

## 5 Page 37

**5.1** (a) For any  $a, b \in A$ ,  $aSb$  iff  $aRb \wedge a \neq b$ , but  $aR^*b$  iff  $aSb \vee a = b$  iff  $(aRb \wedge a \neq b) \vee a = b$  iff  $aRb \vee a = b$  iff  $aRb$  (since  $R$  is reflexive  $a = b$  implies  $aRb$ ).

(b)  $aRb$  iff  $aSb \vee a = b$ , but  $aS^*b$  iff  $aRb \wedge a \neq b$  iff  $(aSb \vee a = b) \wedge a \neq b$  iff  $(aSb \wedge a \neq b)$  iff  $aSb$  (since  $S$  is irreflexive).

**5.2**  $a$  and  $b$  are incomparable if  $a \neq b$ , neither  $a < b$  nor  $b < a$ .

$a$  is maximal in  $A$  :  $\neg(\exists x \in A)(a < x)$   
 $a$  is the greatest element of  $A$  :  $(\forall x \in A)(x < a \vee x = a)$ .  
 $a$  is an upper bound of  $A$ :  $(\forall x \in A)(x < a \vee x = a)$   
 $a$  is supremum of  $A$  in  $X$ :  $(\forall x \in A)(x < a \vee x = a) \wedge (\forall a' \in X)(\forall x \in A)[(x < a' \vee x = a') \rightarrow a < a' \vee a = a']$ .

**5.3** (a) for any  $a \in A$  we have  $aRa$ , also  $aR^{-1}a$ , thus  $R^{-1}$  is reflexive. Suppose that  $aR^{-1}b$  and  $bR^{-1}a$ , then we have  $bRa, aRb$ , by antisymmetry of  $R$  we get  $a = b$ , therefore  $R^{-1}$  is antisymmetric.

Now suppose  $aR^{-1}b$  and  $bR^{-1}c$ , then we get  $bRa$  and  $cRb$ , but transitivity of  $R$  implies  $cRa$ , thus  $aR^{-1}b$ , thus  $R$  is transitive.

(a)  $(\forall x \in B)(aR^{-1}x)$  iff  $(\forall x \in B)(xRa)$ .

**5.4** Let  $R' = R \cap B^2$ , then for every  $a \in B$  we have  $(a, a) \in B^2$ , since  $B \subseteq A$  and  $R$  is an order on  $A$ , by reflexivity  $(a, a) \in R$ , thus  $aR'a$ , hence  $R'$  is reflexive.

Let  $aR'b, bR'a$  then  $a, b, c \in B$  and  $aRb, bRa$ , because  $R$  is antisymmetric we get  $a = b$ ,

**5.5** Let  $A = \mathcal{P}(\{a, b, c\}) - \{\{a, b, c\}, \emptyset\}$  and  $R = \subseteq$ .

- (a)  $B = \{\{a\}, \{b\}\}$ .
- (b)  $B = \{\{a\}, \{b\}\}$ .
- (c)  $B = \{\{a\}, \{b\}\}$ .
- (d)  $B = \{\{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$ .

**5.6** (a) For every  $x \in B$  either  $x = b$  or  $x \in A$ , if  $x = b$  then  $x \notin A$  and both disjunct in the definition of  $\prec$  would be false, if  $x \in A$  then  $x \not\prec x$  because  $<$  is irreflexive, so the first disjunct could not be true, the other disjunct require that  $x = b$  but it is impossible because  $x \in A$ , hence both of them is false, thus  $x \not\prec x$ .

Now let  $x \prec y$ , if  $x \in A$  and  $y = b$  then clearly  $x \neq b$  because  $b \notin A$ , so we can not have  $y \in A$  and  $x = b$  and also we can not have  $y = b, x \in A$  (the first item of first disjunct), thus  $y \not\prec x$ . Assume that  $x \prec y, y \prec z$ , if  $x, y, z$  all are in  $A$  then  $x \prec z$  easily follows from transitivity of  $<$ . but if  $x \in A$  and  $y = b$  then  $y \prec z$  is impossible, because in both disjunct it requires that  $y \in A$ , but  $y = b$ . So the only case we need to check is that when  $x, y \in A$  and  $x < y$  and  $y \in A$  and  $z = b$ , but from this it easy follows

that  $x \in A$  and  $z = b$ , thus  $x \prec z$ . Notice that  $\prec = \prec \cup (A \times \{b\})$  and  $\prec \subset A^2$ , but  $(A \times \{b\}) \cap A^2 = \emptyset$ , thus  $\prec \cap A^2 = (\prec \cap A^2) \cup (A \times \{b\}) \cap A^2 = \prec \cup \emptyset = \prec$ .

**5.7** Because  $R$  is reflexive for every  $a \in A$ ,  $aRa$  and also  $aRa$  which implies that  $aEa$ . Now let  $aEb$  then  $aRb$  and  $bRa$ , also  $bRa$  and  $aRb$ , thus  $bEa$ . Let  $aEb, bEc$  then  $aRb, bRa$  and  $bRc, cRb$ , by transitivity of  $R$  we get  $aRc$  and  $cRa$  thus  $aEc$ , hence  $E$  is transitive.

Assume  $aRb$ , then  $[a]_E R/E [b]_E$ , now let  $b' \in [b]_E$ , then  $bEb'$ , hence  $bRb'$ , by transitivity of  $R$  we get  $aRb'$ , hence  $[a]_E R/E [b']_E$ . we can repeat this argument for  $a$ .

Because  $R$  is reflexive for every  $a$ , we have  $aRa$ , also  $[a]_E R/E [a]_E$ . Assume that  $[a]_E R/E [b]_E$  and  $[b]_E R/E [a]_E$ , then we get  $aRb$  and  $bRa$ , hence  $aEb$  which means that  $[a]_E = [b]_E$ .

To prove that  $R/E$  is transitive, assume  $[a]_E R/E [b]_E$  and  $[b]_E R/E [c]_E$ , then we get  $aRb$  and  $bRc$ , by transitivity of  $R$  it follows that  $aRc$ , hence  $[a]_E R/E [c]_E$ .

**5.8** (a) Let  $S \subseteq A$ , then every  $x \in S$ ,  $x \subseteq \bigcup S$ , thus  $\bigcup S$  is an upper bound of  $S$ , to prove that it is the least upper bound assume we prove that it is subset of every upper bound  $a$ , i.e  $\bigcup S \subseteq a$ , let  $x \in \bigcup S$ , then for some  $C \in S$ ,  $x \in C$ , but  $a$  is an upper bound for  $S$ , thus  $C \subseteq a$ , hence  $x \in a$ , thus  $\bigcup S \subseteq a$ .

(b) The set of all lower bounds of  $\emptyset$  is the set of all  $a \in A$  such that for every  $x \in \emptyset$ ,  $a \subseteq x$ , so all member of  $A$  satisfy this condition because  $x \notin \emptyset$ , the greatest element of  $A$  is  $X$ , since  $Y \subseteq X$  for any  $Y \in A = \mathcal{P}(X)$ .

**5.9** (a)  $\subseteq$  is reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive on any set, thus it is an ordering.

(b) Let  $F \subseteq Fn(X, Y)$ , assume that  $\sup F$  exist, and  $F$  is not compatible, then there are some  $g, f \in F$  such that for some  $x \in \text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } g$ ,  $f(x) \neq g(x)$ , hence there are distinct  $a, b \in Y$  such that  $(x, a) \in f$  and  $(x, b) \in g$ , but then since  $f, g \subseteq \sup F$ , hence  $(x, b), (x, a) \in \sup F$ , it contradicts the fact that  $\sup F$  is a function. Now assume that  $F$  is a compatible system of functions. then by Theorem 3.12  $\bigcup F$  is a function and clearly  $\bigcup F \in Fn(X, Y)$ , it follows from a similar argument to Exercise 5.8(a) that  $\bigcup F = \sup F$ .

**5.10** (a) Because for every  $S \in Pt(A)$  we have that for all  $C \in S$ , there is some  $D \in S$  such that  $C \subseteq D$ , namely  $C$  itself, thus  $S \preceq S$  for all  $S \in Pt(A)$  and it is reflexive.

Assume that  $S_1 \preceq S_2$  and  $S_2 \preceq S_1$ , then for every  $C \in S_1$ ,  $C \subseteq D$  for some  $D \in S_2$ , but because  $S_2 \preceq S_1$  and  $D \in S_2$ , we have some  $E \in S_1$  such that  $D \subseteq E$ , we show that  $E = C$ . Assume it is not the case, then  $C \subseteq D \subseteq E$  implies  $C \cap E \neq \emptyset$ , contrary to the assumption that  $S$  is a partition, thus the relation is symmetric.

Let  $S_1 \preceq S_2$  and  $S_2 \preceq S_3$ , then for every  $C \in S_1$ ,  $C \subseteq D$  for some  $D \in S_2$ , but because  $S_2 \preceq S_3$ , there is some  $E \in S_3$  such that  $D \subseteq E$ , thus for every  $C \in S_1$ ,  $C \subseteq E$  for some  $E \in S_3$ , therefore  $S_1 \preceq S_3$  and  $\preceq$  is transitive.

(b) Let  $S = \{C \cap D : C \in S_1 \wedge D \in S_2\}$ , clearly  $S$  is a partition and  $S \preceq S_1$ ,  $S \preceq S_2$ , thus  $S$  is a lower bound for  $\{S_1, S_2\}$ , we prove that any lower bound  $S' \preceq S$ . Assume  $S'$  is a lower bound, then for every  $C \in S'$ ,  $C \subseteq D$  for some  $D \in S_1$  and also  $C \subseteq D'$  for some  $D' \in S_2$  but then there is some  $X \in S$  and  $C \subseteq X$ , namely  $X = D \cap D'$ , so we proved that for every  $C \in S'$ ,  $C \subseteq X$  for some  $X \in S$ , thus  $S' \preceq S$ .

$aE_S b$  implies  $aE_{S_1} b, aE_{S_2} b$

(c) Let  $T = (T_i : i \in I)$  and  $S = \{(\bigcap_{i \in I} f_i) : f \in \prod T_i\}$ , fix some  $T_k \in T$ , we want to prove that  $S \preceq T_k$ . let  $C \in S$  and  $x \in C$  then for some  $f$ ,  $x \in f_i$  for all  $i \in I$ , but  $f_i = D$  for some  $D \in T_i$ , from this it follows that  $x \in f_k$ , thus for some  $D \in T_k$  we have  $x \in D$ , thus  $C \subseteq D$ , we conclude that  $S \preceq T_k$ . We prove  $S$  is greatest lower bound, assume  $S'$  is another lower bound for  $T$ , it means that for every  $C \in S'$  and for every  $T_i$  there is some  $D_i \in T_i$  such that  $C \subseteq D_i$ , define  $f : I \rightarrow \bigcup T$  by  $f_i = D_i$ , then clearly  $C \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} f_i$ , but  $\bigcap_{i \in I} f_i \in S$ , thus  $S' \preceq S$ .

(d) Let  $T' = \{S \in Pt(A) : (\forall i \in I)(T_i \preceq S)\}$  clearly it is the set of upper bounds of  $T$ , by previous exercise  $\inf T'$  exist, we prove that  $\inf T' \in T'$ , fix some  $T_k \in T$ , and let  $C \in T_k$ , we know that for every  $S \in T'$  we have  $T_k \preceq S$ , it means that for every  $S \in T'$  there is some  $D \in S$  such that  $C \subseteq D$ , if we index  $T'$  by  $J$ , we have for every  $T'_j \in T'$  there is some  $D_{T'_j} \in T'_j$  such that  $C \subseteq D_{T'_j}$ , define  $f : J \rightarrow \bigcup T'$  by  $f_j = D_{T'_j}$  then clearly  $C \subseteq \bigcap_{j \in J} f_j \in \inf T'$ , thus we proved for arbitrary  $T_k, T_k \preceq \inf T'$ , thus  $\inf T' \in T'$  and it is the least element of it, the least element among upper bound of  $T$ , thus  $\sup T = \inf T'$ .

**5.11** Let  $f$  be the isomorphism, let  $y_1, y_2 \in Q$  then there is some  $x_1, x_2 \in P$  such that  $f(x_1) = y_1, f(x_2) = y_2$  but because  $<$  is linearly ordered we have either  $x_1 = x_2$  or  $x_1 < x_2$  or  $x_2 < x_1$ , but because  $f$  is an isomorphism we get either  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$  or  $f(x_1) < f(x_2)$  or  $f(x_2) < f(x_1)$ , rewrite this for  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ .

**5.12** Suppose that  $x <_1 y$  for some  $x, y \in P_1$  then we have  $f(x) <_2 f(y)$ , but since  $f(x), f(y) \in P_2$  we get  $g(f(x)) <_3 g(f(y))$ , thus  $g \circ f(x) <_3 g \circ f(y)$ . Now let  $u <_3 z$  for some  $u, z \in P_3$ , because  $g$  is an isomorphism there are some  $t, v \in P_2$  such that  $f(t) = u, f(v) = z$  and  $t <_2 v$ , but because  $f$  is isomorphism we get  $f(x) = t <_2 v = f(y)$  which implies  $x <_1 y$ .