CONFORMAL SYMPLECTIC WEINSTEIN CONJECTURE AND NON-SQUEEZING

YASHA SAVELYEV

ABSTRACT. We initiate here the study of rigidity of locally conformally symplectic manifolds, or lcs manifolds for short, which are a natural generalization of both contact and symplectic manifolds. As a first step we show that the classical Gromov non-squeezing theorem has a certain C^0 rigidity or persistence with respect to lcs deformations. This is one version of lcs non-squeezing, another possible version of non-squeezing related to contact non-squeezing is also discussed. In a different direction we study Gromov-Witten theory of the lcs manifold $C \times S^1$ induced by a contact form λ on C, and show that the Gromov-Witten invariant counting certain elliptic curves in $C \times S^1$ is identified with the classical Fuller index of the Reeb vector field R^{λ} . Partly inspired by this, we conjecture existence of certain 2-dimensional curves we call Reeb curves in some lcs manifolds, which we call conformal symplectic Weinstein conjecture, and this is a direct extension of the classical Weinstein conjecture. Also using Gromov-Witten theory, we show that the CSW conjecture holds for a C^0 - neighborhood of the Hopf lcs structure in an associated space of lcs structures. Furthermore, we show that either it holds for any Lichnerowicz exact lcs structure on $S^{2k+1} \times S^1$, suitably homotopic to the Hopf lcs structure, or there exist sky catastrophes for families of holomorphic curves in lcs manifold. The latter are analogous to sky catastrophes in dynamical systems discovered by Fuller.

Contents

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Locally conformally symplectic manifolds	2
1.2. Conformal symplectic Weinstein conjecture	3
1.3. Non-squeezing	7
1.4. Sky catastrophes	8
2. Elements of Gromov-Witten theory of an lcs manifold	9
3. Rulling out some sky catastrophes and non-squeezing	12
4. Genus 1 curves in the lcsm $C \times S^1$ and the Fuller index	14
5. Preliminaries for the Proof of Proposition 1.4	18
5.1. Preliminaries on admissible homotopies	20
6. Proof of Theorem 1.4	21
6.1. Case I, X_0 is finite type	21
6.2. Case II, X_0 is infinite type	21
6.3. Case I, X_0 is finite type and X_1 is infinite type	22
6.4. Case II, X_i are infinite type	22
6.5. Case III, X_i are finite type	22
A. Fuller index	22
B. Virtual fundamental class	23
7. Acknowledgements	24
References	24

Key words and phrases. locally conformally symplectic manifolds, conformal symplectic non-squeezing, Gromov-Witten theory, virtual fundamental class, Fuller index, Seifert conjecture, Weinstein conjecture. Partially supported by PRODEP grant of SEP, Mexico.

1. Introduction

The theory of pseudo-holomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds as initiated by Gromov and Floer has revolutionized the study of symplectic and contact manifolds. What the symplectic form gives that is missing for a general almost complex manifold is a priori energy bounds for pseudo-holomorphic curves a fixed class. On the other hand there is a natural structure which directly generalizes both symplectic and contact manifolds, called locally conformally symplectic structure or lcs structure for short. A locally conformally symplectic manifold or lcsm is a smooth 2n-fold M with an lcs structure: which is a non-degenerate 2-form ω , which is locally diffeomorphic to $f \cdot \omega_{st}$, for some (non-fixed) positive smooth function f, with ω_{st} the standard symplectic form on \mathbb{R}^{2n} . It is natural to try to do Gromov-Witten theory for such manifolds. The first problem that occurs is that a priori energy bounds are gone, as since ω is not necessarily closed, the L^2 -energy can now be unbounded on the moduli spaces of J-holomorphic curves in such a (M,ω) . Strangely a more acute problem is potential presence of holomorphic sky catastrophes - given a smooth family $\{J_t\}$, $t \in [0,1]$, of $\{\omega_t\}$ -compatible almost complex structures, we may have a continuous family $\{u_t\}$ of J_t -holomorphic curves s.t. energy $(u_t) \mapsto \infty$ as $t \mapsto a \in (0,1)$ and s.t. there are no holomorphic curves for $t \geq a$. These are analogues of sky catastrophes discovered by Fuller [10] for closed orbits of dynamical systems.

We are able to tame these problems in certain situations and this is how we arrive at a version of Gromov non-squeezing theorem for such lcsm's. Even when it is impossible to tame these problems we show that there is still a potentially interesting theory which is analogous to the theory of Fuller index in dynamical systems. Inspired by this, we conjecture that certain lcsm's must poses certain 2-d curves we call Reeb curves, and this is a direct generalization of the Weinstein conjecture, we may call this conformal symplectic Weinstein conjecture.

We prove this conjecture for lcs structures C^0 nearby to the Hopf lcs structure on $S^{2k+1} \times S^1$, using Gromov-Witten theory and a connection with the classical Fuller index. Note that Seifert [23] was likewise initially motivated by a C^0 neighborhood version of the Seifert conjecture for S^{2k+1} . We could say that in our case there is more evidence for globalizing, since the original Weinstein conjecture is proved for S^{2k+1} and for C a closed contact three-fold. In addition to the C^0 neighborhood version, we also prove a stronger result that either CSW conjecture holds for any exact lcs structure exactly homotopic to the Hopf lcs structure or holomorphic sky catastrophes exist, which would also be very interesting.

1.1. Locally conformally symplectic manifolds. Let us give a bit of background on lcsm's. These were originally considered by Lee in [14], arising naturally as part of an abstract study of "a kind of even dimensional Riemannian geometry", and then further studied by a number of authors see for instance, [1] and [28]. This is a fascinating object, an lcsm admits all the interesting classical notions of a symplectic manifold, like Lagrangian submanifolds and Hamiltonian dynamics, while at the same time forming a much more flexible class. For example Eliashberg and Murphy show that if a closed almost complex 2n-fold M has $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) \neq 0$ then it admits a lcs structure, [5], see also [2].

To see the connection with the first cohomology group, let us point out right away the most basic invariant of a lcs structure ω : the Lee class, $\alpha = \alpha_{\omega} \in H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$. This has the property that on the associated α -covering space \widetilde{M} , $\widetilde{\omega}$ is globally conformally symplectic. The class α may be defined as the following Cech 1-cocycle. Let $\phi_{a,b}$ be the transition map for lcs charts ϕ_a, ϕ_b of (M, ω) . Then $\phi_{a,b}^* \omega_{st} = g_{a,b} \cdot \omega_{st}$ for a positive real constant $g_{a,b}$ and $\{\ln g_{a,b}\}$ gives our 1-cocycle. Thus an lcs form is globally conformally symplectic iff its Lee class vanishes.

The Lee class α has a natural differential form representative, called the Lee form and defined as follows. We take a cover of M by open sets U_a in which $\omega = f_a \cdot \omega_a$ for ω_a symplectic, and f_a a positive smooth function. Then we have 1-forms $d(\ln f_a)$ in each U_a which glue to a well defined closed 1-form on M. By slight abuse, we denote this 1-form, its cohomology class and the Cech 1-cocycle from before all by α .

As we mentioned lcsm's can also be understood to generalize contact manifolds. This works as follows. First we have a natural class of explicit examples of lcsm's, obtained by starting with a symplectic cobordism (see [5]) of a closed contact manifold C to itself, arranging for the contact forms

at the two ends of the cobordism to be proportional (which can always be done) and then gluing together the boundary components. As a particular case of this we get Banyaga's basic example.

Example 1 (Banyaga). Let (C, ξ) be a contact manifold with a contact form λ and take $M = C \times S^1$ with 2-form $\omega = d^{\alpha}\lambda := d\lambda - \alpha \wedge \lambda$, for α the pull-back of the volume form on S^1 to $C \times S^1$ under the projection.

The operator $d^{\alpha}: \Omega^k(M) \to \Omega^{k+1}(M)$ is called the Lichnerowicz differential with respect to a closed 1-form α , and satisfies $d^{\alpha} \circ d^{\alpha} = 0$ so that we have an associated Lichnerowicz complex.

Using above we may then faithfully embed the category of contact manifolds, and contactomorphism into the category of lcsm's, and certain lcs morphisms as defined below.

Definition 1.1. A diffeomorphism $\phi: (M_0, \omega_0) \to (M_1, \omega_1)$ is said to be an **lcs map** if $\phi^*\omega_1$ is homotopic through lcs forms $\{\omega_t\}$, in the same d^{α} Lichnerowicz cohomology class, to ω_0 , where α is the Lee form of ω_0 as before. In other words, for each $t_0 \in [0, 1]$,

$$d^{\alpha}(\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=t_0}\omega_t)=0.$$

We also define, following Banyaga, **conformal symplectomorphisms** $\phi: (M_1, \omega_1) \to (M_2, \omega_2)$ to be diffeomorphisms satisfying $\phi^*\omega_2 = f\omega_1$ for a smooth positive function f, see [1] for details on how this embedding works.

Banyaga type lcsm's give immediate examples of almost complex manifolds where the energy function is unbounded on the moduli spaces of fixed class pseudo-holomorphic curves, as well as where null-homologous J-holomorphic curves can be non-constant. We show that it is still possible to extract a variant of Gromov-Witten theory here. The story is closely analogous to that of the Fuller index in dynamical systems, which is concerned with certain rational counts of periodic orbits. In that case sky catastrophes prevent us from obtaining a completely well defined invariant, but Fuller constructs certain partial invariants which give dynamical information. In a very particular situation the relationship with the Fuller index becomes perfect as one of the results of this paper obtains the classical Fuller index for Reeb vector fields on a contact manifold C as a certain genus 1 Gromov-Witten invariant of the lcsm $C \times S^1$. The latter also gives a conceptual interpretation for why the Fuller index is rational, as it is reinterpreted as an (virtual) orbifold Euler number.

1.2. Conformal symplectic Weinstein conjecture.

1.2.1. Holomorphic curves in the lcsm $C \times S^1$. Let (C, λ) be a closed contact manifold with a contact form λ . Then $T = S^1$ acts on $C \times S^1$ by rotation in the S^1 coordinate. Let J be an almost complex structure on the contact distribution, compatible with $d\lambda$. There is an induced almost complex structure J^{λ} on $C \times S^1$, which is T-invariant, coincides with J on the contact distribution

$$\xi \subset TC \oplus \{\theta\} \subset T(C \times S^1),$$

for each θ and which maps the Reeb vector field

$$R^{\lambda} \in TC \oplus 0 \subset T(C \times S^1)$$

to

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \in \{0\} \oplus TS^1 \subset T(C \times S^1),$$

for $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ the global angular coordinate on S^1 . This almost complex structure is compatible with $d^{\alpha}\lambda$.

We now consider the moduli space of marked holomorphic tori, (elliptic curves) in $C \times S^1$, in a certain class A. Our notation for this is $\overline{M}_{1,1}(J^{\lambda}, A)$, where A is a class of the maps, (to be explained). The elements are equivalence classes of pairs (u, Σ) : u a J^{λ} -holomorphic map of a stable genus 1, elliptic curve Σ into $C \times S^1$. So Σ is a nodal curve with principal component an elliptic curve, and other components spherical. So the principal component determines an element of $\overline{M}_{1,1}$ the compactified moduli space of elliptic curves, which is understood as an orbifold. The equivalence relation is $(u, \Sigma) \sim (u', \Sigma')$ if there is an isomorphism of marked elliptic curves $\phi : \Sigma \to \Sigma'$ s.t.

 $u' \circ \phi = u$. When Σ is smooth, we may write [u, j] for an equivalence class where j is understood as a complex structure on the sole principal component of the domain, and u the map. Or we may just write [u], or even just u keeping track of j, and of the fact that we are dealing with equivalence classes, implicitly.

Let us explain what class A means. We need to be careful because it is now possible for non-constant holomorphic curves to be null-homologous. Here is a simple example take $S^3 \times S^1$ with J determined by the Hopf contact form as above, then all the Reeb tori are null-homologous. In many cases we can just work with homology classes $A \neq 0$, and this will remove some headache, but in the above specific situation this is inadequate.

Given $u \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}(J^{\lambda}, A)$ we may compose $\Sigma \xrightarrow{u} C \times S^1 \xrightarrow{pr} S^1$, for Σ the nodal domain of u.

Definition 1.2. In the setting above we say that u is in class A, if $(pr \circ u)^*d\theta$ can be completed to an integral basis of $H^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$, and if the homology class of u is A, possibly zero.

It is easy to see that the above notion of class is preserved under Gromov convergence, and that a class A J-holomorphic map cannot be constant for any A, in particular by Theorem 1.17 a class A map has energy bounded from below by a positive constant, depending on (ω, J) . And this holds for any lcs pair (ω, J) on $C \times S^1$.

1.2.2. Reeb tori. For the almost complex structure J^{λ} as above we have one natural class of holomorphic tori in $C \times S^1$ that we call Reeb tori. Given a closed orbit o of R^{λ} , a Reeb torus u_o for o, is the map

$$u_o(\theta_1, \theta_2) = (o(\theta_1), \theta_2),$$

 $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in S^1$ A Reeb torus is J^{λ} -holomorphic for a uniquely determined holomorphic structure j on T^2 . If

$$D_t o(t) = c \cdot R^{\lambda}(o(t)),$$

then

$$j(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1}) = c \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_2}.$$

Proposition 1.3. Let (C, λ) be as above. Let A be a class in the sense above, and J^{λ} be as above. Then the entire moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}(J^{\lambda}, A)$ consists of Reeb tori.

Note that the formal dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}(J^{\lambda},A)$ is 0, for A as in the proposition above. It is given by the Fredholm index of the operator (4.2) which is 2, minus the dimension of the reparametrization group (for smooth curves) which is 2. In Theorem 1.13 we relate the count of these curves to the classical Fuller index, which is reviewed in the Appendix A.

What follows is one non-classical application of the above theory. We will discuss sky catastrophies in more detail in Section 1.4, for the moment the reader may just think of a sky catastrophy as a having a continuous path $\{J_t\}$, $t \in [0,1]$ of almost complex structures on M and a continuous path $t \mapsto u_t$ of J_t -holomorphic maps with $energy(u_t) \to \infty$ as $t \to 1$, although the full definition allows more general phenomena encompassing the above.

Proposition 1.4. Let $(S^{2k+1} \times S^1, d^{\alpha}\lambda_{st})$ be the lcsm associated to a contact manifold (S^{2k+1}, λ_{st}) for λ_{st} the standard contact form. Let A be as in the discussion above. Then for any lcs pair (ω, J) , homotopic through a path of lcs pairs $p = \{(\omega_t, J_t)\}$ to $(d^{\alpha}\lambda, J^{\lambda})$, there exists an elliptic, class A, J-holomorphic curve in $C \times S^1$, provided p has no sky catastrophe in class A.

While not having sky catastrophes gives us a certain compactness control, the above proposition is not immediate because we can still in principle have total cancellation of the infinitely many components of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}(J^{\lambda},A)$. In other words a virtual 0-dimension Kuranishi space $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}(J^{\lambda},A)$, with an infinite number of connected components, can certainly be null-cobordant, by a cobordism all of whose components are compact. So we need a certain additional geometric control, and a certain algebraic framework, to preclude such total cancellation.

Theorem 1.5. Let $(S^{2k+1} \times S^1, d^{\alpha}\lambda_H)$ be the lcsm associated to a contact manifold (S^{2k+1}, λ_H) for λ_H the standard contact form. There exists a $\delta > 0$ s.t. for any lcs pair (ω, J) C^0 δ -close to $(d^{\alpha}\lambda_H, J^{\lambda_H})$, there exists an elliptic, class A, J-holomorphic curve in $C \times S^1$. (Where A is as in the discussion above.)

We shall call $\omega_H := d^{\alpha} \lambda_H$ the **Hopf** lcs **structure**. Note that Seifert [23] initially found a similar existence phenomenon of orbits on S^{2k+1} for a vector field C^0 -nearby to the Hopf vector field. And he asked if the nearby condition can be removed, this was known as the Seifert conjecture. This turned out not to be quite true [13]. Likewise it is natural for us to conjecture that the δ -nearby condition can be removed. This conjecture has some evidence. For if $\omega = d^{\alpha} \lambda$ for λ the contact form inducing the standard contact structure on S^{2k+1} , or any contact form on a threefold, and $J = J^{\lambda}$ then we know there are J-holomorphic class A tori, since we know there are λ -Reeb orbits, as the Weinstein conjecture is known to hold in these cases, [29], [27] and hence there are Reeb tori. In order to formally state the conjecture we introduce the following class of almost complex structures.

Definition 1.6. Let (M, ω) be a Lichnerowicz exact closed lcs manifold, or from now on just exact for short, which means specifically that $\omega = d^{\alpha}\lambda = d\lambda - \alpha \wedge \lambda$, for α the Lee form. We say that an ω -compatible J is admissible if it preserves the generalized distribution

$$\mathcal{V}_{\lambda}(p) = \{ v \in T_p M | d\lambda(v, \cdot) = 0 \},$$

and the generalized distribution ξ , which is defined to be the ω -orthogonal complement to \mathcal{V}_{λ} . We call (M, λ, α, J) as above a **tamed exact** lcs **structure**. When an admissible J is not specified, we call (λ, α) an **exact** lcs **structure**.

For each $p \in M$ $V_{\lambda}(p)$ has dimension at most 2 since $d\lambda - \alpha \wedge \lambda$ is non-degenerate. Moreover V_{λ} cannot identically vanish, since M^{2n} is closed and $(d\lambda)^n$ cannot be non-degenerate by Stokes theorem. The significance of an admissible almost complex structure is the following.

Lemma 1.7. Let (M, λ, α, J) be a tamed exact lcs structure. Then given a smooth $u : \Sigma \to M$, where Σ is a closed (nodal) Riemann surface, u is J-holomorphic only if $u^*d\lambda = 0$.

Proof. We have

$$I = \int_{\Sigma} u^* d\lambda \ge 0$$

since J preserves \mathcal{V}_{λ} . On the other hand I > 0 is impossible by Stokes theorem. So I = 0. Since J also preserves ξ , this can happen only if

image
$$du(z) \subset \mathcal{V}_{\lambda}(u(z))$$

for all $z \in \Sigma$. From this our conclusion follows.

Definition 1.8. Let (M, λ, α) be an exact lcs structure. For Σ a closed (at the moment possibly nodal) Riemann surface, we say that a smooth map $u: \Sigma \to M$ is a **Reeb curve** if it a branched cover of a smoothly embedded curve $\Sigma' \to M$, if $u^*d\lambda = 0$ and if

$$0 \neq [u^*\alpha] \in H^1_{DR}(\Sigma).$$

This can be understood as a generalization of the condition of being a Reeb torus.

Lemma 1.9. Let (M, λ, α, J) be a tamed exact lcs structure. Then every embedded J-holomorphic curve $u: \Sigma \to M$ is Reeb.

This almost follows by the above discussion except for the final condition that needs to be verified. This is to be proved in Section 4. We then have the following "conformal symplectic Weinstein conjecture".

Conjecture 1. Let M be closed, and ω be an exact lcs form on M, so that the cohomology class of the Lee form α of ω is rational, then there is a elliptic Reeb curve $u: \Sigma \to M$, meaning that the domain Σ is an elliptic curve 1.

¹One may further conjecture that it must be non-nodal.

In what follows we use the following C^0 metric on the space $\mathcal{L}(M)$ of exact lcs structures on M. For $(\lambda_1, \alpha_1), (\lambda_2, \alpha_2) \in \mathcal{L}(M)$ define:

$$d((\lambda_1, \alpha_1), (\lambda_2, \alpha_2)) = d_{mass}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) + d_{mass}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2),$$

where d_{mass} is the co-mass distance as defined in Section 3. We say that an exact lcs structure $(M^{2n}, \lambda, \alpha)$ is **regular** if the set:

$$V(M,\lambda) := \{ p \in M | (d\lambda)^n(p) = 0 \},$$

is a smooth submanifold of M. A **regular neighborhood** of an lcs structure is then a neighborhood with respect to d intersected with the subset of all regular lcs structures.

Theorem 1.10. The conjecture above holds for a regular neighborhood of the Hopf lcs structure ω_H .

This is proved in Section 4.

Conjecture 2. Suppose we are given a tamed exact lcs structure (M, λ, α, J) , with M closed, and so that the cohomology class of the Lee form α of ω is rational. Then there is a non-constant J-holomorphic elliptic curve in M.

This immediately implies the CSW conjecture by Proposition 1.3 and by Lemma 1.9. Conjecture 2 is probably too much to hope for in such generality but when M has dimension 4, this looks very close to fundamental results of Taubes [26] on Gromov-Witten theory of symplectic 4-folds.

Conjecture 2 immediately implies the Weinstein conjecture for a closed contact (C, λ) . For by the proof of Proposition 1.3, any elliptic curve $u: \Sigma \to M = C \times S^1$, with respect to the Banyaga lcs structure $d^{\alpha}\lambda$, $\alpha = d\theta$, must cover a Reeb torus. The following is a bit less immediate.

Theorem 1.11. Conjecture 1 implies the Weinstein conjecture.

This is also proved in Section 4.

Of course the most promising cases for the above conjecture is when $M = C \times S^1$ with C a 3-fold, or $C = S^{2k+1}$ since the Weinstein conjecture is already proved in these cases as previously mentioned.

The above is not just a curiosity. In contact geometry, rigidity is based on existence phenomena of Reeb orbits, and lcs manifolds should be understood as generalized contact manifolds. To attack rigidity questions in lcs geometry, like Question 2 we need an analogue of Reeb orbits, we propose that this analogue is Reeb curves as above, from which point of view the above conjecture becomes very natural.

We may reformulate Theorem 1.4 as follows.

Theorem 1.12. Either the conformal symplectic Weinstein conjecture holds for any exact lcs structure on $M = S^{2k+1} \times S^1$, with homotopic through exact lcs structures to the Hopf lcs structure, or holomorphic sky catastrophes exist.

Proof. Let $\{\omega_t\}$, $t \in [0,1]$, $\omega_0 = \omega$, and ω_1 the Hopf lcs structure, be a smooth family of exact lcs structures on $S^{2k+1} \times S^1$. Fix a smooth family $\{J_t\}$ of almost complex structures on M, so that J_t is ω_t -admissible for each t. Then by Proposition 1.4 either there is a non-constant elliptic J_0 -holomorphic in M, and so a non-constant elliptic Reeb curve, or there exists a holomorphic sky catastrophy for the family $\{(\omega_t, J_t\}.$

If holomorphic sky catastrophes are discovered, this would be a very interesting discovery. The original discovery by Fuller [10] of sky catastrophes in dynamical systems is one of the most important in dynamical systems, see also [24] for an overview. On the other hand pseudo-holomorphic curves in lcs manifolds look to be much more rigid objects than periodic orbits of general smooth dynamical systems. So it is possible that holomorphic sky catastrophes do not exist, at least for families $\{(\omega_t, J_t)\}$ with J_t admissible as above.

1.2.3. Connection with the Fuller index. If β is a free homotopy class of a loop in C set

$$A_{\beta} = [\beta] \times [S^1] \in H_2(C \times S^1).$$

Then we have:

Theorem 1.13.

$$GW_{1,1}(N, A_{\beta}, J^{\lambda})([\overline{M}_{1,1}] \otimes [C \times S^{1}]) = i(\widetilde{N}, R^{\lambda}, \beta),$$

where $N \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(J^{\lambda}, A_{\beta})$ is an open compact set, \widetilde{N} the corresponding subset of periodic orbits of R^{λ} , $i(\widetilde{N}, R^{\lambda}, \beta)$ is the Fuller index as described in the appendix below, and where the left hand side of the equation is a certain Gromov-Witten invariant, that we discuss in Section 2, just below.

What about higher genus invariants of $C \times S^1$? Following Proposition 1.3, it is not hard to see that all J^{λ} -holomorphic curves must be branched covers of Reeb tori. If one can show that these branched covers are regular when the underlying tori are regular, the calculation of invariants would be fairly automatic from this data, see [33], [31] where these kinds of regularity calculation are made.

1.3. Non-squeezing. One of the most fascinating early results in symplectic geometry is the so called Gromov non-squeezing theorem appearing in the seminal paper of Gromov [12]. The most well known formulation of this is that there does not exist a symplectic embedding $B_R \to D^2(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}$ for R > r, with B_R the standard closed radius R ball in \mathbb{R}^{2n} centered at 0. Gromov's non-squeezing is C^0 persistent in the following sense.

We say that a symplectic form ω on $M \times N$ is split if $\omega = \omega_1 \oplus \omega_2$ for symplectic forms ω_1, ω_2 on M respectively N.

Theorem 1.14. Given R > r, there is an $\epsilon > 0$ s.t. for any symplectic form ω' on $S^2 \times T^{2n-2}$ C^0 -close to a split symplectic form ω and satisfying

$$\langle \omega, A \rangle = \pi r^2, A = [S^2] \otimes [pt],$$

there is no symplectic embedding $\phi: B_R \hookrightarrow (S^2 \times T^{2n-2}, \omega')$.

On the other hand it is natural to ask:

Question 1. Given R > r and every $\epsilon > 0$ is there a (necessarily non-closed by above) 2-form ω' on $S^2 \times T^{2n-2}$ C^0 or even C^{∞} ϵ -close to a split symplectic form ω , satisfying $\langle \omega, A \rangle = \pi r^2$, and s.t. there is an embedding $\phi: B_R \hookrightarrow S^2 \times T^{2n-2}$, with $\phi^*\omega' = \omega_{st}$?

The above theorem follows immediately by Gromov's argument in [12], we shall give a certain extension of this theorem for lcs forms. One may think that recent work of Müller [25] may be related to the question above and our theorem below. But there seems to be no obvious such relation as pull-backs by diffeomorphisms of nearby forms may not be nearby. Hence there is no way to go from nearby embeddings that we work with to ϵ -symplectic embeddings of Müller.

We first give a ridid notion of a morphism of lcsm's.

Definition 1.15. Given a pair of lcsm's (M_i, ω_i) , i = 0, 1, we say that $f : M_1 \to M_2$ is a symplectomorphism if $f^*\omega_2 = \omega_1$. A symplectic embedding then as usual is an embedding by a symplectomorphism.

A pair (ω, J) , for ω less and J compatible, will be called a **compatible** less **pair**, or just a compatible pair, where there is no confusion.

Let now $M = S^2 \times T^{2n}$, with ω a split symplectic form on M. The following theorem says that it is impossible to have certain symplectic embeddings into (M, ω') with ω' C^0 nearby to ω , even in the absence of any volume obstruction. So that we have a first basic rigidity phenomenon for lcs structures.

We have a real codimension 1 hypersurfaces

$$\Sigma_i = S^2 \times (T^1 \times \ldots \times T^1 \times \{pt\} \times T^1 \times \ldots \times T^1) \subset M,$$

where singleton $\{pt\} \subset T^1$ replaces the *i*'th factor of $T^{2n} = T^1 \times \ldots \times T^1$. These hypersurfaces are folliated by symplectic submanifolds diffeomorphic to $S^2 \times T^{2n-2}$. We denote by $T^{fol}\Sigma_i \subset TM$, the distribution of all tangent vectors tangent to the leaves of the above mentioned folliation.

Note that in what follows we take a certain natural metric d on the space of general lcs forms, defined in Section 3, whose topology \mathcal{L} is finer than the standard C^0 metric topology on the space of forms, cf. [1, Section 6].

Theorem 1.16. Let ω be a split symplectic form on $M = S^2 \times T^{2n}$, and A as above with $\langle \omega, A \rangle = \pi r^2$. Let R > r, then there is an $\epsilon > 0$ s.t. if $\{\omega_t\}$ is a continuous in \mathcal{L} family of lcs forms on M, with $d(\omega_t, \omega) < \epsilon$ for all t, then there is no symplectic embedding

$$\phi: (B_R, \omega_{st}) \hookrightarrow (M, \omega_1) - \bigcup_i \Sigma_i$$
.

The latter is a full-volume subspace diffeomorphic to $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. More generally there is no symplectic embedding

$$\phi: (B_R, \omega_{st}) \hookrightarrow (M, \omega_1),$$

s.t ϕ_*j preserves the bundles $T^{fol}\Sigma_i$, for j the standard almost complex structure on B_R .

We note that the image of the embedding ϕ would be of course a symplectic submanifold of (M, ω_1) . However it could be highly distorted, so that it might be impossible to complete $\phi_*\omega_{st}$ to a symplectic form on M nearby to ω , so that it is impossible to deduce the above result directly from symplectic Gromov non-squeezing. We also note that it is certainly possible to have a nearby volume preserving as opposed to lcs embedding which satisfies all other conditions, since as mentioned $(M, \omega_1) - \cup_i \Sigma_i$ is a full ω_1 -volume subspace diffeomorphic to $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

1.3.1. Toward direct generalization of contact non-squeezing. What about non-squeezing for lcs maps as in Definition 1.1? We can try a direct generalization of contact non-squeezing of Eliashberg-Polterovich [4], and Fraser in [6]. Specifically let $R^{2n} \times S^1$ be the prequantization space of R^{2n} , or in other words the contact manifold with the contact form $d\theta - \lambda$, for $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(ydx - xdy)$. Let B_R now denote the open radius R ball in \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

Question 2. If $R \geq 1$ is there a compactly supported, lcs embedding map $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^1 \times S^1$, so that $\phi(\overline{U}) \subset U$, for $U := B_R \times S^1 \times S^1$ and \overline{U} the topological closure.

We expect the answer is no, but our methods here are not sufficiently developed for this conjecture, as we likely have to extend contact homology rather the Gromov-Witten theory as we do here.

1.4. **Sky catastrophes.** This final introductory section will be of a more technical nature. The following is well known.

Theorem 1.17. [[18], [30]] Let (M,J) be a compact almost complex manifold, and $u:(S^2,j)\to M$ a J-holomorphic map. Given a Riemannian metric g on M, there is an $\hbar=\hbar(g,J)>0$ s.t. if energy $_g(u)<\hbar$ then u is constant, where energy $_g$ is the L^2 -energy functional,

$$e(u) = \text{energy}(u) = \int_{S^2} |du|^2 dvol.$$

Using this we get the following extension of Gromov compactness to this setting. Let

$$\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(J,A) = \mathcal{M}_{g,n}(M,J,A)$$

denote the moduli space of isomorphism classes of class A, J-holomorphic curves in M, with domain a genus g closed Riemann surface, with n marked labeled points. Here an isomorphism between $u_1: \Sigma_1 \to M$, and $u_2: \Sigma_2 \to M$ is a biholomorphism of marked Riemann surfaces $\phi: \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_2$ s.t. $u_2 \circ \phi = u_1$.

Theorem 1.18. Let (M, J) be an almost complex manifold. Then $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(J, A)$ has a pre-compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(J, A)$,

by Kontsevich stable maps, with respect to the natural metrizable Gromov topology see for instance [18], for genus 0 case. Moreover given E > 0, the subspace $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(J,A)_E \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(J,A)$ consisting of elements u with $e(u) \leq E$ is compact. In other words energy is a proper function.

Thus the most basic situation where we can talk about Gromov-Witten "invariants" of (M, J) is when the energy function is bounded on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(J,A)$, and we shall say that J is **bounded** (in class A), later on we generalize this a bit in terms of what we call **finite type**. In this case $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(J,A)$ is compact, and has a virtual moduli cycle as in the original approach of Fukaya-Ono [9], or the more algebraic approach [19]. So we may define functionals:

(1.19)
$$GW_{g,n}(\omega, A, J) : H_*(\overline{M}_{g,n}) \otimes H_*(M^n) \to \mathbb{Q},$$

where $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ denotes the compactified moduli space of Riemann surfaces. Of course symplectic manifolds with any tame almost complex structure is one class of examples, another class of examples comes from some locally conformally symplectic manifolds.

Given a continuous in the C^{∞} topology family $\{J_t\}$, $t \in [0,1]$ we denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(\{J_t\}, A)$ the space of pairs (u,t), $u \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(J_t, A)$.

Definition 1.20. We say that a continuous family $\{J_t\}$ on a compact manifold M has a **holomorphic** sky catastrophe in class A if there is an element $u \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(J_i, A)$, i = 0, 1 which does not belong to any open compact (equivalently energy bounded) subset of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(\{J_t\}, A)$.

Let us slightly expand this definition. If the connected components of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(\{J_t\}, A)$ are open, in other words if this space is locally connected, then we have a sky catastrophe in the sense above if and only if there is a $u \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(J_t, A)$ which has a non-compact connected component in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(\{J_t\}, A)$.

Proposition 1.21. Let M be a closed manifold, and suppose that $\{J_t\}$, $t \in [0,1]$ has no holomorphic sky catastrophes, then if J_i , i = 0, 1 are bounded:

$$GW_{g,n}(A, J_0) = GW_{g,n}(A, J_1),$$

if $A \neq 0$. If only J_0 is bounded then there is at least one class A J_1 -holomorphic curve in M.

The assumption on A is for simplicity in this case. At this point in time there are no known examples of families $\{J_t\}$ with sky catastrophes, cf. [10].

Question 3. Do sky catastrophes exist?

Really what we are interested in is whether they exist generically. The author's opinion is that they may appear even generically. However, if we further constrain the geometry as in Section 1.2, then the question becomes much more subtle, see also [21].

Related to this we have the following technical result, that will be used in the proof of non-squeezing discussed above.

Theorem 1.22. Let M be closed and $\{\omega_t\}$, $t \in [0,1]$, a continuous (with respect to the topology \mathcal{L}) family of lcs forms on M. Let $\{J_t\}$ be a continuous in C^{∞} topology family of C^{∞} almost complex structures, with J_t compatible with ω_t for each t. Let $A \in H_2(M)$ be fixed, and let $D \subset \widetilde{M}$, with $\pi : \widetilde{M} \to M$ the universal cover of M, be a fundamental domain, and $K := \overline{D}$ its topological closure. Suppose that for each t, and for every $x \in \partial K$ there is a \widetilde{J}_t -holomorphic hyperplane u_x through x, with $u_x \subset K$, such that $\pi(u_x) \subset M$ is a closed submanifold and such that $A \cdot \pi_*([u_x]) \leq 0$. Then $\{J_t\}$ has no sky catastrophes in class A.

2. Elements of Gromov-Witten theory of an lcs manifold

Suppose (M, J) is a compact almost complex manifold, let $N \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,k}(J, A)$ be an open compact subset with energy positive on N. The latter condition is only relevant when A = 0. We shall primarily refer in what follows to work of Pardon in [19], only because this is what is more familiar to the author, due to greater comfort with algebraic topology. But we should mention that the latter is a follow up

to a profound theory that is originally created by Fukaya-Ono [9], and later expanded with Oh-Ohta [8].

The construction in [19] of implicit atlas, on the moduli space \mathcal{M} of curves in a symplectic manifold, only needs a neighborhood of \mathcal{M} in the space of all curves. So more generally if we have an almost complex manifold and an *open* compact component N as above, this will likewise have a natural implicit atlas, or a Kuranishi structure in the setup of [9]. And so such an N will have a virtual fundamental class in the sense of Pardon [19], (or in any other approach to virtual fundamental cycle, particularly the original approach of Fukaya-Oh-Ohta-Ono). This understanding will be used in other parts of the paper, following Pardon for the explicit setup. We may thus define functionals:

$$(2.1) GW_{q,n}(N,A,J): H_*(\overline{M}_{q,n}) \otimes H_*(M^n) \to \mathbb{Q}.$$

The first question is: how do these functionals depend on N, J?

Lemma 2.2. Let $\{J_t\}$, $t \in [0,1]$ be a continuous in the C^{∞} topology homotopy of smooth almost complex structures on a closed manifold M. Suppose that \widetilde{N} is an open compact subset of the cobordism moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\{J_t\},A)$ and that the energy function is positive on \widetilde{N} , (the latter only relevant when A=0). Let

$$N_i = \widetilde{N} \cap (\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(J_i, A)),$$

then

$$GW_{q,n}(N_0, A, J_0) = GW_{q,n}(N_1, A, J_1).$$

In particular if $GW_{q,n}(N_0,A,J_0) \neq 0$, there is a class A J_1 -holomorphic stable map in M.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. We may construct exactly as in [19] a natural implicit atlas on \widetilde{N} , with boundary $N_0^{op} \sqcup N_1$, (op denoting opposite orientation). And so

$$GW_{q,n}(N_0, A, J_0) = GW_{q,n}(N_1, A, J_1),$$

as functionals. \Box

The most basic lemma in this setting is the following, and we shall use it in the following section.

Definition 2.3. An almost symplectic pair on M is a tuple (M, ω, J) , where ω is a non-degenerate 2-form on M, and J is ω -compatible.

Definition 2.4. We say that a pair of almost symplectic pairs (ω_i, J_i) are δ -close, if $\{\omega_i\}$, and $\{J_i\}$ are C^0 δ -close, i = 0, 1.

Definition 2.5. For an almost symplectic pair (ω, J) on M, and a smooth map $u: \Sigma \to M$ define:

energy_{$$\omega$$} $(u) = \int_{\Sigma} u^* \omega.$

By an elementary calculation this coincides with the L^2 g_J -energy of u, for $g_J(\cdot,\cdot) = \omega(\cdot,J\cdot)$. That is energy_{ω} $(u) = \text{energy}_{g_J}(u)$. In what follows by $f^{-1}(a,b)$, with f a function, we mean the preimage by f of the open set (a,b).

Lemma 2.6. Given a compact M and an almost symplectic tuple (ω, J) on M, suppose that $N \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{a,n}(J,A)$ is a compact and open component which is energy isolated meaning that

$$N \subset \left(U = \mathrm{energy}_\omega^{-1}(E^0, E^1)\right) \subset \left(V = \mathrm{energy}_\omega^{-1}(E^0 - \epsilon, E^1 + \epsilon)\right),$$

with $\epsilon > 0$, $E^0 > 0$ and with $V \cap \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(J,A) = N$. Suppose also that $GW_{g,n}(N,J,A) \neq 0$. Then there is a $\delta > 0$ s.t. whenever (ω',J') is a compatible almost symplectic pair δ -close to (ω,J) , there exists $u \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(J',A) \neq \emptyset$, with

$$E^0 < \text{energy}_{\omega'}(u) < E^1$$
.

Proof of Lemma 2.6.

Lemma 2.7. Given a Riemannian manifold (M, g), and J an almost complex structure, suppose that $N \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{d,n}(J,A)$ is a compact and open component which is energy isolated meaning that

$$N \subset \left(U = \operatorname{energy}_g^{-1}(E^0, E^1)\right) \subset \left(V = \operatorname{energy}_g^{-1}(E^0 - \epsilon, E^1 + \epsilon)\right),$$

with $\epsilon > 0$, $E_0 > 0$, and with $V \cap \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(J,A) = N$. Then there is a $\delta > 0$ s.t. whenever (g',J') is C^0 δ -close to (g,J) if $u \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(J',A)$ and

$$E^0 - \epsilon < \text{energy}_{q'}(u) < E^1 + \epsilon$$

then

$$E^0 < \text{energy}_{a'}(u) < E^1$$
.

Proof of Lemma 2.7. Suppose otherwise then there is a sequence $\{(g_k, J_k)\}$ C^0 converging to (g, J), and a sequence $\{u_k\}$ of J_k -holomorphic stable maps satisfying

$$E^0 - \epsilon < \text{energy}_{q_k}(u_k) \le E^0$$

or

$$E^1 \le \text{energy}_{g_k}(u_k) < E^1 + \epsilon.$$

By Gromov compactness we may find a Gromov convergent subsequence $\{u_{k_j}\}$ to a *J*-holomorphic stable map u, with

$$E^0 - \epsilon \le \operatorname{energy}_g(u) \le E^0$$

or

$$E^1 \le \text{energy}_g(u) \le E^1 + \epsilon.$$

But by our assumptions such a u does not exist.

Lemma 2.8. Let M be compact, and let (M, ω, J) be an almost symplectic triple, so that $N \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(J,A)$ is exactly as in the lemma above with respect to some $\epsilon > 0$. Then, there is a $\delta' > 0$ s.t. the following is satisfied. Let (ω', J') be δ' -close to (ω, J) , then there is a continuous in the C^{∞} topology family of almost symplectic pairs $\{(\omega_t, J_t)\}$, $(\omega_0, J_0) = (g, J)$, $(\omega_1, J_1) = (g', J')$ s.t. there is open compact subset

$$\widetilde{N} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\{J_t\}, A),$$

and with

$$\widetilde{N} \cap \overline{\mathcal{M}}(J, A) = N.$$

Moreover if $(u,t) \in \widetilde{N}$ then

$$E^0 < \text{energy}_{g_t}(u) < E^1.$$

Proof. For ϵ as in the hypothesis, let δ be as in Lemma 2.7.

Lemma 2.9. Given a $\delta > 0$ there is a $\delta' > 0$ s.t. if (ω', J') is δ' -near (ω, J) there is an interpolating, continuous in C^{∞} topology family $\{(\omega_t, J_t)\}$ with (ω_t, J_t) δ -close to (ω, J) for each t.

Proof. Let $\{g_t\}$ be the family of metrics on M given by the convex linear combination of $g = g_{\omega_J}, g' = g_{\omega',J'}$. Clearly g_t is δ' -close to g_0 for each t. Likewise the family of 2 forms $\{\omega_t\}$ given by the convex linear combination of ω , ω' is non-degenerate for each t if δ' was chosen to be sufficiently small and is δ' -close to $\omega_0 = \omega_{g,J}$ for each moment.

Let

$$ret: Met(M) \times \Omega(M) \to \mathcal{J}(M)$$

be the "retraction map" (it can be understood as a retraction followed by projection) as defined in [17, Prop 2.50], where Met(M) is space of metrics on M, $\Omega(M)$ the space of 2-forms on M, and $\mathcal{J}(M)$ the space of almost complex structures. This map has the property that the almost complex structure $ret(g,\omega)$ is compatible with ω , and that $ret(g_J,\omega) = J$ for $g_J = \omega(\cdot, J \cdot)$. Then $\{(\omega_t, ret(g_t,\omega_t)\}$ is a compatible family. As ret is continuous in the C^0 -topology, δ' can be chosen so that $\{ret_t(g_t,\omega_t)\}$ are δ -nearby.

Let δ' be chosen with respect to δ as in the above lemma and $\{(\omega_t, J_t)\}$ be the corresponding family. Let \widetilde{N} consist of all elements $(u, t) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\{J_t\}, A)$ s.t.

$$E^0 - \epsilon < \text{energy}_{\omega_t}(u) < E^1 + \epsilon.$$

Then by Lemma 2.7 for each $(u,t) \in \widetilde{N}$, we have:

$$E^0 < \text{energy}_{\omega_t}(u) < E^1.$$

In particular \widetilde{N} must be closed, it is also clearly open, and is compact as energy is a proper function, as discussed.

To finish the proof of the main lemma, let N be as in the hypothesis, δ' as in Lemma 2.8, and \widetilde{N} as in the conclusion to Lemma 2.8, then by Lemma 2.2

$$GW_{q,n}(N_1, J', A) = GW_{q,n}(N, J, A) \neq 0,$$

where $N_1 = \widetilde{N} \cap \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{q,n}(J_1, A)$. So the conclusion follows.

Proof of Proposition 1.21. For each $u \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(J_i, A)$, i = 0, 1, fix an open-compact subset

$$V_u \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{a,n}(\{J_t\},A)$$

containing u. We can do this by the hypothesis that there are no sky catastrophes. Since $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(J_i,A)$ are compact we may find a finite subcover

$$\{V_{u_i}\}\cap(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{q,n}(J_0,A)\cup\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{q,n}(J_1,A))$$

of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(J_0,A) \cup \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(J_1,A)$, considering $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(J_0,A) \cup \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(J_1,A)$ as a subset of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\{J_t\},A)$ naturally. Then $V = \bigcup_i V_{u_i}$ is an open compact subset of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\{J_t\},A)$, s.t.

$$V \cap \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{q,n}(J_i, A) = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{q,n}(J_i, A).$$

Now apply Lemma 2.2.

Likewise if only J_0 is bounded, for each $u \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(J_0,A)$, fix an open-compact subset V_u of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\{J_t\},A)$ containing u. Since $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(J_0,A)$ is compact we may find a finite subcover

$$\{V_{u_i}\}\cap(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(J_0,A)$$

of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(J_0,A)$. Then $V=\bigcup_i V_{u_i}$ is an open compact subset of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\{J_t\},A)$, s.t.

$$V \cap \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{q,n}(J_i, A) = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{q,n}(J_i, A).$$

Again apply Lemma 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.18. (Outline, as the argument is standard.) Suppose that we have a sequence u^k of J-holomorphic maps with L^2 -energy $\leq E$. By [18, 4.1.1], a sequence u^k of J-holomorphic curves has a convergent subsequence if $\sup_k ||du^k||_{L^{\infty}} < \infty$. On the other hand when this condition does not hold rescaling argument tells us that a holomorphic sphere bubbles off. The quantization Theorem 1.17, then tells us that these bubbles have some minimal energy, so if the total energy is capped by E, only finitely many bubbles may appear, so that a subsequence of u^k must converge in the Gromov topology to a Kontsevich stable map.

3. Rulling out some sky catastrophes and non-squeezing

The metric topology \mathcal{L} on the set LCS(M) of smooth lcs 2-forms on M is defined with respect to the following metric.

Definition 3.1. Fix a Riemannian metric q on M and define

$$d(\omega_1, \omega_2) = d_{mass}(\omega_1, \omega_2) + d_{mass}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2),$$

for α_i the Lee forms of ω_i and d_{mass} the metrics induced by the co-mass norms $||\cdot||_{mass}$ with respect to g on differential k-forms. That is $||\eta||_{mass} = \sup_v |\eta(v)|$, where the supremum is over all g-unit k-vectors v.

The following characterization of convergence will be helpful.

Lemma 3.2. Let M be compact and let $\{\omega_k\} \subset LCS(M)$ be a sequence converging to a symplectic form ω . Denote by $\{\widetilde{\omega}_k\}$ the lift sequence on the universal cover \widetilde{M} . Then there is a sequence $\{\omega_k^{symp}\}$ of symplectic forms on \widetilde{M} , and a sequence $\{f_k\}$ of positive functions pointwise converging to 1, such that $\widetilde{\omega}_k = f_k \omega_k^{symp}$.

Proof. We may assume that M is connected. Let α_k be the Lee form of ω_k , and g_k functions on \widetilde{M} defined by $g_k([p]) = \int_{[0,1]} p^* \alpha_k$, where the universal cover \widetilde{M} is understood as the set equivalence classes of paths p starting at $x_0 \in M$, with a pair p_1, p_2 equivalent if $p_1(1) = p_2(1)$ and $p_2^{-1} \cdot p_1$ is null-homotopic, where $p_2^{-1} \cdot p_1$ is the path concatenation.

Then we get:

$$d\widetilde{\omega}_k = dg_k \wedge \widetilde{\omega}_k,$$

so that if we set $f_k := e^{g_k}$ then

$$d(f_k^{-1}\widetilde{\omega}_k) = 0.$$

Since by assumption $\alpha_k \to 0$, then $g_k \to 0$ and $f_k \to 1$, so that if we set $\widetilde{\omega}_k^{symp} := f_k^{-1} \widetilde{\omega}_k$ then we are done.

Proof of Theorem 1.22. We shall actually prove a stronger statement that there is a universal (for all t) energy bound from above for class A, J_t -holomorphic curves.

Lemma 3.3. Let M, K be as in the statement of the theorem, and $A \in H_2(M)$ fixed. Let (ω, J) be a compatible lcs pair on M such that for every $x \in \partial K$ there is a \widetilde{J} -holomorphic (real codimension 2) hyperplane $u_x \subset K \subset \widetilde{M}$ through x, such that $\pi(u_x) \subset M$ is a closed submanifold and and such that $A \cdot [\pi(u_x)] \leq 0$. Then any genus 0, J-holomorphic class A curve u in M has a lift \widetilde{u} with image in K.

Proof. For u as in the statement, let \widetilde{u} be a lift intersecting the fundamental domain D, (as in the statement of main theorem). Suppose that \widetilde{u} intersects ∂K , otherwise we already have image $\widetilde{u} \subset K^{\circ}$, for K° the interior, since image \widetilde{u} is connected (any by elementary topology). Then \widetilde{u} intersects u_x as in the statement, for some x. So u is a J-holomorphic map intersecting the closed hyperplane $\pi(u_x)$ with $A \cdot [\pi(u_x)] \leq 0$. By positivity of intersections, [18], image $u \subset \pi(u_x)$, and so image $\widetilde{u} \subset u_x$. And so image $\widetilde{u} \subset \partial K$.

Suppose otherwise, then there is a sequence $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, $u_k: \Sigma_k \to M$, of J_{t_k} -holomorphic class A curves, with

$$\int_{\Sigma_k} u_k^* \omega_{t_k} \to \infty, \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

We may assume that t_k is convergent to $t' \in [0,1]$, otherwise take a convergent subsequence.

Now, by the lemma above each u_t has a lift \widetilde{u}_t contained in a compact $K \subset M$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a N so that for k > N we have:

$$\int_{S^2} \widetilde{u}_k^* \omega_{t_k} \le C_k \langle \widetilde{\omega}_{t_k}^{symp}, A \rangle \le C \langle \widetilde{\omega}_{t'}^{symp}, A \rangle + \epsilon,$$

where $\widetilde{\omega}_{t_k} = f_k \widetilde{\omega}_k^{symp}$, $\widetilde{\omega}_{t'} = f \widetilde{\omega}^{symp}$ for $\widetilde{\omega}^{symp}$, $\widetilde{\omega}_k^{symp}$ symplectic on \widetilde{M} , $f, f_k : \widetilde{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ positive functions constructed as in the proof of Lemma 3.2, and $C = \sup_K f$, $C_k = \sup_K f_k$. So we have obtained a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 1.16. Fix an $\epsilon' > 0$ s.t. any 2-form ω_1 on M, ϵ' -close to ω with respect to d_{mass} , is non-degenerate and is non-degenerate on the leaves of the folliation of each Σ_i , discussed prior to the formulation of the theorem. Suppose by contradiction that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a homotopy $\{\omega_t\}$ of lcs forms, with $\omega_0 = \omega$, such that $\forall t : d(\omega_t, \omega) < \epsilon$ and such that there exists a symplectic embedding

$$\phi: B_R \hookrightarrow (M, \omega_1),$$

satisfying conditions of the statement of the theorem. Take $\epsilon < \epsilon'$, and let $\{\omega_t\}$ be as in the hypothesis above. In particular ω_t is an lcs form for each t, and is non-degenerate on Σ_i . Extend ϕ_*j to an ω_1 -compatible almost complex structure J_1 on M, preserving $T^{fol}\Sigma_i$. We may then extend this to a family $\{J_t\}$ of almost complex structures on M, s.t. J_t is ω_t -compatible for each t, with J_0 is the standard split complex structure on M and such that J_t preserves $T\Sigma_i$ for each i. The latter condition can be satisfied since Σ_i are ω_t -symplectic for each t. (For construction of $\{J_t\}$ use for example the map t from Lemma 2.9). When the image of ϕ does not intersect $\cup_i \Sigma_i$ these conditions can be trivially satisfied.

Then the family $\{(\omega_t, J_t)\}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1.22, and so has no sky catastrophes in class A. In addition if $N = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(J_0, A)$ (which is compact since J_0 is tamed by the symplectic form ω) then

$$GW_{0.1}(N, A, J_0)([pt] \otimes [pt]) = 1.$$

Consequently by Lemma 2.2 there is a class $A J_1$ -holomorphic curve u passing through $\phi(0)$.

By Lemma 3.3 we may choose a lift \widetilde{u} to \widetilde{M} , with homology class $[\widetilde{u}]$ also denoted by A, of each u so that the image of \widetilde{u} is contained in a compact set $K \subset \widetilde{M}$, (independent of all choices). Let $\widetilde{\omega}_t^{symp}$ and f_t be as in Lemma 3.2, then by this lemma for every $\delta > 0$ we may find an $\epsilon > 0$ so that if $d(\omega_1, \omega) < \epsilon$ then $d_{mass}(\widetilde{\omega}^{symp}, \widetilde{\omega}_1^{symp}) < \delta$ on K.

Since $\langle \widetilde{\omega}^{symp}, A \rangle = \pi r^2$, if δ above is chosen to be sufficiently small then

$$\left| \int_{S^2} u^* \omega_1 - \pi r^2 \right| \le \left| \max_K f_1 \langle \widetilde{\omega}_1^{symp}, A \rangle - \pi \cdot r^2 \right| < \pi R^2 - \pi r^2,$$

since

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} |\langle \widetilde{\omega}_1^{symp}, A \rangle - \pi \cdot r^2| = |\langle \widetilde{\omega}^{symp}, A \rangle - \pi \cdot r^2| = 0,$$

and since

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \max_{K} f_1 = 1.$$

In particular we get that ω_1 -area of u is less then πR^2 .

We may then proceed as in the now classical proof of Gromov [12] of the non-squeezing theorem to get a contradiction and finish the proof. More specifically $\phi^{-1}(\operatorname{image} \phi \cap \operatorname{image} u)$ is a minimal surface in B_R , with boundary on the boundary of B_R , and passing through $0 \in B_R$. By construction it has area strictly less then πR^2 which is impossible by the classical monotonicity theorem of differential geometry.

4. Genus 1 curves in the lcsm $C \times S^1$ and the Fuller index

Proof of Proposition 1.3. Suppose we a have a curve without spherical nodal components $u \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}(J^{\lambda}, A)$. We claim that $(pr_C \circ u)_*$ everywhere has rank ≤ 1 , for $pr_C : C \times S^1 \to C$ the projection. Suppose otherwise, then it is immediate by construction of J^{λ} , that

$$\int_{\Sigma} (pr_C \circ u)^* d\lambda > 0,$$

for Σ domain of u, but $d\lambda$ is exact so that that this is impossible. It clearly follows from this that Σ must be smooth, (non-nodal).

Next observe that when the rank of $(pr_C \circ u)_*$ is 1, its image is in the Reeb line sub-bundle of TC, for otherwise the image has a contact component, but this is J^{λ} invariant and so again we get that $\int_{\Sigma} (pr_C \circ u)^* d\lambda > 0$. We now show that the image of $pr_C \circ u$ is in fact the image of some Reeb orbit.

Pick an identification of the domain Σ of u with a marked Riemann surface (T^2, j) , T^2 the standard torus. We shall use throughout coordinates (θ_1, θ_2) on T^2 $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in S^1$, with S^1 unit complex numbers. Then by assumption on the class A (and WLOG)

$$\theta \mapsto pr_{S^1} \circ u(\{\theta_0^1\} \times \{\theta\}),$$

is a degree 1 curve, where $pr_{S^1}: C \times S^1 \to S^1$ is the projection. And so by the Sard theorem we have a regular value θ_0 , so that $u^{-1} \circ pr_{S^1}^{-1}(\theta_0)$ contains an embedded circle $S_0 \subset T^2$. Now $d(pr_{S^1} \circ u)$ is

surjective along $T(T^2)|_{S_0}$, which means, since u is J^{λ} -holomorphic, that $pr_C \circ u|_{S_0}$ has non-vanishing differential. From this and the discussion above it follows that image of $pr_C \circ u$ is the image of some embedded Reeb orbit o_u . Consequently the image of u is contained in the image of the Reeb torus of o_u , and so (again by the assumption on A) u is a itself a Reeb torus map for some o covering o_u .

The statement of the lemma follows when u has no spherical nodal components. On the other hand non-constant holomorphic spheres are impossible also by the previous argument. So there are no nodal elements in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}(J^{\lambda}, A)$ which completes the argument.

Proposition 4.1. Let (C, ξ) be a general contact manifold. If λ is non-degenerate contact 1-form for ξ then all the elements of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}(J^{\lambda}, A)$ are regular curves. Moreover, if λ is degenerate then for a period P Reeb orbit o the kernel of the associated real linear Cauchy-Riemann operator for the Reeb torus of o is naturally identified with the 1-eigenspace of $\phi_{P,*}^{\lambda}$ - the time P linearized return map $\xi(o(0)) \to \xi(o(0))$ induced by the R^{λ} Reeb flow.

Proof. We have previously shown that all $[u,j] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}(J^{\lambda},A)$, are represented by smooth immersed curves, (covering maps of Reeb tori). Since each u is immersed we may naturally get a splitting $u^*T(C \times S^1) \simeq N_u \times T(T^2)$, using g_J metric, where N_u denotes the pull-back normal bundle, which is identified with the pullback along the projection of $C \times S^1 \to C$ of the distribution ξ .

The full associated real linear Cauchy-Riemann operator takes the form:

(4.2)
$$D_u^J: \Omega^0(N_u \oplus T(T^2)) \oplus T_j M_{1,1} \to \Omega^{0,1}(T(T^2), N_u \oplus T(T^2)).$$

This is an index 2 Fredholm operator (after standard Sobolev completions), whose restriction to $\Omega^0(N_u \oplus T(T^2))$ preserves the splitting, that is the restricted operator splits as

$$D \oplus D' : \Omega^{0}(N_{u}) \oplus \Omega^{0}(T(T^{2})) \to \Omega^{0,1}(T(T^{2}), N_{u}) \oplus \Omega^{0,1}(T(T^{2}), T(T^{2})).$$

On the other hand the restricted Fredholm index 2 operator

$$\Omega^{0}(T(T^{2})) \oplus T_{j}M_{1,1} \to \Omega^{0,1}(T(T^{2})),$$

is surjective by classical Teichmuller theory, see also [32, Lemma 3.3] for a precise argument in this setting. It follows that D_u^J will be surjective if the restricted Fredholm index 0 operator

$$D: \Omega^0(N_u) \to \Omega^{0,1}(N_u),$$

has no kernel.

The bundle N_u is symplectic with symplectic form on the fibers given by restriction of $u^*d\lambda$, and together with J^{λ} this gives a Hermitian structure on N_u . We have a linear symplectic connection A on N_u , which over the slices $S^1 \times \{\theta_2'\} \subset T^2$ is induced by the pullback by u of the linearized R^{λ} Reeb flow. Specifically the A-transport map from $N|_{(\theta_1',\theta_2')}$ to $N|_{(\theta_1'',\theta_2')}$ over $[\theta_1',\theta_2''] \times \{\theta_2'\} \subset T^2$, $0 \le \theta_1' \le \theta_2'' \le 2\pi$ is given by

$$(u_*|_{N|_{(\theta_1'',\theta_2')}})^{-1} \circ \phi_{mult\cdot(\theta_1''-\theta_1')}^{\lambda} \circ u_*|_{N|_{(\theta_1',\theta_2')}},$$

where mult is the multiplicity of o and where $\phi_{mult\cdot(\theta_1''-\theta_1')}^{\lambda}$ is the time $mult\cdot(\theta_1''-\theta_1')$ map for the R^{λ} Reeb flow.

The connection A is defined to be trivial in the θ_2 direction, where trivial means that the parallel transport maps are the id maps over θ_2 rays. In particular the curvature R_A of this connection vanishes. The connection A determines a real linear CR operator on N_u in the standard way (take the complex anti-linear part of the vertical differential of a section). It is elementary to verify from the definitions that this operator is exactly D.

We have a differential 2-form Ω on the N_u which in the fibers of N_u is just the fiber symplectic form and which is defined to vanish on the horizontal distribution. The 2-form Ω is closed, which we may check explicitly by using that R_A vanishes to obtain local symplectic trivializations of N_u in which A is trivial. Clearly Ω must vanish on the 0-section since it is a A-flat section. But any section is homotopic to the 0-section and so in particular if $\mu \in \ker D$ then Ω vanishes on μ . But then since $\mu \in \ker D$, and so its vertical differential is complex linear, it must follow that the vertical differential

vanishes, since $\Omega(v,J^{\lambda}v)>0$, for $0\neq v\in T^{vert}N_u$ and so otherwise we would have $\int_{\mu}\Omega>0$. So μ is A-flat, in particular the restriction of μ over all slices $S^1\times\{\theta_2'\}$ is identified with a period P orbit of the linearized at o R^{λ} Reeb flow, which does not depend on θ_2' as A is trivial in the θ_2 variable. So the kernel of D is identified with the vector space of period P orbits of the linearized at o R^{λ} Reeb flow, as needed.

Proposition 4.3. Let λ be a contact form on a 2n+1-fold C, and o a non-degenerate, period P, \mathbb{R}^{λ} -Reeb orbit, then the orientation of $[u_o]$ induced by the determinant line bundle orientation of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}(J^{\lambda},A)$, is $(-1)^{CZ(o)-n}$, which is

$$sign Det(Id |_{\xi(o(0))} - \phi_{P,*}^{\lambda}|_{\xi(o(0))}).$$

Proof of Proposition 4.3. Abbreviate u_o by u. Fix a trivialization ϕ of N_u induced by a trivialization of the contact distribution ξ along o in the obvious sense: N_u is the pullback of ξ along the composition

$$T^2 \to S^1 \xrightarrow{o} C$$
.

Then the pullback A' of A (as above) to $T^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is a connection whose parallel transport path along $S^1 \times \{\theta_2\}$, $p:[0,1] \to \operatorname{Symp}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, starting at 1, is θ_2 independent and so that the parallel transport path of A' along $\{\theta_1\} \times S^1$ is constant, that is A' is trivial in the θ_2 variable. We shall call such a connection A' on $T^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ induced by p. By non-degeneracy assumption on o, the map p(1) has no 1-eigenvalues. Let $p'':[0,1] \to \operatorname{Symp}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ be a path from p(1) to a unitary map p''(1), with p''(1) having no 1-eigenvalues, s.t. p'' has only simple crossings with the Maslov cycle. Let p' be the concatenation of p and p''. We then get

$$CZ(p') - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{sign}\Gamma(p',0) \equiv CZ(p') - n \equiv 0 \mod 2,$$

since p' is homotopic relative end points to a unitary geodesic path h starting at id, having regular crossings, and since the number of negative, positive eigenvalues is even at each regular crossing of h by unitarity. Here sign $\Gamma(p',0)$ is the index of the crossing form of the path p' at time 0, in the notation of [20]. Consequently

$$(4.4) CZ(p'') \equiv CZ(p) - n \mod 2,$$

by additivity of the Conley-Zehnder index.

Let us then define a free homotopy $\{p_t\}$ of p to p', p_t is the concatenation of p with $p''|_{[0,t]}$, reparametrized to have domain [0,1] at each moment t. This determines a homotopy $\{A'_t\}$ of connections induced by $\{p_t\}$. By the proof of Proposition 4.1, the CR operator D_t determined by each A'_t is surjective except at some finite collection of times $t_i \in (0,1)$, $i \in N$ determined by the crossing times of p'' with the Maslov cycle, and the dimension of the kernel of D_{t_i} is the 1-eigenspace of $p''(t_i)$, which is 1 by the assumption that the crossings of p'' are simple.

The operator D_1 is not complex linear so we concatenate the homotopy $\{D_t\}$ with the homotopy $\{\tilde{D}_t\}$ induced by the homotopy $\{\tilde{A}_t\}$ of A'_1 to a unitary connection \tilde{A}_1 , where the homotopy $\{\tilde{A}_t\}$, is through connections induced by paths $\{\tilde{p}_t\}$, giving a homotopy relative end points of $p' = \tilde{p}_0$ to a unitary path \tilde{p}_1 (for example h above). Let us denote by $\{D'_t\}$ the concatenation of $\{D_t\}$ with $\{\tilde{D}_t\}$. By construction in the second half of the homotopy $\{D'_t\}$, D'_t is surjective. And D'_1 is induced by a unitary connection, since it is induced by unitary path \tilde{p}_1 . Consequently D'_1 is complex linear. By the above construction, for the homotopy $\{D'_t\}$, D'_t is surjective except for N times in (0,1), where the kernel has dimension one. In particular the sign of [u] by the definition via the determinant line bundle is exactly

$$-1^N = -1^{CZ(p)-n},$$

by (4.4), which was what to be proved.

Thus if $N \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}(J^{\lambda}, A_{\beta})$ is open-compact and consists of isolated regular Reeb tori $\{u_i\}$, corresponding to orbits $\{o_i\}$ we have:

$$GW_{1,1}(N, A_{\beta}, J^{\lambda})([\overline{M}_{1,1}] \otimes [C \times S^{1}]) = \sum_{i} \frac{(-1)^{CZ(o_{i})-n}}{mult(o_{i})},$$

where the denominator $mult(o_i)$ is there because our moduli space is understood as a non-effective orbifold, see Appendix B.

The expression on the right is exactly the Fuller index $i(\widetilde{N}, R^{\lambda}, \beta)$. Thus the theorem follows for N as above. However in general if N is open and compact then perturbing slightly we obtain a smooth family $\{R^{\lambda_t}\}$, $\lambda_0 = \lambda$, s.t. λ_1 is non-degenerate, that is has non-degenerate orbits. And such that there is an open-compact subset \widetilde{N} of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}(\{J^{\lambda_t}\}, A_{\beta})$ with $(\widetilde{N} \cap \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}(J^{\lambda}, A_{\beta})) = N$, cf. Lemma 2.8. Then by Lemma 2.2 if

$$N_1 = (\widetilde{N} \cap \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}(J^{\lambda_1}, A_{\beta}))$$

we get

$$GW_{1,1}(N,A_{\beta},J^{\lambda})([\overline{M}_{1,1}]\otimes [C\times S^{1}])=GW_{1,1}(N_{1},A_{\beta},J^{\lambda_{1}})([\overline{M}_{1,1}]\otimes [C\times S^{1}]).$$

By previous discussion

$$GW_{1,1}(N_1, A_{\beta}, J^{\lambda_1})([\overline{M}_{1,1}] \otimes [C \times S^1]) = i(N_1, R^{\lambda_1}, \beta),$$

but by the invariance of Fuller index (see Appendix A),

$$i(N_1, R^{\lambda_1}, \beta) = i(N, R^{\lambda}, \beta).$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.13

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $N \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}(A,J^{\lambda})$, be the subspace corresponding, (under the Reeb tori, Reeb orbit correspondence) to the subspace \widetilde{N} of all period 2π R^{λ} -orbits. It is easy to compute see for instance [11]

$$i(\widetilde{N}, R^{\lambda}) = \pm \chi(\mathbb{CP}^k) \neq 0.$$

By Theorem 1.13 $GW_{1,1}(N,J^{\lambda},A) \neq 0$. The theorem then follows by Lemma 2.6.

Proof of Lemma 1.9. Let (M, ω, J) be an exact triple with $\omega = d^{\alpha}\lambda$. Suppose that $u : \Sigma \to M$ is an embedded J-holomorphic curve. By Lemma 1.7 we have that $u^*d\lambda = 0$. We only need to check that $[u^*\alpha] \neq 0$. Suppose otherwise. Let \widetilde{M} denote the universal covering space of M, then the lift of ω to \widetilde{M} is $\widetilde{\omega} = \frac{1}{f}d(f\lambda)$, where $f = e^g$ and where g is the primitive for the lift $\widetilde{\alpha}$ of α to \widetilde{M} , that is $\widetilde{\alpha} = dg$. In particular $\widetilde{\omega}$ is conformally exact on \widetilde{M} . Now $[u^*\alpha] = 0$, so u has a lift to a \widetilde{J} -holomorphic map $\widetilde{u} : \Sigma \to \widetilde{M}$, where \widetilde{J} is the lift of J, which is compatible with $\widetilde{\omega}$. Since Σ is closed, it follows that \widetilde{u} is constant, which contradicts our assumptions.

Proof of Theorem 1.10. Define a pseudo-metric d_g measuring distance between subspaces W_1, W_2 of an inner product space (T, g) as follows. If dim $W_1 = \dim W_2$ then

$$d_q(W_1, W_2) := |P_{W_1} - P_{W_2}|,$$

for $|\cdot|$ the g-operator norm, and P_{W_i} g-projection operators onto W_i . If $W_1 = T$ or $W_2 = T$ define $d_q(W_1, W_2) := 0$, in all other cases set $d_q(W_1, W_2) := 1$.

Let U be a C^0 metric ϵ -ball neighborhood of $(\omega_H, J_H := J^{\lambda_H})$ as in Theorem 1.5. To prove the theorem we need to construct an admissible pair $(\omega, J) \in U$, as Theorem 1.5 then tells us that there is a class A, J-holomorphic elliptic curve u in M, and since J is admissible, by Lemma 1.9 there is an elliptic Reeb curve for (M, ω) .

Suppose that $\omega = d^{\alpha'}\lambda'$ is δ -close to ω_H for the metric d as in the statement of the theorem. Then for each $p \in M$, $d_g(\mathcal{V}_{\omega}(p), \mathcal{V}_{\omega_H}(p)) < \epsilon_{\delta}$ and $d_g(\xi_{\omega}(p), \xi_{\omega_H}(p)) < \epsilon_{\delta}$ where $\epsilon_{\delta} \to 0$ as $\delta \to 0$, and where d_g is the pseudo-metric as defined above for subspaces of the inner product space (T_pM, g) .

Then choosing δ to be suitably be small, for each $p \in V := \mathcal{V}(M, \lambda')$ we have an isomorphism $\phi(p): T_pM \to T_pM$, $\phi_p := P_1 \oplus P_2$, for $P_1: \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_H}(p) \to \mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(p)$, $P_2: \xi_{\omega_H}(p) \to \xi_{\omega}(p)$ the g-projection

operators. Define $J(p) := \phi(p)_* J_H$, and this defines J in the sub-bundle $\pi_{TM}^{-1} V$, for $\pi_{TM} : TM \to M$ the bundle projection. In addition, if δ was chosen to be sufficiently small (ω, J) is a compatible pair in $\pi_{TM}^{-1} V$, and is ϵ -close to (ω_H, J_H) in $\pi_{TM}^{-1} V$.

Now take any extension of J to TM so that (ω, J) is a compatible pair ϵ -close to (ω_H, J_H) in $\pi_{TM}^{-1}V$. This can be obtained by using a partition of unity. Explicitly, J defined in $\pi_{TM}^{-1}V$, and ω give a Riemannian metric $g_J(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega(\cdot, J \cdot)$ in $\pi_{TM}^{-1}V$. Use a partition of unity to extend this metric to TM, and then use the map:

$$ret: Met(M) \times \Omega(M) \to \mathcal{J}(M),$$

as in Lemma 2.9.

Proof of Theorem 1.11. Let $u: \Sigma \to C \times S^1$ be an embedded elliptic Reeb curve for the Banyaga lcs structure $d^{\alpha}\lambda$ on $M = C \times S^1$,

$$\alpha = d\theta := pr_1^* d\theta,$$

where $pr_1: C \times S^1 \to S^1$ is the projection. As in the proof of Proposition 1.3 we obtain that $(pr_C \circ u)_*$ everywhere has rank ≤ 1 , for $pr_C: C \times S^1 \to C$ the projection, and when it has rank 1 the image is contained in $\ker d\lambda$.

By assumptions $[u^*\alpha] = [u^* \circ pr_1^* d\theta] \neq 0$, then applying Sard's theorem as in the proof of Proposition 1.3, we find an embedded circle $S_0 \subset \Sigma$, $S_0 \subset (pr_1 \circ u)^{-1}(\theta_0)$ for some regular value $\theta_0 \subset S^1$ for the map $pr_1 \circ u$. Then $pr_C \circ u|_{S_0}$ must be a smooth embedding, for otherwise, since $S_0 \subset (pr_1 \circ u)^{-1}(\theta_0)$, $u|_{S_0}$ would not be a smooth embedding. Then by the first paragraph, the image of $u|_{S_0}$ must be the image of a Reeb orbit.

5. Preliminaries for the Proof of Proposition 1.4

Much of the following discussion holds verbatim for general moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(J,A,a_1,\ldots,a_n)$ with a_1,\ldots,a_n homological constraints. We shall however restrict for simplicity to the case g=1,n=1 with trivial constraint [M], as this is the interest of Theorem 1.5.

Definition 5.1. For a smooth homotopy $h = \{(\omega_t, J_t)\}$ of lcs pairs on M, we say that it is **partially** admissible for A if every element of

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}(M,J_0,A)$$

is contained in a compact open subset of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}(M,\{J_t\},A)$. We say that h is admissible for A if every element of

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}(M,J_i,A),$$

i=0,1 is contained in a compact open subset of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}(M,\{J_t\},A)$.

Thus in the above definition, a homotopy is partially admissible if there are sky catastrophes going one way, and admissible if there are no sky catastrophes going either way.

Partly to simplify notation we denote by a capital X a general lcs triple (M, ω, J) , then we introduce the following simplified notation.

(5.2)
$$S(X,A) = \{u \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}(X,A)\}$$

$$S(X,a,A) = \{u \in S(X,A) \mid e(u) \leq a\}$$

$$S(h,A) = \{u \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}(h,A)\}, \text{ for } h = \{(\omega_t, J_t)\} \text{ a homotopy as above}$$

$$S(h,a,A) = \{u \in S(h,A) \mid e(u) \leq a\}$$

Definition 5.3. For an isolated element u of S(X, A), which means that $\{u\}$ is open as a subset, we set $gw(u, p) \in \mathbb{Q}$ to be the local Gromov-Witten invariant of u. This is defined as:

$$gw(u, p) = GW_{1,1}(\{u\}, A, J)([\overline{M}_{1,1}] \otimes [M]),$$

with the right hand side as in (2.1).

Definition 5.4. Suppose that S(X,A) has open connected components. And suppose that we have a collection of lcs pairs

$$\bigcup_{a>0} (X^a = (M, \omega^a, J^a)),$$

satisfying the following:

• $S(X^a, a, A)$ consists of isolated curves for each a.

 $S(X^a, a, A) = S(X^b, a, A),$

(equality of subsets of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}(X,A) \times \mathbb{R}_+$) if b > a, and the local Gromov-Witten invariants corresponding to the identified elements of these sets coincide.

• There is a prescribed homotopy $h^a = \{X_t^a\}$ of each X^a to X, called **structure homotopy**, with the property that for every

$$y \in S(X_0^a, A)$$

there is an open compact subset $C_y \ni y$ of $S(h^a, A)$ which is **non-branching** which means that $C_y \cap S(X_i^a, A)$,

i = 0, 1 are connected.

 $S(h^a, a, A) = S(h^b, a, A),$

(equality of subsets) if b > a is sufficiently large.

We will then say that

$$\mathcal{P}(A) = (\{X^a\}_a, h^a)$$

is a perturbation system for X in the class A.

We shall see shortly that, given a contact (C, λ) , the associated lcs structure on $C \times S^1$ always admits a perturbation system as above if λ is Morse-Bott.

Definition 5.5. Suppose that X admits a perturbation system $\mathcal{P}(A)$ so that there exists an $E = E(\mathcal{P}(A))$ with the property that

$$S(X^a, a, A) = S(X^E, a, A)$$

for all a > E, where this as before is equality of subsets, and the local Gromov-Witten invariants of the identified elements are also identified. Then we say that X is **finite type** and set:

$$GW(X,A) = \sum_{u \in S(X^E,A)} gw(u).$$

Definition 5.6. Suppose that X admits a perturbation system $\mathcal{P}(A)$ and there is an $E = E(\mathcal{P}(A)) > 0$ so that gw(u) > 0 for all

$$\{u \in S(X^a, A) \mid E \le e(u) \le a\}$$

respectively gw(u) < 0 for all

$$\{u \in S(X^a, A) \mid E \le e(u) \le a\},\$$

and every a > E. Suppose in addition that

$$\lim_{a \mapsto \infty} \sum_{u \in S(X,a,A)} gw(u) = \infty, \ \ respectively \ \ \lim_{a \mapsto \infty} \sum_{u \in S(X,a,\beta)} gw(u) = -\infty.$$

Then we say that X is positive infinite type, respectively negative infinite type and set

$$GW(X, A) = \infty$$
,

respectively $GW(X,A) = -\infty$. These are meant to be interpreted as extended Gromov-Witten invariants, counting elliptic curves in class A. We say that X is **infinite type** if it is one or the other

Definition 5.7. We say that X is **definite** type if it is infinite type or finite type.

With the above definitions

$$GW(X, A) \in \mathbb{Q} \sqcup \infty \sqcup -\infty,$$

when it is defined.

Definition 5.8. An les pair X is admissible if it admits a perturbation system, and if it is definite type.

5.0.1. Perturbation systems for Morse-Bott Reeb vector fields.

Definition 5.9. A contact form λ on M, and its associated flow R^{λ} are called Morse-Bott if the λ action spectrum $\sigma(\lambda)$ - that is the space of critical values of $o \mapsto \int_{S^1} o^* \lambda$, is discreet and if for every $a \in \sigma(\lambda)$, the space

$$N_a := \{ x \in M | F_a(x) = x \},$$

 F_a the time a flow map for R^{λ} - is a closed smooth manifold such that rank $d\lambda|_{N_a}$ is locally constant and $T_xN_a = \ker(dF_a - I)_x$.

Proposition 5.10. Let λ be a contact form of Morse-Bott type, on a closed contact manifold C. Then the corresponding lcs pair $X_{\lambda} = (C \times S^1, d^{\alpha}\lambda, J^{\lambda})$ admits a perturbation system $\mathcal{P}(A)$, for every class A.

Proof. This follows immediately by [22, Proposition 2.12], and by Proposition 1.3. \Box

Lemma 5.11. The Hopf lcs pair $(S^{2k+1} \times S^1, d^{\alpha}\lambda_H, J^{\lambda_H})$, for λ_H the standard contact structure on S^{2k+1} is infinite type.

Proof. This follows immediately by [21, Lemma 2. 13], and by Proposition 1.3. \Box

5.1. Preliminaries on admissible homotopies.

Definition 5.12. Let $h = \{X_t\}$ be a smooth homotopy of lcs pairs. For b > a > 0 we say that h is partially a, b-admissible, respectively a, b-admissible (in class A) if for each

$$y \in S(X_0, a, A)$$

there is a compact open subset $C_y \ni y$ of S(h, A), with e(u) < b, for all $u \in C_y$. Respectively, if for each

$$y \in S(X_i, a, A),$$

i = 0, 1 there is a compact open subset $C_y \ni y$ of S(h, A) with e(u) < b, for all $u \in C_y$.

Lemma 5.13. Suppose that X_0 has a perturbation system $\mathcal{P}(A)$, and $\{X_t\}$ is partially admissible, then for every a there is a b > a so that $\{\widetilde{X}_t^b\} = \{X_t\} \cdot \{X_t^b\}$ is partially a, b-admissible, where $\{X_t\} \cdot \{X_t^b\}$ is the (reparametrized to have t domain [0,1]) concatenation of the homotopies $\{X_t\}, \{X_t^b\}$, and where $\{X_t^b\}$ is the structure homotopy from X^b to X_0 .

Proof. This is a matter of pure topology, and the proof is completely analogous to the proof of [21, Lemma 3.8].

The analogue of Lemma 5.13 in the admissible case is the following:

Lemma 5.14. Suppose that X_0, X_1 and $\{X_t\}$ are admissible, then for every a there is a b > a so that

(5.15)
$$\{\widetilde{X}_t^b\} = \{X_{1,t}^b\}^{-1} \cdot \{X_t\} \cdot \{X_{0,t}^b\}$$

is a, b-admissible, where $\{X_{i,t}^b\}$ are the structure homotopies from X_i^b to X_i .

6. Proof of Theorem 1.4

Let us state a more general claim.

Theorem 6.1. Suppose we have an admissible lcs pair X_0 , with $GW(X_0, A) \neq 0$, which is joined to X_1 by a partially admissible homotopy $\{X_t\}$, then X_1 has non-constant elliptic class A curves.

Theorem 1.4 clearly follows by the above and by Lemma 5.11. We also have a more a more precise result.

Theorem 6.2. If X_0, X_1 are admissible less pairs and $\{X_t\}$ is admissible then $GW(X_0, A) = GW(X_1, A)$.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Suppose that X_0 is admissible with $GW(X_0, A) \neq 0$, $\{X_t\}$ is partially admissible and $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}(X_1, A) = \emptyset$. Let a be given and b determined so that $\widetilde{h}^b = \{\widetilde{X}_t^b\}$ is a partially (a, b)-admissible homotopy. We set

$$S_a = \bigcup_y C_y \subset S(\widetilde{h}^b, A),$$

for $y \in S(X_0^b, a, A)$. Here we use a natural identification of $S(X^b, a, A) = S(\widetilde{X}_0^b, a, A)$ as a subset of $S(\widetilde{h}^b, A)$ by its construction. Then S_a is an open-compact subset of S(h, A) and so has admits an implicit atlas (Kuranishi structure) with boundary, (with virtual dimension 1) s.t:

$$\partial S_a = S(X^b, a, A) + Q_a$$

where Q_a as a set is some subset (possibly empty), of elements $u \in S(X^b, b, A)$ with $e(u) \ge a$. So we have for all a:

(6.3)
$$\sum_{u \in Q_a} gw(u) + \sum_{u \in S(X^b, a, A)} gw(u) = 0.$$

6.1. Case I, X_0 is finite type. Let $E = E(\mathcal{P})$ be the corresponding cutoff value in the definition of finite type, and take any a > E. Then $Q_a = \emptyset$ and by definition of E we have that the left side is

$$\sum_{u \in S(X^b, E, A)} gw(u) \neq 0.$$

Clearly this gives a contradiction to (6.4).

6.2. Case II, X_0 is infinite type. We may assume that $GW(X_0, A) = \infty$, and take a > E, where $E = E(\mathcal{P}(A))$ is the corresponding cutoff value in the definition of infinite type. Then

$$\sum_{u \in Q_a} gw(u) \ge 0,$$

as $a > E(\mathcal{P}(A))$. While

$$\lim_{a \to \infty} \sum_{u \in S(X^b, a, A)} gw(u) = \infty,$$

as $GW(X_0, A) = \infty$. This also contradicts (6.4).

Proof of Theorem 6.2. This is somewhat analogous to the proof of Theorem 6.1. Suppose that X_i , $\{X_t\}$ are admissible as in the hypothesis. Let a be given and b determined so that $\tilde{h}^b = \{\tilde{X}_t^b\}$, see (5.15) is an (a,b)-admissible homotopy. We set

$$S_a = \bigcup_y \mathcal{C}_y \subset S(\widetilde{h}^b, A)$$

for $y \in S(X_i^b, a, A)$. Then S_a is an open-compact subset of S(h, A) and so has admits an implicit atlas (Kuranishi structure) with boundary, (with virtual dimension 1) s.t:

$$\partial S_a = (S(X_0^b, a, A) + Q_{a,0})^{op} + S(X_1^b, a, A) + Q_{a,1},$$

with op denoting opositite orientation and where $Q_{a,i}$ as sets are some subsets (possibly empty), of elements $u \in S(X_i^b, b, A)$ with $e(u) \geq a$. So we have for all a:

(6.4)
$$\sum_{u \in Q_{a,0}} gw(u) + \sum_{u \in S(X_0^b, a, A)} gw(u) = \sum_{u \in Q_{a,1}} gw(u) + \sum_{u \in S(X_1^b, a, A)} gw(u)$$

6.3. Case I, X_0 is finite type and X_1 is infinite type. Suppose in addition $GW(X_1, A) = \infty$ and let $E = \max(E(\mathcal{P}_0(A)), E(\mathcal{P}_1(A)))$, for \mathcal{P}_i , the perturbation systems of X_i . Take any a > E. Then $Q_{a,0} = \emptyset$ and the left hand side of (6.4) is

$$\sum_{u \in S(X_0^b, E, A)} gw(u).$$

While the right hand side tends to ∞ as a tends to infinity since,

$$\sum_{u \in Q_{a,1}} gw(u) \ge 0,$$

as $a > E(\mathcal{P}_1(A))$, and

$$\lim_{a \to \infty} \sum_{u \in S(X_1^b, a, A)} gw(u) = \infty,$$

Clearly this gives a contradiction to (6.4).

- 6.4. Case II, X_i are infinite type. Suppose in addition $GW(X_0, A) = -\infty$, $GW(X_1, A) = \infty$ and let $E = \max(E(\mathcal{P}_0(A)), E(\mathcal{P}_1(A)))$, for \mathcal{P}_i , the perturbation systems of X_i . Take any a > E. Then $\sum_{u \in Q_{a,0}} gw(u) \le 0$, and $\sum_{u \in Q_{a,1}} gw(u) \ge 0$. So by definition of $GW(X_i, A)$ the left hand side of (6.4) tends to $-\infty$ as a tends to ∞ , and the right hand side tends to ∞ . Clearly this gives a contradiction to (6.4).
- 6.5. Case III, X_i are finite type. The argument is analogous.

A. Fuller index

Let X be a vector field on M. Set

$$S(X) = S(X, \beta) = \{(o, p) \in L_{\beta}M \times (0, \infty) \mid o : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to M \text{ is a periodic orbit of } pX\},$$

where $L_{\beta}M$ denotes the free homotopy class β component of the free loop space. Elements of S(X) will be called orbits. There is a natural S^1 reparametrization action on S(X), and elements of $S(X)/S^1$ will be called *unparametrized orbits*, or just orbits. Slightly abusing notation we write (o, p) for the equivalence class of (o, p). The multiplicity m(o, p) of a periodic orbit is the ratio p/l for l > 0 the least period of o. We want a kind of fixed point index which counts orbits (o, p) with certain weights - however in general to get invariance we must have period bounds. This is due to potential existence of sky catastrophes as described in the introduction.

Let $N \subset S(X)$ be a compact open set. Assume for simplicity that elements $(o, p) \in N$ are isolated. (Otherwise we need to perturb.) Then to such an (N, X, β) Fuller associates an index:

$$i(N, X, \beta) = \sum_{(o,p) \in N/S^1} \frac{1}{m(o,p)} i(o,p),$$

where i(o, p) is the fixed point index of the time p return map of the flow of X with respect to a local surface of section in M transverse to the image of o. Fuller then shows that $i(N, X, \beta)$ has the following invariance property. Given a continuous homotopy $\{X_t\}$, $t \in [0, 1]$ let

$$S(\{X_t\},\beta) = \{(o,p,t) \in L_\beta M \times (0,\infty) \times [0,1] \mid o : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to M \text{ is a periodic orbit of } pX_t\}.$$

Given a continuous homotopy $\{X_t\}$, $X_0 = X$, $t \in [0,1]$, suppose that \widetilde{N} is an open compact subset of $S(\{X_t\})$, such that

$$\widetilde{N} \cap (LM \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \{0\}) = N.$$

Then if

$$N_1 = \widetilde{N} \cap (LM \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \{1\})$$

we have

$$i(N, X, \beta) = i(N_1, X_1, \beta).$$

In the case where X is the R^{λ} -Reeb vector field on a contact manifold (C^{2n+1}, ξ) , and if (o, p) is non-degenerate, we have:

(A.1)
$$i(o, p) = \operatorname{sign} \operatorname{Det}(\operatorname{Id}|_{\xi(x)} - F_{p, *}^{\lambda}|_{\xi(x)}) = (-1)^{CZ(o)-n},$$

where $F_{p,*}^{\lambda}$ is the differential at x of the time p flow map of R^{λ} , and where CZ(o) is the Conley-Zehnder index, (which is a special kind of Maslov index) see [20].

B. VIRTUAL FUNDAMENTAL CLASS

This is a small note on how one deals with curves having non-trivial isotropy groups, in the virtual fundamental class technology. We primarily need this for the proof of Theorem 1.13. Given a closed oriented orbifold X, with an orbibundle E over X Fukaya-Ono [9] show how to construct using multisections its rational homology Euler class, which when X represents the moduli space of some stable curves, is the virtual moduli cycle $[X]^{vir}$. (Note that the story of the Euler class is older than the work of Fukaya-Ono, and there is possibly prior work in this direction.) When this is in degree 0, the corresponding Gromov-Witten invariant is $\int_{[X]^{vir}} 1$. However they assume that their orbifolds are effective. This assumption is not really necessary for the purpose of construction of the Euler class but is convenient for other technical reasons. A different approach to the virtual fundamental class which emphasizes branched manifolds is used by McDuff-Wehrheim, see for example McDuff [15], which does not have the effectivity assumption, a similar use of branched manifolds appears in [3]. In the case of a non-effective orbibundle $E \to X$ McDuff [16], constructs a homological Euler class e(E) using multi-sections, which extends the construction [9]. McDuff shows that this class e(E) is Poincare dual to the completely formally natural cohomological Euler class of E, constructed by other authors. In other words there is a natural notion of a homological Euler class of a possibly non-effective orbibundle. We shall assume the following black box property of the virtual fundamental class technology.

Axiom B.1. Suppose that the moduli space of stable maps is cleanly cut out, which means that it is represented by a (non-effective) orbifold X with an orbifold obstruction bundle E, that is the bundle over X of cokernel spaces of the linearized CR operators. Then the virtual fundamental class $[X]^{vir}$ coincides with e(E).

Given this axiom it does not matter to us which virtual moduli cycle technique we use. It is satisfied automatically by the construction of McDuff-Wehrheim, (at the moment in genus 0, but surely extending). It can be shown to be satisfied in the approach of John Pardon [19]. And it is satisfied by the construction of Fukaya-Oh-Ono-Ohta [7], although not quiet immediately. This is also communicated to me by Kaoru Ono. When X is 0-dimensional this does follow immediately by the construction in [9], taking any effective Kuranishi neighborhood at the isolated points of X, (this actually suffices for our paper.)

As a special case most relevant to us here, suppose we have a moduli space of elliptic curves in X, which is regular with expected dimension 0. Then its underlying space is a collection of oriented points. However as some curves are multiply covered, and so have isotropy groups, we must treat this is a non-effective 0 dimensional oriented orbifold. The contribution of each curve [u] to the Gromov-Witten invariant $\int_{[X]^{vir}} 1$ is $\frac{\pm 1}{[\Gamma([u])]}$, where $[\Gamma([u])]$ is the order of the isotropy group $\Gamma([u])$ of [u], in the McDuff-Wehrheim setup this is explained in [15, Section 5]. In the setup of Fukaya-Ono [9] we may readily calculate to get the same thing taking any effective Kuranishi neighborhood at the isolated points of X.

7. Acknowledgements

I thank Yong-Geun Oh for a number of discussions on related topics, and for an invitation to IBS-CGP, Korea. Thanks also to John Pardon for receiving me during a visit in Princeton and a number of resulting discussions.

References

- [1] A. BANYAGA, Some properties of locally conformal symplectic structures., Comment. Math. Helv., 77 (2002), pp. 383–398
- [2] C. Baptiste and A. Murphy, Conformal symplectic geometry of cotangent bundles, arXiv, (2016).
- [3] K. CIELIEBAK, I. MUNDET I RIERA, AND D. A. SALAMON, Equivariant moduli problems, branched manifolds, and the Euler class., Topology, 42 (2003), pp. 641-700.
- [4] Y. ELIASHBERG, S. S. KIM, AND L. POLTEROVICH, Geometry of contact transformations and domains: orderability versus squeezing., Geom. Topol., 10 (2006), pp. 1635–1748.
- [5] Y. Eliashberg and E. Murphy, Making cobordisms symplectic, arXiv.
- [6] M. Fraser, Contact non-squeezing at large scale in $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^1$, Int. J. Math., 27 (2016), p. 25.
- [7] K. Fukaya, Y.-G. Oh, H. Ohta, and K. Ono, Technical details on Kuranishi structure and virtual fundamental chain. arXiv.
- [8] K. Fukaya, Y.-G. Oh, H. Ohta, and K. Ono, Lagrangian Intersection Floer theory, Anomaly and Obstruction I and II, AMS/IP, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 2000.
- [9] K. Fukaya and K. Ono, Arnold Conjecture and Gromov-Witten invariant, Topology, 38 (1999), pp. 933 1048.
- [10] F. Fuller, Note on trajectories in a solid torus., Ann. Math. (2), 56 (1952), pp. 438-439.
- [11] ——, An index of fixed point type for periodic orbits., Am. J. Math., 89 (1967), pp. 133–145.
- [12] M. Gromov, Pseudo holomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds., Invent. Math., 82 (1985), pp. 307–347.
- [13] G. KUPERBERG, A volume-preserving counterexample to the Seifert conjecture., Comment. Math. Helv., 71 (1996), pp. 70–97.
- [14] H.-C. Lee, A kind of even-dimensional differential geometry and its application to exterior calculus., Am. J. Math., 65 (1943), pp. 433–438.
- [15] D. McDuff, Notes on Kuranishi Atlases, arXiv.
- [16] D. McDuff, Groupoids, branched manifolds and multisections., J. Symplectic Geom., 4 (2006), pp. 259–315.
- [17] D. McDuff and D. Salamon, *Introduction to symplectic topology*, Oxford Math. Monographs, The Clarendon Oxford University Press, New York, second ed., 1998.
- [18] ——, J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology, no. 52 in American Math. Society Colloquium Publ., Amer. Math. Soc., 2004.
- [19] J. Pardon, An algebraic approach to virtual fundamental cycles on moduli spaces of J-holomorphic curves, Geometry and Topology.
- [20] J. Robbin and D. Salamon, The Maslov index for paths., Topology, 32 (1993), pp. 827-844.
- [21] Y. Savelyev, Extended Fuller index, sky catastrophes and the Seifert conjecture, International Journal of mathematics, to appear.
- [22] ——, Gromov Witten theory of a locally conformally symplectic manifold and the Fuller index, arXiv, (2016).
- [23] H. SEIFERT, Closed integral curves in 3-space and isotopic two-dimensional deformations., Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 1 (1950), pp. 287–302.
- [24] A. Shilnikov, L. Shilnikov, and D. Turaev, Blue-sky catastrophe in singularly perturbed systems., Mosc. Math. J., 5 (2005), pp. 269–282.
- [25] Stefan Müller, Epsilon-non-squeezing and C₀-rigidity of epsilon-symplectic embeddings, arXiv:1805.01390, (2018).
- [26] C. H. Taubes, Counting pseudo-holomorphic submanifolds in dimension 4., J. Differ. Geom., 44 (1996), pp. 818–893.
- [27] —, The Seiberg-Witten equations and the Weinstein conjecture., Geom. Topol., 11 (2007), pp. 2117–2202.
- [28] I. VAISMAN, Locally conformal symplectic manifolds., Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 8 (1985), pp. 521–536.
- [29] C. VITERBO, A proof of Weinstein's conjecture in \mathbb{R}^{2n} ., Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire, 4 (1987), pp. 337–356.
- [30] K. Wehrheim, Energy quantization and mean value inequalities for nonlinear boundary value problems., J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 7 (2005), pp. 305–318.
- [31] C. WENDL AND C. GERIG, Generic transversality for unbranched covers of closed pseudoholomorphic curves, arXiv:1407.0678, (2014).
- [32] C. Wendle, Automatic transversality and orbifolds of punctured holomorphic curves in dimension four., Comment. Math. Helv., 85 (2010), pp. 347–407.
- [33] ——, Transversality and super-rigidity for multiply covered holomorphic curves, arXiv:1609.09867, (2016). Email address: yasha.savelyev@gmail.com

UNIVERSITY OF COLIMA, CUICBAS