

# QUANTITATIVE DIFFERENTIATION

JASON ZHAO

## CONTENTS

1. Lipschitz maps on $\mathbb{R}$	1
1.1. Monotonicity formula	1
1.2. Quantitative rigidity	2
1.3. Pigeonhole principle	3
1.4. Rademacher's theorem	3

## 1. LIPSCHITZ MAPS ON $\mathbb{R}$

Let  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  denote a finite interval, and suppose  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Lipschitz continuous function with constant  $\|f\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq 1$ . Classically, Rademacher's theorem makes the *qualitative* statement that the set of points where  $f$  is non-differentiable has measure zero. We would like establish a *quantitative* analogue, which states that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there cannot be too many scales at which  $f$  fails to be  $\varepsilon$ -linear.

For simplicity, we will only consider discrete scales, dividing up the interval  $I$  into dyadic sub-intervals  $I_{n,j} \subseteq I$  of size  $|I_{n,j}| := 2^{-n}|I|$ . Define the **DEVIATION** of  $f$  from linearity on an interval  $I$  by

$$\text{Deviation}(f, I) := \frac{1}{|I|} \inf_{\ell} \|f - \ell\|_{L^\infty(I)},$$

where  $\ell : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are taken over affine functions. It follows from compactness that the infimum is actually achieved. We say  $f$  is  $\varepsilon$ -**LINEAR** on  $I$  if the deviation is less than  $\varepsilon$ .

**Theorem 1** (Quantitative differentiation). *Let  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be Lipschitz continuous with constant  $\|f\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq 1$ . Then*

$$\sum_{\text{Deviation}(f, I_{n,j}) \geq \varepsilon} |I_{n,j}| \lesssim \frac{|\log_2 \varepsilon|}{\varepsilon^2} |I|.$$

*Remark.* By density it suffices to prove the theorem for  $f$  continuously differentiable. Throughout we will work with quantities which are invariant under the rescaling

$$\mathsf{T}_{x_0, r} f(x) := \frac{1}{r} (f(x_0 + rx) - f(x_0)).$$

Note that  $f$  is differentiable at  $x_0$  if and only if  $\mathsf{T}_{x_0, r} f \rightarrow f'(x_0)x$  in the uniform norm.

**1.1. Monotonicity formula.** For  $g : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuously differentiable, define the **DIRICHLET ENERGY** by

$$E(g, I) := \int_I |g'|^2 dx.$$

Observe that the minimisers subject to the Dirichlet boundary conditions are precisely affine functions. It follows from the fundamental theorem of calculus that for functions agreeing with  $f$  on the boundary, the minimum energy is given by

$$\min_{g|_{\partial I} = f|_{\partial I}} E(g, I) = \int_I |f'_I|^2 dx,$$

where  $f'_I$  denotes the average of  $f'$  on  $I$ ,

$$f'_I = \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f' dx.$$

Let  $\ell_{f,I,n} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  denote the piecewise linear function that agrees with  $f$  at the endpoints of each dyadic interval  $I_{n,j}$ , then the **DEFECT** of  $f$  on  $I$  at scale  $2^{-n}$  is defined, equivalently, by

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_n(f, I) &:= \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \int_{I_{n,j}} (|f'|^2 - |f'_{I_{n,j}}|^2) dx \\ &:= E(f, I) - E(\ell_{f,I,n}, I)\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2** (Monotonicity formula). *Let  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuously differentiable. Then the defect is monotone non-increasing and in particular  $\theta_n \downarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $E(\ell_{f,I,n}, I) \uparrow E(f, I)$ . To show monotonicity, observe that for  $n \leq m$ , the piecewise linear functions  $\ell_{f,I,n}$  and  $\ell_{f,I,m}$  agree on the endpoints of the intervals  $I_{n,j}$ , thus the energy of the former is lower than the later on each interval. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}E(\ell_{f,I,n}, I) &= \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} E(\ell_{f,I,n}, I_{n,j}) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} E(\ell_{f,I,m}, I_{n,j}) = E(\ell_{f,I,m}, I)\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it is clear that  $\ell_{f,I,n} \rightarrow f$  pointwise as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In fact, Lipschitz continuity furnishes the uniform bound

$$\|\ell_{f,I,n} - f\|_{L^\infty} \leq 2^{-n}|I|.$$

Thus convergence of the energies follows from the reverse triangle inequality and Holder's inequality.  $\square$

**1.2. Quantitative rigidity.** We can view the deviation as an  $L^\infty$ -integrability order zero regularity measure of the deviation from linearity, and the defect  $L^2$ -integrability order one regularity. Clearly the deviation vanishes if and only if the defect at unit scale vanishes. More quantitatively, trading integrability for regularity, we obtain

**Lemma 3** (Defect rigidity). *Let  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuously differentiable with derivative  $|f'| \leq 1$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , if the scale-invariant defect satisfies the bound*

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \theta_0(f, I) \leq \varepsilon^2$$

*then  $f$  is  $\varepsilon$ -linear on  $I$ ,*

$$\text{Deviation}(f, I) \leq \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\ell_{f,I,0} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  denote the affine function agreeing with  $f$  at the endpoints, which from the fundamental theorem of calculus we know has slope  $f'_I$ . Note also that the defect at unit scale can be rewritten as

$$\theta_0(f, I) = \int_I (|f'|^2 - |f'_I|^2) dx = \int_I |f' - f'_I|^2 dx.$$

It follows then from the fundamental theorem of calculus and Cauchy-Schwartz that

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Deviation}(f, I) &\leq \frac{1}{|I|} \|f - \ell_{f,I,0}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f' - f'_I| dx \leq \left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f' - f'_I|^2 dx \right)^{1/2},\end{aligned}$$

completing the proof.  $\square$

Viewing  $\ell_{f,I,N}$  as a linear approximation of  $f$  at scales  $2^{-N}$  and from the monotonicity formula, we expect the approximation to improve relative to unit scale as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . We refer to this improvement as the **RELATIVE DEFECT** of  $f$  on  $I$ , defined by

$$\text{Defect}_N(f, I) := \theta_0(f, I) - \theta_N(f, I).$$

However, if the improvement is small between unit scale and scale  $2^{-N}$ , then this suggests defect concentration below scales  $2^{-N}$  and approximate linearity above scales  $2^{-N}$ .

**Lemma 4** (Relative defect rigidity). *Let  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuously differentiable with derivative  $|f'| \leq 1$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , if the scale-invariant relative defect satisfies the bound*

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \text{Defect}_N(f, I) \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}$$

*at scale  $2^{-N} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ , then  $f$  is  $\varepsilon$ -linear on  $I$ ,*

$$\text{Deviation}(f, I) \leq \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* Since  $f$  and  $\ell_{f,I,N}$  agree at the endpoints of  $I$ , they share a piecewise linear approximation at unit scale. Thus the relative defect of  $f$  at scale  $2^{-N}$  is precisely the defect of  $\ell_{f,I,N}$  at unit scale,

$$\text{Defect}_N(f, I) = \theta_0(f, I) - \theta_N(f, I) = E(\ell_{f,I,N}, I) - E(\ell_{f,I,0}) = \theta_N(\ell_{f,I,N}, I).$$

It follows from rigidity of the defect then that

$$\text{Deviation}(\ell_{f,I,N}, I) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let  $\ell$  witness the deviation from linearity of  $\ell_{f,I,N}$  on  $I$ , then

$$\text{Deviation}(f, I) \leq \frac{1}{|I|} \|f - \ell\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{|I|} \|f - \ell_{f,I,N}\|_{L^\infty} + \text{Deviation}(\ell_{f,I,N}, I) \leq \varepsilon,$$

where the second inequality follows from the triangle inequality and choice of  $\ell$ , and the third inequality from Lipschitz continuity at scale  $2^{-N} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  and rigidity.  $\square$

**1.3. Pigeonhole principle.** We can control the measure of the scales on which the scale-invariant relative defect is large by a pigeonhole principle argument, namely Markov's inequality. Furthermore by quantitative rigidity this also controls the scales on which the deviation is large: for  $N \sim |\log_2 \varepsilon|$ , we have

$$\sum_{\text{Deviation}(f, I_{n,j}) > \varepsilon} |I_{n,j}| \leq \sum_{\frac{1}{|I_{n,j}|} \text{Defect}_N(f, I_{n,j}) > \frac{\varepsilon^2}{16}} |I_{n,j}| \leq \frac{4}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{I_{n,j}} \text{Defect}_N(f, I_{n,j}).$$

To conclude the quantitative differentiation theorem, it remains to control the sum of the relative defect at fixed scale  $2^{-N}$  over all dyadic intervals  $I_{n,j}$ . Rewriting the sum, we see that it forms the following telescoping series,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{I_{n,j}} \text{Defect}_N(f, I_{n,j}) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} \theta_0(f, I_{n,j}) - \theta_N(f, I_{n,j}) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta_n(f, I) - \theta_{n+N}(f, I) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \theta_n(f, I). \end{aligned}$$

From the normalisation  $|f'| \leq 1$ , we conclude the bound

$$\sum_{I_{n,j}} \text{Defect}_N(f, I_{n,j}) \leq N \cdot E(f, I) \lesssim |\log_2 \varepsilon| \cdot |I|.$$

**1.4. Rademacher's theorem.** Given the quantitative differentiation, Rademacher's theorem follows from a Vitali covering argument. We first check that differentiability is equivalent to convergence of the blow-ups to a linear function. Define  $T_{x_0,r}f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the blow-up of  $f$  centered at  $x_0 \in I$  at scale  $r \ll 1$  by

$$T_{x_0,r}f(x) := \frac{f(x_0 + rx) - f(x_0)}{r}.$$

**Proposition 5.** *A function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable at  $x_0 \in I$  if and only if*

$$\|T_{x_0,r}f - ax\|_{L^\infty([-1,1])} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0} 0$$

*for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show the following statements are equivalent,

$$\left| \frac{f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0) - f'(x_0)h}{h} \right| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } |h| \leq \delta \quad (1)$$

$$\sup_{x \in [-1,1]} \left| \frac{f(x_0 + rx) - f(x_0)}{r} - f'(x_0)x \right| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } r < \delta. \quad (2)$$

Indeed, (2) implies (1) by choosing  $x = \pm 1$  and  $|h| = r$ , while the converse implication follows from the change of variables  $h = rx$  and  $|x| \leq 1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6** (Rademacher's theorem). *Let  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be Lipschitz continuous, then  $f$  is differentiable a.e.*

**Theorem 7** (Vitali covering theorem). *Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be a measurable set with finite Lebesgue measure, and let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a Vitali covering for  $E$ . Then there exists an at most countable disjoint subcollection  $\{U_j\}_j \subseteq \mathcal{V}$  such that*

$$\left| E \setminus \bigcup_j U_j \right| = 0.$$

**Corollary 8.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{V}$  has finite measure and forms a Vitali cover of  $E$ , then  $|E| = 0$ .*