^\Delta(C) \vee \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(C_\Gamma)$

Base case. ✓

Resolution.

Ind hyp gives $\Gamma \models \text{LI}^\Delta(C_1) \vee \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(D) \vee l_{\text{LIcl}^\Delta}$ and similar for C_2 .

$\Gamma \models \text{LI}^\Delta(C_1) \vee \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(D) \vee l_{\text{LIcl}^\Delta}$

+ lemma:substitute_and_lift

$\Gamma \models \ell_\Delta^x[\text{LI}^\Delta(C_1)\tau] \vee \ell_\Delta^x[\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(D)\tau] \vee \ell_\Delta^x[l_{\text{LIcl}^\Delta}\tau]$

have that $l\sigma = l'\sigma$, get also that $\ell_\Delta[l_{\text{LIcl}^\Delta}\tau] = \ell_\Delta[l'_{\text{LIcl}^\Delta}\tau]$. Proof: Suppose not lifted, then same. Otw. lifting variables, but then for p pos of lft var z_t in l_{LIcl^Δ} , $l|_p$ is t after applying τ . Hence have z_t for both.

- supp Γ resolved literals not removed due to coloring. literals are equal, can do resolution. get everything in disjunction
- supp Δ literals removed. have: either one of the clauses, or else both interpolant pairs
- supp grey. as literals same, if l , then $\neg l$ not, so get rest there and vice versa

Don't really say $\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(D)$ here, we only have $\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(C)$

Factorisation.

Ind hyp gives $\Gamma \models \text{LI}^\Delta(C_1) \vee \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(D) \vee l_{\text{LIcl}^\Delta} \vee l'_{\text{LIcl}^\Delta}$

also have that $l\sigma = l'\sigma$ implies $\ell_\Delta[l_{\text{LIcl}^\Delta}\tau] = \ell_\Delta[l'_{\text{LIcl}^\Delta}\tau]$.

+ lemma:substitute_and_lift:

$\Gamma \models \ell_\Delta^x[\text{LI}^\Delta(C_1)\tau] \vee \ell_\Delta^x[\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(D)\tau] \vee \ell_\Delta^x[l_{\text{LIcl}^\Delta}\tau] \vee \ell_\Delta^x[l'_{\text{LIcl}^\Delta}\tau]$

hence can factorise here \square

Don't really say $\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(D)$ here, we only have $\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(C)$

?(def:arrow_quantifier_block)?

Definition 7 (Quantifier block). Let C be a clause in a resolution refutation π of $\Gamma \cup \Delta$ and \bar{x} be the Δ -lifting variables and \bar{y} the Γ -lifting variables occurring in $\text{LI}(C)$ and $\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C)$. $Q(C)$ denotes an arrangement of the elements of $\{\forall x_t \mid x_t \in \bar{x}\} \cup \{\exists y_t \mid y_t \in \bar{y}\}$ such that for two lifting variable z_s and z_r , if s is a subterm of r , then z_s is listed before z_r . We denote $Q(\square)$ by $Q(\pi)$. \triangle

Conjectured Lemma 8. $\ell[\ell[\varphi]\tau] = \ell[\varphi\tau]$.

Proof. proof by induction.

Supp constant: done.

Supp grey function: apply to children.

supp variable: $\ell[\ell[x]\tau] = \ell[x\tau]$

supp lft var: $\ell[\ell[z_t]\tau] = \ell[z_t\tau]$

supp col term t

$\ell[\ell[t]\tau] = \ell[z_t\tau] = \ell[z_t\sigma] = z_t\sigma = \ell[t\sigma] = \ell[t\tau]$

\square

(lemma:gamma_lifted_lide)

Lemma 9. For a clause C of a resolution refutation of $\Gamma \cup \Delta$, $\ell_\Gamma[\text{LI}^\Delta(C) \vee \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(C)] = \text{LI}(C) \vee \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C)$.

Proof. Base case.

LI^Δ : easy.

$\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta$: By Lemma 1, $\ell_\Gamma[\ell_\Delta[C]] = \ell[C]$

Resolution.

IH:

$$\ell_\Gamma[\text{LI}^\Delta(C_1) \vee \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(C_1)] = \text{LI}(C_1) \vee \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C_1).$$

$$\ell_\Gamma[\text{LI}^\Delta(C_2) \vee \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(C_2)] = \text{LI}(C_2) \vee \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C_2).$$

$\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta$:

$$\ell_\Gamma[\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(C_1)] = \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C_1)$$

$$\ell_\Delta[\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(C_1)\tau] \subseteq \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(C)$$

$$\ell[\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C_1)\tau] \subseteq \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C)$$

$$\text{to show: } \ell_\Gamma^\forall[\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(C)] = \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C)$$

$$\ell[\ell_\Gamma[\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(C_1)]\tau] = \ell[\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C_1)\tau] \quad \text{IH} + \text{same op on both sides}$$

new lemma above

$$\ell[\ell_\Gamma[\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(C_1)]\tau] = \ell[\text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(C_1)\tau]$$

LI^Δ :

• Supp Γ :

$$\text{IH: } \ell_\Gamma[\text{LI}^\Delta(C_1)] = \text{LI}(C_1)$$

$$\text{hence also: } \ell[\text{LI}^\Delta(C_1)] = \text{LI}(C_1) \text{ (by lemma: no } \Delta\text{-terms in } \dots)$$

$$+ \tau: \ell[\text{LI}^\Delta(C_1)]\tau = \text{LI}(C_1)\tau$$

$$+ \ell: \ell[\ell[\text{LI}^\Delta(C_1)]\tau] = \ell[\text{LI}(C_1)\tau]$$

$$\text{by new lemma } \ell[\text{LI}^\Delta(C_1)\tau] = \ell[\text{LI}(C_1)\tau]$$

$$\text{hence by Lemma 1, } \ell_\Gamma[\ell_\Delta[\text{LI}^\Delta(C_1)\tau]] \subseteq \text{LI}^\Delta(C)$$

$$\text{hence } \ell_\Gamma[\text{LI}^\Delta(C)] \subseteq \text{LI}^\Delta(C)$$

Factorisation.

□

Lemma 10. *For a clause C of a resolution refutation of $\Gamma \cup \Delta$, $\Gamma \models Q(C)(\text{LI}(C) \vee \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C))$.*

Proof. By Lemma 9 $\ell_\Gamma[\text{LI}^\Delta(C) \vee \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(C)] = \text{LI}(C) \vee \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C)$.

By Lemma 6, $\Gamma \models \text{LI}^\Delta(C) \vee \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(C)$. Hence the terms in $\text{LI}^\Delta(C) \vee \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}^\Delta(C)$ provide witness terms for the Γ -lifting variables in $\text{LI}(C) \vee \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C)$, which are existentially quantified in $Q(C)(\text{LI}(C) \vee \text{LI}_{\text{cl}}(C))$.

Furthermore, the ordering imposed on the quantifiers in $Q(C)$ implies that if a Δ -lifting variable x_s occurs in a witness term for a Γ -lifting variable y_r , y_r is quantified in the scope of the quantifier of x_s as s is a subterm of r . This however ensures that the witness terms are valid. □

?(lemma:li_symmetry)?

Lemma 11. *symmetry: $Q(C)(\text{LI}(C)) \Leftrightarrow Q(\hat{C})(\text{LI}(\hat{C}))$.*

Proof. todo: copy from other pdf

□

Theorem 12. *same as other pdf*