How to work efficiently with large datasets

BPLIM Workshop on Empirical Research with Large Datasets

Mauricio M. Cáceres Bravo

20 December 2022

Department of Economics Brown University

Introduction

- Speed: Operations can be prohibitively slow.
 Example: An operation runs in a second with 10,000 rows of data; with 100 million rows it would take hours.
- Memory: It can be hard to fit in RAM. Example: Numeric data is 4 or 8 bytes. With 10,000 rows even 1000 variables take under 100MiB, but with 100 million a single variable is 400-800MiB.
- Some operations can break with big data.

- Speed: Operations can be prohibitively slow. Example: An operation runs in a second with 10,000 rows of data; with 100 million rows it would take hours.
- Memory: It can be hard to fit in RAM. Example: Numeric data is 4 or 8 bytes. With 10,000 rows even 1000 variables take under 100MiB, but with 100 million a single variable is 400-800MiB.
- Some operations can break with big data.

- Speed: Operations can be prohibitively slow. Example: An operation runs in a second with 10,000 rows of data; with 100 million rows it would take hours.
- Memory: It can be hard to fit in RAM.
 Example: Numeric data is 4 or 8 bytes. With 10,000 rows even 1000 variables take under 100MiB, but with 100 million a single variable is 400-800MiB.
- Some operations can break with big data

- Speed: Operations can be prohibitively slow. Example: An operation runs in a second with 10,000 rows of data; with 100 million rows it would take hours.
- Memory: It can be hard to fit in RAM. Example: Numeric data is 4 or 8 bytes. With 10,000 rows even 1000 variables take under 100MiB, but with 100 million a single variable is 400-800MiB.
- 3 Some operations can break with big data.

Today

Discuss big data primarily in the context of Stata:

- Introduction
- 2 What can break?
- Memory Management
- 4 Efficient Code (main focus)

(Visit mcaceresb.github.io/stata-bigdata ♂ for more!)

What can break with big data?

Example: Storing IDs

```
clear
qui set obs `=2^24+5'
gen id = _n
format %21.0gc id
list in -10/l
disp "`:type id'" // float
```

```
______
                    id
16777212.
            16,777,212
16777213.
            16,777,213
16777214.
            16,777,214
16777215.
            16,777,215
16777216.
            16,777,216
16777217.
            16,777,216
16777218.
            16,777,218
16777219.
            16,777,220
16777220.
            16,777,220
16777221.
            16,777,220
```

Example: Storing IDs

You *could* set double as the default type, but that can consume a lot of memory! Efficient solution:

```
gen `c(obs_t)' id = _n
```

c(obs_t) is the smallest *integer* type that can store the number of observations correctly.

```
clear
qui set obs 1
disp "`c(obs_t)'" // byte
qui set obs `=maxbyte()+1'
disp "`c(obs_t)'" // int
qui set obs `=maxint()+1'
disp "`c(obs_t)'" // long
qui set obs `=maxlong()+1'
disp "`c(obs_t)'" // double
```

Memory Management

Minimize Memory Use

- Store variables in the smallest sensible type.
 - double: Largest number type (8 bytes).
 - float: Stata default type (4 bytes).
 - long: Largest integer type (4 bytes).
 - int: Small integer type (2 bytes).
 - byte: Smallest integer type (1 bytes).

(See **help** data_types for more.)

- compress: Recast each variable (numeric and string) to its smallest possible type without data loss.
- Organize your data!
 - No need to store every variable in single file.
 - Encode strings as numbers and save their values separately (for few levels can use value labels).

Minimize Memory Use

- Store variables in the smallest sensible type.
 - double: Largest number type (8 bytes).
 - float: Stata default type (4 bytes).
 - long: Largest integer type (4 bytes).
 - int: Small integer type (2 bytes).
 - byte: Smallest integer type (1 bytes).

(See **help** data_types for more.)

- compress: Recast each variable (numeric and string) to its smallest possible type without data loss.
- Organize your data!
 - No need to store every variable in single file.
 - Encode strings as numbers and save their values separately (for few levels can use value labels).

Minimize Memory Use

- Store variables in the smallest sensible type.
 - double: Largest number type (8 bytes).
 - float: Stata default type (4 bytes).
 - long: Largest integer type (4 bytes).
 - int: Small integer type (2 bytes).
 - byte: Smallest integer type (1 bytes).

(See **help** data_types for more.)

- compress: Recast each variable (numeric and string) to its smallest possible type without data loss.
- Organize your data!
 - No need to store every variable in single file.
 - Encode strings as numbers and save their values separately (for few levels can use value labels).

Cautionary Tale: Swapping

RAM is both limited and essential for an operating system:

- If your program consumes too much, the OS will eventually refuse to give it more memory.
- In extreme cases, the program will die.
 - More commonly, it will start to swap: The OS will move your program's data to disk and grind execution to a halt.

Solutions

- Minimize your program's memory footprint.
- Get more memory! But easier said than done
- Keep only the essential variables in memory.
- Chunk your program execution. (NB: Stata stores data by row, so this can be efficient both in terms of memory and speed.)

Cautionary Tale: Swapping

RAM is both limited and essential for an operating system:

- If your program consumes too much, the OS will eventually refuse to give it more memory.
- In extreme cases, the program will die.
- More commonly, it will start to swap: The OS will move your program's data to disk and grind execution to a halt.

Solutions

- Minimize your program's memory footprint.
- Get more memory! But easier said than done.
- Keep only the essential variables in memory.
- Chunk your program execution. (NB: Stata stores data by row, so this can be efficient both in terms of memory and speed.)

Efficient Code

- 1 Trade-off: Writing code fast vs writing fast code
- 2 Planning ahead: Run similar tasks all at once.
- 3 Look for available solutions: Someone else might have solved your problem.
- 4 Improve your algorithms: Making a bad algorithm very fast is often slower than coding an efficient algorithm.
- **6** Pick the best tool for the job.

- 1 Trade-off: Writing code fast vs writing fast code.
- 2 Planning ahead: Run similar tasks all at once.
- 3 Look for available solutions: Someone else might have solved your problem.
- 4 Improve your algorithms: Making a bad algorithm very fast is often slower than coding an efficient algorithm.
- **5** Pick the best tool for the job.

- 1 Trade-off: Writing code fast vs writing fast code.
- 2 Planning ahead: Run similar tasks all at once.
- Someone else might have solved your problem.
- 4 Improve your algorithms: Making a bad algorithm very fast is often slower than coding an efficient algorithm.
- **6** Pick the best tool for the job.

- 1 Trade-off: Writing code fast vs writing fast code.
- 2 Planning ahead: Run similar tasks all at once.
- Someone else might have solved your problem.
- 4 Improve your algorithms: Making a bad algorithm very fast is often slower than coding an efficient algorithm.
- **5** Pick the best tool for the job.

- **1** Trade-off: Writing code fast vs writing fast code.
- 2 Planning ahead: Run similar tasks all at once.
- Someone else might have solved your problem.
- 4 Improve your algorithms: Making a bad algorithm very fast is often slower than coding an efficient algorithm.
- 6 Pick the best tool for the job.

- **1** Trade-off: Writing code fast vs writing fast code.
- 2 Planning ahead: Run similar tasks all at once.
- Someone else might have solved your problem.
- Improve your algorithms: Making a bad algorithm very fast is often slower than coding an efficient algorithm.
- **5** Pick the best tool for the job.

Trade-off: Writing faster code is slower

HOW LONG CAN YOU WORK ON MAKING A ROUTINE TASK MORE EFFICIENT BEFORE YOU'RE SPENDING MORE TIME THAN YOU SAVE?

(ACROSS FIVE YEARS)

	HOW OFTEN YOU DO THE TASK						
	50/ _{DAY}	5/DAY	DAILY	WEEKLY	MONTHLY	YEARLY	
1 SECOND	1 DAY	2 HOURS	30 MINUTES	4 MINUTES	1 MINUTE	5 SECONDS	
5 SECONDS	5 DAYS	12 HOURS	2 HOURS	21 MINUTES	5 MINUTES	25 SECONDS	
30 SECONDS	4 WEEKS	3 DAYS	12 HOURS	2 HOURS	30 MINUTES	2 MINUTES	
HOW 1 MINUTE	8 WEEKS	6 DAYS	1 DAY	4 HOURS	1 HOUR	5 MINUTES	
TIME 5 MINUTES	9 MONTHS	4 WEEKS	6 DAYS	21 HOURS	5 HOURS	25 MINUTES	
SHAVE 30 MINUTES		6 MONTHS	5 WEEKS	5 DAYS	1 DAY	2 Hours	
1 HOUR		IO MONTHS	2 MONTHS	IO DAYS	2 DAYS	5 HOURS	
6 HOURS				2 монтня	2 WEEKS	1 DAY	
1 DAY					8 WEEKS	5 DAYS	

Source: XKCD 1205 &.

Planning Ahead: Similar Operations

- If you will be doing many operations by group, sorting the data will make each operation much faster. (NB: Gtools functions are also faster on sorted data.)
- Pre-computing variables that will be re-used instead of creating them on the fly.

Planning Ahead: Very Long Operations

Sometimes a program that takes a long time to run is inevitable:

- Run overnight or over a break. (So program does not compete for computing time or your own time.)
- Include checkpoints:
 - Do not write a single function to do all your work.
 - Group tasks into programs, and save your data along the way.
 - Print messages along your program to tell you where you are (can check log while program executes).

Planning Ahead: Very Long Operations

```
program part1
  display "part 1, task 1"
  // ...
  display "part 1, task 2"
end
program part2
  display "part 2, task 1"
  // ...
end
part1
save part1.dta
display "finished part 1"
part2
save part2.dta
display "finished part 2"
```

Look for Available Solutions

Some popular user-written Stata packages:

- reghdfe (and ivreghdfe, ppmlhdfe): High-dimensional fixed effects for regression modes.
- parallel: Parallelize code execution.
- gtools: Fast by-able data management and summary statistics (authored by yours truly).

Gtools Overview

Original impetus for gtools:

- collapse, egen, and merge were main bottlenecks on program operating on 400M rows of data (30M groups).
- Up to Stata 16, gcollapse was several times faster (and in some cases 100s of times faster). Stata 17 massively improved collapse; now seldom slower (specially in MP).
- Over the years gtools expanded, and most commands remain much faster even in Stata 17.

Gtools Overview

Original impetus for gtools:

- collapse, egen, and merge were main bottlenecks on program operating on 400M rows of data (30M groups).
- Up to Stata 16, gcollapse was several times faster (and in some cases 100s of times faster). Stata 17 massively improved collapse; now seldom slower (specially in MP).
- Over the years gtools expanded, and most commands remain much faster even in Stata 17.

Gtools Showcase: Speed vs Core Stata

For more details, visit gtools.readthedocs.io ♂

Gtools	Stata	Speedup	Extra features
gcollapse greshape	collapse reshape	-0.5 to 2 4 to 20	merge, various functions Various
gegen	egen	4 to 20	Various, incl weights
gquantiles	xtile	10 to 30	Various, incl by()
	pctile	3 to 40	Ibid.
	_pctile	3 to 40	Ibid.
gstats tab	tabstat	5 to 50	Various
gcontract	contract	3 to 7	
gisid	isid	4 to 30	if, in
glevelsof	levelsof	2 to 10	Various
gduplicates	duplicates	3 to 15	

Gtools Showcase: Speed vs User Commands

Gtools	Similar (SSC/SJ)	Speedup	Extra features?
gstats winsor	winsor2	10 to 40	Weights
gunique	unique	4 to 25	
gdistinct	distinct	4 to 25	
gstats range	rangestat	10 to 20	Weights

Gtools commands without equivalent:

- gtop: Print most frequent (modal) levels of varlist.
- gstats transform: Various transformations (e.g. cumsum, moving, shift, wrank).
- gstats hdfe: Residualize variables (absorb fixed effects).

Gtools Spotlight: gtop

Display frequency table with most common (modal) groups defined by a varlist. Example:

```
sysuse auto, clear
gtop rep78, ntop(3)
           rep78 | N Cum Pct (%) Cum Pct (%)
                   30 30 40.5
                                         40.5
                   18
                       48
                         24.3
                                         64.9
                   11
                       59
                             14.9
                                         79.7
 Other (3 groups) |
                   15
                       74
                              20.3
                                         100.0
```

Gtools Spotlight: greshape

Same syntax as reshape, with some extras:

- Option dropmiss: When reshaping long, drop observations if every reshaped variable is missing for that row.
- Option match(regex): When reshaping long, match stubs to variables using regular expressions.
- Option j() (alias keys()) accepts a varlist when reshaping wide.
- Supports greshape gather and greshape spread, which are analogues to the tidyr functions.

Docs: $gtools.readthedocs.io/en/latest/usage/greshape <math>\Box$.

Gtools Spotlight: gquantiles

Faster percentiles (xtile, pctile); also by-able.

```
set seed 1729
clear
set obs 10000000
set type double
gen group = int(runiform() * 100)
gen x = runiform()
// Analogous to pctile and xtile, but by group.
gquantiles pctile = x, by(group) strict pctile nq(10) genp(perc)
gguantiles xtile1 = x, bv(group) strict xtile ng(10)
// Analogous to xtile's cutpoints option, but by group. cutquantiles
// interprets percentile instead of number cutoffs.
gquantiles xtile2 = x, by(group) strict xtile cutpoints(pctile) cutby
gquantiles xtile3 = x, by(group) strict xtile cutquantiles(perc) cutby
assert xtile1 == xtile2
assert xtile1 == xtile3
```

Docs: gtools.readthedocs.io/en/latest/usage/gquantiles ♂ .

Gtools Spotlight: gstats tab

Very similar to tabstat:

sysuse auto, clear
gstats tab price, by(foreign)

foreign	n	sum	mean	min	max	sd
Domestic		315766	6072.423	3291	15906	3097.104
Foreign		140463	6384.682	3748	12990	2621.915

- Supports additional functions (same functions as gcollapse and gegen).
- Can save output in mata: Faster alternative to gcollapse when number of groups is small.

 ${\sf Docs:\ gtools.readthedocs.io/en/latest/usage/gstats_summarize\ \square}$

19

Gtools Spotlight: Option -replace-

Many gtools commands accept the option replace in order to replace existing targets; in most cases, this saves time and memory by avoiding re-generating them. Examples:

In general, every gtools command that generates a target accepts replace. *Warning:* This does *not* upgrade variable types, so use with caution!

- A parallelized and extremely efficient loop is slower than the equivalent vector operation.
- The fastest regression with a full set of fixed-effect indicators is slower than reghdfe.
- Code tailored to your specific task is faster than general-purpose code.

- A parallelized and extremely efficient loop is slower than the equivalent vector operation.
- The fastest regression with a full set of fixed-effect indicators is slower than reghdfe.
- Code tailored to your specific task is faster than general-purpose code.

- A parallelized and extremely efficient loop is slower than the equivalent vector operation.
- The fastest regression with a full set of fixed-effect indicators is slower than reghtfe.
- Code tailored to your specific task is faster than general-purpose code.

- A parallelized and extremely efficient loop is slower than the equivalent vector operation.
- The fastest regression with a full set of fixed-effect indicators is slower than reghtfe.
- Code tailored to your specific task is faster than general-purpose code.

Algorithm Showdown Example: Merge

Often it is possible to merge on single, integer IDs (vs arbitrary sets of variables).

```
merge 1:1 id using data.dta
// vs
merge 1:1 var_double var_str8 var_long // ...
```

Sorting and matching on a single variable is much faster than sorting and matching many variables of different types, regardless of how efficient you make the sort or the merge itself!

- Stata: Easy to use, but can be slow in places.
- C: Extremely fast (e.g. underpins gtools) but hard to learn.
- Mata: Stata's embedded matrix language, can be used to speed-up many simple tasks.
- Frames: Available from Stata 16, allows the user to have multiple datasets in memory.
- Python: Built-in interface from Stata 16; allows direct interaction with Python.

- Stata: Easy to use, but can be slow in places.
- C: Extremely fast (e.g. underpins gtools) but hard to learn.
- Mata: Stata's embedded matrix language, can be used to speed-up many simple tasks.
- Frames: Available from Stata 16, allows the user to have multiple datasets in memory.
- Python: Built-in interface from Stata 16; allows direct interaction with Python.

- Stata: Easy to use, but can be slow in places.
- C: Extremely fast (e.g. underpins gtools) but hard to learn.
- Mata: Stata's embedded matrix language, can be used to speed-up many simple tasks.
- Frames: Available from Stata 16, allows the user to have multiple datasets in memory.
- Python: Built-in interface from Stata 16; allows direct interaction with Python.

- Stata: Easy to use, but can be slow in places.
- C: Extremely fast (e.g. underpins gtools) but hard to learn.
- Mata: Stata's embedded matrix language, can be used to speed-up many simple tasks.
- Frames: Available from Stata 16, allows the user to have multiple datasets in memory.
- Python: Built-in interface from Stata 16; allows direct interaction with Python.

- Stata: Easy to use, but can be slow in places.
- C: Extremely fast (e.g. underpins gtools) but hard to learn.
- Mata: Stata's embedded matrix language, can be used to speed-up many simple tasks.
- Frames: Available from Stata 16, allows the user to have multiple datasets in memory.
- Python: Built-in interface from Stata 16; allows direct interaction with Python.

- Stata: Easy to use, but can be slow in places.
- C: Extremely fast (e.g. underpins gtools) but hard to learn.
- Mata: Stata's embedded matrix language, can be used to speed-up many simple tasks.
- Frames: Available from Stata 16, allows the user to have multiple datasets in memory.
- Python: Built-in interface from Stata 16; allows direct interaction with Python.

Visit mcaceresb.github.io/stata-bigdata $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Z}}$ for more details and some examples!

Thank you!