

Rezolvarea sistemelor de ecuatii liniare

24-May-8

Sistem de ecuatii liniare cu n ecuatii si n necunoscute

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1 \\ a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2 \\ \dots \\ a_{n1}x_1 + a_{n2}x_2 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n = b_n \end{array} \right.$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \dots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \dots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

- Sistemul de mai sus se poate scrie sub forma

$$Ax = b$$

Metode de rezolvare

- Directe
 - Regula lui Cramer
 - Metoda eliminarii a lui Gauss
 - Metoda Gauss- Jordan
 - Metoda descompunerii LU
- Iterative
 - Gauss- Jacobi
 - Gauss-Seidel

Metode iterative

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1 \\ a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2 \\ \dots \\ a_{n1}x_1 + a_{n2}x_2 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n = b_n \end{array} \right.$$

Din fiecare ecuatie putem exprima, pe rand x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , in functie de celelalte necunoscute.

$$\begin{cases} a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1 \\ a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2 \\ \dots \\ a_{n1}x_1 + a_{n2}x_2 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n = b_n \end{cases}$$

$$x_1 = \frac{b_1 - (a_{12}x_2 + a_{13}x_3 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n)}{a_{11}}$$

$$x_2 = \frac{b_2 - (a_{21}x_1 + a_{23}x_3 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n)}{a_{22}}$$

$$x_i = \frac{b_i - (a_{i1}x_1 + \dots + a_{i,i-1}x_{i-1} + a_{i,i+1}x_{i+1} \dots + a_{in}x_n)}{a_{ii}}$$

$$x_n = \frac{b_n - (a_{n1}x_1 + a_{n2}x_2 + \dots + a_{n,n-1}x_{n-1})}{a_{nn}}$$

$$x_i = \frac{b_i - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n a_{ij} x_j}{a_{ii}} \text{ pentru orice } i = 1, \dots, n$$

- Vrem sa determinam $x=(x_i)_{i=1,\dots,n}$ astfel incat $Ax=b$.
- Metoda iterativa de rezolvare presupune ca se pleaca de la o valoare initiala a lui x ,
notata $x^{(0)} = (x_i^{(0)})_{i=1,\dots,n}$
si se construiesc un sir $(x^{(k)})_k$ ce converge la solutia sistemului.
- Sirul se defineste sub forma:
- $x^{(k+1)} = f(x^{(k)})$ pt $k \geq 1$

Metoda Jacobi

$$x_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{b_i - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n a_{ij} x_j^{(k)}}{a_{ii}} \text{ pentru orice } i = 1, \dots, n$$

- Algoritmul se opreste cand $x^{(k+1)}$ si $x^{(k)}$ sunt suficient de aproape adica

$$\left| x_i^{(k+1)} - x_i^{(k)} \right| \leq \epsilon \text{ pentru } \underline{\text{orice}} \ i = 1, \dots, n$$

epsilon

Teorema

Daca A este o matrice ^{strict} diagonal dominanta
atunci metoda Jacobi este convergenta.

Def:

A este o matrice ^{strict} diagonal dominanta daca

$$|a_{ii}| \geq \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n |a_{ij}| \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

Care din urmatoarele matrici sunt
strict diagonal dominante?

diagonal dominanta

A si C

>

La fel se arata ca C este matrice strict diagonal dominanta.

nu este diagonal dominanta
pt ca

Exemplu

$$\begin{bmatrix} -5 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 6 & -3 \\ 2 & 1 & 7 \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 32 \end{bmatrix}$$

Matricea sistemului este ^{strict} diagonal
dominanta?

DA

$$\begin{aligned} | -5 | &> | -1 | + | 2 | \\ | 6 | &> | 2 | + | -3 | \\ | 7 | &> | 2 | + | 1 | \end{aligned}$$

Rezolvarea sistemului

$$\begin{cases} -5x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 = 1 \\ 2x_1 + 6x_2 - 3x_3 = 2 \\ 2x_1 + x_2 + 7x_3 = 32 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} x_1 = -\frac{1}{5}(1 + x_2 - 2x_3) \\ x_2 = \frac{1}{6}(2 - 2x_1 + 3x_3) \\ x_3 = \frac{1}{7}(32 - 2x_1 - x_2) \end{cases}$$

Metoda Gauss-Jacobi

$$\begin{cases} x_1^{(k+1)} = -\frac{1}{5}(1 + x_2^{(k)} - 2x_3^{(k)}) \\ x_2^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{6}(2 - 2x_1^{(k)} + 3x_3^{(k)}) \\ x_3^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{7}(32 - 2x_1^{(k)} - x_2^{(k)}) \end{cases}$$

$x^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ si $\epsilon = 0.005$

Construirea sirului

$$x^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} x_1^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{5}(1 + x_2^{(0)} - 2x_3^{(0)}) = -0.2 \\ x_2^{(1)} = \frac{1}{6}(2 - 2x_1^{(0)} + 3x_3^{(0)}) = 0.3333 \\ x_3^{(1)} = \frac{1}{7}(32 - 2x_1^{(0)} - x_2^{(0)}) = 4.5714 \end{cases}$$

$$|x^{(1)} - x^{(0)}| = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 \\ 0.3333 \\ 4.5714 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} x_1^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{5}(1 + x_2^{(1)} - 2x_3^{(1)}) = 1.5619 \\ x_2^{(2)} = \frac{1}{6}(2 - 2x_1^{(1)} + 3x_3^{(1)}) = 2.6857 \\ x_3^{(2)} = \frac{1}{7}(32 - 2x_1^{(1)} - x_2^{(1)}) = 4.5810 \end{cases}$$

$$|x^{(2)} - x^{(1)}| = \begin{bmatrix} 1.7619 \\ 2.3524 \\ 0.0096 \end{bmatrix}$$

$x^{(1)}$
 $x^{(2)}$

k	$x_1^{(k)}$	$x_2^{(k)}$	$x_3^{(k)}$	$ x_1^{(k)} - x_1^{(k-1)} $	$ x_2^{(k)} - x_2^{(k-1)} $	$ x_3^{(k)} - x_3^{(k-1)} $
0	0	0	0			
1	-0.2	0.3333	4.5714			
2	1.5619	2.6857	4.5810			
3	1.0952	2.1032	3.7415			
4	0.8760	1.8390	3.9580			
5	1.0154	2.0204	4.0584			
6	1.0193	2.0241	3.9927			
7	0.9923	1.9899	3.9910			
8	0.9984	1.9981	4.0037	0.0061	0.0082	0.0127
9	1.0018	2.0023	4.0007	0.0034	0.0042	0.003
10	0.9998	1.9997	3.9991	0.002	0.0026	0.0016

solutia exacta este $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$

Metoda Gauss - Seidel

$$\begin{cases} a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1 \\ a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2 \\ \dots \\ a_{n1}x_1 + a_{n2}x_2 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n = b_n \end{cases}$$

$$x_1 = \frac{b_1 - (a_{12}x_2 + a_{13}x_3 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n)}{a_{11}}$$

$$x_2 = \frac{b_2 - (a_{21}x_1 + a_{23}x_3 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n)}{a_{22}}$$

$$x_i = \frac{b_i - (a_{i1}x_1 + \dots + a_{i,i-1}x_{i-1} + a_{i,i+1}x_{i+1} + \dots + a_{in}x_n)}{a_{ii}}$$

$$= \frac{b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}x_j - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij}x_j}{a_{ii}}$$

$$x_n = \frac{b_n - (a_{n1}x_1 + a_{n2}x_2 + \dots + a_{n,n-1}x_{n-1})}{a_{nn}}$$

Metoda Gauss - Seidel

$$x_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} x_j^{(k+1)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij} x_j^{(k)}}{a_{ii}} \text{ pentru orice } i = 1, \dots, n$$

- Algoritmul se opreste cand $x^{(k+1)}$ si $x^{(k)}$ sunt suficient de aproape adica

$$\left| x_i^{(k+1)} - x_i^{(k)} \right| \leq \epsilon \text{ pentru orice } i = 1, \dots, n$$

Teorema

Daca A este o matrice ^{strict} diagonal dominanta
atunci metoda Gauss-Seidel este convergenta.

Exemplu

$$\begin{bmatrix} -5 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 6 & -3 \\ 2 & 1 & 7 \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 32 \end{bmatrix}$$

Matricea sistemului este diagonal
dominanta?

DA

Rezolvarea sistemului

$$\begin{cases} -5x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 = 1 \\ 2x_1 + 6x_2 - 3x_3 = 2 \\ 2x_1 + x_2 + 7x_3 = 32 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} x_1 = -\frac{1}{5}(1 + x_2 - 2x_3) \\ x_2 = \frac{1}{6}(2 - 2x_1 + 3x_3) \\ x_3 = \frac{1}{7}(32 - 2x_1 - x_2) \end{cases}$$

Metoda Gauss-Seidel

$$x^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ si } \epsilon = 0.005$$

$$\begin{cases} x_1^{(k+1)} = -\frac{1}{5}(1 + x_2^{(k)} - 2x_3^{(k)}) \\ x_2^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{6}(2 - 2x_1^{(k+1)} + 3x_3^{(k)}) \\ x_3^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{7}(32 - 2x_1^{(k+1)} - x_2^{(k+1)}) \end{cases}$$

Construirea sirului

$$x^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} x_1^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{5}(1 + x_2^{(0)} - 2x_3^{(0)}) = -0.2 \\ x_2^{(1)} = \frac{1}{6}(2 - 2x_1^{(1)} + 3x_3^{(0)}) = 0.4 \\ x_3^{(1)} = \frac{1}{7}(32 - 2x_1^{(1)} - x_2^{(1)}) = 4.5714 \end{cases}$$

$$|x^{(1)} - x^{(0)}| = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ 4.5714 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} x_1^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{5}(1 + x_2^{(1)} - 2x_3^{(1)}) = 1.5486 \\ x_2^{(2)} = \frac{1}{6}(2 - 2x_1^{(2)} + 3x_3^{(1)}) = 2.1029 \\ x_3^{(2)} = \frac{1}{7}(32 - 2x_1^{(2)} - x_2^{(2)}) = 3.8286 \end{cases}$$

$$\left| x^{(2)} - x^{(1)} \right| = \begin{bmatrix} 1.7486 \\ 1.7029 \\ 0.7428 \end{bmatrix}$$

k	$x_1^{(k)}$	$x_2^{(k)}$	$x_3^{(k)}$	$ x_1^{(k)} - x_1^{(k-1)} $	$ x_2^{(k)} - x_2^{(k-1)} $	$ x_3^{(k)} - x_3^{(k-1)} $
0	0	0	0			
1	-0.2	0.4	4.5714			
2	1.5486	2.1029	3.8286			
3	0.9109	1.9440	4.0335			
4	1.0246	2.0085	3.9918			
5	0.9950	1.9975	4.0018			
6	1.0012	2.0005	3.9996	0.0062		
7	0.9997	1.9975	4.0001	0.0015	0.0006	0.0005

Observatii

- Conditia ca A sa fie ^{strict} diagonal dominanta nu este obligatorie dar ea asigura convergenta metodelor GJ si GS. Exista A nedialogal dominanta pentru care metodele converg
- Daca valorile initiale sunt apropiate de solutie atunci convergenta este mai rapida.

Observatii

- Daca sistemul initial ar fi fost

$$\begin{cases} -5x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 = 1 \\ 2x_1 + x_2 + 7x_3 = 32 \\ 2x_1 + 6x_2 - 3x_3 = 2 \end{cases}$$

Handwritten red annotations:

- A red arrow points from the second equation to the first.
- Next to the second equation: $2x_1 + \boxed{6}x_2 - 3x_3 = 2$
- Next to the third equation: $2x_1 + x_2 + \boxed{7}x_3 = 32.$

Este matricea sistemului ^{strict}diagonal dominanta?

NU dar prin rearanjarea ecuatiilor ea poate deveni ^{strict}diagonal dominanta.