

# CFG NINJA AUDITS

Security Assessment

**WORMCO** Token

June 16, 2023

Audit Status: Pass

Audit Edition: Advanced



3LADE POOL



## Risk Analysis

#### **Classifications of Manual Risk Results**

Classification	Description
Critical	Danger or Potential Problems.
High	Be Careful or Fail test.
Low	Pass, Not-Detected or Safe Item.
<ul><li>Informational</li></ul>	Function Detected

#### **Manual Code Review Risk Results**

Contract Priviledge	Description
Buy Tax	0%
Sale Tax	0%
Cannot Sale	Pass
Cannot Sale	Pass
Max Tax	0%
Modify Tax	No
Fee Check	Pass
■ Is Honeypot?	Not Detected
Trading Cooldown	Not Detected
Can Pause Trade?	Pass
Pause Transfer?	





Contract Priviledge	Description
Max Tx?	Pass
Is Anti Whale?	Not Detected
Is Anti Bot?	Not Detected
Is Blacklist?	Not-Detected
Blacklist Check	Pass
is Whitelist?	Not Detected
Can Mint?	Pass
■ Is Proxy?	Not Detected
Can Take Ownership?	Not Detected
Hidden Owner?	Not Detected
<ul><li>Owner</li></ul>	0xB0E44d913176DEf5C1A03523916D28F88DD48C78
Self Destruct?	Not Detected
External Call?	Not Detected
Other?	Not Detected
<ul><li>Holders</li></ul>	1
Auditor Confidence	High

The following quick summary it's added to the project overview; however, there are more details about the audit and its results. Please read every detail.





## **Project Overview**

#### **Token Summary**

Parameter	Result
Address	0x715CFD20Ec34749e1752aB269DE047fDccaB617A
Name	WORMCO
Token Tracker	WORMCO (WORM)
Decimals	18
Supply	100,000
Platform	Ethereum
compiler	v0.8.4+commit.c7e474f2
Contract Name	StandardToken
Optimization	Yes with 200 runs
LicenseType	MIT
Language	Solidity
Codebase	https://etherscan.io/address/0x715cfd20ec34749e1752ab269d e047fdccab617a#code
Payment Tx	Corporate





## **Project Overview**

#### **Simulation Summary**

Parameter	Result
Transfer From Owner	Pass
Transfer From Holder	Pass
Add Liquidity	Pass
RemoveLiquidity	Pass
Buy from Owner	Pass
Buy from Holder	Pass
Sale from Owner	Pass
Sale from Holder	Pass
Remove Liquidity	Pass
SwapAndLiquify	Pass
SwapAndSale w/Fee	Pass
SwapAndSale TX	
SwapAndSaleNoFee	Pass
SwapAndSale No/Fee TX	
ExcludeFromFees	Pass
LaunchPad	PinkSale





Parameter	Result
Pool Creation	Pass
Pool Creation TX	
Pool Finalize	Pass
Pool Finalize TX	
Enable	Pass

The following quick summary it's added to the project overview; however, there are more details about the audit and its results. Please read every detail.





## Main Contract Assessed Contract Name

Name	Contract	Live
WORMCO	0x715CFD20Ec34749e1752aB269DE047fDccaB617A	Yes

#### **TestNet Contract was Not Assessed**


#### **Solidity Code Provided**

SoliD	File Sha-1	FileName
WORMCO	995f0e16243eff9f4e673dd69fcef47a0f8f09d0	WORMCO.sol
WORMCO		
WORMCO		
WORMCO		





## Call Graph







## Smart Contract Vulnerability Checks

The Smart Contract Weakness Classification Registry (SWC Registry) is an implementation of the weakness classification scheme proposed in EIP-1470. It is loosely aligned to the terminologies and structure used in the Common Weakness Enumeration (CWE) while overlaying a wide range of weakness variants that are specific to smart contracts.

ID	Severity	Name	File	location
SWC-100	Pass	Function Default Visibility	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-101	Pass	Integer Overflow and Underflow.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-102	Pass	Outdated Compiler Version file.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-103	Pass	A floating pragma is set.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-104	Pass	Unchecked Call Return Value.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-105	Pass	Unprotected Ether Withdrawal.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-106	Pass	Unprotected SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-107	Pass	Read of persistent state following external call.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-108	Pass	State variable visibility is not set	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-109	Pass	Uninitialized Storage Pointer.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-110	Pass	Assert Violation.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0





ID	Severity	Name	File	location
SWC-111	Pass	Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-112	Pass	Delegate Call to Untrusted Callee.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-113	Pass	Multiple calls are executed in the same transaction.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-114	Pass	Transaction Order Dependence.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-115	Pass	Authorization through tx.origin.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-116	Pass	A control flow decision is made based on The block.timestamp environment variable.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-117	Pass	Signature Malleability.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-118	Pass	Incorrect Constructor Name.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-119	Pass	Shadowing State Variables.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-120	Pass	Potential use of block.number as source of randonmness.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-121	Pass	Missing Protection against Signature Replay Attacks.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-122	Pass	Lack of Proper Signature Verification.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-123	Pass	Requirement Violation.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-124	Pass	Write to Arbitrary Storage Location.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-125	Pass	Incorrect Inheritance Order.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0





ID	Severity	Name	File	location
SWC-126	Pass	Insufficient Gas Griefing.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-127	Pass	Arbitrary Jump with Function Type Variable.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-128	Pass	DoS With Block Gas Limit.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-129	Pass	Typographical Error.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-130	Pass	Right-To-Left-Override control character (U +202E).	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-131	Pass	Presence of unused variables.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-132	Pass	Unexpected Ether balance.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-133	Pass	Hash Collisions with Multiple Variable Length Arguments.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-134	Pass	Message call with hardcoded gas amount.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-135	Pass	Code With No Effects (Irrelevant/Dead Code).	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0
SWC-136	Pass	Unencrypted Private Data On-Chain.	StandardToken.sol	L: 0 C: 0


We scan the contract for additional security issues using MYTHX and industry-standard security scanning tools.





### **Inheritance**

The contract for WORMCO has the following inheritance structure.







## **Social Media Checks**

Social Media	URL	Result
Twitter	https://twitter.com/Wormcoeth	Pass
Other		Fail
Website	https://www.wormco.xyz/	Pass
Telegram	https://t.me/wormcoeth	Pass

We recommend to have 3 or more social media sources including a completed working websites.

**Social Media Information Notes:** 

**Auditor Notes: undefined** 

**Project Owner Notes:** 







#### **Audit Result**

#### **Final Audit Score**

Review	Score
Security Score	85
Auditor Score	90

The Following Score System Has been Added to this page to help understand the value of the audit, the maximun score is 100, however to attain that value the project most pass and provide all the data needed for the assessment. Our Passing Score has been changed to 80 Points, if a project does not attain 80% is an automatic failure. Read our notes and final assessment below.

#### **Audit Passed**







#### **Assessment Results**

#### **Important Notes:**

- No vulnerabilites found during our testing.
- Contract by PinkSale

#### Auditor Score =90 Audit Passed







## **Appendix**

#### **Finding Categories**

#### **Centralization / Privilege**

Centralization / Privilege findings refer to either feature logic or implementation of components that actagainst the nature of decentralization, such as explicit ownership or specialized access roles incombination with a mechanism to relocate funds.

#### **Gas Optimization**

Gas Optimization findings do not affect the functionality of the code but generate different, more optimalEVM opcodes resulting in a reduction on the total gas cost of a transaction.

#### **Logical Issue**

Logical Issue findings detail a fault in the logic of the linked code, such as an incorrect notion on howblock.timestamp works.

#### **Control Flow**

Control Flow findings concern the access control imposed on functions, such as owneronly functionsbeing invoke-able by anyone under certain circumstances.

#### **Volatile Code**

Volatile Code findings refer to segments of code that behave unexpectedly on certain edge cases that mayresult in a vulnerability.

#### **Coding Style**

Coding Style findings usually do not affect the generated byte-code but rather comment on how to makethe codebase more legible and, as a result, easily maintainable.

#### **Inconsistency**

Inconsistency findings refer to functions that should seemingly behave similarly yet contain different code, such as a constructor assignment imposing different require statements on the input variables than a setterfunction.

#### **Coding Best Practices**

ERC 20 Conding Standards are a set of rules that each developer should follow to ensure the code meet a set of creterias and is readable by all the developers.





#### Disclaimer

CFGNINJA has conducted an independent security assessment to verify the integrity of and highlight any vulnerabilities or errors, intentional or unintentional, that may be present in the reviewed code for the scope of this assessment. This report does not constitute agreement, acceptance, or advocation for the Project, and users relying on this report should not consider this as having any merit for financial advice in any shape, form, or nature. The contracts audited do not account for any economic developments that the Project in question may pursue, and the veracity of the findings thus presented in this report relate solely to the proficiency, competence, aptitude, and discretion of our independent auditors, who make no guarantees nor assurance that the contracts are entirely free of exploits, bugs, vulnerabilities or deprecation of technologies.

All information provided in this report does not constitute financial or investment advice, nor should it be used to signal that any persons reading this report should invest their funds without sufficient individual due diligence, regardless of the findings presented. Information is provided 'as is, and CFGNINJA is under no covenant to audited completeness, accuracy, or solidity of the contracts. In no event will CFGNINJA or its partners, employees, agents, or parties related to the provision of this audit report be liable to any parties for, or lack thereof, decisions or actions with regards to the information provided in this audit report.

The assessment services provided by CFGNINJA are subject to dependencies and are under continuing development. You agree that your access or use, including but not limited to any services, reports, and materials, will be at your sole risk on an as-is, where-is, and as-available basis. Cryptographic tokens are emergent technologies with high levels of technical risk and uncertainty. The assessment reports could include false positives, negatives, and unpredictable results. The services may access, and depend upon, multiple layers of third parties.



