border cases: arrows not within supposedly connected components

211a

$$\frac{Q(x) \vee P(f(x,a)) \qquad \neg Q(y) \vee R(f(y,b))}{Q(x) \mid P(f(x,a)) \vee R(f(x,b))}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ no arr between } P \text{ and } R$$

211a'

$$\underbrace{\frac{Q(x)\vee P(f(x,a))}{Q(x)\mid P(f(x,a))\vee R(f(y,b))}}_{Q(x)\mid P(f(x,a))\vee R(f(x,b))} \underbrace{\frac{\neg P(f(u,z))\vee S(u))}{\neg S(c)}}_{\neg P(f(c,z))\vee S(v)} \underbrace{\frac{\neg P(f(u,z))\vee S(u))}{\neg S(c)}}_{\neg S(c)}$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{Q(x)\mid P(f(x,a))\vee R(f(x,b))}{(P(f(c,a)\wedge S(c))\vee (\neg P(f(c,a))\wedge Q(c)))\mid R(f(c,z))}_{\neg S(c)}$$

$$c \sim x_1 \qquad f(c,a) \sim y_2 \qquad f(c,b) \sim y_3$$

 $(P(y_2) \land S(x_1)) \lor (\neg P(y_2) \land Q(x_1)) \mid R(y_3)$ NOTE: arrow merge on resolution is not drawn here (but is necessary)

this is not valid per se as the left hand side only contains Σ -formulas, but it probably could be fixed by adding some Π -inferences Lesson is: no extra arrows needed, if a term enters, it does so via x, but there is a variable from the grey x to both colored x.

211b

$$\frac{Q(x) \vee P(f(x)) \qquad R(y) \vee \neg P(f(y))}{P(f(x)) \mid Q(x) \vee R(x)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ no arr between } Q \text{ and } R$$

NB: should be fixed by backwards merging special case

211b'

$$\frac{Q(x) \vee P(f(x)) \qquad R(y) \vee \neg P(f(y))}{P(f(x)) \mid Q(x) \vee R(x) \qquad \qquad \Pi \\ \neg Q(a)}$$

$$P(f(a)) \vee Q(a) \mid R(a)$$

WRONG: conjecture: Q and R do not need arrows as they are lifted by the same variable anyway, so constraints on Q do the work

211c

$$\frac{Q(\underbrace{f(x))}^{\Sigma} \vee R(x)}{Q(f(g(y))) \vee R(g(y))} \frac{\Pi}{Q(f(g(y))) \vee R(g(y))}$$

Have same var but no merge arrow. The whole term q(y) is somehow the "travelling term", there is no "renaming".

211d - problem cases with lemma grey->colored

currently not clear what the connetion between the arguments of R on the RHS is If we use factorisation, not sure how to handle yet, but could be like: $R(t[x], \underline{s[x]}) \vee Q(x)$

$$\underbrace{\frac{Q(\underbrace{y) \vee Q'(z) \vee P(f(y)) \vee R(g(y), g'(z))}{\neg R(g(h(x)), g'(x))}}_{R(g(h(x)), g'(x)) \mid Q(h(x)) \vee Q'(x) \vee P(f(h(x)))} }_{q \mapsto h(x), z \mapsto x}$$

NB: this is different since x occurs grey as well (example not finished)

Problem case 1: x grey and colored, but not connection

$$Q'(\underline{z) \vee P(f(y)) \vee R(g(f(y)), g'(z))} \neg R(g(f(h(x))), g'(x))$$

$$R(\underline{g(f(h(x))), \underline{g'(x)}) \mid Q(x) \vee P(f(h(x)))} y \mapsto h(x), z \mapsto x$$

$$NB: \text{ no connection between } Q \text{ and } P$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ backwards merging}$$

Problem case 2: x colored and colored, not sure what the connection is supposed to be

$$\frac{Q'(\underline{k(z)) \vee P(f(y)) \vee R(g(y),g'(z))} \quad \neg R(g(h(x)),g'(x))}{R(g(h(x)),g'(x)) \mid Q'(\underline{k(x)) \vee P(f(h(x)))}} y \mapsto h(x), z \mapsto x$$