

Predicates and quantifiers

8.1 Introduction

A *predicate* is a logical statement that depends on a value or values. When a predicate is applied to a particular value it becomes a *proposition*. An example is the predicate:

$\text{prime}(x)$

which depends on some numeric value, x , so that

$\text{prime}(7)$

is a true proposition meaning that seven is a prime number, and

$\text{prime}(6)$

is a false proposition. (A prime number is one that is only divisible by itself and 1).

8.2 Quantifiers

Quantifiers can be applied to predicates to give propositions.

8.2.1 Universal quantifier

The *universal quantifier* is written:

\forall

and is pronounced 'for all' (it looks like an upside-down 'A', in *for All*). It is used in the form:

$\forall \text{ declaration} \mid \text{constraint} \cdot \text{predicate}$

which states that for the declaration(s) given, restricted to certain values (by a predicate called a constraint), the predicate holds.

For each of the quantifiers to be described here, the

$\mid \text{constraint}$

part may be omitted.

The declaration introduces a typical element that is then optionally constrained. The predicate may apply to this element. For example, to state that all natural numbers less than 10 have squares less than 100:

$$\forall i: \mathbb{N} \mid i < 10 \cdot i^2 < 100$$

This would be pronounced: ‘for all i drawn from the set of natural numbers, such that i is under ten, i squared is less than 100’.

A universal quantification can be thought of as a chain of conjunctions. The quantification above is equivalent to:

$$0^2 < 100 \wedge 1^2 < 100 \wedge \dots \wedge 8^2 < 100 \wedge 9^2 < 100$$

If the set of values over which the variable is universally quantified is *empty*, then the quantification is defined to be true:

$$\forall i: \mathbb{N} \mid 0 \leq i < 0 \cdot i^2 < 100 \text{ is defined to be true}$$

8.2.2 Existential quantifier

The *existential quantifier* is written:

$$\exists$$

and is pronounced ‘there exists’ (it looks like a backwards ‘E’, in *there Exists*). It is used in the form:

$$\exists \text{ declaration} \mid \text{constraint} \cdot \text{predicate}$$

The declaration introduces a typical variable which is then optionally constrained. The predicate applies to this variable. For example, to state that there is a natural number under ten which has a square less than 100:

$$\exists i: \mathbb{N} \mid i < 10 \cdot i^2 < 100$$

This would be pronounced: ‘there exists an i drawn from the set of natural numbers, such that i is less than ten, and i squared is less than 100’.

Note that there need not be only one value of i for which this is true; in this example there are ten: 0 to 9.

To define the predicate *Even*:

$$\text{Even}(x) \Leftrightarrow \exists k: \mathbb{Z} \cdot k * 2 = x$$

existential

A universal quantification can be thought of as a chain of disjunctions. If the set of values over which the variable is quantified is *empty*, then the existential quantification is defined to be false:

$$\exists i: \mathbb{N} \mid 0 \leq i < 0 \cdot i^2 < 100 \text{ is defined to be false}$$

8.2.3 Unique quantifier

The *unique quantifier* is similar to the existential quantifier except that it states that there exists *only one* value for which the predicate is true.

The unique quantifier is written:

$$\exists_1$$

An example is:

$$\exists_1 i: \mathbb{N} \mid i < 10 \cdot i^2 < 100 \wedge i^2 > 80$$

This would be pronounced: ‘there exists only one i drawn from the set of natural numbers, where i is less than ten, such that i squared is less than 100 and i squared is greater than 80’. It is equivalent to saying that the predicate holds for i , but that there is no j (with a value different from i) for which it holds:

$$\exists_1 i: \mathbb{N} \mid i < 10 \cdot i^2 < 100 \wedge i^2 > 80$$

$$\Leftrightarrow$$

$$\exists i: \mathbb{N} \mid i < 10 \cdot i^2 < 100 \wedge i^2 > 80$$

$$\wedge \neg(\exists j: \mathbb{N} \mid j < 10 \wedge i \neq j \cdot j^2 < 100 \wedge j^2 > 80)$$

8.2.4 Counting quantifier

Some notations use a counting quantifier that counts for how many values of the variable the predicate holds. In Z this is not needed; instead, we use a set comprehension to construct the set of values for which the predicate holds, and then finds the size of the set.

An example is: the number of natural numbers under 10 that have squares greater than 30:

$$\#\{i: \mathbb{N} \mid i < 10 \cdot i^2 > 30\}$$

8.2.5 Quantifiers in schema

Quantifiers may be used in the expressions contained in the predicate part of a schema.

8.3 Set comprehension

So far we have given values to sets by listing all their elements. It is also possible to give a value to a set by giving a condition (a *predicate*) which must hold for all members of the set. This can be done by a formulism called a *set comprehension*. The general form is:

$$\{\text{declaration} \mid \text{constraint} \cdot \text{expression}\}$$

- The *declaration* is for a typical element and it gives the element's type.
- The *constraint* restricts the possible values of the typical element. It is a logical expression which must be true for that value of the typical element to be included.
- The *expression* is an expression indicating the value to be included in the set.

A comprehension is very useful for giving a value to an infinite set. For example we cannot write:

$$\{ \dots -8, -6, -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, \dots \}$$

since we would then rely on solely the reader's intuition to understand what the continuation indicated by ' \dots ' must be. Instead we write:

$$\{x: \mathbb{Z} \mid \text{Even}(x) \bullet x\}$$

Here x is the typical value. It is of type *integer* so the set generated will be a *set* of integers. The value of x is constrained to be even. The value x is included in the generated set. So the generated set is the set of even integers.

The following set comprehension generates the set of the *squares* of the *even* integers:

$$\{x: \mathbb{Z} \mid \text{Even}(x) \bullet x * x\}$$

The constraint and its preceding bar may be omitted:

$$\{x: \mathbb{N} \bullet x * x\} \quad \text{the squares of the natural numbers}$$

8.3.1 Ranges of numbers

The notation

$$m .. n$$

was introduced in Chapter 2. It is shorthand for

$$\{i: \mathbb{Z} \mid m \leq i \wedge i \leq n \bullet i\}$$

8.4 Relationship between logic and set theory

There is a direct relationship between some of the operators of logic and operations on sets:

$$\begin{aligned} [X] & \quad \text{any set} \\ S, T: \mathbb{P}X \\ S \cup T & == \{x: X \mid x \in S \vee x \in T \bullet x\} \\ S \cap T & == \{x: X \mid x \in S \wedge x \in T \bullet x\} \\ S \setminus T & == \{x: X \mid x \in S \wedge x \notin T \bullet x\} \end{aligned}$$

8.5 Summary of notation

$\forall x: T \bullet P$	Universal quantification: 'for all x of type T , P holds'
$\exists x: T \bullet P$	Existential quantification: 'there exists an x of type T , such that P holds'
$\exists_1 x: T \bullet P$	Unique existence: 'there exists a unique x of type T , such that P holds'
$\{D \mid P \bullet t\}$	the set of t 's declared by D where P holds

EXERCISES

1. Re-express the proposition
 $\forall p: \text{PERSON} \mid p \in \text{loggedIn} \bullet p \in \text{users}$
 using set relations.
2. Write an expression that states that the squares of all integers are non-negative.
3. Write an expression to state that there is a number that is equal to itself squared.
4. Using the fact that $m \bmod n$ is zero when m is divisible by n ($m \geq 0$ and $n > 0$) write a set comprehension to define the set of prime numbers.