Integrating AI in Stata Programming: Perspectives on Enhancement and Constraints Across Skill Levels

Ricardo Mora Dept. Economics, UC3M

-preliminary, please do not cite-

WORKSHOP ON EMPIRICAL RESEARCH IN THE AI ERA BPLIM

Large Language Models, LLMs, have exceptional natural language capabilities, including in econometric programming.

Large Language Models, LLMs, have exceptional natural language capabilities, including in econometric programming.

However

Large Language Models, LLMs, have exceptional natural language capabilities, including in econometric programming.

However

They often make hallucinations, i.e., confident, plausible-sounding predictions/outcomes that are incorrect/nonsensical.

- Fabrications appear to occur because the model prioritizes user's satisfaction (coherence, fluency, goal achievement) over factual correctness.
- Illusory expertise hallucinations appear to occur because the model does not know it does not know the answer.

Large Language Models, LLMs, have exceptional natural language capabilities, including in econometric programming.

However

They often make hallucinations, i.e., confident, plausible-sounding predictions/outcomes that are incorrect/nonsensical.

- Fabrications appear to occur because the model prioritizes user's satisfaction (coherence, fluency, goal achievement) over factual correctness.
- Illusory expertise hallucinations appear to occur because the model does not know it does not know the answer.

This study focuses on **ChatGPT** "current" capabilities in assisting **Stata** (17) users.

- ChatGPT is widely popular and is acknowledged to exemplify the best of LLM abilities
- Stata is widely used for data analysis in social sciences.

The challenge

How can ChatGPT assist Stata users?

The challenge

How can ChatGPT assist Stata users?

Automating tasks: repetitive or routine operations.
 LLMs excel on this front.

The challenge

How can ChatGPT assist Stata users?

• Automating tasks: repetitive or routine operations.

LLMs excel on this front.

 Programming tasks: interactively writing and debugging Stata code to create software implementations.

LLMs help highly skilled users the most.

How can ChatGPT assist Stata users?

Automating tasks: repetitive or routine operations.

LLMs excel on this front.

 Programming tasks: interactively writing and debugging Stata code to create software implementations.

LLMs help highly skilled users the most.

Troubleshooting errors: identify and resolve multiple issues within the code simultaneously, without iterative debugging or gradual corrections.

This presentation focuses mainly on this last point.

In the context of Stata programming, what is ChatGPT troubleshooting ability?

In the context of Stata programming, what is ChatGPT troubleshooting ability?

• To provide accurate **diagnosis** tailored to the user's knowledge level.

In the context of Stata programming, what is ChatGPT troubleshooting ability?

- To provide accurate **diagnosis** tailored to the user's knowledge level.
- To propose acceptable solutions and handle error-free Stata script files (".do files").

In the context of Stata programming, what is ChatGPT troubleshooting ability?

- To provide accurate **diagnosis** tailored to the user's knowledge level.
- To propose acceptable solutions and handle error-free Stata script files (".do files").
- To recognize own limitations, i.e., to know/suspect it does not know the answer.

In the context of Stata programming, what is ChatGPT troubleshooting ability?

- To provide accurate **diagnosis** tailored to the user's knowledge level.
- To propose acceptable solutions and handle error-free Stata script files (".do files").
- To recognize own limitations, i.e., to know/suspect it does not know the answer.

I design an experiment to evaluate these skills using Stata version 17 and ChatGPT models 3.5-turbo and 40 in the context of:

In the context of Stata programming, what is ChatGPT troubleshooting ability?

- To provide accurate **diagnosis** tailored to the user's knowledge level.
- To propose acceptable solutions and handle error-free Stata script files (".do files").
- To recognize own limitations, i.e., to know/suspect it does not know the answer.

I design an experiment to evaluate these skills using Stata version 17 and ChatGPT models 3.5-turbo and 40 in the context of:

- Autonomy within cooperation.
- Cognitive flexibility

Experiment design

- ChatGPT API: Python is used to interact with ChatGPT in batch mode.
 - Ensures independent processing of each do file (<u>never</u> ask ChatGPT to ignore previous entries in the same chat).

- ChatGPT API: Python is used to interact with ChatGPT in batch mode.
 - Ensures independent processing of each do file (<u>never</u> ask ChatGPT to ignore previous entries in the same chat).
- User levels:
 - Beginner: Foundational tasks, focusing on simple data manipulation and regression analysis (OLS).
 - Intermediate: Efficient data handling with loops and conditional analyses; handles multiple (IV) models with organized output.
 - Advanced: Extends automation through custom programs and complex workflows.

- ChatGPT API: Python is used to interact with ChatGPT in batch mode.
 - Ensures independent processing of each do file (<u>never</u> ask ChatGPT to ignore previous entries in the same chat).
- User levels:
 - Beginner: Foundational tasks, focusing on simple data manipulation and regression analysis (OLS).
 - Intermediate: Efficient data handling with loops and conditional analyses;
 handles multiple (IV) models with organized output.
 - Advanced: Extends automation through custom programs and complex workflows.
- Chat GPT models:
 - Model 3.5-turbo: Released in 2022, cheaper but nonetheless fast.
 - Model 40: Released in 2024, more accurate.

- ChatGPT API: Python is used to interact with ChatGPT in batch mode.
 - Ensures independent processing of each do file (<u>never</u> ask ChatGPT to ignore previous entries in the same chat).
- User levels:
 - Beginner: Foundational tasks, focusing on simple data manipulation and regression analysis (OLS).
 - Intermediate: Efficient data handling with loops and conditional analyses; handles multiple (IV) models with organized output.
 - Advanced: Extends automation through custom programs and complex workflows.
- Chat GPT models:
 - Model 3.5-turbo: Released in 2022, cheaper but nonetheless fast.
 - Model 40: Released in 2024, more accurate.
- Openbook (closed book) AI model is (not) provided with additional external resources.
 - In openbook mode, the API request includes a Stata log file. In closed-book mode, the request only includes the do file.

- 225 ChatGPT API requests per user level
 - 225 = 75 do files × (3.5-turbo in closed-book mode + 3.5-turbo in openbook + 4o in openbook
 - 675 (= 225×3 user levels) requests in total.

- 225 ChatGPT API requests per user level
 - 225 = 75 do files × (3.5-turbo in closed-book mode + 3.5-turbo in openbook + 4o in openbook
 - 675 (= 225×3 user levels) requests in total.
- According to the error type, do files include both erroneous ($\approx 95\%$) and error-free scripts.

- 225 ChatGPT API requests per user level
 - 225 = 75 do files × (3.5-turbo in closed-book mode + 3.5-turbo in openbook + 4o in openbook
 - 675 (= 225×3 user levels) requests in total.
- According to the error type, do files include both erroneous $(\approx 95\%)$ and error-free scripts.
- Erroneous scripts:
 - Typographical errors (≈ 1/3): mistakes made during the manual entry of code, such as misspelled words or incorrect punctuation.
 - Nonexistent Commands/options (≈ 1/3): user attempts to execute commands/options that are foreign to Stata.
 - Syntax issues ($\approx 1/3$):
 - Command/option code does not follow Stata grammar.
 - Erroneous overall structure of the code leads to program abortion.

Detailed results

Ricardo Mora (UC3M) 7/32

ChatGPT API Requests

First Request

Closed-book mode

You are an expert in Stata programming. For the uploaded do file, provide a brief explanation of why Stata gives an error message and suggest a code solution to prevent the error and avoid interruption of the do file. Be concise.

Openbook mode

You are an expert in Stata programming. For the uploaded do file and its corresponding log file, provide a brief explanation of why Stata gives an error message and suggest a code solution to prevent the error and avoid interruption of the do file. Be concise.

ChatGPT API Requests

First Request

Closed-book mode

You are an expert in Stata programming. For the uploaded do file, provide a brief explanation of why Stata gives an error message and suggest a code solution to prevent the error and avoid interruption of the do file. Be concise.

Openbook mode

You are an expert in Stata programming. For the uploaded do file and its corresponding log file, provide a brief explanation of why Stata gives an error message and suggest a code solution to prevent the error and avoid interruption of the do file. Be concise.

Second request (only in openbook code)

You are an expert in Stata programming. You are required to provide a response in one of the following formats ONLY: 'Yes', 'No', or 'I do not know'. Your task is to answer the question: 'Do you know what the error is?' based on the provided Stata do file and its corresponding log file.

Ricardo Mora (UC3M) 8 / 32

Evaluation metrics

With the sample of erroneous API requests

- Solution effectiveness: Percentage of requests resulting in acceptable Stata solutions.
- Hallucination incidence: Percentage of requests using arguments that invoke erroneous Stata behavior.
- Illusory expertise: Percentage of requests triggering erroneous Stata solutions conditional to ChatGPT stating that it knows the answer.

Evaluation metrics

With the sample of erroneous API requests

- Solution effectiveness: Percentage of requests resulting in acceptable Stata solutions.
- Hallucination incidence: Percentage of requests using arguments that invoke erroneous Stata behavior.
- Illusory expertise: Percentage of requests triggering erroneous Stata solutions conditional to ChatGPT stating that it knows the answer.

With the full sample

• **Hammer effect:** The effect on solution effectiveness of being requested "(to) provide a brief explanation of why Stata gives an error message" when there is actually no error in the script.

Saying that there is no error in the script counts as acceptable 'solution'.

Ricardo Mora (UC3M) 9 / 32

Solution effectiveness

ChatGPT performance by openbook mode and user's level

	Closed-b	ook mode	Openbook mode			
	Failure rate	Success rate	Failure rate	${\bf Success\ rate}$		
$\operatorname{Beginner}$	81.3	18.7	16.0	84.0		
${\rm Intermediate}$	81.3	18.7	26.0	74.0		
$\operatorname{Advanced}$	84.0	16.0	58.7	41.3		
All users	82.2	17.8	33.6	66.4		

Notes: Sample of API requests with erroneous code. Failure and success rates are percentages of requests where ChatGPT does not provide an aceptable solution (Failure) or otherwise). Request under 'Closed-book mode' are requests without access to the error log file.

Success rates by error type, openbook mode, model and user's level

	Typographical errors			Command errors			Syntax errors		
	Close book	Openbook		Close book	Openbook		Close book	Openbook	
	3.5-turbo	3.5-turbo	40	3.5-turbo	3.5-turbo	40	3.5-turbo	3.5-turbo	40
Beginner	45.5	95.5	100.0	10.0	90.0	100.0	6.7	60.0	83.3
Intermediate	31.8	72.7	90.9	4.0	68.0	88.0	22.2	66.7	66.7
Advanced	29.2	37.5	62.5	11.8	35.3	82.4	10.7	17.9	35.7
All users	35.3	67.6	83.8	8.1	66.1	90.3	12.9	48.2	62.4

Notes: Sample of API requests with erroneous code. Success rates are percentages of the requests correctly solved by ChatGPT.

Hallucination incidence

 The 'summarize' command does not accept multiple variables to be summarized simultaneously (...).

- The 'summarize' command does not accept multiple variables to be summarized simultaneously (...).
- (...) 'egen mean fte = mean(fte)' is missing the 'by()' option specifying the group over which the mean should be calculated.

- The 'summarize' command does not accept multiple variables to be summarized simultaneously (...).
- (...) 'egen mean fte = mean(fte)' is missing the 'by()' option specifying the group over which the mean should be calculated.
- The logit command requires the option 'nolog' to prevent Stata from opening a new log when the command is executed within an existing log file.

- The 'summarize' command does not accept multiple variables to be summarized simultaneously (...).
- (...) 'egen mean fte = mean(fte)' is missing the 'by()' option specifying the group over which the mean should be calculated.
- The logit command requires the option 'nolog' to prevent Stata from opening a new log when the command is executed within an existing log file.
- Stata does not allow generating binary variables directly from logical expressions like 'fte>=20' (...).

Some hallucinations in gpt 3.5-turbo

- The 'summarize' command does not accept multiple variables to be summarized simultaneously (...).
- (...) 'egen mean fte = mean(fte)' is missing the 'by()' option specifying the group over which the mean should be calculated.
- The logit command requires the option 'nolog' to prevent Stata from opening a new log when the command is executed within an existing log file.
- Stata does not allow generating binary variables directly from logical expressions like 'fte>=20' (...).
- (T)he 'foreach' loop is not properly closed with an 'end' command.

Some hallucinations in gpt 3.5-turbo

- The 'summarize' command does not accept multiple variables to be summarized simultaneously (...).
- (...) 'egen mean fte = mean(fte)' is missing the 'by()' option specifying the group over which the mean should be calculated.
- The logit command requires the option 'nolog' to prevent Stata from opening a new log when the command is executed within an existing log file.
- Stata does not allow generating binary variables directly from logical expressions like 'fte>=20' (...).
- (T)he 'foreach' loop is not properly closed with an 'end' command.
- Stata cannot calculate the average of variables that have missing values (...).

• (The) 'collapse' command requires the dataset to be sorted by the variables specified in the 'by' option (...).

- (The) 'collapse' command requires the dataset to be sorted by the variables specified in the 'by' option (...).
- (The) 'gettoken' command (...) is not capturing the expected tokens because the 'exog' list only contains three variables and cannot successfully split into 'z1' and 'z' (...).

- (The) 'collapse' command requires the dataset to be sorted by the variables specified in the 'by' option (...).
- (The) 'gettoken' command (...) is not capturing the expected tokens because the 'exog' list only contains three variables and cannot successfully split into 'z1' and 'z' (...).
- In your 'foreach' loop, you're using 'noi' (noisily) instead of 'quietly' or without any prefix, which is not correct for a loop definition (...).

- (The) 'collapse' command requires the dataset to be sorted by the variables specified in the 'by' option (...).
- (The) 'gettoken' command (...) is not capturing the expected tokens because the 'exog' list only contains three variables and cannot successfully split into 'z1' and 'z' (...).
- In your 'foreach' loop, you're using 'noi' (noisily) instead of 'quietly' or without any prefix, which is not correct for a loop definition (...).
- (The) 'generate()' option is not available with the 'tabulate' command in Stata (...).

- (The) 'collapse' command requires the dataset to be sorted by the variables specified in the 'by' option (...).
- (The) 'gettoken' command (...) is not capturing the expected tokens because the 'exog' list only contains three variables and cannot successfully split into 'z1' and 'z' (...).
- In your 'foreach' loop, you're using 'noi' (noisily) instead of 'quietly' or without any prefix, which is not correct for a loop definition (...).
- (The) 'generate()' option is not available with the 'tabulate' command in Stata (...).
- (L)ocal macro 'depvar' is not correctly interpolated within the 'run regressions' command inside the loop (...).

Hallucination incidence by user's level and openbook mode

	Closed-b	ook mode	Openbook mode		
	True argument	False argument	True argument	False argument	
Beginner	30.7	69.3	94.7	5.3	
Intermediate	62.7	37.3	81.3	18.7	
$\operatorname{Advan}\operatorname{ced}$	30.7	69.3	57.3	42.7	
All	41.3	58.7	77.8	22.2	

Notes: Sample of API requests with erroneous code. 'True argument' columns show percentages of requests with ChatGPT responses without hallucinations (i.e., using correct arguments). 'False argument' columns show percentage of hallucinations (i.e., responses that use factually wrong arguments related to Stata's behavior).

Hallucination incidence by error type, openbook mode, model and user's level

	Typographical errors		Command errors			Syntax errors			
	Close book Openbook		Close book	se book Openbook		Close book Openbook		ok	
	3.5-turbo	3.5-turbo	4o	3.5-turbo	3.5-turbo	4o	3.5-turbo	3.5-turbo	4o
Beginner	36.4	0.0	0.0	80.0	5.0	5.0	83.3	10.0	0.0
Intermediate	36.4	18.2	4.5	40.0	32.0	12.0	33.3	25.9	11.1
$\operatorname{Advan}\operatorname{ced}$	58.3	41.7	29.2	58.8	41.2	23.5	82.1	75.0	25.0
All users	44.1	20.6	11.8	58.1	25.8	12.9	67.1	36.5	11.8

Notes: Sample of API requests with erroneous code. Cells report hallucination rates (percentages) where ChatGPT makes factually incorrect statements about Stata behavior.

Illusory expertise

Percentages of failures conditional on expertise self-report

			the error is? (Model 4o)
	No	Yes	Yes
Beginner	16.1	37.5	6.9
${\bf Intermediate}$	33.3	25.0	18.9
$\operatorname{Advanced}$	75.0	61.9	44.1
All users	39.9	42.1	22.9

Notes: Sample of API requests with erroneous code. The table shows failure rates (percentages) based on user level, model, and self-reported expertise. Self-reported expertise collected through an independent request. Results for Model 40 under low self-reported expertise are excluded due to small sample sizes.

Illusory expertise under difficult tasks

			the error is? (Model 40)
	No	Yes	Yes
${\bf Intermediate}$	36.8	21.4	23.1
$\operatorname{Advanced}$	78.8	66.7	46.7
All users	56.3	42.3	34.0

Notes: Sample of API requests with erroneous code from Intermediate and Advanced users who commit Command and Syntax error codes. Self-reported expertise collected through an independent request. The table shows failure rates (percentages) based on user level, model, and self-reported expertise. Results for Model 40 under low self-reported expertise are excluded due to small sample sizes.

The hammer effect

To the hammer, everything looks like a nail

 Regardless of whether the request is in openbook or closed-book mode, I prime ChatGPT to assume that the do file contains one error.

To the hammer, everything looks like a nail

- Regardless of whether the request is in openbook or closed-book mode, I prime ChatGPT to assume that the do file contains one error.
- Given the small sample size, I cannot estimate within-cell probabilities, but I can estimate a binary mode to evaluate the marginal effect of sending a conflicting instruction to ChatGPT.

To the hammer, everything looks like a nail

- Regardless of whether the request is in openbook or closed-book mode, I prime ChatGPT to assume that the do file contains one error.
- Given the small sample size, I cannot estimate within-cell probabilities, but I can estimate a binary mode to evaluate the marginal effect of sending a conflicting instruction to ChatGPT.
- If ChatGPT has autonomous reasoning, the fact that there actually was not error in the do file should not affect the probability of appropriate answer.
 - The right answer when there is no error is something alike to "The do file can run entirely without any interruption."
 - Of course, a script that runs without interruption is not guaranteed to render the desired results. Hence, it is a ligitimate concern to offer ways to debug the script, even though it were not to stop.

Appropriate answer. Probit ML estimates.

	Full sample			Model 4o		
	Un conditional	Conditional 1	Conditional 2	Un con dition al	Conditional 3	
No error	-1.012***	-1.292***	-1.376***	-0.746*	-0.784*	
	(0.277)	(0.317)	(0.342)	(0.407)	(0.419)	
Openbook		1.150***	1.190***			
		(0.133)	(0.143)			
gpt 4o		0.578***	0.674***			
		(0.129)	(0.181)			
Command/Syntax		-0.588***	-0.585***		-0.951***	
		(0.117)	(0.118)		(0.239)	
Knows answer			-0.141			
			(0.186)			
N. obs.	675	675	675	225	225	
AME (No error)	-0.397	-0.390	-0.415	-0.228	-0.222	
111112 (110 01101)	(0.105)	(0.092)	(0.099)	(0.122)	(0.116)	
	[0.000]	[0.000]	[0.000]	[0.062]	[0.055]	

Notes: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1. Standard errors in parenthesis and p-values in square brackets. Probit Maximum Likelihood estimates. Full sample includes all 625 requestes. Output variable is a dummy binary for appropriate answer. Variable 'No error' is a dummy variable that takes value I if the request involves a script that has no errorrs. AME(No error) is the estimated Average Marginal Effect of 'No error'. 'No error' predicts failure perfectly in the requests to gpt 3.5-turbo.

Conclusions

Conclusions

- Solution effectiveness: Improvements from 3.5-turbo to 40
 - Still, large failure rates in complex do files (≈ 50%) even under open book.
- Openbook model drastically reduces Hallucination incidence.
 - Specially true for gpt 4o.Percentage of requests using arguments that invoke erroneous Stata behavior.
- Illusory expertise is still a problem in 40, especially under advanced programming.

- Solution effectiveness: Improvements from 3.5-turbo to 40
 - Still, large failure rates in complex do files ($\approx 50\%$) even under open book.
- Openbook model drastically reduces **Hallucination incidence**.
 - Specially true for gpt 4o.Percentage of requests using arguments that invoke erroneous Stata behavior.
- Illusory expertise is still a problem in 40, especially under advanced programming.
- Hammer effect: Feeding ChatGPT with strict guidelines that challenge the openbook facts negatively influences solution effectiveness.

Number of observations by user level and error type

	None	Typographical	Command	Syntax	All requests
Beginner	9	66	60	90	225
$\operatorname{Intermediate}$	3	66	75	81	225
$\operatorname{Ad}\operatorname{vanced}$	18	72	51	84	225
All users	30	204	186	255	675

Notes: Sample of API requests. The table shows the number of API requests categorized by user proficiency level ('Beginner', 'Intermediate', 'Advanced') and error type ('None': no errors, 'Typographical': minor errors in text or formatting, 'Command': usage of non-existent commands or options, and 'Syntax': structural programming errors).

N Income

The Beginner user do file

```
*Untitled Document 1
1 clear
2 cd "/home/ricardo/AAPAPERS/Chat/Stata GPT 4/"
3 log using "output/beginner.log", replace
4 import delimited "data/CardKrueger2.csv", clear case(preserve)
5 sort id month
6merge 1:1 id month using "data/CardKrueger1.dta"
7drop if merge != 3
8 summarize fte month state Post Treated
gen Post Treated Control = Post * Treated
10 egen mean fte = mean(fte)
11 replace fte = mean fte if missing(fte)
12 tabulate month, m
13 save "data/CardKrueger merged.dta", replace
14 regress fte Post Treated Post Treated, robust
<mark>15 gen</mark> Dfte20 = fte>=20
16 logit Dfte20 Post Treated Post Treated
17 histogram fte, bin(50)
18 log close
19
```



The Intermediate user do file

```
apture log close
 d "/home/ricardo/AAPAPERS/Chat/Stata GPT 4/"
 log using "output/intermediate.log", replace
 use "data/ColombiaDHS.dta", clear
 keep vear int region nonsevere violence severe violence sexual violence age num children age 1child educ yr father mother violence
 local depvars nonsevere violence severe violence sexual violence
 ocal controls age num children age 1child
 foreach var of local controls (
    gen squared `var' = `var'^2
preserve
collapse (mean) `controls', by year int]
 sort year_int
 list vear int `controls'
 codebook controls'
tab year_int, generate(Dtime)
tab region, generate(Dregion)
 qui foreach depvar of local depvars {
 regress `depvar' educ yr, robust
 estimates store Uncond
 regress `depvar' educ_yr `controls' squared_age, robust
 estimates store Cond
 regress `depvar' educ_yr `controls' squared_age Dtime* Dregion*, robust
estimates store TimeRegion
ivregress 2sls `depvar' (educ vr = father mother violence) `controls' squared age Dtime* Dregion*, robust
 estimates store IV
 noi dis newline as txt "Dependent variable: " in y "`depvar'"
 noi estimates table Uncond Cond TimeRegion IV, b(%7.4f) keep(educ yr `controls' squared_age) stats(N r2_a) star
  oa close
```

The Advanced user do file

```
apture log close
 apture program drop run_regressions
program define run regressions
syntax , DEP(varname) EXOG(varlist) ENDOG(varname) INSTR(varlist)
ettoken z1 z : exoa
regress `dep' `endog', robust
stimates store Uncond
regress `dep' `endog' `exog' c.`zl'#c.<u>`zl',</u> robust
stimates store Cond
regress `dep' `endog' `exog' c.`zl'#c.`zl' i.year_int i.region, robust
 timates store TimeRegion
ivregress 2sls `dep' (`endog' = `instr') `exog' c.`zl'#c.`zl' i.year int i.region, robust
estimates store IV
noi dis newline as txt "Dependent variable: " in y "`dep'"
noi estimates table Uncond Cond TimeRegion IV. b(%7.4f) drop(i.vear int i.region) stats(N r2 a) star
cd "/home/ricardo/AAPAPERS/Chat/Stata GPT 4/"
log using "output/advanced.log", replace
use "data/ColombiaDHS.dta", clear
keep year int region nonsevere violence severe violence sexual violence age num children age 1child ///
       leduc vr father mother violence
<mark>local</mark> depvars nonsevere violence severe violence <u>sexual violence</u>
local controls age num children age 1child
qui foreach depvar of local depvars {
noi run regressions. dep(`depyar') exog(`controls') endog(educ vr) instr(father mother violence)
log close
```



Typographical errors



Command/options conflicts

```
1_Beginner00.do
 1 clear
                                                                        1 clear
 2 capture log close
                                                                        2 capture log close
 3 cd "/home/ricardo/AAPAPERS/Chat/Stata GPT 4/"
                                                                        3 cd "/home/ricardo/AAPAPERS/Chat/Stata GPT 4/"
 4 log using "output/beginner.log", replace
                                                                        4 log using "output/beginner.log", replace
 5 import delimited "data/CardKrueger2.csv", clear case(preserve)
                                                                        5 import delimited "data/CardKrueger2.csv", clear case(preserve)
 6 sort id month
                                                                        6 sort id month
 7merge 1:1 id month using "data/CardKrueger1.dta"
                                                                        7merge 1:1 id month using "data/CardKrueger1.dta"
 8 drop if merge != 3
                                                                        8drop if merge != 3
 9 summarize fte month state Post Treated
                                                                        9 summarize fte month state Post Treated
10 gen Post Treated = Post * Treated
                                                                       10 gen Post Treated = Post * Treated
11 egen mean fte = mean(fte)
                                                                        11egen mean fte = mean(fte)
12 replace fte = mean fte if missing(fte)
                                                                        12 replace fte = mean fte if missing(fte)
13 tabulate month, m
                                                                        13 tabulate month, m
14 save "data/CardKrueger merged.dta", replace
                                                                        14 save "data/CardKrueger merged.dta", replace
15 regress fte Post Treated Post Treated, robust
                                                                       15 regress fte Post Treated Post Treated, robust
16 gen Dfte20 = fte>=20
                                                                       16 gen Dfte20 = fte>=20
18 histogram fte, bin(50)
                                                                       18 histogram fte, b(75)
19 log close
                                                                       19 close log
```

Syntax issues

```
1 clear
2 cd "/home/ricardo/AAPAPERS/Chat/Stata GPT 4/"
                                                                        cd "/home/ricardo/AAPAPERS/Chat/Stata GPT 4/"
3log using "output/beginner.log", replace
                                                                        import delimited "data/CardKrueger2.csv", clear case(preserve)
4 import delimited "data/CardKrueger2.csv", clear case(preserve)
                                                                        sort id month
5 sort id month
                                                                        merge 1:1 id month using "data/CardKrueger1.dta"
6 merge 1:1 id month using "data/CardKrueger1.dta"
                                                                       6 drop if merge != 3
7drop if merge != 3
                                                                        summarize fte month state Post Treated
8 summarize fte month state Post Treated
                                                                       agen Post Treated Control = Post * Treated
9gen Post Treated Control = Post * Treated
                                                                       9 egen mean fte = mean(fte)
10 egen mean fte = mean(fte)
                                                                      10 replace fte = mean fte if missing(fte)
11 replace fte = mean fte if missing(fte)
                                                                      11 tabulate month, m
12 tabulate month, m
                                                                      12 save "data/CardKrueger merged.dta", replace
13 save "data/CardKrueger merged.dta", replace
                                                                      13 regress fte Post Treated Post Treated, robust
14 regress fte Post Treated Post Treated, robust
                                                                      14gen Dfte20 = fte>=20
15 gen Dfte20 = fte>=20
                                                                      15 logit Dfte20 Post Treated Post Treated
16 logit Dfte20 Post Treated Post Treated
                                                                      16 histogram fte, bin(50)
17 histogram fte, bin(50)
                                                                      17 log close
18 log close
```

▶ hack