Lecture 19 Drawing in 3D

Recap...

- Lecture 16: Meshes (triangles)
- Lecture 17: Texture Basics
 - object appearence by color maps
 - texture coordinates, lookups
 - filtering
- Lecture 18: Advanced Textures
 - object shape by bump, normal, displacement **maps**
 - tricks for maps (wrapping, scaling, layering, ...)
 - complex lighting by environment and shadow maps

This Week: How/Why?

- Why just triangles?
- Why maps not more triangles?Why hacks to get shape and lighting?
- 1. Really understand the model
 - need it to use it well / efficiently
 - need it to understand shaders
- 2. See some of the algorithms underneath
 - they explain why things work the way they do

The Abstractions of Interactive 3D

- 1. Triangles (primitives)
- 2. Maps (texture coordinates and images)
- 3. Local Shading

Triangles: the primitive

Possibly points and lines as well

Projection of a triangle is a triangle

• just transform the vertices

Some thoughts about triangles...

- Barycentric coordinates
- Information at vertices
 - not faces
- Good meshes
 - but vertex splitting

Where to put information

- faces triangle "groups"
- vertices
- pixels can't refer to pixels (don't know how many)
- texture coordinates to specify values over triangles

What controls appearance

At a point:

- 1. Surface Color (and properties)
- 2. Light Color (and properties)

Lighting: Local vs. Global

Local:

- consider what happens at one point
- given what light arrives, what color do we see

Global:

- what light gets to the point
- how do different points interact

Global Lighting Effects

- Shadows
- Reflections (mirror)
- Spill
- Indirect lighting
- Refraction
- Complex combinations (e.g., caustics)
- 1. Simulate transport
- 2. Use Hacks

Local Lighting Model

Consider one point

Each light contributes

- simple: each light gives 1 direction/colors
- complex: light over a range of directions

Bi-directional Reflectance Distribution Function (BRDF)

(put off talking about local lighting)

Why local lighting?

Compute each triangle independently (each point on each triangle)

Need:

- Light at point
- Color (surface properties at point)

Colors per triangle

- 1 (face, split vertices)
- 3 (vertex, interpolation)
- lots (texture)

Why not model everything?

Actual geometry?

Dot on dice:

- triangles on top of each other?
- floating dot?
- divide up face?

Very small triangles are bad:

- many triangles per pixel (how to pick?)
- hard to know which is in front
- hard to author (design, store, maintain, ...)

Texture Maps 1: Texture Coordinates

Need coordinates per pixel

• specify (compute) at vertices and interpolate

Some choices...

- use the local position
- use the global position
- "UV" (special values assigned to vertices)
- computed based on the above

Texture Coordinates: UV

- UV is arbitrary (sometimes could be S,T)
- 2D is arbitrary (could have as many dimensions as we want)

Examples:

- 2D (standard look up in image)
- 3D (less common look up in space)
- 4D 2 separate 2D textures to combine

Texture mapping basic process

Setup:

- 1. Assign U,V to vertices
- 2. Have a map from U,V to color (*)

Use:

- 1. Each pixel has a U,V
- 2. Look up color (*)
- (*) image lookup or compute procedurally

Filtering

Each pixel maps to a region of texture

• Look at entire region

This is how we get around the "small dot" problem

Mip Maps + Tri-linear interpolation

Fast filtering

Authoring

- Assign U,V to triangle to get correct piece of texture
- Paint texture based on what triangle gets it

Exam Practice

What UV values to make a picture?

More texturing

- 1. It's a way to put data over triangles
 - not just colors
 - o normals, surface properties, ...
- 2. We can compute the texture coordinates
 - textures based on light directions
 - hack global lighting
- 3. We can use multiple maps
 - blend colors (materials, dirt, lights, ...)

How do we actually draw?

(or, how does the hardware do it for us?)

Categories of methods (rough, one way to divide it) ...

- 1. Per-primitive methods (used for interactive graphics)
- 2. Image-space methods
- 3. World-space methods

Most (all?) graphics hardware (and high-performance interactive) rendering is done with **per-primitive** methods.

The Process of Drawing in 3D

- 1. Triangles (in 3D)
- 2. Transform (the triangles to 2D) viewing
- 3. Convert triangles to pixels rasterization
- 4. Color Each Pixel shading (lighting, texturing, ...)
- along the way...
 - decide if the triangle is on the screen clipping
 - decide if another triangle blocks the pixel visibility

Clipping vs. Visibility

Clipping is skipping triangles that are off screen

- clip to the frustum
- includes near and far

Visibility is having near things block farther ones

- can't know until you look at all of the triangles
- immediate mode might draw in any order

Culling is skipping primitives based on fast decisions

When do we get rid of things?

Clipping can happen after the triangle is transformed

Visibility depends on the algorithm (often per-pixel, after coloring)

Culling should happen early

- discard a whole group of triangles (triangles in another room)
- backface culling (after the normal is transformed)
- and many others

Visibility: The Problem

Assume objects are **solid** and **not-transparent**

Closer objects occlude farther ones

This is not **clipping** - we assume things are in-view

Visibility is important!

An important cue to making things look realistic!

Visibility Algorithms

- Painters Algorithm important in concept
- Z-Buffer Algorithm used in practice

We often use ideas from the painter's algorithm

How does this work in the real world?

How does a painter do it?

Paint each object

Paint new objects on top of older ones

Order matters

The Painters Algorithm

- 1. Collect all objects
- 2. Sort from back to front
- 3. Draw objects in order (back to front)

Problems with the Painter's Algorithm

- 1. Need all objects to sort (not immediate mode)
- 2. What happens with Ties?
- 3. What happens with intersecting objects?
- 4. Inefficiency resort when camera moves
- 5. Inefficiency draw things that get covered

Dealing with Ties: Cutting Objects

If two objects (triangles) intersect, cut them

Avoiding Re-Sorting

Use fancy data structures!

Binary Space Partitioning Tree (BSP-Trees)

Very important in old (pre-graphics hardware) video games

Not very important now (we use hardware)

The Z-Buffer Algorithm

Goals:

- order independent
- immediate mode (1 triangle at a time)

Idea:

Store the depth per-pixel

The Z-Buffer

An extra number per-pixel

color buffer (RGB)
z-Buffer (Z)

Clearing the Z-Buffer

Start with all pixels at max distance

Anything that will be drawn will be in front of the background

Why the far distance of the camera is important

Historically: limited precision (16 bits), avoid making far too big

Drawing

```
Draw pixel (x,y) with color (c) and depth (z)
Frame buffer FB, Z-Buffer (ZB)
Old - no Z-Buffer (write pixel):
 FB(x,y) = c
New - Z-buffer (read/test/write):
 pz = ZB(x,y)
 if z < pz:
   FB(x,y) = c
   ZB(x,y) = z
```

Order independent

Far object first

Close object first

What could go wrong?

- Requires memory
- Requires read/modify/write
 - memory reads can be slow
 - need to wait until prior writes are done
- Requires storing distances
 - old days: 16 bits (scaling issues)
- things we care about...

What happens in a tie?

Decide if < or <= Order matters

Z-Fighting

Two objects are very similar distances

- might be a tie (drawing order matters)
- numerical noise might break the tie

What if a triangle partially fills a pixel?

Z-Buffer test is yes/no
Doesn't consider partial filling

Aliasing!

Anti-aliasing the edges of objects is hard

(warning - we haven't discussed aliasing yet)

Overdraw Efficiency

- Throw away pixel after it is computed
- After we have computed the color!
- Wasted effort!
- Overwrite is even more wasteful than Z-Fail

Future: ways to avoid the inefficiency

Semi-Transparent Objects?

Alpha-Blending?

Back object needs to be drawn first:

- need to blend with correct background
- close object prevents objects behind from being drawn

Solution:

- sort objects (basically painters algorithm)
- transparent objects last

Z-Buffer Summary

- 1. Clear Z-Buffer to Max Distance
- 2. Replace pixel write with read/test/write

Good Parts

- Simple
- Uses memory (now cheap)
- Generally order independent
- Don't need objects ahead of time
- Easy to implement in hardware
- Invented by Ed Catmull

Problems

- 1. Sometimes order matters
- 2. Can have efficiency issues
- 3. Z-Fighting Problems
- 4. Aliasing
- 5. Doesn't handle transparency

What's next?

- The other steps of drawing (rasterization)
- How the graphics hardware implements this efficiently

- How we program the graphics hardware
- how we use this machinery to do other things