

zk-SNARKS

lambda, ThunderDB
zeqing.guo@thunderdb.io

March, 2018

Homomorphic Encryption

- ▶ **Definition:** Homomorphic encryption allows computation on ciphertext which, when decrypted, matches the operations as if they had performed on the plaintext
- ▶ An example - RSA: $\mathcal{E}(x_1) \cdot \mathcal{E}(x_2) = \mathcal{E}(x_1 \cdot x_2)$, so we have $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}(x_1) \cdot \mathcal{E}(x_2)) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}(x_1 \cdot x_2)) = x_1 \cdot x_2$

Zero-Knowledge Proof

- ▶ **Definition:** zero-knowledge proof is a method by which one party (*prover*) can prove to another party (*verifier*) that she knows a value x , without conveying any information apart from the fact that she knows the value x .
- ▶ zk-SNARKS are short for *succinct non-interactive arguments of knowledge*
 - ▶ Succinct: the sizes of the message are tiny
 - ▶ Non-interactive: there is no or only little interaction
 - ▶ Arguments: the verifier is only protected against computationally limited provers

P and NP

- ▶ Polynomial-time programs: programs whose runtime is at most n^k for some k are called “polynomial-time programs”
- ▶ **P** is the class of problems L that have polynomial-time programs
- ▶ **NP** is the class of problems L that have a polynomial-time program V that can be used to verify a fact given a polynomially-sized so-called witness for that fact.

Quadratic Span Programs

- ▶ A **Quadratic Span Program (QSP)** consists of a set of polynomials and the task is to find a linear combination of those that is a multiple of another given polynomials.
- ▶ A QSP over a field F for inputs of length n consists of
 - ▶ polynomials $v_0, \dots, v_m, w_0, \dots, w_m$ over this field F ,
 - ▶ a polynomial t over F (the target polynomials)
 - ▶ an injective function $f : (i, j) | 1 \leq i \leq n, j \in 0, 1 \rightarrow 1, \dots, m$

Quadratic Span Programs (cont.)

- ▶ For each binary input string u , the function f restricts the polynomials that can be used. Formally:
 - ▶ $a_k, b_k = 1$ if $k = f(i, u[i])$ for some i , ($u[i]$ is the i th bit of u)
 - ▶ $a_k, b_k = 0$ if $k = f(i, 1 - u[i])$ for some i
 - ▶ the target polynomials t divides $v_a w_b$ where
$$v_a = v_0 + a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_m v_m \text{ and } w_b = w_0 + b_1 w_1 + \dots + b_m w_m$$
- ▶ If we use $a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_m$ as the *NP witness*, then we can see that it costs polynomial t for all the verifiers to check that t divides $v_a w_b$
 - ▶ This can be facilitated by the prover in providing another polynomial h such that $th = v_a w_b$, so we only need to check
$$t(s)h(s) - v_a(s)w_b(s) = 0$$

The zkSNARKS in Detail

Background

- ▶ Elliptic curve can be simply represented like $\mathcal{E}(\xi) := g^x$
- ▶ Elliptic curve with pairing function: $e(g^x, g^y) = e(g, g)^{xy}$

The zkSNARKS in Detail (cont.)

Setup

1. Verifier generates Common reference string (CRS)
 - ▶ CRS: a random and secret field element(s) chosen by verifiers
2. Verifier generates $\mathcal{E}(f'), \mathcal{E}(f^\infty), \dots, \mathcal{E}(f^\top)$, where d is the max degree of all polynomials in the group
3. Verifier generates a random number α and generates $\mathcal{E}(\alpha f'), \mathcal{E}(\alpha f^\infty), \dots, \mathcal{E}(\alpha f^\top)$
4. Verifier destroys $s, \alpha, \beta_v, \beta_w, \gamma$
 - ▶ Verifier uses α to check prover evaluated the polynomial correctly by check $e(\mathcal{E}(\{(f)\}), g^\alpha) = e(\alpha\{(f)\}, g)$

$$\begin{cases} e(\top(\{(f)\}), g^\alpha) &= e(g, g)^{\alpha f(s)} \\ e(\alpha\{(f)\}, g) &= e(g, g)^{\alpha f(s)} \end{cases} \rightarrow e(\top(\{(f)\}), g^\alpha) = e(\alpha\{(f)\}, g)$$

The zkSNARKS in Detail (cont.)

Interaction

1. Prover publishes

- ▶ v_0, \dots, v_m and w_0, \dots, w_m
- ▶ t

2. Verifier publishes

- ▶ $\mathcal{E}(f'), \mathcal{E}(f^\infty), \dots, \mathcal{E}(f^\top)$ and $\mathcal{E}(\alpha f'), \mathcal{E}(\alpha f^\infty), \dots, \mathcal{E}(\alpha f^\top)$
- ▶ $\mathcal{E}(\sqcup(f)), \mathcal{E}(\alpha \sqcup(f))$
- ▶ $\mathcal{E}(\sqsubseteq_l(f)), \dots, \mathcal{E}(\sqsubseteq_\Downarrow(f)), \mathcal{E}(\alpha \sqsubseteq_l(f)), \dots, \mathcal{E}(\alpha \sqsubseteq_\Downarrow(f)),$
- ▶ $\mathcal{E}(\supseteq_l(f)), \dots, \mathcal{E}(\supseteq_\Downarrow(f)), \mathcal{E}(\alpha \supseteq_l(f)), \dots, \mathcal{E}(\alpha \supseteq_\Downarrow(f)),$
- ▶ $\mathcal{E}(\gamma), \mathcal{E}(\beta \sqsubseteq \gamma), \mathcal{E}(\beta \supseteq \gamma)$
- ▶ $\mathcal{E}(\beta \sqsubseteq \sqsubseteq_\infty(f)), \dots, \mathcal{E}(\beta \sqsubseteq \sqsubseteq_\Downarrow(f))$
- ▶ $\mathcal{E}(\beta \supseteq \supseteq_\infty(f)), \dots, \mathcal{E}(\beta \supseteq \supseteq_\Downarrow(f))$
- ▶ $\mathcal{E}(\beta \sqsubseteq \sqcup(f)), (E(\beta_w t(s)))$

The zkSNARKS in Detail (cont.)

Proof

- ▶ The indices that are not restricted in $f : (i, j) | 1 \leq i \leq n, j \in 0, 1 \rightarrow 1, \dots, m$ with $a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_m$ are called I_{free}
- ▶ $v_{free}(x) = \sum_{k \in I_{free}} a_k v_k$
- ▶ $v_{in}(x) = \sum_{k \in (I \setminus I_{free})} a_k v_k$

Prover generates a proof for verifiers.

- ▶ $V_{free} := \mathcal{E}(\sqsubseteq_{\{\nabla \uparrow\}}(f)), W := \mathcal{E}(\sqsupseteq(f)), H := \mathcal{E}(\langle(f))$
- ▶ $V'_{free} := \mathcal{E}(\alpha \sqsubseteq_{\{\nabla \uparrow\}}(f)), W' := \mathcal{E}(\alpha \sqsupseteq(f)), H' := \mathcal{E}(\alpha \langle(f))$
- ▶ $Y := \mathcal{E}(\beta \sqsubseteq \sqsubseteq_{\{\nabla \uparrow\}}(f) + \beta \sqsupseteq \sqsupseteq(f))$

The zkSNARKS in Detail (cont.)

Verification

Verifiers verify the proof as follows:

1. $e(V'_{free}, g) = e(V_{free}, g^\alpha) e(W', \mathcal{E}(\infty)) = e(W, \mathcal{E}(\alpha)), e(H', \mathcal{E}(\infty)) = e(H, \mathcal{E}(\alpha))$
2. $e(\mathcal{E}(\gamma), Y) = e(\mathcal{E}(\beta_{\sqsubseteq} \gamma), V_{free}) e(\mathcal{E}(\beta_w \gamma), W)$
3. $e(\mathcal{E}(v_0(s)) \mathcal{E}(v_{in}(s)) V_{free}, \mathcal{E}(w_0(s)) W) = e(H, \mathcal{E}(t(s)))$
 - ▶ This checks that $(v_0(s) + a_1 v_1(s) + \dots + a_m v_m(s))(w_0(s) + b_1 w_1(s) + \dots + b_m w_m(s)) = h(s)t(s)$