

Modern Staged Dependency Injection for Scala

Modular Functional Programming
with
Context Minimization
through
Garbage Collection

Septimal Mind Ltd team@7mind.io

The motivation behind DI pattern and DI frameworks

- 1. Systems we work with may be represented as graphs. Nodes are components (usually instances), edges are references,
- Graph transformation complexity grows non-linearly with nodes count (need to add one constructor parameter, have to modify k classes),
- Graph composition has combinatoric complexity (need to run tests choosing between mock/production repositories and external APIs, have to write four configurations).

We have several possible options to address these problems:

- 1. Singletons and Factories: solves tight coupling but expensive tests and refactorings,
- 2. Service Locator: bit less coupling but still expensive,
- 3. Dependency Injection: less invasive and supports isolation but requires more complex machinery.

"DI doesn't compose with FP": Problems

- Typical DI framework is OOP oriented and does not support advanced concepts required for modern FP (typeclasses, higher-kinded types),
- Almost all the DI frameworks are working in runtime while many modern FP concepts are compile-time by their nature,
- 3. Less guarantees: program which compiles correctly can break on wiring in runtime. After a huge delay,
- Wiring is non-determenistic: Guice can spend several minutes trying to re-instantiate heavy instance multiple times (once per dependency) then fail,
- 5. Wiring is opaque: it's hard or impossible to introspect the context. E.g. in Guice it's a real pain to close all the instantiated Closeables. Adding missing values into the context (config injections) is not trivial as well.

"DI doesn't compose with FP": Notes

- We have some compile-time DI frameworks or mechanisms (see MacWire) allowing us to implement DI as pattern though purely compile-time tools are not convenient when we have to deal with purely runtime entities (like plugins and config values),
- 2. Graph composition problem is not addressed by any existing tool.

DI implementations are broken...

- ...so we may build better one, which must:
 - 1. be well-integrated with type system of our target language (higher-kinded types, implicits, typeclasses),
 - 2. allow us to introspect and modify our context on the fly,
 - be able to detect as many as possible problems quickly, better during compilation,
 - 4. give us a way to stop making atomic or conditional contexts.

Staged approach

- 1. Let's apply Late Binding,
- 2. let's collect our graph information first,
- 3. then build a DAG representing our context (so-called *Project Network*, let's call it *Plan*),
- 4. then analyse this graph for errors (missing references, conflicts),
- 5. then apply additional transformations,
- 6. then interpret the graph.

This is a cornercase of more generic pattern – PPER (Percept, Plan, Execute, Repeat).

Staged approach: outcome

What we get:

- 1. Planner is *pure*: it has no side-effects,
- 2. A plan is a Turing-incomplete program for a simple machine. It will always terminate in known finite time,
- 3. An interpreter may perform instantiations at runtime or...just generate Scala code that will do that when compiled,
- 4. All the job except of instantiations can be done in compile-time,
- 5. Interpreter is free to run independent instantiations in parallel,
- 6. Extremely important: we can transform (rewrite) the plan before we run iterpreter.

Compile-Time and Runtime DI

A Plan:

```
myRepository := create[MyRepository]()
myservice := create[MyService](myRepository)
```

May be interpreted as:

Code tree (runtime):

Set of instances (runtime):

Incomplete plans

This code:

```
class UsersRepoImpl(cassandraCluster: Cluster)
    extends UsersRepo
class UsersService(repository: UsersRepo)

class UsersModule extends ModuleDef {
    make[UsersRepo].from[UsersRepoImpl]
    make[UsersService]
}
```

May produce a plan like:

```
cassandraCluster := import[Cluster]
usersRepo: UsersRepo := create[UsersRepoImpl](cassandraCluster)
usersService := create[UsersService](usersRepo)
```

Pattern: Plan completion

Once we have such a plan:

```
cassandraCluster := import[Cluster]
usersRepo: UsersRepo := create[UsersRepoImpl](cassandraCluster)
usersService := create[UsersService](usersRepo)
```

We may add missing values¹:

```
val plan = Injector.plan(definitions)
val resolved = plan.map {
   case i: Import if i.is[Cluster] =>
   val cluster: Cluster = ???
   Reference(cluster)
   case op => op
}
```

¹Pseudocode, real API is bit different

Extension: Configuration Support

distage has HOCON configuration support implemented as an extension.

```
case class HostPort(host: String, port: Int)
class HttpServer(@ConfPath("http.listen") listenOn: HostPort) {
    // ...
}
```

The extension:

- 1. Takes all the Imports of a Plan,
- 2. Searches them for a specific @ConfPath annotation,
- 3. Tries to find corresponding sections in config,
- 4. Extends plan with config values,

All the config values are resolved even before the services being instantiated \Rightarrow problems are being shown quickly and all at once.

Extension: Automatic Sets

- distage can find all the instances of a particular type (like AutoCloseable) in the context, put them all into a Set[T] then inject that set.
- 2. \Rightarrow basic lifecycle support, free of charge.

```
trait Component {
      def start(): Unit
2
      def stop(): Unit
3
4
    locator.run { components: Set[Component] =>
5
         try {
6
           components.foreach(_.start())
7
         } finally {
8
           components.foreach(_.stop())
9
10
11
```

The Principle Behind: PPER Loop

A very generic and a very important pattern:

- 1. Acquire data from the outer world (Percept)
- 2. Produce a Project Network, *Plan*. It may be incomplete, but should allow us to progress (*Plan*)
 - Plan is a DAG where actions are nodes and edges are dependencies
- 3. Execute the Plan (Execute).
 - Perform the steps of the Plan
 - Mark your Plan nodes according to the results of their execution
 - Let's call marked plan as Trace
- 4. Go to step 1 unless termination criteria reached (Repeat)

Garbage Collector and Context Minimization

- Let's assume that we have a UsersService and AccountingService in your context,
- and we want to write a test for UsersService only,
- 3. We may exploit staged design and *collect the garbage* out of Plan before executing it.
- 4. We define a garbage collection root, UsersService, and keep only the operations it transitively depends on. The rest is being thrown out even before it's being instantiated,
- 5. Garbage Collector allows us to compose contexts easier.

Garbage Collector and Context Minimization

Context Minimization for Tests

Context minimization allows us to:

- 1. Instantiate only the instances which are required for your tests,
- 2. Save on test startup time (savings may be significant),
- 3. Save on configuring per-test contexts manually (savings may be substantial).

Context Minimization for Deployment

Context minimization allows us to:

- 1. Have one image with all our software components (Roles¹),
- 2. ... keeping development flows of these components isolated,
- Decide which components we want to run when we start the image,
- 4. Have higher computational density
- 5. substantially simplify development flows: we may run full environment with a single command on a low-end machine,
- 6. Fuse Microservices with Monoliths keeping *all* their benefits.

```
server1# docker run -ti company/product +analytics
server2# docker run -ti company/product +accounting +users
laptop# docker run -ti company/product --run-everything
```

¹Presentation: https://goo.gl/iaMt43

Kind-Polymorphic Type Tags

Typeclass instance injection (Implicit Injection)

Lambda injection and Parameter Magnet

Code example: IO Injection

Code example: Tagless Final Style

Dynamic Plugins

Tags

Plan Introspection

Trait Completion

1. Runtime and Compile-time.

Factory Methods (Assisted Injection)

- 1. Useful for Akka, lot more convenient than Guice,
- 2. Runtime and Compile-time.

Status and things to do

distage is:

- 1. ready to use,
- 2. in real production,
- 3. all Runtime APIs are available,
- 4. Compile-time verification, trait completion, assisted injections and lambda injections are available.

Our plans:

- 1. Refactor Roles API,
- 2. Support running Producer within a monad (IO),
- 3. Support Scala.js,
- 4. Support optional isolated classloaders (in foreseeable future),
- 5. Publish compile-time Producer,
- 6. Check our GitHub: https://github.com/pshirshov/izumi-r2.

distage is just a part of our stack

We have a vision backed by our tools:

- 1. Idealingua: transport and codec agnostic gRPC alternative with rich modeling language,
- 2. LogStage: zero-cost logging framework,
- 3. Fusional Programming and Design guidelines. We love both FP and OOP,
- 4. Continous Delivery guidelines for Role-based process,
- Percept-Plan-Execute Generative Programming approach, abstract machine and computational model. Addresses Project Planning (see Operations Research). Examples: orchestration, build systems.

Altogether these things already allowed us to significantly reduce development costs and delivery time for our client.

More slides to follow.

Teaser: LogStage

Teaser: Idealingua

Thank you for your attention

https://izumi.7mind.io/

We're looking for clients, contributors, adopters and colleagues;)

About the author:

- 1. coding for 18 years, 10 years of hands-on commercial engineering experience,
- has been leading a cluster orchestration team in Yandex, "the Russian Google",
- implemented "Interstellar Spaceship" an orchestration solution to manage 50K+ physical machines across 6 datacenters,
- 4. Owns an Irish R&D company, https://7mind.io,
- 5. Contacts: team@7mind.io,
- 6. Github: https://github.com/pshirshov