

## NOTES ON LCS HOMOLOGY

We can try a direct generalization of contact non-squeezing of Eliashberg-Polterovich [1], and Fraser in [2]. Specifically let  $R^{2n} \times S^1$  be the prequantization space of  $R^{2n}$ , or in other words the contact manifold with the contact form  $d\theta - \lambda$ , for  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(ydx - xdy)$ . Let  $B_R$  now denote the open radius  $R$  ball in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ .

*Question 1.* If  $R \geq 1$  is there a compactly supported, *lcs* endomorphism of the l. c. s. m.  $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^1 \times S^1$  which takes the closure of  $U := B_R \times S^1 \times S^1$  into  $U$ ?

### 1. AN l. c. s.-HOMOLOGY THEORY

For general l. c. s. manifolds  $M$  we need to develop an analogue of contact homology, denoted by  $CSH(M)$  for example. Indeed for the Banyaga l. c. s. structure  $\omega_\lambda = d\lambda + \lambda \wedge d\theta$  on  $M = C \times S^1$  with  $(C, \lambda)$  contact, for an appropriate almost complex structure  $J_\lambda$  all  $J_\lambda$ -holomorphic tori, are in one to one correspondence with Reeb orbits of  $(C, \lambda)$ . They are just products of Reeb orbits by the  $S^1$  factor of  $M \times S^1$ . But these Reeb tori as we call them have an additional structure: the form  $d\lambda$  vanishes on them identically, we say that they are **calibrated** by  $d\lambda$ .

We first generalize the above to a Lichnerowicz exact l. c. s. structure  $\omega$  on  $M = C^{2n-1} \times S^1$ , with  $C$  closed, i.e.  $\omega = d\lambda + \lambda \wedge d\theta$ , for  $\lambda$  a general 1-form on  $M$ , s.t.  $\omega$  is non-degenerate. This might be enough for the applications we have in mind.

**Lemma 1.1.** *There is a class  $\mathcal{J}(\omega)$  of  $\omega$  compatible almost complex structures on  $M$ , s.t. for  $J \in \mathcal{J}(\omega)$ , every non-constant closed pseudo-holomorphic curve  $u$  satisfies  $u^*d\lambda = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{V}$  denote the vanishing distribution of  $d\lambda$ . That is  $v \in \mathcal{V}_p \subset T_p M$  iff  $\omega(v, \cdot) = 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}$  is a 2-dimensional distribution:  $\mathcal{V}_p$  has dimension at least 2 since  $d\lambda$  cannot be symplectic since  $M$  is closed, and has dimension at most 2 since  $d\lambda + \lambda \wedge d\theta$  is non-degenerate. Let  $\xi$  denote the co-vanishing distribution that is  $\xi_p$  is the  $\omega$ -orthogonal complement to  $\mathcal{V}_p$ . We define  $\mathcal{J}(\omega)$  to be the set of  $\omega$ -compatible complex structures  $J$  which preserve both  $\xi$  and  $\mathcal{V}$ . This extends the type of  $J$  used in symplectizations. Then an elementary calculation shows that for every  $u$  as in the hypothesis and for  $J \in \mathcal{J}(\omega)$   $u^*d\lambda = 0$ .  $\square$

The condition  $u^*d\lambda = 0$ , will be called **calibration condition**. We define l. c. s.-homology  $CSH(M)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  to have generators non-constant  $J$ -holomorphic elliptic curves  $u$  in  $M$ , for  $J \in \mathcal{J}(\omega)$  suitably generic.

Here generators are like in contact homology algebra, so really we must take certain words in generators. But I won't make it explicit yet. Also when  $C = S^{2n-1}$  we should be able to work with honest homology groups, like in the case of contact homology of  $C$ .

To actually define the homology we need instantons. There are taken to be  $J$ -holomorphic maps  $u : S^1 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$  with  $\int u^*d\lambda < \infty$ . Such instantons are necessarily asymptotic at the ends to generators. In other words:

**Lemma 1.2.** *Given an instanton  $u$  as above, the images of the maps  $u_{r,+} = u|_{S^1 \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq r}}$  Hausdorff converge as  $r \mapsto \infty$  to a fixed  $J$ -holomorphic elliptic curve  $u_+$  in  $M$ . Likewise the images of the maps  $u_{r,-} = u|_{S^1 \times \mathbb{R}_{\leq -r}}$  Hausdorff converge as  $r \mapsto \infty$  to a fixed  $J$ -holomorphic elliptic curve  $u_-$  in  $M$ .*

*Proof.* First a construction of Eliashberg-Murphy [ ] shows that in this case  $M$  fibers over  $S^1$  with contact fibers, with contact distributions restrictions of  $\xi$  above. Let  $(M_\theta, \lambda_\theta)$  denote the corresponding contact fibers. In this case analogously to the Banyaga example a non-constant elliptic curve in  $M$  must be foliated by  $\{\lambda_\theta\}$ -Reeb closed orbits, by the calibration condition. Now given an instanton  $u$ , at the ends  $u^*d\lambda$  is asymptotically vanishing which means that  $u$  is asymptotically a "Reeb cylinder":

an smooth  $s$ -family of  $\lambda_{f(s)}$ -Reeb orbits, for  $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $f(s) \in S^1$  for  $f$  determined by  $u$ . To finish the proof we need to show that given any Reeb cylinder as above, with finite energy, it must be a Reeb torus. Let  $u_s$  denote the slice of a Reeb cylinder  $u$  over  $f(s) \in S^1$ , that is  $u_s$  is a  $\lambda_{f(s)}$ -Reeb orbit. Let  $s_0$  be fixed, and suppose that there is no  $s > s_0$  with

$$f(s) = f(s_0) = \theta_0$$

such that  $u_s = u_{s_0}$ . Then by the finite energy condition we obtain a non-constant sequence  $\{\gamma_n = u_{s_n}\}$  of  $\lambda_{\theta_0}$ -Reeb orbits with bounded period, which must have a convergent subsequence  $\{\gamma_{n_k}\}$  by Azrelli-Ascoli. If we assume that  $\lambda_{\theta_0}$  is Reeb non-degenerate then this sequence must eventually be constant and we are done.  $\square$

*Question 2.* Why do we need the l.c.s. condition on  $\omega$ ? This rules out bubbling of  $J$ -holomorphic spheres for a sequence of instantons. Since any  $J$ -holomorphic sphere lifts to a  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic sphere in the covering space  $\tilde{M} = C \times \mathbb{R}$ . And on  $\tilde{M}$  the lift  $\tilde{\omega}$  of  $\omega$  is globally conformally symplectic. In particular  $\tilde{J}$  is compatible with a symplectic form, and hence there are no non-constant  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic spheres in  $\tilde{M}$ .

For a generic  $J$ , elliptic curves in  $M$  up to equivalence are isolated. Then given the lemma above the space of all instantons in  $M$  breaks up into finite dimensional components  $\mathcal{M}(u_-, u_+)$  for  $u_-, u_+$  some elliptic curves. That is  $u \in \mathcal{M}(u_-, u_+)$  is asymptotic at the ends to  $u_-, u_+$ . The lemma above can be strengthened to certain  $C^\infty$  convergence but this takes more care to state, and we don't need this yet. Each  $\mathcal{M}(u_-, u_+)$  is compact after adding broken instantons.

1.0.1. *Problem 1.* Can we define relative  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading? Spectral flow? In other words how to compute dimensions of moduli spaces of instantons  $\mathcal{M}(u_-, u_+)$ ? I think this is probably a straightforward generalization of contact homology case.

1.0.2. *Problem 2.* Can we define absolute  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading, analogous to Conley-Zehnder index. Actually if we can then it is clear what it must be, it is the Conley-Zehnder index of any of the slices of the Reeb torus, as the CZ index does not depend on the slice.

1.0.3. *Problem 3.* Show that  $CSH(M) \simeq CH(C)$ . Assuming Problem 3, we get an immediate application:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f : S^1 \rightarrow \text{Cont}(C)$  be a smooth family, for  $C$  as above, with  $\text{Cont}(C)$  the space of contact forms on  $C$ , i.e. 1-forms  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda \wedge \lambda^{2k} \neq 0$ . Suppose that there a 1-form  $\lambda$  on  $C \times S^1$ , s.t.  $\lambda|_{C_\theta} = f(\theta)$ , for  $C_\theta$  the fiber over  $\theta$  and s.t.  $\omega_\lambda = d\lambda + \lambda \wedge d\theta \neq 0$  (Does this condition always hold?). Suppose that  $CH(C) \neq 0$  then there is an  $S^1$  family of Reeb orbits for  $f$ , meaning a continuous map  $R : S^1 \rightarrow LC$  s.t.  $R(\theta)$  is a Reeb orbit of  $f(\rho(\theta))$ , for  $LC$  the free loop space of  $C$ , and  $\rho : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$  some covering map.*

*Proof.* Assuming Problem 3 we get that  $CSH(M) \neq 0$ , in particular there must be a non-constant  $J_\lambda$ -holomorphic elliptic curve  $u$  in  $M$ , where  $J_\lambda \in \mathcal{J}(\omega_\lambda)$ , defined as above. On the other hand by Lemma 1.1 image  $u \cap C_\theta$  must be an image of a  $f(\theta)$ -Reeb orbit.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Y. ELIASHBERG, S. S. KIM, AND L. POLTEROVICH, *Geometry of contact transformations and domains: orderability versus squeezing.*, Geom. Topol., 10 (2006), pp. 1635–1748.
- [2] M. FRASER, *Contact non-squeezing at large scale in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^1$ .*, Int. J. Math., 27 (2016), p. 25.

Email address: yasha.savelyev@gmail.com

UNIVERSITY OF COLIMA, CUICBAS