To Be or Not To Be Created Abstract Object Creation in Dynamic Logic

Wolfgang Ahrendt¹ Frank S. de Boer² Immo Grabe³

¹Chalmers University, Göteborg, Sweden

²CWI, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

³Christian-Albrechts-University Kiel, Germany

Types Club, 22/04/2021

A Simple Object-Oriented While Language

- only one class: Object
- ▶ 3 types: Object, Integer, Boolean
- no methods
- \triangleright variables (e.g. u, v, w) distinct from fields (e.g. x, y, z)

statements:

```
s ::= while e do s od |s_1; s_2|
u := e | e_1.x := e_2 | u := new expressions:
```

$$e ::= u \mid e.x \mid \text{null} \mid e_1 = e_2 \mid op(e_1, ..., e_n)$$

to separate issues object creation and aliasing:

- no native statement e.x := new
- ightharpoonup can be simulated by u := new; $e.x := u \quad (u \text{ fresh})$

Semantics

informal in this talk

- $ightharpoonup \llbracket u := \text{new}
 rbracket_{\sigma} : \text{create new object and assign it to } u$
- ▶ $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\sigma} \in \text{set of objects existing in } \sigma$
- $\llbracket \forall o. \phi \rrbracket_{\sigma} : \phi \text{ holds for all objects existing in } \sigma$
- ▶ $[\![\exists o.\phi]\!]_{\sigma}$: ϕ holds for some object existing in σ
- e, o of type Object

examples:

$$\forall o. \langle u := \text{new} \rangle \neg (u = o)$$
 true in all states $\langle u := \text{new} \rangle \forall o. \neg (u = o)$ false in all states

Update Application: Restricted Standard Cases

The standard rules for *quantifiers* and *equality* are restricted to non-creating updates \mathcal{U}_{nc} of the forms 'u:=e', ' $e_1.x:=e_2$ '. ('u:= new' excluded from these rules.)

$$\frac{\forall I. \{\mathcal{U}_{nc}\}\phi \leadsto \phi'}{\{\mathcal{U}_{nc}\}(\forall I. \phi) \leadsto \phi'}$$

$$\frac{\exists I. \{\mathcal{U}_{nc}\}\phi \leadsto \phi'}{\{\mathcal{U}_{nc}\}(\exists I. \phi) \leadsto \phi'}$$

$$\frac{\{\mathcal{U}_{nc}\}e_1 = \{\mathcal{U}_{nc}\}e_2 \leadsto e'}{\{\mathcal{U}_{nc}\}(e_1 = e_2) \leadsto e'}$$

Object Creating Updates: the Issue

note:

- ' $\{\mathcal{U}\}\phi$ ' is the (explicit) weakest precondition $wp(\mathcal{U},\phi)$ problem:
 - result of $\{u := new\}\phi$, i.e., $wp(\{u := new\}, \phi)$, cannot talk about the new object because it does not exist in pre-state
 - ▶ in particular: $\{u := new\}u \rightsquigarrow ?$

basic approach:

- ▶ totally avoid '{u := new}u'
- observation: the only operations on objects are
 - de-referencing fields
 - test for equality
 - quantification
- in all cases, wp computation can employ meta knowledge

Object Creating Update Application: Field Access

$$\frac{(\{u := new\}e).x \leadsto e'}{\{u := new\}(e.x) \leadsto e'}$$
$$e \not\equiv u$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{ & \underline{\textbf{\textit{u}}} := \textit{new} \} \\ & \underline{\textbf{\textit{u}}}.x \leadsto \textit{init}_{\mathcal{T}(x)} \\ & \textit{init}_{\mathcal{T}(x)} \equiv \textit{null} \ |\ \textbf{\textit{0}}\ | \ \textit{false} \end{aligned}$$

Object Creating Update Application: Equality

$$(\{u := new\}e_1) = (\{u := new\}e_2) \rightsquigarrow e'$$

$$\{u := new\}(e_1 = e_2) \rightsquigarrow e'$$

$$e_1 \not\equiv u, \quad e_2 \not\equiv u$$

$$\{u := new\}(u = e) \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{false}$$

$$e \not\equiv u$$

$$\{u := new\}(u = u) \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{true}$$

Object Creating Update Application: Quantifiers

$$\frac{(\{u := new\}\phi(u)) \land \forall o.(\{u := new\}\phi(o)) \leadsto \phi'}{\{u := new\}\forall o.\phi(o) \leadsto \phi'}$$

$$\frac{(\{u := new\}\phi(u)) \lor \exists o.(\{u := new\}\phi(o)) \leadsto \phi'}{\{u := new\}\exists o.\phi(o) \leadsto \phi'}$$

Abstract Object Creation Proof

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{closeFalse} & \frac{*}{\text{false}} \\
 \text{notRight} & \frac{}{\Rightarrow \neg \text{false}} \\
 \Rightarrow \neg \text{ false} \\
 \Rightarrow \{u := \text{new}\} \neg (u = c) \\
 \Rightarrow \{u := \text{new}\} \neg (u = c) \\
 \Rightarrow \forall u := \text{new} \rangle \neg (u = c) \\
 \Rightarrow \forall o. \langle u := \text{new} \rangle \neg (u = o)
\end{array}$$

Object Activation Proof

$$\frac{\text{close} \ \ }{c.\mathsf{cre}, \ \mathsf{obj}(\mathsf{next}) = c \Rightarrow c.\mathsf{cre}} }{ c.\mathsf{cre}, \ \mathsf{obj}(\mathsf{next}) = c \Rightarrow \mathsf{c.cre}}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{equality}}{c.\mathsf{cre}, \ \mathsf{obj}(\mathsf{next}) = c \Rightarrow \mathsf{obj}(\mathsf{next}).\mathsf{cre}}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{close} \ \ }{c.\mathsf{cre}, \ \mathsf{obj}(\mathsf{next}) = c \Rightarrow \mathsf{obj}(\mathsf{next}).\mathsf{cre}}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{obj}(\mathsf{next}).\mathsf{cre}, \ \mathsf{c.cre}, \ \mathsf{obj}(\mathsf{next}) = c \Rightarrow}{\mathsf{obj}(\mathsf{next}).\mathsf{cre}, \ \mathsf{obj}(\mathsf{next}) = c \Rightarrow}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{old}(\mathsf{obj}(\mathsf{next}).\mathsf{cre} \leftrightarrow \mathsf{next}, \ \mathsf{c.cre}, \ \mathsf{obj}(\mathsf{next}) = c \Rightarrow}{\mathsf{obj}(\mathsf{next}) = c \Rightarrow}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{old}(\mathsf{next}) = c \Rightarrow}{\mathsf{old}(\mathsf{next}) = c \Rightarrow}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{old}(\mathsf{next}) = c \Rightarrow}{\mathsf{old}(\mathsf{next$$