

Bio 1M: Evolutionary processes

Evolution by natural selection

- Is something missing from the story I told last chapter?
 - Heritable **variation** in traits
 - **Selection** (i.e., differential reproductive success) *based on* these traits
-

Some genetics

- Our basic traits are determined by **genes**
- A location where a gene can occur is called a **locus** (pl. **loci**)
- A particular version of a gene is called an **allele**
- Complex organisms usually have two alleles at each locus
 - These can be the same, or different

Loci

- Complex organisms usually have two alleles at each locus
 - These can be the same, or different
- An organism with different alleles at a particular locus is referred to as **heterozygous** (adj., n. form heterozygote)
- An organism with two copies of the same allele at a particular locus is referred to as **homozygous** (adj., n. form homozygote)

Two definitions of evolution

- *Lecture*: heritable changes in species traits over time
- *Book*: changes in allele frequencies
- These definitions are consistent; use the one which helps you think clearly

1 Analyzing genotype frequencies — S25.2

Genotypes and phenotypes

- A **genotype** is the collection of an individual's genes
- A **phenotype** is the collection of an individual's physiological and physical traits
 - What we can observe about an individual
 - Phenotype is largely (but by no means entirely) determined by genotype

Example: peppered moths

- Check “peppered moths” or “Kettlewell’s experiment” on wikipedia
- Two different alleles possible at the wing color gene: A_1 and A_2 .
 - Individuals with A_1A_1 *genotype* have light-winged *phenotype*
 - Individuals with A_2A_2 *genotype* have dark-winged *phenotype*.
 - Individuals with A_1A_2 *genotype* ???
- If individuals with genotype XY have the same phenotype (on average) as those with XX , we say that X is a **dominant** allele and Y is a **recessive** allele.
- If XY individuals have an intermediate phenotype (between XX and YY , we say X and Y are **co-dominant**.

Analyzing genotype frequencies

- We analyze genotype frequencies as follows:
 - Make simple assumptions about how frequencies work
 - Calculate **expected frequencies** under our assumptions
 - Measure **observed frequencies** in the population
 - Look for evidence of systematic (not random) difference between expected and observed frequencies

Making simple assumptions

- Expected frequencies are usually calculated by assuming that alleles assort randomly and independently, like flipping two coins, or rolling two dice

Coin flipping

- I flip two fair coins (ie., each coin will land heads with probability $1/2$).
- What is the probability of:
 - Two heads
 - Two tails?
 - One of each?
-

Professional coin flipping

- A professional gambler can flip a coin so that it lands heads 70 the time. She flips two coins.
- What is the probability of:
 - Two heads
 - Two tails?
 - One of each?
-

Hardy-Weinberg distribution

- The Hardy-Weinberg distribution is the distribution expected if alleles work like coins (random and independent).
- If p is frequency of allele A_1 and q is frequency of allele A_2 , then:
 - Frequency of genotype A_1A_1 is p^2 .
 - Frequency of genotype A_2A_2 is q^2 .
 - Frequency of genotype A_1A_2 is $2pq$.
- Why the 2?
 -

Example: calculating allele frequencies

- I collect 20 peppered moths from a particular place, and find that 4 have genotype A_1A_1 , 8 have genotype A_1A_2 , and 8 have genotype A_2A_2 .
- What is the observed frequency of each allele?
- What is the expected frequency of each genotype under the Hardy-Weinberg assumptions?
- Is this population in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium?
 -
 -

What do we mean by expected?

- If we flip a fair coin 100 times, what is the expected number of heads?
 - What if we flip it 25 times?
- We don't expect to get exactly the expected value.
- The 'expected value' is an average of what is expected under our assumptions

How do you know a coin is perfectly fair?

- You can never be sure that a coin is perfectly fair, you can only evaluate your evidence that it's more or less close to fair.
- Similarly, we never have evidence that a population is exactly in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, we can only evaluate our evidence that it is not in equilibrium, or our evidence that it is close to equilibrium.

Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium

- When do we expect genotype frequencies to behave like coins?
- Alleles selected at random from the previous generation:
 -
 -
- If these assumptions hold, we expect **Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium**
 - Hardy-Weinberg distribution, with no change in allele frequencies from generation to generation.

Differences from equilibrium

- If we observe large differences from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, this is usually a sign that mating is not random, or that natural selection is operating
- The analysis tells us that something is going on, but not what
- Hardy-Weinberg is a **null model**: it tells us what to expect if complicating effects are absent

Example: Human blood groups

- MN blood groups in different human populations are very close to Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium — Table 25.1
 - No evidence for non-random mating, or for fitness differences.
- What about MN blood groups in the global human population?
 -
 -

Example: Human HLA genes — Table 25.2

- HLA genes are used by the immune system to recognize disease-causing organisms
- Researchers hypothesized that heterozygous individuals may recognize more bacteria and viruses
- Data shows that more people are heterozygous for HLA genes than would be expected under the Hardy-Weinberg assumption

Heterozygous HLA genes

- Why might more people be heterozygous for HLA genes than predicted by Hardy-Weinberg?

-
-
-
- *

2 Types of natural selection — S25.3

2.1 Directional selection

- **Directional selection** tends to move a population in a particular direction
 - Giraffe necks
 - Human brains

Multi-directional selection

- Directional selection can change through time with the environment
 - Swallows may get larger during extreme cold spells, smaller again during normal weather
 - * But we need to know whether the changes we saw were heritable
 - Finch beaks get thicker when food is scarce, and smaller when food is abundant
 - Why might small-beaked finches have advantages?
 - *

2.2 Stabilizing selection

- **Stabilizing selection** tends to keep the population where it is
 - Example: human birthweights — Fig 25.4

Connections between selection types

- What happens if the target of directional selection stays the same for a long time?
 -
- Examples?
 -
 -
 -
 - *

2.3 Disruptive selection

- Disruptive selection favors phenotypes *different* from the average value — Fig 25.5
 - Black-bellied seedcrackers
 - Animals that get eaten a lot may want to look different from their peers
- Disruptive selection may lead to **speciation** – the formation of new species.

3 Other evolutionary mechanisms

3.1 Genetic drift

- **Genetic drift** is change in allele frequencies due to random sampling:
 - Some individuals have more offspring than others due to chance events
 - Offspring receive certain parental alleles, and not others
- These factors will lead to an accumulation of random changes in allele frequencies

Thought experiment

- Imagine flipping a fair coin 100 times
 - Repeat
- Now imagine choosing 100 alleles at random (with replacement) from a population of 50 *A* and 50 *B* alleles
 - Repeat, using new population as a starting point — Fig 25.6

Small populations

- Drift is much stronger in small populations than in large ones (law of averages).
- Even if a population is big now, it may have been small in the past
 - **Founder effects** occur when a new population is started by a small number of individuals
 - **Bottlenecks** occur when a population becomes small, then large again
 - * ... or, when a new genetic mutation takes over a population

Fixation and loss

- An allele may drift to a frequency of 0 (it's **lost**) or of 1 (it's **fixed**)
- Advantageous alleles are often (not always) fixed
- Disadvantageous alleles are usually (not always) lost
- Alleles with **neutral** differences (no selective difference) will be fixed or lost at random
- Drift tends to reduce genetic variation

3.2 Gene flow — S25.4

- **Gene flow** is the movement of alleles from one population to another
 - This happens when individuals move from one population to another and breed
- How we think about gene flow depends on how we choose to define a ‘population’
- Gene flow can be an obstacle to speciation; it helps keep populations similar

3.3 Mutation — S25.5

- **Mutations** are heritable errors in copying DNA
- Mutations are rare; by themselves they don't cause much evolution
- Mutations are extremely important to evolution, however:
 -
 -

Mutations are random

- Most mutations are **deleterious** – bad for fitness
- Very rarely mutations are **beneficial** – good for fitness
 - Such mutations are favored by natural selection

Complex organisms

- Can complex organisms arise through random mutations?
 - A central question of biology
 - Large-scale evolution takes a *long* time
 - Beneficial changes can accumulate gradually
 - Much evidence of intermediate forms
- Check out videos of “evolution of the eye”

What about sex?

- Sex as an evolutionary process is very complicated
 -
- Sex does act to bring alleles together (and to split them apart)
- Sex does not provide a source of new alleles

4 Mating patterns

4.1 Inbreeding

- **Inbreeding** refers to mating between close relatives
- Since relatives will tend to share similar alleles, inbred populations will tend to differ from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in what way?

–

Inbreeding depression

- In many populations, it is observed that inbred individuals have lower fitness:
 - They are more likely to be homozygous for rare genetic defects
 - They are less likely to be heterozygous for immune-system genes
- Inbreeding depression is a serious concern for conservation
 - As populations get smaller, inbreeding becomes more common
- Human demographic studies show strikingly lower survival for children of first cousins
 - Table 25.4

4.2 Sexual selection

- **Sexual selection** is a form of natural selection
- Occurs when there is heritable variation in traits related to success in obtaining mates

Example: zebra finches

- Males but not females have colorful orange beaks
- Hypothesis: these beaks make males more attractive to females — Fig 25.17

Zebra finch experiments

- Feed some males enriched diets
 - Their beaks become more orange
 - They are preferred by females
- Why not simply find and use birds whose beaks are naturally more or less orange?
 -
- What is a possible problem with the conclusion that females prefer birds with orange beaks?
 -

Why the males?

- Males often have striking traits that females lack, used in courtship, or in battles for mates
 - Sexual **dimorphism** refers to trait differences between males and females
- Why do males more often have these traits than females?
 - Investment in reproduction
 - Variation in reproductive success

Investment in reproduction

- In many species, females invest much more in each offspring than males do
 - Eggs are expensive, sperm are cheap
 - Females are often more involved in caring for offspring
- If females invest a lot in each offspring, they can maximize fitness by *being choosy about mates*
- If males invest little in each offspring, they can maximize fitness by *mating as much as possible*

Testing the theory of sexual selection

- How might we test the theory that males compete sexually because females invest more in offspring?

—
*
—
*

Variation in reproductive success

- Males often have greater variation in reproductive success than females do
- This is a side-effect of the fact that females usually invest a lot in each offspring
 - Reduces potential total number of offspring
 - Makes females desirable to males
- Greater variation in reproductive success means that winning contests is more important to male than female fitness

Example: elephant seals

- Male elephant seals compete for control of breeding beaches
- Huge variation in reproductive success
- Huge size difference between males and females (strong sexual dimorphism) — Fig 25.19

What about people?

- Men and women have pretty clear size differences
- How unequal is child-rearing in people?
 -
 -
- To what extent do these principles even apply to people?
 -
 -