

Human-Plant Coevolution (HPC) modelGeneral exploration and parameter sensitivity analysis

2022-06-27

HUMAN-PLANT COEVOLUTION MODEL



General exploration and parameter
sensitivity analysis

Andreas Angourakis & Jonàs Alcaina

June 27, 2022

Contents

	3
Model overview	5
Ecological relationships and population dynamics	6
Population diversity	9
Coevolutionary dynamics	9
Parameters and variables	12
End-states	16
Output variables	17
Experimental design	19
1 Single runs	21
1.1 Fast coevolution (default)	22
1.2 No coevolution	25
1.3 Coevolution with early cultivation	26
1.4 Coevolution with early domestication	27
1.5 Cultivation without domestication	28
1.6 Coevolution with population “bleep”	29
1.7 Coevolution with population “boom”	30
1.8 Coevolution with long population “boom”	31
1.9 Semi-coevolution (stationary point)	32
1.10 Semi-coevolution (oscillations)	33
2 One parameter exploration	35
2.1 Full example (table+plot alternatives)	36
2.2 Exploration on ‘default’ setting for each parameter:	38
2.3 Oscilations	43
3 Two parameter exploration	45
3.1 Full example	46
3.2 Exploration on ‘default’ setting for parameter pairs	48
4 Four parameter exploration	63

4.1	Number of types and undirected variation of humans and plants ($n_H \times n_P \times v_H \times v_P$)	64
4.2	Utility per capita between humans and plants ($\bar{U}_{H_1P} \times \bar{U}_{P_1H} \times \bar{U}_{H_nP} \times \bar{U}_{P_nH}$)	66
4.3	Utility from other resources to humans and plants ($U_{bH_1} \times U_{bP_1} \times U_{bH_n} \times U_{bP_n}$)	68
4.4	Utility from other resources to humans and utility per capita of plants to humans ($U_{bH_1} \times U_{bH_n} \times \bar{U}_{P_1H} \times \bar{U}_{P_nH}$)	71
4.5	Utility from other resources to plants and utility per capita of humans to plants ($U_{bP_1} \times U_{bP_n} \times \bar{U}_{H_1P} \times \bar{U}_{H_nP}$)	73
4.6	Utility from other resources and utility per capita of type 1 humans and plants ($U_{bP_1} \times U_{bH_1} \times \bar{U}_{H_1P} \times \bar{U}_{P_1H}$)	75
4.7	Utility from other resources and utility per capita of type n humans and plants ($U_{bP_n} \times U_{bH_n} \times \bar{U}_{H_nP} \times \bar{U}_{P_nH}$)	77
4.8	Utility from other resources to type 1 humans and plants and utility per capita of type n humans and plants ($U_{bP_1} \times U_{bH_1} \times \bar{U}_{H_nP} \times \bar{U}_{P_nH}$)	79
4.9	Utility from other resources to type n humans and plants and utility per capita of type 1 humans and plants ($U_{bP_n} \times U_{bH_n} \times \bar{U}_{H_1P} \times \bar{U}_{P_1H}$)	81
5	Multiple parameter exploration	83
5.1	Sampling parameter values with Latin Hypercube Sampling (LHS)	84
5.2	Experiment overview	86
5.3	Random forest	90
5.4	Visualisation of parameter effect	93
5.5	Parameter effect split by scenarios	97
6	References	121

Model overview

The Human-Plant Coevolution (HPC) model represents the dynamics of coevolution between a human and a plant population. The model consists of an ecological positive feedback system (mutualism), which can be reinforced by positive evolutionary feedback (coevolution). The model is the result of wiring together relatively simple simulation models of population ecology and evolution, through a computational implementation in R.

Ecological relationships and population dynamics

The model can be expressed by a relatively simple system of two discrete-time difference equations (Eq. 1 and 2), based on the Verhulst-Pearl Logistic equation (chapter 9, Kingsland 1982; Pianka 1974). The change of both populations ($\Delta H[t]$, $\Delta P[t]$; see Table 3) depends on an intrinsic growth rate (r_H, r_P), the population at a given time ($H[t], P[t]$) and the respective carrying capacity of the environment for each population ($K_H[t], K_P[t]$), which may vary over time.

$$H[t + 1] = H[t] + r_H H[t] - r_H \frac{H[t]^2}{K_H[t]} \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

$$P[t + 1] = P[t] + r_P P[t] - r_P \frac{P[t]^2}{K_P[t]} \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$

Human and plant populations engage in a mutualistic relationship, where one species is to some extent sustained by the other (Eq. 3 and 4). The mutualistic relationship is defined in the model as an increment of the carrying capacity of population B caused by population A ($U_{AB}[t]$). This increment, expressed as the utility of A to B at a given time is the product of the utility per capita of A to B (\bar{U}_{AB}) and the population A at a given time (Eq. 5 and 6).

We consider that both populations are sustained also by an independent term, representing the baseline carrying capacity of the environment or the utility gain from other resources, which is time-dependent ($U_{bH}[t], U_{bP}[t]$). While we assume that the growth of the human population has no predefined ceiling, the expansion of the plant population is considered limited as the area over which plants can grow contiguously (*MaxArea*), and represented as a compendium of both space and the maximum energy available in a discrete location (Eq. 4).

$$K_H[t] = U_{PH}[t] + U_{bH}[t] \quad (\text{Eq. 3})$$

$$K_P[t] = \min(U_{HP}[t] + U_{bP}[t], \text{MaxArea}) \quad (\text{Eq. 4})$$

$$U_{HP}[t] = H[t] \cdot \bar{U}_{HP} \quad (\text{Eq. 5})$$

$$U_{PH}[t] = P[t] \cdot \bar{U}_{PH} \quad (\text{Eq. 6})$$

Considering that mutualistic relationships involve a positive feedback loop, the population growth at time t improves the conditions for both humans and plants at time $t + 1$, sustaining their growth even further.

Table 1: Assumptions on ecological relationships and population dynamics

Domains	Assumptions
On interacting populations	A population of humans interacts with a population of plants
On population growth	Population growth is a self-catalyzing process, where the population density in the present will contribute to its own increase in the future, depending on an intrinsic growth rate (r) Population growth is a self-limiting process, where the population density in the present will constraint its own increase in the future, depending on respective carrying capacity of the environment (K) The logistic growth model is acceptable as an approximation to the dynamics of populations, both human and plant, under constant conditions The carrying capacity of the environment for a population depends on constant factors and on a time-varying factor ($K[t]$)
On positive ecological relationships	Positive ecological relationships exist, where an individual of one population increases by an amount the carrying capacity of the environment for another population Coupled positive ecological relationships (i.e., mutualism) exist, where two populations increase the carrying capacities for each other There is variation in positive ecological relationships, so individuals of one population vary in terms of how much they increase the carrying capacity for the other population
On human-plant mutualism	A given plant species yield a positive utility for humans, e.g., as a source of food and raw materials Humans return a positive utility for this plant species, e.g., by improving soil conditions

Domains	Assumptions
	The utility given by one population adds value to the carrying capacity for the other, and vice versa
	The carrying capacity for humans rely also in other resources, which are independent of the plant species (i.e., the baseline carrying capacity for humans)
	The carrying capacity for plants rely also in other conditions, which are independent of humans (i.e., the baseline carrying capacity for plants)
	The carrying capacity for plants is eventually constrained by the space available for it to grow contiguously as a population (i.e., maximum area)

Population diversity

The HPC model contemplates a vector (pop) of length n , containing the population fractions of each type. The number of types are population-specific and are given as two parameters (n_H , n_P). These types include all possible variations within a population so that this vector amounts to the unity ($\sum_{i=1}^n \text{pop}_{A_i} = 1$).

To account for multiple types, we replace Eq. 5 and 6 with Eq. 7 and 8, where the utility of population A to B at any given time ($U_{AB}[t]$) is calculated by summing up the utility per capita of each type (\bar{U}_{A_iB}) proportionally to the share of population of the respective type ($\text{pop}_{A_i}[t]$), and multiplying the result by the population at a given time. The baseline carrying capacity ($U_{bA_i}[t]$) is calculated in a similar manner, though using the utility that each type is able to gain from other resources (U_{bA_i}) (Eq. 9 and 10).

$$U_{HP}[t] = H[t] \sum_{i=1}^{n_H} \text{pop}_{H_i}[t] \cdot \bar{U}_{H_iP} \quad (\text{Eq. 7})$$

$$U_{PH}[t] = P[t] \sum_{i=1}^{n_P} \text{pop}_{P_i}[t] \cdot \bar{U}_{P_iH} \quad (\text{Eq. 8})$$

$$U_{bH}[t] = \sum_{i=1}^{n_H} \text{pop}_{H_i}[t] \cdot U_{bH_i} \quad (\text{Eq. 9})$$

$$U_{bP}[t] = \sum_{i=1}^{n_P} \text{pop}_{P_i}[t] \cdot U_{bP_i} \quad (\text{Eq. 10})$$

Types relate to population-specific values of utility per capita (\bar{U}_{A_iB}) and baseline carrying capacity (U_{bA_i}). These values are defined by linear interpolation between pairs of parameters representing the values corresponding to types 1 and n (e.g., if $n_P = 10$, $\bar{U}_{P_1H} = 1$ and $\bar{U}_{P_{10}H} = 10$, then $\bar{U}_{P_5H} = 5$). The shares of population within types follow a one-tail distribution rather than a normal distribution, which would be more adequate but less straightforward to use in a theoretical model. Under this circumstance, the distribution of population within types will always be biased towards the intermediate types.

Coevolutionary dynamics

Undirected variation, which causes part of the population to randomly change to other types, represents the effect of mutation in genetic transmission or of innovation, error, and other mechanisms in cultural transmission. The balance of the subpopulation A of type i depends on the level of undirected variation (v_A) and on the degree and sign of the difference between the current subpopulation

$(pop_{A_i}[t])$ and the averaged subpopulation $(1/n_A)$, which refers to the completely uniform distribution among types (Eq. 11 and 12).

$$pop_H[t]' = pop_H[t] + v_H \left(\frac{1}{n_H} - pop_H[t] \right) \quad (\text{Eq. 11})$$

$$pop_P[t]' = pop_P[t] + v_P \left(\frac{1}{n_P} - pop_P[t] \right) \quad (\text{Eq. 12})$$

By considering inertia, we are assuming that the more frequent a type is, the more likely that it is transmitted. Selection is implemented by assigning a fitness score to each type ($fitness_{A_i}[t]$), which in turn biases its transmission. Equations 13 and 14 summarizes the combined effect that inertia and selection have on the proportion of population A belonging to type i ($pop_{A_i}[t]$) [for a formal similarity of the discrete replicator dynamic and Bayesian inference see Harper2009].

$$pop_{H_i}[t+1] = \frac{fitness_{H_i}[t] \cdot pop_{H_i}[t]}{\sum_{j=1}^{n_H} fitness_{H_j}[t] \cdot pop_{H_j}[t]} \quad (\text{Eq. 13})$$

$$pop_{P_i}[t+1] = \frac{fitness_{P_i}[t] \cdot pop_{P_i}[t]}{\sum_{j=1}^{n_P} fitness_{P_j}[t] \cdot pop_{P_j}[t]} \quad (\text{Eq. 14})$$

This mechanism defines how a trait evolves in a single population. However, coevolution can also be represented when the selective pressure on this population is modified by the changing traits of another population. In order to link the two populations, fitness scores of population A are derived from the weight of the contribution or utility of population B ($U_{BA}[t]$) in relation to the base carrying capacity of A ($K_A[t]$) (Eq. 10).

$$fitness_{H_i}[t] = \frac{(n_H - i) U_{bH}[t] + i U_{PH}[t]}{U_{bH}[t] + U_{PH}[t]} \quad (\text{Eq. 15})$$

$$fitness_{P_i}[t] = \frac{(n_P - i) U_{bP}[t] + i U_{HP}[t]}{U_{bP}[t] + U_{HP}[t]} \quad (\text{Eq. 16})$$

As a consequence of this model design, types of both human and plant populations span from a non-mutualistic type ($i = 1$), which has the best fitness score when there is no positive interaction with the other population ($U_{BA}[t] \approx 0$), to a mutualistic type ($i = n$), which is the optimum when nearly the whole of the carrying capacity is due to such relationship ($U_{BA}[t] \approx K_A[t]$).

Table 2: Assumptions on population diversity and coevolution

Domains	Assumptions
On the evolution of traits	A population can be divided into types according to one or more traitsThe distribution of individuals among types can vary in time, due to factors affecting trait transmission
On the factors affecting the evolution of traits	Change of the population distribution among types depends on the previous population distribution: the more frequent is a type, the more likely it will be imitated or transmitted to the next generation Change of the population distribution among types depends on the relative fitness of types: the greater the fitness score associated to a type, the more likely it will be imitated or transmitted to the next generation Change of the population distribution among types depends on undirected variation
On the coevolution of traits related to human-plant mutualism	The utility given by an individual varies within types The utility given by other resources to a population varies within its types The fitness of human types is modified by the relative weight of plant utility in the carrying capacity for humansThe fitness of plant types is modified to the relative weight of human utility in the carrying capacity for plants

Parameters and variables

Table 3: Parameters

R notation	Math notation	Description
<code>initial_population_humans</code> , n_H	n_H	initial populations of humans
<code>initial_population_plants</code>	n_P	initial populations of plants
<code>number_types_humans</code> , n_H , n_P	n_H, n_P	number of types of humans and plants. The number of phenotypic variants of each population that relate to human-plant coevolution.
<code>number_types_plants</code>		Types are arbitrarily ordered from type 1 (<i>less</i> mutualistic) to type n (<i>more</i> mutualistic).
<code>undirected_variation_humans</code> , <code>undirected_variation_plants</code>	$U_{H,n}$, $U_{P,n}$	level of undirected variation in humans and plants. For any value greater than zero, these parameters regulate how even is the distribution of a population among its types.
<code>intrinsic_growth_rate_humans</code> , <code>intrinsic_growth_rate_plants</code>	r_H, r_P	intrinsic growth rates for human and plant populations. The maximum rate at which a population grows when there are no external constraints.
<code>utility_per_capita_type_n_plants_to_humans</code>	$U_{P,n}^H$	utility per capita of type n plants to humans
<code>utility_per_capita_type_1_plants_to_humans</code>	$U_{P,1}^H$	utility per capita of type 1 plants to humans
<code>utility_per_capita_type_n_humans_to_plants</code>	$U_{H,n}^P$	utility per capita of type n humans to plants
<code>utility_per_capita_type_1_humans_to_plants</code>	$U_{H,1}^P$	utility per capita of type 1 humans to plants
<code>utility_other_to_type_n_plants</code>	U_{other}^P	utility of other resources to type n plants or the baseline carrying capacity for plants of type n ; i.e. that independent of humans.

R notation	Math notation	Description
<code>utility_other_to_type1_plants</code>	$U_{\text{other}}(P_1)$	utility of other resources to type 1 plants or the baseline carrying capacity for plants of type 1; i.e. that independent of humans. (non-anthropic space)
<code>utility_other_to_type_n_humans</code>	$U_{\text{other}}(H_n)$	utility of other resources to type n humans or the baseline carrying capacity for humans of type n , i.e. that independent of plants.
<code>utility_other_to_type1_humans</code>	$U_{\text{other}}(H_1)$	utility of other resources to type 1 humans or the baseline carrying capacity for humans of type 1; i.e. that independent of plants.
<code>max_area</code>	$MaxArea$	Maximum number of plant population units fitting the contiguous area available. It is used as the maximum carrying capacity for plants.
Simulation flow control		
<code>max_iterations</code>	$time_{max}$	maximum number of iterations allowed before halting a simulation run.
<code>reltol_exponential</code>	ϵ	base 10 negative exponential controlling how small population change must be to halt a simulation run.
<code>coevolution_threshold</code>	evo_θ	value between -1 and 1 to which to compare coevolution coefficients and decide if qualitative shift in type proportions has happened, so timing can be registered (see “Table: Variables (output only)” below).

Table 4: Variables

R notation	Math notation	Description
humans, plants	$H[t], P[t]$	Human and plant populations at time t . Population units are abstract, arbitrarily defined units that can express individuals, working hours, households, etc. (humans), and sprouts, certain amount of biomass, soil surface, etc. (plants)
carrying_capacity_humans, $K_H[t]$, $K_P[t]$ carrying_capacity_plants		Carrying capacity to human and plant populations or maximum population at time t , expressed in population units
utility_humans_to_plants, $U_{HP}[t]$, $U_{PH}[t]$ utility_plants_to_humans		Utility of one population to the other or the total contribution of a population to the carrying capacity of the other population at time t , expressed in population units
utility_other_to_humans, $U_{bH}[t]$, $U_{bP}[t]$ utility_other_to_plants		Utility of other resources to a population at time t , expressed in population units (baseline carrying capacity)
type_indexes_humans, type_indexes_plants	$types_H, types_P$	Population types , arbitrarily ordered from 1 to n (vector or array).
type_proportions_humans, $pop_{H_i}[t]$, $pop_{P_i}[t]$ type_proportions_plants		Proportion of a population belonging to each type at time t (vector or array).
type_utility_per_capita_humans_to_plants, type_utility_per_capita_plants_to_humans		Utility per capita of type i individuals of one population to the other (vector or array).

R notation	Math notation	Description
type_utility_other_to_humans U_{bH_i} , U_{bP_i}		Utility of other resources to type i individuals of a population (vector or array).
type_fitness_humans, $fitness_{H_i}[t]$, type_fitness_plants $fitness_{P_i}[t]$		Fitness score of type i individuals of a population at time t (vector or array).
population_change_humans, $\Delta H[t]$, $\Delta P[t]$ population_change_plants		Population change (<i>delta</i>) at time t in respect to time $t - 1$ (vector or array).

End-states

A simulation ends when both populations and their respective type distributions are stable; i.e no further change occurs given current conditions. More specifically, we use the *RelTol* method to decide if the absolute difference between the populations between time t and $t - 1$ are very small, less than $10^{-\epsilon}$ (see `reltol_exponential` in “Table: Parameters”) where $\epsilon = 6$ in our default setting. End-states defined by unchanged variables are known as stationary points. Exceptionally, under certain parameter settings, the HPC model does not converge into a stationary point but enters an oscillatory state. To handle these rare cases and others producing extremely slow-paced dynamics, simulations are interrupted regardless of the conditions after a certain number of iterations (`timemax` or `max_iterations`).

Output variables

The most important output variables are the coevolution coefficients ($coevo_H$, $coevo_P$), which measure the trend in the distribution of a population among its types.

$$coevo_H[t] = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_H} pop_{H_i}[t] * (types_{H_i} - 1))}{n_H - 1} * 2 - 1 \quad (\text{Eq. 17})$$

$$coevo_P[t] = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_P} pop_{P_i}[t] * (types_{P_i} - 1))}{n_P - 1} * 2 - 1 \quad (\text{Eq. 18})$$

The dependency coefficients ($depend_H$, $depend_P$) express the direction and intensity of the selective pressure caused by the other population. It is calculated as the slope coefficient of a linear model of the fitness scores ($fitness_A[t]$) using the type indexes ($types_A$) as an independent variable.

Positive values of both these coefficients reflect the tendency of a population towards the most mutualistic types (effective coevolution), while negative values indicate an inclination towards the non-mutualistic type due to a low selective pressure exerted by the mutualistic relationship.

We recorded the time step at the end of simulations ($time_{end}$), obtaining a measure of the overall duration of the process. Whenever applicable, we register the duration of change towards more mutualistic types in both populations ($timing_H$, $timing_P$). We consider change to be effective when the respective coevolution coefficient is greater than 0.5 (`coevolution_threshold`, in the implementation in R), meaning that at least half of the population is concentrated on the higher quarter of the type spectrum.

Table 5: Variables (output only)

R notation	Math notation	Description
<code>coevolution_coefficient_humans</code> , $coevo_A$ <code>coevolution_coefficient_plants</code>		Coevolution coefficients. A coefficient representing the distribution of the proportion of a population per type (pop_{A_1} to pop_{A_n}) weighted by type index (1 to n). Each indicates <i>if</i> and <i>how much</i> the population distribution has been modified by the coevolutionary process. Their values range between -1, the entire population is of type 1, and 1, the entire population is of type n .
<code>dependency_coefficient_humans</code> , $send_H$, $depend_P$ <code>dependency_coefficient_plants</code>		Dependency coefficients. The slope of the linear model of the fitness score per type ($fitness_{A_1}$ to $fitness_{A_n}$) using type index (1 to n). Indicate <i>if</i> and <i>how much</i> the overall fitness score of a population is dependent on the other population.
<code>timing_humans</code> , <code>timing_plants</code>	$timing_H$, $timing_P$	Iterations past until coevolution successfully changes the proportions of population per type; generally, when $pop_{A_1} \gg pop_{A_n}$ or, more specifically, $coevo_A > coevo_\theta$.
<code>time_end</code>	t_{end}	Iterations past until the end state (<i>stationary point</i>)

Experimental design

Although relatively simple, the HPC model has a total of 17 parameters. We did not engage in fixing any of these parameters to fit a particular case study as a strategy to reduce the complexity of results. In turn, as our aim is to explore theoretical grounds, we scrutinised the ‘multiverse’ of scenarios that potentially represent the relationship between any given human population and any given plant species. The complexity of the model was managed by exploring the parameter space progressively, observing the multiplicity of cases in single runs, two and four parameter explorations, and an extensive exploration including 15 parameters (all, except ini_H and ini_P). The latter type of exploration was performed by simulating 10.000 parameter settings sampled with the Latin Hypercube Sampling (LHS) technique (McKay, Beckman, and Conover 1979) and Strauss optimization (Damblin, Couplet, and Iooss 2013). All simulation runs were executed for a maximum of 5.000 time steps.

Chapter 1

Single runs

Attractors are system stable states, in which all variables become, at some level, predictable (i.e., they attract trajectories). For most of the conditions explored, the HPC model displays stationary points, which are attractors where variables converge and do not change unless the system is perturbed.

Another kind of attractor, oscillations, exists. A special case of oscillation occurs when either the iteration unit or at least one of the intrinsic growth rates are greater than the unit ($dt > 1$ or $rA > 1$). Such behaviour is a common feature of logistic growth models and was already observed and analysed by Hastings (1997). More interestingly, certain, less extreme parameter configurations also produce oscillatory states (see last section in this chapter).

1.1 Fast coevolution (default)

Table 1.1: Parameter setting

parameter	values
initial_population_humans	10
initial_population_plants	10
number_types_humans	30
number_types_plants	30
undirected_variation_humans	0.15
undirected_variation_plants	0.15
intrinsic_growth_rate_humans	0.04
intrinsic_growth_rate_plants	0.1
utility_per_capita_type_n_plants_to_humans	1.5
utility_per_capita_type_n_humans_to_plants	1
utility_per_capita_type_1_plants_to_humans	0.15
utility_per_capita_type_1_humans_to_plants	0
utility_other_to_type_n_humans	10
utility_other_to_type_n_plants	20
utility_other_to_type_1_humans	80
utility_other_to_type_1_plants	100
max_area	200
max_iterations	5000
reltol_exponential	6
coevolution_threshold	0.5

Table 1.2: Output variables (values at end state)

Abbreviation	Value
time_end	699
coevolution_coefficient_humans	0.6913054
coevolution_coefficient_plants	0.6763894
dependency_coefficient_humans	0.8855591
dependency_coefficient_plants	0.8058295
timing_humans	236
timing_plants	252




Figure 1.1: Plotting the end state, i.e. both populations become stationary






Figure 1.2: Plotting population trajectories with ggplot2


1.2 No coevolution




1.3 Coevolution with early cultivation




1.4 Coevolution with early domestication




1.5 Cultivation without domestication




1.6 Coevolution with population “bleep”




1.7 Coevolution with population “boom”




1.8 Coevolution with long population “boom”




1.9 Semi-coevolution (stationary point)



1.10 Semi-coevolution (oscillations)





Chapter 2

One parameter exploration

2.1 Full example (table+plot alternatives)

2.1.1 utility per capita of type n plants to humans ($\bar{U}_{P_n H}$):

```
## [1] 31
## [1] 31
```

Table 2.1: Parameter setting

parameter	value
initial_population_humans	10
initial_population_plants	10
number_types_humans	30
number_types_plants	30
undirected_variation_humans	0.15
undirected_variation_plants	0.15
intrinsic_growth_rate_humans	0.04
intrinsic_growth_rate_plants	0.1
utility_per_capita_type_n_plants_to_humans	0.5 - 2.5 (sample = 100)
utility_per_capita_type_n_humans_to_plants	1
utility_per_capita_type_1_plants_to_humans	0.15
utility_per_capita_type_1_humans_to_plants	0
utility_other_to_type_n_humans	10
utility_other_to_type_n_plants	20
utility_other_to_type_1_humans	80
utility_other_to_type_1_plants	100
max_area	200
max_iterations	5000
reltol_exponential	6
coevolution_threshold	0.5
humans	89.8095348728372 - 472.074840353865 (sample = 1)
plants	104.041173556269 - 200 (sample = 45)
coevolution_coefficient_humans	-0.618988585806422 - 0.698779265650612 (sample = 1)
coevolution_coefficient_plants	-0.67653715347976 - 0.690301736910053 (sample = 1)
dependency_coefficient_humans	-0.569716349279568 - 0.931253547354916 (sample = 1)
dependency_coefficient_plants	-0.765398002302459 - 0.879740522206507 (sample = 1)
timing_humans	0 - 574 (sample = 56)
timing_plants	0 - 591 (sample = 54)
time_end	431 - 1189 (sample = 86)
adaptativeCost.H	0
adaptativeCost.P	0






Figure 2.1: Bifurcation plot (ggplot2)




2.2 Exploration on ‘default’ setting for each parameter:


2.2.1 Initial populations of humans and plants ($init_H$, $init_P$)





2.2.2 Number of types of humans and plants (n_H , n_P):




2.2. EXPLORATION ON ‘DEFAULT’ SETTING FOR EACH PARAMETER:39




2.2.3 level of undirected variation in humans and plants
 (v_H, v_P) :




2.2.4 intrinsic growth rates for human and plant populations (r_H , r_P):




2.2.5 utility per capita of type n plants to humans ($\bar{U}_{P_n H}$):




2.2.6 utility per capita of type n human to plants (\bar{U}_{H_nP}):




2.2.7 utility per capita of type 1 plants to humans (\bar{U}_{P_1H}):




2.2.8 utility per capita of type 1 humans to plants (\bar{U}_{H_1P}):




2.2.9 utility of other resources to humans of type 1 (U_{bH_1}):




2.2.10 utility of non-anthropic space to type 1 plants (U_{bP_1}):




2.2.11 utility of other resources to type n humans (U_{bH_n}):



2.2.12 utility of non-anthropic space to type n plants (U_{bP_n}):




2.2.13 maximum contiguous area to be used by plants ($\max_a rea$):



2.3 Oscilations

Bifurcation plot with last 100 time steps (of 1000) to capture oscillations or ‘slow’ asymptotic stability



Chapter 3

Two parameter exploration


3.1 Full example

3.1.1 Utility per capita from type n humans and plants $(\bar{U}_{H_n P} \times \bar{U}_{P_n H})$

```
## [1] 31
## [1] 31
```


Table 3.1: Parameter setting

parameter	value
initial_population_humans	10
initial_population_plants	10
number_types_humans	30
number_types_plants	30
undirected_variation_humans	0.15
undirected_variation_plants	0.15
intrinsic_growth_rate_humans	0.04
intrinsic_growth_rate_plants	0.1
utility_per_capita_type_n_plants_to_humans	0 - 3 (sample = 15)
utility_per_capita_type_n_humans_to_plants	0 - 3 (sample = 15)
utility_per_capita_type_1_plants_to_humans	0.15
utility_per_capita_type_1_humans_to_plants	0
utility_other_to_type_n_humans	10
utility_other_to_type_n_plants	20
utility_other_to_type_1_humans	80
utility_other_to_type_1_plants	100
max_area	200
max_iterations	5000
reltol_exponential	6
coevolution_threshold	0.5
humans	83.2614080618352 - 567.203133144437 (sample = 2)
plants	93.7854570454264 - 200 (sample = 91)
coevolution_coefficient_humans	-0.659187038874794 - 0.700598035631623 (sample = 2)
coevolution_coefficient_plants	-0.717940961816382 - 0.70401267833436 (sample = 2)
dependency_coefficient_humans	-0.695128994570018 - 0.943047405719581 (sample = 2)
dependency_coefficient_plants	-1 - 0.965964431960564 (sample = 212)
timing_humans	0 - 797 (sample = 82)
timing_plants	0 - 882 (sample = 83)
time_end	380 - 1407 (sample = 122)
adaptativeCost.H	0
adaptativeCost.P	0




3.2 Exploration on ‘default’ setting for parameter pairs


3.2.1 Number of types of humans and plants ($n_H \times n_P$)




3.2.2 Undirected variation in humans and plants ($v_H \times v_P$)




3.2.3 Utility per capita from type 1 humans and plants $(\bar{U}_{H_1P} \times \bar{U}_{P_1H})$




3.2.4 Utility per capita from type n humans and plants $(\bar{U}_{H_n P} \times \bar{U}_{P_n H})$




3.2.5 Utility per capita from humans to plants ($\bar{U}_{H_1P} \times \bar{U}_{H_nP}$)




3.2.6 Utility per capita from plants to humans ($\bar{U}_{P_1H} \times \bar{U}_{P_nH}$)




3.2.7 Utility of other resources to type 1 humans and plants ($U_{bH_1} \times U_{bP_1}$)




3.2.8 Utility of other resources to type n humans and plants ($U_{bH_n} \times U_{bP_n}$)




3.2.9 Utility of other resources to humans ($U_{bH_1} \times U_{bH_n}$)




3.2.10 Utility of other resources to plants ($U_{bP_1} \times U_{bP_n}$)




3.2.11 Utility of other resources to type 1 humans and utility per capita of type 1 humans to plants (U_{bH_1} x \bar{U}_{H_1P})




3.2.12 Utility of other resources to type 1 plants and utility per capita of type 1 plants to humans ($U_{bP_1} \times \bar{U}_{P_1 H}$)



3.2.13 Utility of other resources to type n humans and utility per capita of type n humans to plants (U_{bH_n} x \bar{U}_{H_nP})



3.2.14 Utility of other resources to type n plants and utility per capita of type n plants to humans ($U_{bP_n} \times \bar{U}_{P_n H}$)




Chapter 4

Four parameter exploration

4.1 Number of types and undirected variation of humans and plants ($n_H \times n_P \times v_H \times v_P$)

Table 4.1: Parameter setting

parameter	value
initial_population_humans	10
initial_population_plants	10
number_types_humans	5 - 45 (sample = 5)
number_types_plants	5 - 45 (sample = 5)
undirected_variation_humans	0.05 - 0.25 (sample = 5)
undirected_variation_plants	0.05 - 0.25 (sample = 5)
intrinsic_growth_rate_humans	0.04
intrinsic_growth_rate_plants	0.1
utility_per_capita_type_n_plants_to_humans	1.5
utility_per_capita_type_n_humans_to_plants	1
utility_per_capita_type_1_plants_to_humans	0.15
utility_per_capita_type_1_humans_to_plants	0
utility_other_to_type_n_humans	10
utility_other_to_type_n_plants	20
utility_other_to_type_1_humans	80
utility_other_to_type_1_plants	100
max_area	200
max_iterations	5000
reldtol_exponential	6
coevolution_threshold	0.5
humans	85.1414283817248 - 303.920561546526 (sample = 6)
plants	93.5982997036815 - 200 (sample = 372)
coevolution_coefficient_humans	-0.893138319410505 - 0.796970470817761 (sample = 6)
coevolution_coefficient_plants	-0.918044258212089 - 0.784003454561172 (sample = 6)
dependency_coefficient_humans	-0.632605349151667 - 0.897316999088837 (sample = 6)
dependency_coefficient_plants	-0.943727131580906 - 0.820755925015822 (sample = 6)
timing_humans	0 - 1117 (sample = 131)
timing_plants	0 - 1074 (sample = 84)
time_end	427 - 3284 (sample = 240)
adaptativeCost.H	0
adaptativeCost.P	0




Interpretation:

- Higher values of all four parameters facilitate coevolution. Undirected variation has a stronger effect than number of types.
- As a summary of possible end-states:
 - + ‘Fast’ coevolution (red square in blue tile, small t): most cases when the numbers of types (n_H, n_P) are greater than **15** and values of undirected variation (v_H, v_P) higher than **0.15**.
 - + ‘Semi-domestication’ without cultivation (reddish square in whitish tile): cases when $v_P \geq 0.15$ and $v_H \leq 0.15$.
 - + ‘Semi-cultivation’ without domestication (whitish square in blue tile): cases when $v_H \geq 0.15$ and $v_P \leq 0.15$.

4.2 Utility per capita between humans and plants ($\bar{U}_{H_1P} \times \bar{U}_{P_1H} \times \bar{U}_{H_nP} \times \bar{U}_{P_nH}$)

Table 4.2: Parameter setting

parameter	value
initial_population_humans	10
initial_population_plants	10
number_types_humans	30
number_types_plants	30
undirected_variation_humans	0.15
undirected_variation_plants	0.15
intrinsic_growth_rate_humans	0.04
intrinsic_growth_rate_plants	0.1
utility_per_capita_type_n_plants_to_humans	0 - 3 (sample = 5)
utility_per_capita_type_n_humans_to_plants	0 - 3 (sample = 5)
utility_per_capita_type_1_plants_to_humans	0 - 3 (sample = 5)
utility_per_capita_type_1_humans_to_plants	0 - 3 (sample = 5)
utility_other_to_type_n_humans	10
utility_other_to_type_n_plants	20
utility_other_to_type_1_humans	80
utility_other_to_type_1_plants	100
max_area	200
max_iterations	5000
reltol_exponential	6
coevolution_threshold	0.5
humans	74.5622526385153 - 616.122991274673 (sample = 6)
plants	93.7854569646093 - 200 (sample = 222)
coevolution_coefficient_humans	-0.717940961994967 - 0.701298246126613 (sample = 6)
coevolution_coefficient_plants	-0.717941007482056 - 0.704797600572959 (sample = 6)
dependency_coefficient_humans	-1 - 0.947663005457465 (sample = 601)
dependency_coefficient_plants	-1 - 0.971381159245891 (sample = 602)
timing_humans	0 - 219 (sample = 70)
timing_plants	0 - 290 (sample = 85)
time_end	300 - 4999 (sample = 248)
adaptativeCost.H	0
adaptativeCost.P	0



Interpretation:


- Higher values of all four parameters facilitate coevolution; under the ‘default’ setting, a value around 1 is enough for all four parameters (intermediate values in this exploration).
- Coevolution is still possible if any single one of these parameters equal zero (first rows or first columns). Under this type of conditions, cultivation (blue) is more probable than domestication (red), and the latter is strongly dependent on a non-null \bar{U}_{H_nP} .
- As a summary of possible end-states:
 - + ‘Fast’ coevolution (red square in blue tile, small t): most cases when values are greater than 0.75.
 - + Domestication without cultivation (red square in whitish tile): most cases when $\bar{U}_{H_nP} > 0.75$, $\bar{U}_{H_1P} \geq 0.75$, $\bar{U}_{P_nH} = 0$, and $\bar{U}_{P_1H} < 2$.
 - + Cultivation without domestication (whitish square in blue tile): most cases when $\bar{U}_{H_nP} = 0$.

4.3 Utility from other resources to humans and plants ($U_{bH_1} \times U_{bP_1} \times U_{bH_n} \times U_{bP_n}$)

For this experiment, consider that the default setting includes $MaxArea = 200$ (i.e. the maximum for the plant population).

Table 4.3: Parameter setting

parameter	value
initial_population_humans	10
initial_population_plants	10
number_types_humans	30
number_types_plants	30
undirected_variation_humans	0.15
undirected_variation_plants	0.15
intrinsic_growth_rate_humans	0.04
intrinsic_growth_rate_plants	0.1
utility_per_capita_type_n_plants_to_humans	1.5
utility_per_capita_type_n_humans_to_plants	1
utility_per_capita_type_1_plants_to_humans	0.15
utility_per_capita_type_1_humans_to_plants	0
utility_other_to_type_n_humans	5 - 300 (sample = 5)
utility_other_to_type_n_plants	5 - 300 (sample = 5)
utility_other_to_type_1_humans	5 - 300 (sample = 5)
utility_other_to_type_1_plants	5 - 300 (sample = 5)
max_area	200
max_iterations	5000
reltol_exponential	6
coevolution_threshold	0.5
humans	7.02756257875232 - 560.973786604167 (sample = 6)
plants	6.24776319282656 - 200 (sample = 256)
coevolution_coefficient_humans	-0.662899373475303 - 0.703777349450851 (sample = 6)
coevolution_coefficient_plants	-0.682616984408088 - 0.704560157675581 (sample = 6)
dependency_coefficient_humans	-0.709161863456466 - 0.964351497555221 (sample = 6)
dependency_coefficient_plants	-0.793157825095748 - 0.969736541196175 (sample = 6)
timing_humans	0 - 1593 (sample = 54)
timing_plants	0 - 2475 (sample = 54)
time_end	359 - 4999 (sample = 362)
adaptativeCost.H	0
adaptativeCost.P	0



Interpretation:

- Lower values of the two human-related parameters (U_{bh_1}, U_{bh_n}) facilitate coevolution; under the ‘default’ setting and for all four parameters, values greater or equal to $MaxArea$ (here, 200) impede coevolution. Inversely, higher values of the plant-related parameters (U_{bp_1}, U_{bp_n}) facilitate coevolution. The human-related parameters, together regulating the scale of the subsistence alternatives for humans, are significantly more important; their relationship (if one is greater than the other) seems to be less important as long as their combined sum is small enough.
- Coevolution is likely to occur when $U_{bh_1} = 5$ (first row in small grids), unless U_{bh_n} is too big and U_{bp_1} is too small.
- As a summary of possible end-states:
 - + ‘Fast’ coevolution (red square in blue tile, small t): most cases when U_{bh_1} and $U_{bh_n} < 153$.
 - + Domestication without cultivation (red square in whitish tile): most cases when $U_{bp_n} = 5, U_{bp_1} = 5$ (i.e. there is very little carrying capacity for plants)

beyond the anthropic space) and $U_{bH_1} > 5$ (i.e. humans get enough of other resources when -still- not engaged in agriculture).

+ *Cultivation without domestication* (whitish square in blue tile): *no cases are visible under these conditions.*


4.4. UTILITY FROM OTHER RESOURCES TO HUMANS AND UTILITY PER CAPITA OF PLANTS TO HUMANS

4.4 Utility from other resources to humans and utility per capita of plants to humans (U_{bH_1} $\times U_{bH_n} \times \bar{U}_{P_1H} \times \bar{U}_{P_nH}$)

All four parameters affect directly the carrying capacity for humans.

Table 4.4: Parameter setting

parameter	value
initial_population_humans	10
initial_population_plants	10
number_types_humans	30
number_types_plants	30
undirected_variation_humans	0.15
undirected_variation_plants	0.15
intrinsic_growth_rate_humans	0.04
intrinsic_growth_rate_plants	0.1
utility_per_capita_type_n_plants_to_humans	0 - 3 (sample = 5)
utility_per_capita_type_n_humans_to_plants	1
utility_per_capita_type_1_plants_to_humans	0 - 3 (sample = 5)
utility_per_capita_type_1_humans_to_plants	0
utility_other_to_type_n_humans	5 - 300 (sample = 5)
utility_other_to_type_n_plants	20
utility_other_to_type_1_humans	5 - 300 (sample = 5)
utility_other_to_type_1_plants	100
max_area	200
max_iterations	5000
reltol_exponential	6
coevolution_threshold	0.5
humans	5.00002240303587 - 899.99997719765 (sample = 625)
plants	94.1200898799022 - 200 (sample = 271)
coevolution_coefficient_humans	-0.717941202547936 - 0.70652009988421 (sample = 625)
coevolution_coefficient_plants	-0.716798037205644 - 0.697896212142682 (sample = 625)
dependency_coefficient_humans	-1 - 0.983471074380165 (sample = 602)
dependency_coefficient_plants	-0.991746501733027 - 0.925626655652406 (sample = 625)
timing_humans	0 - 384 (sample = 135)
timing_plants	0 - 2535 (sample = 100)
time_end	256 - 2965 (sample = 270)
adaptativeCost.H	0
adaptativeCost.P	0




4.5. UTILITY FROM OTHER RESOURCES TO PLANTS AND UTILITY PER CAPITA OF HUMANS TO PLANTS

4.5 Utility from other resources to plants and utility per capita of humans to plants (U_{bP_1} $\times U_{bP_n} \times \bar{U}_{H_1 P} \times \bar{U}_{H_n P}$)

All four parameters affect directly the carrying capacity for plants.

Table 4.5: Parameter setting

parameter	value
initial_population_humans	10
initial_population_plants	10
number_types_humans	30
number_types_plants	30
undirected_variation_humans	0.15
undirected_variation_plants	0.15
intrinsic_growth_rate_humans	0.04
intrinsic_growth_rate_plants	0.1
utility_per_capita_type_n_plants_to_humans	1.5
utility_per_capita_type_n_humans_to_plants	0 - 3 (sample = 5)
utility_per_capita_type_1_plants_to_humans	0.15
utility_per_capita_type_1_humans_to_plants	0 - 3 (sample = 5)
utility_other_to_type_n_humans	10
utility_other_to_type_n_plants	5 - 300 (sample = 5)
utility_other_to_type_1_humans	80
utility_other_to_type_1_plants	5 - 300 (sample = 5)
max_area	200
max_iterations	5000
reltol_exponential	6
coevolution_threshold	0.5
humans	75.6396438991906 - 293.849206414227 (sample = 616)
plants	5.000000000000001 - 200 (sample = 87)
coevolution_coefficient_humans	-0.713157644428381 - 0.691647445194847 (sample = 616)
coevolution_coefficient_plants	-0.717940962059172 - 0.707255595709155 (sample = 610)
dependency_coefficient_humans	-0.966304662195923 - 0.887558668374038 (sample = 617)
dependency_coefficient_plants	-1 - 0.988720290052166 (sample = 602)
timing_humans	0 - 723 (sample = 93)
timing_plants	0 - 460 (sample = 169)
time_end	265 - 3596 (sample = 330)
adaptativeCost.H	0
adaptativeCost.P	0




4.6. UTILITY FROM OTHER RESOURCES AND UTILITY PER CAPITA OF TYPE 1 HUMANS AND PLANTS

4.6 Utility from other resources and utility per capita of type 1 humans and plants ($U_{bP_1} \times U_{bH_1} \times \bar{U}_{H_1 P} \times \bar{U}_{P_1 H}$)

This exploration reflects the state at the start of simulations (both populations are mostly of type 1).

Table 4.6: Parameter setting

parameter	value
initial_population_humans	10
initial_population_plants	10
number_types_humans	30
number_types_plants	30
undirected_variation_humans	0.15
undirected_variation_plants	0.15
intrinsic_growth_rate_humans	0.04
intrinsic_growth_rate_plants	0.1
utility_per_capita_type_n_plants_to_humans	1.5
utility_per_capita_type_n_humans_to_plants	1
utility_per_capita_type_1_plants_to_humans	0 - 3 (sample = 5)
utility_per_capita_type_1_humans_to_plants	0 - 3 (sample = 5)
utility_other_to_type_n_humans	10
utility_other_to_type_n_plants	20
utility_other_to_type_1_humans	5 - 300 (sample = 5)
utility_other_to_type_1_plants	5 - 300 (sample = 5)
max_area	200
max_iterations	5000
reltol_exponential	6
coevolution_threshold	0.5
humans	6.65445468351648 - 373.829001349403 (sample = 580)
plants	7.25341088271487 - 200 (sample = 22)
coevolution_coefficient_humans	-0.689067710065305 - 0.700801110595132 (sample = 580)
coevolution_coefficient_plants	-0.700948542920777 - 0.6969339607777 (sample = 625)
dependency_coefficient_humans	-0.82470273295647 - 0.944381662989186 (sample = 580)
dependency_coefficient_plants	-0.889305217158245 - 0.919567244926042 (sample = 625)
timing_humans	0 - 674 (sample = 127)
timing_plants	0 - 4012 (sample = 149)
time_end	326 - 4456 (sample = 235)
adaptativeCost.H	0
adaptativeCost.P	0




4.7 Utility from other resources and utility per capita of type n humans and plants ($U_{bP_n} \times U_{bH_n} \times \bar{U}_{H_n P} \times \bar{U}_{P_n H}$)

This exploration reflects the state after a successful coevolution (both populations are mostly of type n).

Table 4.7: Parameter setting

parameter	value
initial_population_humans	10
initial_population_plants	10
number_types_humans	30
number_types_plants	30
undirected_variation_humans	0.15
undirected_variation_plants	0.15
intrinsic_growth_rate_humans	0.04
intrinsic_growth_rate_plants	0.1
utility_per_capita_type_n_plants_to_humans	0 - 3 (sample = 5)
utility_per_capita_type_n_humans_to_plants	0 - 3 (sample = 5)
utility_per_capita_type_1_plants_to_humans	0.15
utility_per_capita_type_1_humans_to_plants	0
utility_other_to_type_n_humans	5 - 300 (sample = 5)
utility_other_to_type_n_plants	5 - 300 (sample = 5)
utility_other_to_type_1_humans	80
utility_other_to_type_1_plants	100
max_area	200
max_iterations	5000
reltol_exponential	6
coevolution_threshold	0.5
humans	64.1666430895196 - 807.328991049208 (sample = 625)
plants	92.6202301643023 - 200 (sample = 277)
coevolution_coefficient_humans	-0.679128503735363 - 0.70302520295008 (sample = 625)
coevolution_coefficient_plants	-0.717940999312672 - 0.706962738602103 (sample = 547)
dependency_coefficient_humans	-0.777008961746428 - 0.959230192691127 (sample = 625)
dependency_coefficient_plants	-1 - 0.986623853348364 (sample = 502)
timing_humans	0 - 1987 (sample = 118)
timing_plants	0 - 1996 (sample = 134)
time_end	333 - 2463 (sample = 293)
adaptativeCost.H	0
adaptativeCost.P	0




4.8. UTILITY FROM OTHER RESOURCES TO TYPE 1 HUMANS AND PLANTS AND UTILITY PER CAPITA

4.8 Utility from other resources to type 1 humans and plants and utility per capita of type n humans and plants ($U_{bP_1} \times U_{bH_1} \times \bar{U}_{H_n P} \times \bar{U}_{P_n H}$)

Table 4.8: Parameter setting


parameter	value
initial_population_humans	10
initial_population_plants	10
number_types_humans	30
number_types_plants	30
undirected_variation_humans	0.15
undirected_variation_plants	0.15
intrinsic_growth_rate_humans	0.04
intrinsic_growth_rate_plants	0.1
utility_per_capita_type_n_plants_to_humans	0 - 3 (sample = 5)
utility_per_capita_type_n_humans_to_plants	0 - 3 (sample = 5)
utility_per_capita_type_1_plants_to_humans	0.15
utility_per_capita_type_1_humans_to_plants	0
utility_other_to_type_n_humans	10
utility_other_to_type_n_plants	20
utility_other_to_type_1_humans	5 - 300 (sample = 5)
utility_other_to_type_1_plants	5 - 300 (sample = 5)
max_area	200
max_iterations	5000
reltol_exponential	6
coevolution_threshold	0.5
humans	6.38567677603494 - 589.026150117979 (sample = 601)
plants	6.1652021286834 - 200 (sample = 161)
coevolution_coefficient_humans	-0.717093307895232 - 0.704001346199569 (sample = 601)
coevolution_coefficient_plants	-0.717942713336574 - 0.705583334637245 (sample = 550)
dependency_coefficient_humans	-0.99386768837643 - 0.965886637096393 (sample = 601)
dependency_coefficient_plants	-1 - 0.976861123972666 (sample = 502)
timing_humans	0 - 626 (sample = 165)
timing_plants	0 - 931 (sample = 145)
time_end	233 - 4539 (sample = 258)
adaptativeCost.H	0
adaptativeCost.P	0



4.9 Utility from other resources to type n humans and plants and utility per capita of type 1 humans and plants ($U_{bP_n} \times U_{bH_n} \times \bar{U}_{H_1 P} \times \bar{U}_{P_1 H}$)

Table 4.9: Parameter setting

parameter	value
initial_population_humans	10
initial_population_plants	10
number_types_humans	30
number_types_plants	30
undirected_variation_humans	0.15
undirected_variation_plants	0.15
intrinsic_growth_rate_humans	0.04
intrinsic_growth_rate_plants	0.1
utility_per_capita_type_n_plants_to_humans	1.5
utility_per_capita_type_n_humans_to_plants	1
utility_per_capita_type_1_plants_to_humans	0 - 3 (sample = 5)
utility_per_capita_type_1_humans_to_plants	0 - 3 (sample = 5)
utility_other_to_type_n_humans	5 - 300 (sample = 5)
utility_other_to_type_n_plants	5 - 300 (sample = 5)
utility_other_to_type_1_humans	80
utility_other_to_type_1_plants	100
max_area	200
max_iterations	5000
reltol_exponential	6
coevolution_threshold	0.5
humans	85.9008612229338 - 612.763495452455 (sample = 625)
plants	100.91653600923 - 200 (sample = 35)
coevolution_coefficient_humans	-0.668621412131363 - 0.70045091719619 (sample = 602)
coevolution_coefficient_plants	-0.687782870795182 - 0.704835508737043 (sample = 625)
dependency_coefficient_humans	-0.731815848104177 - 0.942083141982177 (sample = 601)
dependency_coefficient_plants	-0.818236588616326 - 0.971644214855913 (sample = 625)
timing_humans	0 - 181 (sample = 94)
timing_plants	0 - 291 (sample = 142)
time_end	318 - 1892 (sample = 261)
adaptativeCost.H	0
adaptativeCost.P	0



Chapter 5

Multiple parameter exploration

5.1 Sampling parameter values with Latin Hypercube Sampling (LHS)

Table 5.1: Ranges of parameter exploration


parameter	value
number_types_humans,	[3, 50], [3, 50]
number_types_plants	
undirected_variation_humans	[0.1, 0.3], [0.1, 0.3]
undirected_variation_plants	
intrinsic_growth_rate_humans	[0.01, 0.3], [0.01, 0.3]
intrinsic_growth_rate_plants	
utility_per_capita_type_0_plants_to_humans,	
utility_per_capita_type_n_humans_to_plants	
utility_per_capita_type_10_plants_to_humans,	
utility_per_capita_type_1_humans_to_plants	
utility_other_to_type_n_humans	, [1, 300]
utility_other_to_type_n_plants	
max_area	[,]
utility_other_to_type_1_humans	, [1, 300]
utility_other_to_type_1_plants	

```
## [1] 15
## [1] 15
```

5.1. SAMPLING PARAMETER VALUES WITH LATIN HYPERCUBE SAMPLING (LHS)85

Table 5.2: ACTUAL parameter values

parameter	value
number_types_humans	3 - 50 (sample = 48)
number_types_plants	3 - 50 (sample = 48)
undirected_variation_humans	0.10005 - 0.29998 (sample = 7821)
undirected_variation_plants	0.10003 - 0.29994 (sample = 7911)
intrinsic_growth_rate_humans	0.01 - 0.29999 (sample = 8471)
intrinsic_growth_rate_plants	0.01002 - 0.29995 (sample = 8446)
utility_per_capita_type_n_plants_to_humans	2e-04 - 2.9996 (sample = 8529)
utility_per_capita_type_n_humans_to_plants	4e-04 - 3 (sample = 8483)
utility_per_capita_type_1_plants_to_humans	6e-04 - 2.9998 (sample = 8503)
utility_per_capita_type_1_humans_to_plants	8e-04 - 2.9999 (sample = 8515)
utility_other_to_type_n_humans	1.0158 - 299.9876 (sample = 9985)
utility_other_to_type_n_plants	1.0571 - 299.9363 (sample = 9982)
utility_other_to_type_1_humans	1.0081 - 299.9701 (sample = 9978)
utility_other_to_type_1_plants	1.0246 - 299.9917 (sample = 9987)
max_area	1.0577 - 299.9854 (sample = 9972)



5.2 Experiment overview

5.2.1 End-states

Are there any humans?

humans_exists	Freq
FALSE	15
TRUE	9985

Are there any plants?

plants_exists	Freq
FALSE	15
TRUE	9985

Did humans not evolved by far? (coevolution coefficient is less than -0.5)

humans_notByFarEvolved	Freq
FALSE	8561
TRUE	1439

Did plants not evolved by far? (coevolution coefficient is less than -0.5)

plants_notByFarEvolved	Freq
FALSE	9792
TRUE	208

Did humans evolved mildly? (coevolution coefficient is positive, but less than 0.5)

humans_mildEvolved	Freq
FALSE	5966
TRUE	4034

Did plants evolved mildly? (coevolution coefficient is positive, but less than 0.5)

plants_mildEvolved	Freq
FALSE	5471
TRUE	4529


Did humans evolved fully? (coevolution coefficient is greater than 0.5)

humans_fullEvolved	Freq
FALSE	8162
TRUE	1838


Did plants evolved fully? (coevolution coefficient is greater than 0.5)


plants_fullEvolved	Freq
FALSE	5671
TRUE	4329

Population and output variables



5.2.2 Trajectories






5.3 Random forest


5.3.1 Optimisation

```
## pdf
## 2
```




5.3.2 Coevolution coefficients

Only using those runs with any humans (coevolution_coefficient_humans) and plants (coevolution_coefficient_plants) at the end-state.




5.3.3 Dependency coefficients

Only using those runs with any humans (dependency_coefficient_humans) and plants (dependency_coefficient_plants) at the end-state.




5.3.4 Timings

Only using those runs with successful human (timing_humans) and plant (timing_.P_plants) evolution.




5.4 Visualisation of parameter effect

5.4.1 The effect on coevolution coefficients of the utility per capita of type n humans to plants (\bar{U}_{H_nP})




5.4.2 All parameters


Coevolution coefficients



Dependency coefficients



Timings





5.5 Parameter effect split by scenarios

Scenario label	Scenario condition
plantsImprove	'utility_per_capita_type_n_plants_to_humans' > 'utility_per_capita_type_1_plants_to_humans'
humansImprove	'utility_per_capita_type_n_humans_to_plants' > 'utility_per_capita_type_1_humans_to_plants'
bothImprove	'utility_per_capita_type_n_plants_to_humans' > 'utility_per_capita_type_1_plants_to_humans' ~~~ AND ~~ 'utility_per_capita_type_n_humans_to_plants' > 'utility_per_capita_type_1_humans_to_plants'
plantsLessBase	'utility_other_to_type_1_plants' > 'utility_other_to_type_n_plants'
humansLessBase	'utility_other_to_type_1_humans' > 'utility_other_to_type_n_humans'
bothLessBase	'utility_per_capita_type_n_plants_to_humans' > 'utility_per_capita_type_1_plants_to_humans' ~~~ AND ~~ 'utility_per_capita_type_n_humans_to_plants' > 'utility_per_capita_type_1_humans_to_plants'


5.5.1 Mutualistic human type gives more utility ($\bar{U}_{H_nP} > \bar{U}_{H_1P}$)

Coevolution coefficients








Timings




5.5.2 Mutualistic plant type gives more utility ($\bar{U}_{P_n H} > \bar{U}_{P_1 H}$)



Coevolution coefficients






Dependency coefficients








Timings




5.5.3 Mutualistic types (human and plant) give more utility ($\bar{U}_{H_n P} > \bar{U}_{H_1 P}$ AND $\bar{U}_{P_n H} > \bar{U}_{P_1 H}$)



Coevolution coefficients






Dependency coefficients








Timings




5.5.4 Mutualistic human type gets less utility from other resources ($U_{bH_1} > U_{bH_n}$)



Coevolution coefficients






Dependency coefficients







Timings




5.5.5 Mutualistic plant type gets less utility from other resources ($U_{bP_1} > U_{bP_n}$)



Coevolution coefficients






Dependency coefficients








Timings




5.5.6 Mutualistic types (human and plant) get less utility from other resources ($U_{bH_1} > U_{bH_n}$ AND $U_{bP_1} > U_{bP_n}$)



Coevolution coefficients






Dependency coefficients





Timings



Chapter 6

References

- Damblin, G., M. Couplet, and B. Iooss. 2013. “Numerical studies of space-filling designs: Optimization of Latin Hypercube Samples and subprojection properties.” *Journal of Simulation* 7 (4): 276–89. <https://doi.org/10.1057/jos.2013.16>.
- Kingsland, Sharon. 1982. “The Refractory Model: The Logistic Curve and the History of Population Ecology.” *The Quarterly Review of Biology* 57 (1): 29–52. <https://doi.org/10.1086/412574>.
- McKay, M. D., R. J. Beckman, and W. J. Conover. 1979. “A Comparison of Three Methods for Selecting Values of Input Variables in the Analysis of Output from a Computer Code.” *Technometrics* 21 (2): 239–45. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1268522>.
- Pianka, Eric R . 1974. *Evolutionary Ecology*. New York: Harper; Row.