CMPSC 420 Introduction to Compiler Design Fall 2014 Janyl Jumadinova

Lab 2
Monday, September 22, 2014
DUE: October 10, by midnight

Summary

For this lab assignment you will extend your Decaf compiler to handle the syntax analysis phase, the second task of the front-end, by using bison to create a parser. The parser will read Decaf source programs and construct a parse tree. If no syntax errors are encountered, your code will print the completed parse tree as flat text. At this stage, you are not responsible for verifying meaning, just structure.

The goal of this lab is to familiarize you with the tools and give you experience in solving typical problems one encounters when using them to generate a parser. By conducting experiments using a compiler known to you, and by conducting a comparitive analysis of those results, you will learn how to handle the errors in your own compiler. By the end of this lab, you will need to make sure that your scanner and your parser can run on your raspberri pi.

Decaf Program Structure

The reference grammar given in the Decaf language handout from the last lab defines the official grammar specification you must parse. The language supports global variables, functions, classes and interfaces, variables of various types including arrays and objects, arithmetic and Boolean expressions, constructs such as if, while, and so on. First, study the grammar specification carefully. Although the grammar is fairly large, most of it is not tricky to parse. In addition to the language constructs already specified for you, youll also extend the grammar to support C-style post-increment and decrement expressions along with switch statements.

Starter Files

The starting files are given in the course repository under lab 2 directory. The files given in the starter file directory are described in Table 1.

Copy the entire directory to your home directory. Use make to build the project. The Makefile provided will produce a parser called dcc. As usual, dcc reads input from stdin, writes output to stdout, and writes errors to stderr. You can use standard UNIX file redirection to read from a file and/or save the output to a file: ./dcc < samples/program.decaf >& program.out

You will find an implementation of scanner in scanner.1. Take a look at the code, it's always interesting to see how different people solve the same problem. I prefer that you use your own scanner that you implemented for lab 1. However, if your scanner did not function correctly, you

Makefile	builds project
main.cc	main and its helper functions
scanner.h	declares scanner functions and types
scanner.l	my flex scanner for Decaf
parser.h	declares parser functions and types
parser.y	skeleton of a bison parser for Decaf
ast.h/.cc	interface/implementation of base AST node class
ast_type.h/.cc	interface/implementation of AST type classes
ast_decl.h/.cc	interface/implementation of AST declaration classes
ast_expr.h/.cc	interface/implementation of AST expression classes
ast_stmt.h/.cc	interface/implementation of AST statement classes
errors.h/.cc	error reporting class to use in your compiler
location.h	utilities for handling locations, yylloc / yyltype
utility.h/.cc	interface/implementation of various utility functions
samples/	directory of test input files

Table 1: Starter files

may use this one that is given to you. If you do use your own scanner, you will need to implement a function with the following signature in your scanner.1 file: const char* GetLineNumbered(int num);

This function should take in a line number, then hand back the text of the line with that number. The reference scanner that is provided to you implements this function for you, but it wasn't required in the first lab. Consequently, if you want to start early, you can stub out this function by having it always return NULL:

```
const char* GetLineNumbered(int num) {
return NULL;
}
```

The error reporting routines will use it to print out really shiny error messages.

Using bison to generate a parser

- The given parser.y input file contains a very incomplete skeleton which you must fill out to accept the correct grammar. Your first task is to add the rules for each of the Decaf grammar features. You do not need the actions to build the parse tree yet. In fact, it may help to first concentrate on getting the rules written and conflicts resolved before you add actions.
- Running bison on an incorrect or ambiguous grammar will report shift/reduce errors, useless rules, and reduce/reduce errors. To understand the conflicts being reported, scan the generated y.output file that identifies where the difficulties lie. Take care to investigate the underlying conflict and what is needed to resolve it rather than adding precedence rules like mad until the conflict goes away.
- Your parser should accept the grammar as given in the Decaf specification document, but you can rearrange the productions as needed to resolve conflicts. Some conflicts (if else, overlap in

function versus prototype) can be resolved in a multitude of ways (rewriting the productions, setting precedence, etc.) and you are free to take whatever approach appeals to you. All you need to ensure is that you end up with an equivalent grammar.

• All conflicts and errors should be eliminated, i.e. you should not make use of bisons automatic resolution of conflicts or use %expect to mask them. Youll see messages in youtput like: "Conflict in state X between rule Y and token Z resolved as reduce." This is fineit just means that your precedence directives were used to resolve a conflict.

Parse Tree

- There are several files of support code (the generic list class, and the five AST files with various parse tree node classes). Before you get started on building the parse tree, read through these carefully. The code should be fairly self-explanatory. Each node has the ability to print itself and, where appropriate, manage its parent and lexical location (these will be of use in the later projects). Consider the starting code yours to modify and adapt in any way you like.
- You could add actions for each rule as you go, but I recommend that you wait until all rules
 are debugged and then go back and add actions. The action for each rule will be to construct
 the section of the parse tree corresponding to the rule reduced for use in later reductions. For
 example, when reducing a variable declaration, you will combine the Type and Identifier
 nodes into a VarDecl node, to be gathered in a list of declarations within a class or statement
 block at a later reduction.
- Be sure you understand how to use symbol locations and attributes in bison: accessing locations using @n, getting/setting attributes using \$ notation, setting up the attributes union, how attribute types are set, the attribute stack, yylval and yylloc, so on. There is additional information on how to do these things (and much more) in the online references (though everything you see in the bison handout should be more than enough.)
- Keep on your toes when assigning and using results passed from other productions in bison. If the action of a production forgets to assign \$\$ or inappropriately relies on the default result (\$\$ = \$1), you dont get warnings or errors; instead, your rewarded with entertaining runtime nastiness because you use some variable youve never initialized.

Beyond the Reference Grammar

Once you have the full grammar from the Decaf spec operational, you have three creative tasks to finish your syntax analysis:

Postfix expressions. Add postincrement and decrement expressions: i++;
 if (i == arr[j]--) j++;

Both of these are unary operators at the same precedence level as unary minus and logical not. You only need to support the postfix form, not the prefix. An increment or decrement can be applied to any assignable location (i.e. anything that has storage). You will need to

modify the scanner and parser, and add new parse tree nodes for this new construct.

• Switch statements. Add productions for parsing a C-style switch statement.

```
switch (num) {
    case 1: i = 1;
    case 2: i = 10; break;
    default: Print("hello");
}
```

The expression in the switch is allowed to be any expression (as part of later semantic analysis, we could verify that it is of integer type). Unlike in C, the curly braces after the expression are mandatory. The case labels must be compile-time integer constants. Unlike in C, it is required that there is at least one non default case statement in any switch statement. If there is a default case it must be listed last. A case contains a sequence of statements, possibly empty. If empty, control just flows through to the next case. You will need to modify the scanner and parser, and add new parse tree nodes for this new construct.

• Error handling. If the input is not syntactically valid, the default behavior of a bison generated parser is to call yyerror to print a message and halt parsing. In the starter code, we have provided a replacement version of yyerror that attempts to print the text of the current line and mark the first troubling token using the yylloc information recorded by the scanner like this:

This makes for a little clearer error- reporting, but just giving up at the first error is not desirable behavior for a compiler, so your task is add in some error-handling. Before you try implementing anything, first conduct experiments your favorite compiler (javac, csc, g++, etc.) with a critical eye to see how parse errors are handled. Feed it some syntactically incorrect code and evaluate how good a job it does at clearly and accurately reporting the problem. Is the error wording clear and specific? Is it helpful? Is it obvious how the compiler continues from there? What kind of syntax errors can it recover from gracefully and what errors really trip it up?

Given you are now writing your own compiler, you have a chance to personalize it to handle your common mistakes! Which keywords do you often misspell, what particular differences are there between Decaf and C++/Java that trip you up, how would you like these to be handled?

Pick out some simple errors that you think you can tackle and incorporate the use of bison's error pseudo-terminal to add some rudimentary error-handling capabilities into your own parser. In your README, describe which errors you attempt to catch and provide some

sample instances that illustrate the errors from which your parser can recover. To receive full credit, your parser must do some error recovery, but our expectations for the standard requirements are quite modest. Simple recovery, say, at the statement and declaration levels, is enough. We just want you to explore and experiment with bison's error handling. Feel free to attempt more ambitious error handling and we will credit it as an extension if its substantial.

Testing

In the starting project, there is a samples directory containing various input files and matching out files which represent the expected output. The provided test files do not test every possible case! Examine the test files and think about what cases arent covered. Make up lots of test cases of your own. Run your parser on several incorrect files to make sure it finds their errors. What formations look like valid programs but arent? What sequences might confuse your processing of expressions or class definitions? How well does your error recovery strategy stand up to abuse? To make life easier, we've provided a utility script test-all.sh that will automatically run your generated compiler on all of the files in the samples directory, diffing the output against our reference solution. If you add your own tests with the .frag or .decaf extensions, the testing script should pick them up automatically and run them for you. Remember that syntax analysis is only responsible for verifying that the sequence of tokens forms a valid sentence given the definition of the Decaf grammar. Given that our grammar is somewhat loose, some apparently nonsensical constructions will parse correctly and, of course, we are not yet doing any of the work for verify semantic validity (typechecking, declare before use, etc.). The following program is valid according to the grammar, but is obviously not semantically valid. It should parse just fine, though.

```
string binky()
{
    neverdefined a;
    if (5 * "Gator")
        a / 4;
}
```

General Requirements and Required Deliverables

I expect your programs to accept exactly the reference grammar with the added constructs, have no parser conflicts, and have some rudimentary error recovery. Most points are allocated for correctness, with the remainder reserved for the documentation of your error handling explorations in your README file.

Deliverables:

- 1. Submit your entire parser using your git repository for this course. Be sure to include your README file, which is your chance to explain your design decisions and why you believe your program to be correct and robust, as well as describe what to expect from your submission and its error handling.
- 2. Give a demonstration in class on a raspberri pi.