From the simply-typed lambda-calculus to cartesian closed categories

Andreas Abel

December 2017

Outline of the transformation.

- 1. Simply-typed lambda-calculus (named variables).
- 2. Explicit substitutions and judgmental equality.
- 3. Nameless (de Bruijn) presentation.
- 4. Removing the judgement for well-typed variables: 0 is the only variable, the others are represented using the weakening substitution.
- 5. Conflate contexts and types, substitutions and terms: we arrive at an internal language for Cartesian closed categories.

1 Exercises (Pen and Paper)

1.1 Simply-typed lambda-calculus with explicit substitutions

- 1. Write down the typing rules for simply-typed lambda-calculus.
- 2. Write down the typing rules for substitutions.
- 3. Write down the equality rules.
 - a) β and η -equality.
 - b) Rules for the propagation of explicit substitutions into terms.
 - c) Rules for the composition of substitutions.

1.2 Categories

- 1. Category of monoids.
 - a) Define the category of (small) monoids (where the carrier of the monoid is a set).
 - b) Show that the length function for lists is a monoid morphism.
- 2. Category of categories.
 - a) Generalize to notion of monoid morphism to the concept of morphism between categories.
 - b) Show that the (small) categories (where the objects form a set) for a category themselves. (This category is large in the sense that objects form a class.)

1.3 Products

- 1. Define the product in the category of monoids.
- 2. Let 1 denote the terminal object of some category \mathcal{C} . Show that the following span is a product of A and 1.

$$A \stackrel{\mathsf{id}}{\longleftarrow} A \stackrel{!}{\longrightarrow} 1$$

1.4 Cartesian closed categories

Recall apply : $(A \Rightarrow B) \times A \longrightarrow B$ and curry $f: C \longrightarrow (A \Rightarrow B)$ for $f: C \times A \longrightarrow B$.

- 1. Show curry apply = id.
- 2. Show $A \cong (1 \Rightarrow A)$

2 Exercises (Agda)

2.1 Simply-typed lambda-calculus in Agda.

- 1. Represent simply-typed terms in Agda, using de Bruijn indices.
 - a) Code simple types a and contexts Γ as data types.
 - b) Define well-typed variables as indexed data type $\operatorname{\sf Var}\Gamma a$.
 - c) Define well-typed terms as indexed data type $\mathsf{Tm}\,\Gamma\,a$.
- 2. Add explicit substitutions via an indexed data type $\mathsf{Sub}\,\Gamma\,\Delta$.
- 3. Define an equality judgement as relation between well-typed terms: $_\cong_$: $\mathsf{Tm}\,\Gamma\,a\to\mathsf{Set}$. Each rule is one constructor of this indexed data type.
- 4. Likewise, implement an equality judgement for well-typed substitutions.

2.2 CCCs in Agda

- 1. An E-category is a category with an equivalence relation on homsets. Define the notion of E-category in Agda.
 - a) There is a **Set** of objects **Ob**.
 - b) For each two objects a, b: Ob there is a Set of (homo)morphisms $\operatorname{\mathsf{Hom}} a\,b$ from a to b.
 - c) There is an equivalence relation on $\operatorname{\mathsf{Hom}} a\,b$ (for each $a,b:\operatorname{\mathsf{Ob}}$).
 - d) There is an associative morphism composition $f \circ g$: Hom ac for each f: Hom bc and g: Hom ab.
 - e) Composition respects equality.
 - f) There are morphisms $id\ a$ for each a: Ob which are left- and right units for composition.
- 2. Add products and terminal objects.
- 3. Add exponentials.

2.3 Interpretation of STLC in CCCs

- 1. Fix an arbitrary CCC.
- 2. Write an interpretation of types and contexts as objects in the CCC.
- 3. Write an interpretation of well-typed terms and substitutions as morphism in the CCC.
- 4. Write an interpretation of judgmental equality as equality of morphisms in the CCC.

- First, prove each rule of judgmental equality as theorem about morphisms in a CCC.
- $\bullet\,$ Then, map the rules to these theorems.