

Page 11

3.1 We must show that the set $\{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B\}$ exists. Let $P(x, A, B)$ be the property " $x \in A$ and $x \notin B$ ", then $P(x, A, B)$ implies $x \in A$, because A exists, we get $\{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B\} = \{x \in A : x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B\} = \{x \in A : x \notin B\}$, this set exists by the axiom of comprehension, and is unique according to the Lemma 3.4.

3.2 By the Weak Axiom of Existence some set exists, call let A . Take the property $P(x)$ to be $x \neq x$, then the set $\{x \in A : x \neq x\}$ exists by comprehension. But this is \emptyset , since every element of any set is self-identical.

3.3 (a) Assume that V the set of all sets exists, then by comprehension the set $X = \{x \in V : x \notin x\}$ exists. Now, $x \in X$ iff $x \in V$ and $x \notin x$. Clearly, X is a set, thus $X \in V$, if $X \in X$, then it satisfies the property of being a member of X , thus $X \notin X$. if $X \notin X$, and since $X \in V$ we get by definition $X \in X$, a contradiction.

(b) Assume to the contrary that there is one A such that every x , $x \in A$, then every set is in A , which means that $A = V$, which is impossible by the previous argument.

3.4 By the axiom of pair the set $\{A, B\}$ exist and the union axiom implies the existence of $\bigcup\{A, B\}$. Now take the property $\mathbf{P}(x, A, B) = "(x \in A \wedge x \notin B) \vee (x \notin B \wedge x \in A)"$, now by the comprehension axiom the set $C = \{x \in \bigcup\{A, B\} : \mathbf{P}(x, A, B)\}$ exist. Now it is easy to check $x \in C$ iff either $x \in A$ and $x \notin B$ or $x \in B$ and $x \notin B$.

3.5 (a) By the axiom of pair there are the sets $\{A, B\}$ and $\{C\}$. Again by pairing the set $\{\{A, B\}, \{C\}\}$ exists. Now the axiom union implies the existence of $P = \bigcup\{\{A, B\}, \{C\}\}$. But now, $x \in P$ iff $x \in \{A, B\}$ or $x \in \{C\}$ iff $x = A$ or $x = B$, or $x = C$.

(b) Just repeat the above argument and take $\{C, D\}$ instead of $\{C\}$.

3.6 Assume that $\mathcal{P}(X) \subseteq X$ and let $Y = \{x \in X : x \notin x\}$. Clearly $Y \subseteq X$, thus by our assumption $Y \in X$, if $Y \notin Y$ then $Y \in Y$. if $Y \in Y$ then $Y \notin Y$, which is a contradiction.

3.7 (Weak Axiom Of Pair) Take the property $\mathbf{P}(x, A, B) = "x = A \vee x = B"$, then by comprehension the set $X = \{x \in C : \mathbf{P}(x, A, B)\}$ exists. Now it is easy to see $x \in X$ iff $x = A$ or $x = B$.

(Weak Axiom Of Union) Take $\mathbf{P}(x, S) = "\exists A(A \in S \wedge x \in A)"$, then by comprehension we get a set Y such that $x \in Y$ iff for some $A \in S$, $x \in A$, thus $Y = \bigcup S$.

(Weak Axiom Of Power Set) Consider the property " $x \subseteq S$ ", then by applying the comprehension to the set P we get the set Z such that $x \in Z$ iff $x \subseteq S$, thus $Z = \mathcal{P}(S)$.