Key words: Tomasulo. Branch predictor

Review Tomasulo

- Reservations stations: *implicit register renaming* to larger set of registers + buffering source operands
  - Prevents registers as bottleneck
  - Avoids WAR, WAW hazards of Scoreboard
  - Allows loop unrolling in HW
- Not limited to basic blocks (integer units gets ahead, beyond branches)
- Today, helps cache misses as well
  - Don't stall for L1 Data cache miss (insufficient ILP for L2 miss?)
- Lasting Contributions
  - Dynamic scheduling
  - Register renaming
  - Load/store disambiguation
- 360/91 descendants are Pentium III; PowerPC 604; MIPS R10000; HP-PA 8000; Alpha 21264

Tomasulo Algorithm and Branch Prediction

- 360/91 predicted branches, but did not speculate: pipeline stopped until the branch was resolved
  - No speculation; only instructions that can complete
- Speculation with Reorder Buffer allows execution past branch, and then discard if branch fails
  - just need to hold instructions in buffer until branch can commit

Case for Branch Prediction when Issue N instructions per clock cycle

1. Branches will arrive up to *n* times faster in an *n*-issue processor
2. Amdahl's Law => relative impact of the control stalls will be larger with the lower potential CPI in an *n*-issue processor

7 Branch Prediction Schemes

- 1-bit Branch-Prediction Buffer
- 2-bit Branch-Prediction Buffer
- Correlating Branch Prediction Buffer
- Tournament Branch Predictor
- Branch Target Buffer
- Integrated Instruction Fetch Units
- Return Address Predictors

Dynamic Branch Prediction

- Performance = *f*(accuracy, cost of misprediction)
- Branch History Table: Lower bits of PC address index table of 1-bit values
  - Says whether or not branch taken last time
  - No address check (saves HW, but may not be right branch)
- Problem: in a loop, 1-bit BHT will cause 2 mispredictions (avg is 9 iterations before exit):
  - End of loop case, when it exits instead of looping as before
  - First time through loop on *next* time through code, when it predicts *exit* instead of looping
  - Only 80% accuracy even if loop 90% of the time

Dynamic Branch Prediction

(Jim Smith, 1981)

- Solution: 2-bit scheme where change prediction only if get misprediction *twice*: (Figure 3.7, p. 249)



- Red: stop, not taken
- Green: go, taken
- Adds *hysteresis* to decision making process

Correlating Branches

Idea: taken/not taken of recently executed branches is related to behavior of next branch (as well as the history of that branch behavior)

- Then behavior of recent branches selects between, say, 4 predictions of next branch, updating just that prediction

- (2,2) predictor: 2-bit global, 2-bit local



Predicated Execution

- Avoid branch prediction by turning branches into conditionally executed instructions:

  if (x) then A = B op C else NOP

  - If false, then neither store result nor cause exception
  - Expanded ISA of Alpha, MIPS, PowerPC, SPARC have conditional move; PA-RISC can annul any following instr.
  - IA-64: 64 1-bit condition fields selected so conditional execution of any instruction
  - This transformation is called "if-conversion"



- Drawbacks to conditional instructions
  - Still takes a clock even if "annulled"
  - Stall if condition evaluated late
  - Complex conditions reduce effectiveness; condition becomes known late in pipeline

Tournament Predictors

- Motivation for correlating branch predictors is 2-bit predictor failed on important branches; by adding global information, performance improved
- Tournament predictors: use 2 predictors, 1 based on global information and 1 based on local information, and combine with a selector
- Hopes to select right predictor for right branch