Item 24: Distinguish universal references from rvalue references.

It's been said that the truth shall set you free, but under the right circumstances, a well-chosen lie can be equally liberating. This Item is such a lie. Because we're dealing with software, however, let's eschew the word "lie" and instead say that this Item comprises an "abstraction."

To declare an rvalue reference to some type T, you write T&&. It thus seems reasonable to assume that if you see "T&&" in source code, you're looking at an rvalue reference. Alas, it's not quite that simple:

```
void f(Widget&& param);
                                   // rvalue reference
                                   // rvalue reference
Widget&& var1 = Widget();
auto&& var2 = var1;
                                   // not rvalue reference
template<tvpename T>
void f(std::vector<T>&& param);  // rvalue reference
template<typename T>
                                   // not rvalue reference
void f(T&& param);
```

In fact, "T&&" has two different meanings. One is rvalue reference, of course. Such references behave exactly the way you expect: they bind only to rvalues, and their primary raison d'être is to identify objects that may be moved from.

The other meaning for "T&&" is either rvalue reference or lvalue reference. Such references look like rvalue references in the source code (i.e., "T&&"), but they can behave as if they were lyalue references (i.e., "T&"). Their dual nature permits them to bind to rvalues (like rvalue references) as well as lvalues (like lvalue references). Furthermore, they can bind to const or non-const objects, to volatile or non-volatile objects, even to objects that are both const and volatile. They can bind to virtually anything. Such unprecedentedly flexible references deserve a name of their own. I call them universal references.1

Universal references arise in two contexts. The most common is function template parameters, such as this example from the sample code above:

¹ Item 25 explains that universal references should almost always have std::forward applied to them, and as this book goes to press, some members of the C++ community have started referring to universal references as forwarding references.

```
template<typename T>
void f(T&& param);
                               // param is a universal reference
```

The second context is auto declarations, including this one from the sample code above:

```
// var2 is a universal reference
auto&& var2 = var1;
```

What these contexts have in common is the presence of type deduction. In the template f, the type of param is being deduced, and in the declaration for var2, var2's type is being deduced. Compare that with the following examples (also from the sample code above), where type deduction is missing. If you see "T&&" without type deduction, you're looking at an rvalue reference:

```
void f(Widget&& param);
                               // no type deduction;
                               // param is an rvalue reference
Widget&& var1 = Widget();
                               // no type deduction:
                               // var1 is an rvalue reference
```

Because universal references are references, they must be initialized. The initializer for a universal reference determines whether it represents an rvalue reference or an lvalue reference. If the initializer is an rvalue, the universal reference corresponds to an rvalue reference. If the initializer is an lvalue, the universal reference corresponds to an lvalue reference. For universal references that are function parameters, the initializer is provided at the call site:

```
template<typename T>
void f(T&& param);
                       // param is a universal reference
Widget w;
                       // lvalue passed to f; param's type is
f(w);
                       // Widget& (i.e., an lvalue reference)
f(std::move(w));
                       // rvalue passed to f; param's type is
                       // Widget&& (i.e., an rvalue reference)
```

For a reference to be universal, type deduction is necessary, but it's not sufficient. The form of the reference declaration must also be correct, and that form is quite constrained. It must be precisely "T&&". Look again at this example from the sample code we saw earlier:

```
template<tvpename T>
void f(std::vector<T>&& param); // param is an rvalue reference
```

When f is invoked, the type T will be deduced (unless the caller explicitly specifies it, an edge case we'll not concern ourselves with). But the form of param's type declaration isn't "T&&", it's "std::vector<T>&&". That rules out the possibility that param is a universal reference, param is therefore an rvalue reference, something that your compilers will be happy to confirm for you if you try to pass an Ivalue to f:

```
std::vector<int> v;
f(v);
                                   // error! can't bind lvalue to
                                   // rvalue reference
```

Even the simple presence of a const qualifier is enough to disqualify a reference from being universal:

```
template<typename T>
void f(const T&& param);
                                // param is an rvalue reference
```

If you're in a template and you see a function parameter of type "T&&", you might think you can assume that it's a universal reference. You can't. That's because being in a template doesn't guarantee the presence of type deduction. Consider this push_back member function in std::vector:

```
template<class T, class Allocator = allocator<T>> // from C++
class vector {
                                               // Standards
public:
                      要对vector中的元素进行(压入,弹出等)操作
 void push_back(T&& x); 必须对一个vector操作, 前必须先建立这个vector,
                      而建立这个vector就必须明确指定这个vector中要放的是什么
                      所以这里 压入T类型的元素时, T无需推导
};
```

push_back's parameter certainly has the right form for a universal reference, but there's no type deduction in this case. That's because push back can't exist without a particular vector instantiation for it to be part of, and the type of that instantiation fully determines the declaration for push_back. That is, saying

```
std::vector<Widget> v;
```

<u>causes</u> the std::vector template to be instantiated as follows:

```
class vector<Widget, allocator<Widget>> {
public:
  void push_back(Widget&& x);
                                          // rvalue reference
      在push_back(T&&)执行前, T已经被指定了, 所以这么并没有发生类型推导
}:
```

Now you can see clearly that push_back employs no type deduction. This push_back for vector<T> (there are two—the function is overloaded) always declares a parameter of type rvalue-reference-to-T.

In contrast, the conceptually similar emplace_back member function in std::vec tor *does* employ type deduction:

```
template<class T, class Allocator = allocator<T>> // still from
class vector {
                                                   // C++
public:
                                                   // Standards
 template <class... Args>
 void emplace_back(Args&&... args);
};
```

Here, the type parameter Args is independent of vector's type parameter T, so Args must be deduced each time emplace back is called. (Okay, Args is really a parameter pack, not a type parameter, but for purposes of this discussion, we can treat it as if it were a type parameter.)

The fact that emplace_back's type parameter is named Args, yet it's still a universal reference, reinforces my earlier comment that it's the form of a universal reference that must be "T&&". There's no requirement that you use the name T. For example, the following template takes a universal reference, because the form ("type&&") is right, and param's type will be deduced (again, excluding the corner case where the caller explicitly specifies the type):

```
template<typename MyTemplateType>
                                         // param is a
void someFunc(MyTemplateType&& param);
                                        // universal reference
```

I remarked earlier that auto variables can also be universal references. To be more precise, variables declared with the type auto&& are universal references, because type deduction takes place and they have the correct form ("T&&"). auto universal references are not as common as universal references used for function template parameters, but they do crop up from time to time in C++11. They crop up a lot more in C++14, because C++14 lambda expressions may declare auto& parameters. For example, if you wanted to write a C++14 lambda to record the time taken in an arbitrary function invocation, you could do this:

```
auto timeFuncInvocation =
 [](auto&& func, auto&&... params)
                                                  // C++14
    start timer;
   std::forward<decltype(func)>(func)(
                                                 // invoke func
      std::forward<decltype(params)>(params)... // on params
    stop timer and record elapsed time;
 };
```

If your reaction to the "std::forward<decltype(blah blah blah)>" code inside the lambda is, "What the...?!", that probably just means you haven't yet read Item 33. Don't worry about it. The important thing in this Item is the auto&& parameters that

the lambda declares. func is a universal reference that can be bound to any callable object, Ivalue or rvalue. args is zero or more universal references (i.e., a universal reference parameter pack) that can be bound to any number of objects of arbitrary types. The result, thanks to auto universal references, is that timeFuncInvocation can time pretty much any function execution. (For information on the difference between "any" and "pretty much any," turn to Item 30.)

Bear in mind that this entire Item—the foundation of universal references—is a lie... er, an "abstraction." The underlying truth is known as reference collapsing, a topic to which Item 28 is dedicated. But the truth doesn't make the abstraction any less useful. Distinguishing between rvalue references and universal references will help you read source code more accurately ("Does that T&& I'm looking at bind to ryalues only or to everything?"), and it will avoid ambiguities when you communicate with your colleagues ("I'm using a universal reference here, not an rvalue reference..."). It will also allow you to make sense of Items 25 and 26, which rely on the distinction. So embrace the abstraction. Revel in it. Just as Newton's laws of motion (which are technically incorrect) are typically just as useful as and easier to apply than Einstein's theory of general relativity ("the truth"), so is the notion of universal references normally preferable to working through the details of reference collapsing.

Things to Remember

- If a function template parameter has type T&& for a deduced type T, or if an object is declared using auto&&, the parameter or object is a universal refer-
- If the form of the type declaration isn't precisely type&&, or if type deduction does not occur, type&& denotes an rvalue reference.
- Universal references correspond to rvalue references if they're initialized with rvalues. They correspond to lvalue references if they're initialized with lvalues.

Item 25: Use std::move on rvalue references, std::forward on universal references.

Rvalue references bind only to objects that are candidates for moving. If you have an rvalue reference parameter, you *know* that the object it's bound to may be moved:

```
class Widget {
 Widget(Widget&& rhs);
                            // rhs definitely refers to an
```