

LambdaScript Syntax and Semantics

Alex Kozik

July 16, 2023

Contents

1	Syntax	2
1.1	Metavariables	2
1.2	Expressions	2
1.3	Patterns	3
1.4	Values	3
1.5	Types	3
2	Dynamic Semantics	5
2.1	Dynamic Environment	5
2.2	Evaluation Relation	5
2.3	Pattern Matching Relation	5
2.4	Basic Dynamic Semantics	7
2.4.1	Value	7
2.4.2	Variable Identifiers	7
2.4.3	Vector	7
2.4.4	Cons	8
2.5	Switch Statement Semantics	8
2.6	Ternary Expression	9
2.7	Function	9
2.8	Function Application	9
2.9	Bind Expression	10
3	Static Semantics	11

1 Syntax

1.1 Metavariables

Below is a list of meta-variables for different fundamental language constructs

$x \in Var$	Variable identifier
$b \in \{true, false\}$	Boolean
$n \in \mathbb{N}$	Natural number
$s \in \Sigma^*$	String
$\oplus \in \{+, -, *, /, \%, <, >, <=, >=, ==\}$	Binary operator
$!, =\}$	Unary operator

1.2 Expressions

$\langle e \rangle ::= n$	Integer
b	Boolean
s	String
$()$	Nothing
x	Identifier
$e_1 \oplus e_2$	Binary Operation
(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)	Vector
$[]$	Nil (empty list)
$e_1 :: e_2$	Cons (nonempty list)
fn $p \rightarrow e$	Function
bind $p \leftarrow e_1$ in e_2	Bind expression
bind $p \ p_1 \dots p_n \leftarrow e_1$ in e_2	Bind expression
bind rec $f \leftarrow$ fn $p \rightarrow e_1$ in e_2	Recursive function
bind rec $f \ p_1 \dots p_n \leftarrow e_1$ in e_2	Recursive function
$e_1 \ e_2$	Function application
if e_1 then e_2 else e_3	Ternary expressions
switch $e_0 \Rightarrow$ $p_1 \rightarrow e_1 \dots$ $p_n \rightarrow e_n$ end	Switch expression

1.3 Patterns

$\langle p \rangle ::=$	$_$	Wildcard pattern*
	x	Identifier pattern**
	$()$	Nothing pattern
	b	Boolean pattern
	n	Integer pattern
	s	String pattern
	(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)	Vector pattern
	$[]$	Nil pattern
	$p_1 :: p_2$	Cons pattern***

* The wildcard pattern matches any value

** The identifier pattern matches any value and produces a binding to it

*** The cons pattern matches a non empty list, but only p_1 matches the head of the list and p_2 matches the remainder of the list

1.4 Values

$\langle v \rangle ::=$	n	Integer value
	s	String value
	b	Boolean value
	$()$	Nothing value
	$[]$	Nil value
	$v_1 :: v_2$	Cons value
	(Δ, p, e)	Function Closure

1.5 Types

$\langle t \rangle ::=$	int	Integer type
	bool	Boolean type
	str	String type
	ng	Nothing type
	t_i	Type variable
	$t_1 \rightarrow t_2$	Function type*
	$[t]$	List type
	(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)	Vector type
	(t)	Parenthesized type*

* The function type operator \rightarrow associates to the right

For example, the type $t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \rightarrow t_3$ is parsed as $t_1 \rightarrow (t_2 \rightarrow t_3)$

Parentheses are the highest precedence operator in the type grammar, and they can be used to counter the right associativity of the arrow operator.

For example

$$\text{fn } f \rightarrow \text{fn } x \rightarrow f\ x : (t_1 \rightarrow t_2) \rightarrow t_1 \rightarrow t_2$$

2 Dynamic Semantics

In order to discuss the dynamic semantics of the programming language, we first need to define a few things.

2.1 Dynamic Environment

LambdaScript uses an environment model to make substitutions in function bodies. The environment is an object defined as follows

$$\Delta \in Var \rightarrow Value$$

It is essentially a function from a set of variable identifiers to a set of values. Note that it is a partial function because its domain will be a subset of Var

- $\Delta(x)$ represents the value x maps to in environment Δ
- $\{\}$ is the empty environment
- $\Delta[x \rightarrow v]$ represents the environment where $\Delta(y) = v$ if $y = x$, and $\Delta(y)$ otherwise
- $D(\Delta)$ is the domain of Δ
- $\Delta_1 \circ \Delta_2$ represents the environment Δ where $\forall y \in D(\Delta_2), \Delta(y) = \Delta_2(y), \forall y \in D(\Delta_1) - D(\Delta_2), \Delta(y) = \Delta_1(y)$. Otherwise, $\Delta(y)$ is not defined.

2.2 Evaluation Relation

The evaluation relation is what describes how an expression is evaluated to a value under a certain environment

Define it as follows

$$(\Delta, e) \Rightarrow v$$

It means the following: Under environment Δ , expression e evaluates to value v

2.3 Pattern Matching Relation

In order to model a value matching some pattern, and producing some bindings, we will use the following relation

$$v \in p \rightarrow \Delta$$

This can be read as "value v matches pattern p and produces bindings Δ "

We will also use the following relation

$$v \notin p$$

This can be read as "value v does not patch pattern p "

Below are the semantics for each pattern

Wildcard

$$v \in _ \rightarrow \{\}$$

Variable Identifier

$$v \in x \rightarrow \{ \}[x \rightarrow v]$$

Nothing

$$() \in () \rightarrow \{\}$$

Boolean

$$b \in b \rightarrow \{\}$$

Integer

$$i \in i \rightarrow \{\}$$

String

$$s \in s \rightarrow \{\}$$

Nil / Empty List

$$[] \in [] \rightarrow \{\}$$

Vector

$$(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \rightarrow \Delta_1 \circ \Delta_2 \circ \dots \circ \Delta_n$$

$$v_1 \in p_1 \rightarrow \Delta_1$$

$$v_2 \in p_2 \rightarrow \Delta_2$$

...

$$v_n \in p_n \rightarrow \Delta_n$$

Cons

$$v_1 :: v_2 \in p_1 :: p_2 \rightarrow \Delta_1 \circ \Delta_2$$

$$v_1 \in p_1 \rightarrow \Delta_1$$

$$v_2 \in p_2 \rightarrow \Delta_2$$

2.4 Basic Dynamic Semantics

2.4.1 Value

$$(\Delta, v) \Rightarrow v$$

A value always evaluates to itself

2.4.2 Variable Identifiers

$$(\Delta, x) \Rightarrow \Delta(x)$$

To evaluate an identifier x , it is simply looked up in the environment Δ

2.4.3 Vector

$$(\Delta, (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)) \Rightarrow (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$$

$$(\Delta, e_1) \Rightarrow v_1$$

$$(\Delta, e_2) \Rightarrow v_2$$

...

$$(\Delta, e_n) \Rightarrow v_n$$

To evaluate a vector, evaluate each sub expression, then construct a new vector with the values

2.4.4 Cons

$$(\Delta, e_1 :: e_2) \Rightarrow v_1 :: v_2$$

$$(\Delta, e_1) \Rightarrow v_1$$

$$(\Delta, e_2) \Rightarrow v_2$$

To evaluate a cons expression, evaluate the two operands, then return the first argument prepended to the second

2.5 Switch Statement Semantics

A switch statement uses an expression, call it e_0 and a list of branches. Each branch consists of a pattern and a body.

First, e_0 is evaluated to a value v_0 using the current environment Δ

Starting from the first branch, v_0 is compared to its pattern. If it matches, certain bindings are produced, which are used to evaluate its body. That value is then returned.

This process of comparing v_0 to the pattern of a branch continues until a match is made.

$$(\Delta, \text{switch } e \Rightarrow |p_1 \rightarrow e_1 \dots |p_n \rightarrow e_n \text{ end}) \Longrightarrow v'$$

$$(\Delta, e) \Longrightarrow v$$

$$v \notin p_i \text{ for } i < m$$

$$v \in p_m \rightarrow \Delta_m \text{ where } 1 \leq m \leq n$$

$$(\Delta \circ \Delta_m, e_j) \Longrightarrow v'$$

Let's go through those statements one by one

1. $(\Delta, e) \Longrightarrow v$ shows that e evaluates to v under environment Δ
2. $v \notin p_i$ for $i < m$ shows that v doesn't match the first $m - 1$ patterns
3. $v \in p_m \rightarrow \Delta_m$ where $1 \leq m \leq n$ shows that v matches the m^{th} pattern and produces bindings Δ_m
4. $(\Delta \circ \Delta_m, e_m) \Longrightarrow v'$ shows that the body of the m^{th} branch evaluates to a value v' under the external environment Δ composed with the new bindings Δ_m . v' is what the entire switch expression evaluates to.

2.6 Ternary Expression

There are two rules regarding the dynamic semantics of ternary expressions. There is one for when the predicate is true and one for when the predicate is false.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 (\Delta, \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3) \Longrightarrow v \\
 \hline
 (\Delta, e_1) \Longrightarrow \text{true} \\
 (\Delta, e_2) \Longrightarrow v \\
 \hline
 (\Delta, \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3) \Longrightarrow v \\
 \hline
 (\Delta, e_1) \Longrightarrow \text{false} \\
 (\Delta, e_3) \Longrightarrow v
 \end{array}$$

2.7 Function

A function in LambdaScript evaluates to a function closure, which consists of three parts: the environment, the pattern, and the body.

$$(\Delta, \text{fn } p \rightarrow e) \Longrightarrow (\Delta, p, e)$$

2.8 Function Application

$$\begin{array}{c}
 (\Delta, e_1 \ e_2) \Longrightarrow v \\
 \hline
 (\Delta, e_1) \Longrightarrow (\Delta_c, p_c, e_c) \\
 (\Delta, e_2) \Longrightarrow v_2 \\
 v_2 \in p_c \rightarrow \Delta_n \\
 (\Delta_c \circ \Delta_n, e_c) \Longrightarrow v
 \end{array}$$

Let's go through these statements one by one

1. $(\Delta, e_1) \Longrightarrow (\Delta_c, p_c, e_c)$ states that e_1 evaluates to a function closure. This is crucial because if e_1 is not a function closure, it cannot be applied.

2. $(\Delta, e_2) \implies v_n$ states that e_2 , the argument, evaluates to a value v_2
3. $v_2 \in p_c \implies \Delta_2$ states that v_2 , the value of the argument, matches the pattern of the function closure p_c and produces bindings Δ_n
4. $(\Delta_c \circ \Delta_n, e_c) \rightarrow v$ states that e_2 , the body of the function closure, evaluates to a value v under the environment of the function closure, Δ_c composed with the new bindings Δ_n , which result from matching the value of the argument v against the pattern p_c . The entire expression evaluates to v .

2.9 Bind Expression

A bind expression is just syntactic sugar for the application of an anonymous function to an argument

$$\text{bind } p \leftarrow e_1 \text{ in } e_2$$

Is equivalent to

$$(\text{fn } p \rightarrow e_2) e_1$$

Bind expressions also have syntactic sugar for function arguments as follows

$$\text{bind } p \ x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots \ x_n \leftarrow e_1 \text{ in } e_2$$

Is equivalent to

$$(\text{fn } p \rightarrow e_2) (\text{fn } x_1 \rightarrow \text{fn } x_2 \rightarrow \dots \text{fn } x_n \rightarrow e_1)$$

Consequently, the dynamic semantics of the bind expression is already defined by the dynamic semantics of the function and function application

Moreover, a recursive function can be defined as follows

$$\text{bind rec } f \ x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots \ x_n \leftarrow e_1 \text{ in } e_2$$

The only difference between this and the standard bind expression is the following rule:

Suppose we have a recursive bind expression of the following form

$$\text{bind rec } f \ x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots \ x_n \leftarrow e_1 \text{ in } e_2$$

De-sugar that to yield the following

$$\text{bind rec } f \leftarrow \text{fn } x_1 \rightarrow \text{fn } x_2 \rightarrow \dots \text{fn } x_n \rightarrow e_1 \text{ in } e_2$$

Now, evaluate the expression between \leftarrow and "in", to a function closure subject to the following modification: the environment of the closure contains a binding to itself

$$(\Delta_r, x_1, \text{fn } x_2 \rightarrow \dots \text{fn } x_n \rightarrow e_1)$$

where

$$\Delta_r(f) = (\Delta_r, x_1, \text{fn } x_2 \rightarrow \dots \text{fn } x_n \rightarrow e_1)$$

The remainder of the dynamic semantics for the recursive bind expression is the same as for the standard bind expression

3 Static Semantics