

# LambdaScript Syntax and Semantics

Alex Kozik

July 17, 2023

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Syntax</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Metavariables . . . . .	3
1.2	Expressions . . . . .	3
1.3	Patterns . . . . .	4
1.4	Values . . . . .	4
1.5	Types . . . . .	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Dynamic Semantics</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	Dynamic Environment . . . . .	6
2.2	Evaluation Relation . . . . .	6
2.3	Pattern Matching Relation . . . . .	6
2.4	Dynamic Semantics For Patterns . . . . .	7
2.4.1	Wildcard Pattern . . . . .	7
2.4.2	Variable Identifier . . . . .	7
2.4.3	Nothing Pattern . . . . .	7
2.4.4	Boolean Pattern . . . . .	7
2.4.5	Integer Pattern . . . . .	7
2.4.6	String Pattern . . . . .	7
2.4.7	Nil Pattern . . . . .	7
2.4.8	Vector Pattern . . . . .	7
2.4.9	Cons Pattern . . . . .	8
2.5	Basic Dynamic Semantics . . . . .	8
2.5.1	Value . . . . .	8
2.5.2	Variable Identifiers . . . . .	8
2.5.3	Vector . . . . .	8
2.5.4	Cons . . . . .	8
2.6	Switch Expression . . . . .	9
2.7	Ternary Expression . . . . .	9
2.8	Function . . . . .	10
2.9	Function Application . . . . .	10
2.10	Bind Expression . . . . .	11
2.11	Recursive Bind Expression . . . . .	11
<b>3</b>	<b>Static Semantics</b>	<b>13</b>
3.1	Static Environment . . . . .	13
3.2	Type Equations . . . . .	13
3.3	Equality of Type Equations . . . . .	14
3.4	Universal Quantification of Type Variables . . . . .	14

3.5	Reduction of Type Equations . . . . .	15
3.6	Instantiation of Types . . . . .	15
3.7	Generalization of Types . . . . .	15
3.8	Type Relation . . . . .	15
3.9	Type Inference relation for Patterns . . . . .	15
3.10	Type Inference for Patterns . . . . .	16
3.10.1	Integer Pattern . . . . .	16
3.10.2	Boolean Pattern . . . . .	16
3.10.3	String Pattern . . . . .	16
3.10.4	Nothing Pattern . . . . .	16
3.10.5	Wildcard Pattern . . . . .	16
3.10.6	Identifier Pattern . . . . .	16
3.10.7	Nil Pattern . . . . .	16
3.10.8	Cons Pattern . . . . .	16
3.10.9	Vector Pattern . . . . .	17
3.11	Type Inference of Basic Expressions . . . . .	17
3.11.1	Integer . . . . .	17
3.11.2	Boolean . . . . .	17
3.11.3	String . . . . .	17
3.11.4	Nothing . . . . .	17
3.11.5	Nil . . . . .	17
3.11.6	Vector . . . . .	17
3.11.7	Cons . . . . .	18
3.12	Switch Expression . . . . .	18
3.13	Ternary Expression . . . . .	19
3.14	Function . . . . .	19

# 1 Syntax

## 1.1 Metavariables

Below is a list of meta-variables for different fundamental language constructs

$x \in Var$	Variable identifier
$b \in \{true, false\}$	Boolean
$n \in \mathbb{N}$	Natural number
$s \in \Sigma^*$	String
$\oplus \in \{+, -, *, /, \%, <, >, <=, >=, ==\}$	Binary operator
$!, =\}$	Unary operator

## 1.2 Expressions

$\langle e \rangle ::= n$	Integer
$b$	Boolean
$s$	String
$()$	Nothing
$x$	Identifier
$e_1 \oplus e_2$	Binary Operation
$(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)$	Vector
$[]$	Nil (empty list)
$e_1 :: e_2$	Cons (nonempty list)
<b>fn</b> $p \rightarrow e$	Function
<b>bind</b> $p \leftarrow e_1$ <b>in</b> $e_2$	Bind expression
<b>bind</b> $p \ p_1 \dots p_n \leftarrow e_1$ <b>in</b> $e_2$	Bind expression
<b>bind rec</b> $f \leftarrow \text{fn } p \rightarrow e_1$ <b>in</b> $e_2$	Recursive function
<b>bind rec</b> $f \ p_1 \dots p_n \leftarrow e_1$ <b>in</b> $e_2$	Recursive function
$e_1 \ e_2$	Function application
<b>if</b> $e_1$ <b>then</b> $e_2$ <b>else</b> $e_3$	Ternary expressions
<b>switch</b> $e_0 \Rightarrow$   $p_1 \rightarrow e_1 \dots$   $p_n \rightarrow e_n$ <b>end</b>	Switch expression

### 1.3 Patterns

$\langle p \rangle ::=$	$\_$	Wildcard pattern*
	$x$	Identifier pattern**
	$()$	Nothing pattern
	$b$	Boolean pattern
	$n$	Integer pattern
	$s$	String pattern
	$(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$	Vector pattern
	$[]$	Nil pattern
	$p_1 :: p_2$	Cons pattern***

\* The wildcard pattern matches any value

\*\* The identifier pattern matches any value and produces a binding to it

\*\*\* The cons pattern matches a non empty list, but only  $p_1$  matches the head of the list and  $p_2$  matches the remainder of the list

### 1.4 Values

$\langle v \rangle ::=$	$n$	Integer value
	$s$	String value
	$b$	Boolean value
	$()$	Nothing value
	$[]$	Nil value
	$v_1 :: v_2$	Cons value
	$(\Delta, p, e)$	Function Closure

### 1.5 Types

$\langle t \rangle ::=$	$\text{int}$	Integer type
	$\text{bool}$	Boolean type
	$\text{str}$	String type
	$\text{ng}$	Nothing type
	$t_i$	Type variable
	$t_1 \rightarrow t_2$	Function type*
	$[t]$	List type
	$(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$	Vector type
	$(t)$	Parenthesized type*

\* The function type operator  $\rightarrow$  associates to the right

For example, the type  $t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \rightarrow t_3$  is parsed as  $t_1 \rightarrow (t_2 \rightarrow t_3)$

Parentheses are the highest precedence operator in the type grammar, and they can be used to counter the right associativity of the arrow operator.

For example

$$\text{fn } f \rightarrow \text{fn } x \rightarrow f\ x : (t_1 \rightarrow t_2) \rightarrow t_1 \rightarrow t_2$$

## 2 Dynamic Semantics

In order to discuss the dynamic semantics of the programming language, we first need to define a few things.

### 2.1 Dynamic Environment

LambdaScript uses an environment model to make substitutions in function bodies. The environment is an object defined as follows

$$\Delta \in Var \rightarrow Value$$

It is essentially a function from a set of variable identifiers to a set of values. Note that it is a partial function because its domain will be a subset of  $Var$

- $\Delta(x)$  represents the value  $x$  maps to in environment  $\Delta$
- $\{\}$  is the empty environment
- $\Delta[x \rightarrow v]$  represents the environment where  $\Delta(y) = v$  if  $y = x$ , and  $\Delta(y)$  otherwise
- $D(\Delta)$  is the domain of  $\Delta$
- $\Delta_1 \circ \Delta_2$  represents the environment  $\Delta$  where  $\forall y \in D(\Delta_2), \Delta(y) = \Delta_2(y), \forall y \in D(\Delta_1) - D(\Delta_2), \Delta(y) = \Delta_1(y)$ . Otherwise,  $\Delta(y)$  is not defined.

### 2.2 Evaluation Relation

The evaluation relation is what describes how an expression is evaluated to a value under a certain environment

Define it as follows

$$(\Delta, e) \Rightarrow v$$

It means the following: Under environment  $\Delta$ , expression  $e$  evaluates to value  $v$

### 2.3 Pattern Matching Relation

In order to model a value matching some pattern, and producing some bindings, we will use the following relation

$$v \in p \rightarrow \Delta$$

This can be read as "value  $v$  matches pattern  $p$  and produces bindings  $\Delta$ "

We will also use the following relation

$$v \notin p$$

This can be read as "value  $v$  does not patch pattern  $p$ "

## 2.4 Dynamic Semantics For Patterns

### 2.4.1 Wildcard Pattern

$$v \in \_ \rightarrow \{\}$$

### 2.4.2 Variable Identifier

$$v \in x \rightarrow \{ \}[x \rightarrow v]$$

### 2.4.3 Nothing Pattern

$$() \in () \rightarrow \{\}$$

### 2.4.4 Boolean Pattern

$$b \in b \rightarrow \{\}$$

### 2.4.5 Integer Pattern

$$i \in i \rightarrow \{\}$$

### 2.4.6 String Pattern

$$s \in s \rightarrow \{\}$$

### 2.4.7 Nil Pattern

$$[] \in [] \rightarrow \{\}$$

### 2.4.8 Vector Pattern

$$(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \rightarrow \Delta_1 \circ \Delta_2 \circ \dots \circ \Delta_n$$

---


$$v_1 \in p_1 \rightarrow \Delta_1$$

$$v_2 \in p_2 \rightarrow \Delta_2$$

$$\dots$$

$$v_n \in p_n \rightarrow \Delta_n$$



### 2.4.9 Cons Pattern

$$v_1 :: v_2 \in p_1 :: p_2 \rightarrow \Delta_1 \circ \Delta_2$$

---

$$v_1 \in p_1 \rightarrow \Delta_1$$

$$v_2 \in p_2 \rightarrow \Delta_2$$

## 2.5 Basic Dynamic Semantics

### 2.5.1 Value

$$(\Delta, v) \Rightarrow v$$

A value always evaluates to itself

### 2.5.2 Variable Identifiers

$$(\Delta, x) \Rightarrow \Delta(x)$$

To evaluate an identifier  $x$ , it is simply looked up in the environment  $\Delta$

### 2.5.3 Vector

$$(\Delta, (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)) \Rightarrow (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$$

---

$$(\Delta, e_1) \Rightarrow v_1$$

$$(\Delta, e_2) \Rightarrow v_2$$

...

$$(\Delta, e_n) \Rightarrow v_n$$

To evaluate a vector, evaluate each sub expression, then construct a new vector with the values

### 2.5.4 Cons

$$(\Delta, e_1 :: e_2) \Rightarrow v_1 :: v_2$$

---

$$(\Delta, e_1) \Rightarrow v_1$$

$$(\Delta, e_2) \Rightarrow v_2$$

To evaluate a cons expression, evaluate the two operands, then return the first argument prepended to the second

## 2.6 Switch Expression

A switch expression uses an expression, call it  $e_0$  and a list of branches. Each branch consists of a pattern and a body.

First,  $e_0$  is evaluated to a value  $v_0$  using the current environment  $\Delta$

Starting from the first branch,  $v_0$  is compared to its pattern. If it matches, certain bindings are produced, which are used to evaluate its body. That value is then returned.

This process of comparing  $v_0$  to the pattern of a branch continues until a match is made.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 (\Delta, \text{switch } e \Rightarrow |p_1 \rightarrow e_1 \dots |p_n \rightarrow e_n \text{ end}) \Longrightarrow v' \\
 \hline
 (\Delta, e) \Longrightarrow v \\
 v \notin p_i \text{ for } i < m \\
 v \in p_m \rightarrow \Delta_m \text{ where } 1 \leq m \leq n \\
 (\Delta \circ \Delta_m, e_m) \Longrightarrow v'
 \end{array}$$

Let's go through those statements one by one

1.  $(\Delta, e) \Longrightarrow v$  shows that  $e$  evaluates to  $v$  under environment  $\Delta$
2.  $v \notin p_i$  for  $i < m$  shows that  $v$  doesn't match the first  $m - 1$  patterns
3.  $v \in p_m \rightarrow \Delta_m$  where  $1 \leq m \leq n$  shows that  $v$  matches the  $m^{\text{th}}$  pattern and produces bindings  $\Delta_m$
4.  $(\Delta \circ \Delta_m, e_m) \Longrightarrow v'$  shows that the body of the  $m^{\text{th}}$  branch evaluates to a value  $v'$  under the external environment  $\Delta$  composed with the new bindings  $\Delta_m$ .  $v'$  is what the entire switch expression evaluates to.

## 2.7 Ternary Expression

There are two rules regarding the dynamic semantics of ternary expressions. There is one for when the predicate is true and one for when the predicate is false.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 (\Delta, \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3) \Longrightarrow v \\
 \hline
 (\Delta, e_1) \Longrightarrow \text{true} \\
 (\Delta, e_2) \Longrightarrow v
 \end{array}$$

---


$$(\Delta, \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3) \Longrightarrow v$$


---

bro

$$(\Delta, e_1) \Longrightarrow \text{false}$$

$$(\Delta, e_3) \Longrightarrow v$$

## 2.8 Function

A function in LambdaScript evaluates to a function closure, which consists of three parts: the environment, the pattern, and the body.

$$(\Delta, \text{fn } p \rightarrow e) \Longrightarrow (\Delta, p, e)$$

## 2.9 Function Application

$$(\Delta, e_1 \ e_2) \Longrightarrow v$$


---

$$(\Delta, e_1) \Longrightarrow (\Delta_c, p_c, e_c)$$

$$(\Delta, e_2) \Longrightarrow v_2$$

$$v_2 \in p_c \rightarrow \Delta_n$$

$$(\Delta_c \circ \Delta_n, e_c) \Longrightarrow v$$

Let's go through these statements one by one

1.  $(\Delta, e_1) \Longrightarrow (\Delta_c, p_c, e_c)$  states that  $e_1$  evaluates to a function closure. This is crucial because if  $e_1$  is not a function closure, it cannot be applied.
2.  $(\Delta, e_2) \Longrightarrow v_2$  states that  $e_2$ , the argument, evaluates to a value  $v_2$
3.  $v_2 \in p_c \Longrightarrow \Delta_n$  states that  $v_2$ , the value of the argument, matches the pattern of the function closure  $p_c$  and produces bindings  $\Delta_n$
4.  $(\Delta_c \circ \Delta_n, e_c) \rightarrow v$  states that  $e_2$ , the body of the function closure, evaluates to a value  $v$  under the environment of the function closure,  $\Delta_c$  composed with the new bindings  $\Delta_n$ , which result from matching the value of the argument  $v$  against the pattern  $p_c$ . The entire expression evaluates to  $v$ .

## 2.10 Bind Expression

A bind expression is just syntactic sugar for the application of an anonymous function to an argument

$$\text{bind } p \leftarrow e_1 \text{ in } e_2$$

Is equivalent to

$$(\text{fn } p \rightarrow e_2) e_1$$

Bind expressions also have syntactic sugar for function arguments as follows

$$\text{bind } p \ x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots \ x_n \leftarrow e_1 \text{ in } e_2$$

Is equivalent to

$$(\text{fn } p \rightarrow e_2) (\text{fn } x_1 \rightarrow \text{fn } x_2 \rightarrow \dots \text{fn } x_n \rightarrow e_1)$$

Consequently, the dynamic semantics of the bind expression is already defined by the dynamic semantics of the function and function application

## 2.11 Recursive Bind Expression

Moreover, a recursive function can be defined as follows

$$\text{bind rec } f \ x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots \ x_n \leftarrow e_1 \text{ in } e_2$$

The only difference between this and the standard bind expression is the following rule: Suppose we have a recursive bind expression of the following form

$$\text{bind rec } f \ x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots \ x_n \leftarrow e_1 \text{ in } e_2$$

De-sugar that to yield the following

$$\text{bind rec } f \leftarrow \text{fn } x_1 \rightarrow \text{fn } x_2 \rightarrow \dots \text{fn } x_n \rightarrow e_1 \text{ in } e_2$$

Now, suppose for the purposes of intuition that we are discussing a non-recursive bind expression, such as this

$$\text{bind } f \leftarrow \text{fn } x_1 \rightarrow \text{fn } x_2 \rightarrow \dots \text{fn } x_n \rightarrow e_1 \text{ in } e_2$$

Evaluating the expression between  $\leftarrow$  and "in" would yield the following

$$(\Delta_{\text{standard}}, x_1, \text{fn } x_2 \rightarrow \dots \text{fn } x_n \rightarrow e_1)$$

Now, create a special type of dynamic environment that satisfies the following two properties

1.

$$\forall g \in Var - f, \Delta_{\text{recursive}}(g) = \Delta_{\text{standard}}(g)$$

2.

$$\Delta_{\text{recursive}}(f) = (\Delta_{\text{recursive}}, x_1, \text{fn } x_2 \rightarrow \dots \text{fn } x_n \rightarrow e_1)$$

Now we go back to discussing the recursive bind expression

$$\text{bind rec } f \leftarrow \text{fn } x_1 \rightarrow \text{fn } x_2 \rightarrow \dots \text{fn } x_n \rightarrow e_1 \text{ in } e_2$$

The expression between  $\leftarrow$  and "in" evaluates to

$$(\Delta_{\text{recursive}}, x_1, \text{fn } x_2 \rightarrow \dots \text{fn } x_n \rightarrow e_1)$$

So we now have the following. Note that this is a theoretical representation, not a syntactic one.

$$\text{bind rec } f \leftarrow (\Delta_{\text{recursive}}, x_1, \text{fn } x_2 \rightarrow \dots \text{fn } x_n \rightarrow e_1) \text{ in } e_2$$

Desugar this to yield the following

$$(\text{fn } f \rightarrow e_2)(\Delta_{\text{recursive}}, x_1, \text{fn } x_2 \rightarrow \dots \text{fn } x_n \rightarrow e_1)$$

This expression can now be further evaluated using the dynamic semantics regarding function application.

### 3 Static Semantics

#### 3.1 Static Environment

Similar to the dynamic environment, which represents mappings from identifiers to values, we can define a static environment, which represents mappings from identifiers to types.

The static environment is defined as follows

$$\Sigma \in Var \rightarrow Type$$

It is a partial function from identifiers to types

It has the following operations

- $\Sigma(x)$  represents the type  $x$  maps to in environment  $\Sigma$
- $\{\}$  is the empty environment
- $\Sigma[x \rightarrow v]$  represents the environment where  $\Sigma(y) = v$  if  $y = x$ , and  $\Sigma(y)$  otherwise
- $D(\Sigma)$  is the domain of  $\Sigma$
- $\Sigma_1 \circ \Sigma_2$  represents the environment  $\Sigma$  where  $\forall y \in D(\Sigma_2), \Sigma(y) = \Sigma_2(y), \forall y \in D(\Sigma_1) - D(\Sigma_2), \Sigma(y) = \Sigma_1(y)$ . Otherwise,  $\Sigma(y)$  is not defined.

#### 3.2 Type Equations

A type equation can be thought of intuitively as an equality between two types.

Formally, a system of type equations is defined as follows.

$$\mathcal{E} \in Type \times Type$$

Mathematically, it is nothing more than a set of pairs, where each pair consists of two types that are thought to be equal.

1. The empty system is denoted  $\{\}$
2. The union of two systems is denoted  $\mathcal{E}_1 \cup \mathcal{E}_2$

### 3.3 Equality of Type Equations

Define  $\cong$  to be an equivalence relation on  $Type \times Type$  as follows

$$\cong = \{((t_1, t_2), (t_2, t_1)) \mid \forall (t_1, t_2) \in Type \times Type\}$$

If can also be defined as

$$(t_1, t_2) \cong (t_3, t_4) = ((t_1, t_2) = (t_3, t_4) \vee (t_1, t_2) = (t_4, t_3))$$

$\cong$  captures the notion of two type equations containing the exact same information

For example

$$\begin{aligned} (t_1 = int) &\cong (int, t_1) \\ (t_1, t_2) &\cong (t_1, t_2) \end{aligned}$$

### 3.4 Universal Quantification of Type Variables

There is a scenario later on in which universal quantification of type variables is required for a sound type system.

A type variable of the form  $t_n$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is a standard type variable with "a single identity"

This means that, for example, the following system would be inconsistent

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &= int \\ t_1 &= bool \\ \implies \\ int &= bool \end{aligned}$$

In order to allow polymorphism, universally quantified variables are required. They are denoted  $u_n$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

### 3.5 Reduction of Type Equations

The reduction of type equations is analogous to Gaussian elimination of linear systems of equations in linear algebra.

### 3.6 Instantiation of Types

The instantiation function is a function from types to types. It takes a type and does the following:

1. For each unique universally quantified type variable, generate a fresh type variable. Create a 1 to 1 mapping from the universal variables to the concrete ones.
2. Using the mapping, replace the universally quantified variables with the concrete ones.
3. Return this new type.

For example

$$I(t_1 \rightarrow u_1 \rightarrow u_2) = (t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \rightarrow t_3)$$

### 3.7 Generalization of Types

### 3.8 Type Relation

In order to discuss the relationships between the expressions of LambdaScript and the corresponding types, we must first define a type relation.

The type relation is defined as follows

$$\Sigma \rightarrow e : t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

It can be read as follows: "under static environment  $\Sigma$ , expression  $e$  is of type  $t$  and produces a set of type equations  $\mathcal{E}$ "

### 3.9 Type Inference relation for Patterns

Similar to dynamic semantics, patterns produce bindings from identifiers to Types

The type inference relation for patterns is defined as follows

$$p : t \rightarrow \Sigma$$

This can be read as "pattern  $p$  is of type  $t$  and creates static bindings  $\Sigma$ "



### 3.10 Type Inference for Patterns

#### 3.10.1 Integer Pattern

$$i : int \rightarrow \{\}$$

#### 3.10.2 Boolean Pattern

$$b : bool \rightarrow \{\}$$

#### 3.10.3 String Pattern

$$s : str \rightarrow \{\}$$

#### 3.10.4 Nothing Pattern

$$() : ng \rightarrow \{\}$$

#### 3.10.5 Wildcard Pattern

$$\_ : t \rightarrow \{\}$$

#### 3.10.6 Identifier Pattern

$$x : t \rightarrow \{x : t\}$$

#### 3.10.7 Nil Pattern

$$[] : [t] \rightarrow \{\}$$

#### 3.10.8 Cons Pattern

$$p_1 :: p_2 : [t] \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \cup \mathcal{E}_2$$

---

$$p_1 : t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1$$

$$p_2 : [t] \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$$

### 3.10.9 Vector Pattern

$$(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) : (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{E}_i$$

---

$$p_1 : t_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1$$

$$p_2 : t_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$$

$$\dots$$

$$p_n : t_n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_n$$

## 3.11 Type Inference of Basic Expressions

### 3.11.1 Integer

$$\Sigma \rightarrow i : int \rightarrow \{\}$$

### 3.11.2 Boolean

$$\Sigma \rightarrow b : bool \rightarrow \{\}$$

### 3.11.3 String

$$\Sigma \rightarrow s : str \rightarrow \{\}$$

### 3.11.4 Nothing

$$\Sigma \rightarrow () : ng \rightarrow \{\}$$

### 3.11.5 Nil

$$\Sigma \rightarrow [] : [t] \rightarrow \{\}$$

### 3.11.6 Vector

$$\Sigma \rightarrow (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) : (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{E}_i$$

---

$$\Sigma \rightarrow e_1 : t_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1$$

$$\Sigma \rightarrow e_2 : t_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \Sigma \rightarrow e_n : t_n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_n \end{array}$$

### 3.11.7 Cons

$$\Sigma \rightarrow e_1 :: e_2 : t_2 \rightarrow \{[t_1] = t_2\} \cup \mathcal{E}_1 \cup \mathcal{E}_2$$


---

$$\Sigma \rightarrow e_1 : t_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1$$

$$\Sigma \rightarrow e_2 : t_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$$

### 3.12 Switch Expression

$$\Sigma \rightarrow \text{switch } e \Rightarrow [p_1 \rightarrow e_1 | \dots | p_n \rightarrow e_n \text{ end} : t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\text{branches}} \cup \mathcal{E}_{\text{types equal}} \cup \mathcal{E}_{\text{patterns}}$$


---

$$p_1 : t_{n+1} \rightarrow \Sigma_1$$

$$p_2 : t_{n+2} \rightarrow \Sigma_1$$

...

$$p_n : t_{2n} \rightarrow \Sigma_1$$

$$\Sigma \circ \Sigma_1 : e_1 : t_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1$$

$$\Sigma \circ \Sigma_2 : e_2 : t_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$$

...

$$\Sigma \circ \Sigma_n : e_n : t_n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_n$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{types equal}} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{t = t_i\}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{branches}} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{E}_i$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{patterns}} = \bigcup_{i=n+1}^{2n} \{t_p = t_i\}$$

The type of a switch expression is essentially the type of any given branch. The types of the branch bodies must all be the same.

### 3.13 Ternary Expression

$$\Sigma \rightarrow \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 : t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0 \cup \mathcal{E}_1 \cup \mathcal{E}_2 \cup \mathcal{E}_3$$


---

$$\Sigma \rightarrow e_1 : t_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1$$

$$\Sigma \rightarrow e_2 : t_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$$

$$\Sigma \rightarrow e_3 : t_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_3$$

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = \{t_1 = \text{bool}, t = t_1, t = t_2\}$$

### 3.14 Function

$$\Sigma \rightarrow (\text{fn } p \rightarrow e) : (t_1 \rightarrow t_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$$


---

$$p : t_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_p$$

$$\Sigma \circ \Sigma_p \rightarrow e : t_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

1.  $p : t_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_p$  states that the functions pattern  $p$  is of type  $t_1$  and produces static bindings  $\Sigma_p$
2.  $\Sigma \circ \Sigma_p \rightarrow e : t_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  states that the function's body  $e$  is of type  $t_2$  when evaluated under the external static environment  $\Sigma$  composed with the new bindings produced by  $p$ , which are  $\Sigma_p$ . Additionally, type equations  $\mathcal{E}$  are produced.
3. The input type of the function is  $t_1$ , and the output type of the function is  $t_2$ . Therefore, the type of the entire expression is  $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$ . Additionally, the equations resulting from inference of the function body are returned.