

2: UNDERSTANDING CONTRACT LAW, PART 1

key terms

- offeror: party who initially promises to give something up in exchange for something from the other party
- offeree: party who agrees to give something up in return for something offered by the other party
- o <u>promisor</u>: party making a promise in contract
- o promisee: party that promise is being made to in contract
- o privity of contract: refers to the contractual relationship that exists btwn the parties of contract
- o breach of contract: the failure to fulfill a contractual promise, w/o valid legal reason for failure
- o forbearance: act of giving up legal right or promising to give up legal right
- o avoid: exercising your lawful right to cancel a contract
- o ratify: agreeing to go through w/ & be bound by a contract when you had the right to cancel it
- o restitution: repaying or reimbursing for goods/services received, usually b/c you are canceling contract
- o *remedy*: solution sought by innocent party in court

types of contracts

- o <u>bilaterial</u>:
 - both parties make a promise
 - i.e., each party is both a promisor and a promisee
 - either party can sue the other for not acting on the promise
 - (ex: ins co promises to indemnify & insd promises to pay prem)
- unilateral:
 - only 1 party makes promise
 - usually takes format of "IF A does _____, then B promises to _____"
 - A is not obligated to act
 - if A does act but B fails to uphold their promise, B is in breach of contract
- o <u>executed</u>: contract already completely performed by both parties (i.e., finished contract)

o executory:

- contract that hasn't been completely performed by all parties
- (ex: homeowner's pol, because ins co promise to indemnify isn't done until pol period over)
- express: contract terms & intentions explicitly stated
- o <u>implied-in-fact</u>:
 - contract whose terms/intentions are implied by context/surroundings
 - typically applies to parties w/ prior transactions that set pattern/expectation
 - ex: if party w/ credit acct shows cashier an item but doesn't pay, it can be presumed they'll pay later
- implied-in-law:
 - not explicit, but is imposed by law
 - usually enforced to avoid one party being unfairly enriched
- o void:
 - agreement that never reaches contract status despite parties' intentions & thus is not binding
 - ex: contract to commit crime
- voidable:
 - contract that one of parties can rightfully avoid based on some circumstance
 - i.e., contract that becomes cancelable b/c one party did something wrong
 - innocent party can usually still choose to affirm/go through w/ contract
 - right of avoidance is reserved for innocent party



- (6) elements of a contract (see BONUS handout Ch 2 Elements of a Contract)
 - o agreement
 - offer
 - acceptance
 - o capacity to contract
 - assent
 - o consideration
 - legal purpose
 - o made in the form required by law

~~~~~~~

- offer:
 - o promise that requires some action/acceptance by intended recipient to form a complete agreement
 - (3) key elements for a valid offer
 - 1) intent to contract
 - key question: did the offeror intend to be immediately bound to a particular party by their acceptance?
 - language of offeror is most important factor
 - examples of **no** intent to contract:
 - o advertisements, if they don't promise who specifically they are selling to
 - not binding: "Milk on sale \$2.99"
 - probably binding: "Milk for \$2.99 to first five customers to enter our store"
 - social invitations (ex: you can't sue for someone canceling a party)
 - o predictions (ex: you can't sue because the weatherman was wrong)
 - o offers made in excitement or jest (ex: you can't sue someone who was joking)
 - 2) definite terms (but absence of 1 term doesn't necessarily invalidate entire contract)
 - involved parties
 - subject matter
 - price
 - time
 - 3) communication to other party
 - i.e., you didn't really make an offer if the other party didn't know about it
 - (ex: if person didn't know there was reward for finding dog, he can't claim it after he's already turned in the dog)
 - you can accept offer if you started performing your part before you knew of offer
 (ex: you can claim reward offered as long as you learned of it before turning in the dog)
 - o how offers can terminate
 - lapse of time
 - offers aren't open indefinitely
 - if offer terminated, attempt to accept afterwards is considered a counteroffer
 - operation of law
 - if law makes contract (ex: becomes illegal to sell a certain product)
 - if subject matter is destroyed before acceptance (ex: offer to sell house canx'd if house burns down)
 - if either party dies or is formally declared insane before acceptance



- offeree's rejection
 - rejection terminates original offer
 - attempts to accept the offer again are considered a new offer
- counteroffer
 - explicit rejection of orig offer & change in terms that creates a new offer
 - differs from request for info (where you don't reject orig offer yet)
- offeror's revocation
 - offers to general public must be revoked in same manner offer was made
 - for unilateral contract offers, if offeree has <u>substantially performed</u> act then offer is irrevocable (performance of the primary, necessary terms of the agreement)

acceptance

- o assent to an offer that offeree agrees to proposal or does what has been proposed
- o 3 requirements for valid acceptance
 - accepted by offeree (person who promise is being made to)
 - i.e., someone else can't accept offer & automatically bind offeror
 - offers made to a group or the public can be accepted by any member of group/public
 - unconditional & unequivocal
 - acceptance can't have modifications to offer (if it does, that's a counteroffer)
 - acceptance must show clear, definite intent to be bound
 - o i.e., "maybe I can pay you next week" is equivocal (not clear enough)
 - communication of acceptance
 - if offer specifies how acceptance to be communicated, offeree must comply for valid acceptance
 - if offer doesn't specify, any reasonable method acceptable
 - forms of acceptance:
 - o explicit return promise
 - o performing the act requested by the offeror
 - if offeree does <u>substantial performance</u>, offeror might lose right to revoke offer
- o timing of acceptance
 - in most cases, mailbox rule applies (acceptance effective as soon as it leaves offeree's possession even if it never reaches offeror)
 - in some jurisdictions, offeror must receive acceptance, especially if knowledge of acceptance is critical
 - offeror can make offer conditional upon their receipt of acceptance
 - communication to party other than offeror is not valid acceptance
 - offeree cannot revoke acceptance once it is made
- o silence is usually **not** acceptance, unless past behavior establishes otherwise
 - ex: if seller delivers goods each month & buyer doesn't reject them, buyer will have to pay
- o complaints about offer do **not** negate offeree's right to accept it anyway
- capacity to contract
 - competent party:
 - has the basic or minimal ability to do something
 - has the mental ability to understand problems & make decisions



- 4 types of parties who may lack capacity (and can dispute a contract)
 - 1) minors
 - generally, minor can dispute contract even if minor lied about his age
 - to avoid contract, minor must avoid it w/i reasonable time after coming of age
 - o if minor doesn't avoid contract, generally assumed they are ratifying it
 - usually required to pay restitution before avoiding contract
 - circumstances when contract is not voidable
 - contract to purchase necessaries
 - for things related to health, education, comfort for his standard of living
 - law doesn't want merchants to fear entering contracts w/ minors for basic necessities
 - minor has married
 - o minor has assumed obligation of bail bond
 - minor has duty of child support
 - certain contracts for child to perform services or biz transactions (ex: child actor)
 - parents generally not liable for child's contracts unless:
 - o parent co-signed
 - o child acted on parent's behalf in transaction
 - o parent directed child to sign for parent's benefit
 - o parent neglected or refused to pay for necessaries, which is what contract is for
 - 2) insane
 - 2 classes:
 - o adjudged insane: legally declared insane by court
 - o self-declared: person who claims he is insane or mental incompetent
 - those who claim insanity must prove 1 of 2 conditions:
 - o person didn't know contract was forming
 - o person didn't u/s consequences of acts purporting to form contract
 - insane person can't avoid contract if other party proves both:
 - sane party didn't know about insanity
 - contract has already benefited insane person
 - (ex: sane person enters contract & paints a guy's house, then finds out guy is mentally incompetent when trying to collect pay)
 - not fair to let insane party get a benefit (newly painted house) while sane innocent party suffers loss (loss of time & cost of supplies)
 - 3) intoxicated
 - generally, intoxicated party can't avoid contract but case law has tempered that
 - intoxicated party must prove 1 of 2 conditions:
 - person didn't know contract was forming
 - o person didn't u/s consequences of acts purporting to form contract
 - upon being sober, intoxicated person can ratify or avoid contract
 - if 1 party purposely caused the other to be intoxicated, contract is voidable
 - 4) artificial entities that are restricted by law or corporate charter from entering certain contracts
 - i.e., non-person party (company, biz, assoc, etc) that didn't have auth to enter contract
 - *ultra vires contract*: contract "beyond one's powers"
 - usually voidable
 - if either party performed part of contract, other party must still perform



- assent
 - o involved parties must have willingly intended to be bound by a contract
 - o (see Chapter 3)
- consideration
 - o something of value or bargained for and exchanged by the parties to the contract
 - o i.e., both parties need to exchange something tangible or intangible w/ each other
 - 5 "types" of consideration
 - valuable consideration
 - consideration that is necessary & sufficient to support a valid contract
 - catch-all term for anything that doesn't fit the other categories, but still qualifies as valid consideration
 - courts generally do **not** review adequacy of consideration (whether amt/value of consideration was fair or worth it)
 - forbearance (agreeing to give up a right)
 - present consideration (committing to something now)
 - future consideration (committing to something in the future)
 - binding promises
 - (ex: ins pol ins co promises to cover loss & ph promises to pay prem)
 - both parties must be bound to something (ex: if Kate promises to hire Leah to do all repair work if Kate decides to build, consideration is not sufficient b/c Kate is not bound)
 - o 3 types of **invalid** consideration
 - past consideration (ex: asking for car now in exchange for a gift you gave 5 yrs ago)
 - promise to perform existing obligation
 - compromise & release of clms
 - i.e., offering partial payment to settle debt in full
 - exceptions (i.e., when compromises are valid)
 - bona fide (good faith) dispute exists about amts owed
 - o creditor agrees to accept lesser payment to discharge entire debt
 - o if a debtor has many creditors & those creditors join together to negotiate
 - o accord and satisfaction:
 - substituting performance of other than what was originally required
 - (ex: pay part of debt & agree to add't consideration besides money)
 - o exceptions to requirement for consideration
 - promissory estoppel:
 - 3 elements:
 - o 1st party made promise expecting 2nd party to act
 - o 2nd party justifiably relied on promise and suffered detriment
 - o only enforcement of promise would achieve justice
 - court can enforce contract in order to prevent injustice
 - ex: if ins co agrees to fix claimant's car so claimant orders parts, then ins co changes mind & denies cov, claimant suffered a loss (the cost of parts)
 - charitable subscriptions
 - promise to repay old debt that was barred by bankruptcy (does not require new consideration)



- legal purpose
 - o i.e., contract can't be for illegal purpose
 - if contract was illegal from the start:
 - neither party can sue for breach or seek damages for partial performance
 - does not automatically become enforceable if change in law makes it legal
 - if contract was legal but becomes illegal due to change in law, parties still owed fair compensation for work done thus far
 - (9) types of illegal contracts
 - to commit crimes/torts (ex: biz interruption ins for prostitute)
 - wagering (gambling)
 - harmful to public interest (ex: contract to bribe wit)
 - <u>insurable interest</u>:
 - beneficiary to an ins pol must actually have the potential to suffer a financial loss if insd suffers harm
 - o i.e., you can't take a pol out on someone who has no financial connection to you
 - <u>usury</u> (charging higher interest rate than legally allowed)
 - contracts w/ unlicensed practitioners
 - transferring liab for [your own] negligence
 - i.e., your contract can't exempt you from **all** neg & give you the right to act recklessly
 - exculpatory clause:
 - o clause where one party is excused from liab
 - not viewed favorably by court, especially if that party is trying to get away w/ willful/wanton negligence
 - restraint of marriage
 - contract btwn 2 people to bring about or prevent marriage of 3rd person
 - marriage brokerage contracts that prevent freedom of choice for marriage
 - restraint of trade
 - suppressing free trade or fair competition
 - illegal, unless necessary to protect interests of parties & doesn't impose undue hardship
 - noncompete agreements
 - when two parties agree not to share confidential biz info (clients, recipes, trade secrets, etc.) after they've terminated a biz relationship w/ each other
 - o generally enforceable if there is definite time limit set
 - unconscionable bargains
 - (i.e., too-extreme terms)
 - (ex: if you miss even 1 mortgage payment, bank keeps all the money you paid + house)
 - o exceptions to requirement for legal purpose
 - when a specific group is protected by law
 - (ex: ins co that issued illegal pol can't use this defense to get out of covering loss)
 - in pari delicto agreements
 - illegal transaction in which both parties were equally at fault
 - usually renders contract unenforceable
 - however, contract might be enforceable if one party is far more at fault
 - severable contract:
 - contract w/ 2+ promises that court can enforce separately
 - i.e., court won't uphold illegal part, but may require parties to do rest of contract