

# **Speeding up computation using Julia: an illustration using discrete choice demand models.**

Joris Pinkse, with Andy Tang

# Plan

- why **I** use Julia;
  - *I'm not proselytizing*
- my projects in Econometrics / Industrial Organization

# Context

- new econometric techniques for structural models
- collaborations with coauthors in Industrial Organization who have large data sets (e.g. 20 million individuals)
- want others to use my econometric methodology
- have to make realistic hardware expectations
- budgetary constraints
- I am **not** a computer scientist

# Speed determinants

- hardware
  - *GPUs / CPUs, memory,...*
- libraries / packages
  - *Flux, PyTorch, BLAS, KNITRO*
- language
  - *C, Rust, Julia, Matlab, Python, R*
- programmer ability
  - *differences in programmer ability can exceed intrinsic differences across languages*
- time commitment

# Approaches

**compilation:** C, Rust

**interpretation:** Matlab, Python, Perl

**just in time compilation:** Julia

- *Languages can use a mixture of approaches*

# What I (dis)like about Julia:

- 😊 fast
- 😊 relatively easy to figure out what slows you down
- 😊 intuitive
- 😊 multiple dispatch
- 😊 open source
- 😊 packaging system
- 😊 free
- 😊 can create Julia code while running Julia code
- 😞 ensure type stability
- 😞 JIT is a double-edged sword
- 😞 constraints imposed by others

# Julia is fast

- every language is converted to machine instructions
- speed of a language reflects how good this conversion is
- some languages are more suitable than others
- compilation is faster than interpretation
- Julia uses Just-In-Time (JIT) compilation

# Toy speed comparisons

- less meaningful than larger sets of operations
  - *my Python, R, Rust is not that good*
- differences between fast and slow languages are typically less pronounced



# Standard time-consuming operations

- don't expect large differences for large matrices

- *for large matrices, speed is determined by BLAS choice*
- *one can set the BLAS choice in most languages*
- *all timing comparisons are net of compilation*

## matrix inversion — single core

	Julia	Numpy	Numba	R	Rust
			+Scipy		+openblas
100 × 100 (μs)	154	405	421	1,000	164
1000 x 1000 (ms)	48	38	50	92	51

# Sums

- this is where compiled languages shine

2-regressor OLS estimation using sums — single core

	C	Julia	Numpy	Numba	R	Rust
				+Scipy		
$\mu$ s	0.08	0.08	0.95	0.13	0.92	0.08

# How you do things is important

	diag(X * Y) .* Z (parallel, $\mu$ s)					
	C	Julia	Numpy	Numba	R	Rust
				+Scipy		+faer
matrix op	N/A	126	145	117	244	80
for loops	14	68	10,826	86	3,297	87
einsum	20	13	41	N/A	176	80

- differences on this slide were less pronounced on Andy's computer than mine*

# Speed conclusion

- a skilled programmer in a slower language can often create code that runs faster than a less skilled programmer using a faster language
- but, conditional on skill, a programmer has to do less in Julia than in other languages to achieve good performance
- there are fewer performance cliffs
- the more complex the task, the harder it is to make ‘slower’ languages perform well

## Some generic suggestions

- make a tradeoff between your time and computing time
- use GPUs if you have good ones
- pay attention to language-specific hints
- think about what will be slow and what will be fast
- don't recompute the same thing
- use optimized packages
- use parallelization
- reuse memory
- use language-specific profiling tools
- processor-bound versus memory bound
- make your code cache-friendly

# Syntax and such

Julia	Numpy	meaning
$X'$	<code>X.T</code>	$X'$
$X^{-1}$	<code>numpy.linalg.inv( X )</code>	$X^{-1}$
$X^{1/3}$	<code>scipy.linalg.fractional_matrix_power( X, 1/3)</code>	$X^{1/3}$
<code>X = randn( 3, 5 )</code>	<code>X = numpy.random.normal( size = (3,5))</code>	
<code>A .= diag(X * Y) .* Z</code>	<code>A[:] = numpy.diag(X @ Y)[:, numpy.newaxis] * Z</code>	
<code>@tullio V[i] := X[i,j] * Y[j,i]</code>	<code>v = numpy.einsum('ij,ji-&gt;i', X, Y)</code>	$v_i = \sum_j x_{ij} y_{ji}$

# Multiple dispatch

- multiple methods, same name, different arguments

```
1  function f( x, y )  
2      ...  
3  end  
4  
5  function f( x :: Float64, y :: Int )  
6      ...  
7  end
```

- Julia figures out which one to call at runtime
  - *so no need to define separate functions `f_int`, `f_float`, etc*
- in a generic definition of `f`, Julia generates specialized methods for each type

```
1  function f( x, y )  
2      x^5 * y  
3  end
```

- calls to `f(1,2)`, `f(1.0,2.0)` and `f( “hello ”, “there” )` generate three different methods, one for integers, one for floats, and one for strings, each optimized for their type.

# Multiple dispatch — why I care

- (unpublished) package for methods used in industrial organization
- includes code to compute estimates for different demand models
- some code overlaps (gets reused), some doesn't
- user can override without changing the package
- users may require different degrees of precision
- all I need to do is to define methods with desired level of generality
  - *e.g. some for all discrete choice demand models, some for random coefficients discrete choice demand models, etc*



# Multiple dispatch cont'd

- four basic commands:

**Estimate!** computes estimates

**Infer!** computes test statistics and such

**Summarize!** computes e.g. diversions and elasticities

**Experiment!** does e.g. welfare calculations or a merger simulation

- structure of user calls is the same

```
1   results = Estimate!( D )  
2   Infer!( results )  
3   Summarize!( results )
```

# Multiple dispatch cont'd

- what changes is the argument D

```
1 D = Dict(  
2     :model           => :rclindem,  
3     :estimator       => :mlegmm,  
4     :productdata     => "loadfakedata/50/products.csv",  
5     :consumerdata    => "loadfakedata/50/consumers.csv",  
6     :marketsizedata  => "loadfakedata/50/marketsizes.csv",  
7     :demographicdraws => "loadfakedata/50/draws.csv".  
8     :choices         => :choice,  
9     :shares          => :share,  
10    :markets         => :market,  
11    :marketsizes     => :N,  
12    :products        => :product,  
13    :outsidegood     => "outside",  
14    :demographics    => [ :income, :income, :age ],  
15    :xzcharacteristics => [ :constant, :ibu, :ibu ],  
16    :xvcharacteristics => [ :ibu, :abv ],  
17    :regressors      => [ :constant, :ibu, :abv ],  
18    :instruments     => [ :constant, :ibu, :abv ]  
19 )
```

- all that you need to do to estimate a complete random coefficients discrete choice demand model with both micro and macro data
- for other estimators / models, the structure is the same

# Example timing: my 6.5 year old computer at home

- optimization only

MLE, random coefficients demand model with 50k consumers, 50 markets, 950 products ( $\approx$ microblp)	6s
--	----

MLE, random coefficients demand model with 5k consumers, 500 markets, 100k products ( $\approx$ microblp)	8m
---	----

MLE, latent consumer groups demand model with 250k consumers, 100 markets, 2k products, ngroups = 3	1s
---	----

# What will be in the package?

**demand estimation:** (mostly done)

**CLEER** (Grieco, Murry, Pinkse, Sagl)

**latent groups estimator** (Pinkse, Ren, Setzler)

**BLP of various kinds** (Berry, Levinsohn, Pakes)

**multinomial logit**

**mixed logit**

**nested logit**

**nonlinear versions of the above**

**nonparametric** (Pinkse, Slade, Brett; Compiani; Brand, Smith)

**etcetera**

**production functions:** (not started)

**auctions:** (not started)

**dynamic games:** (not started)

# What if you don't want/need/like Julia

- front ends for Python, R

# Conclusions

- if it is just for you and you have \$\$\$, consider a GPU-based system
- if there is an existing high performance library that does what you want → great
  - *you wouldn't be at this conference*
- if there is a reliable package for your language that is fast enough → use it
  - *nudge*
- consider using a performant language like Julia