Appendix

A Preliminary Lemmas

In this section, we give preliminary lemmas which are also used in the proof the proofs of Lemmas 1 and 2. While Lemmas 4 and 5 depend on the optimality conditions of subproblems, Lemmas 3, 6 and 7 require Assumption 1.

Lemma 3. It holds that $\forall z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^q$,

$$h(z_1) \le h(z_2) + \nabla h(z_2)^T (z_1 - z_2) + (H/2) \|z_1 - z_2\|^2, \quad -h(z_1) \le -h(z_2) - \nabla h(z_2)^T (z_1 - z_2) + (H/2) \|z_1 - z_2\|^2.$$

Proof. Because h(z) is Lipschitz differentiable by Assumption 1, so is -h(z). Therefore, this lemma is proven exactly as same as Lemma 2.1 in [4].

Lemma 4. It holds that $y^k = \nabla h(z^k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The optimality condition for the problem with regard to z^k gives rise to

$$\nabla h(z^k) - y^{k-1} - \rho(\sum_{i=1}^n A_i x_i^k - z^k) = 0.$$

Because $y^k = y^{k-1} + \rho(\sum A_i x_i^k - z^k)$, we have $y^k = \nabla h(z^k)$.

Lemma 5. It holds that for $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$L_{\rho}(\cdots, x_{i-1}^{k+1}, x_{i}^{k}, \cdots) - L_{\rho}(\cdots, x_{i}^{k+1}, x_{i+1}^{k}, \cdots) \ge (\rho/2) \|A_{i} x_{i}^{k} - A_{i} x_{i}^{k+1}\|_{2}^{2}.$$
 (8)

Proof.

$$\begin{split} &L_{\rho}(\cdots,x_{i-1}^{k+1},x_{i}^{k},\cdots)-L_{\rho}(\cdots,x_{i}^{k+1},x_{i+1}^{k},\cdots)\\ &=f(\cdots,x_{i-1}^{k+1},x_{i}^{k},\cdots)-f(\cdots,x_{i}^{k+1},x_{i+1}^{k},\cdots)\\ &+(y^{k})^{T}(A_{i}x_{i}^{k}-A_{i}x_{i}^{k+1})+(\rho/2)\|\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}A_{j}x_{j}^{k+1}+\sum_{j=i}^{n}A_{j}x_{j}^{k}-z^{k}\|_{2}^{2}-(\rho/2)\|\sum_{j=1}^{i}A_{j}x_{j}^{k+1}+\sum_{j=i+1}^{n}A_{j}x_{j}^{k}-z^{k}\|_{2}^{2}\\ &=f(\cdots,x_{i-1}^{k+1},x_{i}^{k},\cdots)-f(\cdots,x_{i}^{k+1},x_{i+1}^{k},\cdots)\\ &+(y^{k})^{T}(A_{i}x_{i}^{k}-A_{i}x_{i}^{k+1})+(\rho/2)\|A_{i}x_{i}^{k}-A_{i}x_{i}^{k+1}\|_{2}^{2}+\rho(\sum_{j=1}^{i}A_{j}x_{j}^{k+1}+\sum_{j=i+1}^{n}A_{j}x_{j}^{k}-z^{k})^{T}(A_{i}x_{i}^{k}-A_{i}x_{i}^{k+1})\\ &=f(\cdots,x_{i-1}^{k+1},x_{i}^{k},\cdots)-f(\cdots,x_{i}^{k+1},x_{i+1}^{k},\cdots)\\ &+(A_{i}^{T}y^{k}+\rho A_{i}^{T}(\sum_{j=1}^{i}A_{j}x_{j}^{k+1}+\sum_{j=i+1}^{n}A_{j}x_{j}^{k}-z^{k}))^{T}(x_{i}^{k}-x_{i}^{k+1})+(\rho/2)\|A_{i}x_{i}^{k}-A_{i}x_{i}^{k+1}\|_{2}^{2}. \end{split}$$

where the second equality follows from the cosine rule: $\|b+c\|^2 - \|a+c\|^2 = \|b-a\|^2 + 2(a+c)^T(b-a)$ with $a=A_ix_i^{k+1}, b=A_ix_i^k$ and $c=\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}A_jx_j^{k+1} + \sum_{j=i+1}^nA_jx_j^k - z^k$. The optimality condition of x_i^{k+1} leads to

$$\begin{split} &0 \in \partial_{x_i} L_{\rho}(\cdots, x_i^{k+1}, x_{i+1}^k, \cdots) \\ &= \partial_{x_i} f(\cdots, x_i^{k+1}, x_{i+1}^k, \cdots) + A_i^T y^k + \rho A_i^T (\sum_{j=1}^i A_j x_j^{k+1} + \sum_{j=i+1}^n A_j x_j^k - z^k) \\ &- A_i^T y^k - \rho A_i^T (\sum_{j=1}^i A_j x_j^{k+1} + \sum_{j=i+1}^n A_j x_j^k - z^k) \in \partial_{x_i} f(\cdots, x_i^{k+1}, x_{i+1}^k, \cdots). \end{split}$$

We have the following result according to the definition of subgradient

$$\begin{split} &f(\cdots,x_{i-1}^{k+1},x_i^k,\cdots)\\ &\geq f(\cdots,x_i^{k+1},x_{i+1}^k,\cdots) + (-A_i^Ty^k - \rho A_i^T(\sum_{j=1}^i A_jx_j^{k+1} + \sum_{j=i+1}^n A_jx_j^k - z^k))^T(x_i^{k+1} - x_i^k)\\ &= f(\cdots,x_i^{k+1},x_{i+1}^k,\cdots) + (A_i^Ty^k + \rho A_i^T(\sum_{j=1}^i A_jx_j^{k+1} + \sum_{j=i+1}^n A_jx_j^k - z^k))^T(x_i^k - x_i^{k+1}). \end{split}$$

Therefore, the lemma is proved.

Lemma 6. If $\rho > 2H$ so that $C_1 = \rho/2 - H/2 - H^2/\rho > 0$, then it holds that

$$L_{\rho}(\cdots, x_n^{k+1}, z^k, y^k) - L_{\rho}(\cdots, x_n^{k+1}, z^{k+1}, y^{k+1}) \ge C_1 \|z^{k+1} - z^k\|_2^2.$$
(9)

Proof.

$$\begin{split} &L_{\rho}(x_{1}^{k+1},\cdots,x_{n}^{k+1},z^{k},y^{k}) - L_{\rho}(x_{1}^{k+1},\cdots,x_{n}^{k+1},z^{k+1},y^{k+1}) \\ &= h(z^{k}) + (y^{k})^{T} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}x_{i}^{k+1} - z^{k} \right) + (\rho/2) \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}x_{i}^{k+1} - z^{k} \|_{2}^{2} \\ &- h(z^{k+1}) - (y^{k+1})^{T} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}x_{i}^{k+1} - z^{k+1} \right) - (\rho/2) \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}x_{i}^{k+1} - z^{k+1} \|_{2}^{2} \\ &= h(z^{k}) - h(z^{k+1}) + (y^{k} - y^{k+1})^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}x_{i}^{k+1} + (y^{k+1})^{T}z^{k+1} - (y^{k})^{T}z^{k} + (\rho/2) \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}x_{i}^{k+1} - z^{k} \|_{2}^{2} \\ &- (\rho/2) \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}x_{i}^{k+1} - z^{k+1} \|_{2}^{2} \\ &= h(z^{k}) - h(z^{k+1}) + (y^{k} - y^{k+1})^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}x_{i}^{k+1} + (y^{k+1})^{T}z^{k+1} - (y^{k})^{T}z^{k} \\ &+ (\rho/2) \| z^{k+1} - z^{k} \|_{2}^{2} + \rho(z^{k+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}x_{i}^{k+1})^{T} (z^{k} - z^{k+1}) \\ &(\text{cosine rule } \| a - b \|^{2} - \| a - c \|^{2} = \| c - b \|^{2} + 2(c - a)^{T}(b - c) \text{ where } a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}x_{i}^{k+1}, b = z^{k} \text{ and } c = z^{k+1}.) \\ &= h(z^{k}) - h(z^{k+1}) + (y^{k} - y^{k+1})^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}x_{i}^{k+1} + (y^{k+1})^{T}z^{k+1} - (y^{k})^{T}z^{k} + (\rho/2) \| z^{k+1} - z^{k} \|_{2}^{2} + (y^{k} - y^{k+1})^{T} (z^{k} - z^{k+1}) \\ &(\text{Because } y^{k+1} = y^{k} + \rho(\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}x_{i}^{k+1} - z^{k+1}).) \\ &= h(z^{k}) - h(z^{k+1}) + (y^{k} - y^{k+1})^{T} (\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}x_{i}^{k+1} + z^{k} - z^{k+1}) + (y^{k} - y^{k+1})^{T}z^{k} + (\rho/2) \| z^{k+1} - z^{k} \|_{2}^{2} \\ &= h(z^{k}) - h(z^{k+1}) - (1/\rho)(y^{k} - y^{k+1})^{T} (\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}x_{i}^{k+1} - z^{k+1}) + (y^{k} - y^{k+1})^{T}z^{k} + (y^{k})^{T}z^{k} + (\rho/2) \| z^{k+1} - z^{k} \|_{2}^{2} \\ &= h(z^{k}) - h(z^{k+1}) - (1/\rho)(y^{k} - y^{k+1})^{T} (\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}x_{i}^{k+1} - z^{k+1}) + (y^{k} - y^{k+1})^{T}z^{k} + (y^{k})^{T}z^{k} + (\rho/2) \| z^{k+1} - z^{k} \|_{2}^{2} \\ &= h(z^{k}) - h(z^{k+1}) - (1/\rho)(y^{k} - y^{k+1})^{T} (\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}x_{i}^{k+1} - z^{k} \|_{2}^{2} - (1/\rho) \| y^{k+1} - y^{k} \|_{2}^{2} \\ &= h(z^{k}) - h(z^{k+1}) + (y^{k} - y^{k+1})^{T} (z^{k} - z^{k+1}) + (y^{k} - y^{k+1})^{T} (z^{k} -$$

We choose $\rho > 2H$ to make $C_1 > 0$.

Lemma 7. $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $||y^{k+1} - y^k|| \le H||z^{k+1} - z^k||$.

Proof.

$$\|y^{k+1} - y^k\| = \|\nabla h(z^{k+1}) - \nabla h(z^k)\| \quad \text{(Lemma 4)} \leq H\|z^{k+1} - z^k\| \quad \text{(Assumption 1)}.$$

B Proofs of Lemmas 1-2

Proof (Proof of Lemma 1). This follows directly from Lemmas 5 and 6.

Proof (Proof of Lemma 2). There exists z' such that $\sum_{i=1}^n A_i x_i^k - z' = 0$. Therefore, we have

$$f(x_1^k, \cdots, x_n^k) + h(z') \ge \min S > -\infty.$$

where $S=\{f(x_1,\cdots,x_n)+h(z): \sum_{i=1}^n A_ix_i-z=0\}$, which is the objective value of Problem 1, and therefore bounded from below. Then we have

$$\begin{split} &L_{\rho}(x_1^k,\cdots,x_n^k,z^k,y^k)\\ &=f(x_1^k,\cdots,x_n^k)+h(z^k)+(y^k)^T(\sum\nolimits_{i=1}^nA_ix_i^k-z^k)+(\rho/2)\|\sum\nolimits_{i=1}^nA_ix_i^k-z^k\|^2\\ &=f(x_1^k,\cdots,x_n^k)+h(z^k)+(y^k)^T(z^{'}-z^k)+(\rho/2)\|\sum\nolimits_{i=1}^nA_ix_i^k-z^k\|^2\left(\sum\nolimits_{i=1}^nA_ix_i^k-z^{'}=0\right)\\ &=f(x_1^k,\cdots,x_n^k)+h(z^k)+(\nabla h(z^k))^T(z^{'}-z^k)+(\rho/2)\|\sum\nolimits_{i=1}^nA_ix_i^k-z^k\|^2 \text{ (Lemma 4)}\\ &\geq f(x_1^k,\cdots,x_n^k)+h(z^{'})+(\rho-H)/2\|\sum\nolimits_{i=1}^nA_ix_i^k-z^k\|_2^2 \text{ (Lemma 3 and 4 },h(z) \text{ is Lipschitz differentiable)}\\ &\geq \min S+(\rho-H)/2\|\sum\nolimits_{i=1}^nA_ix_i^k-z^k\|_2^2\geq \min S>-\infty. \end{split}$$

Therefore, $L_{\rho}(x_1^k, \cdots, x_n^k, z^k, y^k)$ is bounded from below.

Proofs of Theorems 1-3 C

Proof (Proof of Theorem 1). We show residual convergence and objective convergence based on Lemmas 1 and 2. From Lemma 1, $L_{\rho}(x_1^k, \cdots, x_n^k, z^k, y^k)$ decreases monotonically, and $L_{\rho}(x_1^k, \cdots, x_n^k, z^k, y^k)$ is lower bounded by Lemma 2. Therefore, $L_{\rho}(x_1^k, \cdots, x_n^k, z^k, y^k)$ is convergent because a monotone bounded sequence converges (Monotone Convergence Theorem). According to the continuity of $L_{
ho}$, we take $k o \infty$ on the both sides of Inequality (4) to

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} (L_{\rho}(x_1^k, \dots, x_n^k, z^k, y^k) - L_{\rho}(x_1^{k+1}, \dots, x_n^{k+1}, z^{k+1}, y^{k+1}))$$

$$\geq \lim_{k \to \infty} C_2(\|z^{k+1} - z^k\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \|A_i(x_i^{k+1} - x_i^k)\|_2^2).$$

On one hand, $L_{\rho}(x_1, \cdots, x_n, z, y)$ is convergent, so we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} C_2(\|z^{k+1} - z^k\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \|A_i(x_i^{k+1} - x_i^k)\|_2^2) \le 0.$$

On the other hand, $C_2(\|z^{k+1}-z^k\|_2^2+\sum_{i=1}^n\|A_i(x_i^{k+1}-x_i^k)\|_2^2$ is nonnegative, so we get

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} C_2(\|z^{k+1} - z^k\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \|A_i(x_i^{k+1} - x_i^k)\|_2^2) = 0.$$

This suggests that $\lim_{k\to\infty}(z^{k+1}-z^k)=0$ and $\lim_{k\to\infty}A_i(x_i^{k+1}-x_i^k)=0$ $(i=1,\cdots,n)$. Moreover, by Lemma 7, $\lim_{k\to\infty}\|y^{k+1}-y^k\|\le H\lim_{k\to\infty}\|z^{k+1}-z^k\|=0$. So we have $\lim_{k\to\infty}(y^{k+1}-y^k)=0$. a). For residual convergence, by the Line 8 of Algorithm 1, we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} r^k = \lim_{k \to \infty} (y^k - y^{k-1})/\rho = 0.$$

b). For objective convergence, since

$$L_{\rho}(x_1^k, \dots, x_n^k, z^k, y^k) = F(x_1^k, \dots, x_n^k, z^k, y^k) + (y^k)^T r^k + (\rho/2) ||r^k||_2^2$$

and $L_{\rho}(x_1^k,\cdots,x_n^k,z^k,y^k)$ is convergent, r^k converges to 0 and y^k is bounded, then $F(x_1^k,\cdots,x_n^k,z^k,y^k)$ is also

Proof (Proof of Theorem 2). Obviously $\lim_{k\to\infty}(z^{k+1}-z^k)=0$ and $\lim_{k\to\infty}(y^{k+1}-y^k)=0$ from the proof of Theorem 1. In order to prove this theorem, we firstly prove that $\lim_{k\to\infty}(x_i^{k+1}-x_i^k)=0$ ($i=1,\cdots,n$) if either of two assumptions holds, then prove that any limit point (x_1^*,\cdots,x_n^*,z^*) is a feasible Nash point of Problem 1.

(a). Suppose $A_i(i=1,\cdots,n)$ have full rank. Because $\lim_{k\to\infty}A_i(x_i^{k+1}-x_i^k)=0$ from the proof of Theorem

- 1, then obviously $\lim_{k\to\infty} (x_i^{k+1} x_i^k) = 0$ [23].
- (b). Suppose F is strongly convex with regard to x_i . Because $L_{\rho}(x_1, \dots, x_n, z, y) = F(x_1, \dots, x_n, z) +$ $y^T (\sum A_i x_i - z) + (\rho/2) \|\sum A_i x_i - z\|_2^2$, $F(x_1, \cdots, x_n, z)$, and $y^T (\sum A_i x_i - z) + (\rho/2) \|\sum A_i x_i - z\|_2^2$ are strongly convex, L_ρ is also strongly convex regard to x_i [24] with the assumed constant $D_i > 0$. We have

$$L_{\rho}(x_{1}^{k+1}, \cdots, x_{i-1}^{k+1}, x_{i}^{k}, x_{i+1}^{k}, \cdots, x_{n}^{k}, z^{k}, y^{k}) \geq L_{\rho}(x_{1}^{k+1}, \cdots, x_{i-1}^{k+1}, x_{i}^{k+1}, x_{i+1}^{k}, x_{i+1}^{k}, \cdots, x_{n}^{k}, z^{k}, y^{k}) + (v_{i}^{k+1})^{T}(x_{i}^{k} - x_{i}^{k+1}) + (D_{i}/2) \|x_{i}^{k+1} - x_{i}^{k}\|_{2}^{2}$$

where $\forall v_i^{k+1} \in \partial_{x_i} L_\rho(x_1^{k+1}, \cdots, x_{i-1}^{k+1}, x_{i+1}^{k+1}, x_{i+1}^k, \cdots, x_n^k, z^k, y^k)$. The optimality condition of x_i^{k+1} leads $0 \in \partial_{x_i} L_o(x_1^{k+1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{k+1}, x_i^{k+1}, x_{i+1}^k, \dots, x_n^k, z^k, y^k)$. Therefore, we have

$$L_{\rho}(x_{1}^{k+1}, \cdots, x_{i-1}^{k+1}, x_{i}^{k}, x_{i+1}^{k}, \cdots, x_{n}^{k}, z^{k}, y^{k}) \geq L_{\rho}(x_{1}^{k+1}, \cdots, x_{i-1}^{k+1}, x_{i}^{k+1}, x_{i+1}^{k}, \cdots, x_{n}^{k}, z^{k}, y^{k}) + (D_{i}/2) \|x_{i}^{k+1} - x_{i}^{k}\|_{2}^{2}$$
(10)

We sum up Inequality (10) from $i=1,\cdots,n$ and Inequality (9) to obtain

$$L_{\rho}(x_1^k, \dots, x_n^k, z^k, y^k) - L_{\rho}(x_1^{k+1}, \dots, x_n^{k+1}, z^{k+1}, y^{k+1}) \ge \sum_{i=1}^n (D_i/2) \|x_i^{k+1} - x_i^k\|_2^2 + C_1 \|z^{k+1} - z^k\|_2^2$$

where $C_1>0$ by Lemma 6 if $\rho>2H$. According to the continuity of L_ρ , we take $k\to\infty$ on the both sides of Inequality

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} (L_{\rho}(x_1^k, \dots, x_n^k, z^k, y^k) - L_{\rho}(x_1^{k+1}, \dots, x_n^{k+1}, z^{k+1}, y^{k+1})) \ge \lim_{k \to \infty} (\sum_{i=1}^n (D_i/2) \|x_i^{k+1} - x_i^k\|_2^2 + C_1 \|z^{k+1} - z^k\|_2^2)$$

On one hand, $L_{\rho}(x_1, \dots, x_n, z, y)$ is convergent, so we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (D_i/2) \|x_i^{k+1} - x_i^k\|_2^2 + C_1 \|z^{k+1} - z^k\|_2^2 \right) \le 0$$

On the other hand, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (D_i/2) \|x_i^{k+1} - x_i^k\|_2^2 + C_1 \|z^{k+1} - z^k\|_2^2$ is nonnegative, so we get

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (D_i/2) \|x_i^{k+1} - x_i^k\|_2^2 + C_1 \|z^{k+1} - z^k\|_2^2 \right) = 0$$

This suggests that $\lim_{k\to\infty}(x_i^{k+1}-x_i^k)=0$ ($i=1,\cdots,n$) and $\lim_{k\to\infty}(z^{k+1}-z^k)=0$. Therefore, $\lim_{k\to\infty}(x_i^{k+1}-x_i^k)=0$ ($i=1,\cdots,n$) if either of two assumptions holds. Because $(x_1^k,\cdots,x_n^k,z^k,y^k)$ is bounded, there exists a subsequence $(x_1^s,\cdots,x_n^s,z^s,y^s)$ such that $(x_1^s,\cdots,x_n^s,z^s,y^s)\to (x_1^s,\cdots,x_n^s,z^s,y^s)$ where $(x_1^s,\cdots,x_n^s,z^s,y^s)$ is a limit point. Because $\lim_{s\to\infty}(x_i^{s+1}-x_i^s)=0$ ($i=1,\cdots,n$), $\lim_{s\to\infty}(z^{s+1}-z^s)=0$ and $\lim_{s\to\infty}(y^{s+1}-y^s)=0$, we have $(x_1^{s+1},\cdots,x_n^{s+1},z^{s+1},y^{s+1})\to (x_1^s,\cdots,x_n^s,z^s,y^s)$. Now we prove that the limit point (x_1^s,\cdots,x_n^s,z^s) is a feasible Nash point of Problem 1. For feasibility, since $\lim_{k\to\infty}r^k=\lim_{k\to\infty}\sum_{i=1}^nA_ix_i^k-z^k=0$, so for the subsequence $(x_1^s,\cdots,x_n^s,z^s,y^s)\to (x_1^s,\cdots,x_n^s,z^s,y^s)$, we have $\lim_{s\to\infty}r^s=\lim_{s\to\infty}(\sum_{i=1}^nA_ix_i^s-z^s)=0$ then $\sum_{i=1}^nA_ix_i^s-z^s=0$. For the Nash point, we obtain the following according to the optimality conditions of x_i^{s+1} ($i=1,\cdots,n$) and z^{s+1} in Equations (2) and (3), respectively.

$$\begin{split} &L_{\rho}(x_{1}^{s+1},\cdots,x_{i-1}^{s+1},x_{i}^{s+1},x_{i+1}^{s},\cdots,x_{n}^{s},z^{s},y^{s}) \leq L_{\rho}(x_{1}^{s+1},\cdots,x_{i-1}^{s+1},x_{i},x_{i+1}^{s},\cdots,x_{n}^{s},z^{s},y^{s}), \\ &\forall (x_{1}^{s+1},\cdots,x_{i-1}^{s+1},x_{i},x_{i+1}^{s},\cdots,x_{n}^{s},z^{s}) \in dom(F) \\ &L_{\rho}(x_{1}^{s+1},\cdots,x_{n}^{s+1},z^{s+1},y^{s}) \leq L_{\rho}(x_{1}^{s+1},\cdots,x_{n}^{s+1},z,y^{s}), \ \forall (x_{1}^{s+1},\cdots,x_{n}^{s+1},z) \in dom(F) \end{split}$$

According to the continuity of L_{ρ} , we take $s \to \infty$ on the both sides of two inequalities. Because $(x_1^s, \cdots, x_n^s, z^s, y^s) \to (x_1^*, \cdots, x_n^*, z^*, y^*)$ and $(x_1^{s+1}, \cdots, x_n^{s+1}, z^{s+1}, y^{s+1}) \to (x_1^*, \cdots, x_n^*, z^*, y^*)$, we have

$$L_{\rho}(x_{1}^{*},\cdots,x_{n}^{*},z^{*},y^{*}) \leq L_{\rho}(x_{1}^{*},\cdots,x_{i-1}^{*},x_{i},x_{i+1}^{*},\cdots,x_{n}^{*},z^{*},y^{*}), \ \forall (x_{1}^{*},\cdots,x_{i-1}^{*},x_{i},x_{i+1}^{*},\cdots,x_{n}^{*},z^{*}) \in dom(F)$$

$$L_{\rho}(x_{1}^{*},\cdots,x_{n}^{*},z,y^{*}) \leq L_{\rho}(x_{1}^{*},\cdots,x_{n}^{*},z^{*},y^{*}), \ \forall (x_{1}^{*},\cdots,x_{n}^{*},z) \in dom(F)$$

Here $\forall (x_1^*,\cdots,x_{i-1}^*,x_i,x_{i+1}^*,\cdots,x_n^*,z^*) \in dom(F)$ and $\forall (x_1^*,\cdots,x_n^*,z) \in dom(F)$ mean $\forall x_i$ s.t. $\sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^n A_j x_j^* + A_i x_i - z^* = 0$ and $\forall z$ s.t. $\sum_{j=1}^n A_j x_j^* - z = 0$, respectively. Using the fact that (x_1^*,\cdots,x_n^*,z^*) is feasible in Problem 1, we obtain $L_\rho(x_1^*,\cdots,z^*,y^*) = F(x_1^*,\cdots,z^*), L_\rho(x_1^*,\cdots,x_{i-1}^*,x_i,x_{i+1}^*,\cdots,x_n^*,z^*,y^*) = F(x_1^*,\cdots,x_{i-1}^*,x_i,x_{i+1}^*,\cdots,x_n^*,z^*)$ and $L_\rho(x_1^*,\cdots,x_n^*,z,y^*) = F(x_1^*,\cdots,x_n^*,z,y^*)$. Therefore, we prove that $L_\rho(x_1^*,\cdots,x_n^*,z^*)$ is a facility. Note point of E defined in Problem 1. that (x_1^*,\cdots,x_n^*,z^*) is a feasible Nash point of F defined in Problem 1.

Proof (Proof of Theorem 3). To prove this theorem, we will first show that u_k satisfies two conditions: (1). $u_k \geq u_{k+1}$. (2). $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k$ is bounded. We then conclude the convergence rate of o(1/k) based on these two conditions. Specifically, first, we have

$$u_k = \min_{0 \le l \le k} (\|z^{l+1} - z^l\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \|A_i(x_i^{l+1} - x_i^l)\|_2^2)$$

$$\geq \min_{0 \le l \le k+1} (\|z^{l+1} - z^l\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \|A_i(x_i^{l+1} - x_i^l)\|_2^2)$$

$$= u_{k+1}$$

Therefore u_k satisfies the first condition. Second,

$$\begin{split} &\sum\nolimits_{k=0}^{\infty}u_{k}\\ &=\sum\nolimits_{k=0}^{\infty}\min_{0\leq l\leq k}(\|z^{l+1}-z^{l}\|_{2}^{2}+\sum\nolimits_{i=1}^{n}\|A_{i}(x_{i}^{l+1}-x_{i}^{l})\|_{2}^{2})\\ &\leq\sum\nolimits_{k=0}^{\infty}(\|z^{k+1}-z^{k}\|_{2}^{2}+\sum\nolimits_{i=1}^{n}\|A_{i}(x_{i}^{k+1}-x_{i}^{k})\|_{2}^{2})\\ &\leq(L_{\rho}(x_{1}^{0},\cdots,x_{n}^{0},z^{0},y^{0})-L_{\rho}^{*})/C_{2}\,(\text{Lemma I}) \end{split}$$

where $L_{\rho}^* = \lim_{k \to \infty} L_{\rho}(x_1^k, \cdots, x_n^k, z^k, y^k)$. So $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k$ is bounded and u_k satisfies the second condition. Finally, it has been proved that the sufficient conditions of convergence rate o(1/k) are: $(1) u_k \ge u_{k+1}$, and $(2) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k$ is bounded, and $(3) u_k \ge 0$ (Lemma 1.2 in [12]). Since we have proved the first two conditions and the third one $u_k \ge 0$ is obvious, the convergence rate of o(1/k) is proven.

D Algorithms for Applications

D.1 Weakly-constrained Multi-task Learning

Applying the proposed ADMM to solve the problem in Equation (6), we get Algorithm 2. Specifically, Lines 4-9 update primal variables $w_i (i=1,\cdots,n)$ and $z_i (i=1,\cdots,n)$, Line 10 updates the dual variable $y_i (i=1,\cdots,n)$.

Algorithm 2 The Proposed ADMM to Solve Equation (6).

```
1: Denote z = [z_1; \cdots; z_n], y = [y_1; \cdots; y_n].

2: Initialize \rho, k = 0.

3: repeat

4: Update w_1^{k+1} by Equation (12).

5: for i=2 to n-1 do

6: Update w_i^{k+1} by Equation (13).

7: end for

8: Update w_n^{k+1} by Equation (14).

9: Update z_i^{k+1} by Equation (15) in parallel.

10: y_i^{k+1} \leftarrow y_i^k + \rho(w_i^{k+1} - z_i^{k+1}) (i = 1, \cdots, n) in parallel.

11: k \leftarrow k + 1.

12: until convergence.

13: Output w_i(i = 1, \cdots, n), z.
```

All subproblems are detailed as follows:

1. Update w^{k+1}

The $w_i^{k+1} (i = 1, \dots, n)$ are updated as follows:

$$w_{1}^{k+1} \leftarrow \arg\min_{w_{1}} Loss_{1}(w_{1}) + \lambda_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{1}(w_{1,j}w_{2,j}^{k}) + (\rho/2)\|w_{1} - z_{1}^{k} + y_{1}^{k}/\rho\|_{2}^{2}. \tag{12}$$

$$w_{i}^{k+1} \leftarrow \arg\min_{w_{i}} Loss_{i}(w_{i}) + \lambda_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{1}(w_{i,j}w_{i+1,j}^{k}) + \lambda_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{1}(w_{i-1,j}^{k+1}w_{i,j}) + (\rho/2)\|w_{i} - z_{i}^{k} + y_{i}^{k}/\rho\|_{2}^{2}. \tag{13}$$

$$w_{n}^{k+1} \leftarrow \arg\min_{w_{n}} Loss_{n}(w_{n}) + \lambda_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{1}(w_{n-1,j}^{k+1}w_{n,j}) + (\rho/2)\|w_{n} - z_{n}^{k} + y_{n}^{k}/\rho\|_{2}^{2}. \tag{14}$$

They can be solved by the Iterative Soft Thresholding Algorithm (ISTA) [4]. Take w_1^{k+1} as an example, The ISTA leads to

$$w_1^{t+1} \leftarrow \lambda_1 \sum_{j=1}^m c_1(w_{1,j}w_{2,j}^k) + 1/(2\eta) \|w_1 - (w_1^t - \eta \nabla \phi(w_1^t))\|_2^2$$

where w_1^t is the t-th iteration in the ISTA, $\eta>0$ is a learning rate, $\phi(w_1^t)=Loss_1(w_1^t)+\rho/2\|w_1^t-z_1^k+y_1^k/\rho\|_2^2$ For each entry of $w_{1,j}^{t+1}(j=1,2,\cdots,m)$, we have the following closed-form solution as follows:

1). If
$$w_{1,j}^{t+1}w_{2,j}^{k} \leq 0$$
, then $w_{1,j}^{t+1} \leftarrow (w_{1,j}^{t} - \eta \nabla_{j}\phi(w_{1}^{t}))/(2\eta\lambda_{1}w_{2,j}^{2} + 1)$.
2). If $w_{1,j}^{t+1}w_{2,j}^{k} \geq 0$, then $w_{1,j}^{t+1} \leftarrow w_{1,j}^{t} - \eta \nabla_{j}\phi(w_{1}^{t})$. where $\nabla_{j}\phi(w_{1}^{t})$ is the j -th entry of $\nabla\phi(w_{1}^{t})$.
2. Update z^{k+1}
The $z_{i}^{k+1}(i=1,\cdots,n)$ are updated as follows:
$$z_{i}^{k+1} \leftarrow \arg\min_{z_{i}} \Omega_{i}(z_{i}) + (\rho/2) \|w_{i}^{k+1} - z_{i} + y_{i}^{k}/\rho\|_{2}^{2}(i=1,\cdots,n). \tag{15}$$

For ℓ_1 or ℓ_2 regularization, they have closed-form solutions.

D.2 Learning with Signed-Network Constraints

Applying proposed ADMM to solve the problem in Equation (7), we get Algorithm 3. Specifically, Lines 4-7 update primal variables $\beta_i (i=1,\cdots,n)$ and z, Line 8 updates the dual variable y. All subproblems are detailed as follows:

Algorithm 3 The Proposed ADMM to Solve Equation (7).

```
1: Denote z = [z_1; \cdots; z_n], y = [y_1; \cdots; y_n].
2: Initialize \rho, k = 0.
3: repeat
4: for i=1 to n do
5: Update \beta_i^{k+1} by Equation (16).
6: end for
7: Update z_i^{k+1} (i = 1, \cdots, n) by Equation (17) in parallel.
8: y_i^{k+1} \leftarrow y_i^k + \rho(\beta_i^{k+1} - z_i^{k+1}) (i = 1, \cdots, n) in parallel.
9: k \leftarrow k + 1.
10: until convergence.
11: Output \beta_i (i = 1, \cdots, n), z.
```

1. Update β^{k+1}

The β_i^{k+1} $(i=1,\cdots,n)$ are updated as follows:

$$\beta_{i}^{k+1} \leftarrow \arg\min_{\beta_{i}} Loss(\cdots, \beta_{i-1}^{k+1}, \beta_{i}, \beta_{i+1}^{k}, \cdots) + \lambda_{2} \left(\sum_{(\beta_{i}, \beta_{j}^{k+1}) \in E_{s}, j < i} c_{2}(\beta_{i}, \beta_{j}^{k+1}) + \sum_{(\beta_{i}, \beta_{j}^{k}) \in E_{s}, j > i} c_{2}(\beta_{i}, \beta_{j}^{k}) + \sum_{(\beta_{i}, \beta_{q}^{k+1}) \in E_{d}, q < i} c_{3}(\beta_{i}, \beta_{q}^{k}) + \sum_{(\beta_{i}, \beta_{q}^{k}) \in E_{d}, q > i} c_{3}(\beta_{i}, \beta_{q}^{k}) + (\rho/2) \|\beta_{i} - z_{i}^{k} + y_{i}^{k} / \rho\|_{2}^{2} \right).$$

$$(16)$$

Similar to updating w_i^{k+1} in Algorithm 2, they can be solved efficiently by the ISTA [4].

2. Update z^{k+1}

The $z_i^{k+1} (i=1,\cdots,n)$ are updated as follows:

$$z_i^{k+1} \leftarrow \arg\min_{z_i} \omega_i(z_i) + (\rho/2) \|\beta_i^{k+1} - z_i + y_i^k/\rho\|_2^2 (i = 1, \dots, n). \tag{17}$$

Similar to updating z_i^{k+1} in Algorithm 2, they usually have closed-form solutions.