

January 22-26: Advanced machine learning and data analysis for the physical sciences

Morten Hjorth-Jensen^{1,2}

Department of Physics and Center for Computing in Science Education,
University of Oslo, Norway¹

Department of Physics and Astronomy and Facility for Rare Isotope Beams,
Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA²

Jan 22, 2024

© 1999-2024, Morten Hjorth-Jensen. Released under CC Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 license

Overview of second week, January 22-26

1. Mathematics of neural networks
2. Writing own code (bring back to life your NN code)
3. Discussion of first data set and paths for project 1

Videos on Neural Networks

- ▶ Video on Neural Networks
- ▶ Video on the back propagation algorithm

Mathematics of deep learning

Two recent books online

1. The Modern Mathematics of Deep Learning, by Julius Berner, Philipp Grohs, Gitta Kutyniok, Philipp Petersen, published as Mathematical Aspects of Deep Learning, pp. 1-111. Cambridge University Press, 2022
2. Mathematical Introduction to Deep Learning: Methods, Implementations, and Theory, Arnulf Jentzen, Benno Kuckuck, Philippe von Wurstemberger

Reminder on books with hands-on material and codes

- ▶ Sebastian Rashcka et al, Machine learning with Sickit-Learn and PyTorch
- ▶ David Foster, Generative Deep Learning with TensorFlow
- ▶ Bali and Gavras, Generative AI with Python and TensorFlow 2

All three books have GitHub addresses from where one can download all codes. We will borrow most of the material from these three texts as well as from Goodfellow, Bengio and Courville's text [Deep Learning](#)

Reading recommendations

1. Rashkca et al., chapter 11, jupyter-notebook sent separately, from [GitHub](#)
2. Goodfellow et al, chapter 6 and 7 contain most of the neural network background.

Mathematics of deep learning and neural networks

Neural networks, in its so-called feed-forward form, where each iterations contains a feed-forward stage and a back-propagation stage, consist of series of affine matrix-matrix and matrix-vector multiplications. The unknown parameters (the so-called biases and weights which determine the architecture of a neural network), are updated iteratively using the so-called back-propagation algorithm. This algorithm corresponds to the so-called reverse mode of the automatic differentiation algorithm. These algorithms will be discussed in more detail below.

We start however first with the definitions of the various variables which make up a neural network.

Overarching view of a neural network

The architecture of a neural network defines our model. This model aims at describing some function $f(\mathbf{x})$ which aims at describing some final result (outputs or target values) given a specific input \mathbf{x} . Note that here \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{x} are not limited to be vectors.

The architecture consists of

1. An input and an output layer where the input layer is defined by the inputs \mathbf{x} . The output layer produces the model output $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$ which is compared with the target value \mathbf{y}
2. A given number of hidden layers and neurons/nodes/units for each layer (this may vary)
3. A given activation function $\sigma(\mathbf{z})$ with arguments \mathbf{z} to be defined below. The activation functions may differ from layer to layer.
4. The last layer, normally called **output** layer has normally an activation function tailored to the specific problem
5. Finally we define a so-called cost or loss function which is used to gauge the quality of our model.

The optimization problem

The cost function is a function of the unknown parameters Θ where the latter is a container for all possible parameters needed to define a neural network

If we are dealing with a regression task a typical cost/loss function is the mean squared error

$$C(\Theta) = \frac{1}{n} \left\{ (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\theta)^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\theta) \right\}.$$

This function represents one of many possible ways to define the so-called cost function.

For neural networks the parameters Θ are given by the so-called weights and biases (to be defined below).

The weights are given by matrix elements $w_{ij}^{(l)}$ where the superscript indicates the layer number. The biases are typically given by vector elements representing each single node of a given layer, that is $b_j^{(l)}$.

Other ingredients of a neural network

Having defined the architecture of a neural network, the optimization of the cost function with respect to the parameters Θ , involves the calculations of gradients and their optimization. The gradients represent the derivatives of a multidimensional object and are often approximated by various gradient methods, including

1. various quasi-Newton methods,
2. plain gradient descent (GD) with a constant learning rate η ,
3. GD with momentum and other approximations to the learning rates such as
 - ▶ Adaptive gradient (ADAGRAD)
 - ▶ Root mean-square propagation (RMSprop)
 - ▶ Adaptive gradient with momentum (ADAM) and many other
4. Stochastic gradient descent and various families of learning rate approximations

Other parameters

In addition to the above, there are often additional hyperparameters which are included in the setup of a neural network. These will be discussed below.

Setting up the equations for a neural network

The questions we want to ask are how do changes in the biases and the weights in our network change the cost function and how can we use the final output to modify the weights?

To derive these equations let us start with a plain regression problem and define our cost function as

$$\mathcal{C}(\Theta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \tilde{y}_i)^2,$$

where the y_i s are our n targets (the values we want to reproduce), while the outputs of the network after having propagated all inputs \mathbf{x} are given by $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_i$.

Definitions

With our definition of the targets \mathbf{y} , the outputs of the network $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$ and the inputs \mathbf{x} we define now the activation z_j^l of node/neuron/unit j of the l -th layer as a function of the bias, the weights which add up from the previous layer $l - 1$ and the forward passes/outputs \hat{a}^{l-1} from the previous layer as

$$z_j^l = \sum_{i=1}^{M_{l-1}} w_{ij}^l a_i^{l-1} + b_j^l,$$

where b_k^l are the biases from layer l . Here M_{l-1} represents the total number of nodes/neurons/units of layer $l - 1$. The figure here illustrates this equation. We can rewrite this in a more compact form as the matrix-vector products we discussed earlier,

$$\hat{\mathbf{z}}^l = \left(\hat{\mathbf{W}}^l \right)^T \hat{\mathbf{a}}^{l-1} + \hat{\mathbf{b}}^l.$$

Inputs to the activation function

With the activation values \mathbf{z}^l we can in turn define the output of layer l as $\mathbf{a}^l = f(\mathbf{z}^l)$ where f is our activation function. In the examples here we will use the sigmoid function discussed in our logistic regression lectures. We will also use the same activation function f for all layers and their nodes. It means we have

$$a_j^l = f(z_j^l) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp -(z_j^l)}.$$

Derivatives and the chain rule

From the definition of the activation z_j^l we have

$$\frac{\partial z_j^l}{\partial w_{ij}^l} = a_i^{l-1},$$

and

$$\frac{\partial z_j^l}{\partial a_i^{l-1}} = w_{ji}^l.$$

With our definition of the activation function we have that (note that this function depends only on z_j^l)

$$\frac{\partial a_j^l}{\partial z_j^l} = a_j^l(1 - a_j^l) = f(z_j^l)(1 - f(z_j^l)).$$

Derivative of the cost function

With these definitions we can now compute the derivative of the cost function in terms of the weights.

Let us specialize to the output layer $l = L$. Our cost function is

$$\mathcal{C}(\Theta^L) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \tilde{y}_i)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i^L - y_i)^2,$$

The derivative of this function with respect to the weights is

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}(\Theta^L)}{\partial w_{jk}^L} = (a_j^L - y_j) \frac{\partial a_j^L}{\partial w_{jk}^L},$$

The last partial derivative can easily be computed and reads (by applying the chain rule)

$$\frac{\partial a_j^L}{\partial w_{jk}^L} = \frac{\partial a_j^L}{\partial z_j^L} \frac{\partial z_j^L}{\partial w_{jk}^L} = a_j^L (1 - a_j^L) a_k^{L-1}.$$

Bringing it together, first back propagation equation

We have thus

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}(\Theta^L)}{\partial w_{jk}^L} = (a_j^L - y_j) a_j^L (1 - a_j^L) a_k^{L-1},$$

Defining

$$\delta_j^L = a_j^L (1 - a_j^L) (a_j^L - y_j) = f'(z_j^L) \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial (a_j^L)},$$

and using the Hadamard product of two vectors we can write this as

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}^L = f'(\hat{\mathbf{z}}^L) \circ \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial (\mathbf{a}^L)}.$$

Analyzing the last results

This is an important expression. The second term on the right handside measures how fast the cost function is changing as a function of the j th output activation. If, for example, the cost function doesn't depend much on a particular output node j , then δ_j^L will be small, which is what we would expect. The first term on the right, measures how fast the activation function f is changing at a given activation value z_j^L .

More considerations

Notice that everything in the above equations is easily computed. In particular, we compute z_j^L while computing the behaviour of the network, and it is only a small additional overhead to compute $f'(z_j^L)$. The exact form of the derivative with respect to the output depends on the form of the cost function. However, provided the cost function is known there should be little trouble in calculating

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial (a_j^L)}$$

With the definition of δ_j^L we have a more compact definition of the derivative of the cost function in terms of the weights, namely

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial w_{jk}^L} = \delta_j^L a_k^{L-1}.$$

Derivatives in terms of z_j^L

It is also easy to see that our previous equation can be written as

$$\delta_j^L = \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial z_j^L} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial a_j^L} \frac{\partial a_j^L}{\partial z_j^L},$$

which can also be interpreted as the partial derivative of the cost function with respect to the biases b_j^L , namely

$$\delta_j^L = \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial b_j^L} \frac{\partial b_j^L}{\partial z_j^L} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial b_j^L},$$

That is, the error δ_j^L is exactly equal to the rate of change of the cost function as a function of the bias.

Bringing it together

We have now three equations that are essential for the computations of the derivatives of the cost function at the output layer. These equations are needed to start the algorithm and they are

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}(\hat{W}^L)}{\partial w_{jk}^L} = \delta_j^L a_k^{L-1}, \quad (1)$$

and

$$\delta_j^L = f'(z_j^L) \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial (a_j^L)}, \quad (2)$$

and

$$\delta_j^L = \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial b_j^L}, \quad (3)$$

Final back propagating equation

We have that (replacing L with a general layer l)

$$\delta_j^l = \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial z_j^l}.$$

We want to express this in terms of the equations for layer $l + 1$.

Using the chain rule and summing over all k entries

We obtain

$$\delta_j^l = \sum_k \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial z_k^{l+1}} \frac{\partial z_k^{l+1}}{\partial z_j^l} = \sum_k \delta_k^{l+1} \frac{\partial z_k^{l+1}}{\partial z_j^l},$$

and recalling that

$$z_j^{l+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{M_l} w_{ij}^{l+1} a_i^l + b_j^{l+1},$$

with M_l being the number of nodes in layer l , we obtain

$$\delta_j^l = \sum_k \delta_k^{l+1} w_{kj}^{l+1} f'(z_j^l),$$

This is our final equation.

We are now ready to set up the algorithm for back propagation and learning the weights and biases.

Setting up the back propagation algorithm

The four equations provide us with a way of computing the gradient of the cost function. Let us write this out in the form of an algorithm.

First, we set up the input data \hat{x} and the activations \hat{z}_1 of the input layer and compute the activation function and the pertinent outputs \hat{a}^1 .

Secondly, we perform then the feed forward till we reach the output layer and compute all \hat{z}_l of the input layer and compute the activation function and the pertinent outputs \hat{a}^l for $l = 2, 3, \dots, L$.

Setting up the back propagation algorithm, part 2

Thereafter we compute the output error $\hat{\delta}^L$ by computing all

$$\delta_j^L = f'(z_j^L) \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial (a_j^L)}.$$

Then we compute the back propagate error for each $l = L - 1, L - 2, \dots, 2$ as

$$\delta_j^l = \sum_k \delta_k^{l+1} w_{kj}^{l+1} f'(z_j^l).$$

Setting up the Back propagation algorithm, part 3

Finally, we update the weights and the biases using gradient descent for each $l = L - 1, L - 2, \dots, 1$ and update the weights and biases according to the rules

$$w_{jk}^l \leftarrow w_{jk}^l - \eta \delta_j^l a_k^{l-1},$$

$$b_j^l \leftarrow b_j^l - \eta \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial b_j^l} = b_j^l - \eta \delta_j^l,$$

with η being the learning rate.

Updating the gradients

With the back propagate error for each $l = L - 1, L - 2, \dots, 1$ as

$$\delta_j^l = \sum_k \delta_k^{l+1} w_{kj}^{l+1} f'(z_j^l),$$

we update the weights and the biases using gradient descent for each $l = L - 1, L - 2, \dots, 1$ and update the weights and biases according to the rules

$$w_{jk}^l \leftarrow w_{jk}^l - \eta \delta_j^l a_k^{l-1},$$

$$b_j^l \leftarrow b_j^l - \eta \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial b_j^l} = b_j^l - \eta \delta_j^l,$$

Fine-tuning neural network hyperparameters

The flexibility of neural networks is also one of their main drawbacks: there are many hyperparameters to tweak. Not only can you use any imaginable network topology (how neurons/nodes are interconnected), but even in a simple FFNN you can change the number of layers, the number of neurons per layer, the type of activation function to use in each layer, the weight initialization logic, the stochastic gradient optimizer and much more. How do you know what combination of hyperparameters is the best for your task?

- ▶ You can use grid search with cross-validation to find the right hyperparameters.

However, since there are many hyperparameters to tune, and since training a neural network on a large dataset takes a lot of time, you will only be able to explore a tiny part of the hyperparameter space.

- ▶ You can use randomized search.
- ▶ Or use tools like [Oscar](#), which implements more complex algorithms to help you find a good set of hyperparameters quickly.

Hidden layers

For many problems you can start with just one or two hidden layers and it will work just fine. For the MNIST data set you can easily get a high accuracy using just one hidden layer with a few hundred neurons. You can reach for this data set above 98% accuracy using two hidden layers with the same total amount of neurons, in roughly the same amount of training time.

For more complex problems, you can gradually ramp up the number of hidden layers, until you start overfitting the training set. Very complex tasks, such as large image classification or speech recognition, typically require networks with dozens of layers and they need a huge amount of training data. However, you will rarely have to train such networks from scratch: it is much more common to reuse parts of a pretrained state-of-the-art network that performs a similar task.

Which activation function should I use?

The Back propagation algorithm we derived above works by going from the output layer to the input layer, propagating the error gradient on the way. Once the algorithm has computed the gradient of the cost function with regards to each parameter in the network, it uses these gradients to update each parameter with a Gradient Descent (GD) step.

Unfortunately for us, the gradients often get smaller and smaller as the algorithm progresses down to the first hidden layers. As a result, the GD update leaves the lower layer connection weights virtually unchanged, and training never converges to a good solution. This is known in the literature as **the vanishing gradients problem**.

In other cases, the opposite can happen, namely the the gradients can grow bigger and bigger. The result is that many of the layers get large updates of the weights the algorithm diverges. This is the **exploding gradients problem**, which is mostly encountered in recurrent neural networks. More generally, deep neural networks suffer from unstable gradients, different layers may learn at widely different speeds

Is the Logistic activation function (Sigmoid) our choice?

Although this unfortunate behavior has been empirically observed for quite a while (it was one of the reasons why deep neural networks were mostly abandoned for a long time), it is only around 2010 that significant progress was made in understanding it.

A paper titled [Understanding the Difficulty of Training Deep Feedforward Neural Networks](#) by Xavier Glorot and Yoshua Bengio found that the problems with the popular logistic sigmoid activation function and the weight initialization technique that was most popular at the time, namely random initialization using a normal distribution with a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1.

They showed that with this activation function and this initialization scheme, the variance of the outputs of each layer is much greater than the variance of its inputs. Going forward in the network, the variance keeps increasing after each layer until the activation function saturates at the top layers. This is actually made worse by the fact that the logistic function has a mean of 0.5, not 0 (the hyperbolic tangent function has a mean of 0 and behaves slightly better than the logistic function in deep networks).

The derivative of the Logistic function

Looking at the logistic activation function, when inputs become large (negative or positive), the function saturates at 0 or 1, with a derivative extremely close to 0. Thus when backpropagation kicks in, it has virtually no gradient to propagate back through the network, and what little gradient exists keeps getting diluted as backpropagation progresses down through the top layers, so there is really nothing left for the lower layers.

In their paper, Glorot and Bengio propose a way to significantly alleviate this problem. We need the signal to flow properly in both directions: in the forward direction when making predictions, and in the reverse direction when backpropagating gradients. We don't want the signal to die out, nor do we want it to explode and saturate. For the signal to flow properly, the authors argue that we need the variance of the outputs of each layer to be equal to the variance of its inputs, and we also need the gradients to have equal variance before and after flowing through a layer in the reverse direction.

One of the insights in the 2010 paper by Glorot and Bengio was that the vanishing/exploding gradients problems were in part due to

The ReLU function family

The ReLU activation function suffers from a problem known as the dying ReLUs: during training, some neurons effectively die, meaning they stop outputting anything other than 0.

In some cases, you may find that half of your network's neurons are dead, especially if you used a large learning rate. During training, if a neuron's weights get updated such that the weighted sum of the neuron's inputs is negative, it will start outputting 0. When this happens, the neuron is unlikely to come back to life since the gradient of the ReLU function is 0 when its input is negative.

To solve this problem, nowadays practitioners use a variant of the ReLU function, such as the leaky ReLU discussed above or the so-called exponential linear unit (ELU) function

$$ELU(z) = \begin{cases} \alpha (\exp(z) - 1) & z < 0, \\ z & z \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Which activation function should we use?

In general it seems that the ELU activation function is better than the leaky ReLU function (and its variants), which is better than ReLU. ReLU performs better than tanh which in turn performs better than the logistic function.

If runtime performance is an issue, then you may opt for the leaky ReLU function over the ELU function. If you don't want to tweak yet another hyperparameter, you may just use the default α of 0.01 for the leaky ReLU, and 1 for ELU. If you have spare time and computing power, you can use cross-validation or bootstrap to evaluate other activation functions.

More on activation functions, output layers

In most cases you can use the ReLU activation function in the hidden layers (or one of its variants).

It is a bit faster to compute than other activation functions, and the gradient descent optimization does in general not get stuck.

For the output layer:

- ▶ For classification the softmax activation function is generally a good choice for classification tasks (when the classes are mutually exclusive).
- ▶ For regression tasks, you can simply use no activation function at all.

Batch Normalization

Batch Normalization aims to address the vanishing/exploding gradients problems, and more generally the problem that the distribution of each layer's inputs changes during training, as the parameters of the previous layers change.

The technique consists of adding an operation in the model just before the activation function of each layer, simply zero-centering and normalizing the inputs, then scaling and shifting the result using two new parameters per layer (one for scaling, the other for shifting). In other words, this operation lets the model learn the optimal scale and mean of the inputs for each layer. In order to zero-center and normalize the inputs, the algorithm needs to estimate the inputs' mean and standard deviation. It does so by evaluating the mean and standard deviation of the inputs over the current mini-batch, from this the name batch normalization.

Dropout

It is a fairly simple algorithm: at every training step, every neuron (including the input neurons but excluding the output neurons) has a probability p of being temporarily dropped out, meaning it will be entirely ignored during this training step, but it may be active during the next step.

The hyperparameter p is called the dropout rate, and it is typically set to 50%. After training, the neurons are not dropped anymore. It is viewed as one of the most popular regularization techniques.

Gradient Clipping

A popular technique to lessen the exploding gradients problem is to simply clip the gradients during backpropagation so that they never exceed some threshold (this is mostly useful for recurrent neural networks).

This technique is called Gradient Clipping.

In general however, Batch Normalization is preferred.

A very nice website on Neural Networks

You may find this [website](#) very useful.

A top-down perspective on Neural networks

The first thing we would like to do is divide the data into two or three parts. A training set, a validation or dev (development) set, and a test set. The test set is the data on which we want to make predictions. The dev set is a subset of the training data we use to check how well we are doing out-of-sample, after training the model on the training dataset. We use the validation error as a proxy for the test error in order to make tweaks to our model. It is crucial that we do not use any of the test data to train the algorithm. This is a cardinal sin in ML. Then:

- ▶ Estimate optimal error rate
- ▶ Minimize underfitting (bias) on training data set.
- ▶ Make sure you are not overfitting.

If the validation and test sets are drawn from the same distributions, then a good performance on the validation set should lead to similarly good performance on the test set.

However, sometimes the training data and test data differ in subtle ways because, for example, they are collected using slightly different methods, or because it is cheaper to collect data in one way versus another. In this case, there can be a mismatch between the training

Limitations of supervised learning with deep networks

Like all statistical methods, supervised learning using neural networks has important limitations. This is especially important when one seeks to apply these methods, especially to physics problems. Like all tools, DNNs are not a universal solution. Often, the same or better performance on a task can be achieved by using a few hand-engineered features (or even a collection of random features).

Limitations of NNs

Here we list some of the important limitations of supervised neural network based models.

- ▶ **Need labeled data.** All supervised learning methods, DNNs for supervised learning require labeled data. Often, labeled data is harder to acquire than unlabeled data (e.g. one must pay for human experts to label images).
- ▶ **Supervised neural networks are extremely data intensive.** DNNs are data hungry. They perform best when data is plentiful. This is doubly so for supervised methods where the data must also be labeled. The utility of DNNs is extremely limited if data is hard to acquire or the datasets are small (hundreds to a few thousand samples). In this case, the performance of other methods that utilize hand-engineered features can exceed that of DNNs.
- ▶ **Homogeneous data.** Almost all DNNs deal with homogeneous data of one type. It is very hard to design architectures that mix and match data types (i.e. some continuous variables, some discrete variables, some time series). In applications beyond images, video and language

More limitations

- ▶ **Many problems are not about prediction.** In natural science we are often interested in learning something about the underlying distribution that generates the data. In this case, it is often difficult to cast these ideas in a supervised learning setting. While the problems are related, it is possible to make good predictions with a *wrong* model. The model might or might not be useful for understanding the underlying science.

Some of these remarks are particular to DNNs, others are shared by all supervised learning methods. This motivates the use of unsupervised methods which in part circumvent these problems.

Building a neural network code

Here we present a flexible object oriented codebase for a feed forward neural network, along with a demonstration of how to use it. Before we get into the details of the neural network, we will first present some implementations of various schedulers, cost functions and activation functions that can be used together with the neural network.

The codes here were developed by Eric Reber and Gregor Kajda during spring 2023.

Learning rate methods

The code below shows object oriented implementations of the Constant, Momentum, Adagrad, AdagradMomentum, RMS prop and Adam schedulers. All of the classes belong to the shared abstract Scheduler class, and share the `update_change()` and `reset()` methods allowing for any of the schedulers to be seamlessly used during the training stage, as will later be shown in the `fit()` method of the neural network. `Update_change()` only has one parameter, the gradient, and returns the change which will be subtracted from the weights. The `reset()` function takes no parameters, and resets the desired variables. For Constant and Momentum, reset does nothing.

```
import autograd.numpy as np

class Scheduler:
    """
    Abstract class for Schedulers
    """

    def __init__(self, eta):
        self.eta = eta

    # should be overwritten
    def update_change(self, gradient):
```

Usage of the above learning rate schedulers

To initialize a scheduler, simply create the object and pass in the necessary parameters such as the learning rate and the momentum as shown below. As the Scheduler class is an abstract class it should not be called directly, and will raise an error upon usage.

```
momentum_scheduler = Momentum(eta=1e-3, momentum=0.9)
adam_scheduler = Adam(eta=1e-3, rho=0.9, rho2=0.999)
```

Here is a small example for how a segment of code using schedulers could look. Switching out the schedulers is simple.

```
weights = np.ones((3,3))
print(f"Before scheduler:\n{weights}")

epochs = 10
for e in range(epochs):
    gradient = np.random.rand(3, 3)
    change = adam_scheduler.update_change(gradient)
    weights = weights - change
    adam_scheduler.reset()

print(f"\nAfter scheduler:\n{weights}")
```

Cost functions

Here we discuss cost functions that can be used when creating the neural network. Every cost function takes the target vector as its parameter, and returns a function valued only at x such that it may easily be differentiated.

```
import autograd.numpy as np
```

```
def CostOLS(target):
```

```
    def func(X):
```

```
        return (1.0 / target.shape[0]) * np.sum((target - X) ** 2)
```

```
    return func
```

```
def CostLogReg(target):
```

```
    def func(X):
```

```
        return -(1.0 / target.shape[0]) * np.sum(
```

```
            (target * np.log(X + 10e-10)) + ((1 - target) * np.log(1 -
```

```
        )
```

```
    return func
```

```
def CostCrossEntropy(target):
```

Activation functions

Finally, before we look at the neural network, we will look at the activation functions which can be specified between the hidden layers and as the output function. Each function can be valued for any given vector or matrix X , and can be differentiated via `derivate()`.

```
import autograd.numpy as np
from autograd import elementwise_grad

def identity(X):
    return X

def sigmoid(X):
    try:
        return 1.0 / (1 + np.exp(-X))
    except FloatingPointError:
        return np.where(X > np.zeros(X.shape), np.ones(X.shape), np.zeros(X.shape))

def softmax(X):
    X = X - np.max(X, axis=-1, keepdims=True)
    delta = 10e-10
    return np.exp(X) / (np.sum(np.exp(X), axis=-1, keepdims=True) + delta)

def RELU(X):
```


The Neural Network

Now that we have gotten a good understanding of the implementation of some important components, we can take a look at an object oriented implementation of a feed forward neural network. The feed forward neural network has been implemented as a class named FFNN, which can be initiated as a regressor or classifier dependant on the choice of cost function. The FFNN can have any number of input nodes, hidden layers with any amount of hidden nodes, and any amount of output nodes meaning it can perform multiclass classification as well as binary classification and regression problems. Although there is a lot of code present, it makes for an easy to use and generalizeable interface for creating many types of neural networks as will be demonstrated below.

```
import math
import autograd.numpy as np
import sys
import warnings
from autograd import grad, elementwise_grad
from random import random, seed
from copy import deepcopy, copy
from typing import Tuple, Callable
from sklearn.utils import resample
```

Multiclass classification

Finally, we will demonstrate the use case of multiclass classification using our FFNN with the famous MNIST dataset, which contain images of digits between the range of 0 to 9.

```
from sklearn.datasets import load_digits
```

```
def onehot(target: np.ndarray):  
    onehot = np.zeros((target.size, target.max() + 1))  
    onehot[np.arange(target.size), target] = 1  
    return onehot
```

```
digits = load_digits()
```

```
X = digits.data  
target = digits.target  
target = onehot(target)
```

```
input_nodes = 64  
hidden_nodes1 = 100  
hidden_nodes2 = 30  
output_nodes = 10
```

```
dims = (input_nodes, hidden_nodes1, hidden_nodes2, output_nodes)
```

```
multiclass = FFNN(dims, hidden_func=LRELU, output_func=softmax, cost_f
```

```
multiclass.reset_weights() # reset weights such that previous runs or
```

Testing the XOR gate and other gates

Let us now use our code to test the XOR gate.

```
X = np.array([ [0, 0], [0, 1], [1, 0],[1, 1]],dtype=np.float64)
```

```
# The XOR gate
```

```
yXOR = np.array( [[ 0], [1] ,[1], [0]])
```

```
input_nodes = X.shape[1]
```

```
output_nodes = 1
```

```
logistic_regression = FFNN((input_nodes, output_nodes), output_func=si
```

```
logistic_regression.reset_weights() # reset weights such that previous
```

```
scheduler = Adam(eta=1e-1, rho=0.9, rho2=0.999)
```

```
scores = logistic_regression.fit(X, yXOR, scheduler, epochs=1000)
```

Not bad, but the results depend strongly on the learning reate. Try different learning rates.

Building neural networks in Tensorflow and Keras

Now we want to build on the experience gained from our neural network implementation in NumPy and scikit-learn and use it to construct a neural network in Tensorflow. Once we have constructed a neural network in NumPy and Tensorflow, building one in Keras is really quite trivial, though the performance may suffer.

In our previous example we used only one hidden layer, and in this we will use two. From this it should be quite clear how to build one using an arbitrary number of hidden layers, using data structures such as Python lists or NumPy arrays.

Tensorflow

Tensorflow is an open source library machine learning library developed by the Google Brain team for internal use. It was released under the Apache 2.0 open source license in November 9, 2015.

Tensorflow is a computational framework that allows you to construct machine learning models at different levels of abstraction, from high-level, object-oriented APIs like Keras, down to the C++ kernels that Tensorflow is built upon. The higher levels of abstraction are simpler to use, but less flexible, and our choice of implementation should reflect the problems we are trying to solve.

Tensorflow uses so-called graphs to represent your computation in terms of the dependencies between individual operations, such that you first build a Tensorflow *graph* to represent your model, and then create a Tensorflow *session* to run the graph.

In this guide we will analyze the same data as we did in our NumPy and scikit-learn tutorial, gathered from the MNIST database of images. We will give an introduction to the lower level Python Application Program Interfaces (APIs), and see how we use them to build our graph. Then we will build (effectively) the same graph in Keras, to see just how simple solving a machine learning problem

Using Keras

Keras is a high level [neural network](#) that supports Tensorflow, CTNK and Theano as backends. If you have Anaconda installed you may run the following command

```
conda install keras
```

You can look up the [instructions here](#) for more information. We will to a large extent use **keras** in this course.

Collect and pre-process data

Let us look again at the MINST data set.

```
# import necessary packages
```

```
import numpy as np
```

```
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
```

```
import tensorflow as tf
```

```
from sklearn import datasets
```

```
# ensure the same random numbers appear every time
```

```
np.random.seed(0)
```

```
# display images in notebook
```

```
%matplotlib inline
```

```
plt.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = (12,12)
```

```
# download MNIST dataset
```

```
digits = datasets.load_digits()
```

```
# define inputs and labels
```

```
inputs = digits.images
```

```
labels = digits.target
```

```
print("inputs = (n_inputs, pixel_width, pixel_height) = " + str(inputs
```

```
print("labels = (n_inputs) = " + str(labels.shape))
```

```
# flatten the image
```