501 - like 502 but easier

$$\frac{\forall x_a \exists y_{f(a)} Q(x_a, y_{f(a)}) \mid A \qquad \forall x_a(S(x_a)) \mid \neg A}{\forall x_a(S(x_a)) \land \forall x_a \exists y_{f(a)} Q(x_a, y_{f(a)}) \mid \Box}$$

no first order operation in this last inference  $\Rightarrow$  nothing to prove

502 - example with multiple, independent a's

derivation:

$$\frac{P(f(x),x) \vee Q(z) \vee R(z) \qquad \overset{\Pi}{\neg R(a)}}{\underbrace{\frac{R(a) \mid P(f(x),x) \vee Q(a) \qquad \qquad \overset{\Pi}{\neg Q(u)}}{Q(a) \vee R(a) \mid P(f(x),x)}} \qquad \overset{\Pi}{\neg P(z,a)}}{\underbrace{P(f(a),a) \vee Q(a) \vee R(a) \mid \Box}}$$

invariant:  $\ell_{\Delta}[LI(C)] \mid \ell_{\Delta}[C]$ 

$$\frac{P(f(x),x) \vee Q(z) \vee R(z) \qquad \stackrel{\Pi}{\neg R(x_a)}}{R(x_a) \mid P(f(x),x) \vee Q(x_a) \qquad \stackrel{\Pi}{\neg Q(u)}} \frac{R(x_a) \mid P(f(x),x) \vee Q(x_a) \qquad \stackrel{\Pi}{\neg P(z,x_a)}}{\forall x_a (Q(x_a) \vee R(x_a)) \mid P(f(x),x) \qquad \neg P(z,x_a)}$$

possibly important intermediate step before last formula:

$$P(f(x_a), x_a) \vee \forall x_a (Q(x_a) \vee R(x_a))$$

lifting:  $LI(C) \mid C$ 

TODO figure out why this always works
possibly write down logical justification of steps down very precisely as for
paramod special case