

# MATH 494: HONORS ALGEBRA II

## CONTENTS

1. January 4, 2017	1
2. January 6, 2017	3

### 1. JANUARY 4, 2017

#### Rings

##### Definition 1.1.

a) A **ring** is a tuple  $(R, +, \cdot, 0)$  where:

- $R$  is a set
- $0 \in R$
- $+, \cdot : R \times R \rightarrow R, \quad (a, b) \mapsto a + b, a \cdot b$

subject to:

- $(R, +, 0)$  is an abelian group
- $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$
- $(a + b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot c$
- $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$

b) A **ring with unity** is a tuple  $(R, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ , where  $(R, +, \cdot, 0)$  is a ring, and  $1 \in R$  is subject to  $1 \cdot a = a \cdot 1 = a$  for all  $a \in R$ .

c) A ring  $(R, +, \cdot, 0)$  is called **commutative** if  $ab = ba$  for all  $a, b \in R$ .

d) A **field** is a commutative ring with unity  $(R, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$  such that  $(R \setminus \{0\}, \cdot, 1)$  is a group.

*Remark.*

- We don't really need to include 0,1 in notation: they are unique if they exist
- There is a notion of a **skew field**: ring with unity  $(R, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$  such that  $(R \setminus \{0\}, \cdot, 1)$  is a group. (This drops the commutative condition from the definition of a field).
- In French: *corps* is a skew field, and *corps commutatif* is a field.

**Fact 1.2.** Let  $R$  be a ring. For all  $a \in R$ ,  $0 \cdot a = 0$ .

*Proof.*  $(0 \cdot a) = (0 + 0) \cdot a = 0 \cdot a + 0 \cdot a \Rightarrow 0 = 0 \cdot a$  □

*Example.*

- $\mathbb{Z}$  is a ring, commutative, with unity
- $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$  are fields
- $\mathbb{H} = \{a + bi + cj + dk \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\}$  where  $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1$  are called the **Hamiltonian Quaternions** and are a skew-field
- $\mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R}) =$  functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  with compact support  
 $(\text{supp}(f) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) \neq 0\})$  is a commutative ring without unity

- $R = \{\star\}, 0 = 1 = \star$  is the **zero ring**.

**Fact 1.3.** If  $(R, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$  is a ring with unity and  $0 = 1$ , then  $R$  is the zero ring.

*Proof.* Take  $a \in R$ . Then  $a = a \cdot 1 = a \cdot 0 = 0$  by Fact 1.2. □

Convention: Unless otherwise noted, ring will refer to a commutative ring with 1.

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $R$  be a ring. Its **group of units** is

$$R^\times = \{a \in R \mid \exists b \in R : ab = 1\}$$

**Fact 1.5.**

- For  $a \in R^\times$ , there is a unique  $b \in R$  such that  $ab = 1$ . Write  $b = a^{-1}$ .
- For  $a, b \in R^\times$ ,  $a \cdot b \in R^\times$ .

*Proof.*

- Given  $b, b'$ , we have  $b = b \cdot 1 = b(ab') = (ba)b' = 1 \cdot b' = b'$ .
  - $(a \cdot b) \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1}) = 1$
- 

*Example.*  $\mathbb{R}^\times = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}^\times = \{1, -1\}$

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $R, S$  be rings. A **morphism**  $\phi : R \rightarrow S$  is a map of sets  $\phi : R \rightarrow S$  satisfying

- $\phi(a + b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b)$
- $\phi(a \cdot b) = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(b)$
- $\phi(1) = 1$

*Example.*  $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \text{ } u \mapsto 0$  is not a morphism of rings with 1. (it is a morphism of general rings).

**Fact 1.7.** For any ring  $R$  there is a unique morphism  $\varphi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R$ . Given  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we write  $z_R$ , or simply  $z$  for its image under  $\varphi$ .

*Example.*  $5 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $5_{\mathbb{Q}} \in \mathbb{Q}$  usual number 5.  $5_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} = 1_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$

**Definition 1.8.** Let  $R$  be a ring. A subset  $I \subset R$  is called an **ideal** if

- $I$  is a subgroup of  $(R, +, 0)$
- $a \cdot f \in I$  for all  $a \in R, f \in I$ .

**Definition 1.9.** Let  $R$  be a ring. A subset  $S \subset R$  is called a **subring** if

- $S$  is a subgroup of  $(R, +, 0)$
- $a \cdot b \in S$  for all  $a, b \in S$ .
- $1 \in S$ .

*Remark.*

- The only subset that is both a subring and an ideal is  $R$  itself. (reason: if  $1 \in I$ , then  $a \cdot 1 \in I$  for all  $a \in R$ , meaning  $I = R$ )
- $I = \{0\}, I = R$  are always ideals.
- In rings without unity, the 2 notions align closer: ideal becomes a special case of subring as  $1 \in S$  condition is dropped.

*Example.*

- Every subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, 0)$  is an ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- If  $F$  is a field, then  $\{0\}, R$  are the only ideals

- Let  $R = \mathcal{C}_C(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $S \in R$  subset.

$$I = \{f \in \mathcal{C}_C(\mathbb{R}) \mid f|_S = 0\}$$

is an ideal

**Definition 1.10.** An ideal  $I \in R$  is called **principal** if  $I = \{a \cdot r \mid r \in R\}$  for some  $a \in R$ . Then  $a$  is called a **generator**.

**Definition 1.11.** Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in R$ . An **ideal generated by**  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  is

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \{a_1 r_1 + \dots + a_n r_n \mid r_i \in R\}$$

**Fact 1.12.** Given ideals  $I, J \subset R$  we have

- $I \cap J$  is an ideal
- $I + J = \{a + b \mid a \in I, b \in J\}$  is an ideal
- $I \cdot J = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \mid a_i \in I, b_i \in J \right\}$  is an ideal

2. JANUARY 6, 2017

**Fact 2.1.** Let  $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$  be a morphism. Then

$$\ker(\varphi) = \{x \in R \mid \varphi(x) = 0\}$$

is an ideal.

*Proof.* (A Pranav Exclusive) We first show that the kernel is a subgroup of  $(R, +, 0)$ . Well, we first show that  $0 \in \ker(\varphi)$ . Well,

$$\varphi(0) = \varphi(0 + 0) = \varphi(0) + \varphi(0)$$

so, we have that  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and thus  $0 \in \ker(\varphi)$ . Next, we show that inverses are in the kernel as well.

If we have that  $\varphi(a) = 0$ , then we have

$$0 = \varphi(0) = \varphi(a + (-a)) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(-a) = \varphi(-a)$$

Now, we complete this step by proving closure. Assume  $a, b \in \ker(\varphi)$ . Then,

$$\phi(a + b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b) = 0 + 0 = 0$$

Thus, we have that the kernel is a subgroup. Now, we verify the second condition. Fix  $a \in R$  and  $f \in \ker(\varphi)$ . We have that

$$\phi(a \cdot f) = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(f) = \phi(a) \cdot 0 = 0$$

Thus, we have that  $a \cdot f \in \ker(\varphi)$ , meaning that  $\ker(\varphi)$  is an ideal. □

Question: Is every ideal the kernel of morphism?

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $R$  be a ring,  $I \subset R$  an ideal. Let  $R/I$  be the quotient of abelian groups and  $p : R \rightarrow R/I$  the canonical projection. Then there is a unique product map

$$\cdot : R/I \times R/I \rightarrow R/I$$

making  $R/I$  into a ring such that  $p$  is a morphism.

*Proof.* For  $p$  to be a morphism of rings, we need

- $p(1_R) = 1_{R/I}$

- The following diagram to commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R \times R & \xrightarrow{\cdot_R} & R \\
 p \times p \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\
 R/I \times R/I & \xrightarrow{\cdot_{R/I}} & R/I
 \end{array}$$

Uniqueness of  $\cdot_{R/I}$  follows from surjectivity of  $p \times p$  (each element in  $R/I \times R/I$  must go precisely to the result of the composition of  $p$  and  $\cdot_R$ )

For existence, define  $1_{R/I} = p(1_R)$  and  $(a + I) \cdot (b + I) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (a \cdot b) + I$ . We have to show this is well-defined (i.e it is independent of choice of  $a, b$ ).

Well, choose  $a', b'$  such that  $a' + I = a + I, b' + I = b + I$ . Thus,  $a' = a + i, b' = b + j$  for some  $i, j \in I$ . Then

$$(a' + I)(b' + I) = (a' \cdot b') + I = ((a + i) \cdot (b + j)) + I = (a \cdot b + a \cdot j + b \cdot i + i \cdot j) + I = a \cdot b + I$$

as we note that  $a \cdot j, b \cdot i$ , and  $i \cdot j$  are all in  $I$  as  $I$  is an ideal.

We have that all of the ring axioms for  $R/I$  are inherited from the ring structure on  $R$ . □

*Remark.*  $\ker(p) = I$

**Theorem 2.3. (Homomorphism Theorem):** Let  $\phi : R \rightarrow S$  be a morphism of rings,  $I \subset \ker(\phi)$  be an ideal of  $R$ . There is a unique morphism  $\bar{\phi} : R/I \rightarrow S$  such that  $\bar{\phi} \circ p = \phi$  i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & R/I & \\
 p \nearrow & & \nwarrow \bar{\phi} \\
 R & \xrightarrow{\phi} & S
 \end{array}$$

commutes. Moreover,  $\bar{\phi}$  is injective  $\iff \ker(\phi) = I$

*Proof.* All statements follow from looking at the abelian group  $(R, +, 0)$  and its subgroup  $I$ , except multiplicativity of  $\bar{\phi}$ .

(A Pranav Exclusive) Some justification: the uniqueness of this morphism follows because the projection map is surjective, meaning that in order for the composition to be commutative, we must have that each element in  $R/I$  maps exactly to where its associated element maps under  $\phi$ . Now, the existence. We simply need to check that the map  $\bar{\phi}$  that sends  $a + I$  to  $\phi(a)$  is well defined and is a morphism. We note that the additive morphism properties are inherited from the fact that  $\phi$  is a morphism itself. So, we check the well-definedness of  $\bar{\phi}$ . Pick 2 representatives of  $a + I$ , call them  $a + I$  and  $a' + I$ . We have that  $a' = a + i$  for  $i \in I$ . Then, we have that

$$\bar{\phi}(a' + I) = \bar{\phi}(a + i + I) = \bar{\phi}(a + I) + \bar{\phi}(i + I) = \bar{\phi}(a + I) + \bar{\phi}(I) = \bar{\phi}(a + I) + 0$$

as we have that  $\phi(i) = 0$  for all  $i \in I$  (since  $I \subset \ker(\phi)$ ). We finally verify the injective biconditional. Assume  $\bar{\phi}$  is injective. We already have that  $I \subset \ker(\phi)$ . Now, since  $\bar{\phi}$  is injective, its kernel is trivial, and is thus the identity of  $R/I$ , namely  $I$  itself. For any  $g \in \ker(\phi)$  we note that  $g + I$  must belong to the kernel of  $\bar{\phi}$ , meaning that  $g + I = I$  and thus  $g \in I$ . This gives us double containment and thus equality.

Now, assume that  $\ker(\phi) = I$ . We consider  $\ker(\bar{\phi})$ . This is exactly the collection  $\{a + I \mid a \in \ker(\phi)\}$ . Thus, this is  $\{a + I \mid a \in I\}$  and thus we have that  $\ker(\bar{\phi}) = I$ . Since the kernel of  $\bar{\phi}$  is trivial, we have that  $\bar{\phi}$  is

injective.

Checking Multiplicativity: Let  $A, B \in R/I$ . Choose  $a, b \in R$  such that  $p(a) = A, p(b) = B$ . Then

$$\overline{\varphi}(A \cdot B) = \overline{\varphi}(p(a) \cdot p(b)) = \overline{\varphi}(p(ab)) = \varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b) = \overline{\varphi}(p(a))\overline{\varphi}(p(b)) = \overline{\varphi}(A)\overline{\varphi}(B)$$

□

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $R$  be a ring.

- Let  $a, b \in R$ . We say that  $a$  **divides**  $b$  (denoted  $a \mid b$ ) if there is  $c \in R$  such that  $ac = b$ .
- We say  $0 \neq a \in R$  is a **zero divisor** if there is  $0 \neq b \in R$  such that  $ab = 0$ .
- We call  $R$  a **domain** (or **integral domain**) if it has no zero divisors.

**Fact 2.5.**  $a \mid b \iff (b) \subset (a) \iff b \in (a)$

*Proof.* (A Pranav Exclusive) We first show the first forward implication. Assume that  $a \mid b$ . Then, there is  $c \in R$  such that  $ac = b$ . Now, fix  $g \in (b)$ . It is of the form  $br$  for some  $r \in R$ . Thus, we have that  $g = (ac)r = a(cr)$ . Since  $cr \in R$ , we have that  $g \in (a)$ .

Next, we show the second forward implication. Assume that  $(b) \subset (a)$ . Well,  $b \in (b) \subset (a)$ .

Finally, we show that  $b \in (a)$  implies the original condition. Well, if  $b \in (a)$ , then  $b = ar$  for  $r \in R$ . This is exactly what it means for  $a \mid b$ ! Thus, we have shown equality of the above statements. □

**Fact 2.6.** (Cancellation Law) If  $a \neq 0 \in R$  is not a zero divisor, then for  $x, y \in R$

$$ax = ay \Rightarrow x = y$$

*Proof.*  $ax = ay \iff a(x - y) = 0$ .  $a \neq 0$  implies that  $x - y = 0$  as  $a$  is not a zero divisor. □

**Definition 2.7.** An ideal  $I \subsetneq R$  is called

- **prime** if  $a \cdot b \in I$  implies  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$  for all  $a, b \in R$ .
- **maximal** if  $I$  and  $R$  are the only ideals containing  $I$ .

*Example.* In  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ , the ideals are of the form  $n\mathbb{Z}$ .  $n\mathbb{Z}$  is prime  $\iff n$  is prime or  $n = 0$ .

*Proof.* (A Pranav Exclusive). We start with the forward direction. We proceed by contrapositive. Assume that  $n \neq 0$  and that  $n$  is not prime. Then,  $n$  is composite (we exclude  $n = 1$  as we must have a properly contained ideal by definition). Thus, we have that  $n = ab$  for some  $1 < a, b < n$ . Note that we have  $ab = n \in n\mathbb{Z}$ , but we have that both  $a$  and  $b$  are less than  $n$ , and thus there is no  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $nz = a$  or  $nz = b$ . This means that  $n\mathbb{Z}$  is not prime, as we have found  $a, b$  such that  $ab \in n\mathbb{Z}$  but neither  $a$  nor  $b$  are in  $n\mathbb{Z}$ .

Now, the reverse direction. First, we show the condition for  $n$  prime. Assume that we have  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $ab \in n\mathbb{Z}$ . This means that we have  $ab = nq$  for some  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, this means that  $n$  divides the product  $ab$ . However, we note that as  $n$  is prime, we have that  $n$  must divide  $a$  or  $b$  by Euclid's lemma. Thus, we have that either  $a = nr$  or  $b = nr$  (or both), which implies that  $a \in n\mathbb{Z}$  or  $b \in n\mathbb{Z}$ . Next, for  $n = 0$ . Well, if  $ab \in 0\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $ab = 0$ . This in  $\mathbb{Z}$  implies that either  $a$  or  $b$  is 0 and is also in  $n\mathbb{Z}$ . This completes the reverse direction. □

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $R$  be a ring.

- $R$  is a domain  $\iff \{0\}$  is prime.
- $R/I$  is a domain  $\iff I \subset R$  is a prime ideal.
- Let  $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$  be a morphism,  $S$  a domain. Then  $\ker(\varphi)$  is prime. The converse is true if  $\varphi$  is surjective.
- $R$  is a field  $\iff \{0\}$  is maximal.
- $R/I$  is a field  $\iff I \subset R$  is a maximal ideal.
- Every field is a domain.

vii) *Every maximal ideal is prime.*

*Proof.* We first claim that iii) implies ii) which in turn implies i). First, for iii) implies ii), we note that letting  $S$  be  $R/I$  (which means  $\varphi$  is the projection map  $p$  (which is definitely surjective)) gives us ii). (We have that  $\ker(p) = I$ ).

ii) implies i) simply by letting  $I$  be the zero ideal.

Now, we prove statement iii).

Let  $a, b \in R$  such that  $a \cdot b \in \ker(\varphi)$ . Then  $0 = \varphi(a \cdot b) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$ . Since we have that  $S$  is a domain, then we have no zero divisors, meaning that either  $\varphi(a) = 0$  or  $\varphi(b) = 0$ . This in turn implies that either  $a \in \ker(\varphi)$  or  $b \in \ker(\varphi)$ , so we have show that  $\ker(\varphi)$  is a prime ideal. Now, the converse assuming surjectivity. We want to show that  $S$  has no zero divisors. Well, fix  $A, B \in S$  such that  $A \cdot B = 0$ . Since  $\varphi$  is surjective, we have  $a, b \in R$  such that  $\varphi(a) = A$  and  $\varphi(b) = B$ . Then, we have  $0 = \varphi(a)\varphi(b) = \varphi(ab)$ , meaning that  $ab$  is in  $\ker(\varphi)$ . Because we assume that  $\ker(\varphi)$  is prime, this in turn implies that either  $a$  or  $b$  is in  $\ker(\varphi)$  meaning that either  $\varphi(a) = 0$  or  $\varphi(b) = 0$ . This means that either  $A$  or  $B$  is 0, and thus  $S$  is a domain, as desired.

Next, note that v) implies iv). This comes from letting  $I$  be the zero ideal.

The proof of v) comes from the bijection

$$\{\text{ideals in } R \text{ containing } I\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{ideals in } R/I\}$$

This is a homework problem.

Now, we show vi). Assume that  $F$  is a field. Pick  $a, b \in F$  such that  $a \cdot b = 0$  with  $a \neq 0$ . We will show that  $b$  must be 0, thereby showing that  $F$  is a domain. Well, since  $a \neq 0$ , and  $F \setminus \{0\}$  is a group, we have that  $a^{-1}$  exists. Thus, we have that  $ab = 0$  implies that  $a^{-1}ab = 0$  and thus  $b = 0$ , as desired.

vii) follows from the facts vi), v) and ii). We have that

$$I \text{ is a maximal ideal} \xLeftrightarrow{\text{v}} R/I \text{ is a field} \xRightarrow{\text{vi}} R/I \text{ is a domain} \xLeftrightarrow{\text{ii}} I \text{ is prime.}$$

□