

# A REMARK ON DEFORMATION OF GROMOV NON-SQUEEZING

YASHA SAVELYEV

**ABSTRACT.** We first conjecture that the Gromov non-squeezing phenomenon persists for deformations of the symplectic form on the range,  $C^0$   $\epsilon$ -nearby to the standard symplectic form, where in terms of the radii  $r, R$  of the domain and range (respectively)  $\epsilon = (\pi R^2 - \pi r^2)/\pi r^2$ , is the sharpest possible. We prove this in some special cases, in particular when the dimension is four and when  $R < \sqrt{2}r$ . Given such a perturbation, we can no longer compactify the range and hence the classical Gromov argument breaks down. Our main method consists of a certain trap idea for holomorphic curves, analogous to traps in dynamical systems.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most important to this day results in symplectic geometry is the so called Gromov non-squeezing theorem, appearing in the seminal paper of Gromov [2]. Let  $\omega_{st} = \sum_{i=1}^n dp_i \wedge dq_i$  denote the standard symplectic form on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Gromov's theorem then says that there does not exist a symplectic embedding

$$(B_R, \omega_{st}) \hookrightarrow (S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}, \omega_{\pi r^2} \oplus \omega_{st}),$$

for  $R > r$ , with  $B_R$  the standard closed radius  $R$  ball in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  centered at 0, and  $\omega_{\pi r^2}$  a symplectic form on  $S^2$  with area  $\pi r^2$ . It is very natural to conjecture the following simple extension.

**Conjecture 1.** *Let  $R > r > 0$  be given, set  $\epsilon = (\pi R^2 - \pi r^2)/\pi r^2$  and let  $\omega = \omega_{\pi r^2} \oplus \omega_{st}$  be the symplectic form on  $M = S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}$  as above. Then for any symplectic form  $\omega'$  on  $M$ ,  $C^0$   $\epsilon$ -close to  $\omega$ , there is no symplectic embedding  $\phi : (B_R, \omega_{st}) \hookrightarrow (M, \omega')$ , meaning that  $\phi^* \omega' = \omega_{st}$ .*

Here, the  $C^0$  distance is with respect to the metric  $g_J = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$  on  $M$  for  $J$  the standard complex structure, see (3.2). The above  $\epsilon$  is of course maximal possible, for if  $c > \epsilon$ , there is a symplectic embedding of  $B_R$  into  $(M, c \cdot \omega)$ .

To prove this we cannot use the classical Gromov-Witten argument since we cannot compactify the range. Another idea is needed to get an appropriate compact moduli space of holomorphic curves. One possible approach is to use convexity or in other words the maximum principle. This approach can prove some cases of the conjecture but is very unlikely to yield a proof of the general case. This is because for a general  $\omega'$  as above a compatible almost complex structure may be forced to be non-standard at infinity.

There is another approach that when sharpened should yield the general case of the conjecture, at least in dimension 4. This is based on a simple idea of holomorphic traps (Definition 2.1) somewhat analogous to traps in dynamical systems. We use this to give some special cases of the conjecture.

**Theorem 1.1.** *When  $n = 2$  the conjecture above holds in the following three cases:*

- (1)  $R < \sqrt{2}r$ .
- (2) *There is a continuous deformation (topology as mentioned above)  $\{\omega_t\}$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\omega' = \omega_1$ ,  $\omega_0 = \omega$  and such that the following is satisfied. There is a compact  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , such that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2 - K$ , and each  $t$ ,  $\omega_t$  is non-degenerate on  $p^{-1}(x)$ .*
- (3) *There is a continuous deformation  $\{\omega_t\}$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\omega' = \omega_1$ ,  $\omega_0 = \omega$  and a continuous family of projections  $\{p_t : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2\}$  s.t. for each  $t$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$   $\omega_t$  is non-degenerate on  $p_t^{-1}(x)$ .*

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53D45.

Key words and phrases. non-squeezing, Gromov-Witten theory.

## 2. A TRAP FOR HOLOMORPHIC CURVES

For basic notions of  $J$ -holomorphic curves we refer the reader to [5].

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(M, J)$  be an almost complex manifold, and  $A \in H_2(M)$  fixed. Let  $K \subset M$  be a closed subset. Suppose that for every  $x \in \partial K$  (the topological boundary) there is a  $J$ -holomorphic, real codimension 2, compact submanifold of  $M$ :  $H_x \ni x$  satisfying:

- $H_x \subset K$ .
- $A \cdot H_x \leq 0$ , where the left-hand side is the homological intersection number.

We call such a  $K$  a  **$J$ -holomorphic trap** (for class  $A$  curves).

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $M, J$  and  $A$  be as above, and  $K$  be a  $J$ -holomorphic trap for class  $A$  curves. Let  $u : \Sigma \rightarrow M$  be a  $J$ -holomorphic class  $A$  curve  $u$  with  $\Sigma$  a connected closed Riemann surface. Then

$$(\text{image } u \cap K) \neq \emptyset \implies \text{image } u \subset K.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $u$  intersects  $\partial K$ , otherwise we already have  $\text{image } u \subset \text{interior}(K)$ , since  $\text{image } u$  is connected (and by elementary topology). Then  $u$  intersects  $H_x$  as in the definition of a holomorphic trap, for some  $x$ . Consequently, as  $A \cdot H_x \leq 0$ , by positivity of intersections [5, Section 2.6],  $\text{image } u \subset H_x \subset K$ .  $\square$

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

**Definition 3.1.** We say that a pair  $(\omega, J)$  of a 2-form  $\omega$  on a smooth manifold  $M$  and an almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  are **compatible** if  $\omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$  defines a  $J$ -invariant inner product  $g_{\omega, J}$  on  $M$ .

Let us quickly recall the definition of the  $C^0$  distance  $d_{C^0}$ , on the set of 2-forms  $\Omega^2(M)$  for a fixed metric  $g$  on  $M$ .

$$(3.2) \quad d_{C^0}(\omega_0, \omega_1) = \sup_{|v \wedge w|_g = 1} |\omega_0(v, w) - \omega_1(v, w)|,$$

where more specifically, the supremum is over all  $g$ -norm 1 simple bivectors  $v \wedge w$  in  $\Lambda^2(TM)$ .

Let  $\omega$  be the symplectic form on  $M = S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$  as in the statement. In our case  $d_{C^0}$  will be defined with respect to the metric  $g_{\omega, J}$  as in Definition 3.1 for  $J$  the standard product complex structure.

We now prove the second case of the theorem. Let  $\epsilon = (\pi R^2 - \pi r^2)/\pi r^2$ . Suppose by contradiction that there is a  $d_{C^0}$ -continuous family  $\{\omega_t\}$  of symplectic forms s.t.

- $d_{C^0}(\omega, \omega_1) < \epsilon$ .
- There exists a symplectic embedding

$$\phi : B_R \hookrightarrow (M, \omega_1).$$

- For each  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\omega_t$  is non-degenerate on the fibers  $M_x$  of the natural projection

$$p : (M = S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2,$$

for  $x \in K$  for some compact  $K' \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ .

Set  $B := \phi(B_R)$  and let  $D^\circ \supset (p(B) \cup K')$  be an open standard disk in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and let  $D$  denote its closure. So  $K = S^2 \times D$  is a compact subset of  $M$ , with the property:

- (1)  $\partial K$  is smoothly foliated by the fibers  $M_x$ .
- (2) For each  $t$ ,  $\omega_t$  is non-degenerate on the fibers  $M_x$  contained in  $\partial K$ .

We denote by  $T^{\text{vert}}\partial K \subset TM$ , the sub-bundle of vectors tangent to the leaves of the above-mentioned foliation.

We may extend  $\phi_*j$  to an  $\omega_1$ -compatible almost complex structure  $J_1$  on  $M$ , preserving  $T^{\text{vert}}\partial K$  using:

- $\text{image } \phi$  does not intersect  $\partial K$ .
- The non-degeneracy of  $\omega_1$  on the fibers.
- The well known existence/flexibility results for compatible almost complex structures on symplectic vector bundles, see for instance [4, Section 2.6].

We may then extend  $J_1$  to an appropriately <sup>1</sup> smooth family  $\{J_t\}$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , of almost complex structures on  $M$ , s.t.  $J_t$  is  $\omega_t$ -compatible for each  $t$ , with  $J_0 = J$  as above, and such that  $J_t$  preserves  $T^{\text{vert}}\partial K$  for each  $t$ . The latter condition can be satisfied by similar reasoning as above, using that  $\omega_t$  is non-degenerate on the fibers  $M_x$ , contained in  $\partial K$ , for each  $t$ .

So the fibers above are  $J_t$ -holomorphic hypersurfaces for each  $t$ , and smoothly foliate  $\partial K$ . Moreover, if  $A = [S^2] \otimes [pt]$  is as in the statement, then the intersection number of  $A$  with a fiber is 0. That is  $A \cdot p^{-1}(z) = 0$ , for  $\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . And so  $K$  is a compact  $J_t$ -holomorphic trap for class  $A$  curves, for each  $t$ .

Set  $x_0 := \phi(0)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{M}_t$  the space of equivalence classes of maps  $u : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow M$ , where  $u$  is a  $J_t$ -holomorphic, class  $A$  curve passing through  $x_0$ . The equivalence relation is by the usual biholomorphism reparametrization group action, so that  $u \sim u'$  if there exists a biholomorphism  $\phi : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$  s.t.  $u' = u \circ \phi$ . Then  $\mathcal{M} = \cup_t \mathcal{M}_t$  is compact by energy minimality of  $A$  (which rules out bubbling), by Lemma 2.2, and by compactness of  $K$ .

We need to regularize. We may use the “standard” Banach approach. This has the advantage of being readily understood by experts but a possible disadvantage of appearing opaque and ad hoc to new-comers to the field. For this reason we will also give an independent argument using polyfold theory.

**3.1. Banach approach.** This is based on [5] and the picture is as follows. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the universal Banach moduli space of class  $A$  curves:

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{M}^*(A, \mathcal{J}^l) := \{(u, J) \mid J \in \mathcal{J}^l, u : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow M \text{ is a simple class } A \text{ } J\text{-holomorphic curve}\},$$

where  $\mathcal{J}^l$  is the space of class  $C^l$  almost complex structures, taking  $l$  to be sufficiently large. Then we have an evaluation map  $ev : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow M$ ,  $u \mapsto u(0)$ . And there is a natural projection  $\pi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^l$ . The product map

$$\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{ev \times \pi} M \times \mathcal{J}^l$$

is a Fredholm map. There is one immediate problem: given  $(x_0, J) \in M \times \mathcal{J}^l$  a priori we may not be able to perturb it to a regular value of the form  $(x_0, J')$  (that is we may need to perturb  $x_0$ ). This would break the last step of the proof of the theorem, which needs specifically a holomorphic curve through  $x_0$ . Fortunately, it turns out that the map  $ev$  is always a submersion, see [Proposition 3.4.2] [5]. Thus, there is no need to perturb  $x_0$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\{J_t\}$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , be the family as constructed above. Then there is a path  $p' : t \mapsto (x_0, J'_t)$  in  $M \times \mathcal{J}^l$ , such that:*

- (1)  $ev \times \pi$  is transverse to  $p'$  in the standard differential topology sense, (this is equivalent to  $\{J'_t\}$  being a regular homotopy, as defined in [Definition 3.1.7][5]).
- (2)  $J'_t$  is  $\omega_t$ -compatible for each  $t$ .
- (3)  $J'_t$  preserves  $T^{\text{vert}}\partial K$  for each  $t$ .
- (4)  $J'_0 = J$ .

*Proof.* Only satisfaction of the condition (3) requires an explanation. To see that this can be satisfied, note that by construction, there are open domains  $U_1, U_2 \subset M$ , homeomorphic to an open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , with  $\bar{U}_1 \subset U_2$  with  $B \subset U_1$  and with  $U_2 \subset K$ . The subset  $U_1$  is repelling: any closed  $J$ -holomorphic curve which intersects  $U_1$  must intersect  $U_2 - \bar{U}_1$ . Thus, the family  $\{J_t\}$  can be regularized by perturbing only within the region  $U_2 - \bar{U}_1$  cf. [5, proof of Lemma 3.4.4]. In this case, (3) will be automatically satisfied.  $\square$

For  $p'$  as in the lemma,  $\mathcal{M}' = ev^{-1}(p')/\sim$  is a compact one dimensional manifold, where  $\sim$  is the equivalence relation, corresponding to the reparametrization action of the group of biholomorphisms of  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  fixing 0. The boundary component  $ev^{-1}(x_0, J)/\sim$  is a point corresponding to the single  $J$ -holomorphic, class  $A$  curve passing through  $x_0$ . It follows, that the boundary component  $ev^{-1}(x_0, J')/\sim$  is likewise non-empty, then let  $u_0 \in ev^{-1}(x_0, J')/\sim$ .

<sup>1</sup>Because  $M$  is not compact, we need to treat the space of almost complex structures as a nuclear LF manifold, [6] rather than a Frechet manifold. However, this is only cosmetic since we are only interested in the behavior over a fixed compact set. Thus, we could also use a modified Frechet topology induced by choosing a fixed compact.

**3.2. Polyfold approach.** Alternatively, we may use Hofer-Wysocki-Zehnder polyfold regularization in Gromov-Witten theory, especially as recently worked out in this present context by the team of Franziska Beckschulte, Ipsita Datta, Irene Seifert, Anna-Maria Vocke, and Katrin Wehrheim. We can also of course use other virtual approaches, but this is not instantaneous, for example if we were to invoke Pardon [7] then we would have needed to construct implicit atlases in the constrained case (this can be done of course).

As explained in [1, Section 3.5], in a essentially identical situation, we may embed  $\mathcal{M}$  into a natural polyfold setup of Hofer-Wysocki-Zehnder [3]. More to the point, we express  $\mathcal{M}$  as the zero set of an sc-Fredholm section of a suitable (tame, strong)  $M$ -polyfold bundle. The only difference with the setup of [1, Section 3.5] is that they compactify  $M$  to  $S^2 \times T^2$ , to get a compact moduli space. We of course cannot compactify, but remember that we used the holomorphic trap idea to force compactness of  $\mathcal{M}$ . And so we are in an equivalent situation.

Again as in [1], we take the  $M$ -polyfold regularization of  $\mathcal{M}$ . This gives a one dimensional compact cobordism  $\mathcal{M}^{reg}$  between  $\mathcal{M}_0^{reg}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_1^{reg}$ .

Now  $\mathcal{M}_0^{reg}$  is a point: corresponding to the unique  $(J = J_0)$ -holomorphic class  $A$ , curve  $u : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow M$  passing through  $x_0$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{M}_1^{reg}$  is non-empty, that is there is a  $J_1$ -holomorphic class  $A$  curve  $u_0 : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow M$  passing through  $x_0$ .

**3.3. Finishing the proof.** Now we have:

$$|\langle \omega_1, A \rangle - \pi \cdot r^2| = |\langle \omega_1, A \rangle - \langle \omega, A \rangle| < \epsilon \pi \cdot r^2 = \pi R^2 - \pi r^2,$$

as  $\langle \omega, A \rangle = \pi r^2$ , and as  $d_{C^0}(\omega, \omega_1) < \epsilon$ , (also using that we can find a representative for  $A$  whose  $g$ -area is  $\pi r^2$ ). So we get

$$|\int_{\mathbb{CP}^1} u_0^* \omega_1 - \pi r^2| < \pi R^2 - \pi r^2.$$

And consequently,

$$\int_{\mathbb{CP}^1} u_0^* \omega_1 < \pi R^2.$$

We may then proceed exactly as in the now classical proof of Gromov [2] of the non-squeezing theorem to get a contradiction and finish the proof. A bit more specifically,  $\phi^{-1}(\text{image } \phi \cap \text{image } u_0)$  is a minimal surface in  $B_R$ , with boundary on the boundary of  $B_R$ , and passing through  $0 \in B_R$ . By construction it has area strictly less than  $\pi R^2$ , which is impossible by the classical monotonicity theorem of differential geometry. See also [1] where the monotonicity theorem is suitably generalized, to better fit the present context.

This finishes the proof of the second case. To prove the first case, note that if  $v$  is a  $g$ -unit vector then  $\omega(v, Jv) = 1$ . If  $\epsilon = (\pi R^2 - \pi r^2)/\pi r^2$  and  $\pi R^2 < 2\pi r^2$  then  $\epsilon < 1$ . And so if  $\omega'$  is  $\epsilon$  close to  $\omega$  then  $\omega'(v, Jv) > 0$ . It follows that:

- $\omega_t = (1-t)\omega + t\omega'$  is non-degenerate, for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ .
- For each  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\omega_t$  is non-degenerate on all the fibers  $M_x$  of the natural projection

$$p : (M = S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2.$$

So the family  $\{\omega_t\}$  satisfies the hypothesis of the second case, and the conclusion follows.

Case three readily follows by the argument of case 2, we omit further details.  $\square$

#### 4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to Helmut Hofer, Bulent Tosun, and Semon Rezhikov for an interesting discussion as well as Felix Schlenk and Misha Gromov and Dusa McDuff for some feedback.

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. BECKSCHULTE, I. DATTA, I. SEIFERT, A.-M. VOCKE, AND K. WEHRHEIM, *A polyfold proof of Gromov's non-squeezing theorem*, in Research directions in symplectic and contact geometry and topology. Selected papers based on the presentations at the 2019 women in symplectic and contact geometry and topology workshop, WiSCon, Providence, RI, USA, July 22–26, 2019, Cham: Springer, 2021, pp. 1–45.
  - [2] M. GROMOV, *Pseudo holomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds.*, Invent. Math., 82 (1985), pp. 307–347.
  - [3] H. HOFER, K. WYSOCKI, AND E. ZEHNDER, *Applications of polyfold theory. I: The polyfolds of Gromov-Witten theory*, vol. 1179 of Mem. Am. Math. Soc., Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2017.
  - [4] D. MCDUFF AND D. SALAMON, *Introduction to symplectic topology*, Oxford Math. Monographs, The Clarendon Oxford University Press, New York, second ed., 1998.
  - [5] ———, *J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology*, no. 52 in American Math. Society Colloquium Publ., Amer. Math. Soc., 2004.
  - [6] P. W. MICHOR, *Manifolds of differentiable mappings*, vol. 3 of Shiva Math. Ser., Shiva Publishing Limited, Nantwich, Cheshire, 1980.
  - [7] J. PARDON, *An algebraic approach to virtual fundamental cycles on moduli spaces of pseudo-holomorphic curves.*, Geom. Topol., 20 (2016), pp. 779–1034.
- Email address:* yasha.savelyev@gmail.com

UNIVERSITY OF COLIMA, CUICBAS