Expt	rare	feat	training	test accuracy	# of	# of	running time
id	thres	thres	accuracy		feats	kept	
						feats	
1_1	1	1	0.98728	0.83544	39380	39380	2 min 59 sec
1_3	1	3	0.97331	0.83422	39380	12191	1 min 47 sec
2_1	2	1	0.98883	0.88322	44167	44167	2 min 55 sec
2_3	2	3	0.98670	0.88240	44167	14125	1 min 49 sec
3_3	3	3	0.98749	0.89179	44297	15279	1 min 54 sec
3_5	3	5	0.98560	0.89424	44297	8780	1 min 59 sec
5_10	5	10	0.98310	0.89873	44169	4527	1 min 33 sec

The number of features increased as the "rare thres" number increased from 1 to 3. Beyond the "rare thres" number of 3, the number of features generated would start to decline as shown in experiment id 5_{-10} .

The number of kept features depended on the "rare thres" and "feat thres" numbers, and would be very high if a higher "rare thres" number was matched with a low "feat thres" number, as shown in experiment id 2_1. This was because a higher "rare thres" number would have generated more features and a comparatively lower "feat thres" would have resulted in lesser number of those features generated by the "rare thres" number being discarded. This should have resulted in a training vector that contained a high number of kept features.

Experiments with high number of kept features took the longest to run as shown in experiment id 1_1 and 2_1. Hence in experiment id 5_10, the running time was the fastest given that the number of kept features was also the lowest. As described above, the number of kept features would have depended largely on how the numbers for "rare thres" and "feat thres" were set.

Given a "rare thres" number, the training accuracy declined as the "feat thres" number was adjusted higher. On the other hand, given a "feat thres" number, the training accuracy increased as the "rare thres" number was adjusted higher. The training accuracy was highest with the experiment id 2_1. Beyond experiment id 2_1, as the "rare thres" and "feat thres" numbers were both adjusted higher, the training accuracy started to show a declining trend.

When the "rare thres" number was at 1 or 2, the test accuracy declined as the "feat thres" number was adjusted comparatively higher. That is to say, given a "rare thres" number of either 1 or 2, the test accuracy would move lower as the "feat thres" number was adjusted higher. However beyond the "rare thres" number of 2, the test accuracy started to increase consistently as both the number for "rare thres" and "feat thres" were adjusted higher.

$End\ of\ HW9\ - submitted\ by\ Wee\ Teck\ Tan$

Student ID: 0937003

Course Name: LING 570