


# Scan Conversion

> 2018년 11월 13일, 서진택



## Scan Conversion




[Fig] Scan Conversion


The process of representing continuous graphics objects as a collection of discrete pixels is called scan conversion.

**Scan conversion or scan converting rate** is a video processing technique for changing the vertical / horizontal scan frequency of video signal for different purposes and applications. The device which performs this conversion is called a **scan converter**.



## PutPixel





[Fig] PutPixel Emulation




## Drawing Line: Basic Idea


Bresenham's line algorithm is an algorithm that determines the points of an n-dimensional raster that should be selected in order to form a close approximation to a straight line between two points.

Bresenham's line algorithm is named after Jack Elton Bresenham who developed it in 1962 at IBM. Bresenham's algorithm was later extended to produce circles, the resulting algorithms being 'Bresenham's circle algorithm' and midpoint circle algorithm.

The endpoints of the line are the pixels at  $(x_0, y_0)$  and  $(x_1, y_1)$ , where the first coordinate of the pair is the column and the second is the row.



The algorithm will be initially presented only for the octant in which the segment goes down and to the right ( $x_0 \leq x_1$  and  $y_0 \leq y_1$ ), and its horizontal projection  $x_1 - x_0$  is longer than the vertical projection  $y_1 - y_0$  (the line has a positive slope whose absolute value is less than 1). In this octant, for each column  $x$  between  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ , there is exactly one row  $y$  (computed by the algorithm) containing a pixel of the line, while each row between  $y_0$  and  $y_1$  may contain multiple rasterized pixels.



[Fig] Illustration of the result of Bresenham's line algorithm.  $(0,0)$  is at the top


left corner of the grid, (1,1) is at the top left end of the line and (11, 5) is at the bottom right end of the line.

$$y - y_0 = \frac{y_1 - y_0}{x_1 - x_0} (x - x_0)$$


The slope  $(y_1 - y_0) / (x_1 - x_0)$  depends on the endpoint coordinates only and can be precomputed, and the ideal y for successive integer values of x can be computed starting from  $y_0$  and repeatedly adding the slope.

In practice, the algorithm does not keep track of the y coordinate, which increases by  $m = \Delta y / \Delta x$  each time the x increases by one; it keeps an error bound at each stage, which represents the negative of the distance from (a) the point where the line exits the pixel to (b) the top edge of the pixel. This value is first set to  $m - 0.5$  (due to using the pixel's center coordinates), and is incremented by m each time the x coordinate is incremented by one. If the error becomes greater than 0.5, we know that the line has moved upwards one pixel, and that we must increment our y coordinate and readjust the error to represent the distance from the top of the new pixel – which is done by subtracting one from error.

**Draw line from (3,3) to (6,5)**




[Fig] Determine next point

[Fig] Determine next with  $\Delta e_{\text{err}}$

```

void KVectorUtil::_ScanLineLow(HDC hdc, int x0, int y0, int x1, int y1,
Gdiplus::Color color)
{
    auto sign = [] (float delta) { return delta > 0.f ? 1.0f : -1.0f; };
    float deltax = x1 - x0;
    float deltay = y1 - y0;
    float deltaerr = abs(deltay / deltax); // Assume deltax != 0 (line is not
vertical),
    // note that this division needs to be done in a way that preserves the
fractional part
    float error = 0.0f; // No error at start
    int y = y0;
    for (int x = x0; x <= x1; ++x)
    {
        PutPixel(hdc, x, y, color);
        error = error + deltaerr;
        if (error >= 0.5f)
        {
            y = y + sign(deltay) * 1.0f;
            error = error - 1.0f;
        }
    }
}


```



[Fig] Result


## All cases

- This is only for **octant zero**, that is lines starting at the origin with a gradient between 0 and 1 where  $x$  increases by exactly 1 per iteration and  $y$  increases by 0 or 1.
- The algorithm can be extended to cover **gradients between 0 and -1** by checking whether  $y$  needs to increase or decrease (i.e.  $dy < 0$ )



[Fig] \_ScanLineLow covers Octant0 and Octant7

- By switching the x and y axis an implementation for positive or negative steep gradients can be written as



[Fig] \_ScanLineHigh covers Octant1 and Octant6

```
void KVectorUtil::_ScanLineHigh(HDC hdc, int x0, int y0, int x1, int y1, Gdiplus::Color color)
{
    auto sign = [] (float delta){ return delta > 0.f ? 1.0f : -1.0f; };

    float deltax = x1 - x0;
    float deltay = y1 - y0;
    float deltaerr = abs(deltax / deltay); // Assume deltax != 0 (line is not vertical),
    // note that this division needs to be done in a way that preserves the fractional part
    float error = 0.0f; // No error at start
    int x = x0;
    for (int y = y0; y <= y1; ++y) {
        PutPixel(hdc, x, y, color);
        error = error + deltaerr;
        if (error >= 0.5f) {
            x = x + sign(deltax) * 1.0f;
        }
    }
}
```


```

        error = error - 1.0f;
    }
}
}
}
```

- A complete solution would need to detect whether  $x_1 > x_0$  or  $y_1 > y_0$  and reverse the input coordinates before drawing, thus

```

void KVectorUtil::ScanLine(HDC hdc, int x0, int y0, int x1, int y1, Gdiplus::Color
color)
{
    if (abs(y1 - y0) < abs(x1 - x0)) {
        if (x0 > x1) {
            _ScanLineLow(hdc, x1, y1, x0, y0); // Octant3, Octant4
        } else {
            _ScanLineLow(hdc, x0, y0, x1, y1); // Octant0, Octant7
        }
    } else {
        if (y0 > y1) {
            _ScanLineHigh(hdc, x1, y1, x0, y0); // Octant2, Octant5
        } else {
            _ScanLineHigh(hdc, x0, y0, x1, y1); // Octant1, Octant6
        }
    }
}
```



## Bresenham's line algorithm

Only use integer arithmetic.

## Line Equation

The slope-intercept form of a line is written as

$$y = f(x) = mx + b$$

where  $m$  is the slope and  $b$  is the  $y$ -intercept. This is a function of only  $x$  and it

would be useful to make this equation written as a function of both  $x$  and  $y$ . Using algebraic manipulation and recognition that the slope is the "rise over run" or  $\Delta y / \Delta x$  then

$$\begin{aligned}y &= mx + b \\y &= \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}x + b \\(\Delta x)y &= (\Delta y)x + (\Delta x)b \\0 &= (\Delta y)x - (\Delta x)y + (\Delta x)b\end{aligned}$$

Letting this last equation be a function of  $x$  and  $y$  then it can be written as

$$f(x, y) = 0 = Ax + By + C$$

where the constants are

$$\begin{aligned}A &= \Delta y \\B &= -\Delta x \\C &= (\Delta x)b\end{aligned}$$

The line is then defined for some constants  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  anywhere  $f(x, y) = 0$ . For any  $(x, y)$  not on the line then  $f(x, y) \neq 0$ .

### **Example)**

$$y = \frac{1}{2}x + 1$$

$$f(x, y) = x - 2y + 2$$

The point  $(2, 2)$  is on the line

$$f(2, 2) = x - 2y + 2 = (2) - 2(2) + 2 = 2 - 4 + 2 = 0$$


and the point (2,3) is not on the line

$$f(2,3) = (2) - 2(3) + 2 = 2 - 6 + 2 = -2$$


and neither is the point (2,1)

$$f(2,1) = (2) - 2(1) + 2 = 2 - 2 + 2 = 2$$

Notice that the points (2,1) and (2,3) are on opposite sides of the line and  $f(x,y)$  evaluates to positive or negative.



[Fig]  $y=f(x)=.5x+1$  or  $f(x,y)=x-2y+2$



## Algorithm


Clearly, the starting point is on the line

$$f(x_0, y_0) = 0$$

Keeping in mind that the slope is less-than-or-equal-to one, the problem now presents itself as to whether the next point should be at  $(x_0 + 1, y_0)$  or  $(x_0 + 1, y_0 + 1)$ .

$$f(x_0 + 1, y_0 + 1/2)$$

If the value of this is positive then the ideal line is below the **midpoint** and closer to the candidate point  $(x_0 + 1, y_0 + 1)$ ; in effect the y coordinate has advanced.



the blue point (2, 2) chosen to be on the line with two candidate points in green (3, 2) and (3, 3). The black point (3, 2.5) is the midpoint between the two candidate points.

## Algorithm for integer arithmetic

To derive the alternative method, define the **difference** to be as follows

$$D = f(x_0 + 1, y_0 + 1/2) - f(x_0, y_0)$$
delta err.

For the first decision, this formulation is equivalent to the midpoint method since  $f(x_0, y_0) = 0$  at the starting point. Simplifying this expression yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
 D &= [A(x_0 + 1) + B(y_0 + 1/2) + C] - [Ax_0 + By_0 + C] \\
 &= [Ax_0 + By_0 + C + A + \frac{1}{2}B] - [Ax_0 + By_0 + C] \\
 &= A + \frac{1}{2}B
 \end{aligned}$$

Just as with the midpoint method, **if  $D$  is positive, then choose  $(x_0 + 1, y_0 + 1)$** , otherwise choose  $(x_0 + 1, y_0)$ .

The decision for the second point can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x_0 + 2, y_0 + 1/2) - f(x_0 + 1, y_0 + 1/2) &= A = \Delta y \\
 f(x_0 + 2, y_0 + 1 + 1/2) - f(x_0 + 1, y_0 + 1/2) &= A + B = \Delta y - \Delta x
 \end{aligned}$$

If the difference is positive then  $(x_0 + 2, y_0 + 1)$  is chosen, otherwise  $(x_0 + 2, y_0)$ . This decision can be generalized by accumulating the error.

```

plotLine(x0, y0, x1, y1)
  dx = x1 - x0
  dy = y1 - y0
  D = dy - (1/2)*dx //  $A + \frac{1}{2}B$ 
  y = y0

  for x from x0 to x1
    plot(x, y)
    if D > 0
      y = y + 1
      D = D - dx //  $D = D - \Delta x$ 
    end if
    D = D + dy //  $D = D + \Delta y$ 

```


All of the derivation for the algorithm is done. One performance issue is the 1/2 factor in the initial value of  $D$ . Since all of this is about the sign of the accumulated

difference, then everything can be multiplied by 2 with no consequence.

```

plotLine(x0,y0, x1,y1)
  dx = x1 - x0
  dy = y1 - y0
  D = 2*dy - dx
  y = y0
  'int
  for x from x0 to x1      all integer op:
    plot(x,y)
    if D > 0
      y = y + 1
      D = D - 2*dx
    end if
    D = D + 2*dy
  
```

예)  $f(x,y) = x - 2y + 2$  from (0,1) to (6,4)



[Fig] Plotting the line from (0,1) to (6,4) showing a plot of grid lines and pixels

Running this algorithm for  $f(x,y)=x-2y+2$  from (0,1) to (6,4) yields the following differences with  $dx=6$  and  $dy=3$ :

$$D = 2^2 * 3 - 6 = 0$$


Loop from 0 to 6

- x=0: plot(0,1), D≤0: D=0+6=6
- x=1: plot(1,1), D>0: D=6-12=-6, y=1+1=2, D=-6+6=0
- x=2: plot(2,2), D≤0: D=0+6=6
- x=3: plot(3,2), D>0: D=6-12=-6, y=2+1=3, D=-6+6=0
- x=4: plot(4,3), D≤0: D=0+6=6
- x=5: plot(5,3), D>0: D=6-12=-6, y=3+1=4, D=-6+6=0
- x=6: plot(6,4), D≤0: D=0+6=6

## All cases

However, as mentioned above this is only for octant zero, that is lines starting at the origin with a gradient between 0 and 1 where x increases by exactly 1 per iteration and y increases by 0 or 1.

The algorithm can be extended to cover gradients between 0 and -1 by checking whether y needs to increase or decrease (i.e.  $dy < 0$ )



[Fig] plotLineLow covers Octant0 and Octant7

```
plotLineLow(x0, y0, x1, y1)
  dx = x1 - x0
  dy = y1 - y0
  yi = 1
  if dy < 0
    yi = -1
```


```

dy = -dy
end if
D = 2*dy - dx
y = y0

for x from x0 to x1
    plot(x,y)
    if D > 0
        y = y + yi
        D = D - 2*dx
    end if
    D = D + 2*dy

```

By switching the x and y axis an implementation for positive or negative steep gradients can be written as



[Fig] plotLineHigh covers Octant1 and Octant6

```

plotLineHigh(x0,y0, x1,y1)
dx = x1 - x0
dy = y1 - y0
xi = 1
if dx < 0
    xi = -1
    dx = -dx
end if
D = 2*dx - dy
x = x0

for y from y0 to y1
    plot(x,y)
    if D > 0
        x = x + xi

```

```

D = D - 2*dy
end if
D = D + 2*dx

```


A complete solution would need to detect whether  $x_1 > x_0$  or  $y_1 > y_0$  and reverse the input coordinates before drawing, thus

```

plotLine(x0,y0, x1,y1)
if abs(y1 - y0) < abs(x1 - x0)
    if x0 > x1
        plotLineLow(x1, y1, x0, y0)
    else
        plotLineLow(x0, y0, x1, y1)
    end if
else
    if y0 > y1
        plotLineHigh(x1, y1, x0, y0)
    else
        plotLineHigh(x0, y0, x1, y1)
    end if
end if

```



## Result




[Fig] DrawLine




## Draw Filled Triangle




[Fig] pixel 1 is begin point, pixel 2 is end point




[Fig] Draw horizontal line between 1 and 2




[Fig] More than 2 pixel can be exist, so select left most and right most one.



[Fig] Draw horizontal line from 3 to 4



[Fig] Shows all tip pixels



[Fig] Final result of filled polygon

```

void KVectorUtil::FillTriangle(HDC hdc, int x1, int y1, KRgb const col1
    , int x2, int y2, KRgb const col2
    , int x3, int y3, KRgb const col3)

{
    std::set<ScannedResult> scanned_pnts; // sorted in y val, and in x val if y val the
    same.
    ScanLineSegment(hdc, x1, y1, col1, x2, y2, col2, &scanned_pnts);
    ScanLineSegment(hdc, x2, y2, col2, x3, y3, col3, &scanned_pnts);
    ScanLineSegment(hdc, x3, y3, col3, x1, y1, col1, &scanned_pnts);

    int cur_yval = INT_MIN;// vReso / 2; // initialize to an invalid value.
    std::set<ScannedResult> same_yval; // of the scanned result.
    int dbgCnt = 0;


    for (std::set<ScannedResult>::iterator it = scanned_pnts.begin(); it !=
scanned_pnts.end(); ++it)
    {
        int y = it->y;
        if (y != cur_yval)
        {
            if (same_yval.size())
            {
                std::set<ScannedResult>::iterator it1 = same_yval.begin(), it2 =
--same_yval.end();
                ScanLineSegment(hdc, it1->x, cur_yval, it1->col,
                    it2->x, cur_yval, it2->col, nullptr);
            }
        }
    }
}

#endif _DEBUG
if (dbgCnt == g_idebug)
    break;

```

```
        dbgCnt += 1;  
#endif  
  
        same_yval.clear();  
    }  
    cur_yval = y;  
}  
same_yval.insert(*it);  
}  
if (same_yval.size())  
{  
    std::set<ScannedResult>::iterator it1 = same_yval.begin(), it2 =  
--same_yval.end();  
    ScanLineSegment(hdc, it1->x, cur_yval, it1->col  
                    , it2->x, cur_yval, it2->col, nullptr);  
}  
}  
}
```

## Result



[Fig] DrawFilledTriangle

[문서의 끝]