Effect of hyperdynamic LVEF on ICU outcomes

Joseph Panessa, Thomas Brennan, Marco Pimentel, Mengling Feng, Leo Celi

Cambridge MA, United States

Abstract

Objective To study the effect of hyperdynamic left ventricular function on ICU outcomes.

Keywords: Intensive Care Unit, Hyperdynamic

1. Background

In a recent meta-analysis review by Huang et al. (2013) [1] the authors attemped to answer the question whether ventricular depression or dilation is associated with lower mortality rates. A total of 62 studies were reviewed and 14 included in the analysis. The meta-analysis failed to find any evidence to support the view that the survivors from severe sepsis or septic shock had lower ejection fractions. This study aims to further explore this research question using the MIMIC-II clinical database from the Beth Israel Deaconness Medical Center in Boston, MA [2].

2. Methods

We conducted a retrospective cohort study using the Multiparameter Intelligent Monitoring in Intensive Care II (MIMIC II) database. MIMIC II is a large open-access database, which includes data from electronic medical records of patients admitted to the ICUs at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center since 2001. The creation and use of the MIMIC database was approved by the institutional review boards of both Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and Massachusetts Institute of Technology (IRB protocol 2001-P-001699/3).

All adult patient records who underwent an echocardiograph in the database were screened for purposes of inclusion. Patients were excluded if their left-ventricular function was suppresed The cohort characteristics used in this study is shown in Figure 1. The study outcome was 28-day mortality among the entire patient cohort.

All statistical analysis was performed using R. Baseline comparisons were performed using Fisher tests for categorical variables with results reported as numbers and percentages. Continuously normally distributed variables were compared using t-tests and reported as median, while non-normally distributed data were compared using Mann-Whitney tests and reported as medians and interquartile range (IQR).

3. Results

Table **??** highlights the results of the univariate analysis for all patients with hyperdynamic EF. Table **??** highlights the results of the univariate analysis for all patients with acute hyperdynamic EF. Significant values ($P < 0.05$) are shown in bold. Hyperdynamic patients are more likely to be female, be admitted to MICU, SICU and ventilated. Hyperdynamic patients also have higher risk of mortality, SOFA and SAPSI scores and stay longer in ICU. Table **??** looks at potential confounders for the cohort: hyperdynamic patients are more liekly to have congestive heart failure, hypertension and cancer.

Table **??** highlights the results of the univariate analysis for all septic patients. Significant values ($P < 0.05$) are shown in bold. Hyperdynamic septic patients have a higher 28-day and ICU/hospital mortality are more likely to be administered more fluids. The confounder analysis in Table **??** is inconclusive.

References

[1] S. J. Huang, M. Nalos, A. S. McLean, Is early ventricular dysfunction or dilatation associated with lower mortality rate in adult severe sepsis and septic shock? a meta-analysis, Critical Care 17 (2013) R96.

[2] M. Saeed, M. Villarroel, A. T. Reisner, G. Clifford, L. Lehman, G. M. ody, T. Heldt, T. H. Kyaw, B. Moody, R. G. Mark, Multiparameter intelligent monitoring in intensive care II (MIMIC-II): A public-access intensive care unit database, Crit Care Med 39 (2011) 952–960.

[3] D. C. Angus, W. T. Linde-Zwirble, J. Lidicker, G. Clermont, J. Carcillo, M. R. Pinsky, Epidemiology of severe sepsis in the united states: analysis of incidence, outcome, and associated costs of care, Critical care medicine 29 (2001) 1303–1310.



Figure 1: Patient record selection. Using the MIMIC II database we identified 2,481 patients that had a echo report.

	NLVEF (N=1886)	**HDLVEF** (N=197)
	N (%) or median (IQR)	
Age	68.04 (22.76)	67.86 (24.20)
Gender (Male)	928 (49.31)	82 (41.62)*
SAPS-I	17.00 (7.00)	14.00 (8.00)*
Care Unit		
MICU	94 (47.72)	961 (50.95)
CCU	28 (14.21)	319 (16.91)
CSRU	19 (9.64)	141 (7.48)
SICU	56 (28.43)	465 (24.66)
Labs		
Max WBC	15.10 (10.00)	13.80 (8.12)*
WBC	11.55 (7.40)	11.30 (6.15)*
Max lactate	2.70 (3.10)	2.30 (2.50)
Lactate	1.90 (1.53)	1.80 (1.40)
Max creatinine	1.20 (1.10)	1.10 (1.10)*
Creatinine	1.00 (0.81)	1.00 (0.85)
Co-morbidities		
Diabetes	432 (22.91)	54 (27.41)
Alcohol abuse	104 (5.51)	10 (5.08)
Arrhythmias	506 (26.83)	46 (23.35)
Valvular disease	210 (11.13)	29 (14.72)
Hypertension	629 (33.35)	79 (40.10)
Renal failure	153 (8.11)	18 (9.14)
Chronic pulmonary	408 (21.63)	41 (20.81)
Liver disease	1764 (93.53)	179 (90.86)
Cancer	74 (3.92)	16 (8.12)*
Psychosis	61 (3.23)	7 (3.55)
Depression	84 (4.45)	7 (3.55)
CHF	556 (29.48)	77 (39.09)*
Treatments		
RRT	249 (13.20)	35 (17.77)
Vasopressor	817 (43.32)	107 (54.31)*
Ventilated	1147 (60.82)	144 (73.10)*
IVF first 24hr (ml)	2182.02 (4907.88)	1735.42 (3667.73)
Time to echo (days)	1.06 (3.02)	0.72 (1.88)*

Table 1: Characteristics of normal versus all HDLVEF patients

	Odds-ratio (95% Confidence Interval)	**P-value**
Age	1.0006 (0.9944,1.0067)	0.8
Gender (Male)	1.1187 (0.8866,1.4126)	0.3
Elixhauser Score	1.0643 (1.0442,1.0849)	**<0.001***
SAPS-I	1.0822 (1.0570,1.1083)	**<0.001***
Vasopressor	0.5484 (0.4281,0.7012)	**<0.001***
HDLVEF	0.7824 (0.5512,1.1248)	0.2

Table 2: Multivariate logistic regression model predicting 28-day mortality for all patients

	Non-Septic (N=2191) N (%)	**Septic** (N=1369) N (%)
Severly Supressed LVEF (<35%)	398 (18.17)	272 (19.87)*
Mildly Supressed LVEF (<55%)	547 (24.97)	260 (18.99)*
Normal LVEF (>55%)	1144 (52.21)	742 (54.20)*
Hyperdynamic LVEF (>75%)	102 (4.66)	95 (6.94)*

Table 3: Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction Characteristics for septic patients

	Hazard ratio (95% Confidence Interval)	**P-value**
Age	1.0072 (0.9899,0.9957)	**<0.001**
Gender (Male)	0.9878 (0.9182,1.1163)	0.2
Elixhauser Score	1.0157 (0.9746,0.9946)	**<0.001**
SAPS-I	0.9685 (0.9279,1.1489)	0.6
Vasopressor	0.9921 (0.8466,1.2000)	0.1
HDLVEF	1.0348 (0.9562,0.9766)	**<0.001**

Table 4: Multivariate Cox Hazard model predicting one-year mortality for all 28-day survivors