## ME598/494 Homework 2 Solution

1. (20 points) Show that the stationary point of the function

$$f = 2x_1^2 - 4x_1x_2 + 1.5x_2^2 + x_2$$

is a saddle. Find the directions of downslopes away from the saddle. Hint: Use Taylor's expansion at the saddle point. Find directions that reduce f.

## Solution

(a) Find a stationary point

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = 4x_1 - 4x_2 = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} = -4x_1 + 3x_2 + 1 = 0$$
(1)

Solve these to get the stationary point  $x^* = [1, 1]^T$ .

- (b) Calculate the Hessian to get H = [4, -4; -4, 3]. It is indefinite since one eigenvalue is positive and the other is negative. So the stationary point is a saddle point.
- (c) To find the direction of downslope, denote  $\partial \mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^*$  and  $\partial f^* = f(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ :

$$\partial f^* = \nabla f^* \partial \mathbf{x}^* + \frac{1}{2} \partial \mathbf{x}^{*T} \mathbf{H} \partial \mathbf{x}^*$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (2\partial x_1 - \partial x_2)(2\partial x_1 - 3\partial x_2)$$
(2)

Set  $\partial f^* < 0$  to get the downslopes  $2\partial x_1 - \partial x_2 < 0$  and  $2\partial x_1 - 3\partial x_2 > 0$  or  $2\partial x_1 - \partial x_2 > 0$  and  $2\partial x_1 - 3\partial x_2 < 0$ .

- 2. (a) (10 points) Find the point in the plane  $x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that is nearest to the point  $(-1,0,1)^T$ . Hint: Convert the problem into an unconstrained problem using  $x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 1$ .
  - (b) (40 points) Implement the gradient descent and Newton's algorithm for solving the problem. Attach your codes in the report, along with a short summary of your findings. The summary should

include: (1) The initial points tested; (2) corresponding solutions; (3) A log-linear convergence plot. Based on your results, which algorithm do you think is better? Why? Hint: A template can be found here.

## Solution

(a) Solve the following problem

$$\min_{\substack{x_1, x_2, x_3 \\ \text{subject to:}}} (x_1 + 1)^2 + x_2^2 + (x_3 - 1)^2$$
subject to:  $x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 1$  (3)

Substituting  $x_1 = 1 - 2x_2 - 3x_3$ , the problem reduces to an unconstrained optimization problem. The solution is  $x_1 = -15/14$ ,  $x_2 = -1/7$ ,  $x_3 = 11/14$ .

- (b) See code.
- 3. (5 points) Prove that a hyperplane is a convex set. Hint: A hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be expressed as:  $\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x} = c$  for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $\mathbf{a}$  is the normal direction of the hyperplane and c is some constant.

**Solution:** Let  $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x} = c\}$  be the hyperplane. Let  $\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2$  be any two points in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x}_1 = c$  and  $\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x}_2 = c$ . Then  $\mathbf{a}^T (\lambda \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}_2) = \lambda \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x}_2 = c$ . Therefore  $\lambda \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}_2$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}$ . So  $\mathcal{H}$  is convex.

- 4. Let f(x) and g(x) be two convex functions defined on the convex set  $\mathcal{X}$ .
  - (a) (5 points) Prove that af(x) + bg(x) is convex for a > 0 and b > 0.
  - (b) (5 points) In what conditions will f(g(x)) be convex?

## Solution

(a) For any two points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , we have

$$f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \le \lambda f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(x_2) \tag{4}$$

and

$$g(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \le \lambda g(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)g(x_2).$$
 (5)

Then

$$af(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) + bg(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2)$$

$$\leq a(\lambda f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(x_2)) + b(\lambda g(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)g(x_2))$$

$$= \lambda(af(x_1) + bg(x_1)) + (1 - \lambda)(af(x_2) + bg(x_2)).$$
(6)

Therefore by definition af(x) + bg(x) is convex.

- (b) The second-order derivative is f''g' + g''f'. If f''g' + g''f' > 0 then f(g) is convex. One special case will be when f and g are monotonically increasing.
- 5. (15 points, optional for MAE494) Show that  $f(\mathbf{x}_1) \geq f(\mathbf{x}_0) + \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{x}_0}^T(\mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_0)$  for a convex function  $f(\mathbf{x}) : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  and for  $\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1 \in \mathcal{X}$ .

**Solution:** The following is a proof for a 1D case. Necessity: If f is convex, we have

$$f(x + \lambda(y - x)) \le (1 - \lambda)f(x) + (y),\tag{7}$$

for  $\lambda \in [0,1]$ . Divide both sides by  $\lambda$  to have:

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + \frac{f(x + \lambda(y - x)) - f(x)}{\lambda}.$$
 (8)

Take  $t \to 0$  to get  $f(y) \ge f(x) + f'(x)(y - x)$ .

Sufficiency: Let  $z = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$  for  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . We have  $f(x) \ge f(z) + f'(z)(x - z)$ ,  $f(y) \ge f(z) + f'(z)(y - z)$ . Multiplying the first inequality by  $\lambda$ , the second by  $1 - \lambda$ , add the two together to have  $\lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) \ge f(z)$ . Thus f is convex.

For a general case in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , please see Page 70 "Proof of first-order convexity condition" in *Convex Optimization* by Stephen Boyd.