

1 4a

Our objective is to compute the following integral

$$\varepsilon = \int \frac{\Theta(\vec{k}) - \Theta(\vec{k} + \vec{q})}{E(\vec{k} + \vec{q}) - E(\vec{k})} d\vec{k} \quad (1)$$

for Θ the zero temperature Fermi-Dirac distribution and $E(\vec{v}) = \frac{\hbar^2 v^2}{2m}$.

First, we separate the integral into two parts. Define $\vec{k}' = \vec{k} + \vec{q}$, then

$$\varepsilon = \int \frac{\Theta(\vec{k})}{E(\vec{k} + \vec{q}) - E(\vec{k})} d\vec{k} - \int \frac{\Theta(\vec{k}')}{E(\vec{k}') - E(\vec{k}' - \vec{q})} d\vec{k}' \quad (2)$$

where both \vec{k}, \vec{k}' are integrated in k_F -spheres about their respective origins. Thus, we can drop the prime and write

$$\varepsilon = \int \frac{\Theta(\vec{k})}{E(\vec{k} + \vec{q}) - E(\vec{k})} d\vec{k} - \int \frac{\Theta(\vec{k})}{E(\vec{k}) - E(\vec{k} - \vec{q})} d\vec{k} \quad (3)$$

Let's focus on the first integral, since the results for the second integral follow. Recall

that $\left|\vec{a} + \vec{b}\right|^2 = a^2 + b^2 + 2\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}$. This allows us to write

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} I_1 = \int \frac{1}{2\vec{q} \cdot \vec{k} + q^2} d\vec{k} \quad (4)$$

$$= 2\pi \int_0^{k_F} \int_0^\pi \frac{1}{2qk \cos \theta + q^2} k^2 \sin \theta d\theta dk \quad (5)$$

$$= 2\pi \int_0^{k_F} \int_0^\pi \frac{(k/2q) \sin \theta}{\cos \theta + q/2k} d\theta dk \quad (6)$$

$$= 2\pi \int_0^{k_F} \frac{k}{2q} \left[-\log \left| \cos \theta + \frac{q}{2k} \right| \right]_0^\pi d\theta dk \quad (7)$$

$$= 2\pi \int_0^{k_F} \frac{k}{2q} \log \left| \frac{1 + \frac{q}{2k}}{-1 + \frac{q}{2k}} \right| d\theta dk \quad (8)$$

$$= 2\pi \int_0^{k_F} \frac{k}{2q} \log \left| \frac{q/2 + k}{q/2 - k} \right| d\theta dk \quad (9)$$

Now, we can look up the following integral (derived later):

$$\int k \log \frac{C+k}{C-k} dk = \frac{1}{2} \left((k^2 - C^2) \log \frac{C+k}{C-k} \right) + kC \quad (10)$$

For us, $C = q/2$, and so we instantly obtain

$$I_1 = \frac{\pi m}{\hbar^2 q} \left(\frac{\left(k_F^2 - \frac{q^2}{4}\right)}{2} \log \left| \frac{q/2 + k_F}{q/2 - k_F} \right| + \frac{k_F q}{2} \right) \quad (11)$$

where we leverage the fact that $k = 0$ forces both the kC and the logarithm to vanish in (10).

For I_2 , we note that in (4), the q^2 term changes sign. Propagating this all the way through, we see that in (9), the $q/2$ changes sign. Pulling out a negative sign from the top

and bottom, we find that I_2 has the reciprocal of the log found in I_1 (i.e. if we were to pursue the same algebra as above, in (9) we would obtain $\frac{q/2 - k}{q/2 + k}$ as the argument of the logarithm). Thus, $I_2 = -I_1^1$, and so we find that

$$\varepsilon = \frac{2\pi m}{\hbar^2 q} \left(\frac{\left(k_F^2 - \frac{q^2}{4}\right)}{2} \log \left| \frac{q/2 + k_F}{q/2 - k_F} \right| + \frac{k_F q}{2} \right) \quad (12)$$

$$= \frac{2\pi m k_F}{\hbar^2} \left(\frac{\left(k_F^2 - \frac{q^2}{4}\right)}{2k_F q} \log \left| \frac{q/2 + k_F}{q/2 - k_F} \right| + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (13)$$

$$= \frac{2\pi m k_F}{\hbar^2} \left(\frac{(4k_F^2 - q^2)}{8k_F q} \log \left| \frac{q + 2k_F}{q - 2k_F} \right| + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (14)$$

which agrees with the desired answer up to a sign in the absolute value.

Lemma: We argue for the integral formula used above. We simply compute for one sign

$$\begin{aligned} \int k \log(C + k) \, dk &= k [(C + k) \log(C + k) - k] \\ &\quad - \int (C + k) \log(C + k) - k \, dk \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= k(C + k) \log(C + k) - k^2 - C [(C + k) \log(C + k) - k] \\ &\quad + \frac{k^2}{2} - \int k \log(C + k) \, dk \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$2 \int k \log(C + k) \, dk = (k - C)(C + k) \log(C + k) - \frac{k^2}{2} - Ck \quad (17)$$

$$\int k \log(C + k) \, dk = \frac{1}{2} \left[(k^2 - C^2) \log(C + k) - \frac{k^2}{2} - Ck \right] \quad (18)$$

The second sign is obtained by flipping the sign of k , and the desired integral is the

¹This should have been obvious in hindsight. Since we are integrating a spherical region of \vec{k} about the origin, for every \vec{k} we also include the contribution of $-\vec{k}$, and so the integrand of I_2 is equivalently $\left(E(\vec{k}) - E(\vec{k} + \vec{q})\right)^{-1}$ which even more obviously yields $-I_1$.

difference between the two. The log terms in (18) then combine, the $\frac{k^2}{2}$ term cancels since it's the same in both signs, and Ck doubles since it incurs a sign flip. This reproduces (10).