

MAT417 Lecture Notes

ARKY!! :3C

'25 Fall Semester

Contents

1	Day 1: Course Administrative Details and Preliminaries (Sep. 2, 2025)	2
2	Day 2: More accurate treatment of the Riemann-Zeta function (Sep. 4, 2025)	4
3	Day 3: (Sep. 9, 2025)	8

§1 Day 1: Course Administrative Details and Preliminaries (Sep. 2, 2025)

Course materials will be free and available online; here is a list of reference materials:

- Serre's *Course in Arithmetics* up to Chapter 4,
- Lecture notes by Noam Elkies (which will be posted on Quercus).

Homework will be posted every Thursday and due the following Thursday, and is worth **20%** of the course grade.

The central question of number theory is about the structure of prime numbers, of which the main analytic tools used are the Riemann ζ -functions and its relatives (the L -functions). We may discuss things like modular forms, Hecke operators and L -functions related to Galois representation later on.

Let us consider the following two questions;

- (a) How many primes are there? There are infinitely many of them.
- (b) Can you say something about how the primes are distributed?

Given $x > 0$, where x may be a natural or a real, let us define

$$\pi(x) = \#\{p \text{ is prime} \mid p \leq x\}.$$

Can we estimate how $\pi(x)$ grows? The prime number theorem states that the growth of $\pi(x)$ is proportional to $\frac{x}{\log x}$, i.e.,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{x / \log x} = 1, \quad \frac{\pi(x)}{x} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

As an exercise, show that the prime number theorem informally says that the n th prime p_n is of the size $n \log n$.

Theorem 1.1 (Dirichlet Theorem). Let a, d be coprime naturals where $a < d$. Consider all numbers of the form $a + kd$, where k is also a natural; infinitely many of these numbers are prime.

Proof. Done with L -functions. Check [here](#). □

Theorem 1.2 (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic). Any natural number N can be written uniquely as $p_1^{a_1} \dots p_n^{a_n}$, where p_i are primes and $a_i > 0$.

Proposition 1.3 (Euclid's Argument on the Infinitude of Primes). Assume that $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_n$ constitute all the primes. Then it is clear that $p_1 \dots p_n + 1$ is coprime to any p_i . By the fundamental theorem of arithmetic, this means that $p_1 \dots p_n + 1$ is divisible by a prime less than $p_1 \dots p_n + 1$ not given by some p_i , which is a contradiction.

Can we use this to get an estimate on $\pi(x)$? We claim that $\pi(x) > \log_2 \log_2 x$. Let p_n be the n th prime. Then

$$p_{n+1} < 1 + \prod_{i=1}^n p_i < \prod_{i=1}^n p_n.$$

If equality always held then we would have $p_n = 2^{2^{n-1}}$. However, in actuality, $p_n < 2^{2^{n-1}}$, so we must have that $\pi(x) > \log_2 \log_2 x$.

The Riemann-Zeta function is given by

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}.$$

Claim 1.4. ζ is absolutely convergent for any $s > 1$.

Proof. Will be given next class. □

Lemma 1.5. For $s > 1$, we have that

$$\zeta(s) \leq \prod_{p \text{ is prime}} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}}.$$

Proof. This is given directly by geometric series, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^{-is} = \sum_{\substack{p_1 < \dots < p_n \\ a_1, \dots, a_n > 0}} p_1^{a_1} \dots p_n^{a_n}. \quad \square$$

Moreover, if we had finitely many primes, we could apply this to $s = 1$ and obtain that the sum of $\frac{1}{n}$ is convergent, which is clearly false. This also implies that the sum of the reciprocals of primes is divergent, and you can't have $\pi(x)$ be bounded from above by Cx^D , where $C > 0, D < 1$.

§2 Day 2: More accurate treatment of the Riemann-Zeta function (Sep. 4, 2025)

Note that I won't be here for the second hour of Thursday classes because I have complex analysis during that time. Isaac will be taking the full hour's worth of notes, though. *I lied I'm staying for this lecture*

Today's lesson agenda is as follows,

- (i) More accurate treatment of $\zeta(s)$;
- (ii) Prove that $\sum_{p \text{ is prime}} \frac{1}{p}$ is divergent (per Euler),
- (iii) Start doing preaportory material for the Dirichlet theorem, and introduce the Dirichlet L -functions.

Lemma 2.1. The Riemann-Zeta function is convergent for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $s > 1$; it is absolutely convergent for $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re s > 1$.

We will later prove that for $\Re s > 1$, $\zeta(s)$ is a holomorphic function. Let's start by comparing $\sum \frac{1}{n^s}$ to $\int_1^\infty x^{-s} dx$; observe that

$$\int_1^a x^{-s} dx = \left. \frac{x^{1-s}}{1-s} \right|_1^a = \frac{a^{1-s}}{1-s} - \frac{1}{1-s},$$

of which a^{1-s} approaches 0 as $a \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, we have that

$$\int_1^\infty x^{-s} dx = \frac{1}{s-1}.$$

We also have that

$$\sum_{n=2}^\infty n^{-s} \leq \int_1^\infty x^{-s} dx = \frac{1}{s-1},$$

and

$$\sum_{n=2}^N n^{-s} \leq \int_1^N x^{-s} dx,$$

which yields convergence. Thus, we have that inequality that $\zeta(s) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{s-1}$.

Exercise 2.2. Run a very similar argument and prove that $\zeta(s) > \frac{1}{s-1}$. In particular,

$$\frac{1}{s-1} < \zeta(s) < 1 + \frac{1}{s-1}.$$

In particular, the Riemann-Zeta function can also be written in the *Euler product* form, given by

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \left(\frac{1}{1-p^{-s}} \right).$$

Taking the log of both sides, we get that

$$\log \zeta(s) = - \sum_p \log(1-p^{-s}).$$

From here on, we simply write a subscript of p on summations or products to indicate that they're prime (unless stated otherwise). Clearly, the above is divergent for $s = 1$.

Lemma 2.3. (i) For all $s_0 > 1$, there exists some constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\log \left| \sum_p p^{-s} - \log \frac{1}{s-1} \right| < M \text{ for all } 1 < s \leq s_0.$$

(ii) The sum of $\frac{1}{p}$ over all primes diverge.

Proof. We may rewrite the equation in the first line as follows,

$$\sum_p p^{-s} = \log \frac{1}{s-1} + O(1) \text{ as } s \rightarrow 1,$$

where we may note $O(1)$ is some bounded function. Recall the following,

Definition 2.4. Let f, g be functions on some space X , where $g \geq 0$. We say that $f = O(g)$ if $|f| \leq Mg$, where M is some constant.

In this manner, saying $f = O(1)$ is equivalent to saying that $|f|$ is bounded. Now, let us take the log of the entire following inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{s-1} &< \zeta(s) < 1 + \frac{1}{s-1} = \frac{s}{s-1}, \\ \log \left(\frac{1}{s-1} \right) &< - \sum_p \log(1 - p^{-s}) < \log \left(\frac{s}{s-1} \right), \\ 0 &< - \left(\log(s-1) + \sum_p \log(1 - p^{-s}) \right) < \log s \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

where the Taylor expansion of $|\log(1 - p^{-s}) - p^{-s}|$ is less than p^{-2s} .

Exercise 2.5. Check that $|\log(1 - y) - y| < y^2$ for $0 < y < 1$ for $y \in \mathbb{R}$. This is done by expanding $\log(1 + x)$ around $x = 0$.

Specifically, summing over all p and applying the triangle inequality, the above tells us that

$$\left| \sum_p (p^{-s} + \log(1 - p^{-s})) \right| < \sum_p p^{-2s} < \zeta(2).$$

Using both inequalities together, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \sum_p p^{-s} - \log \frac{1}{s-1} \right| \\ &= \left| \left(\sum_p p^{-s} + \sum_p \log(1 - p^{-s}) \right) - \left(\log \frac{1}{s-1} + \sum_p \log(1 - p^{-s}) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \zeta(2) + \log s \leq \zeta(2) + s_0 - 1, \end{aligned}$$

if $1 < s \leq s_0$. Indeed, this shows that $M = s_0 - 1 + \zeta(2)$ for (i). The second part of the lemma is also left as homework. \square

We now discuss Dirichlet series and Dirichlet L -functions. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$ be the invertible elements in the ring $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. Specifically, these are the residues modulo m which are prime to m . This forms an abelian group under multiplication, of which its size is given by the totient $\varphi(m)$.

Exercise 2.6. If m is prime, then $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$ is the cyclic group of order $m - 1$.

Fix a character $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, where \mathbb{C}^* are the nonzero complex numbers. Extend χ as a map $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\chi(n)\chi(m) = \chi(nm)$ as follows,

$$\chi(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \gcd(n, m) \neq 1, \\ \chi(n \bmod m) & \text{if } \gcd(n, m) = 1. \end{cases}$$

As an example, let $m = 3$, and consider $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^* = \{\pm 1\}$. Then

$$\chi(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 3 \mid n, \\ 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ -1 & \text{if } n \equiv -1 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

For all m , we have the trivial homomorphism $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$. Let $\chi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the function

$$\chi(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \gcd(n, m) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \gcd(n, m) \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then we may define the L -function

$$L(\chi, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}} \right).$$

Claim 2.7. $L(\chi, x)$ is absolutely convergent for $\Re s > 1$.

Theorem 2.8. (i) $L(\chi, s)$ is holomorphic for $\Re s > 1$. (ii) Assume the extension of χ is not equal to 1. Then $L(\chi, s)$ converges for $\Re s > 0$ and defines a holomorphic function there. (iii) If the extension of χ is not equal to 1, then $L(\chi, 1) \neq 0$.

Let G be a finite abelian group. Consider all characters $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$; they form a group G^\vee under multiplication.

Claim 2.9. (i) G^\vee is (non-canonically) isomorphic to G , and $\#G^\vee = \#G$. (ii) $(G^\vee)^\vee \cong G$ canonically.

Proof. The claim lets us say that if G is finite and abelian, then G is isomorphic to a product of finite cyclic groups

$$G \cong \prod_{i=1}^k (\mathbb{Z}/a_i\mathbb{Z}), \quad a_i > 1.$$

Using the fact that $(G \times H)^\vee \cong G^\vee \times H^\vee$, we see that specifying $\chi : G \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is equivalent to specifying characters χ_1, χ_2 on G and H respectively. Letting $a > 1$, we have that if $\chi : \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $g^a = 1$, we have that $\chi(g) \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $\chi(g)^a = 1$. This means that $\chi(g)$ must be an a th root of unity. All the roots of 1 of order a form a cyclic group of order a .

For the second part of the claim, in the direction of $G \rightarrow (G^\vee)^\vee$, we have that for each $g \in G$, we obtain a canonical map $G^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ where all $x \in G^\vee \mapsto \chi(g)$. \square

Lemma 2.10. This map is an isomorphism.

Lemma 2.11. (i) All $\chi \in G^\vee$ form a basis of $\mathbb{C}(G)$, the complex valued functions on G .
(ii) This basis is orthonormal with respect to $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_g f_1(g) \bar{f}_2(g)$.

Proof. We know that $\dim \mathbb{C}(G) = \#G = \#G^\vee$. Recall that we have

$$\langle \chi, \chi \rangle = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_g \chi(g) \bar{\chi}(g) = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_g \chi(g) \chi_g^{-1} = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_g \chi(gg^{-1}) = 1,$$

since $\chi(1) = 1$. Now, let us evaluate $\#G \langle \chi, 1 \rangle = \sum_g \chi(g)$. We have that since χ is not uniformly 1, there must exist some $h \in G$ such that $\chi(h) \neq 1$; and so

$$\chi(h) \sum_g \chi(g) = \sum_g \chi(hg) = \sum_g \chi(g),$$

meaning $\sum_g \chi(g) = 0$, as $\chi(h)$ is nonzero as well. Thus, we obtain that

$$\#G \langle \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle = \sum_g \chi_1(g) \bar{\chi}_2(g) = \sum_g \chi_1(g) \chi_2^{-1}(g),$$

meaning that $\#G \langle \chi_1 \chi_2^{-1}, 1 \rangle$. If $\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1} \neq 1$ (i.e., if $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$), then this is 0. \square

Let x_n be a sequence of elements of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \infty$. The main example we will be looking at is $\lambda_n = \log n$ (or $\lambda_n = n$), and the Dirichlet series $\sum_n a_n e^{-\lambda_n z}$ where $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$.

Next lecture, we will do some general analysis of convergence and analytic properties of such series. We will apply this to $L(\chi, s)$.

§3 Day 3: (Sep. 9, 2025)