# ME555 Homework 1 Solution

Problem 1 (40 points)

### a) Excel: (10 points)

```
x^* = [-0.7674, 0.2558, 0.6279, -0.1163, 0.2558]' fmin = 4.0930
```

### Matlab: (10 points)

Both x\* and fmin should be the same as those in the Excel solution.

# **Objective function:**

```
function f = fun(x);

f = (x(1)-x(2))^2+(x(2)+x(3)-2)^2+(x(4)-1)^2+(x(5)-1)^2;
```

#### Main file:

```
x0 = ones(5,1);

A = [eye(5); -eye(5)];

b = 10*ones(10,1);

Aeq = [1 3 0 0 0; 0 0 1 1 -2; 0 1 0 0 -1];

beq = zeros(3,1);

[x,fval] = fmincon(@fun, x0, A, b, Aeq, beq);
```

### b) Excel: (10 points)

```
x^* = [0.6355, 0, 0.3127, 0.0518]' fmin = 29.8944
```

### Matlab: (10 points)

Both x\* and fmin should be the same as those in the Excel solution.

### **Objective function:**

```
function f = fun(x);

f = [24.55, 26.75, 39, 40.5]*x;
```

### **Nonlinear constraint function:**

```
function [c,ceq] = funcon(x);

c = [-12, -11.9, -41.8, -52.1]*x + 21 + 1.645*sqrt(x'*diag([0.28, 0.19, 20.5, 0.62])*x);

ceq = [];
```

#### Main file:

```
x0 = ones(4,1);

A = [-eye(4); -2.3 -5.6 -11.1 -1.3];

b = [zeros(4,1); -5];

Aeq = ones(4,1);

beq = 1;

lb = zeros(4,1);

ub = [];

[x,fval] = fmincon(@fun, x0, A, b, Aeq, beq, lb, ub, @funcon);
```

# Problem 2 (50 points)

# a) Design variables: (5 points)

r: Radius of the can h: Height of the can

# b) Objective: (10 points) minimize the surface area (for fixed thickness)

min  $A = 2\pi r^2 + 2\pi rh$ 

# c) Constraints: (10 points)

 $r \ge 0$  $h \ge 0$  $\pi r^2 h = V$ 

# d) Assumptions: (5 points)

- Can is in perfect cylindrical shape.
- Thickness of the material used in production is fixed and same everywhere on the can.
- Thickness of the material is good enough to withstand the internal pressure.
- Thickness of the material will satisfy other manufacturing or packaging constraints.

- ...

# e) Optimization Results: (20 points)

Using a can volume of 330ml = 330000mm<sup>3</sup>, the results are:

r\* = 37.4 mm h\* = 74.9 mm

 $A_{min} = 26436 \text{ mm}^2$ 

### Real can dimensions:

r = 32 mm

 $h = 122 \, mm$ 

Possible reasons for finding different results than the real dimensions:

- Top, bottom, and side thicknesses are not the same.  $t_{bottom} > t_{top} > t_{sides}$
- A can is not in a perfect cylindrical shape due to some packaging and (pressure related) engineering constraints.
- A can must also be easy to hold. There needs to be an upper bound on r.

-...