Animal Token Smart Contract Preliminary Audit Report

Project Synopsis

Project Name	Animal Token	
Platform	Ethereum, Solidity	
Github Repo	Not Provided	
Deployed Contract	Not provided	
Total Duration	4 Days	
Timeline of Audit	13th August to 16th August 2021	

Contract Details

Total Contract(s)	1
Name of Contract(s)	Animal Token
Language	Solidity
Commit Hash	Null

Contract Vulnerabilities Synopsis

Issues	Open Issues	Closed Issues
Critical Severity	0	0
Medium Severity	2	0
Low Severity	4	0
Information	2	0
Total Found	8	0

Detailed Results

The contract has gone through several stages of the audit procedure that includes structural analysis, automated testing, manual code review etc.

All the issues have been explained and discussed in detail below. Along with the explanation of the issue found during the audit, the recommended way to overcome the issue or improve the code quality has also been mentioned.

A. Contract Name: STRAY Token

Medium Severity Issues

A.1 Multiplication is being performed on the result of Division Line no - 208-210, 212-214, 220-222

Explanation:

During the manual code review and automated testing of the **Stray** contract, it was found that some of the functions in the contract are performing multiplication on the result of a Division.

Integer Divisions in Solidity might be truncated. Moreover, this performing division before multiplication might lead to loss of precision.

The following functions involve division before multiplication in the mentioned lines:

• _transfer at 208-210, 212-214, 220-222

Automated Test Results:

```
STRAY._transfer(address,address,uint256) (FlatStray.sol#805-826) performs a multiplication on the result of a division:
    _transferFromExcluded(sender,recipient,amount.div(1000).mul(995)) (FlatStray.sol#810)

STRAY._transfer(address,address,uint256) (FlatStray.sol#805-826) performs a multiplication on the result of a division:
    _transferFromExcluded(sender,recipient,amount.div(1000).mul(995)) (FlatStray.sol#814)

STRAY._transfer(address,address,uint256) (FlatStray.sol#805-826) performs a multiplication on the result of a division:
    _transferStandard(sender, charity,amount.div(1000).mul(3)) (FlatStray.sol#815)

STRAY._transfer(address,address,uint256) (FlatStray.sol#805-826) performs a multiplication on the result of a division:
    _transferBothExcluded(sender,recipient,amount.div(1000).mul(995)) (FlatStray.sol#818)

STRAY._transfer(address,address,uint256) (FlatStray.sol#805-826) performs a multiplication on the result of a division:
    _transferFomExcluded(sender,_charity,amount.div(1000).mul(3)) (FlatStray.sol#811)

STRAY._transfer(address,address,uint256) (FlatStray.sol#805-826) performs a multiplication on the result of a division:
    _transferStandard(sender,_team,amount.div(1000).mul(2)) (FlatStray.sol#822)

STRAY._transfer(address,address,uint256) (FlatStray.sol#805-826) performs a multiplication on the result of a division:
    _transferStandard(sender,recipient,amount.div(1000).mul(995)) (FlatStray.sol#822)

STRAY._transfer(address,address,uint256) (FlatStray.sol#805-826) performs a multiplication on the result of a division:
    _transferFromExcluded(sender,_charity,amount.div(1000).mul(3)) (FlatStray.sol#812)

STRAY._transfer(address,address,uint256) (FlatStray.sol#805-826) performs a multiplication on the result of a division:
    _transferFromExcluded(sender,_team,amount.div(1000).mul(3)) (FlatStray.sol#812)

STRAY._transfer(address,address,uint256) (FlatStray.sol#805-826) performs a multiplication on the result of a division:
    _transferStandard(sender,_charity,amount.div(1000).mul(3)
```

Recommendation:

Solidity doesn't encourage arithmetic operations that involve division before multiplication.

Therefore the above-mentioned function should be checked once and redesigned if they do not lead to expected results.

A.2 Costly Loops found in the Protocol

Line no - 184, 295

Description:

The **Stray** contract has some **for loops** in the contract that include state variables like .length of a non-memory array, in the condition of the for loops.

As a result, these state variables consume a lot more extra gas for every iteration of the for loop.

The following function includes such loops at the above-mentioned lines:

- includeAccount
- _getCurrentSupply

```
182 ▼
          function includeAccount(address account) external onlyOwner() {
              require( isExcluded[account], "Account is already excluded");
183
              for (uint256 i = 0; i < _excluded.length; i++) {</pre>
                  if ( excluded[i] == account) {
185 ▼
                       excluded[i] = _excluded[ excluded.length - 1];
186
187
                       t0wned[account] = 0;
                       isExcluded[account] = false;
188
189
                       excluded.pop();
190
                      break;
191
```

Recommendation:

It's quite effective to use a local variable instead of a state variable like .length in a loop. This will be a significant step in optimizing gas usage.

For instance.

```
function includeAccount(address account) external onlyOwner() {
    require(_isExcluded[account], "Account is already included");

    uint256 local_variable = _excluded.length; // Storing Length in a local Variable
    for (uint256 i = 0; i < local_variable; i++) {
        if (_excluded[i] == account) {
            _excluded[i] = _excluded.length - 1];
            _tOwned[account] = 0;
            _isExcluded[account] = false;
            _excluded.pop();
            break;
        }
    }
}</pre>
```

Low Severity Issues

A.3 Absence of Zero Address Validation

Line no- 131-145

Description:

During the automated testing, it was found that the contract includes quite a few functions that update an imperative address in the contract like **_rewardDistributor**, **_marketing etc** .

However, no Zero Address Validation is implemented on the following function while updating such state variables of the contract:

- setRewardDistributorAccount
- setMarketingAccount
- setCharityAccount
- setTeamAccount

Recommendation:

A **require** statement should be included in such functions to ensure no zero address is passed in the arguments.

A.4 External Visibility should be preferred

Description:

Those functions that are never called throughout the contract should be marked as **external** visibility instead of **public** visibility.

This will effectively result in Gas Optimization as well.

Therefore, the following function must be marked as **external** within the contract:

- reflectionFromToken()
- totalFees()
- reflect()
- isExcluded()

Recommendation:

If the PUBLIC visibility of the above-mentioned functions is not intended, then the EXTERNAL Visibility keyword should be preferred.

A.5 Constant declaration should be preferred

Line no- 24, 25, 26, 33, 35

Description:

State variables that are not supposed to change throughout the contract should be declared as **constant**.

Recommendation:

The following state variables need to be declared as **constant**, unless the current contract design is intended.

- _burnAddress
- _decimals
- _monthlyDistribution
- _name
- _symbol

A.6 Redundant State Variable Update

Line no: 36 Explanation

The **Stray** Smart contract involves the redundant updating of a State variable in the contract at the above-mentioned line

```
uint256 private _distributedMonths = 0;
37
```

A boolean variable is by-default initialized to FALSE whereas a uint256 is initialized to ZERO.

Hence, such state variables do not need to be initialized explicitly.

Recommendation:

Redundant initialization of state variables should be avoided.

Informational

A.7 Contract includes Hardcoded Addresses

Line no - 33

Description:

Keeping in mind the immutable nature of smart contracts, it is not considered a better practise to hardcode any address in the contract before deployment.

Recommendation:

Instead of including hardcoded addresses in the contract, initialize those addresses within the constructors at the time of deployment.

A.8 NatSpec Annotations must be included

Description:

The smart contracts do not include the NatSpec annotations adequately.

Recommendation:

Cover by NatSpec all Contract methods.

Automated Test Results

```
Compiled with solc
Number of lines: 905 (+ 0 in dependencies, + 0 in tests)
Number of assembly lines: 0
Number of contracts: 6 (+ 0 in dependencies, + 0 tests)
Number of optimization issues: 22
Number of informational issues: 72
Number of low issues: 6
Number of medium issues: 12
Number of high issues: 0
ERCs: ERC20
            | # functions | ERCS |
                                         ERC20 info
                                                          | Complex code |
                                                                              Features
  SafeMath
                   13
                                                                 No
  Address
                   11
                                                                 No
                                                                              Send ETH
                                                                            Delegatecall
                                                                              Assembly
   STRAY
                   51
                             ERC20
                                         No Minting
                                                                 No
INFO:Slither:FlatStray.sol analyzed (6 contracts)
```