Mathematical Proofs in Complement of the Book

Principles of Abstract Interpretation

MIT Press, 2021

Patrick Cousot
New York University
December 29, 2020

1 Mathematical Proofs of Chapter 4

Proof of Lemma 4.18 The lemma trivially holds if escape[S] = ff. Otherwise escape[S] = tt and the proof is by induction on the distance $\delta(S)$ of S to the root of the abstract syntax tree of P (where $\delta(P) = 0$).

- For S1 ::= S1' S, δ (S1') = δ (S) = δ (S1)+1. So, in case escape [S1] = tt, we have break-to [S1] \neq after [S1] by induction hypothesis. By def. escape [S1] \triangleq escape [S1'] \vee escape [S], there are two subcases.
- If $S ::= if \ell(B) S_t$ then $escape[S_t] = escape[S] = tt$, $after[S_t] = after[S]$, $break-to[S_t] = break-to[S]$, and $break-to[S] \neq after[S]$ by induction hypothesis because $\delta(S_t) = \delta(S) + 1$, so $break-to[S_t] \neq after[S_t]$.
- The proof is similar for $S := if \ell (B) S_t else S_f and <math>S := \{ S1 \}.$

2 Mathematical Proofs of Chapter 41

```
Proof of theorem 41.24 • For the statement list S1 ::= S1' S, by (17.3) (following (6.13), and
      (6.14)), \text{ we have } \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \operatorname{Sl} \rrbracket = \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \operatorname{Sl}' \rrbracket \cup \{ \langle \pi_1, \pi_2 \widehat{\phantom{\alpha}}, \pi_3 \rangle \mid \langle \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \operatorname{Sl}' \rrbracket \wedge \langle \pi_1 \widehat{\phantom{\alpha}}, \pi_2, \pi_3 \rangle = (6.14) 
      \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket S \rrbracket \}.
      - A first case is when S1' = \epsilon is empty. Then,
             \alpha_{\text{use.mod}}^{\exists l} [\text{S1}] (\mathcal{S}^* [\text{S1}]) L_b, L_e
      = \left[ \left[ \left\{ \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{l} \left[ \epsilon \right] \right\} \right] L_{b}, L_{e} \left\langle \pi_{0}, \, \pi_{1} \right\rangle \mid \left\langle \pi_{0}, \, \pi_{1} \right\rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \left[ \left[ \epsilon \right] \right] \right]
                                                                                                                              = \bigcup \{\alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^l \ L_b, L_e \ \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \pi_1 \rangle \ | \ \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \pi_1 \rangle \ \in \ \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \ \epsilon \ \rrbracket \ \cup \ \{\langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \pi_2 \ \widehat{\tau} \ \pi_3 \rangle \ | \ \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \pi_2 \rangle \ \in \ \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \epsilon \ \rrbracket \}\} \text{(definition of } \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \epsilon \ \Xi \rrbracket \}
      = \left\{ \left\{ \alpha_{\mathsf{use},\mathsf{mod}}^{l} \ L_{b}, L_{e} \left\langle \pi_{0}, \ \pi_{1} \right\rangle \mid \left\langle \pi_{0}, \ \pi_{1} \right\rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{*}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!] \right\} \right\}
                          \langle (6.15) \text{ so that } \mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \epsilon \rrbracket = \{ \langle \pi_0 \text{at} \llbracket S \rrbracket, \text{ at} \llbracket S \rrbracket \rangle \mid \pi_0 \text{at} \llbracket S \rrbracket \in \mathbb{T}^+ \} \text{ and } \langle \pi_0 \text{at} \llbracket S \rrbracket, \text{ at} \llbracket S \rrbracket \rangle \in \mathbb{T}^+ \}
                               S^*[S] by (6.11)
      = \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} [S1] (\mathcal{S}^* [S]) L_b, L_e
                                                                                                                                                                      definition (41.3) of \alpha_{\text{use.mod}}^{\exists l} [S]
      = \alpha^{\exists l}_{\text{mod}} [\![ S ]\!] (S^* [\![ S ]\!]) L_b, L_e
                          (41.3) because after [S1] = after [S], escape [S1] = escape [S], and break-to [S1] = after [S1]
                              break-to [S] when S1' = \epsilon
      \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\exists \mathbb{I}}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!] L_b, L_e
                                                                                                                                                  induction hypothesis for theorem 41.24
      =\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\parallel} \mathbb{S} L_b, (\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\parallel} \mathbb{S} L_b, L_e)
                                                                                                                                                  The because \widehat{S}^{\parallel} [\![ \epsilon ]\!] L_b, L_e \triangleq L_e by (41.22)
      proving (41.22) when S1' = \epsilon.
      - A second case is when S = \{ \dots \{ \epsilon \} \dots \} is empty. Then, as required by (41.22), we have, by induction hypothesis, \alpha_{\mathtt{use},\mathtt{mod}}^{\exists l} [\![ S1 ]\!] L_b, L_e = \alpha_{\mathtt{use},\mathtt{mod}}^{\exists l} [\![ S1' ]\!] L_b, L_e \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\exists l} [\![ S1' ]\!] L_b, (\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\exists l} [\![ S]\!] L_b, L_e)
             \triangleq \widehat{S}^{\parallel} [S1] L_b, L_e \text{ because } \widehat{S}^{\parallel} [S] L_b, L_e = L_e \text{ when S is empty.}
      - Otherwise, S1' ≠ \epsilon and S ≠ { ... { \epsilon }... } so, by lemma 4.16, after S \notin in S. In that
             case, let us calculate
             \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} [S1] L_b, L_e
      = \left\{ \left\{ \alpha_{\mathsf{use},\mathsf{mod}}^{l} [\mathbb{S}1] \right\} L_{b}, L_{e} \langle \pi_{0}, \pi_{1} \rangle \mid \langle \pi_{0}, \pi_{1} \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{*} [\mathbb{S}1] \right\} \quad \text{definition (41.3) of } \alpha_{\mathsf{use},\mathsf{mod}}^{\exists l} [\mathbb{S}] \right\}
```

```
= \bigcup \{ \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, n-1] : \forall j \in [1, i-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_j]\!] \land \mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{use}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \} \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}1]\!]\!] \} \\ L_e \circ \varnothing \} \cup \{\![\mathsf{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}1]\!]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}1]\!]\!] \} \cup \{\![\mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_j]\!]\!] \land \pi_1 = \ell_1 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_1} \ell_2 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_{n-1}} \ell_n \}  (By lemma 41.8, omitting the useless parameters of use and mod)
```

- $= \bigcup \{\{\mathbf{x} \in V \mid \exists i \in [1, n-1] : \forall j \in [1, i-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \operatorname{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_j]\!] \land \mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{use}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!]\} \cup (\![\ell_n = \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] : L_e \otimes \varnothing) \cup (\![\operatorname{escape}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] \land \ell_n = \operatorname{break-to}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] : L_b \otimes \varnothing) \cup (\![\operatorname{escape}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] \land \ell_n = \operatorname{break-to}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] : L_b \otimes \varnothing) \cup (\![\operatorname{escape}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] \land \ell_n = \operatorname{break-to}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] : L_b \otimes \varnothing) \cup (\![\operatorname{escape}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] \land \ell_n = \operatorname{break-to}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] : L_b \otimes \varnothing) \cup (\![\operatorname{escape}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] \land \ell_n = \operatorname{break-to}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] : L_b \otimes \varnothing) \cup (\![\operatorname{escape}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] \land \ell_n = \operatorname{break-to}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] : L_b \otimes \varnothing) \cup (\![\operatorname{escape}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] \land \ell_n = \operatorname{break-to}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] : L_b \otimes \varnothing) \cup (\![\operatorname{escape}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] \land \ell_n = \operatorname{break-to}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] : L_b \otimes \varnothing) \cup (\![\operatorname{escape}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] \land \ell_n = \operatorname{break-to}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] : L_b \otimes \varnothing) \cup (\![\operatorname{escape}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] \land \ell_n = \operatorname{break-to}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] : L_b \otimes \varnothing) \cup (\![\operatorname{escape}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] \land \ell_n = \operatorname{break-to}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] : L_b \otimes \varnothing) \cup (\![\operatorname{escape}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] \land \ell_n = \operatorname{break-to}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] : L_b \otimes \varnothing) \cup (\![\operatorname{escape}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] \land \ell_n = \operatorname{break-to}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] : L_b \otimes \varnothing) \cup (\![\operatorname{escape}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] \land \ell_n = \operatorname{break-to}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] : L_b \otimes \varnothing) \cup (\![\operatorname{escape}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] \land \ell_n = \operatorname{break-to}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] : L_b \otimes \varnothing) \cup (\![\operatorname{escape}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] \land \ell_n = \operatorname{break-to}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] : L_b \otimes \varnothing) \cup (\![\operatorname{escape}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] \land \ell_n = \operatorname{break-to}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] : L_b \otimes \varnothing) \cup (\![\operatorname{escape}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] : L_b \otimes \varnothing) \cup (\![\operatorname{escape}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]]] : L_b \otimes \varnothing) \cup (\![\operatorname{escape}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] : L_b \otimes \varnothing) \cup (\![\operatorname{escape}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]] : L_b \otimes \varnothing)$
 - (definitions of $S^*[S1]$, after [S1] = after [S] in section 4.2.2, escape [S1] \triangleq escape $[S1'] \lor$ escape [S], and break-to [S1'] \triangleq break-to [S1] in section 4.2.4 \circlearrowleft

7 definition of \cup and definition of \in so $\langle \pi_0, \pi_1 \rangle = \langle \pi_0 \hat{\tau} \pi_2, \pi_2 \hat{\tau} \pi_3 \rangle$

- $= \bigcup \{ \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, n-1] : \forall j \in [1, i-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathtt{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_j]\!] \land \mathbf{x} \in \mathtt{use}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \} \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_e} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \otimes \varnothing \}\!] \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbb{$
- - ?— For the second term, if $\ell_n=$ break-to $\llbracket S1'\rrbracket=$ break-to $\llbracket S\rrbracket$ then \mathbf{a}_{n-1} is a break, so escape $\llbracket S\rrbracket$ holds. L_b is included in $\llbracket \operatorname{escape} \llbracket S\rrbracket \land \ell_n=$ break-to $\llbracket S\rrbracket \ncong L_b \circledast \varnothing \rrbracket$ and so $\llbracket \operatorname{escape} \llbracket S1'\rrbracket \land \ell_n=$ break-to $\llbracket S1'\rrbracket \ncong L_b \circledast \varnothing \rrbracket$ is redundant. Moreover, $\pi_2 \curvearrowright \pi_3=$ $\ell_1 \xrightarrow{a_1} \ell_2 \xrightarrow{a_2} \ldots \xrightarrow{a_{n-1}} \ell_n$ is decomposed into $\pi_2=\ell_1 \xrightarrow{a_1} \ell_2 \xrightarrow{a_2} \ldots \xrightarrow{a_{m-1}} \ell_n$ and $\pi_3=\ell_m \xrightarrow{a_m} \ell_{m+1} \xrightarrow{a_{m+1}} \ldots \xrightarrow{a_{n-1}} \ell_n$ where, by $\langle \pi_0,\pi_2 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^+ \llbracket S1' \rrbracket$ and $\langle \pi_0 \curvearrowright \pi_2,\pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^+ \llbracket S1' \rrbracket$ and $\langle \pi_0 \curvearrowright \pi_2,\pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^+ \llbracket S1' \rrbracket$ and $\langle \pi_0 \curvearrowright \pi_2,\pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^+ \llbracket S1' \rrbracket$ and $\langle \pi_0 \curvearrowright \pi_2,\pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^+ \llbracket S1' \rrbracket$ are after $\llbracket S1' \rrbracket = \operatorname{at} \llbracket S \rrbracket$. Moreover, $\pi_0 \curvearrowright \pi_2$ is generalized to π_0' (whence inclusion) and π_2 is renamed into π_1 . \int

```
= \bigcup \{ \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \forall j \in [1, i-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_j]\!] \land \mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{use}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \} \cup [\![\mathsf{escape}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \ell_m = \mathsf{break-to}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \ ? \ L_b \otimes \varnothing) \} \mid \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_1 = \ell_1 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_1} \ell_2 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_{m-1}} \ell_m \} \cup \bigcup \{ \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [m, n-1] : \forall j \in [1, i-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_j]\!] \land \mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{use}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \} \cup [\![\ell_n = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \ ? \ L_e \otimes \varnothing) \} \cup [\![\mathsf{escape}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \ ? \ L_b \otimes \varnothing) \} \mid \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^+[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \langle \pi_0', \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \land \pi_1 = \ell_1 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_1} \ell_2 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_{m-1}} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_3
```

(because the case $i \in [1, m-1]$ of the second term is already incorporated in the first term (

 $=\bigcup\{\{\mathbf{x}\in \mathbb{V}\mid \exists i\in [1,m-1]: \forall j\in [1,i-1]: \mathbf{x}\notin \mathbf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_j]\!] \land \mathbf{x}\in \mathbf{use}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!]\} \cup \{\ell_m=\mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}1']\!]\ ?\in \{\{\mathbf{x}\in \mathbb{V}\mid \exists i\in [m,n-1]: \forall j\in [1,i-1]: \mathbf{x}\notin \mathbf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_j]\!] \land \mathbf{x}\in \mathbf{use}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!]\} \cup \{\ell_n=\mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]\ ?\in L_e\ :\varnothing\]\}\cup \{\ell_n=\mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]\ ?\in L_b\ :\varnothing\]$

(incorporating the second term in the first term, in case $\ell_m = \operatorname{after}[\operatorname{S1}']$)

$$\begin{split} &\subseteq \bigcup \{ \{\mathbf{x} \in V \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \forall j \in [1, i-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathbf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_j]\!] \land \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{use}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \} \cup (\ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}1']\!] ? \\ & (\bigcup \{ \{\mathbf{x} \in V \mid \exists i \in [m, n-1] : \forall j \in [m, i-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathbf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_j]\!] \land \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{use}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \} \cup (\ell_n = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] ? \\ & L_e : \varnothing) \cup (\{\mathbf{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] ? L_b : \varnothing) \mid \langle \pi_0', \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m + \ell_m$$

 $= \bigcup \{ \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \forall j \in [1, i-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_j]\!] \land \mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{use}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \} \cup [\![\ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}1']\!]\!] ? (\bigcup \{\alpha_{\mathsf{use},\mathsf{mod}}^l[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] L_b, L_e \ \langle \pi_0', \pi_3 \rangle \mid \langle \pi_0', \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \}) \otimes \emptyset \} \cup [\![\mathsf{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}1']\!]\!] \land \ell_m = \mathsf{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}1']\!] ? L_b \otimes \emptyset \} \mid \langle \pi_0, \pi_1 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}1']\!] \land \pi_1 = \ell_1 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_1} \ell_2 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_{m-1}} \ell_m \} ? \mathsf{lemma} \ 41.8$

 $\subseteq \bigcup \{\alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} \llbracket \texttt{Sl'} \rrbracket \; L_b, (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^{\texttt{ll}} \llbracket \texttt{S} \rrbracket \; L_b, L_e) \; \langle \pi_0, \; \pi_1 \rangle \; | \; \langle \pi_0, \; \pi_1 \rangle \; \in \; \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}} \; {}^* \llbracket \texttt{Sl'} \rrbracket \}$

7 lemma 41.8 and (41.3) \

 $= \alpha_{\mathsf{use},\mathsf{mod}}^{\exists l} [\mathsf{S1}'] (\mathcal{S}^*[\mathsf{S1}']) L_b, (\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\exists l}[\mathsf{S}] L_b, L_e) \qquad \qquad \text{(definition (41.3) of } \alpha_{\mathsf{use},\mathsf{mod}}^{\exists l}]$

 $\subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\exists \parallel} \llbracket \operatorname{Sl}' \rrbracket L_h, (\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\exists \parallel} \llbracket \operatorname{S} \rrbracket L_h, L_e)$

 $\text{$\langle$ induction hypothesis of theorem 41.24: $\alpha_{\tt use,mod}^{\exists l}[\mathtt{Sl'}](\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*[\mathtt{Sl'}])$ L_b, $(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\exists l}[\mathtt{S}], L_b, L_e)$ $\subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\exists l}[\mathtt{Sl'}] L_b$, $(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\exists l}[\mathtt{S}], L_b, L_e)$ $\cap \mathcal{S}^{\exists l}[\mathtt{Sl'}]$ $\cap \mathcal{S}^{\exists l}[\mathtt{S}] L_b$, $\mathcal{S}^{\exists l}[\mathtt{S}], L_b$, $\mathcal{S}^{\exists l}[\mathtt{S}],$

• For the *empty statement list* $S1 := \epsilon$, we have $\mathcal{S}^*[S1] = \{\langle \pi_0 \ell, \ell \rangle\}$ by (6.15), where $\ell = \mathsf{at}[S1]$ and so

$$\begin{split} &\alpha^{\exists l}_{\texttt{use}, \texttt{mod}} \llbracket \texttt{S1} \rrbracket \ (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^* \llbracket \texttt{S1} \rrbracket) \ L_b, L_e \\ &= \bigcup \{ \alpha_{\texttt{use}, \texttt{mod}}^l \llbracket \texttt{S1} \rrbracket \ L_b, L_e \ \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \rangle \ | \ \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \rangle \ \in \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^* \llbracket \texttt{S1} \rrbracket \} \\ &= \bigcup \{ \alpha_{\texttt{use}, \texttt{mod}}^l \llbracket \texttt{S1} \rrbracket \ L_b, L_e \ \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \rangle \ | \ \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \rangle \ \in \ \{ \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ \ell \rangle \} \} \\ &= \alpha_{\texttt{use}, \texttt{mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \texttt{S1} \rrbracket \ L_b, L_e \ \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ \ell \rangle \\ &= \{ x \in V \ | \ (\ell = \texttt{after} \llbracket \texttt{S1} \rrbracket \land x \in L_e) \lor (\texttt{escape} \llbracket \texttt{S1} \rrbracket \land \ell = \texttt{break-to} \llbracket \texttt{S1} \rrbracket \land x \in L_b) \} \end{aligned} \tag{41.3}$$

Proof of Theorem 41.27 The proof is by structural induction and essentially consists of applying De Morgan's laws for the complement. For example,

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\,\forall d} & \text{ [if (B) } S_t \text{] } D_b, D_e \\ &= \neg \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\,\exists l} \text{[if (B) } S_t \text{] } \neg D_b, \neg D_e \\ &= \neg (\text{use} \text{[B]} \cup \neg D_e \cup \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\,\exists l} \text{[S}_t \text{] } \neg D_b, \neg D_e) \\ &= \neg \text{ use} \text{[B]} \cap \neg \neg D_e \cap \neg \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\,\exists l} \text{[S}_t \text{] } \neg D_b, \neg D_e) \\ &= \neg \text{ use} \text{[B]} \cap D_e \cap \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\,\forall d} \text{[S}_t \text{] } D_b, \neg D_e) \\ &= \neg \text{ use} \text{[B]} \cap D_e \cap \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\,\forall d} \text{[S}_t \text{] } D_b, D_e \\ \end{split}$$
 (structural induction hypothesis) All other cases are similar.

3 Mathematical Proofs of Chapter 44

Proof of theorem 44.38 • In case (44.41) of an empty temporal specification ε , we have

$$\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}[S] \langle \underline{\varrho}, \varepsilon \rangle
\triangleq \mathcal{M}^{\dagger}(\underline{\varrho}, \varepsilon) (\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{s}^{*}[S])
= \{\langle \pi, R' \rangle \mid \pi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{s}^{*}[S] \land \langle tt, R' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \rho, \varepsilon \rangle \pi\}
= \{\langle \pi, \varepsilon \rangle \mid \pi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{s}^{*}[S]\}
\triangleq \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\dagger}[S] \langle \varrho, \varepsilon \rangle$$

$$(44.26)$$

$$(44.25)$$

$$(44.25)$$

$$(44.24)$$

$$(44.24)$$

$$(44.21)$$

• In case (44.43) of an empty statement list S1 ::= ϵ

$$\mathcal{M}^{\dagger} [S1] \langle \underline{\varrho}, R \rangle$$

$$= \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} \langle \underline{\varrho}, R \rangle (\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{s}^{*} [S1]) \qquad (44.26)$$

$$= \{ \langle \pi, R' \rangle \mid \pi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{s}^{*} [S1] \land \langle tt, R' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \varrho, R \rangle \pi \}$$

$$(44.25)$$

```
 = \left\{ \langle \pi, \mathbf{R}' \rangle \mid \pi \in \left\{ \langle \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathbf{S1}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} \mathbf{v} \right\} \wedge \langle \mathsf{tt}, \, \mathbf{R}' \rangle = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathbf{R} \rangle \pi \right\} \qquad (42.10)   = \left\{ \langle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathbf{S1}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathbf{R}' \rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} \mathbf{v} \wedge \langle \mathsf{tt}, \, \mathbf{R}' \rangle = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathbf{R} \rangle (\langle \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathbf{S1}]\!], \, \rho \rangle) \right\} \qquad (\mathsf{definition of } \in \mathcal{S})   = \left\{ \langle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathbf{S1}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathbf{R}' \rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} \mathbf{v} \wedge \langle \mathsf{L} : \, \mathsf{B}, \, \mathbf{R}' \rangle = \mathsf{fstnxt}(\mathsf{R}) \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \langle \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathbf{S1}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^r [\![ \mathsf{L} : \, \mathsf{B}]\!] \right\}   \qquad \qquad (\langle 44.24) \text{ with } \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathbf{R}' \rangle \Rightarrow = \langle \mathsf{tt}, \, \mathbf{R}' \rangle \mathcal{S}   = \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}}^{\dagger} [\![ \mathsf{S1}]\!] \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle \qquad \qquad (\langle 44.43) \mathcal{S}   \qquad \qquad \qquad (\langle 44.44 \rangle) \text{ of a skip statement } \mathcal{S} ::= ;   \qquad \qquad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\dagger} [\![ \mathsf{S1}]\!] \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle \qquad \qquad (\langle 44.26 \rangle) \text{ and } (\langle 44.25 \rangle) \mathcal{S}
```

$$= \left\{ \langle \pi, \, \mathbf{R}' \rangle \; \middle| \; \pi \in \left\{ \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \; \middle| \; \rho \in \mathbb{E} \mathbf{v} \right\} \wedge \langle \mathsf{tt}, \; \mathbf{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \varrho, \, \mathbf{R} \rangle \pi \right\}$$

$$= \big\{ \langle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \; \big| \; \rho \in \mathbb{E} \forall \, \wedge \, \langle \mathsf{tt}, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \varrho, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle (\langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle) \big\} \qquad \qquad \big(\mathsf{definition of} \, \in \, \big)$$

$$= \left\{ \left\langle \left\langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \right\rangle, \, \mathsf{R}' \right\rangle \, \middle| \, \rho \in \mathbb{E} \mathsf{v} \wedge \left\langle \mathsf{L} \, : \, \mathsf{B}, \, \mathsf{R}' \right\rangle = \mathsf{fstnxt}(\mathsf{R}) \wedge \left\langle \varrho, \, \left\langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \right\rangle \right\rangle \in \mathcal{S}^\mathsf{r}[\![\mathsf{L} \, : \, \mathsf{B}]\!] \right\}$$

• In case (44.49) of an iteration statement $S ::= \text{while } \ell$ (B) S_b , we apply corollary 18.33 so we have to calculate the abstract transformer that satisfies the commutation property for an iterate X of the concrete transformer $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{S}}^* \llbracket S \rrbracket$ (which traces must be of the form $\pi \langle \text{at} \llbracket S \rrbracket, \rho \rangle$).

$$\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle \varrho, R \rangle (\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{S}}^* \llbracket S \rrbracket X)$$

$$= \mathcal{M}^{+}\langle \underline{\rho}, \mathbb{R}\rangle(\{\langle \ell, \rho \rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} \mathbf{v}\} \cup \{\pi_{2}\langle \ell', \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!], \rho \rangle \mid \pi_{2}\langle \ell', \rho \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \rho = \mathsf{ff} \land \ell' = \ell\} \cup \{\pi_{2}\langle \ell', \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_{b}]\!], \rho \rangle \cdot \pi_{3} \mid \pi_{2}\langle \ell', \rho \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \rho = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_{b}]\!], \rho \rangle \cdot \pi_{3} \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{*}_{\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathbb{S}_{b}]\!] \land \ell' = \ell\})$$

$$(42.6)$$

$$= \mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathbf{R} \rangle (\{ \langle \ell, \, \rho \rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E}\mathbf{v} \}) \cup \mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathbf{R} \rangle (\{ \pi_2 \langle \ell', \, \rho \rangle \langle \, \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \mid \pi_2 \langle \ell', \, \rho \rangle \in X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \, \rho = \\ \text{ff} \wedge \ell' = \ell \}) \cup \mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathbf{R} \rangle (\{ \pi_2 \langle \ell', \, \rho \rangle \langle \, \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \cdot \pi_3 \mid \pi_2 \langle \ell', \, \rho \rangle \in X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \, \rho = \\ \text{tt} \wedge \langle \, \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \cdot \pi_3 \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*_{\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ell' = \ell \}) \\ \langle \, \mathsf{Galois} \, \mathsf{connection} \, (44.30), \, \mathsf{so} \, \mathsf{that}, \, \mathsf{by} \, \mathsf{lemma} \, 11.37, \, \mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathbf{R} \rangle \, \mathsf{preserves} \, \mathsf{joins} \rangle$$

To avoid repeating (44.41), we assume that $R \notin \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}$ so we can let $\langle L' : B', R' \rangle = fstnxt(R)$. There

are three subcases.

— The first case is that of an observation of the execution that stops at loop entry $\ell = at ||S||$.

$$\begin{split} & \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{+}\langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathbf{R}\rangle(\{\langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho\rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} \mathbf{v}\} \\ &= \, \{\langle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho\rangle, \, \mathbf{R}'\rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} \mathbf{v} \wedge \langle \mathbf{L}' \, : \, \mathbf{B}', \, \mathbf{R}'\rangle = \mathsf{fstnxt}(\mathbf{R}) \wedge \langle \varrho, \, \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho\rangle\rangle \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^r[\![\mathbf{L}' \, : \, \mathbf{B}']\!] \} \end{split}$$

This is similar to the previous proof, for example, of (44.44) for a skip statement, and we get

— The second case is that of the loop exit

```
\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle \varrho, \, \mathbb{R} \rangle (\{\pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![ \mathbb{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{after}[\![ \mathbb{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \mid \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![ \mathbb{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \in X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![ \mathbb{B}]\!] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \})
```

- $= \left\{ \langle \pi, \mathbf{R}' \rangle \mid \pi \in \left\{ \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle \middle| \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathsf{ff} \right\} \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, \mathbf{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathbf{R} \rangle \pi \right\}$ (44.25)
- $= \{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle, \; \mathsf{R}' \rangle \; | \; \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \; \rho = \mathsf{ff} \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, \; \mathsf{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \; \mathsf{R} \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \}$ $\text{? definition of } \in \widehat{\mathsf{S}}$
- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle, \; \mathsf{R}' \rangle \; \middle| \; \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \; \rho = \mathsf{ff} \land \exists \mathsf{R}'' \in \mathcal{R} \; . \; \mathcal{M}^t \langle \varrho, \mathsf{R} \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \rangle = \langle \mathsf{tt}, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \land \mathcal{M}^t \langle \varrho, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \langle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \rangle = \langle \mathsf{tt}, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \right\} \langle \mathsf{lemma} \; 44.37 \rangle$
- $= \{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[S]], \, \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[S]], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[S]], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \{ \langle \pi, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \mid \pi \in X \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathsf{R} \rangle \rangle \mid \mathsf{R} \rangle \rangle \rangle = \langle \mathsf{tt}, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \}$

 $\{X \text{ is an iterate of the concrete transformer } \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{S}}^* [\![S]\!] \text{ so its traces must be of the form } \pi \langle \operatorname{at}[\![S]\!], \rho \rangle \}$

- $= \{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[S]], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{after}[S]], \, \rho \rangle, \, R' \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[S]], \, \rho \rangle, \, R'' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B]] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal{A}[B] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathcal$
- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle, \; \varepsilon \rangle \; | \; \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle, \; \varepsilon \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \; \operatorname{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \; \rho = \operatorname{ff} \right\} \cup \\ \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle, \; \operatorname{R}' \rangle \; | \; \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle, \; \operatorname{R}'' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \; \operatorname{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \; \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \operatorname{R}'' \notin \\ \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon} \wedge \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \varrho, \; \operatorname{R}'' \rangle \langle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle) = \langle \operatorname{tt}, \; \operatorname{R}' \rangle \right\}$

(case analysis and $\mathcal{M}^t \langle \varrho, \varepsilon \rangle \pi \triangleq \langle \mathsf{tt}, \varepsilon \rangle$ in (44.24)

- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![S]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{after}[\![S]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \varepsilon \rangle \, \, \big| \, \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![S]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \varepsilon \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^+ \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![B]\!] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \right\} \cup \\ \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![S]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{after}[\![S]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \varepsilon \rangle \, \, \big| \, \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![S]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, R'' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^+ \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![B]\!] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge R'' \notin \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \wedge \langle L' : B', \, R' \rangle = \operatorname{fstnxt}(R'') \wedge R' \in \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \langle \operatorname{at}[\![S]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r[\![L' : B']\!] \right\} \cup \\ \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![S]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{after}[\![S]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, R'' \rangle \, \, \big| \, \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![S]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, R'' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^+ \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![B]\!] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge R'' \notin \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \wedge \langle L' : B', \, R''' \rangle = \operatorname{fstnxt}(R''') \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \langle \operatorname{at}[\![S]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r[\![L' : B']\!] \wedge R''' \notin \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \wedge \langle L'' : B'', \, R''' \rangle = \operatorname{fstnxt}(R''') \wedge \langle \varrho, \, \langle \operatorname{after}[\![S]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r[\![L'' : B']\!] \right\}$
 - (because ($\langle \mathsf{tt}, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \varrho, \, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle (\langle \mathsf{at}[\![S]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!], \, \rho \rangle)) \Leftrightarrow (\langle \mathsf{L}' : \mathsf{B}', \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle = \mathsf{fstnxt}(\mathsf{R}'') \wedge \mathsf{R}' \in \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon} \wedge \langle \varrho, \, \langle \mathsf{at}[\![S]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r[\![\mathsf{L}' : \mathsf{B}']\!]) \vee (\langle \mathsf{L}' : \mathsf{B}', \, \mathsf{R}''' \rangle = \mathsf{fstnxt}(\mathsf{R}'') \wedge \langle \varrho, \, \langle \mathsf{at}[\![S]\!], \, \rho \rangle) \in \mathcal{S}^r[\![\mathsf{L}' : \mathsf{B}']\!] \wedge \mathsf{R}''' \notin \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon} \wedge \langle \mathsf{L}'' : \mathsf{B}'', \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle = \mathsf{fstnxt}(\mathsf{R}''') \wedge \langle \varrho, \, \langle \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!], \, \rho \rangle) \in \mathcal{S}^r[\![\mathsf{L}'' : \mathsf{B}'']\!]) \text{ as shown previously while proving the second term in case (44.46) of a conditional statement <math>\mathsf{S} ::= \mathsf{if} \ \ell \ (\mathsf{B}) \ \mathsf{S}_t \rangle$
- The third and last case is that of an iteration executing the loop body.

 $\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R}\rangle(\{\pi_2\langle\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho\rangle\langle\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho\rangle \cdot \pi_3 \mid \pi_2\langle\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho\rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \, \rho = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho\rangle \pi_3 \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*_{\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \})$

```
= \{\langle \pi, R' \rangle \mid \pi \in \{\pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[S], \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[S_b], \rho \rangle \pi_3 \mid \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[S], \rho \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[B] \rho = \operatorname{tt} \land \langle \operatorname{at}[S_b], \rho \rangle \pi_3 \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{S}}^*[S_b] \} \land \langle \operatorname{tt}, R' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \rho, R \rangle \pi \}
(44.25)
```

- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!], \; \rho \rangle \pi_3, \; \mathbb{R}' \rangle \; \middle| \; \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \; \rho = \operatorname{tt} \land \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!], \; \rho \rangle \pi_3 \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{S}}^*[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!] \; \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, \; \mathbb{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \varrho, \; \mathbb{R} \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!], \; \rho \rangle \pi_3) \right\} \qquad \text{(definition of } \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{S}}^*[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!] \; \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, \; \mathbb{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \varrho, \; \mathbb{R} \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!], \; \rho \rangle \pi_3)$
- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \rho \rangle \pi_3, \mathbf{R}' \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle, \mathbf{R}'' \rangle \in \left\{ \langle \pi, \mathbf{R}'' \rangle \mid \pi \in X \land \langle \operatorname{tt}, \mathbf{R}'' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathbf{R} \rangle \pi_1 \rangle \right\} \\ + \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \rho = \operatorname{tt} \land \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \rho \rangle \pi_3 \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^* [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \land \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathbf{R}'' \rangle (\langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \rho \rangle \pi_3) = \langle \operatorname{tt}, \mathbf{R}' \rangle \}$

(definition of \in and X is an iterate of the concrete transformer $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{S}}^* [\![S]\!]$ so its traces must be of the form $\pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![S]\!], \rho \rangle \rangle$

- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[S], \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[S_b], \rho \rangle \pi_3, R' \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[S], \rho \rangle, R'' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} \langle \underline{\varrho}, R \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B] \rho = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \langle \operatorname{at}[S_b], \rho \rangle \pi_3 \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*_{\mathbb{S}}[S_b] \wedge \mathcal{M}^t \langle \varrho, R'' \rangle \langle \langle \operatorname{at}[S], \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[S_b], \rho \rangle \pi_3) = \langle \operatorname{tt}, R' \rangle \right\}$ (44.25)
- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}], \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}_b], \rho \rangle \pi_3, \mathbb{R}' \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}], \rho \rangle, \mathbb{R}'' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathbb{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{B}] \rho = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}_b], \rho \rangle \pi_3 \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*_{\mathbb{S}}[\mathbb{S}_b] \wedge (\exists \mathbb{R}''' \in \mathbb{R} \cdot \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathbb{R}'' \rangle (\langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}], \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}_b], \rho \rangle) = \langle \operatorname{tt}, \mathbb{R}''' \rangle \wedge \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathbb{R}''' \rangle (\langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}_b], \rho \rangle \pi_3) = \langle \operatorname{tt}, \mathbb{R}' \rangle \rangle$ $\langle \operatorname{lemma} 44.37 \rangle$
- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \mathbb{R}' \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathbb{R}'' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^+ \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathbb{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \, \rho = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \exists \mathbb{R}''' \in \mathcal{R} \cdot \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \mathbb{R}' \rangle \in \left\{ \langle \pi, \, \mathbb{R}' \rangle \mid \, \pi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*_{\, \$}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!] \, \wedge \, \langle \operatorname{tt}, \, \mathbb{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathbb{R}''' \rangle \pi \right\} \wedge \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathbb{R}''' \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle = \langle \operatorname{tt}, \, \mathbb{R}''' \rangle \right\}$

(definition of $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{s}^*[S_b]$ in chapter 42 so that its traces must be of the form $\langle \operatorname{at}[S_b], \rho \rangle \pi_3 \rangle$

 $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}_b], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \mathbb{R}' \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathbb{R}'' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathbb{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{B}] \, \rho = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathbb{R}'' \rangle \langle \langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}_b], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \mathbb{R}' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} [\mathbb{S}_b] \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathbb{R}''' \rangle \right\} \\ \left. \langle (44.26) \, \operatorname{and} \, (44.25), \, \wedge \, \operatorname{commutative} \rangle \right\}$

There are two subcases depending on whether $\mathbb{R}'' \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}$ or not.

- If $R'' \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}$, then
- $=\left\{\left\langle\pi_{2}\langle\operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!],\,\rho\right\rangle\langle\operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\pi_{3},\,\varepsilon\right\}\,\big|\,\left\langle\pi_{2}\langle\operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!],\,\rho\right\rangle,\,\varepsilon\right\rangle\in\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\dagger}\langle\underline{\varrho},\,\mathbb{R}\rangle\boldsymbol{X}\wedge\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!]\,\rho=\operatorname{tt}\wedge\left\langle\operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}\,_{\mathbb{S}}^{*}[\![\mathbb{S}_{b}]\!]\right\}$

(because $R'' \in \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{t}\langle \underline{\varrho}, R'' \rangle (\langle \operatorname{at}[\![S]\!], \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!], \rho \rangle) = \langle \operatorname{tt}, R''' \rangle$ imply that $R''' = \varepsilon$ by (44.24) and so $\langle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!], \rho \rangle \pi_3, R' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^{+}[\![S_b]\!] \langle \underline{\varrho}, R''' \rangle = \{\langle \pi, \varepsilon \rangle \mid \pi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{s}^{*}[\![S_b]\!] \}$ by (44.26) and (44.25) implies $R' = \varepsilon$ and $\langle \operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!], \rho \rangle \pi_3 \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{s}^{*}[\![S_b]\!] \rangle$

- − Otherwise $\mathbb{R}'' \notin \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}$
- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}_b], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \mathbb{R}' \rangle \, \middle| \, \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathbb{R}'' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^+ \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathbb{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{B}] \, \rho = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \mathbb{R}'' \notin \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon} \wedge \langle \mathbb{L} : \, \mathbb{B}, \, \mathbb{R}'''' \rangle = \operatorname{fstnxt}(\mathbb{R}'') \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}], \, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r [\![\mathbb{L} : \, \mathbb{B}]\!] \wedge \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathbb{R}'''' \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle = \langle \operatorname{tt}, \, \mathbb{R}''' \rangle \wedge \langle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \mathbb{R}' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^+ [\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!] \langle \varrho, \, \mathbb{R}''' \rangle \right\}$

There are two subsubcases, depending on whether R'''' is empty or not.

- If $\mathbb{R}'''' \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}$ then, as shown before, $\mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathbb{R}'''' \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!], \ \rho \rangle = \langle \operatorname{tt}, \mathbb{R}''' \rangle$ implies that $\mathbb{R}''' \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}$ and so $\langle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!], \ \rho \rangle \pi_3$, $\mathbb{R}' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^{\dagger}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!] \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathbb{R}''' \rangle$ if and only if $\mathbb{R}' \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}$ and $\langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!], \ \rho \rangle \pi_3 \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_s^*[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!]$. We get
- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![S]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![S]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \varepsilon \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![S]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \operatorname{R}'' \rangle \in \mathscr{M}^+ \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \operatorname{R} \rangle \times \mathscr{R}[\![B]\!], \, \rho \rangle = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \operatorname{R}'' \notin \mathscr{R}_\varepsilon \wedge \langle \operatorname{L} : \operatorname{B}, \, \varepsilon \rangle = \operatorname{fstnxt}(\operatorname{R}'') \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \langle \operatorname{at}[\![S]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^r [\![\operatorname{L} : \operatorname{B}]\!] \wedge \langle \operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3 \in \widehat{\mathscr{S}}_{\,\mathbb{S}}^* [\![S_b]\!] \right\}$ $\left\{ \langle 44.24 \rangle \right\}$
- Otherwise \mathbb{R}'''' ∉ \mathbb{R}_{ε} .
- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!], \; \rho \rangle \pi_3, \; \mathbb{R}' \; \middle| \; \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle, \; \mathbb{R}'' \rangle \in \mathscr{M}^{\dagger} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \; \mathbb{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathscr{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \; \rho = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathbb{R}'' \notin \mathscr{R}_{\varepsilon} \wedge \langle \mathbb{L} \; : \; \mathbb{B}, \; \mathbb{R}'''' \rangle = \mathsf{fstnxt}(\mathbb{R}'') \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \; \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathscr{S}'[\![\mathbb{L} \; : \; \mathbb{B}]\!] \wedge \mathbb{R}'''' \notin \mathscr{R}_{\varepsilon} \wedge \mathscr{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \; \mathbb{R}'''' \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!], \; \rho \rangle = \langle \mathsf{tt}, \; \mathbb{R}''' \rangle \wedge \langle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!], \; \rho \rangle \pi_3, \; \mathbb{R}' \rangle \in \mathscr{M}^{\dagger} [\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!] \langle \varrho, \; \mathbb{R}''' \rangle \rangle$
- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![S]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \operatorname{R}' \rangle \, \middle| \, \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![S]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \operatorname{R}'' \rangle \in \mathscr{M}^{+} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \operatorname{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathscr{B}[\![B]\!] \, \rho = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \operatorname{R}'' \notin \mathscr{R}_{\varepsilon} \wedge \langle \operatorname{L} : \, \operatorname{B}, \, \operatorname{R}'''' \rangle = \operatorname{fstnxt}(\operatorname{R}'') \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \langle \operatorname{at}[\![S]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^{\mathsf{r}} [\![\operatorname{L} : \, \operatorname{B}]\!] \wedge \operatorname{R}'''' \notin \mathscr{R}_{\varepsilon} \wedge \langle \operatorname{L}' : \, \operatorname{B}', \, \operatorname{R}''' \rangle + \operatorname{R}'''' \rangle + \operatorname{R}''' \wedge \langle \operatorname{At}[\![S_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^{\mathsf{r}} [\![\operatorname{L}' : \, \operatorname{B}']\!] \wedge \langle \langle \operatorname{At}[\![S_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \operatorname{R}' \rangle \in \mathscr{M}^{+} [\![S_b]\!] \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \operatorname{R}''' \rangle \right\}$
- Grouping all cases together we get the term (44.50) defining $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}[\![S]\!]\langle \underline{\varrho}, R \rangle$ ($\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle \underline{\varrho}, R \rangle X$) and so corollary 18.33 and the commutation condition $\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle \underline{\varrho}, R \rangle (\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{S}}^*[\![S]\!](X)) = \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}[\![S]\!]\langle \underline{\varrho}, R \rangle$ ($\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle \underline{\varrho}, R \rangle (X)$) for the iterates X of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{S}}^*[\![S]\!]$ yield $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\dagger}[\![S]\!]\langle \underline{\varrho}, R \rangle \triangleq \mathsf{lfp}^c(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}[\![S]\!]\langle \underline{\varrho}, R \rangle)$ that is (44.49).
- In case (44.48) of a break statement $S := \ell$ break;

$$\mathcal{M}^{\dagger} \llbracket S \rrbracket \langle \varrho, R \rangle$$

- $= \{ \langle \pi, R' \rangle \mid \pi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{S}}^* [\![S]\!] \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, R' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \varrho, R \rangle \pi \}$ (44.26) and (44.25)
- $= \{\langle \pi, R' \rangle \mid \pi \in \{\langle \ell, \rho \rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} v\} \cup \{\langle \ell, \rho \rangle | \text{break-to}[S], \rho \rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} v\} \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, R' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\rho}, R \rangle \pi \}$? (42.14) ?
- $= \left\{ \langle \langle \ell, \rho \rangle, R'' \rangle \mid \langle \mathsf{tt}, R'' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\rho}, R \rangle \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \right\} \cup \left\{ \langle \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{break-to}[\![S]\!], \rho \rangle, R'' \rangle \mid \langle \mathsf{tt}, R'' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\rho}, R \rangle \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{break-to}[\![S]\!], \rho \rangle \right\}$ $\left\{ \mathsf{definitions} \ \mathsf{of} \cup \mathsf{and} \ \mathsf{e} \right\}$

```
 = \operatorname{let} \langle L : B, R' \rangle = \operatorname{fstnxt}(R) \operatorname{in} \left\{ \langle \langle \ell, \rho \rangle, R' \rangle \mid \langle \underline{\varrho}, \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r \llbracket L : B \rrbracket \right\} \cup \left\{ \langle \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{break-to} \llbracket S \rrbracket, \rho \rangle, \varepsilon \rangle \mid R' \in \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon} \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r \llbracket L : B \rrbracket \right\} \cup \left\{ \langle \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{break-to} \llbracket S \rrbracket, \rho \rangle, R'' \rangle \mid R' \notin \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon} \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r \llbracket L : B \rrbracket \wedge \langle L' : B', R'' \rangle = \operatorname{fstnxt}(R') \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \langle \operatorname{break-to} \llbracket S \rrbracket, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r \llbracket L' : B' \rrbracket \right\} 
 \left\{ R \notin \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}, \operatorname{case analysis on} R' \in \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}, \operatorname{and}(44.24) \right\} \quad \square
```

4 Mathematical Proofs of Chapter 47

Proof (47.47) There are three cases depending on whether the program label ℓ is at or after statement S, or in the true branch S_t.

```
— (1) — The cases \ell = \text{at}[S] was handled in (47.41) and \ell \notin \text{labx}[S] in (47.42).
  - (2) - Assume \ell = after [S].
            \alpha^{\text{d}}(\{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]\}) after[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]
 = \alpha^{d}(\{\mathcal{S}^* \llbracket S \rrbracket\}) \text{ after} \llbracket S \rrbracket
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      7lemma 47.23 \
  = \{ \langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \in \mathcal{D}(\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle \}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        \langle definition (47.25) of \alpha^d \rangle
 = \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0,\ \pi_1\rangle, \langle \pi_0',\ \pi_1'\rangle \in \mathbf{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ . \ (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0)\mathbf{z} = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0')\mathbf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!](\mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!])(\pi_0,\pi_1), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!](\mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!])(\pi_0',\pi_1'))\} \ \langle \mathsf{definition}\ (47.19)\ \mathsf{of}\ \mathcal{D}^{\ell}\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \rangle
=\{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle\ |\ \exists \langle \pi_0,\ \pi_1\rangle, \langle \pi_0',\ \pi_1'\rangle\ \in\ \{\langle \pi \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!],\ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathbb{B})}\ \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]\rangle\ |\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!]\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!])\ =\ \mathsf{ff}\}\ \cup\ \{\langle \pi \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!],\ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}}\ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]\boldsymbol{\pi}'\mathsf{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]\rangle\ |\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!]\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!])\ =\ \mathsf{tt}\ \wedge\ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]\boldsymbol{\pi}'\mathsf{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]\ \in\ \mathsf{tt}
                              \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket (\pi \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \  \  \, \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \  \  \, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) \} \quad . \quad (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \quad . \quad \varrho(\pi_0) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0') \mathbf{z}) \  \, \wedge \quad \, 
             diff(seqval[y](after[S])(\pi_0, \pi_1), seqval[y](after[S])(\pi_0', \pi_1'))\}
                                                                                                                                      \langle definition \text{ of } \mathbf{S}^* [S] \text{ in (6.9), (6.19), and (6.18) so that after } [S] = after [S_t] \rangle
 =\{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle\ \mid\ \exists \langle \pi_0,\ \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]\rangle, \langle \pi_0',\ \pi_1' \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]\rangle\ \in\ \{\langle \pi \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!],\ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]\rangle\ \neg(\mathsf{B})\}
                         \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{B}]\varrho(\pi \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}]) = \operatorname{ff} \cup \{\langle \pi \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}], \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}_t] \pi' \operatorname{after}[\mathbb{S}] \rangle \mid \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{B}]\varrho(\pi \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}]) = \operatorname{ff} \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{B}] \varrho(\pi \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}]) = \operatorname{ff} \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{B}] \varrho(\pi \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}])
                       \mathsf{tt} \, \wedge \, \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathbb{S}_t ]\!] \, \pi' \, \mathsf{after}[\![ \mathbb{S} ]\!] \, \in \, \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\, + \infty}[\![ \mathbb{S}_t ]\!] (\pi \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathbb{S} ]\!] \, \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \, \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathbb{S}_t ]\!]) \} \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}'\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} \, = \, \mathsf{zt}[\![ \mathbb{S}_t ]\!]) 
                  \varrho(\pi_0')z) \wedge \mathsf{diff}(\varrho(\pi_0 \widehat{\ } \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!])y, \ \varrho(\pi_0' \widehat{\ } \pi_1' \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!])y)\}
                              \langle \text{ definition of } \in \text{ so that } \pi_1 \text{ and } \pi' \text{ must end with after} [S] \text{ and definition (47.16) of seqval} [y] \rangle
 = \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \quad | \quad \exists \pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathbf{S}]\!] \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![ \mathbf{S}]\!], \pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathbf{S}]\!] \pi_1' \mathsf{after}[\![ \mathbf{S}]\!] \quad \in \quad \{\pi \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathbf{S}]\!] \quad \xrightarrow{\neg (B)} \quad \mathsf{after}[\![ \mathbf{S}]\!] \quad | \quad \mathsf{after}[\![
                           \mathcal{B}[\![\![\mathbb{S}]\!]\varrho(\pi\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]) \ = \ \mathsf{ff}\} \ \cup \ \{\pi\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ \xrightarrow{\ \ } \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]\pi'\mathsf{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \ | \ \mathcal{B}[\![\![\mathbb{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \ \mathsf{stat}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]
                   \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]\pi'\operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!](\pi\operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{z} = 0
                  \varrho(\pi_0' \operatorname{at}[S])z) \wedge \operatorname{diff}(\varrho(\pi_0 \operatorname{at}[S]\pi_1 \operatorname{after}[S])y, \varrho(\pi_0' \operatorname{at}[S]\pi_1' \operatorname{after}[S])y))
```

 $\langle definitions of \in and of trace concatenation \circ \rangle$

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \pi_1 \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket, \pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \pi_1' \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \in \{\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\neg (\mathbf{B})} \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \mid \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) = \mathrm{ff} \} \cup \{\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_1 \rrbracket \pi' \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \mid \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) = \mathrm{tt} \land \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_1 \rrbracket \pi' \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_1 \rrbracket (\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_1 \rrbracket) \} \land (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \cdot \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{z}) \land (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \pi_1 \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{y}) \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \pi_1' \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{y}) \}$$

$$\langle \mathrm{definition} (47.18) \mathrm{of} \mathrm{diff} \rangle$$

There are four subcases, depending upon which branch of the conditional is taken by the two executions π_0 at $[S]\pi_1$ after [S] and π_0 at $[S]\pi_1$ after [S].

- (2.a) - If both executions π_0 at $[S]\pi_1$ after [S] and π_0' at $[S]\pi_1'$ after [S] are through the false branch, we have,

 $(1) = \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathbf{B})} \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket, \pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathbf{B})} \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket . \quad \mathfrak{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) = \mathrm{ff} \land \\ \mathfrak{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) = \mathrm{ff} \land (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} . \quad \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{z}) \land (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathbf{B})} \\ \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathbf{B})} \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{y}) \}$ $\{ \operatorname{case} \mathfrak{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) = \mathrm{ff} \text{ and } \mathfrak{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) = \mathrm{ff} \}$

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] : \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{ff} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{ff} \land (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} : \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{y} \}$ $\langle \mathsf{definition} \ (6.6) \ \mathsf{of} \ \varrho \ \mathsf{so} \ \mathsf{that} \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathbf{B})} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{y} = \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \rangle$

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu : \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\![\![\![}\![\!]\!]\!] \rho = \mathrm{ff} \wedge \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\![\![\![\!]\!]\!]\!]}) \rho [\mathbf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \mathrm{ff} \wedge \rho(\mathbf{y}) \neq \rho[\mathbf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] \mathbf{y} \}$ $(\text{letting } \rho = \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\![\![\![\!]\!]\!]\!]), \ \nu = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\![\![\![\!]\!]\!]\!]) \mathbf{x}' \text{ so that } \forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} : \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\![\![\![\!]\!]\!]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\![\![\![\!]\!]\!]\!]) \mathbf{z} \text{ implies } \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\![\![\![\!]\!]\!]\!]) = \rho[\mathbf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] \text{ and, conversely exercise 6.8, so that any environment } \rho \text{ can be computed as the result } \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\![\![\![\![\!]\!]\!]\!]) \text{ of an appropriate initialization trace}_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\![\![\![\![\![\![\!]\!]\!]\!]\!]) (\text{otherwise, this is } \subseteq)))$

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{x}' \rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu \, . \, \rho(\mathbf{x}') \neq \nu \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \rho = \mathrm{ff} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \rho[\mathbf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \mathrm{ff} \}$ $(\text{because } \rho[\mathbf{x}' \leftarrow \nu](\mathbf{y}) = \rho(\mathbf{y}) \text{ when } \mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{x}' \}$ $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{x}' \rangle \mid \mathbf{x}' \in \mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathbb{B}, \neg \mathbb{B}) \}$ $(\text{definition of left restriction } | \}$ $\subseteq \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{V}}$

Described in words for that first case, the initial value of x' flows to the value of x' by the false branch of the conditional if (B) S_t when there are at least two different values of x' for which B is

false. (If there is only one, x' is constant on the false branch. This can be disproved by a constancy analysis [3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10] or a determinacy analysis [5, 8].) A classic coarser overapproximation is to ignore values, that is, that variables may have only one value making the test false.

- (2.b) - Else, if both executions π_0 at $[S]\pi_1$ after [S] and π_0 at $[S]\pi_1$ after [S] are through the true branch, we have,

(1)

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \ | \ \exists \pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \pi_1 \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket, \pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \pi_1' \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \in \{\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi' \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \ | \\ \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) = \mathrm{tt} \wedge \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi' \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket (\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) \} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ .$ $\varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{z}) \wedge (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \pi_1 \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \pi_1' \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{y}) \}$

 $(\text{case } \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{B}]\varrho(\pi_0 \text{at}[\mathbb{S}]) = \text{tt and } \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{B}]\varrho(\pi_0' \text{at}[\mathbb{S}]) = \text{ff})$

- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0', \pi_1' \ . \ \mathscr{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) = \mathrm{tt} \wedge \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] (\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \wedge \mathscr{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) = \mathrm{tt} \wedge \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1' \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] (\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \wedge (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \wedge (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1' \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{y}) \}$ $(\text{definition of } \in \mathcal{S})$
- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \ | \ \exists \langle \pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket,\ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_1 \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_2 \rangle, \langle \pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket,\ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_2 \rangle, \langle \pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket,\ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_2 \rangle, \langle \pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket,\ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket = \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \rangle = \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \times \mathsf{at} \rrbracket \times \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \times \mathsf{at} \rrbracket \times \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \times \mathsf{at} \rrbracket \times \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t$
- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket, \ \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_1 \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_2 \rangle, \langle \pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket, \\ \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_1' \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_2' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{+\infty} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket . \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathrm{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathrm{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathrm{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathrm{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathrm{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_1 \wedge \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket + \pi_1' \wedge \mathrm{after} + \pi_1' \wedge \mathrm{aft$
- $\hspace{0.1cm} \subseteq \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \bar{\pi}_{0}, \ \bar{\pi}_{1} \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!] \pi_{2} \rangle, \langle \bar{\pi}'_{0}, \ \bar{\pi}'_{1} \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!] \pi'_{2} \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!] \ . \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\bar{\pi}_{0}) = \mathrm{tt} \land (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\bar{\pi}_{0}) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\bar{\pi}'_{0}) \mathbf{z}) \land \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!] \notin \bar{\pi}_{1} \land \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!] \notin \bar{\pi}'_{1} \land \mathrm{diff}(\mathrm{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] (\mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!]) (\bar{\pi}'_{0} \widehat{} \widehat{}_{1} \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!], \ \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!] \pi_{2}), \ \mathrm{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] (\mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!]) (\bar{\pi}'_{0} \widehat{} \widehat{}_{1} \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!], \ \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!], \ \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!] \pi'_{2})) \}$
 - $\begin{array}{lll} \text{(letting $\bar{\pi}_0 = \pi_0$ at $\llbracket S \rrbracket$)} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} & \text{at } \llbracket S_t \rrbracket, \bar{\pi}_1 = \text{at } \llbracket S_t \rrbracket \pi_1, \bar{\pi}_0' = \pi_0' \text{at } \llbracket S \rrbracket & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} & \text{at } \llbracket S_t \rrbracket, \text{ and } \bar{\pi}_1' = \text{at } \llbracket S_t \rrbracket \pi_1' \\ \end{array}$

 $\hspace{0.1in} \subseteq \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu \ . \ \rho(\mathbf{x}') \neq \nu \land \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\![\![\![} \mathbb{B}]\!] \rho = \operatorname{tt} \land \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\![\![\!]\!] p[\mathbf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \operatorname{tt}\} \cap \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \bar{\pi}_{0},\ \bar{\pi}_{1} \text{after}[\![\![\![\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \rangle, \langle \bar{\pi}'_{0},\ \bar{\pi}'_{1} \text{after}[\![\![\![\![\![\!]\!] \pi'_{2}'\!] \rangle \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\![\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!]) \cdot (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\bar{\pi}_{0}) \mathbf{z} = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\bar{\pi}'_{0}) \mathbf{z}) \land \operatorname{after}[\![\![\![\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \bar{\pi}'_{1} \land \operatorname{after}[\![\![\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \bar{\pi}'_{2}] \cap \operatorname{after}[\![\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!]) \land \operatorname{after}[\![\![\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \bar{\pi}'_{1} \land \operatorname{after}[\![\![\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \bar{\pi}'_{2}] \cap \operatorname{after}[\![\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!]) \land \operatorname{after}[\![\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \operatorname{after}[\![\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \operatorname{after}[\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!]) \land \operatorname{after}[\![\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \operatorname{after}[\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \operatorname{after}[\![\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \operatorname{after}[\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \operatorname{after}[\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \operatorname{after}[\![\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \operatorname{after}[\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \operatorname{after}[\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \operatorname{after}[\![\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \operatorname{after}[\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \operatorname{after}[\![\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \operatorname{after}[\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \operatorname{after}[\![\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \operatorname{after}[\![\![\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \operatorname{after}[\![\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \operatorname{after}[\![\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \operatorname{after}[\![\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \operatorname{after}[\![\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \operatorname{after}[\![\![\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \operatorname{after}[\![\![\![\!]\!] \pi_{2}'\!] \cap \operatorname{after}[\![\![\![$

(letting
$$\rho = \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0)$$
 and $\nu = \varrho(\bar{\pi}'_0)(x')$)

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu : \rho(\mathbf{x}') \neq \nu \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho[\mathbf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \mathsf{tt}\} \cap \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \in \mathcal{D}(\mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle\}$$

$$(\mathsf{definition}(47.19) \mathsf{of} \mathcal{D}^{\ell} \langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle)$$

$$= \{ \langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu : \rho(\mathbf{x}') \neq \nu \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathbf{tt} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho[\mathbf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \mathbf{tt} \} \cap \alpha^{4}(\{\mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!]\}) \text{ after}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!] \}$$

$$\text{\widehat{d} definition of } \subseteq \text{ and definition (47.25) of } \alpha^{4} \}$$

Described in words for that second case, the initial value of x' flows to the value of y by the true branch of the conditional if (B) S_t when there are at least two different values of x' for which B is true and x' flows to the value of y in S_t .

$$\subseteq \widehat{\overline{S}}_{\text{diff}}^{\exists} [S_t] \text{ after} [S_t] \text{ nondet}(B, B)$$

(by structural induction hypothesis , definition (47.48) of nondet, and definition of the left restriction] of a relation in section 2.2.2 %

$$\subseteq \widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\text{diff}}^{\exists} [S_t]] \text{ after} [S_t]] \qquad \qquad \text{$(A \text{ coarse overapproximation ignoring values})}$$

-(2.c-d) Otherwise, one execution is through the true branch (let us denote it π_0 at $[S]\pi_1$ after [S]) and the other is through the false branch (let it be π'_0 at $[S]\pi'_1$ after [S]), we have (the other case is symmetric),

(1)

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \ | \ \exists \pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \pi_1 \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \in \{\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi' \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \ | \ \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) = \mathrm{tt} \land \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi' \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket (\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) \} \ . \ \exists \pi'_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \pi' \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \in \{\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathbf{B})} \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \mid \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) = \mathrm{ff} \} \ . \ (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi'_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{z}) \land (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \pi_1 \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi'_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \pi'_1 \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{y} \}$

$$\langle \text{case } \mathcal{B} [\![B]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \text{at} [\![S]\!]) = \text{tt and } \mathcal{B} [\![B]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \text{at} [\![S]\!]) = \text{ff} \rangle$$

- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' \ . \ \mathscr{B}[\![\!\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\!\mathbf{S}]\!]) = \mathrm{tt} \wedge \mathrm{at}[\![\!\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\!\mathbf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\!\mathbf{S}_t]\!] (\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\!\mathbf{S}]\!]) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\!\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \wedge \mathscr{B}[\![\!\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\!\mathbf{S}]\!]) = \mathrm{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\!\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\!\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \wedge (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\!\mathbf{S}]\!]) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\!\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\!\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\!\mathbf{S}]\!]) \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathrm{B})} \mathrm{after}[\![\!\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{y}) \}$ (definition of ϵ)
- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \bar{\pi}_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' \ . \ \mathcal{B}[\![\![\mathbb{B}]\!]\varrho(\bar{\pi}_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) = \mathrm{tt} \wedge \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]\pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!](\bar{\pi}_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\![\mathbb{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]) = \mathrm{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \wedge (\varrho(\bar{\pi}_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]) \mathbf{y} + \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]) \mathbf{y}\}$

```
\begin{array}{ll} \text{[letting $\bar{\pi}_0$at[S_t]] = $\pi_0$at[S]$} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} & \text{at}[S_t]$ so that by definition (6.6) of $\varrho$, $\varrho(\pi_0$at[S]) = $\varrho(\bar{\pi}_0$at[S_t])$ so $\mathcal{B}[B]\varrho(\pi_0$at[S]) = $\mathcal{B}[B]\varrho(\bar{\pi}_0$at[S_t])$ and $\varrho(\pi_0'$at[S])$ after[S])y$ = $\varrho(\pi_0'$at[S])y$
```

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \bar{\pi}_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' \ . \ \mathcal{B}[\![\!\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\!\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathrm{tt} \wedge \mathrm{at}[\![\!\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\!\mathbf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\!\mathbf{S}_t]\!] (\bar{\pi}_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\!\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\!\mathbf{S}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\!\mathbf{S}]\!]) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\!\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathrm{ft} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\!\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\!\mathbf{S}]\!]) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\!\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \wedge (\varrho(\bar{\pi}_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\!\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\!\mathbf{S}]\!]) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\!\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{y} \}$

 $\text{(by definition (6.6) of } \boldsymbol{\varrho} \text{ so that } \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\pi}_0' \text{ at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\pi}_0' \text{ at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \text{ at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \text{)}$

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' \ . \ (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \\ \mathrm{tt} \wedge \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathrm{ff} \wedge \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] (\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \wedge (\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{y} \neq \\ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{y} \}$

(letting π_0' at $[S_t] = \pi_0'$ at $[S] \xrightarrow{B}$ at $[S_t]$, commutativity of \land)

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}' \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' : (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} : \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land \mathfrak{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathfrak{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathsf{ft} \land \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] (\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \land (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{x}' \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{x}'\}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \cup \; \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\; \mathbf{y}\rangle \mid \mathbf{x}' \neq \; \mathbf{y} \wedge \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' \; . \; (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \\ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \; \mathrm{tt} \wedge \; \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \; \mathrm{ff} \wedge \; \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]\pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \in \; \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\; +\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!](\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \wedge \\ (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]\pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{y} \}$

? because when $x' \neq y$, $\varrho(\pi_0' \text{at}[S_t])y = \varrho(\pi_0 \text{at}[S_t])y$

Described in words for that third case, x' flows to x' if and only if changing x' changes the Boolean expression B, and when B is true, S_t changes x' to a value different from that when B is false. A counterexample is if (x' != 1) x' = 1;

Moreover, x' flows to $y \neq x'$ if and only if changing x' changes the Boolean expression B and when B is true, S_t changes y.

- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' \ . \ (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \\ \text{tt} \wedge \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \\ \text{ff} \wedge \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] (\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \wedge (\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{y} \neq \\ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{y} \} \qquad \qquad \text{(grouping cases together)}$
- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' \ . \ (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \\ \mathrm{tt} \land \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathrm{ff} \land \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] (\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \land (\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{y} \neq \\ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{y}\} \mid \mathrm{nondet}(\mathbf{B}, \neg \mathbf{B})$

(letting $\rho = \varrho(\pi_0 \operatorname{at}[S])$, $\nu = \varrho(\pi'_0 \operatorname{at}[S]) x'$ so that $\forall z \in V \setminus \{x'\}$. $\varrho(\pi_0 \operatorname{at}[S]) z = \varrho(\pi'_0 \operatorname{at}[S]) z$ implies $\varrho(\pi'_0 \operatorname{at}[S]) = \rho[x' \leftarrow \nu]$. It follows that $\exists \rho, \nu \cdot \rho(x') \neq \nu \land \mathfrak{B}[B] \rho = \operatorname{tt} \land \mathfrak{B}[B] \rho[x' \leftarrow \nu] = \operatorname{ff}$. Therefore, by definition (47.48) of nondet, $x' \in \operatorname{nondet}(B, \neg B)$

 $\subseteq \{\langle x', y \rangle \mid x' \in \mathsf{nondet}(B, \neg B) \land y \in \mathsf{mod}[S_t]\}$

```
(Because \{x \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1 : at[S] \mid \pi_1 \text{ after}[S] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*[S](\pi_0 \text{ at}[S]) \land \varrho(\pi_0 \text{ at}[S] \mid \pi_1 \text{ after}[S]) x \neq \varrho(\pi_0 \text{ at}[S])x\} \subseteq \text{mod}[S], a simple coarse approximation is to consider the variables y appearing to the left of an assignment in S_t, a necessary condition for y to be modified by the execution of S_t where the set \text{mod}[S] of variables that may be modified by the execution of S_t is syntactically defined as in (47.50). S_t
```

 $= \operatorname{nondet}(\mathbb{B}, \neg \mathbb{B}) \times \operatorname{mod}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \qquad \qquad \text{(definition of the Cartesian product)}$ $\subseteq \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \mathbf{x}' \in \mathbb{S}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \land \mathbf{y} \in \operatorname{mod}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]\}$

(nondet(B, \neg B) can be overapproximated by the set of variables x' occurring in the Boolean expression B as defined in exercise 3.3 $\$

Exercise 2 Prove that for all program components $S \in Pc$,

```
 \{\mathbf{x} \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1 \text{ . at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \pi_1 \text{ after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket (\pi_0 \text{ at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \land \\ \varrho(\pi_0 \text{ at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{x} \neq \varrho(\pi_0 \text{ at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{x} \} \subseteq \operatorname{mod} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket.   \Box   \Box
```

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \ | \ \exists \langle \pi_0,\ \pi_1\rangle, \langle \pi_0',\ \pi_1'\rangle \in \{\langle \pi \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!],\ \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \ \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \pi'^\ell \pi''\rangle \ | \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]) = \\ \text{tt } \wedge \ \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \pi'^\ell \pi'' \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] (\pi \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \ \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \} \ . \ (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0') \mathbf{z}) \wedge \\ \text{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbb{y}]\!]^\ell(\pi_0,\pi_1), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbb{y}]\!]^\ell(\pi_0',\pi_1')) \} \qquad \qquad \text{(definition (6.19) of } \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbb{S}]\!])$

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \ | \ \exists \langle \pi_0,\ \pi_1\rangle, \langle \pi_0',\ \pi_1'\rangle \in \{\langle \pi \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!],\ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \pi'^\ell \pi''\rangle \ | \ \mathscr{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]) = \\ \text{tt } \wedge \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \pi'^\ell \pi'' \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] (\pi \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \} \ . \ (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0') \mathbf{z}) \wedge \\ \text{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbb{y}]\!]^\ell(\pi_0,\pi_1), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbb{y}]\!]^\ell(\pi_0',\pi_1')) \}$

(because if $\langle \pi_0, \pi_1 \rangle$ (or $\langle \pi_0', \pi_1' \rangle$) has the form $\langle \pi \text{at}[S], \text{at}[S] \rangle \longrightarrow \text{after}[S] \rangle$ then ℓ does not appear in π_1 (resp. π_1') so that, by (47.16), seqval $[y]\ell(\pi_0, \pi_1) = \emptyset$ (resp. seqval $[y]\ell(\pi_0', \pi_1') = \emptyset$ and therefore, by (47.18), diff(seqval $[y]\ell(\ell)(\pi_0, \pi_1)$, seqval $[y]\ell(\ell)(\pi_0', \pi_1')$) is false \S

 $=\{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle\ |\ \exists \pi_0,\pi_1,\pi_2,\pi_0',\pi_1',\pi_2'\ .\ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0\mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!])=\mathrm{tt}\ \wedge\ \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]\pi_1^\ell\pi_2\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!](\pi_0\mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]\overset{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!])\}\wedge\mathcal{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0'\mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!])=\mathrm{tt}\ \wedge\ \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]\pi_1'^\ell\pi_2'\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!](\pi_0'\mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]\overset{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!])\wedge(\forall \mathbf{z}\in\mathcal{V}\setminus\{\mathbf{x}'\}).$ $\varrho(\pi_0\mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!])\mathbf{z}=\varrho(\pi_0'\mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!])\mathbf{z})\wedge^\ell\notin\pi_1\wedge^\ell\notin\pi_1'\wedge \mathrm{diff}(\mathrm{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!]\ell(\pi_0\mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]\overset{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]\pi_1^\ell,\ \ell\pi_2),$ $\mathrm{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!]\ell(\pi_0'\mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]\overset{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]\pi_1'^\ell,\ \ell\pi_2'))\}$

```
(definition \in and if \ell has multiple occurrences in \pi'_{\ell}\ell\pi'_{\ell}, we choose the first one, same for \pi'_{\ell}\ell\pi'_{\ell})
```

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \bar{\pi}_0, \pi_1, \pi_2, \bar{\pi}_0', \pi_1', \pi_2' : \mathcal{B}[\![\![]]\varrho(\bar{\pi}_0\mathsf{at}[\![\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]\!]) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1^\ell \pi_2 \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!](\bar{\pi}_0\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]\!]) \} \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\![\![]]\!]\varrho(\bar{\pi}_0'\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]\!]) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathsf{at}[\![\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]\!] \pi_1'^\ell \pi_2' \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*[\![\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]\!] (\bar{\pi}_0'\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]\!]) \wedge (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} : \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]\!)\mathbf{z} = \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0'\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]\!)\mathbf{z}) \wedge \ell \notin \pi_1 \wedge \ell \notin \pi_1' \wedge \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!]\ell(\bar{\pi}_0\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]\!\pi_1\ell, \ell\pi_2), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\![\![\![\mathbf{y}]\!]\!]\ell(\bar{\pi}_0'\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]\!\pi_1'\ell, \ell\pi_2'))\}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{(letting $\bar{\pi}_0$ at $\llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket = \pi_0$ at $\llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket $)$} & \text{at $\llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket $, $\bar{\pi}_0'$ at $\llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket $) = \pi_0'$ at $\llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket $) $} & \text{at $\llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket $)$

$$\begin{split} &\subseteq \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \ | \ \exists \pi_0, \pi_0' \ . \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \ = \ \mathrm{tt} \ \wedge \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \ = \ \mathrm{tt} \ \wedge \ \langle \mathbf{y} \ \in \ \mathbb{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \ = \ \mathrm{tt} \ \wedge \ \langle \mathbf{y} \ \in \ \mathbb{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \ = \ \mathrm{tt} \ \wedge \ \langle \mathbf{y} \ \in \ \mathbb{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \ = \ \mathrm{tt} \ \wedge \ \langle \mathbf{y} \ \in \ \mathbb{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \ \wedge \ \langle \mathbf{y} \ \in \ \mathbb{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \ \wedge \ \langle \mathbf{y} \ \in \ \mathbb{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \ \wedge \ \langle \mathbf{y} \ \in \ \mathbb{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \ \wedge \ \langle \mathbf{y} \ \in \ \mathbb{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \ \wedge \ \langle \mathbf{y} \ \in \ \mathbb{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \ \rangle \ \langle \mathsf{y} \ \in \ \mathcal{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \ \rangle \ \langle \mathsf{y} \ \in \ \mathcal{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \ \rangle \ \langle \mathsf{y} \ \in \ \mathcal{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \ \rangle \ \langle \mathsf{y} \ \in \ \mathcal{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \ \rangle \ \langle \mathsf{y} \ \in \ \mathcal{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \ \rangle \ \langle \mathsf{y} \ \in \ \mathcal{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \ \rangle \ \langle \mathsf{y} \ \in \ \mathcal{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \ \rangle \ \langle \mathsf{y} \ \in \ \mathcal{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \ \rangle \ \langle \mathsf{y} \ \in \ \mathcal{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \ \rangle \ \langle \mathsf{y} \ \in \ \mathcal{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \ \rangle \ \langle \mathsf{y} \ \in \ \mathcal{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \ \rangle \ \langle \mathsf{y} \ \in \ \mathcal{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \ \rangle \ \langle \mathsf{y} \ \in \ \mathcal{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \ \rangle \ \langle \mathsf{y} \ \in \ \mathcal{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \ \rangle \ \langle \mathsf{y} \ \in \ \mathcal{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \ \rangle \ \langle \mathsf{y} \ \in \ \mathcal{E}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_t]\!]) \ \rangle \ \langle \mathsf{y} \ \rangle \ \rangle \ \langle \mathsf{y}$$

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu : \rho(\mathbf{x}') \neq \nu \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho[\mathbf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \mathsf{tt}\} \cap \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \in \mathcal{D}(\ell) \langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle\}$$

$$\langle \mathsf{letting} \ \rho = \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0), \nu = \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0')(\mathbf{x}') \ \mathsf{and} \ \mathsf{definition} \ (47.19) \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathcal{D}^\ell \langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle \rangle$$

$$= \{ \langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu : \rho(\mathbf{x}') \neq \nu \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathbf{tt} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho[\mathbf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \mathbf{tt} \} \cap \{ \langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \{ \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\ell) \langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \}$$

$$\text{ℓ definition of } \subseteq \emptyset$$

$$= \{ \langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu : \rho(\mathbf{x}') \neq \nu \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathbf{tt} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho[\mathbf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \mathbf{tt} \} \cap \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]\}) \notin \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \cap \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]\}) \in \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \cap \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]\}) \cap \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \cap \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]\}) \cap \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]\}) \cap \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \cap \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!])) \cap \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \cap \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!])) \cap \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!])) \cap \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \cap \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!])) \cap \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{\mathcal{S$$

? definition (47.25) of α^4

$$\subseteq \{\langle \mathtt{x}',\ \mathtt{y}\rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu \ . \ \rho(\mathtt{x}') \neq \nu \land \mathscr{B}[\![\mathtt{B}]\!] \rho = \mathtt{tt} \land \mathscr{B}[\![\mathtt{B}]\!] \rho[\mathtt{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \mathtt{tt}\} \cap \mathscr{S}^{\mathsf{d}}[\![\mathtt{S}_t]\!] \ell$$

?structural induction hypothesis \

$$= \mathcal{S}^{d} \llbracket S_t \rrbracket \ell \rceil \text{ nondet(B, B)}$$

?definition (47.48) of nondet \

Described inn words, the initial value of x' flows to the value of y at ℓ in the true branch S_t of the conditional if (B) S_t when there are at least two different values of x' for which B is true and x' flows to the value of y at ℓ in S_t .

$$\subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\operatorname{d}} \llbracket \operatorname{S}_t \rrbracket \ \ell$$

(A coarse overapproximation ignoring values, that is, that the conditional holds for only one value of x')

Proof of (47.63) By lemma 47.23, the definition 47.28 of value dependency using the maximal traces semantics is equivalent to the definition of value dependency for finite prefix traces, as defined by (??). So the soundness of (47.63) follows from the following (3):

$$\alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{S}^{*}[\mathbf{S}]) = \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\mathsf{lfp}^{\mathsf{G}}\mathbf{F}^{*}[\mathbf{while}\,\ell\;(\mathbf{B})\;\mathbf{S}_{b}])$$

$$\subseteq \mathsf{lfp}^{\mathsf{G}}\mathbf{F}^{\mathsf{diff}}[\mathbf{while}\,\ell\;(\mathbf{B})\;\mathbf{S}_{b}] = \widehat{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathsf{G}}_{\mathsf{diff}}[\mathbf{S}]$$
(3)

The proof of (3) is an application of exercise 18.18. $\langle C, \sqsubseteq, \bot, \sqcup \rangle$ is the complete lattice $\langle \wp(\wp(\mathbb{T}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^{+\infty})), \subseteq, \varnothing, \cup \rangle$. $\langle \mathcal{A}, \preccurlyeq, 0, \lor \rangle$ is the complete lattice $\langle \mathbb{P}^d, \subseteq^d, \bot^d, \cup^d \rangle$. The Galois connection $\langle C, \sqsubseteq \rangle \stackrel{\gamma}{\underset{\alpha}{\longleftrightarrow}} \langle \mathcal{A}, \preccurlyeq \rangle$ is given by lemma 47.26. The transformer f is (??). It preserves arbitrary nonempty unions so it is continuous. The transformer g is (47.63). It preserves arbitrary nonempty unions pointwise so it is pointwise continuous (i.e., for \subseteq^d and \cup^d defined pointwise). The main point of the proof is to check the semicommutation condition

$$\alpha^{\mathsf{d}} \circ \mathcal{F}^* \llbracket \mathsf{while} \, \ell \, (\mathsf{B}) \, \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \quad \dot{\subseteq} \quad \mathcal{F}^{\mathsf{diff}} \llbracket \mathsf{while} \, \ell \, (\mathsf{B}) \, \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \circ \alpha^{\mathsf{d}} \,. \tag{4}$$

By exercise 18.18, we need to make the proof only for elements $X \in \mathcal{X}$ where \mathcal{X} is chosen to be exactly the iterates of the transformer $\mathcal{F}^*[\![\text{while}\ \ell\ (B)\ S_b]\!]$ from \emptyset .

In practice, we have discovered $\mathscr{F}^{\text{diff}}[\text{while }\ell \text{ (B) }S_b]]$ knowing $\mathscr{F}^*[\text{while }\ell \text{ (B) }S_b]]$ and α^{d} by rewriting until getting a formula of the form $\mathscr{F}^{\text{diff}}[\text{while }\ell \text{ (B) }S_b]] \circ \alpha^{\text{d}}$ and using \subseteq -overapproximations to ignore values in the static analysis. By exercise 18.18, we conclude that

$$\alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\mathsf{lfp}^{\mathsf{G}}\, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{\,*}[\![\mathsf{while}\,\,^{\ell}\,\,(\mathsf{B})\,\,\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!])\,\dot\subseteq\,\, \mathsf{lfp}^{\,\dot{\mathsf{G}}}\, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{\,\mathsf{diff}}[\![\mathsf{while}\,\,^{\ell}\,\,(\mathsf{B})\,\,\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!]\,.$$

The proof of semicommutation (4) is by calculational design as follows. By definition (47.18) of diff, we do not have to compare futures of prefix traces in which one is a prefix of the other.

There are three main cases depending on whether the dependency observation point ℓ' is (1) at the iteration (so $\ell' = \ell = \text{at}[\text{while } \ell \text{ (B) } S_b]$), (2) is in the loop body (so $\ell' \in \text{in}[S_b]$), or (3) is after the iteration (so $\ell' = \text{after}[\text{while } \ell \text{ (B) } S_b]$).

For each of these case, we have to consider all possible ways the traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi'$ in (5) can go through the dependency observation program point ℓ' . The definition of \mathcal{F}^* below shows all possible choices (A), (B), or (C) of $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi'$ in (5). Notice that diff in (47.16) is commutative so $\langle \pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_1 \rangle$ and $\langle \pi' \ell, \ell \pi' \rangle$ play symmetric rôles in (5) which reduces the number of cases to be considered.

$$\mathcal{F}^*[\text{while } \ell \text{ (B) } S_b](X) \triangleq \{\langle \pi_0 \ell, \ell \rangle\}$$
(A) (??)

$$\cup \left\{ \langle \pi_0 ^{\ell}, \ ^{\ell} \pi_2 ^{\ell} \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbb{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^{\ell''} \rangle \ \middle| \ \langle \pi_0 ^{\ell}, \ ^{\ell} \pi_2 ^{\ell} \rangle \in X \wedge \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathbb{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0 ^{\ell} \pi_2 ^{\ell}) = \operatorname{tt} \right. \tag{B}$$

$$\wedge \, \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\;\; \mathbb{B} \;\;} \mathrm{at} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \; \mathrm{at} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \}$$

$$\cup \left\{ \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell \xrightarrow{\neg (B)} \text{after} \llbracket S \rrbracket \rangle \ \middle| \ \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B} \llbracket B \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell) = \text{ff} \right\} \tag{C}$$

The case (B) covers essentially 3 subcases depending of where is ℓ'' , that is, where the prefix observation at $[S_b] \pi_3 \ell''$ of the execution of the body S_b has terminated:

- **(Ba)** within the loop body $\ell'' \in \inf[S_h]$;
- (Bb) after the loop body $\ell'' = \operatorname{after}[S_b] = \operatorname{at}[S] = \ell$, because of the normal termination of the loop body, and thus at ℓ , just before the next iteration or the loop exit;
- (Bc) after the loop $\ell'' = \text{after}[S]$ because of a break; statement in the loop body S_h ;
- (1) If the dependency observation point ℓ' is at loop entry then $\ell' = \ell = \mathsf{at}[[\mathsf{while}\ \ell\ (\mathsf{B})\ \mathsf{S}_b]]$. There are three subcases, depending on how $\ell' = \ell$ is reached $\ell\pi_1$ by (A), (B), or (C) of $\ell\pi_1$ and $\ell\pi'$ in (5).
- (1–A) In the first case $\ell \pi_1 = \ell$ so $\pi_1 = \ni$ in (A). We have seqval $[\![y]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0^{\ell}, \ell) = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell})y$ by (47.16). Whether $\ell \pi'$ is determined by (A), (B), or (C) we have in all cases that seqval $[\![y]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell, \ell\pi_1^{\ell}) = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell) \circ \sigma$ where σ is a possibly empty sequence of values of y at $\ell' = \ell$. By definition (47.18) of diff, we don't care about σ because diff(seqval $[\![y]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell, \ell\pi_1)$, seqval $[\![y]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell, \ell\pi_1^{\ell})$ is true if and only if $\varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)y \neq \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)$. In that case, we have

(5)

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \ell \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0^{'\ell}, \ell \pi_1^{'} \rangle \in \mathcal{F}^* [\mathbf{while} \, \ell \, (\mathbf{B}) \, \mathbf{S}_b] \, X \, . \, (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0^{'\ell}) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}) \mathbf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}) \mathbf{y} \}$$

$$\subseteq \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0^{\ell}, \pi_0^{\ell} \ell : (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell})\mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)\mathbf{z}) \land (\varrho(\pi_0^{\ell})\mathbf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)\mathbf{y})\} \text{ definition of } \subseteq \}$$

=
$$\{\langle x, y \rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu : \rho(y) \neq \rho[x \leftarrow \nu](y)\}$$

l letting
$$\rho = \varrho(\pi_0 \ell)$$
, $\rho[x \leftarrow v] = \varrho(\pi'_0 \ell)$ and exercise 6.8 *l*

=
$$\{\langle x, x \rangle \mid x \in V\}$$
 \(\frac{19.10}{19.10}\) of the environment assignment

= $\mathbb{1}_V$ (definition of the identity relation on the set V of variables in section 2.2.2) — (1-Ba/Bc/C) In this second case the trace $\ell \pi_1$ corresponds to one or more iterations of the loop followed by an execution of the loop body or a loop exit.

In case (Ba), we have

$$\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathtt{y}]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0^{}\ell,\ell\pi_1^{})$$

```
= \operatorname{seqval}[\![y]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_2\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!]\pi_3\ell'') \text{ where } \langle \pi_0\ell,\ \ell\pi_2\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B}[\![B]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) = \operatorname{tt} \land \langle \pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!], \operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!]\pi_3\ell'' \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \qquad \qquad \langle (\mathbf{B}) \text{ with } \ell'' \in \operatorname{in}[\![S_b]\!] \rangle \\ = \operatorname{seqval}[\![y]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_2\ell) \text{ where } \langle \pi_0\ell,\ \ell\pi_2\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B}[\![B]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) = \operatorname{tt}
```

(definition (47.16) of seqval[[y]] because $\langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!]$, $\operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!]$ with $\ell'' \in \operatorname{in}[\![S_b]\!]$ so that ℓ cannot appear in the trace $\operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \rangle$

In case (Bc), we have

seqval
$$[y]\ell'(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_1)$$

$$= \operatorname{seqval}[\![y]\!]\ell'(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_2\ell \xrightarrow{\operatorname{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!]\pi_3\ell'' \xrightarrow{\operatorname{break}} \operatorname{break-to}[\![S]\!]) \text{ where } \langle \pi_0\ell, \quad \ell\pi_2\ell \rangle \\ \times X \wedge \mathscr{B}[\![B]\!]\varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \langle \pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell \xrightarrow{\operatorname{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!], \operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!]\pi_3\ell'' \xrightarrow{\operatorname{break}} \operatorname{break-to}[\![S]\!] \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \\ \mathring{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{B}) \text{ with } \ell'' \in \operatorname{breaks-of}[\![S]\!] \text{ and } \operatorname{break-to}[\![S]\!] = \operatorname{after}[\![S]\!] \mathring{\mathcal{C}}$$

$$= \operatorname{seqval}[\![y]\!]\ell'(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_2\ell) \text{ where } \langle \pi_0\ell,\,\ell\pi_2\ell\rangle \in X \wedge \mathfrak{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) = \operatorname{tt}$$

$$(\operatorname{definition} \ (47.16) \text{ of seqval}[\![y]\!] \text{ because } \langle \pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!], \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!]\pi_3\ell'' \xrightarrow{\operatorname{break}} \operatorname{break-to}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!] \text{ so that } \ell \text{ cannot appear in the trace at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!]\pi_3\ell'' \xrightarrow{\operatorname{break}} \operatorname{break-to}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \rangle$$

- In case (C), we have

seqval
$$[y]^{\ell'}(\pi_0^{\ell},\ell\pi_1)$$

$$= \operatorname{seqval}[\![y]\!]\ell'(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_2\ell \xrightarrow{\neg(B)} \operatorname{after}[\![S]\!]) \text{ where } \langle \pi_0\ell,\,\ell\pi_2\ell \rangle \in X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![B]\!]\varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) = \operatorname{ff} \qquad \ref{(C)} \ref{(C)}$$

$$= \operatorname{seqval}[\![\![\![\!]\!]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_2\ell) \text{ where } \langle \pi_0\ell,\ \ell\pi_2\ell\rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\![\!]\!]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) = \operatorname{ff}(\operatorname{definition}\ (47.16) \text{ of seqval}[\![\![\![\!]\!]\!]\!])$$

In all of these cases, the future observation seqval $[y]\ell'(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_1)$ is the same so we can handle all cases (1–Ba/Bc/C) as follows:

(5)

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \, \ell \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0^\prime \ell, \, \ell \pi_1^\prime \rangle \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^* [\![\mathbf{w} \mathbf{hile} \, \ell \, (\mathbf{B}) \, \mathbf{S}_b]\!] \, X \, . \, (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \, . \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0^\ell) \mathbf{z} = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0^\prime \ell) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \, \mathrm{diff}(\mathbf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] \ell'(\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_1), \mathbf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] \ell'(\pi_0^\prime \ell, \ell \pi_1^\prime)) \}$$

$$\subseteq \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0 \ell, \, \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \in X \, . \, \exists \langle \pi_0' \ell, \, \ell \pi_1' \rangle \in \mathcal{F}^* [\text{while } \ell \text{ (B) } \mathbf{S}_b] X \, . \, (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \, . \\ \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \ell) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \text{diff(seqval} [\mathbf{y}] \ell'(\pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_2 \ell), \text{seqval} [\mathbf{y}] \ell'(\pi_0' \ell, \ell \pi_1')) \}$$

$$(6)$$

abstracting away the value of the conditions \

The possible choices for $\langle \pi_0'^{\ell}, \ell \pi_1' \rangle \in \mathcal{F}^*$ [while ℓ (B) S_b] X are given by (A), (B), and (C) and are considered below.

- (1-Ba/Bc/C-A) This case is the symmetric of (1-A), and so has already bean considered.

- (1-Ba/Bc/C-Ba/Bc/C) In this case the above reasoning that we have done in (1-Ba/Bc/C) for the first trace $\ell \pi_1$ is also valid for the second trace $\ell \pi_1'$, and so we get

(6)

- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \, \ell \pi_2^{\ell} \rangle \in X \, . \, \exists \langle \pi_0^{\ell}\ell, \, \ell \pi_1^{\ell} \rangle \in \mathcal{F}^* [\text{while } \ell \text{ (B) } \mathbf{S}_b] \text{ } X \, . \, (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \text{ diff(seqval} [\mathbf{y}] \ell'(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell, \ell \pi_2^{\ell}), \text{ seqval} [\mathbf{y}] \ell'(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell, \ell \pi_1^{\ell})) \}$
- $\subseteq \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \, \ell \pi_2^\ell \rangle \in X \; . \; \exists \langle \pi_0^\prime \ell, \, \ell \pi_2^\prime \ell \rangle \in X \; . \; (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0^\prime \ell) \mathbf{z}) \land \\ \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] \ell'(\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] \ell'(\pi_0^\prime \ell, \ell \pi_2^\prime \ell)) \}$

abstracting away the value of the conditions \

 $\subseteq \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0, \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0', \pi_1' \rangle \in X \quad . \quad (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \quad . \quad \varrho(\pi_0)\mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0')\mathbf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!]\ell(\pi_0, \pi_1), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!]\ell(\pi_0', \pi_1'))\}$

$$\{\text{letting } \pi_0 \leftarrow \pi_0 \ell, \pi_1 \leftarrow \ell \pi_2 \ell, \pi_0' \leftarrow \pi_0' \ell, \pi_1' \leftarrow \ell \pi_2' \ell, \text{ and } \ell' = \ell \text{ in case (1)} \}$$

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid X \in \{ \Pi \in \wp(\mathbb{T}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^{+\infty}) \mid \exists \langle \pi_0, \, \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0', \, \pi_1' \rangle \in \Pi : (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0)\mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0')\mathbf{z}) \wedge \text{diff(seqval}[\mathbf{y}]\ell(\pi_0, \pi_1), \text{seqval}[\mathbf{y}]\ell(\pi_0', \pi_1')) \}$? definition of \in ?

$$= \{\langle x, y \rangle \mid X \in \mathcal{D}^{\ell}\langle x, y \rangle\}$$
 (definition (47.19) of $\mathcal{D}^{\ell}\langle x', y \rangle$)

$$= \alpha^{4}(\{X\})^{\ell}$$
 (definition (47.25) of α^{4})

- (1-Ba/Bc/C-Bb) In this case we are in case (1-Ba/Bc/C) for the first prefix observation trace $\ell \pi_1$ corresponding to one or more iterations of the loop followed by an execution of the loop body or a loop exit and in case Bb for the second trace $\ell \pi_l'$ so that, after zero or more executions, the loop body has terminated normally at $\ell'' = after[S_b] = at[S] = \ell$ and the prefix observation stops there, just before the next iteration or the loop exit. We have

(6)

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \, \ell \pi_2^{\ell} \rangle \in X \, . \, \exists \langle \pi_0^{\ell}\ell, \, \ell \pi_1^{\ell} \rangle \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^* [\![\text{while } \ell \ (\mathsf{B}) \ \mathsf{S}_b]\!] \, X \, . \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \, \mathrm{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell, \ell \pi_2^{\ell}\ell), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell, \ell \pi_2^{\ell}\ell)) \}$

$$\langle \text{case (1) so } \ell' = \ell = \text{at}[\text{while } \ell \text{ (B) } S_h] \rangle$$

- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \ \ell \pi_2^{\ell} \ell \rangle \in X \ . \ \exists \langle \pi_0'^{\ell}\ell, \ \ell \pi_1' \ell \rangle \in \{\langle \pi_0'^{\ell}\ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^{\ell}\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \rangle \mid \langle \pi_0'^{\ell}\ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^{\ell}\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0'^{\ell}\ell\pi_2'^{\ell}\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0'^{\ell}\ell\pi_2'^{\ell}\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \land \ell'' = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] = \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] = \ell \} \ . \ (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^{\ell}\ell) \mathbf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] \ell(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell, \ell\pi_2^{\ell}\ell), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] \ell(\pi_0'^{\ell}\ell, \ell\pi_1')) \}$ $\ell \mathsf{case}(\mathsf{Bb}) \mathsf{ for } \ell \pi' \mathsf{ f$
- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x},\,\mathbf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell,\, \ell\pi_2^\ell \rangle \in X \;.\; \exists \langle \pi_0^\prime \ell,\, \ell\pi_2^\prime \ell \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^\ell \rangle \;.\; \langle \pi_0^\prime \ell,\, \ell\pi_2^\prime \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^\prime \ell\pi_2^\prime \ell) = \\ \mathsf{tt} \; \wedge \; \langle \pi_0^\prime \ell\pi_2^\prime \ell \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!],\; \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^\ell \rangle \;\in\; \mathscr{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \; \wedge \; (\forall \mathbf{z} \;\in\; \mathbb{V} \;\setminus\; \{\mathbf{x}\} \;.\;\; \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)\mathbf{z} \;=\; \varrho(\pi_0^\prime \ell)\mathbf{z}) \; \wedge \\ \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] \ell(\pi_0^\ell, \ell\pi_2^\ell), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] \ell(\pi_0^\prime \ell, \ell\pi_2^\prime \ell \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^\ell)) \}$

 $\langle definition \ of \in and \ell'' = \ell \rangle$

```
= \{ \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \ell \pi_2^{\ell} \ell \rangle \in X : \exists \langle \pi_0^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_2^{\prime} \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![ \mathbf{S}_h ]\!] \pi_3^{\ell} \ell \rangle : \langle \pi_0^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_2^{\prime} \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![ \mathbf{B} ]\!] \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0^{\prime} \ell \pi_2^{\prime} \ell) = \emptyset
                                              \mathsf{tt} \, \wedge \, \langle \pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \, \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}_b]\!], \, \, \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^\ell \rangle \, \in \, \mathcal{S}^*[\![ \mathsf{S}_b]\!] \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, \mathsf{V} \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} \, = \, \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, \mathsf{V} \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} \, = \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, \mathsf{V} \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, \mathsf{V} \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, \mathsf{V} \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, \mathsf{V} \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, \mathsf{V} \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, \mathsf{V} \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, \mathsf{V} \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, \mathsf{V} \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, \mathsf{V} \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, \mathsf{V} \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, \mathsf{V} \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, \mathsf{V} \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, \mathsf{V} \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, \mathsf{V} \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, \mathsf{V} \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, \mathsf{V} \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \rangle \, \rangle
                               \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!]\ell(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_2\ell),\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!]\ell(\pi_0'\ell,\ell\pi_2'\ell))\}
                               \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0 \ell, \, \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \in X : \exists \langle \pi_0' \ell, \, \ell \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbb{S}_h \rrbracket \pi_2 \ell \rangle : \langle \pi_0' \ell, \, \ell \pi_2' \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbb{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0' \ell \pi_2' \ell) = \emptyset
                                            \mathsf{tt} \wedge \langle \pi_h'^\ell \pi_h'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![ \mathbb{S}_h ]\!], \; \mathsf{at} [\![ \mathbb{S}_h ]\!] \pi_3^\ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* [\![ \mathbb{S}_h ]\!] \wedge (\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\} : \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)z) \wedge (\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\} : \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)z) \wedge (\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\} : \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)z) \wedge (\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\} : \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)z) \wedge (\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\} : \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)z) \wedge (\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\} : \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)z) \wedge (\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\} : \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)z) \wedge (\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\} : \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)z) \wedge (\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\} : \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)z) \wedge (\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\} : \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)z) \wedge (\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\} : \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)z) \wedge (\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\} : \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)z) \wedge (\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\} : \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)z) \wedge (\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\} : \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)z) \wedge (\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\} : \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)z) \wedge (\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\} : \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)z) \wedge (\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\} : \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)z) \wedge (\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\} : \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)z) \wedge (\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\} : \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)z) \wedge (\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\} : \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)z) \wedge (\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\} : \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)z) \wedge (\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\} : \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)z =
                               \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!]\ell(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_2\ell),\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!]\ell(\pi_0'\ell\pi'\ell\xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_h]\!],\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_h]\!]\pi_3\ell))\}
                                                                                      ? By definition (47.16) of seqval \llbracket v \rrbracket and (47.18) of diff, there is an instance of \ell in \ell \pi_2 \ell and
                                                                                                  one in \ell \pi' \ell \xrightarrow{B} at \mathbb{S}_h \mathbb{I}_{3_2} \ell at which the values of y do differ, whereas they were the same
                                                                                                  previously. So there are two possible cases in which this \ell is either in \ell \pi' \ell \xrightarrow{B} at [S_h]
                                                                                                or in at [S_h]\pi_3\ell. So we have diff(seqval [y]\ell(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_2\ell), seqval [y]\ell(\pi_0'\ell,\ell\pi_2'\ell) \xrightarrow{B}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       = \operatorname{diff}(\operatorname{seqval}[\![y]\!]\ell(\pi_0\ell, \ell\pi_2\ell), \operatorname{seqval}[\![y]\!]\ell(\pi_0'\ell, \ell\pi_2'\ell))
                                                                                                \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!]^\ell(\pi_0^\ell,\ell\pi_2^\ell),\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!]^\ell(\pi_0^\prime\ell\pi_2^\prime\ell\xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_h]\!],\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_h]\!]\pi_3^\ell)))
 \hspace{.5cm} \begin{array}{l} \subseteq \; \{\langle \mathbf{x},\; \mathbf{y}\rangle \; | \; \exists \langle \pi_0 ^\ell \ell,\; \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \ell \rangle \; \in \; X \; . \; \exists \langle \pi_0 ^\prime \ell,\; \ell \pi_2 ^\prime \ell \stackrel{\mathrm{B}}{\longrightarrow} \; \mathrm{at} [\![ \mathbf{S}_b ]\!] \pi_3 \ell \rangle \; . \; \langle \pi_0 ^\prime \ell,\; \ell \pi_2 ^\prime \ell \rangle \; \in \; X \wedge (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \\ \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell ) \mathbf{z} = \varrho (\pi_0 ^\prime \ell ) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \mathrm{diff}(\mathsf{seqval} [\![ \mathbf{y} ]\!] \ell (\pi_0 ^\ell \ell, \ell \pi_2 ^\ell ), \mathsf{seqval} [\![ \mathbf{y} ]\!] \ell (\pi_0 ^\ell \ell, \ell \pi_2 ^\prime \ell )) \} \end{array} 
                             \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \ell \pi_2'' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \ \mathsf{at} [\![ \mathbb{S}_b ]\!] \pi_1' \ell \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \ell \pi_2'' \ell \rangle \ \in \ X \land \mathscr{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [\![ \mathbb{B} ]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2' \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \mathcal{B} [
                                 \langle \pi_0^{\ell} \pi_2''^{\ell} \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at}[\![ \mathbb{S}_b ]\!], \ \operatorname{at}[\![ \mathbb{S}_b ]\!] \pi_3'^{\ell} \rangle \ \in \ \mathcal{S}^*[\![ \mathbb{S}_b ]\!] \wedge \ \exists \langle \pi_0'^{\ell} \ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^{\ell} \ell \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at}[\![ \mathbb{S}_b ]\!] \pi_3^{\ell} \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0'^{\ell} \ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^{\ell} \ell \rangle \ \in \ \mathcal{S}^*[\![ \mathbb{S}_b ]\!] \wedge \ \exists \langle \pi_0'^{\ell} \ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^{\ell} \ell \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at}[\![ \mathbb{S}_b ]\!] \pi_3^{\ell} \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0'^{\ell} \ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^{\ell} \ell \rangle \ \in \ \mathcal{S}^*[\![ \mathbb{S}_b ]\!] \wedge \ \exists \langle \pi_0'^{\ell} \ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^{\ell} \ell \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0'^{\ell} \ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^{\ell} \ell \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0'^{\ell} \ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^{\ell} \ell \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0'^{\ell} \ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^{\ell} \ell \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0'^{\ell} \ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^{\ell} \ell \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0'^{\ell} \ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^{\ell} \ell \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0'^{\ell} \ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^{\ell} \ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^{\ell} \ell \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0'^{\ell} \ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^{\ell} 
                               X \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbb{B} \llbracket \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \wedge \ \langle \pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell \ \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \ \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbb{S}_b \rrbracket, \ \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbb{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3^\ell \rangle \ \in \ \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathbb{S}_b \rrbracket \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathbf{z} \ \in \ V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \ .
                                       \varrho(\pi_0\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0'\ell)z) \wedge \operatorname{diff}(\operatorname{seqval}[\![y]\!]\ell(\pi_0\ell\pi_2''\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_h]\!], \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_h]\!]\pi_3''\ell), \operatorname{seqval}[\![y]\!]\ell(\pi_0'\ell\pi_2'\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_h]\!], \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_h]\!], \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_h]\!]\pi_3''\ell)
                                       \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell))\}
                                                                                      If for the second term, we are in the case \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \in X with \ell \pi_2 \ell = \ell \pi_1 correspond-
                                                                                                  ing to one or more iterations of the loop (so \ell \pi_2 \ell \neq \ell because otherwise we would
                                                                                                  be in case (1-A)), X is an iterate of \mathcal{F}^* [while \ell (B) S_b], and so, by (??), can be
                                                                                                written in the form \ell \pi_2 \ell = \ell \pi_2'' \ell \xrightarrow{B} \text{at} [\![ S_b ]\!] \pi_3''' \ell (where \ell \pi_2'' \ell may be reduced to \ell for
                                                                                                the first iteration) with \ell \pi_2'' \ell \in X, \mathfrak{B}[\![B]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) = \operatorname{tt} and \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!], at [\![S_b]\!] \pi_2'' \ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!]. Moreover if the difference on y is in \ell \pi_2'' \ell, the case is covered
                                                                                                  by the first term.
    \subseteq \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell}
                               \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \ell \pi_2'' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_h \rrbracket \pi_2'^{\ell} \ell \rangle : \langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \ell \pi_2''^{\ell} \ell \rangle \in X \land \langle \pi_0^{\ell} \pi_2'' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_h \rrbracket, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_h \rrbracket \pi_2'^{\ell} \ell \rangle \in \{\langle \pi, \pi_0^{\ell}, \pi_2'' \ell \rangle \} 
                               \begin{split} \pi' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \mid \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi) \rbrace \wedge \exists \langle \eta'^\ell \ell, \, \ell \eta'^\ell \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell \rangle \; . \; \langle \eta'^\ell \ell, \, \ell \eta'^\ell \ell \rangle \in X \wedge \langle \eta'^\ell \ell \eta'^\ell \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket, \; \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell \rangle \in \{\langle \pi, \, \pi' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \mid \mathcal{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi) \} \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\eta'^\ell \ell) z) \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\eta'^\ell \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\eta'^\ell \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\eta'^\ell \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\eta'^\ell \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\eta'^\ell \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\eta'^\ell \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\eta'^\ell \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\eta'^\ell \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\eta'^\ell \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\eta'^\ell \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\eta'^\ell \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\eta'^\ell \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\eta'^\ell \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\eta'^\ell \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\eta'^\ell \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z = \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) z \rangle \wedge (\forall z
                             \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!]^\ell(\pi_0^\ell\pi_2''^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_h]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_h]\!]\pi_3''^\ell), \mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!]^\ell(\pi_0'^\ell\pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_h]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_h]\!]\pi_3^\ell))\}
```

```
\{\text{because } \varrho(\pi) = \varrho(\pi \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \text{at} [\![ \mathbb{S}_b ]\!])\}
```

 $= \alpha^{4}(\{X\})^{\ell} \cup \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell}, \ell \pi_{2}^{\prime \prime} \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\prime} \ell \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell}, \ell \pi_{2}^{\prime \prime} \ell \rangle \in X \wedge \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell} \pi_{2}^{\prime \prime} \ell, \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\prime} \ell \rangle \in \{\langle \pi_{0}^{\ell}, \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi \rangle \mid \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell} \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!], \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi \rangle \in \{\langle \pi, \pi^{\prime} \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{*}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \mid \mathcal{B}[\![B]\!] \varrho(\pi)\}\} \wedge \exists \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell \rangle \in X \wedge \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \in \{\langle \pi_{0}^{\ell}, \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \} \wedge \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \otimes \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell}, \ell \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \otimes \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \otimes \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \otimes \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \otimes \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \otimes \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \otimes \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \otimes \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \otimes \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \otimes \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \otimes \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \otimes \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \otimes \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \otimes \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \otimes \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \otimes \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \otimes \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \otimes \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \otimes \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_{0}^{$

 ℓ definition of ϵ , definition (47.18) of diff, and definition (47.16) of sequal $\llbracket y \rrbracket$ with $\ell \neq \text{at} \llbracket S_h \rrbracket \setminus$

 $\subseteq \alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \cup \{\langle \mathtt{x}, \ \mathtt{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_{0}^{\ell_{0}} \pi_{1}^{\ell'} \pi_{2}^{\ell} \pi_{3}, \ \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell_{0} \pi_{1}^{\prime} \ell' \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell \pi_{5}^{\prime} \ . \ \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell_{0}}, \ \ell_{0} \pi_{1}^{\ell'} \rangle \in X \land \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell_{0}} \pi_{1}^{\ell'}, \ell', \ell' \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell \pi_{5}^{\prime} \} \cap \{\pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell_{0}, \ell' \pi_{1}^{\prime} \ell' \} \cap \{\pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell_{0}, \ell' \pi_{1}^{\prime} \ell' \} \cap \{\pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell_{0}, \ell' \pi_{1}^{\prime} \ell' \} \cap \{\pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell' \pi_{1}^{\prime} \ell' \} \cap \{\pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell' \pi_{1}^{\prime} \ell' \} \cap \{\pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell' \pi_{1}^{\prime} \ell' \pi_{1}^{\prime} \ell' \pi_{1}^{\prime} \ell' \pi_{1}^{\prime} \ell' \} \cap \{\pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell' \pi_{1}^{\prime} \ell'$

(by letting $\pi_0\ell_0 \leftarrow \pi_0\ell$, $\ell_0\pi_1\ell' \leftarrow \ell\pi_2''\ell$, $\ell'\pi_2\ell \leftarrow \ell$, $\ell\pi_3 \leftarrow \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!]\pi_3''\ell$, and similarly for the second trace (

 $\hspace{0.1cm} \subseteq \hspace{0.1cm} \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \hspace{0.1cm} \cup \hspace{0.1cm} (\alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \hspace{0.1cm} \stackrel{\circ}{,} \hspace{0.1cm} \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{\{\langle \pi_{0}{}^{\ell}, \hspace{0.1cm} \ell \hspace{0.1cm} \xrightarrow{\hspace{0.1cm} \mathbb{B}} \hspace{0.1cm} \operatorname{at} \hspace{0.1cm} [\hspace{0.1cm} \mathbf{S}_{b}]\hspace{0.1cm}], \hspace{0.1cm} \operatorname{at} \hspace{0.1cm} [\hspace{0.1cm} \mathbf{S}_{b}]\hspace{0.1cm}] \pi \rangle \hspace{0.1cm} \in \hspace{0.1cm} \{\langle \pi, \hspace{0.1cm} \pi' \rangle \in \{\langle \pi, \hspace{$

 $= \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\})^{\varrho} \cup (\alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\})^{\varrho} \ \c \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{\{\langle \pi, \pi' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathbb{S}_b \rrbracket \mid \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbb{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi)\}\})^{\varrho})$

? definition (47.25) of α^4 , (47.18) of diff, and (47.16) of sequal [v] with $\ell \neq \ell$

$$= \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \cup (\alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \circ (\alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{S^*[S_h]])^{\ell}] \text{ nondet}(B,B)))$$
 (lemma 47.62)

$$= \alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{X\})\ell \cup (\alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{X\})\ell \circ (\alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{S^{+\infty}[S_h]\})\ell) \cap \mathsf{nondet}(B,B))$$
 (lemma 47.23)

 $\subseteq \alpha^{\operatorname{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \cup (\alpha^{\operatorname{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \circ (\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\operatorname{diff}}^{\exists} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \ \ell \ \rceil \ \operatorname{nondet}(\mathbf{B},\mathbf{B}))) \qquad \text{$\widehat{\text{(induction hypothesis (47.32), $\widehat{\circ}$ and $\widehat{\cap}$ are $\widehat{\cap}$ increasing $\widehat{\cap}$}}$

— (1-Bb) In this third and last case for (1), we have $\ell \pi_1 = \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell$ so the prefix observation ends after the normal termination of the loop body at $\operatorname{after}[\![S_b]\!] = \operatorname{at}[\![S]\!] = \ell$ (just before the next iteration or the loop exit).

The possible choices for $\langle \pi_0^{\prime} \ell, \, \ell \pi_1^{\prime} \rangle \in \mathcal{F}^*[[\text{while } \ell \, (B) \, S_b]] X$ are given by (A), (B), and (C) and are considered below.

- (1-Bb-A) This case is the symmetric of (1-A), and so has already been considered.

- (1-Bb-Ba/Bc/C) This case is the symmetric of (1-Ba/Bc/C-Bb), and so has already been considered.
- $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{-} & \textbf{(1-Bb-Bb)} & \textbf{This is the case when the prefix observation traces } \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ \ell \pi_1 \rangle \text{ and } \langle \pi_0 '^\ell, \ \ell \pi_1 ' \rangle \text{ in } \\ \textbf{(5) both end after the normal termination of the loop body at after} [\![S_b]\!] = \text{at} [\![S]\!] = \ell \text{ and so belong } \\ \textbf{to} \ \{ \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \text{ at} [\![S_b]\!] \pi_3 ^\ell \rangle \ | \ \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \rangle \in X \land \textbf{B} [\![B]\!] \textbf{\varrho} (\pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell) = \text{tt} \land \langle \pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \text{at} [\![S_b]\!], \\ \textbf{at} [\![S_b]\!] \pi_3 ^\ell \rangle \in \textbf{S}^* [\![S_b]\!] \}. \text{ In that case, we have}$

(5)

- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0 ^\ell ^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_1 ^\ell \rangle \ \in \ \{\langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \ \operatorname{at} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 ^\ell \rangle \ | \ \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell \rangle \ \in \ X \land \\ \mathscr{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell) = \operatorname{tt} \land \langle \pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \operatorname{at} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \ \operatorname{at} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 ^\ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \} \ . \ (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell) \mathbf{z} = \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell ^\ell) \mathbf{z}) \land \operatorname{diff} (\operatorname{seqval} [\![\mathbf{y}]\!] \ell' (\pi_0 ^\ell, \ell \pi_1), \operatorname{seqval} [\![\mathbf{y}]\!] \ell' (\pi_0 ^\ell, \ell \pi_1')) \}$
- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^\ell \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \rangle \ \in \ X \land \ \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket, \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^\ell \rangle \in \ \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \ \exists \langle \pi_0 ^\prime \ell, \ \ell \pi_2 ^\prime \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^\prime \ell \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0 ^\prime \ell, \ \ell \pi_2 ^\prime \ell \rangle \in \ X \land \ \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0 ^\prime \ell \pi_2 ^\prime \ell) = \ \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0 ^\prime \ell \pi_2 ^\prime \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket, \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^\prime \ell \rangle \in \ \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \land (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \ \mathbb{V} \backslash \{\mathbf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho (\pi_0 ^\prime \ell) \mathbf{z} = \ \varrho (\pi_0 ^\prime \ell) \mathbf{z}) \land \ \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathbf{y} \rrbracket \ell' (\pi_0 ^\ell, \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^\ell), \mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathbf{y} \rrbracket \ell' (\pi_0 ^\prime \ell, \ell \pi_2 ^\prime \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^\prime \ell)) \} \ \langle \mathsf{definition} \ \mathsf{of} \in \mathcal{S}$
- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3^\ell \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell \ell \rangle \in X \land \langle \pi_0^\ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3^\ell \ell \rangle \in \{\langle \pi, \pi' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \mid \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{g}(\pi) \} \land \exists \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ell \pi_2'^\ell \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'^\ell \ell \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ell \pi_2'^\ell \ell \rangle \in X \land \langle \pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'^\ell \ell \rangle \in \{\langle \pi, \pi' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \mid \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{g}(\pi) \} \land (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \ . \ \mathbf{\varrho}(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{\varrho}(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathbf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathbf{y} \rrbracket^\ell (\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell), \mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathbf{y} \rrbracket^\ell (\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell)) \}$

(by definition (47.18) of diff, and definition (47.16) of seqval [[y]] because in case (1), $\ell' = \ell$ does not appear in $\stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow}$ at $[\![S_b]\!]\pi_3$ and the value of y is the same at ℓ after $\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow}$ at $[\![S_b]\!]\pi_3 \ell$ and at ℓ after $\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell$. The same holds for $\pi_0' \ell \pi_2' \ell \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow}$ at $[\![S_b]\!]\pi_3' \ell$. \mathcal{L}

 — Summing up for case (1) we get $(5) \subseteq \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{V}} \cup \alpha^{\mathbb{I}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \cup (\alpha^{\mathbb{I}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \circ \widehat{\overline{S}}_{\text{diff}}[[S_b]]^{\ell})$] nondet(B, B) which yields (47.63.a) of the form

However, the term $X(\ell)$ does not appear in (47.63.a) because it can be simplified using exercise 15.8.

— (2) Else, if the dependency observation point ℓ' on prefix traces is in the loop body S_b after zero or more loop iterations. So the two traces $\ell\pi_1$ and $\ell\pi'$ in (5) cannot be generated by (??.A). The case $\ell' = \ell$ = after $[S_b] = at[S]$ has already been considered in case (1) (for subcases involving (B) and (C)). By definition (47.16) of seqval [y] the case $\ell' = at[S_b]$ is equivalent to $\ell' = at[S]$ already considered in (1) because the evaluation of Boolean expressions has no side effect so the value of variables y at $at[S_b]$ and at[S] are the same. Similarly, the value of variables y before a break; statement at labels in breaks-of $[S_b]$ that can escape the loop body S_b is the same as the value at break-to $[S_b]$ = after [S] and will be handled with case (3).

It follows that in this case (2) we only have to consider the case $\ell' \in \inf[S_b] \setminus (\{at[S_b], after[S_b]\} \cup breaks-of[S_b])$ and the two traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi_1'$ in (5) are generated by (B) or (C). There are three cases to consider.

— (2–B–B) The dependency observation point ℓ' on the two prefix observation traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi'_1$ in (5) is in the loop body S_b after zero or more loop iterations and the observation along these two traces stops in the loop body.

(5)

- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0^{\prime}{}^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_1^{\prime} \rangle \ \in \ \{\langle \pi_0^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_2^\ell \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \ \operatorname{at} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \rangle \ | \ \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_2^\ell \rangle \ \in \ X \land \\ \mathscr{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell) = \operatorname{tt} \land \langle \pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \ \operatorname{at} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \} \ . \ (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}^\ell) \mathbf{z}) \land \ \operatorname{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_1), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0^{\ell}^\ell, \ell \pi_1^{\ell'})) \} \qquad \qquad \text{(} \mathsf{case} \ \mathbf{2} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B} \text{)}$
- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^{\ell''} \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket, \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^{\ell''} \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \exists \langle \pi_0 '^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_2 '^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 '^{\ell''} \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0 '^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_2 '^\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0 '^\ell \pi_2 '^\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0 '^\ell \pi_2 '^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 '^\ell ^\ell \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \land (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \backslash \{\mathbf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell) \mathbf{z} = \varrho (\pi_0 '^\ell) \mathbf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathbf{y} \rrbracket \ell' (\pi_0 ^\ell, \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell''), \mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathbf{y} \rrbracket \ell' (\pi_0 '^\ell, \ell \pi_2 '^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 '^\ell)) \} \land \mathsf{definition} \in \S$
- $\hspace{0.1cm} \subseteq \hspace{0.1cm} \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell}, \, \ell \pi_{2}^{\ell} \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell''} \rangle \; . \; \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell}, \, \ell \pi_{2}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \in X \wedge \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell} \pi_{2}^{\ell} \ell \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!], \; \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell''} \rangle \in \{\langle \pi, \pi' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{*}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!], \; \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell''} \rangle \; . \; \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell} \ell, \, \ell \pi_{2}^{\ell} \ell \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!], \; \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell''} \rangle \in \{\langle \pi, \pi' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{*}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \mid \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi) \} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_{0}^{\ell}) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_{0}^{\ell}\ell) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \operatorname{diff}(\operatorname{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] \ell'(\pi_{0}^{\ell}, \, \ell \pi_{2}^{\ell} \ell \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell''}), \operatorname{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] \ell'(\pi_{0}^{\ell}, \, \ell \pi_{2}^{\ell} \ell \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell''})) \} \; \text{definition of } \in \mathcal{S} \; \text{ } \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S} \; \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S} \; \mathcal{S}$

 $\hspace{.5cm} \subseteq \{\langle \mathtt{x}, \ \mathtt{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2^\ell \rangle \in X \ . \ \exists \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^\ell \rangle \in X \ . \ (\forall \mathtt{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathtt{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathtt{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathtt{z}) \land \\ \hspace{.5cm} \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathtt{y}]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathtt{y}]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0'^\ell, \ell \pi_2'^\ell))\}$

$$\begin{split} &\{\langle \mathbf{x},\ \mathbf{y}\rangle\ |\ \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell,\ \ell\pi_2^\ell\ell\rangle \in X\ .\ \exists \langle \pi_0^\prime\ell,\ \ell\pi_2^\prime\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3^\prime\ell^{\prime\prime}\rangle\ .\ \langle \pi_0^\prime\ell,\ \ell\pi_2^\prime\ell\rangle \in X \land \langle \pi_0^\prime\ell\pi_2^\prime\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3^\prime\ell^{\prime\prime}\rangle\ .\ \langle \pi_0^\prime\ell,\ \ell\pi_2^\prime\ell\rangle \in X \land \langle \pi_0^\prime\ell\pi_2^\prime\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3^\prime\ell^{\prime\prime}\rangle\ .\ \langle \pi_0^\prime\ell,\ \ell\pi_2^\prime\ell\rangle \in X \land \langle \pi_0^\prime\ell\pi_2^\prime\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3^\prime\ell^{\prime\prime}\rangle\ .\ \langle \pi_0^\prime\ell,\ \ell\pi_2^\prime\ell\rangle \in X \land \langle \pi_0^\prime\ell\pi_2^\prime\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3^\prime\ell^{\prime\prime}\rangle)\} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &\{\langle \mathbf{x},\,\mathbf{y}\rangle\mid\exists\langle\pi_0^\ell,\,\ell\pi_2^\ell\stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3^{\ell''}\rangle\;.\;\langle\pi_0^\ell,\,\ell\pi_2^\ell\rangle\in X\wedge\langle\pi_0^\ell\pi_2^\ell\stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!],\,\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3^{\ell''}\rangle\in \\ &\{\langle\pi,\,\pi'\rangle\in\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\mid\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi)\}\wedge\exists\langle\pi_0^{\prime}^\ell,\,\ell\pi_2^{\prime}^\ell\stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3^{\prime}^{\ell''}\rangle\;.\;\langle\pi_0^{\prime}^\ell,\,\ell\pi_2^{\prime}^\ell\in\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\wedge\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\ell^{\prime},\,\ell\pi_2^{\prime}^\ell\in\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\wedge\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\wedge\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\wedge\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\wedge\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\mathcal$$

(by definition (47.18) of diff and (47.16) of seqval $[y]^{\ell'}$, there is an instance of ℓ' in both $\ell\pi'_2\ell$ $\stackrel{B}{\longrightarrow}$ at $[S_b]\pi'_3\ell''$ and $\ell\pi'_2\ell$ $\stackrel{B}{\longrightarrow}$ at $[S_b]\pi'_3\ell''$ before which the values of y at ℓ' and at which they differ. There are four cases (indeed three by symmetry), depending on whether the occurrence of ℓ'' is before or after the transition $\stackrel{B}{\longrightarrow}$. Γ

 $\subseteq \alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{X\})\ell' \cup$

 $\{\langle \mathbf{x},\,\mathbf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^{\ell},\, \ell\pi_2^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''}\rangle \; . \; \langle \pi_0^{\ell},\, \ell\pi_2^{\ell}\rangle \in X \wedge \langle \pi_0^{\ell}\pi_2^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \; \mathsf{at} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''}\rangle \in \{\langle \pi,\,\pi'\rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \mid \mathcal{B} [\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi)\} \wedge \exists \langle \pi_0'^{\ell},\, \ell\pi_2'^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^{\ell''}\rangle \; . \; \langle \pi_0'^{\ell},\, \ell\pi_2'^{\ell} \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \; \mathsf{at} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^{\ell''}\rangle \in \{\langle \pi,\,\pi'\rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \mid \mathcal{B} [\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi)\} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell})\mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^{\ell})\mathbf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] \ell'(\pi_0^{\ell},\ell\pi_2^{\ell} \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''}), \mathsf{seqval} [\![\mathbf{y}]\!] \ell'(\pi_0^{\ell},\ell\pi_2^{\ell} \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''})) \}$

(For the second term where ℓ' occurs in $\ell \pi_2 \ell$, the trace $\ell \pi_2 \ell$ must have reached the loop body, and so, by the reasoning of (7), this second term is an instance of the third one.)

$$\subseteq \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell'} \cup \left(\alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \circ (\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\mathsf{diff}}^{\exists} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \ell') \upharpoonright \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B}))\right)$$

by a reasoning similar to the one we did in case (1-Ba/Bc/C-Bb) from (7) on.

— (2–B–C/2–C–B) The dependency observation point ℓ' on the two prefix observation traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi_1'$ in (5) is in the loop body S_b after zero or more loop iterations and the observation along these two traces stops in the loop body for one and at the loop exit for the other.

 $(5) = \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0 \ell, \, \ell \pi_1 \rangle \in \{\langle \pi_0 \ell, \, \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'' \rangle \mid \langle \pi_0 \ell, \, \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \boldsymbol{\varrho} (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell) = \\ \mathsf{tt} \, \wedge \, \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket, \, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \} \; . \; \exists \langle \pi_0' \ell, \, \ell \pi_1' \rangle \in \{\langle \pi_0 \ell, \, \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\neg (\mathbf{B})} \\ \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \mid \langle \pi_0 \ell, \, \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \boldsymbol{\varrho} (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell) = \mathsf{ff} \} \; . \; (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \boldsymbol{\varrho} (\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \\ \boldsymbol{\varrho} (\pi_0' \ell) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathbf{y} \rrbracket \ell' (\pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_1), \mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathbf{y} \rrbracket \ell' (\pi_0' \ell, \ell \pi_1')) \} \qquad \qquad (\mathsf{case} \; 2-\mathsf{B-C})$ $\subseteq \alpha^{\mathsf{d}} (\{X\}) \ell' \cup (\alpha^{\mathsf{d}} (\{X\}) \ell^*_{\mathsf{siff}} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \ell') \; \mathsf{nondet} (\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B}))$

(This case is handled exactly as the previous one because the program point ℓ' where the change of value of variable y is observed is within the loop body so the loop must be entered in part $\ell \pi_2 \ell$ of $\ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\neg (B)}$ after $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ and the loop exit $\ell \xrightarrow{\neg (B)}$ after $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ does not affect the variable y.)

— (2–C–C) The dependency observation point ℓ' on the two prefix observation traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi_1'$ in (5) is in the loop body S_b after zero or more loop iterations and the observation along these two traces stops at the loop exit.

(5)

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0^{\prime}^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_1^{\prime} \rangle \in \{\langle \pi_0^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_2^\ell \rangle \xrightarrow{\neg (\mathbf{B})} \text{ after} [\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \rangle \mid \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_2^\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell) = \text{if} \} . \quad (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0^{\prime} \ell) \mathbf{z} \land \text{diff}(\text{seqval} [\![\mathbf{y}]\!] \ell'(\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_1), \text{seqval} [\![\mathbf{y}]\!] \ell'(\pi_0^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_1^{\prime})) \} \qquad \qquad (\text{case } 2\text{-C-C})$$

$$\subseteq \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\}) \ell' \cup \left(\alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\}) \ell \ ^\circ_{\mathfrak{g}}((\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\mathsf{diff}}^{\mathsf{diff}} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \ell') \ | \text{nondet}(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B})) \right)$$

(This case is handled exactly as the two previous ones because , again, the program point ℓ' where the change of value of variable y is observed is within the loop body so the loop must be entered in part $\ell\pi_2\ell$ of $\ell\pi_2\ell$ $\xrightarrow{\neg(B)}$ after [S] and the loop exit ℓ $\xrightarrow{\neg(B)}$ after [S] does not affect the variable y. Similarly for the second trace $\ell\pi'$.

— Summing up for case (2), we get $(5) \subseteq \alpha^{4}(\{X\})\ell' \cup (\alpha^{4}(\{X\})\ell \circ (\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{diff}[S_b]\ell')]$ nondet(B, B))) which yields (47.63.b) of the form

$$\llbracket\,\ell'\in\inf[\![\mathbf{S}_h]\!]\,\,\widehat{\otimes}\,\, \big(X(\ell)\,\,\mathring{\otimes}\,\, ((\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\mathrm{diff}}^{\exists}[\![\mathbf{S}_h]\!]\,\,\ell')\,\,\rceil\,\,\mathrm{nondet}(\mathbf{B},\mathbf{B}))\big)\,\, \otimes\,\,\varnothing\,\,\big].$$

where the term $X(\ell')$ does not appear in (47.63.b) by the simplification following from exercise 15.8.

— (3) Otherwise, the dependency observation point $\ell' = \text{after}[S]$ on prefix traces is after the loop statement $S = \text{while } \ell$ (B) S_b .

(5)

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \, \ell \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \, \ell \pi_1' \rangle \in \mathscr{F}^*[\![\mathbf{while} \, \ell \, (\mathbf{B}) \, \mathbf{S}_b]\!] \, X \, . \, (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \mathrm{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] (\mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) (\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_1), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] (\mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) (\pi_0'^\ell, \ell \pi_1')) \}$$

$$?\ell' = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell' = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]$$

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \, \ell \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0'^{\ell}, \, \ell \pi_1' \rangle \in \{\langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \, \ell \pi_2^{\ell} \stackrel{\neg(\mathbb{B})}{\longrightarrow} \, \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \rangle \mid \langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \, \ell \pi_2^{\ell} \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell} \pi_2^{\ell}) = \mathsf{ff} \} \cup \{\langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \, \ell \pi_2^{\ell} \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \, \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \stackrel{\mathsf{break}}{\longrightarrow} \, \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \rangle \mid \langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \, \ell \pi_2^{\ell} \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell} \pi_2^{\ell}) = \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!] \land \langle \pi_0^{\ell} \pi_2^{\ell} \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \, \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!], \\ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \stackrel{\mathsf{break}}{\longrightarrow} \, \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^*[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!] \} . \ (\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\} . \, \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell})z = \varrho(\pi_0'^{\ell})z) \land \\ \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbb{y}]\!] (\mathsf{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!])(\pi_0^{\ell}, \ell \pi_1), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbb{y}]\!] (\mathsf{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!])(\pi_0'^{\ell}, \ell \pi_1')) \}$$

(The only cases in (??) where $\ell' = \text{after}[S]$ is reachable is either via (C) for normal termination after zero or more iterations or via (B) through a break; in the loop body S_b during the first or later iteration (

There are now three subcases, depending on whether the observation prefix traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi'_1$ are both from a normal exit, a both from a break, or one is from a break and the other from a normal exit

— (3–C–C) This is the case when the observation prefix traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi_1'$ are both from a normal exit.

From there on, the development is very similar to the cases (2.a), (2.b), and (2.c-d) of the conditional with execution traces that may go through the true branch (here entering the loop) or the false branch (here not entering the iteration). There are four subcases (three by symmetry).

- (3–C–C.a) If none of the executions $\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell$ and $\pi_0' \ell \pi_2' \ell$ enter the loop body because in both cases the condition B is false, we have $\ell \pi_2 \ell = \ell$ and $\ell \pi_2' \ell = \ell$.

```
(9)
```

(because if $x \notin \text{nondet}(\neg B, \neg B)$ then $x \in \text{det}(\neg B, \neg B)$ so $\mathfrak{B}[\![\neg B]\!]\varrho(\pi_0^\ell)$ and $\mathfrak{B}[\![\neg B]\!]\varrho(\pi_0^{\ell})$ would imply $\varrho(\pi_0^\ell)x = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell})x$. Therefore $\varrho(\pi_0^\ell) = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell})$ in contradiction to $\varrho(\pi_0^\ell)y \neq \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell})y$.

- (3-C-C.b) Else, if both executions $\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell$ and $\pi_0' \ell \pi_2' \ell$ enter the loop body because in both cases the condition B is true, we have $\ell \pi_2 \ell \neq \ell$ and $\ell \pi_2' \ell \neq \ell$

(9)

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \ell \pi_2^{\ell} \rangle, \langle \pi_0^{\prime} \ell, \ell \pi_2^{\prime} \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0^{\ell} \pi_2^{\ell}) = \mathsf{ff} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0^{\prime} \ell \pi_2^{\prime} \ell) = \mathsf{ff} \land (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0^{\ell}) \mathbf{z} = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0^{\ell} \ell) \mathbf{z}) \land \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0^{\ell} \pi_2^{\ell}) \mathbf{y} \neq \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0^{\ell} \ell \pi_2^{\ell} \ell) \mathbf{y} \mid \mathsf{nondet}(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B})$$

(case (3–C–C.b) and X belongs to the iterates of $\mathcal{F}^*[\text{while }\ell \text{ (B) } S_b]$ so this is possible only when $\mathcal{B}[B]\varrho(\pi_0\ell) = \text{tt}$ and $\mathcal{B}[B]\varrho(\pi_0'\ell) = \text{tt}$ and definition (47.48) of nondet f

$$\hspace{0.5cm} \begin{array}{l} \subseteq \; \{\langle \mathbf{x},\; \mathbf{y}\rangle \; | \; \exists \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell}\ell,\; \ell\pi_{2}^{\ell}\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; . \; \exists \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime}\ell,\; \ell\pi_{2}^{\prime}\ell \; \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \; \mathrm{at} [\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}\ell\rangle \; . \; \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime}\ell,\; \ell\pi_{2}^{\prime}\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \wedge (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \\ \varrho(\pi_{0}^{\ell}\ell)\mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_{0}^{\prime}\ell)\mathbf{z}) \wedge \; \mathrm{diff}(\mathsf{seqval} [\![\mathbf{y}]\!] \ell(\pi_{0}^{\ell}\ell,\ell\pi_{2}^{\ell}\ell), \mathsf{seqval} [\![\mathbf{y}]\!] \ell(\pi_{0}^{\prime}\ell,\ell\pi_{2}^{\prime}\ell))\} \end{array}$$

 $\text{? because } \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell)\text{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0'\ell\pi_2'\ell)\text{y implies diff(seqval}[\![y]\!]\ell(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_2\ell),\text{seqval}[\![y]\!]\ell(\pi_0'\ell,\ell\pi_2'\ell))\text{?}$

$$\subseteq \alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{X\})^{\ell}$$
 (definition (47.25) of $\alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}$)

– (3–C–C.c) Otherwise, one execution enters the loop body (say $\pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell$) and the other does not (say $\pi_0^{\ell} \ell_{\underline{n}_2^{\ell}}^{\ell} \ell$), we have (the other case is symmetric) $\ell \pi_2^{\ell} \ell \neq \ell$ and $\ell \pi_2^{\ell} \ell = \ell$. The calculation is similar to (2.c–d) for the simple conditional.

(9)

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle, \langle \pi_0' \ell, \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{B}] \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{B}] \varrho(\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell) = \mathsf{ff} \land \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{B}] \varrho(\pi_0' \ell) = \mathsf{ff} \land \langle \mathcal{$$

(case (3–C–C.c) and X is included in the iterates of \mathscr{F}^* [while ℓ (B) S_b] so this is possible only when \mathscr{B} [B] $\varrho(\pi_0^\ell) = \operatorname{tt}$, \mathscr{B} [B] $\varrho(\pi_0^\ell\pi_2^\ell) = \operatorname{ff}$, and \mathscr{B} [B] $\varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}) = \operatorname{ff}$

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0 \ell, \, \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle, \langle \pi_0' \ell, \, \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{B}] \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{B}] \varrho(\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell) = \mathsf{ff} \land \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{B}] \varrho(\pi_0' \ell) = \mathsf{ff} \land \langle \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{B}] \varrho(\pi_0' \ell) = \varrho(\pi_0' \ell) \wedge \varrho(\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell) \wedge \varrho(\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell) \wedge \varrho(\pi_0' \ell \ell) \rangle \} \mid \mathsf{nondet}(\mathbb{B}, \neg \mathbb{B})$$

(because , by definition (47.48) of nondet, if $x \notin \text{nondet}(B, \neg B)$ then $x \in \text{det}(B, \neg B)$ so by (47.48), $\mathfrak{B}[\![B]\!]\varrho(\pi_0^\ell)$ and $\mathfrak{B}[\![\neg B]\!]\varrho(\pi_0^{\ell})$ would imply $\varrho(\pi_0^\ell)x = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)x$ and therefore $\varrho(\pi_0^\ell) = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)$. X being included in the iterates of $\mathfrak{F}^*[\![\text{while }\ell]\!](B) \otimes_b[\!]$ and, by exercises 17.13 and 17.21, the language being deterministic, this would imply that $\ell \pi_2 \ell = \ell$, in contradiction to $\mathfrak{B}[\![B]\!]\varrho(\pi_0^\ell) = \text{tt}$ and $\mathfrak{B}[\![B]\!]\varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell) = \text{ff}$

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2'' ^\ell \stackrel{\mathrm{B}}{\longrightarrow} \ \mathrm{at} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3' ^\ell \ell \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2'' ^\ell \stackrel{\mathrm{B}}{\longrightarrow} \ \mathrm{at} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3' ^\ell \ell \rangle \in X \wedge \mathscr{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell) = \\ \mathrm{tt} \wedge \mathscr{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2'' ^\ell \stackrel{\mathrm{B}}{\longrightarrow} \ \mathrm{at} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3' ^\ell \ell) = \mathrm{ff} \wedge \langle \pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2'' ^\ell \stackrel{\mathrm{B}}{\longrightarrow} \ \mathrm{at} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \ \mathrm{at} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3' ^\ell \ell \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^* [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \wedge \exists \langle \pi_0' ^\ell, \ell \rangle \in X \wedge \mathscr{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho (\pi_0' ^\ell) = \mathrm{ff} \wedge \langle \forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell) \mathbf{z} = \varrho (\pi_0' ^\ell) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2'' ^\ell \stackrel{\mathrm{B}}{\longrightarrow} \ \mathrm{at} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3' ^\ell \ell) \mathbf{y} \neq \varrho (\pi_0' ^\ell) \mathbf{y} \} \] \ \mathrm{nondet} (\mathbf{B}, \neg \mathbf{B})$

(by the argument (7) that if $\langle \pi_0^\ell, \, \ell \pi_2^\ell \rangle \in X$ corresponds to one or more iterations of the loop then it can be written in the form $\ell \pi_2^\ell = \ell \pi_2'' \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at} \llbracket S_b \rrbracket \pi_2'' \ell$ (where $\ell \pi_2''' \ell$ may be reduced to ℓ for the first iteration) with $\ell \pi_2''' \ell \in X$, $\mathfrak{B} \llbracket B \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0^\ell \pi_2''' \ell) = \operatorname{tt} \operatorname{and} \langle \pi_0^\ell \pi_2''' \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at} \llbracket S_b \rrbracket \pi_2'' \ell \rangle \in \mathfrak{S}^* \llbracket S_b \rrbracket \mathcal{S}$

 $\hspace{0.1in} \subseteq \hspace{0.1in} \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_{0}^{\ell} \pi_{2}^{\prime \prime}^{\prime \prime} \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_{b} \rrbracket \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell, \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell : \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell} \ell, \ell \pi_{2}^{\prime \prime} \ell \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_{b} \rrbracket \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell \rangle \in X \wedge \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell} \pi_{2}^{\prime \prime} \ell \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_{b} \rrbracket, \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell \rangle = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \rangle \in X \wedge \mathscr{B} \mathbb{B} \mathbb{B} \varrho (\pi_{0}^{\ell} \pi_{2}^{\prime \prime} \ell) = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \langle \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \rangle \in X \wedge \mathscr{B} \mathbb{B} \varrho (\pi_{0}^{\ell} \pi_{2}^{\prime \prime} \ell) = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \langle \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell, \ell \rangle = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \langle \pi_{2}^$

(definition (6.6) of ϱ , definition (47.16) of seqval[y] and program labeling so that after[S] does not appear in the trace (in particular $\ell \neq \text{after}[S]$), and definition (47.18) of diff

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3' \ell \xrightarrow{\neg \mathbf{B}} \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \pi_0' \ell \xrightarrow{\neg \mathbf{B}} \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \ell \pi_2'' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3' \ell, \ \ell \xrightarrow{\neg \mathbf{B}} \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \rangle \in \mathcal{S}' \land \langle \pi_0' \ell, \ell \rangle \in X \land \langle \pi_0' \ell, \ell \rangle = \underbrace{\sigma_0' \ell}_{\mathbf{B}} \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \rangle \in \mathcal{S}' \land \langle \pi_0' \ell, \ell \rangle \in X \land \langle \pi_0' \ell, \ell \rangle = \underbrace{\sigma_0' \ell}_{\mathbf{B}} \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \rangle \in \mathcal{S}' \land \langle \pi_0' \ell, \ell \rangle \in X \land \langle \pi_0' \ell,$

 $\subseteq (\alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{X\}) \, \ell \, \mathfrak{s} \, \alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{\mathcal{S}'\}) \, \text{after} \, \mathbb{S}) \,] \, \text{nondet}(\mathbb{B}, \neg \mathbb{B})$

lemma 47.59 with ℓ_0 ← ℓ , ℓ' ← ℓ , and ℓ ← after S

We have to calculate the second term

$$\alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}'}\}) \text{ after } \llbracket \mathbb{S} \rrbracket$$
 (10)

$$= \{\langle x, y \rangle \mid \mathcal{S}' \in \mathcal{D}(\mathsf{after}[S]) \langle x, y \rangle\}$$
 (definition (47.25) of α^d)

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x},\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0,\ \pi_1\rangle, \langle \pi_0',\ \pi_1'\rangle \in \mathcal{S}' \ . \ (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0)\mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0')\mathbf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!]_{\mathsf{after}}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!](\pi_0,\pi_1), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!]_{\mathsf{after}}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!](\pi_0',\pi_1'))\} \quad (\mathsf{definition}\ (47.19)\ \mathsf{of}\ \mathcal{D}^\varrho\langle \mathbf{x},\ \mathbf{y}\rangle)$

```
= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \pi_2'^\ell \stackrel{\mathrm{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}_b] \pi_3'^\ell \stackrel{\neg \mathrm{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{after}[\mathbb{S}] \ . \ \mathscr{B}[\mathbb{B}] \varrho(\pi_2'^\ell) = \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \langle \pi_2'^\ell \stackrel{\mathrm{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}_b] \pi_3'^\ell \land . \ \mathscr{B}[\mathbb{B}] \varrho(\pi_3'^\ell) = \operatorname{ff} \} \ . \ (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_2'^\ell \stackrel{\mathrm{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}_b] \pi_3'^\ell \land z = \varrho(\pi_0')\mathbf{z}) \land \operatorname{diff}(\operatorname{seqval}[\mathbb{y}] \operatorname{after}[\mathbb{S}] (\pi_2'^\ell \stackrel{\mathrm{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}_b] \pi_3'^\ell \land e \stackrel{\neg \mathrm{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{after}[\mathbb{S}]))\}
 \text{definition } \mathscr{S}' \text{ and the other two combinations have already been considered in } (\mathbf{3}-\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{C}.\mathbf{a}) \text{ and } (\mathbf{3}-\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{C}.\mathbf{b}) \land (\mathbf{3}-\mathbf
```

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_{\!\!2}^{\prime} \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_{\!\!3}^{\prime} \ell \xrightarrow{\neg \mathbf{B}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket . \, \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_{\!\!2}^{\prime} \ell) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \langle \pi_{\!\!2}^{\prime} \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_{\!\!3}^{\prime} \ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell} \pi_2^{\prime\prime} \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_{\!\!3}^{\prime} \ell) = \mathsf{ff} \wedge \exists \pi_{\!\!6}^{\prime} \ell . \, \, \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_{\!\!6}^{\prime} \ell) = \mathsf{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} . \\ \varrho(\pi_{\!\!2}^{\prime} \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_{\!\!3}^{\prime} \ell) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_{\!\!6}^{\prime} \ell) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \varrho(\pi_{\!\!2}^{\prime} \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_{\!\!3}^{\prime} \ell) \mathbf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_{\!\!6}^{\prime} \ell) \mathbf{y}) \}$

(definition (6.6) of ϱ , definition (47.16) of seqval[y] and program labeling so that after[S] does not appear in the trace (in particular $\ell \neq \text{after}[S]$), and definition (47.18) of diff

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_2'^\ell \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell \stackrel{\neg \mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] . \quad \mathfrak{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_2'^\ell) = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \langle \pi_2'^\ell \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell \rangle \in \\ \mathfrak{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \wedge \mathfrak{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2''^\ell \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell) = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \exists \pi_0'^\ell . \quad \mathfrak{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) = \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} . \\ \varrho(\pi_2'^\ell \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \varrho(\pi_2'^\ell \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell) \mathbf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathbf{y}) \} \mid \operatorname{nondet}(\neg \mathbb{B}, \neg \mathbb{B})$

(because if $x \notin \text{nondet}(\neg B, \neg B)$ then $x \in \text{det}(\neg B, \neg B)$ so by (47.48), $\mathfrak{B}[\neg B]\varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\pi_2''^{\ell} \stackrel{B}{\longrightarrow} \text{at}[S_b][\pi_0'^{\ell}\ell)$, and $\mathfrak{B}[\neg B]\varrho(\pi_0'^{\ell}\ell)$, we would have $\varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\pi_2''^{\ell}\ell \stackrel{B}{\longrightarrow} \text{at}[S_b][\pi_0'^{\ell}\ell) = \varrho(\pi_0'^{\ell}\ell)$, which with $\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\}$. $\varrho(\pi_2'^{\ell}\ell \stackrel{B}{\longrightarrow} \text{at}[S_b][\pi_0'^{\ell}\ell) = \varrho(\pi_0'^{\ell}\ell)$, in contradiction to $\varrho(\pi_2'^{\ell}\ell \stackrel{B}{\longrightarrow} \text{at}[S_b][\pi_0'^{\ell}\ell) \neq \varrho(\pi_0'^{\ell}\ell)$)

 $\hspace{0.1 cm} \subseteq \hspace{0.1 cm} \{ \langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_{0}, \pi_{1}, \pi_{0}' \; . \; (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_{0} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_{b} \rrbracket) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_{0}' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_{b} \rrbracket) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \langle \pi_{0} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_{b} \rrbracket, \; \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_{b} \rrbracket \pi_{1}^{\ell} \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_{b} \rrbracket \wedge (\varrho(\pi_{0} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_{b} \rrbracket \pi_{1}^{\ell}) \mathbf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_{0}' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_{b} \rrbracket) \mathbf{y} \} \; | \; \mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathbf{B}, \neg \mathbf{B})$

 $= (\{\langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{x} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_1' : (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \langle \pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \, \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \, \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_1 \ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \wedge \langle \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]) \mathbf{z} + \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]) \mathbf{z} \} \\ \cup \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y} \wedge \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_1' : (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \langle \pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \, \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \mathbf{z} + \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]) \mathbf$

(because when $x \neq y$, $\varrho(\pi_0' \operatorname{at}[S_h])y = \varrho(\pi_0 \operatorname{at}[S_h])y$)

- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' : (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land \langle \pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \, \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_1 \ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \land \langle \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_1 \ell \rangle \neq \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]) \mathbf{y} \} \mid \mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B}) \qquad \langle \mathsf{grouping} \, \mathsf{cases} \, \mathsf{together} \rangle$
- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' \ . \ (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket) \mathbf{z}) \land \langle \pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket, \ \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_1^{\ell} \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \land (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_1^{\ell}) \mathbf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket) \mathbf{y} \} \] \ \mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathbf{B}, \neg \mathbf{B})$

```
(letting \rho = \varrho(\pi_0^\ell), \nu = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell\ell}) x so that \forall z \in V \setminus \{x\}. \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) z = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell\ell}) z implies \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell\ell}) = \rho[x \leftarrow \nu].)
```

$$\subseteq (\{\langle x, x \rangle \mid x \in V\} \cup \{\langle x, y \rangle \mid x \in V \land y \in mod[S_b]\}) \mid nondet(\neg B, \neg B)$$

(A coarse approximation is to consider the variables $y \neq x$ appearing to the left of an assignment in S_b , a necessary condition for y to be modified by the execution of S_b in which the set mod [S] of variables that may be modified by the execution of S is syntactically defined as in (47.50). \S

- $= \mathbb{1}_{\text{nondet}(\neg B, \neg B)} \cup \text{nondet}(\neg B, \neg B) \times \text{mod}[S_b]$ (definition])
- Summing up for all subcases of (3–C–C), we get (5) $\subseteq \mathbb{1}_{\mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})} \cup \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \cup (\alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell})^{\ell} \oplus (\mathbb{1}_{\mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})} \cup \mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B}) \times \mathsf{mod}[S_b])$ nondet(B, ¬B).
- (3–B–B) This is the case when the observation prefix traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi_1'$ are both from a break; in the iteration body S_h .

(8)

- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \ell \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0' \ell, \ \ell \pi_1' \rangle \in \{\langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \ \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\operatorname{break}} \ \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \rangle \ | \ \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell) = \operatorname{tt} \land \ell'' \in \operatorname{breaks-of}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \\ \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\operatorname{break}} \ \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \} \ . \ (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \ell) \mathbf{z}) \land \\ \operatorname{diff}(\operatorname{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] (\operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) (\pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_1), \operatorname{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] (\operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) (\pi_0' \ell, \ell \pi_1')) \} \qquad \qquad \langle \operatorname{case} (\mathbf{3} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B}) \rangle$
- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \ \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \ . \ \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell) = \operatorname{tt} \land \ell'' \in \operatorname{breaks-of}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \ \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \ \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\operatorname{break}} \ \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \land \exists \pi_0' \ell \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \ \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3' \ell'' \ . \ \langle \pi_0' \ell, \ell \pi_2' \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \ell \pi_2' \ell) = \operatorname{tt} \land \ell'' \in \operatorname{breaks-of}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \land \langle \pi_0' \ell \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \ \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \ \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3' \ell'' \xrightarrow{\operatorname{break}} \ \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \land (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\}) .$ $\varrho(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \ell) \mathbf{z}) \land \operatorname{diff}(\operatorname{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] (\operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) (\pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \ \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\operatorname{break}} \ \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \} \land (\operatorname{definition of} \in \mathcal{S})$
- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'' : \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell) = \operatorname{tt} \land \ell'' \in \operatorname{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket, \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\operatorname{break}} \operatorname{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \exists \pi_0' \ell \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' \ell'' : \langle \pi_0' \ell, \ell \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' \ell'' : \langle \pi_0' \ell, \ell \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' \ell'' : \langle \pi_0' \ell, \ell \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' \ell'' : \langle \pi_0' \ell, \ell \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' \ell'' : \langle \pi_0' \ell, \ell \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' \ell'' : \langle \pi_0' \ell, \ell \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' \ell'' : \langle \pi_0' \ell, \ell \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' \ell'' : \langle \pi_0' \ell, \ell \pi_2' \ell, \ell \pi_2' \ell, \ell \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' \ell'' : \langle \pi_0' \ell, \ell \pi_2' \ell, \ell \pi_2'$

 $\begin{array}{l} (\langle \pi_0^{\ell},\ \ell\pi_2^{\ell}\rangle \in X \ \text{and} \ X \ \text{contains only iterates of} \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^*[\![\hspace{1pt}[\hspace{1pt}]\hspace{1pt}] \ \text{while} \ \ell \ (B) \ S_b]\!] \ \text{so after}[\![\hspace{1pt}[\hspace{1pt}]\hspace{1pt}] \ \neq \ \ell \ \text{cannot appear in} \ \ell\pi_2^{\ell}. \ \text{Moreover}, \ \langle \pi_0^{\ell}\pi_2^{\ell} \stackrel{B}{\longrightarrow} \ \text{at}[\![\hspace{1pt}[\hspace{1pt}]\hspace{1pt}b]\!], \ \text{at}[\![\hspace{1pt}[\hspace{1pt}]\hspace{1pt}b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \stackrel{\text{break}}{\longrightarrow} \ \text{after}[\![\hspace{1pt}[\hspace{1pt}]\hspace{1pt}b]\!] \ \in \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^*[\![\hspace{1pt}[\hspace{1pt}]\hspace{1pt}B]\!], \ \text{so, by definition of program labeling in section 4.2, after}[\![\hspace{1pt}[\hspace{1pt}S]\hspace{1pt}] \ \neq \ \text{at}[\![\hspace{1pt}[\hspace{1pt}]\hspace{1pt}b]\!] \ \text{cannot appear in at}[\![\hspace{1pt}[\hspace{1pt}S_b]\hspace{1pt}]\hspace{1pt}\pi_3^{\ell''}. \ \text{Therefore, by definitions (6.6) of} \ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \ \text{and} \ (47.16) \ \text{of seqval}[\![\hspace{1pt}[\hspace{1pt}]\hspace{1pt}] \ \ell(\pi_0^{\ell}\pi_2^{\ell} \stackrel{B}{\longrightarrow} \ \text{at}[\![\hspace{1pt}[\hspace{1pt}]\hspace{1pt}B]\hspace{1pt}) \ \text{after}[\![\hspace{1pt}[\hspace{1pt}S]\hspace{1pt}]) = \ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0^{\ell}\pi_2^{\ell} \stackrel{B}{\longrightarrow} \ \text{at}[\![\hspace{1pt}[\hspace{1pt}S_b]\hspace{1pt}]\hspace{1pt}\pi_3^{\ell''}). \ \text{We conclude by definition (47.18) of diff} \ \end{array}$

 $=\bigcup_{\substack{\ell''\in \text{breaks-of}[\mathbb{S}_b]\\ \\ \langle\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell}} \{\langle \mathbf{x},\ \mathbf{y}\rangle\ |\ \exists\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \text{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!]\pi_3\ell''\ .\ \langle\pi_0\ell,\ \ell\pi_2\ell\rangle\in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell)=\operatorname{tt} \land (\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell)^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!],\ \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!]\pi_3\ell'' \xrightarrow{\operatorname{break}} \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]\rangle\in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!] \land \exists\pi_0'\ell\pi_2'\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!]\pi_3'\ell''\ .\ \langle\pi_0'\ell,\ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle\in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0'\ell\pi_2'\ell)=\operatorname{tt} \land \ell'' \in \operatorname{breaks-of}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!] \land \langle\pi_0'\ell\pi_2'\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!],\ \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!]\pi_3'\ell'' \xrightarrow{\operatorname{break}} \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]\rangle\in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!] \land (\forall \mathbb{Z}\in V\setminus \{\mathbb{X}\}\ .\ \varrho(\pi_0\ell)\mathbb{Z}=\varrho(\pi_0'\ell)\mathbb{Z}) \land \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!]\pi_3\ell'')\neq \varrho(\pi_0'\ell\pi_2'\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!]\pi_3'\ell'')\}$ $\subseteq\bigcup_{\substack{\ell''\in \operatorname{breaks-of}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!]} \alpha^4(\{X\})\ell\ \circ (\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\frac{1}{3}}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!]\ell''\]\ \operatorname{nondet}(\mathbb{B},\mathbb{B}))$

(by a reasoning similar to the one we did in case (1-Ba/Bc/C-Bb) from (7) on.)

$$= \alpha^{\operatorname{d}}(\{X\}) \ell \circ \left(\left(\bigcup_{\ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![S_b]\!]} \widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}^{\exists}_{\mathsf{diff}}[\![S_b]\!] \ell'' \right) \mid \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B}) \right) \qquad \text{(\circ, \mathtt{B}) and \mathbb{Z} preserve arbitrary joins (\circ, \mathtt{B}) is -1 to $-1$$$

— (3–B–C) This is the case when the observation prefix trace $\ell \pi_1$ is from a normal exit of the iteration and $\ell \pi_1'$ is from a break; in the iteration body S_b . By symmetry of diff this also covers the inverse case.

```
= \{ \langle \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \ \mid \ \exists \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \ \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} [\![ \mathbf{S}_b ]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \ \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \ \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!], \pi_0' \ell \pi_2' \ell \ \xrightarrow{\neg (\mathbf{B})} \ \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell' \in \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \ \land \ell' \in \mathsf{af
                                \begin{array}{lll} \operatorname{breaks-of}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\langle \pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_2\ell\rangle \in X \wedge \mathbf{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \langle \pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3\ell'' \xrightarrow{\operatorname{break}} \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \rangle &\in \mathbf{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \wedge \langle \pi_0'\ell, \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle &\in X \wedge \mathbf{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0'\ell\pi_2'\ell) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{Z} \in V \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname{ff} \wedge (\mathbf{Z} \setminus \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}_b)) &= \operatorname
                            \{\mathbf{x}\} \quad . \quad \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)\mathbf{z} \ = \ \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)\mathbf{z}) \ \wedge \ \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!](\mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!])(\pi_0^\ell\pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\ \ } \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_h]\!]\pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\ \ \mathsf{break} \ \ } \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_h]\!]\pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\ \ \mathsf{break} \ \ \mathsf{break} \ \ } 
                                  \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!], \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!]), \mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!] (\mathsf{after}[\![S]\!]) (\pi_0' \ell \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\neg(B)} \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!]), \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!]))
                                                                           \langle \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle, \langle \pi_0' \ell, \ell \pi' \ell \rangle \in X and X contains only iterates of \mathcal{F}^* while \ell (B) S_h so
                                                                                      after [S] \neq \ell can appear neither in \ell \pi_2 \ell nor in \ell \pi' \ell. Moreover, \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{B} \text{at} [S_h],
                                                                                         \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_h \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \ \in \ \pmb{\mathcal{S}}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \ \text{so, by definition of program labeling in sec-}
                                                                                    tion 4.2, after [S] \neq at[S_b] cannot appear in at [S_b]\pi_3\ell''. Therefore, by definition (6.6)
                                                                                      \text{of } \varrho \text{ and } (47.16) \text{ of seqval} \llbracket y \rrbracket \ell, \text{ seqval} \llbracket y \rrbracket (\text{after} \llbracket S \rrbracket) (\pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \text{at} \llbracket S_h \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\ break \ }
                                                                                                    \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbb{y}]\!] (\mathsf{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!]) (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!], \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!])
                                                                                    and seqval[y](after[S])(\pi'_{\ell}^{\ell}, \ell\pi'_{\ell}^{\ell} \ell \xrightarrow{\neg(B)} after[S]) = seqval[y](after[S])(\pi'_{\ell}^{\ell} \ell\pi'_{\ell}^{\ell} \ell \xrightarrow{\neg(B)}
                                                                                           after [S], after [S]).
\mathsf{at}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!]\pi_3\ell'' \xrightarrow{\quad \mathsf{break} \quad } \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!] \rangle \quad | \quad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\mathtt{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi^\ell) \quad = \quad \mathsf{tt} \ \land \ \ell'' \quad \in \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \ \land \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \quad \xrightarrow{\ \mathsf{B} \quad } \quad \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \mid \mathsf{B} \mid \mathsf{B} \mid \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \mid \mathsf{B} 
                                                   \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \rangle \ \in \ \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \} \ \land \ \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^\ell \rangle \ \in \ X \ \land \ \langle \pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell, \pi_2'^\ell, \pi_2'^\ell \rangle 
                         \ell \xrightarrow{\neg (B)} \text{after} \llbracket S \rrbracket \rangle \in \{ \langle \pi \ell, \ \ell \xrightarrow{\neg (B)} \text{after} \llbracket S \rrbracket \rangle \ | \ \mathcal{B} \llbracket B \rrbracket \varrho(\pi \ell) \ = \ \text{ff} \} \land (\forall z \in V \setminus V) \}
                            \{x\} \quad . \quad \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell})z = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)z) \ \land \ \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!](\mathsf{after}[\![S]\!])(\pi_0^{\ell}\pi_2^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![S_h]\!]\pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} 
                                  \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!]), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathtt{y}]\!] (\mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!]) (\pi_0' \ell \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathtt{B})} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!])) \} \qquad \text{$(\mathsf{definition of } \in \S)$}
   \text{`[B]} \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi^{\ell}) = \{\langle \pi^{\ell}, \ \ell \overset{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \ \mathsf{at} [\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!] \boldsymbol{\pi}_3 \ell'' \overset{\mathsf{break}}{\longrightarrow} \ \mathsf{after} [\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \rangle \ | \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}} [\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi^{\ell}) = 0 \}
                                                                                           \mathsf{tt} \wedge \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![S_b]\!] \wedge \langle \pi \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![S_b]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![S_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \} \cup \{\langle \pi \ell, \pi \ell, \pi \ell' \mid \mathsf{break} \mid 
                                                                                    \ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathbb{B})} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \rangle \mid \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) = \mathsf{ff} \} \mathsf{with} \ \pi_0 \ell_0 \leftarrow \pi_0 \ell, \ \ell_0 \pi_1 \ell' \leftarrow \ell \pi_2 \ell, \ \ell \leftarrow \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!],
                                                                                    \ell'\pi_2\ell \leftarrow \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[S_h]\pi_3\ell'' \xrightarrow{\operatorname{break}} \operatorname{after}[S], \ell\pi_3 \leftarrow \operatorname{after}[S] \text{ so } \pi_3 = \ni, \text{ and } \pi_0'\ell_0 \leftarrow \pi_0'\ell,
                                                                                      \ell_0 \pi_1' \ell' \leftarrow \ell_0 \pi_2' \ell, \ell' \pi_2' \ell \leftarrow \ell \xrightarrow{B} \mathsf{at} \llbracket S_h \rrbracket, \ell \pi_2' \leftarrow \mathsf{after} \llbracket S \rrbracket \text{ so } \pi_2' = \emptyset
                                      Similar to the calculation starting at (10), we have to calculate the second term
                           \alpha^{d}(\{\mathcal{S}'\}) after [\![S]\!]
   = \{\langle x, y \rangle \mid \mathcal{S}' \in \mathcal{D}(after[S])\langle x, y \rangle\}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         ? definition (47.25) of \alpha^{d}
```

```
= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \quad | \quad \exists \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0', \ \pi_1' \rangle \quad \in \quad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}'} \quad . \quad (\forall \mathbf{z} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathbf{x}\} \quad . \quad \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0) \mathbf{z} \ = \quad \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0') \mathbf{z}) \ \land \quad \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0) \mathbf{z} \quad = \quad \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0') \mathbf{z} \quad \land \quad \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0') \mathbf{z} \quad \land \quad \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0') \mathbf{z} \quad \land \quad \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0') \mathbf{z} \quad = \quad \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0') \mathbf{z} \quad \land \quad \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0') \mathbf{z} \quad = \quad \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0') \mathbf{z} \quad \land \quad \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0') \mathbf{z} \quad = \quad \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0') \mathbf{z} \quad \land \quad \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0') \mathbf{z} \quad = \quad \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0') \mathbf{z} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0') \mathbf{z
                                                  \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!]\mathsf{after}[\![S]\!](\pi_0,\pi_1),\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!]\mathsf{after}[\![S]\!](\pi_0',\pi_1'))\} \qquad (\mathsf{definition}\ (47.19)\ \mathsf{of}\ \mathcal{D}^\ell\langle x,\ y\rangle)
= \{ \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \quad | \quad \exists \pi^{\ell} \quad \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \quad \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_{h} \rrbracket \pi_{3}^{\ell''} \quad \xrightarrow{\mathbf{break}} \quad \operatorname{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket, \pi'^{\ell} \quad \xrightarrow{\neg (\mathbf{B})} \quad \operatorname{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \quad .
                                                                            \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!] \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] (\pi^{\ell}, \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![S_h]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!]), \mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!] \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] (\pi'^{\ell}, \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!])
                                              \xrightarrow{\neg (B)} \mathsf{after} \llbracket S \rrbracket))\}
                                                                                                                                     7 definition of S' and the other two combinations have already been considered in (3–B–B)
                                                                                                                                                         and (2-C-C)
= \{ \langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket, \pi'^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\neg (\mathbf{B})} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \; . \; \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \ell'' \in \mathsf{start} \rbrace \; . \; \mathsf{start} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \ell'' \in \mathsf{start} \rbrace \; . \; \mathsf{start} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \ell'' \in \mathsf{start} \rbrace \; . \; \mathsf{start} \; . \; \mathsf{start} \rbrace \; . \; \mathsf{start} \rbrace \; . \; \mathsf{start} \; . \; \mathsf{start} \; . \; \mathsf{start} \rbrace \; . \; \mathsf{start} \;
                                                        \mathsf{breaks\text{-}of}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!] \land \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!], \, \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!] \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbb{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi'\ell) = \mathsf{ff} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbb{S}]\!] \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbb{S}]
                                           (\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\} : \varrho(\pi^{\ell})z = \varrho(\pi'^{\ell})z) \wedge \varrho(\pi^{\ell} \xrightarrow{B} \mathsf{at} \llbracket S_h \rrbracket \pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket S \rrbracket)y \neq \varrho(\pi'^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\neg(B)} \mathsf{after} \rrbracket y \Rightarrow \varrho(\pi'^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\neg(B)} \mathsf{after} ) \Rightarrow \varrho(\pi'^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\neg(B)} \mathsf{
                                                        after[S])y)}
                                                                                                                                     ( \langle \pi^{\ell} \quad \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \quad \operatorname{at}[\![ \mathbb{S}_b]\!], \quad \operatorname{at}[\![ \mathbb{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \quad \xrightarrow{\operatorname{break}} \quad \operatorname{after}[\![ \mathbb{S}]\!] \rangle \quad \in \quad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^*[\![ \mathbb{S}_b]\!] \quad \text{so, by definition}
                                                                                                                                                         nition of program labeling in section 4.2, after [S] \neq at[S_b] cannot appear
                                                                                                                                                         in at [S_h] \pi_3 \ell''. Therefore, by definitions (6.6) of \varrho and (47.16) of sequal [y] \ell,
                                                                                                                                                      \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathtt{y}]\!](\mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!])(\pi_0^{\,\ell},\ell\pi_2^{\,\ell} \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{at}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!]\pi_3^{\,\ell''} \xrightarrow{\ break \ } \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!]) = \varrho(\pi^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{at}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!]\pi_3^{\,\ell''})
                                                                                                                                                      and seqval[y](after[S])(\pi'\ell, \ell\pi'\ell \xrightarrow{\neg(B)} after[S]) = \varrho(\pi'\ell\pi'\ell). We conclude by
                                                                                                                                                         definition (47.18) of diff \( \)
\subseteq \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\  \  \, } \mathtt{at} [\![ \mathbf{S}_b ]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\  \  \, } \mathtt{after} [\![ \mathbf{S}]\!], \pi'^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\  \  \, } \mathtt{after} [\![ \mathbf{S}]\!] \ . \ \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of} [\![ \mathbf{S}_b ]\!] \land \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathbf{S}]\!] 
                                               \langle \pi^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbb{S}_b \rrbracket, \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbb{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\operatorname{break}} \operatorname{after} \llbracket \mathbb{S} \rrbracket \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathbb{S}_b \rrbracket \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{z}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) \mathsf{z
                                              \varrho(\pi^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_h \rrbracket \pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi'^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \mathsf{y}) \rbrace \upharpoonright \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})
                                                                                                                                     The because if x \notin \text{nondet}(B, \neg B) then x \in \text{det}(B, \neg B) so by (47.48), \Re [B] \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) = \text{tt} and
                                                                                                                                                            \mathfrak{B}[\neg B] \varrho(\pi' \ell) = \text{tt imply } \varrho(\pi \ell) x = \varrho(\pi' \ell) x, which together with \forall z \in V \setminus \{x\}.
                                                                                                                                                                                 \varrho(\pi^{\ell})z = \varrho(\pi'^{\ell})z, implies that \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) = \varrho(\pi'^{\ell}), in contradiction to \Re [\![B]\!]\varrho(\pi^{\ell}) = tt
                                                                                                                                                         and \mathfrak{B}[\![B]\!]\varrho(\pi'\ell) = ff
                                           \bigcup_{\ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![S_b]\!]} \{ \langle \mathtt{x}, \ \mathtt{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{at}[\![S_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\ break \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!], \pi' \ell \xrightarrow{\ \neg(\mathtt{B}) \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B \ } \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\ B 
                                                        \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \rangle \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi^\ell) \mathbf{z} = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi'^\ell) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{break}) \wedge (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi^\ell) \mathbf{z} = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi'^\ell) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi^\ell) \wedge \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi^\ell)
```

7 definition of ∪ \

 $\mathsf{at}[S_h \| \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[S]) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi' \ell \xrightarrow{\neg(B)} \mathsf{after}[S]) \mathsf{y}) \} \upharpoonright \mathsf{nondet}(B, \neg B)$

$$\subseteq \bigcup_{\ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![S_b]\!]} (\{\langle \mathtt{x}, \ \mathtt{x} \rangle \mid \mathtt{x} \in \mathcal{V}\} \cup \{\langle \mathtt{x}, \ \mathtt{y} \rangle \mid \mathtt{x} \in \mathcal{V} \land \mathtt{y} \in \mathsf{mod}[\![S_b]\!]\}) \mid \mathsf{nondet}(\mathtt{B}, \neg \mathtt{B})$$

(because if $y \neq x$ then $\varrho(\pi^{\ell})y = \varrho(\pi'^{\ell})y = \varrho(\pi'^{\ell})y = \varrho(\pi'^{\ell})y = \varrho(\pi'^{\ell})y$ after [S] y so for the value of y to be different in $\varrho(\pi^{\ell} \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[S_b]\pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{break}} \operatorname{after}[S]) = \varrho(\pi^{\ell} \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[S_b]\pi_3^{\ell''}) = \varrho(\pi'^{\ell} \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at}[S_b]\pi_3^{\ell''}),$ y must be modified during the execution $\operatorname{at}[S_b]\pi_3^{\ell''}$ of S_b . A coarse approximation is to consider that variable y appears to the left of an assignment in S_b , a necessary condition for y to be modified by the execution of S_b where the set mod [S] of variables that may be modified by the execution of S is syntactically defined as in (47.50).

 $(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{V}} \cup \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \land \mathbf{y} \in \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\}) \mid \mathsf{nondet}(\mathbf{B}, \neg \mathbf{B}) \qquad \text{$($definition of the identity relation 1) and } \cup \text{$($definition$

$$= \mathbb{1}_{\mathsf{nondet}(\mathbb{B}, \neg \mathbb{B})} \cup (\mathsf{nondet}(\mathbb{B}, \neg \mathbb{B}) \times \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbb{S}_b]\!])$$
 (definition of \rceil)

- Summing up for cases (3-B-B) and (3-B-C), we get

$$(5) \subseteq \alpha^{4}(\{X\})\ell_{9}^{\circ}\left(\left(\bigcup_{\ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![S_{b}]\!]}\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}^{\exists}_{\mathsf{diff}}[\![S_{b}]\!]\ell''\right)]\mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B})\right) \cup \mathbb{1}_{\mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B},\neg\mathsf{B})} \cup (\mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B},\neg\mathsf{B}) \times \mathsf{mod}[\![S_{b}]\!]).$$

— Summing up for all subcases of (3) for a dependency observation point $\ell' = \text{after}[S]$, we would get a term (47.63.c) of the form

that can be simplified as follows (while losing precision)

 $\mathsf{nondet}(\mathtt{B},\mathtt{B}) \Big) \cup \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{V}} \cup (\mathbb{V} \times \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!])$

(5)

(because nondet(B₁, B₂)
$$\subseteq V$$
 so $\mathbb{1}_{\mathsf{nondet}(B_1,B_2)} \subseteq \mathbb{1}_V$ and definition of $\mathbb{1}$)

$$\leq \mathbbm{1}_{\mathbb{V}} \cup \alpha^{\mathbb{d}}(\{X\}) \ell \cup (\alpha^{\mathbb{d}}(\{X\}) \ell_{\mathfrak{I}}^{\mathfrak{d}} \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{V}}) \cup (\alpha^{\mathbb{d}}(\{X\}) \ell_{\mathfrak{I}}^{\mathfrak{d}} \mathbb{V} \times \operatorname{mod}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!])) \cup \alpha^{\mathbb{d}}(\{X\}) \ell_{\mathfrak{I}}^{\mathfrak{d}} \Big(\Big(\bigcup_{\ell'' \in \operatorname{breaks-of}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!]} \widehat{\overline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}}_{\operatorname{diff}}^{\mathbb{d}} \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{V}} \Big) \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{V}} \\ = \operatorname{nondet}(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B}) \Big) \cup \mathbbm{1}_{\mathbb{V}} \cup (\mathbb{V} \times \operatorname{mod}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!])$$

lbecause β distributes over ∪∫

$$= \ \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{V}} \cup \alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\{X\}) \ell \cup \left((\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{V}} \cup \alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\{X\}) \ell) \circ (\mathbb{V} \times \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!])\right) \cup \alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\{X\}) \ell \circ \left(\left(\bigcup_{\ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]} \widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\mathsf{diff}}^{\mathbb{I}}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \ell''\right) \cap (\mathbb{V} \times \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]) \cup \alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\{X\}) \ell \circ \left(\left(\bigcup_{\ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]} \widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\mathsf{diff}}^{\mathbb{I}}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \ell''\right) \cap (\mathbb{V} \times \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]) \cup \alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\{X\}) \ell \circ \left(\left(\bigcup_{\ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]} \widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\mathsf{diff}}^{\mathbb{I}}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \ell''\right) \cap (\mathbb{V} \times \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]) \cup \alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\{X\}) \ell \circ \left(\left(\bigcup_{\ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]} \widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\mathsf{diff}}^{\mathbb{I}}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \ell''\right) \cap (\mathbb{V} \times \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]) \cup (\mathbb{V} \times \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{S$$

nondet(B, B) (idempotency law for
$$\cup$$
 and \circ distributes over \cup)

After simplification, we get a term (47.63.c) of the form

For fixpoints X of $\mathcal{F}^{\text{diff}}[\text{while }\ell$ (B) S_b , we have $\mathbb{1}_V \subseteq X(\ell)$ by (47.63.a) so that, by the chaotic iteration theorem [1, 2], $\mathbb{1}_V \cup X(\ell)$ can be replaced by $X(\ell)$. We get a term (47.63.c) of the form

— Summing up for all cases (1), (2), and (3) for all dependency observation points, we conclude that

$$\forall \ell' \in \mathsf{labx}[\![S]\!] \ . \ \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^*[\![\mathtt{while}\ \ell\ (\mathsf{B})\ S_h]\!](X)\}) \ \ell' \subseteq \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathsf{diff}}[\![\mathtt{while}\ \ell\ (\mathsf{B})\ S_h]\!] \ \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\}) \ \ell'$$

proving pointwise semicommutation.

5 Mathematical Proofs of Chapter 48

Proof of Lemma 48.63 By induction on the sequence of calls to unify. We proceed by by calculational design and case analysis on the structure of τ_1 and τ_2 which can be a variable or a structured term and may belong to the domain of θ_0 , or not.

• If unify $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \vartheta_0) = \Omega_s^r$ in case (48.47.8) of an occurs check, we have $\gamma_s^r(\Omega_s^r) = \emptyset$ by (48.46). By the test (48.47.8), $\alpha \in \text{vars}[\tau_2]$. If $\tau_2 = \beta \in V_t$ were a variable then the test $\alpha \in \text{vars}[\tau_2]$ at (48.47.8) would be true only if $\alpha = \beta$ but this case is prevented by the test (48.47.7). By

contradiction, $\tau_2 \notin V_{\ell}$ in case (48.47.8). It follows, by definition (48.51) of γ_e that $\gamma_e(\tau_1 = \tau_2) = \gamma_e(\alpha = \tau_2) = \emptyset$ because otherwise, there would be some $\boldsymbol{\varrho}$ such that $\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\tau_1) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(f(\dots \alpha \dots))$ which would be an infinite object not in \mathbf{P}^{ν} , as shown in lemma 48.9.

- By lemma 48.58, unify does terminate so that, in case (48.47.6) with $\vartheta_n = \Omega_s^r$ there must be a series of recursive calls ending up in (48.47.8). So τ_1 or τ_2 has a recursive subterm, which again by lemma 48.9, implies $\gamma_s^r(\text{unify}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \vartheta_0)) = \gamma_s^r(\text{unify}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \vartheta_0)) = \gamma_s^r(\Omega_s^r) = \emptyset$;
- In case (48.47.6) with $\vartheta_n \neq \Omega_s^r$, we have,

$$\begin{split} & \gamma_{e}(\tau_{1} = \tau_{2}) \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\theta_{0}) \\ & = \gamma_{e}(f(\tau_{1}^{1}, \dots, \tau_{1}^{n}) = g(\tau_{2}^{1}, \dots, \tau_{2}^{m})) \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\theta_{0}) \\ & = \gamma_{e}(f(\tau_{1}^{1}, \dots, \tau_{1}^{n}) = f(\tau_{2}^{1}, \dots, \tau_{2}^{m})) \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\theta_{0}) \\ & = \gamma_{e}(f(\tau_{1}^{1}, \dots, \tau_{1}^{n}) = f(\tau_{2}^{1}, \dots, \tau_{2}^{m})) \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\theta_{0}) \\ & = \{\varrho \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{v}} \mid \varrho(f(\tau_{1}^{1}, \dots, \tau_{1}^{n})) = \varrho(f(\tau_{2}^{1}, \dots, \tau_{2}^{n}))\} \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\theta_{0}) \\ & = \{\varrho \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{v}} \mid \varrho(\tau_{1}^{1}) = \varrho(\tau_{2}^{1})\} \\ & = \{\varrho \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{v}} \mid \varrho(\tau_{1}^{1}) = \varrho(\tau_{2}^{1})\} \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\theta_{0}) \\ & = (\{\varrho \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{v}} \mid \varrho(\tau_{1}^{1}) = \varrho(\tau_{2}^{1})\} \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\theta_{0}) \\ & = (\{\varrho \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{v}} \mid \varrho(\tau_{1}^{1}) = \varrho(\tau_{2}^{1})\} \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\theta_{0})) \cap \bigcap_{2=1}^{n} \{\varrho \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{v}} \mid \varrho(\tau_{1}^{1}) = \varrho(\tau_{2}^{1})\} \\ & = (\{\varrho \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{v}} \mid \varrho(\tau_{1}^{1}) = \varrho(\tau_{2}^{1})\} \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\theta_{0})) \cap \bigcap_{2=1}^{n} \{\varrho \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{v}} \mid \varrho(\tau_{1}^{1}) = \varrho(\tau_{2}^{1})\} \\ & = (\{\varrho \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{v}} \mid \varrho(\tau_{1}^{1}) = \varrho(\tau_{2}^{1})\} \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\theta_{0})) \cap \bigcap_{2=1}^{n} \{\varrho \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{v}} \mid \varrho(\tau_{1}^{1}) = \varrho(\tau_{2}^{1})\} \\ & = (\{\varrho \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{v}} \mid \varrho(\tau_{1}^{1}) = \varrho(\tau_{2}^{1})\} \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\theta_{1}) \\ & = (\{\varrho \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{v}} \mid \varrho(\tau_{1}^{1}, \tau_{2}^{1}, \theta_{0}) \text{ in} \\ & \bigcap_{1 \leq j \leq 1}^{n} \{\varrho \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{v}} \mid \varrho(\tau_{1}^{1}) = \varrho(\tau_{2}^{1})\} \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\theta_{1}) \\ & = (\{\varrho \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{v}} \mid \varrho(\tau_{1}^{1}, \tau_{2}^{1}, \theta_{0}) \text{ in} \\ & \bigcap_{1 \leq j \neq 1}^{n} \{\varrho \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{v}} \mid \varrho(\tau_{1}^{1}) = \varrho(\tau_{2}^{1})\} \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\theta_{j}) \\ & = (\{\varrho \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{v}} \mid \varrho(\tau_{1}^{1}, \tau_{2}^{1}, \theta_{0}) \text{ in} \\ & \cap_{1 \leq j \neq 1}^{n} \{\varrho(\tau_{1}^{1}, \tau_{2}^{1}, \theta_{0}) \text{ in} \\ & \cap_{1 \leq j \neq 1}^{n} \{\varrho(\tau_{1}^{1}, \tau_{2}^{1}, \theta_{0}) \text{ in} \\ & \cap_{1 \leq j \neq 1}^{n} \{\varrho(\tau_{1}^{1}, \tau_{2}^{1}, \theta_{0}) \text{ in} \\ & \cap_{1 \leq j \neq 1}^{n} \{\varrho(\tau_{1}^{1}, \tau_{2}^{1}, \theta_{0}) \text{ in} \\ & \cap_{1 \leq j \neq 1}^{n} \{\varrho(\tau_{1}^{1}, \tau_{2}^{1}, \theta_{0}) \text{ in} \\ & \cap_{1 \leq j \neq 1}^{n} \{\varrho(\tau_{1}^{1}, \tau_{2}^{1}, \theta_{0}) \text{ in} \\ & \cap_{1 \leq j \neq 1}^{n} \{\varrho(\tau_{1}^{1}, \tau_{2}^{1}, \theta_{0}) \text{ in} \\ & \cap_{1 \leq j \neq 1}^{n} \{\varrho(\tau_{1}^{1}, \tau_{2}^{1}, \theta_{0}) \text{ in} \\ & \cap_{1 \leq j \neq 1}^{n} \{\varrho(\tau_{1}^{1}, \tau_{2}^{1}, \theta_{0}) \text{ in} \\ & \cap_{1 \leq j \neq 1}^{n} \{\varrho$$

```
= let \theta_1 = unify(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i^1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^1, \theta_0) in
                          \begin{split} &\text{let } \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_j = \text{unify}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i^j, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^j, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{j-1}) \text{ in} \\ &\text{let } \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{j+1} = \text{unify}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i^{j+1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^{j+1}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_j) \text{ in} \end{split}
                                             \bigcap_{i=j+2}^{n} \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\nu} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{i}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{i}) \} \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\vartheta_{j+1}) \text{ induction hypothesis and } \bigcap \text{ commutative} \}
       = let \theta_1 = unify(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i^1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^1, \theta_0) in
                        let \theta_j = \text{unify}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i^n, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^n, \theta_{n-1}) in
                                     \bigcap_{i=n+2}^{"}\{\boldsymbol{\varrho}\in\mathbf{P}^{\vee}\mid\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{i}^{1})=\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{i})\}\cap\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{s}^{\mathrm{r}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{n})
                                                                                                                                                                                                      (by recurrence when j + 1 = n)
      = let \theta_1 = \text{unify}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i^1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^1, \theta_0) in
                          let \theta_i = \text{unify}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i^n, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^n, \theta_{n-1}) in y_s^r(\theta_n)
                                                                    (because \bigcap_{i=n+2}^n \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\vee} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i^1) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_2^i) \} = \bigcap \emptyset = \mathbf{P}^{\vee} is the identity for \cap )
• In case (48.47.7), we have
               \gamma_{e}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1} \doteq \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}) \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\vartheta_{0})
      = \gamma_{e}(\alpha \doteq \alpha) \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\vartheta_{0})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                         \alpha \in V_{\mathbb{P}} by test (48.47.7)
       = \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\nu} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\alpha) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\alpha) \} \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\vartheta_{0})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                           definition (48.51) of \gamma_e
       = \mathbf{P}^{\nu} \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\vartheta_{0})
                                                                                                                                                                                     (because \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\nu} \triangleq V_{\ell} \to \mathbf{T} by (48.6))
       = \gamma_s^r(\theta_0)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                          \langle \mathbf{P}^{\nu}  is the identity for \cap \langle
      = \gamma_s^r(\text{unify}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_0))
                                                                                                                                                                                        ? definition of unify in case (48.47.7) \
• In case (48.47.11), we have
               \gamma_{e}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1} \doteq \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}) \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\vartheta_{0})
      = \gamma_{\rm e}(\alpha \doteq \boldsymbol{\tau}_2) \cap \gamma_{\rm s}^{\rm r}(\vartheta_0)
                              (where \alpha \in V_t by test (48.47.9), \alpha \notin \text{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}_2]\!] because test (48.47.8) is ff, \alpha \notin \text{dom}(\theta_0)
                                 by test (48.47.10), and \tau_2 \notin V_{t} because test (48.47.1) is ff)
       = \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\nu} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\alpha) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}) \} \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\vartheta_{0})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                           definition (48.51) of \gamma_e
       = \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\nu} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\alpha) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}) \} \cap \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\nu} \mid \forall \beta \in V_{\#} : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\vartheta_{0}(\beta)) \}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                           definition (48.52) of \gamma_s^r
       = \{ \boldsymbol{\rho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\vee} \mid \boldsymbol{\rho}(\alpha) = \boldsymbol{\rho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_2) \land \forall \beta \in V_{\#} : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\beta) = \boldsymbol{\rho}(\vartheta_0(\beta)) \}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    ? definition of ∩ \
```

```
definition (48.7) of assignment application where \rho(\alpha) is replaced by its equal \rho(\tau_2) and
                             for \beta \in V_{t} \setminus \{\alpha\}, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta) is replaced by its equal \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\vartheta_{0}(\beta))
      = \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\vee} \mid \forall \beta \in \mathbb{V}_{t} : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta) = [\![ \beta = \alpha \ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\theta_{0}(\beta)[\beta \in \mathbb{Vars}[\![ \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}]\!] \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}]) : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\{\langle \alpha, \ \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2} \rangle\}(\theta_{0}(\beta))) ]\!] \}
                                                                                                                                                                          7 by exercise 48.60 where \tau' = \theta_0(\beta)
     = \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{P}}^{\nu} \mid \forall \beta \in V_{t} : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta) = [\![ \beta = \alpha \ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\vartheta_{0}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2})) \ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\{\langle \alpha, \ \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2} \rangle\}(\vartheta_{0}(\beta))) ]\!] \} \quad \text{by exercise } 48.62 \}
     = \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\vee} \mid \forall \beta \in V_{\#} : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}([\beta = \alpha ? \vartheta_0(\boldsymbol{\tau}_2) ? (\{\langle \alpha, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle\} \cdot \vartheta_0)(\beta)]) \}
                                                                                                                   ? definitions the conditional and function composition • \
     = \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{P}}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mid \forall \beta \in \boldsymbol{\mathbb{V}}_{\boldsymbol{t}} : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}([\![\beta = \alpha\ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\ (\{\langle \alpha,\ \boldsymbol{\tau}_2\rangle\} \circ \vartheta_0)(\alpha) \circ (\{\langle \alpha,\ \boldsymbol{\tau}_2\rangle\} \circ \vartheta_0)(\beta) \,]\!]) \}
                                  The because X \notin \text{dom}(\theta_0) so (\{\langle \alpha, \tau_2 \rangle\} \circ \theta_0)(\alpha) = \{\langle \alpha, \tau_2 \rangle\}(\theta_0(\alpha)) = \{\langle \alpha, \tau_2 \rangle\}(\alpha) = \tau_2 
     = \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\nu} \mid \forall \beta \in V_{\#} : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\{\langle \alpha, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2} \rangle\} \circ \vartheta_{0})(\beta) \}
                                                                                                                                                                                             ?definition of the conditional \
     = \gamma_s^r\{\langle \alpha, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle\} \circ \vartheta_0
                                                                                                                                                                                                             ? definition (48.52) of \gamma_s^r
     = \gamma_s^r(\text{unify}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_0))
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                7(48.47.11)
• In case (48.47.12), we have \tau_1 = \alpha \in \text{dom}(\theta_0) by tests (48.47.9) and (48.47.10) and \tau_2 \notin V_{t}
     because test (48.47.1) is ff.
             \gamma_{e}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1} \doteq \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}) \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\vartheta_{0})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          \{\boldsymbol{\tau}_1 = \alpha\}
     = \gamma_{e}(\alpha \doteq \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}) \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\vartheta_{0})
      = \{ \pmb{\varrho} \in \pmb{\mathsf{P}}^{\nu} \mid \pmb{\varrho}(\alpha) = \pmb{\varrho}(\pmb{\tau}_2) \land \forall \beta \in V_{\!\!\!\#} \ . \ \pmb{\varrho}(\beta) = \pmb{\varrho}(\vartheta_0(\beta)) \}
                                                                                                              \langle definition (48.51) of \gamma_e, (48.52) of \gamma_s^r, and definition of \cap
```

 $= \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{P}}^{\vee} \mid \forall \beta \in \boldsymbol{\mathbb{V}}_{t} : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta) = [\![\beta = \alpha \ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\vartheta_{0}(\beta)[\beta \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{vars}}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}]\!] \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}]) : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}[\alpha \leftarrow \vartheta_{0}(\beta)])] \}$

• In case (48.47.13) we are back to (48.47.11) or (48.47.12) by the symmetry argument of remark 48.49.

 $\alpha \in \text{dom}(\theta_0) \text{ so } \rho(\alpha) = \rho(\theta_0(\beta)) = \rho(\tau_2)$

induction hypothesis of lemma 48.63

7(48.47.12)

definition (48.51) of γ_e , (48.52) of γ_s^r , and definition of \cap

The following lemma 11 shows that new entries are successively added to the table T_0 .

 $= \{ \pmb{\varrho} \in \pmb{\mathsf{P}}^{\scriptscriptstyle V} \mid \pmb{\varrho}(\vartheta_0(\alpha)) = \pmb{\varrho}(\pmb{\tau}_2) \land \forall \beta \in V_{\!\! \text{\tiny ℓ}} \ . \ \pmb{\varrho}(\beta) = \pmb{\varrho}(\vartheta_0(\beta)) \}$

 $= \gamma_e(\vartheta_0(\alpha) \doteq \boldsymbol{\tau}_2) \cap \gamma_s^r(\vartheta_0)$

= $\gamma_s^r(\text{unify}(\vartheta_0(\alpha), \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \vartheta_0))$

= $\gamma_s^r(\text{unify}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_0))$

Lemma 11 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0 \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$, if $\mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0)$ is (recursively) called from the main call $\mathsf{lcg}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0)$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T' \rangle = \mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0)$, then

preinvariant:
$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2} \in \mathbf{T}^{v} \wedge T_{0} \in V_{\underline{t}} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}^{v} \times \mathbf{T}^{v}$$
 (12) postinvariant: $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{T}^{v} \wedge T' \in V_{\underline{t}} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}^{v} \times \mathbf{T}^{v} \wedge \text{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}]\!] \subseteq \text{dom}(T') \wedge \forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(T_{0}) . T_{0}(\alpha) = T'(\alpha)$

Proof of Lemma 11 By induction on the sequence of calls to lub and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (48.68.2), ..., (48.68.4), and by case analysis on the conditional.

The first call at (48.68.12) satisfies the preinvariant of (48.39) because τ_1^0 , $\tau_2^0 \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$ by hypothesis and $T_0 = \emptyset \in V_{\bar{x}} \nrightarrow \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$;

Assuming that an intermediate call to $lub(\tau_1, \tau_2, T_0)$ satisfies the preinvariant (48.39), the proof that it satisfies the postinvariant (48.39) is by case analysis.

- In case (48.68.5), $\tau_j \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$ by hypothesis on the intermediate call, so $\tau_j^i \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$, i = 1, ..., n, j = 1, 2, by the test (48.68.1). Then we proceed by recurrence on the recursive calls.
 - For the basis i = 0, T_0 satisfies (48.39) by hypothesis on the intermediate call;
 - Assume, by recurrence hypothesis for $i \in [0, n[$, that $T_i \in V_{\bar{t}} \to \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \wedge \forall \alpha \in \mathrm{dom}(T_0)$. $T_0(\alpha) = T_i(\alpha)$. Then, by induction on the sequence of calls to lub, $\mathbf{\tau}^{i+1} \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$ and $T_{i+1} \in V_{\bar{t}} \to \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \wedge \mathrm{vars}[\![\mathbf{\tau}^{i+1}]\!] \subseteq \mathrm{dom}(T_{i+1}) \wedge \forall \alpha \in \mathrm{dom}(T_i)$. $T_i(\alpha) = T_{i+1}(\alpha)$. By transitivity, $\forall \alpha \in \mathrm{dom}(T_0)$. $T_0(\alpha) = T_{i+1}(\alpha)$.

By recurrence for $i=n, T'=T_n$ at (48.68.5) satisfies (48.39) because $\boldsymbol{\tau}^i \in \mathbf{T}^v$, $i=1,\ldots,n$, implies $f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\tau}^n) \in \mathbf{T}^v$ and $\text{vars}[f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\tau}^n)] = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{vars}[\boldsymbol{\tau}^i]$;

- The case (48.68.7) is trivial because $\beta \in \mathbf{T}^{\vee}$, $T' = T_0$, and $\beta \in \text{dom}(T_0)$;
- In case (48.68.9), $T_0 \in V_{\bar{t}} \to \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$ by hypothesis, $\beta \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$, and $\beta \in V_{\bar{t}} \setminus \text{dom}(T_0)$ by the test (48.68.8) so $T' = \langle \mathbf{\tau}_1, \mathbf{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0] \in V_{\bar{t}} \to \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$ and for all $\alpha \in \text{dom}(T_0)$, $\alpha \neq \beta$ so $T'(\alpha) = \langle \mathbf{\tau}_1, \mathbf{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0](\alpha) = T_0(\alpha)$. Moreover $\beta \in \text{Vars}[\![\langle \mathbf{\tau}_1, \mathbf{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0]]\!] = \text{Vars}[\![T']\!]$. \square

Remark Lemma 11 shows that T_0 can be declared as a variable local to lcg and global to lub, which would be unitialized to \emptyset and updated by an assignment at (48.68.9).

For $T \in V_{t} \to \mathbf{T}^{v} \times \mathbf{T}^{v}$, let us define, when $\alpha \in \text{dom}(T)$,

$$\overline{\zeta}_1(T)\alpha \triangleq \det \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle = T(\alpha) \text{ in } \boldsymbol{\tau}_1$$

$$\overline{\zeta}_2(T)\alpha \triangleq \det \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle = T(\alpha) \text{ in } \boldsymbol{\tau}_2$$

$$(13)$$

(which is undefined when $\alpha \notin \text{dom}(T)$ in which case (48.30) applies, in particular when $T = \emptyset$). The following lemma 14 shows that table T_0 maintains two substitutions $\bar{\varsigma}_1(T)$ and $\bar{\varsigma}_1(T)$ which can be used to instantiate the term resulting from the call to the parameters.

Lemma 14 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}$ and $T_0 \in \wp(V_{\bar{t}} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu})$, if $\mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0)$ is (recursively) called from the main call $\mathsf{lcg}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0)$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T' \rangle = \mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0)$, then

$$\bar{\zeta}_1(T')\boldsymbol{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\zeta}_2(T')\boldsymbol{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2$$
 (15)

Proof of Lemma 14 The preinvariant is tt. By induction on the sequence of calls to lub and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (48.68.2), ..., (48.68.4), and by case analysis for the conditional.

- In case (48.68.5), by recurrence and induction on the sequence of recursive calls to leq, we have $\overline{\zeta}_1(T_i)\boldsymbol{\tau}^i = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^i$ and $\overline{\zeta}_2(T_i)\boldsymbol{\tau}^i = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^i$ for all $i \in [1,n]$. By the postinvariant of (48.39), we have $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(T_i)$. $T_0(\alpha) = T_{i+1}(\alpha)$. It follows, by (13) that $\forall \alpha \in \text{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}^i]\!] \subseteq \text{dom}(T_i)$. $T_i(\alpha) = T_{i+1}(\alpha)$. Therefore, by (13), $\forall \alpha \in \text{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}^i]\!]$. $\vartheta_j(T_{i+1})(\boldsymbol{\tau}^i) = \vartheta_j(T_i)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^i)$. It follows by (48.30) that $\vartheta_j(T_n)(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1,\boldsymbol{\tau}^2,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\tau}^n)) = f(\vartheta_j(T_n)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1),\vartheta_j(T_n)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^2),\ldots,\vartheta_j(T_n)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^n)) = f(\vartheta_j(T_1)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1),\vartheta_j(T_2)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^2),\ldots,\vartheta_j(T_n)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^n)) = f(\vartheta_j(T_1)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1),\vartheta_j(T_2)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^2),\ldots,\vartheta_j(T_n)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^n)) = f(\vartheta_j(T_1)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1),\vartheta_j(T_2)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^2),\ldots,\vartheta_j(T_n)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^n))$
- In case (48.68.7), (15) directly follows from $\tau = \beta$, $T' = T_0$, $\beta \in \text{dom}(T_0)$, $T_0(\beta) = \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$, and (13);
- In case (48.68.9), $\bar{\zeta}_j(T')\boldsymbol{\tau} = \vartheta_j(\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0])\beta = \text{if } \beta \in \text{dom}(T) \text{ then let } \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1', \, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2' \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0](\beta) \text{ in } \boldsymbol{\tau}_j' \text{ else } \alpha = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j, \, j = 1, 2.$

 $lgc(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)$ computes an upper bound of $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}_2$.

Lemma 16 For all
$$\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathsf{T}^{\nu}$$
, the lgc algorithm terminates with $[\tau_1]_{=^{\nu}} \leq_{=^{\nu}} [\lg \mathsf{c}(\tau_1, \tau_2)]_{=^{\nu}}$ and $[\tau_2]_{=^{\nu}} \leq_{=^{\nu}} [\lg \mathsf{c}(\tau_1, \tau_2)]_{=^{\nu}}$.

Proof of Lemma 16 The termination proof of $lub(\tau_1, \tau_2, T_0)$ is by structural induction on τ_1 (or τ_2). So the main call $lub(\tau_1, \tau_2, \emptyset)$ at (48.68.12) does terminate.

Lemma 16 follows by definition of the infimum \overline{Q}^{ν} in cases (48.68.11).

Otherwise, at (48.68.12), $\lg c(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2) = \boldsymbol{\tau}$ where $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T \rangle = \mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \varnothing)$. By (48.42), $\bar{\zeta}_j(T)\boldsymbol{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$, j = 1, 2. So by exercise 48.16, $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_j]_{=^{\nu}} \leq_{=^{\nu}} [\boldsymbol{\tau}]_{=^{\nu}} = [\lg c(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)]_{=^{\nu}}$.

Let $[\boldsymbol{\tau}']_{=^{\nu}}$ be an upper bound of $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_1]_{=^{\nu}}$ and $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_2]_{=^{\nu}}$ i.e. $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \leq_{=^{\nu}} \boldsymbol{\tau}'$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \leq_{=^{\nu}} \boldsymbol{\tau}'$ so that, by theorem 48.31, there exists substitutions ϑ_1 and ϑ_2 such that $\vartheta_1(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1$ and $\vartheta_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2$. We must prove that $[|gc(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)]_{=^{\nu}} \leq_{=^{\nu}} [\boldsymbol{\tau}']_{=^{\nu}}$ that is, by theorem 48.31, that there exist a substitution ϑ' such that $\vartheta'(|gc(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)) = \boldsymbol{\tau}'$.

We modify the lub algorithm into lub' (which calls lub) as given in figure 18 to construct this substitution θ' given any upper bound τ' .

Example 19 The assumption (17.13) prevents a call like lub' $(f(a, b), f(b, a), \emptyset, f(\alpha, \alpha), \varepsilon, \emptyset)$ where $f(\alpha, \alpha)$ is not an upper bound of $\{f(a, b), f(b, a)\}$.

```
Example 20 For \tau_1 = f(g(a), g(g(a)), g(a), b, b), \tau_2 = f(g(b), g(h(b)), g(b), a, a) and \tau' = f(g(a), g(h(b)), g(h(b)), g(h(b)), g(h(b)), g(h(b))
f(q(\alpha), \beta, q(\alpha), \gamma, U), we have
lub'(f(g(a), g(g(a)), g(a), b, b), f(g(b), g(h(b)), g(b), a, a), \emptyset, f(g(\alpha), \beta, g(\alpha), \gamma, U), \varepsilon)
           lub'(q(a), q(b), \emptyset, q(\alpha), \varepsilon)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      (17.2b)
                       lub'(a, b, \emptyset, \alpha, \varepsilon)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      (17.2b)
                        = \langle \beta, \{ \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle \}, \{ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \} \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          (17.9)
            = \langle g(\beta), \{\langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle\}, \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle\} \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      (17.5b)
           lub'(g(g(a)), g(h(b)), \{\langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle\}, \beta, \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle\})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      (17.3b)
                        lub(g(a), h(b), \{\langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle\})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       (17.2a)
                        = \langle \gamma, \{ \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \} \rangle
            = \langle g(\gamma), \{ \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \}, \{ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle \} \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      (17.5a)
            \mathsf{lub}'(g(a), g(b), \{\langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \}, g(\alpha), \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle \})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      (17.4b)
                       lub'(a, b, \{\langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \}, \alpha, \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle \})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          (17.6)
                        = \langle \beta, \{ \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \rangle \}, \{ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle \} \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           (17.7)
            = \langle g(\beta), \{\langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \rangle \}, \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle \} \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      (17.5b)
            lub'(b, a, \{\langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \}, \gamma, \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle \})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           (17.8)
            =\langle \alpha, \{\langle \alpha, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle, \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \rangle\}, \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle, \langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle \} \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           (17.9)
           lub'(b, a, {{\langle \alpha, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle, \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \rangle}, U, {\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle, \langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (17.8)
           = \langle \alpha, \{ \{ \langle \alpha, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle, \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \} \}, \{ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \rangle, \langle U, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \} \}
\alpha\rangle\}\rangle
= \langle f(g(\beta), g(\gamma), g(\beta), \alpha, \alpha), \{\langle \alpha, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle, \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \rangle \}, \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle \}
\alpha, \langle U, \alpha \rangle}
so that \tau = f(g(\beta), g(\gamma), g(\beta), \alpha, \alpha), T = \{\langle \alpha, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle, \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \rangle\}, and \theta' = \{\langle \alpha, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle, \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \rangle\}
\beta, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle, \langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle, \langle U, \alpha \rangle}. Let us check that
1. \vartheta'(\tau') = \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle, \langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle, \langle U, \alpha \rangle\} (f(g(\alpha), \beta, g(\alpha), \gamma, U)) = f(g(\beta), g(\gamma), g(\beta), \alpha, \alpha)
              = \tau;
2. \overline{\varsigma}_1(T) = \overline{\varsigma}_1(\{\langle \alpha, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle, \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \}) = \{\langle \alpha, b \rangle, \langle \beta, a \rangle, \langle \gamma, g(a) \rangle \};
3. \overline{\varsigma}_1(T)(\tau) = \{\langle \alpha, b \rangle, \langle \beta, a \rangle, \langle \gamma, g(a) \rangle\} (f(g(\beta), g(\gamma), g(\beta), \alpha, \alpha)) = f(g(a), g(g(a)), g(a), b, b) = f(g(a), g(a), g(a), b, b) = f(g(a), g(a), g(a), g(a), b, b) = f(g(a), g(a), g
               \boldsymbol{\tau}_1;
4. \bar{\zeta}_2(T) = \bar{\zeta}_2(\{\langle \alpha, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle, \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \}) = \{\langle \alpha, a \rangle, \langle \beta, b \rangle, \langle \gamma, h(b) \rangle \};
5. \overline{\varsigma}_2(T)(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = \{\langle \alpha, a \rangle, \langle \beta, b \rangle, \langle \gamma, h(b) \rangle\} (f(g(\beta), g(\gamma), g(\beta), \alpha, \alpha)) = f(g(b), g(h(b)), g(b), a, a) = f(g(b), g(h(b)), g(b), a, a) = f(g(b), g(h(b)), g(h(b)), g(h(b)), g(h(b)), g(h(b)) = f(g(b), g(h(b)), g(h(b)),
```

We must show that lub' and lub compute the same result τ .

```
Lemma 21 For all \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\tau}'' \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}, T_0, T, T'' \in \wp(V_{\ell} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}), and \vartheta_0, \vartheta' \in V_{\ell} \nrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}, if \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle = \mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_0) and \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}'', T'' \rangle = \mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0) then \boldsymbol{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\tau}'' and T = T''. \square
```

Proof of Lemma 21 Any execution trace of lub'(τ_1 , τ_2 , T_0 , τ' , θ_0) can be abstracted into an execution trace of lub(τ_1 , τ_2 , T_0) simply by ignoring the input θ_0 , the resulting substitution θ' , ignoring the program point (17.a) and mapping (17.2a), ..., (17.5a) and (17.2b), ..., (17.5b) to the program point (48.68.2), ..., (48.68.5). The proof is by induction on the calls to lub and lub' which are synchronous in the two traces. The point is that the result $\langle \tau, T \rangle$ of a call $\langle \tau, T, \theta' \rangle = \text{lub'}(\tau_1, \tau_2, T_0, \tau', \theta_0)$ does not depend during its computation on the parameters τ' , and θ_0 . An exception is the test (17.a) but the two alternative yield the same result. (17.2a), ..., (17.4a) is identical to (48.68.2), ..., (48.68.4) while, by induction on the sequence of calls to lub' (17.2b), ..., (17.4b) is abstracted to that of (48.68.2), ..., (48.68.4). It follows that $\langle \tau, T \rangle$ at (48.68.12) is equal to $\langle \tau, T \rangle$ at (17.14).

The following lemma 22 proves the well-typing of algorithm lub'.

```
Lemma 22 For all \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\tau}' \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}, T_0 \in \wp(V_{\bar{t}} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}), and \vartheta_0, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 \in V_{\bar{t}} \to \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}, if \mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_0) is (recursively) called from the main call \mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \varepsilon) with hypothesis \vartheta_1(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0 \wedge \vartheta_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, then the case analysis in the definition of \mathsf{lub}' is complete (i.e., there is no missing case) and \exists \gamma \in V_{\bar{t}} : \boldsymbol{\tau}' = \gamma at (17.6) and (17.8).
```

Proof of Lemma 22 Notice that Lemmas 11, 14, and 16 are valid for lub' because they do not involve the extra parameters τ' , θ_0 or result θ' . The proof is by case analysis.

- For (17.1), the only possible cases for τ' are (17.a) and (17.b), by definition (48.2) of terms with variables.
- For (17.6) and (17.8), the test (17.1) is false so, by the preinvariant of lemma 11 and definition (48.2) of terms with variables, at least one τ_j , j = 1, 2 of τ_1 or τ_2 is a variable. Then τ' must be a variable because otherwise $\tau' = g(\tau'_1, \dots, \tau'_m)$ so that it is impossible that $\theta_j(\tau') = \tau_j$ be a variable.

The following lemma 23 shows that variables recorded in T_0 are for nonmatching subterms only.

Lemma 23 For all
$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}$$
, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2} \in \mathbf{T}^{v}$ and $T_{0} \in \wp(V_{t} \times \mathbf{T}^{v} \times \mathbf{T}^{v})$, if $\mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}, T_{0})$ is (recursively) called from the main call $\mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}, \varnothing)$, then for all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}', \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}'^{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}'^{n}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}', \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}'^{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}'^{n} \in \mathbf{T}^{v}$, if $\exists f \in \mathbf{F}_{n}$. $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}' = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}'^{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}'^{n}) \land \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}' = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}'^{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}'^{n})$ then $\forall \beta \in \mathsf{dom}(T_{0})$. $T_{0}(\beta) \neq \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}', \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}' \rangle$.

Proof of Lemma 23 Let us prove the contraposition, that is, "if $\exists \beta \in \text{dom}(T_0)$. $T_0(\beta) = \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_2', \boldsymbol{\tau}_1' \rangle$ then $\forall f \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{F}}_n$. $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1' \neq f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1'^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1'^n) \vee \boldsymbol{\tau}_2' \neq f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_2'^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2'^n)$ ".

The proof is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub and lemma 23 is obviously true for the initial value of $T_0 = \emptyset$. Then observe that the only modification to the parameter T_0 in calls to lub is (48.68.9) for which (48.68.1) is false so that the returned T' is $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0]$ with $\neg(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1 = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^n) \wedge \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_2^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^n))$. This property is preserved by the recursive calls (17.2a) to (17.4a) for T_n returned at (17.5a) as well as for the unmodified T_0 returned at (17.7). By induction, lemma 23 holds for all calls from the main call (17.14).

Lemma 24 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}'$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}' \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{v}$, T_{0} , $T \in V_{\bar{t}} \rightarrow (\boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{v} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{v})$, and ϑ_{0} , ϑ_{1} , ϑ_{2} , $\vartheta' \in V_{\bar{t}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{v}$, if lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}, T_{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_{0})$ is (recursively) called from the main call lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}', \varepsilon)$ with hypothesis $\vartheta_{1}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0} \wedge \vartheta_{2}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle$, then

$$(\exists \beta \in \mathsf{dom}(T_0) : T_0(\beta) = \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle \wedge \boldsymbol{\tau}' = \gamma) \Rightarrow (\gamma \in \mathsf{dom}(\vartheta_0) \wedge \vartheta_0(\gamma) = \beta)$$

Proof of Lemma 24 We prove the stronger property that the following preinvariant and postinvariant do hold for any call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle = \text{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_0)$.

preinvariant
$$(\exists \beta \in \text{dom}(T_0) : T_0(\beta) = \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle \wedge \boldsymbol{\tau}' = \gamma) \Rightarrow (\gamma \in \text{dom}(\theta_0) \wedge \theta_0(\gamma) = \beta)$$
 (25) postinvariant $(\exists \beta \in \text{dom}(T) : T(\beta) = \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle \wedge \boldsymbol{\tau}' = \gamma) \Rightarrow (\gamma \in \text{dom}(\theta') \wedge \theta'(\gamma) = \beta)$

The proof is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub' and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (17.2b), (17.3b),..., (17.4b), and by case analysis for the conditional.

- For the basis, the preinvariant of (25) holds vacuously at the first call (17.14) because $T_0 = \emptyset$;
- For the induction step, we proceed by case analysis.
 - In case (17.5a), there is no recursive call to lub' and, by lemma 23, the premise of the postin-variant of (25) is ff so it does hold vacuously.
 - In case (17.5b), the first recursive call at (17.2a) satisfies the preinvariant because this preinvariant is assumed to hold for the intermediate call at (17).
 - In case n = 0, this is also the postinvariant.
 - Otherwise n > 0. Assume, by recurrence hypothesis, that the preinvariant holds before the call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^i, T_i, \vartheta_i \rangle = \text{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}^i_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n_2, T_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}^\prime_i, \vartheta_{i-1})$. Then, by induction hypothesis on the sequence of calls to lub', the postinvariant (25) holds for T_i and ϑ_i , which is the preinvariant of the next recursive call, if any.
 - It follows, by recurrence, that the postinvariant of (25) holds at (17.5b) for T_n and ϑ_n .
 - In case (17.7), we know by the test (17.6) and lemma 22 that $\exists \beta \in \text{dom}(T_0)$. $T_0(\beta) = \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle \wedge \boldsymbol{\tau}' = \gamma$ so by the preinvariant $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0)$ and $\vartheta_0(\gamma) = \beta$. Because $T = T_0$ and $\vartheta' = \vartheta_0$, we have $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta') \wedge \vartheta'(\gamma) = \beta$;

- In case (17.9), $\vartheta' = \beta[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0]$, which implies the postinvariant (25).

Let us prove the converse of lemma 24.

Lemma 26 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{T}^{\vee}, T_0, T \in \wp(V_{\tilde{x}} \times \mathbf{T}^{\vee} \times \mathbf{T}^{\vee})$, and $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_0, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_1, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_2, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}' \in V_{\tilde{x}} \to \mathbf{T}^{\vee}$, if lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_0)$ is (recursively) called from the main call lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\varnothing}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$ with hypothesis $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_1(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0 \wedge \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}' \rangle$, then

$$\forall \beta, \gamma \in V_{t} : (\gamma \in \mathsf{dom}(\vartheta_0) \land \vartheta_0(\gamma) = \beta) \Rightarrow (\beta \in \mathsf{dom}(T_0)).$$

Proof of Lemma 26 We prove the stronger property that the following preinvariant and postinvariant do hold for any call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle = \text{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_0)$.

preinvariant
$$\forall \beta, \gamma \in V_{\bar{t}}$$
 . $(\gamma \in \text{dom}(\theta_0) \land \theta_0(\gamma) = \beta) \Rightarrow (\beta \in \text{dom}(T_0))$ postinvariant $\forall \beta, \gamma \in V_{\bar{t}}$. $(\gamma \in \text{dom}(\theta') \land \theta'(\gamma) = \beta) \Rightarrow (\beta \in \text{dom}(T))$ (27)

The proof is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub' and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (17.2b), (17.3b),..., (17.4b), and by case analysis for the conditional.

- For the basis, $\theta_0 = \varepsilon$ so dom(θ_0) = \varnothing so the preinvariant (27) holds vacuously;
- The induction step is by case analysis.
 - In case (17.5a), there is no recursive call to lub' and $\vartheta' = f(\tau^1, ..., \tau^n)[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0]$. So if $\alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta') \setminus \{\gamma\}$ then the postinvariant follows from the preinvariant. For $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta')$, we have $\vartheta'(\gamma) = f(\tau^1, ..., \tau^n) \notin V_{\vec{\tau}}$ so that the postcondition holds vacuously;
 - In case (17.5b), the preinvariant of the first recursive call (17.2a) holds by the preinvariant of (27) on the main call (17). Assuming the preinvariant holds for a following recursive call, the postinvariant holds by induction on the sequence of calls to lub', which is also the preinvariant of the next call. By recurrence the postinvariant of (27) holds for $\theta' = \theta_n$ and $T = T_n$ after the last call at (17.5b);
 - In case (17.7), we have γ ∈ dom(θ') ∧ θ' (γ) = β so the preinvariant (27) on the intermediate call trivially implies the postinvariant;
 - In case (17.9), $T = \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0]$ and $\vartheta' = \beta [\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0]$. If $\alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta') \setminus \{\gamma\}$ and $\vartheta'(\alpha) = \beta'$ then $\alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0)$ and $\vartheta_0(\alpha) = \beta'$ then, by the preinvariant on the intermediate call, $\beta' \in \text{dom}(T_0) = \text{dom}(T)$. Otherwise, for $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta')$, we have $\vartheta'(\gamma) = \beta [\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0](\gamma) = \beta$ with $\beta \in \text{dom}(\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0]) = \text{dom}(T)$.

The next lemma 28 shows how the term variables are used.

Lemma 28 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}'$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}'$, $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}$, T_{0} , $T \in \wp(V_{t} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu})$, and ϑ_{0} , ϑ_{1}^{0} , ϑ_{2}^{0} , $\vartheta' \in V_{t} \to \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}$, if $\mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}, T_{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_{0})$ is (recursively) called from the main call $\mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}', \varepsilon)$ with hypothesis $\vartheta_{1}^{0}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0} \wedge \vartheta_{2}^{0}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle$, then

preinvariant
$$\operatorname{vars} [\![\vartheta_0(V_{\tilde{t}})]\!] \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T_0)$$
 (29)
postinvariant $\operatorname{vars} [\![\vartheta'(V_{\tilde{t}})]\!] \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T)$

(where
$$\theta_0(S) = \{\theta_0(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in S\}$$
 and $\text{vars}[S] = \bigcup \{\text{vars}[\tau] \mid \tau \in S\}$.)

Proof of Lemma 28 The proof is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub' and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (17.2b), (17.3b),..., (17.4b), and by case analysis for the conditional.

- For the first call at (17.14), $\vartheta_0 = \varepsilon$ so vars $[\![\vartheta_0(V_{\ell})]\!] = \text{vars}[\![\varnothing]\!] = \varnothing \subseteq \text{dom}(T_0);$
- Otherwise the preinvariant of (29) holds for T_0 and ϑ_0 at the first recursive call (17.2b). Assume, by induction hypothesis, that $\text{vars} \llbracket \vartheta_{i-1}(V_t) \rrbracket \subseteq \text{dom}(T_{i-1})$ before the i^{th} call (17.2b),..., (17.4b), $i \in [1,n]$. By induction hypothesis on the sequence of calls to lub', we have $\text{vars} \llbracket \vartheta_i(V_t) \rrbracket \subseteq \text{dom}(T_i)$ after that call, which is also the preinvariant of the next call, if any. By recurrence, $\text{vars} \llbracket \vartheta'(V_t) \rrbracket = \text{vars} \llbracket \vartheta_n(V_t) \rrbracket \subseteq \text{dom}(T_n) = \text{dom}(T)$ in case the call (17) to lub' terminates at (17.5b);
- If lub' terminates at (17.5a), there are two cases.
 - $\text{ vars} \llbracket \vartheta'(\{\gamma\}) \rrbracket = \text{vars} \llbracket f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n) [\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0](\{\gamma\}) \rrbracket = \text{vars} \llbracket f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n) \rrbracket = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{ vars} \llbracket \boldsymbol{\tau}^i \rrbracket.$ By lemma 11 and 21, we have $\text{vars} \llbracket \boldsymbol{\tau}^i \rrbracket \subseteq \text{dom}(T_i)$, $i=1,\dots,n$ and $\text{dom}(T_i) \subseteq \text{dom}(T_n)$ so that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{vars} \llbracket \boldsymbol{\tau}^i \rrbracket \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{dom}(T_i) \subseteq \text{dom}(T_n) = \text{dom}(T)$;
 - $\text{ vars} \llbracket \vartheta'(V_t \setminus \{\gamma\}) \rrbracket = \text{ vars} \llbracket f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n) [\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0] (V_t \setminus \{\gamma\}) \rrbracket = \text{ vars} \llbracket \vartheta_0(V_t \setminus \{\gamma\}) \rrbracket \subseteq \text{ vars} \llbracket \vartheta_0(V_t) \rrbracket$ which, by the preinvariant (29), is included in $\text{dom}(T_0)$. By lemma 11 and 21, $\text{dom}(T_{i-1}) \subseteq \text{dom}(T_i)$, $i=1,\dots,n$ so that, by transitivity, $\text{dom}(T_0) \subseteq \text{dom}(T_n) = \text{dom}(T)$. Therefore $\text{vars} \llbracket \vartheta'(V_t \setminus \{\gamma\}) \rrbracket \subseteq \text{dom}(T)$;
 - Because $\vartheta'(V_{\tilde{t}}) = \vartheta'(\{\gamma\}) \cup \vartheta'(V_{\tilde{t}} \setminus \{\gamma\})$, we conclude that $\operatorname{vars}[\![\vartheta'(V_{\tilde{t}})]\!] = \operatorname{vars}[\![\vartheta'(\{\gamma\})]\!] \cup \vartheta'(V_{\tilde{t}} \setminus \{\gamma\})]\!] = \operatorname{vars}[\![\vartheta'(V_{\tilde{t}} \setminus \{\gamma\})]\!] \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta') \cup \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta') = \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta')$;
- If lub' terminates at (17.7) then the postinvariant directly follows from the preinvariant of (29) because $T = T_0$ and $\theta' = \theta_0$;
- Finally, if lub' terminates at (17.9), there are two subcases.
 - We have $\operatorname{vars}[\theta'(\{\gamma\})] = \operatorname{vars}[\beta[\gamma \leftarrow \theta_0](\{\gamma\})] = \operatorname{vars}[\{\beta\}] = \{\beta\} \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \ \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0]) = \operatorname{dom}(T);$

- Moreover $\operatorname{Vars} [\![\vartheta'(V_t \setminus \{\gamma\})]\!] = \operatorname{Vars} [\![\beta[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0](V_t \setminus \{\gamma\})]\!] = \operatorname{Vars} [\![\vartheta_0(V_t \setminus \{\gamma\})]\!] \subseteq \operatorname{Vars} [\![\vartheta_0(V_t)]\!] \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T_0),$ by the preinvariant of (29). But $\operatorname{dom}(T_0) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T_0) \cup \{\beta\} = \operatorname{dom}(\langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0]) = \operatorname{dom}(T),$ proving the postinvariant of vars-codom-substitution by transitivity;
- We conclude because vars preserves joins.

The following series of lemmas aims at proving that the substitution built by lub' is the one allowing us to prove that lub returns the least common generalization.

Lemma 30 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\tau}' \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\vee}, T_0, T \in \wp(V_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\vee} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\vee})$, and $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_0, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}' \in V_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}} \to \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\vee}$, if $\mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_0)$ is (recursively) called from the main call $\mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \varepsilon)$ with hypothesis $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_1^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0 \wedge \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_2^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}' \rangle$, then

$$\vartheta_1^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \wedge \vartheta_2^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2. \tag{31}$$

Proof of Lemma 30 For the first call at (17.14), (31) holds by the hypothesis $\vartheta_1^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0 \wedge \vartheta_2^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0$ on the actual parameters. Assume that $\vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$, j = 1, 2 before an intermediate call (17). Then (31) holds before the recursive calls (17.2b), ..., (17.4b) because the induction hypothesis $\vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}' = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1', \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_n')$ by the test (17.a) which is false, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_j = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_j^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_j^n)$ by the test (17.1) which is true, and (48.30) imply that $\vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \vartheta_j^0(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1', \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_n')) = f(\vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1'), \dots, \vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}_n')) = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_j^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_j^n) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$ and therefore $\vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. We conclude by induction on the sequence of calls to lub'.

Lemma 32 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}'$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}'$, $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$, T_{0} , $T \in \wp(V_{\bar{\epsilon}} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu})$, and ϑ_{0} , ϑ_{1}^{0} , ϑ_{2}^{0} , $\vartheta' \in V_{\bar{\epsilon}} \to \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$, if $\mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}, T_{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_{0})$ is (recursively) called from the main call $\mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}', \varepsilon)$ with hypothesis $\vartheta_{1}^{0}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0} \wedge \vartheta_{2}^{0}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle$, then

preinvariant
$$\forall j = 1, 2 . \ \forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\theta_0) . \ \theta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_0)(\theta_0(\alpha))$$
 (33)
postinvariant $\forall j = 1, 2 . \ \forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\theta') . \ \theta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T)(\theta'(\alpha)) \land \overline{\varsigma}_j(T)(\tau) = \tau_j$

Proof of Lemma 32 Notice again that lemma 11, 14, and 16 are valid for lub' because they do not involve the extra parameters τ' , ϑ_0 , or result ϑ' . It follows, by lemma 14, that the postinvariant of (33) satisfies $\overline{\varsigma}_j(T)(\tau) = \tau_j$, j = 1, 2. The proof of (33) is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub' and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (17.2b), (17.3b),..., (17.4b), and by case analysis for the conditional.

- For the basis, the preinvariant (33) holds vacuously for the main call (17.14) because $\theta_0 = \varepsilon$ so $dom(\theta_0) = \emptyset$;
- Assume that the preinvariant (33) holds before any intermediate call (17) of lub'. We must show that it holds before all recursive calls (17.2b), ..., (17.4b).

By hypothesis on the intermediate call, we have $\forall j = 1, 2$. $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta')$. $\vartheta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_0)(\vartheta'(\alpha))$ at the first recursive call (17.2b).

Assume that $\forall j=1,2$. $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_{i-1})$. $\vartheta_j^0(\alpha)=\overline{\varsigma}_j(T_{i-1})(\vartheta_{i-1}(\alpha))$ before the i^{th} recursive call. By induction on the sequence of calls to lub', the postinvariant of (33) holds. Therefore we have $\forall j=1,2$. $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_i)$. $\vartheta_j^0(\alpha)=\overline{\varsigma}_j(T_i)(\vartheta_i(\alpha))$ before the $i+1^{\text{th}}$ call. By recurrence, all recursive calls do satisfy (33).

We must also show that the intermediate call satisfies the postinvariant of (33). We proceed by

- In case (17.5b), we have $T = T_n$ and ϑ_n which satisfy the postinvariant of (33), as shown above.
- In case (17.5a), the postinvariant is $\forall j = 1, 2$. $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n)[\gamma \leftarrow \theta_0])$. $\theta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\zeta}_j(T_n)(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n)[\gamma \leftarrow \theta_0](\alpha))$.
 - $\begin{array}{l} \cdot \text{ If } \alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \setminus \{\gamma\}, \text{ we must show that } \vartheta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_n)(\vartheta_0(\alpha)). \\ \text{By lemma } 11, \ \forall \alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(T_{i-1}) \ . \ T_{i-1}(\alpha) = T_i(\alpha), \ i = 1, \ldots, n \text{ so that, by transitivity,} \\ \forall \alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(T_0) \ . \ T_0(\alpha) = T_n(\alpha). \ \text{Therefore, by } (13), \text{ for all } \beta \in \operatorname{dom}(T_0), \ \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_0)\beta \triangleq \operatorname{let} \ \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle = T_0(\beta) \text{ in } \boldsymbol{\tau}_j = \operatorname{let} \ \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle = T_n(\beta) \text{ in } \boldsymbol{\tau}_j = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_n)\beta. \text{ By lemma } 28, \ \text{vars} \ \llbracket \vartheta_0(V_{\underline{\tau}}) \rrbracket \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T_0) \text{ so, in particular, } \forall \alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \setminus \{\gamma\} \ . \ \text{vars} \ \llbracket \vartheta_0(\alpha) \rrbracket \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T_0). \text{ This implies that } \forall \alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \setminus \{\gamma\} \ . \ \forall \beta \in \text{vars} \ \llbracket \vartheta_0(\alpha) \rrbracket \ . \ \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_0)\beta = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_n)\beta. \text{ By } (48.30) \text{ and } (48.30), \text{ we infer that } \forall \alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \setminus \{\gamma\} \ . \ \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_0) \boxtimes_0(\boxtimes) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_n) \boxtimes_0(\boxtimes). \text{ By the preinvariant of } (33), \text{ we have } \forall \alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \ . \ \vartheta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_0)(\vartheta_0(\alpha)). \text{ Therefore, by transitivity, } \vartheta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_n)(\vartheta_0(\alpha)). \end{array}$
 - · Otherwise $\alpha = \gamma$, in which case we must show that $\vartheta_j^0(\gamma) = \overline{\zeta}_j(T_n)(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n))$. By lemma 30, (48.42) of lemma 48.40, and (17.5a), we have $\vartheta_j^0(\gamma) = \vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j = \overline{\zeta}_j(T)(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = \overline{\zeta}_j(T)(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n))$.
- In case (17.7), the postinvariant of (31) immediately follows from the preinvariant because $T = T_0$ and $\theta' = \theta_0$;
- In case (17.9), we must show that $\forall j = 1, 2$. $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\beta[\gamma \leftarrow \theta_0])$. $\theta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\zeta}_j(\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0])(\beta[\gamma \leftarrow \theta_0](\alpha))$. There are two cases.
 - · If $\alpha = \gamma$ then we must prove that $\vartheta_j^0(\gamma) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0])(\beta)$, that is, by (13), $\vartheta_j^0(\gamma) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$. It is not possible that $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0)$ because otherwise, we would have $\forall \beta \in \text{dom}(T_0)$. $T_0(\beta) \neq \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle$ because the test (17.6) is ff and $\boldsymbol{\tau}' = \gamma \in V_{\ell}$ by lemma 22, which is in contradiction to (the contrapositive of) lemma 26. Therefore $\vartheta_0(\gamma) = \gamma$ by (48.30). It follows that we have to prove that $\vartheta_j^0(\vartheta_0(\gamma)) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$, which directly follows from the preinvariant of (31);
 - · Otherwise, $\alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0) \setminus \{\gamma\}$ and we must show that $\vartheta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(\langle \pmb{\tau}_1, \pmb{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0])(\vartheta_0(\alpha))$. The test (17.8) implies $\beta \notin \text{dom}(T_0)$ and so $\beta \notin \text{vars}[\![\vartheta_0(\alpha)]\!]$ because $\text{vars}[\![\vartheta_0(V_{\ell})]\!] \subseteq \text{dom}(T_0)$ by (29) of lemma 28. Therefore, by (13), $\forall \gamma \in \text{vars}[\![\vartheta_0(\alpha)]\!]$. $\overline{\varsigma}_j(T_0)(\gamma) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(\langle \pmb{\tau}_1, \pmb{\tau}_2 \rangle [T_0])$

 $\tau_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0])(\gamma)$. It follows, by (48.30) and (48.30), that $\overline{\varsigma}_j(T_0)(\vartheta_0(\alpha)) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(\langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0])(\vartheta_0(\alpha))$. We conclude, by the preinvariant (31) and transitivity that $\overline{\varsigma}_j(\langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0])(\vartheta_0(\alpha)) = \vartheta_i^0(\alpha)$.

Lemma 34 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\vee}, T_0, T \in \wp(V_{\tilde{t}} \times \boldsymbol{T}^{\vee} \times \boldsymbol{T}^{\vee})$, and $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_0, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_1, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_2, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}' \in V_{\tilde{t}} \to \boldsymbol{T}^{\vee}$, if lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_0)$ is (recursively) called from the main call lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\varnothing}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$ with hypothesis $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_1(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0 \wedge \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}' \rangle$, then the following postinvariant holds after the call.

$$dom(\theta') = dom(\theta_0) \cup vars[\tau']$$
 (35)

Proof of Lemma 34 The proof of (35) is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub' and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (17.2b), (17.3b),..., (17.4b), and by case analysis for the conditional.

Consider any intermediate call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle = \mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$. We proceed by case analysis of the returned values $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle$.

- In case (17.5a), we have $dom(\vartheta') = dom(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n)[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0]) = dom(\vartheta_0) \cup \{\gamma\} = dom(\vartheta_0) \cup \{\gamma\}$
- In case (17.5b), we have $\operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_i) = \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_{i-1}) \cup \operatorname{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}^i]\!], i = 1, \ldots, n$, by induction hypothesis on the sequence of calls to lub'. It follows that $\operatorname{dom}(\vartheta') = \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_n) = \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n \operatorname{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}^i]\!] = \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \cup \operatorname{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}^i]\!];$
- In case (17.7), we have $\vartheta' = \beta[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0]$ so $dom(\vartheta') = dom(\vartheta_0) \cup \{\gamma\} = dom(\vartheta_0) \cup \text{vars}[\tau']$ because $\tau' = \gamma$ by lemma 22;
- Finally, in case (17.9), $\operatorname{dom}(\vartheta') = \operatorname{dom}(\beta[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0]) = \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \cup \{\gamma\} = \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \cup \operatorname{vars}[\tau']$ because $\tau' = \gamma$ by lemma 22.

Lemma 36 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\tau}'^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}^{n-1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}'^n, \boldsymbol{\tau}^{m-1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}^m \in \mathbf{T}^v, T_n, T_m \in \wp(V_{\tilde{x}} \times \mathbf{T}^v \times \mathbf{T}^v),$ consider any computation trace for the main call lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}'^0, \varepsilon, \varnothing)$ at (17.14) with hypothesis $\vartheta_1(\boldsymbol{\tau}'^0) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0 \wedge \vartheta_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}'^0) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0$. Assume that in this computation trace, a call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^k, T_k \rangle = \text{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_{k-1})$ is followed by a later call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^m, T_m \rangle = \text{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_{m-1})$ with the same parameters $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}_2$. Then $\boldsymbol{\tau}^k = \boldsymbol{\tau}^m$.

By lemma 21, this also holds for calls to lub' independently of the other two parameters.

Proof of Lemma 36 By (12) in lemma 11, lemma 21, (17.2a), ..., (17.4a), and (17.2b), ..., (17.4b) and recurrence, the successive calls of lub and lub' in the trace have parameters T_i and result T_{i+1}

with increasing domains and preservation of the previous values so that $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(T_k)$. $T_k(\alpha) = T_m(\alpha)$.

To prove that $\boldsymbol{\tau}^k = \boldsymbol{\tau}^m$, we consider the calls $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^k, T_k \rangle = \text{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_{k-1})$ and the later $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^m, T_m \rangle = \text{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_{m-1})$ to lub (by lemma 21, the reasoning is the same for lub'). The only possible executions are the following.

- If one execution follows the true branch of (48.68.1), so does the other because they have the same parameters. By recurrence and induction on the sequence of calls for (48.68.2), ..., (48.68.4) with ∀α ∈ dom(T_{i-1}) . T_{i-1}(α) = T_i(α), i = 1, ..., n, we have τ^k = f(τ^{1k}, ..., τ^{nk}) = f(τ^{1m}, ..., τ^{nm}) = τ^m;
- If both calls go through (48.68.7) then obviously $\tau^k = \tau^m = \beta$;
- Both calls cannot go through (48.68.9) because the first ones (which is $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^k, T_k \rangle = \mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_{k-1})$) that goes through (48.68.9) will add β to the $\mathsf{dom}(T_k) \subseteq \mathsf{dom}(T_{m-1})$;
- If $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^k, T_k \rangle = \mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_{k-1})$ goes through (48.68.9) then the call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^m, T_m \rangle = \mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_{m-1})$ must go through (48.68.7) because $\mathsf{dom}(T_k) \subseteq \mathsf{dom}(T_{m-1})$ with $\beta \in \mathsf{dom}(T_{m-1})$ so that $\boldsymbol{\tau}^k = \boldsymbol{\tau}^m = \beta$.

Lemma 37 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}, T_0, T \in \wp(V_{\bar{x}} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu})$, and $\vartheta_0, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta' \in V_{\bar{x}} \to \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$, if lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_0)$ is (recursively) called from the main call lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \varepsilon)$ with hypothesis $\vartheta_1(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0 \wedge \vartheta_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle$, then the following postinvariant holds after the call.

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathsf{dom}(\vartheta_0) \ . \ \vartheta_0(\alpha) = \vartheta'(\alpha) \tag{38}$$

Proof of Lemma 37 The proof of (35) is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub' and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (17.2b), (17.3b),..., (17.4b), and by case analysis for the conditional.

Consider any intermediate call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle = \mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \varepsilon)$. We proceed by case analysis of the returned values $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle$.

• In case (17.5a), we have $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\theta_0) \setminus \{\gamma\}$. $\theta_0(\alpha) = f(\tau^1, \dots, \tau^n)[\gamma \leftarrow \theta_0](\alpha) = \theta'(\alpha)$.

It may also be that $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0)$. Because the main call starts with ε and by (35) the domain of ϑ_0 grows along the calls, there must be a previous call that added γ to $\text{dom}(\vartheta_0)$. At that previous call, say $\text{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^k, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^k, T_0^k, \boldsymbol{\tau}'^k, \vartheta_0^k)$, we had $\boldsymbol{\tau}'^k = \gamma$ because (17.5a) and (17.9) are the two only cases where the domain of ϑ_0^k is extending with γ . By the initial hypothesis and (31) of lemma 30, $\vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}'^k) = \vartheta_j^0(\gamma) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j^k$. At the current call $\text{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_0)$ where $\boldsymbol{\tau}'_0 = \gamma$, we also have, by the initial hypothesis and (31) of lemma 30, that $\vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \vartheta_j^0(\gamma) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$. By transitivity $\boldsymbol{\tau}_j^k = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$. So the current and previous calls had the same first two parameters. It follows, by lemma 36, that they have the same results. This implies that necessarily, $\vartheta_0(\gamma) = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n)$.

- In case (17.5b), we have $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_{i-1})$. $\vartheta_{i=1}(\alpha) = \vartheta_i(\alpha), i = 1, ..., n$, by induction hypothesis on the sequence of calls to lub'. It follows, by transitivity, that $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0)$. $\vartheta_0(\alpha) = \vartheta_n(\alpha) = \vartheta'(\alpha)$;
- In case (17.7), for all $\alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0) \setminus \{\gamma\}$, we have $\vartheta_0(\alpha) = \beta[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0](\alpha) = \vartheta'(\alpha)$. We may also have $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0)$, in which case the test (17.6), lemma 22, and lemma 24 imply that $\vartheta_0(\gamma) = \beta$ so $\vartheta_0(\gamma) = \beta = \beta[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0](\gamma) = \vartheta'(\gamma)$;
- Finally, in case (17.9), it is not possible that $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0)$ because otherwise, we would have $\forall \beta \in \text{dom}(T_0)$. $T_0(\beta) \neq \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$ because the test (17.6) is ff and $\tau' = \gamma \in V_{\bar{\tau}}$ by lemma 22, which is in contradiction to (the contrapositive of) lemma 26. It follows that $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0)$. $\vartheta_0(\alpha) = \beta[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0](\alpha) = \vartheta'(\alpha)$ because $\alpha \neq \gamma$.

Lemma 39 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\vee}, T_0, T \in \wp(V_{\bar{t}} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\vee} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\vee})$, and $\vartheta_0, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta' \in V_{\bar{t}} \to \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\vee}$, if lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_0)$ is (recursively) called from the main call lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \varepsilon)$ with hypothesis $\vartheta_1(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0 \wedge \vartheta_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle$, then the following postinvariant holds after the call.

$$\vartheta'(\tau') = \tau \tag{40} \quad \Box$$

Proof of Lemma 39 The proof of (40) is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub' and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (17.2b), (17.3b),..., (17.4b), and by case analysis for the conditional.

Consider any intermediate call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle = \mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$. We proceed by case analysis of the returned values $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle$.

- In case (17.5a), we have $\vartheta'(\tau') = f(\tau^1, \dots, \tau^n)[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0](\gamma) = f(\tau^1, \dots, \tau^n) = \tau$;
- In case (17.5b), we handle (17.2b), ..., (17.4b) by recurrence.
 - For the basis at (17.2b), we have $dom(\theta_1) = dom(\theta_0) \cup vars[[\tau'_1]]$ by (35) of lemma 34, and $\theta_1(\tau'_1) = \tau^1$, by induction on the sequence of calls to lub';
 - Assume, by recurrence hypothesis, that for the i^{th} call (17.2b), ..., (17.4b), $i \in [1, n[$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_i) &= \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^i \operatorname{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}_j']\!] \land \\ \forall j \in [1, i] \ . \ \forall \alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_j) \ . \ \vartheta_i(\alpha) = \vartheta_j(\alpha) \land \\ \forall j \in [1, i] \ . \ \vartheta_i(\boldsymbol{\tau}_j') = \vartheta_i(\boldsymbol{\tau}_j') = \boldsymbol{\tau}^j \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

- At the next $i + 1^{th}$ call, we have
 - 1. By (35) of lemma 34 and recurrence hypothesis (41), $\operatorname{dom}(\theta_{i+1}) = \operatorname{dom}(\theta_i) \cup \operatorname{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}'_{i+1}]\!] = \operatorname{dom}(\theta_0) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^i \operatorname{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}'_j]\!] \in \operatorname{dom}(\theta_0) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{i+1} \operatorname{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}'_j]\!];$

- 2. By (38) of lemma 37, we have $\forall \alpha \in \mathsf{dom}(\vartheta_i)$. $\vartheta_i(\alpha) = \vartheta_{i+1}(\alpha)$ so that by recurrence hypothesis (41), $\forall j \in [1, i+1]$. $\forall \alpha \in \mathsf{dom}(\vartheta_i)$. $\vartheta_{i+1}(\alpha) = \vartheta_i(\alpha) = \vartheta_j(\alpha)$
- 3. By (1)., $\forall j \in [1, i+1]$. $\text{Vars}[\boldsymbol{\tau}'_j] \subseteq \text{dom}(\vartheta_j) \subseteq \text{dom}(\vartheta_{i+1})$ and by (2)., $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_j)$. $\vartheta_{i+1}(\alpha) = \vartheta_j(\alpha)$ so that, by (48.30) and (48.30), $\forall j \in [1, i]$. $\vartheta_{i+1}(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_j) = \vartheta_i(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_j) = \vartheta_j(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_j) = \boldsymbol{\tau}^j$. Moreover, $\vartheta_{i+1}(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_{i+1}) = \boldsymbol{\tau}^{i+1}$, by induction on the sequence of calls to lub'. Grouping all cases $j \in [1, i]$ and j = i+1 together, we have $\forall j \in [1, i+1]$. $\vartheta_{i+1}(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_j) = \vartheta_j(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_j) = \boldsymbol{\tau}^j$.

By recurrence, (41) holds for i = n. Therefore $\vartheta'(\tau') = \vartheta_n(f(\tau'_1, \dots, \tau'_n)) = f(\vartheta_n(\tau'_1), \dots, \vartheta_n(\tau'_n)) = f(\tau^1, \dots, \tau^n) = \tau$.

- In case (17.7), we have $\exists \beta \in \text{dom}(T_0)$. $T_0(\beta) = \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle \wedge \boldsymbol{\tau}' = \gamma$ so that by lemma 24, we have $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0) \wedge \vartheta_0(\gamma) = \beta$. It follows that $\vartheta'(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \vartheta_0(\gamma) = \beta = \boldsymbol{\tau}$.
- Finally, in case (17.9), by (17.9) and lemma 22, we have $\vartheta'(\tau') = \beta[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0](\gamma) = \beta = \tau$.

Proof of Theorem 48.103 By lemma 16, $[\lg c(\tau_1, \tau_2)]_{=v}$ is a $\leq_{=v}$ -upper bound of $[\tau_1]_{=v}$ and $[\tau_2]_{=v}$. By lemma 21, so is $[\lg c'(\tau_1, \tau_2)]_{=v}$.

Now if $[\boldsymbol{\tau}']_{=^{\nu}}$ is any $\leq_{=^{\nu}}$ -upper bound of $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_1]_{=^{\nu}}$ and $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_2]_{=^{\nu}}$ then by exercise 48.16, $\exists \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2$. $\vartheta_1(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \land \vartheta_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2$, which is the precondition (17.13). It follows that the call to lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \varnothing)$ terminates (by lemma 16 and 21) and returns $\langle \lg c'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2), T, \vartheta' \rangle$ such that $\vartheta'(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \lg c'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)$ (by (40) of lemma 39). By exercise 48.16, this means that $\lg c'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2) \leq_{=^{\nu}} [\boldsymbol{\tau}']_{=^{\nu}}$. This proves by lemma 21 that $\lg c(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)$ is the $\leq_{=^{\nu}}$ -least upper bound of $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_1]_{=^{\nu}}$ and $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_2]_{=^{\nu}}$.

6 Bibliography

- [1] Patrick Cousot. Asynchronous Iterative Methods for Solving a Fixed Point System of Monotone Equations in a Complete Lattice. Tech. rep. R.R. 88. 15 p. Laboratoire IMAG, Université de Grenoble Alpes, Sept. 1977 (271, 284, 428, 736, 36).
- [2] Patrick Cousot and Radhia Cousot. "Automatic Synthesis of Optimal Invariant Assertions: Mathematical Foundations." *SIGART Newsl.* 64 (1977), pp. 1–12 (269, 428, 580, 36).
- [3] Michael Karr. "Affine Relationships Among Variables of a Program." *Acta Inf.* 6 (1976), pp. 133–151 (475, 478, 636, 641, 651, 12).
- [4] Gary A. Kildall. "A Unified Approach to Global Program Optimization." In *POPL*. ACM Press, 1973, pp. 194–206 (34, 111, 263, 271, 337, 362, 431, 521, 636, 651, 12).
- [5] Oleg Kiselyov. "Effects Without Monads: Non–Determinism Back to the Meta Language." In *ML/OCaml*. Vol. 294. EPTCS. 2017, pp. 15–40 (12).
- [6] Jens Knoop, Dirk Koschützki, and Bernhard Steffen. "Basic–Block Graphs: Living Dinosaurs?." In *CC*. Vol. 1383. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 1998, pp. 65–79 (636, 12).

- [7] Jens Knoop and Oliver Rüthing. "Constant Propagation on the Value Graph: Simple Constants and Beyond." In *CC*. Vol. 1781. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2000, pp. 94–109 (636, 651, 12).
- [8] Pedro López–García, Francisco Bueno, and Manuel V. Hermenegildo. "Automatic Inference of Determinacy and Mutual Exclusion for Logic Programs Using Mode and Type Analyses." *New Generation Comput.* 28.2 (2010), pp. 177–206 (12).
- [9] Markus Müller-Olm and Oliver Rüthing. "On the Complexity of Constant Propagation." In *ESOP*. Vol. 2028. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2001, pp. 190–205 (636, 651, 12).
- [10] Mark N. Wegman and F. Kenneth Zadeck. "Constant Propagation with Conditional Branches." *ACM Trans. Program. Lang. Syst.* 13.2 (1991), pp. 181–210 (414, 537, 636, 651, 12).

```
let rec lub'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_0) =
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      (17)
       if \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^n) \wedge \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_2^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^n) then
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          (1)
               if \tau' = \gamma \in V_{\#} then
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           (a)
                       let \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^1, T_1 \rangle = \text{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^1, T_0) in
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        (2a)
                               let \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^2, T_2 \rangle = \text{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^2, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^2, T_1) in
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        (3a)
                                              let \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^n, T_n \rangle = \text{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^n, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^n, T_{n-1}) in
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        (4a)
                                                       \langle f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\tau}^n), T_n, f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\tau}^n)[\gamma \leftarrow \theta_0] \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        (5a)
               else /* \boldsymbol{\tau}' = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}'_n) */
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          (b)
                       let \langle \pmb{\tau}^1, T_1, \vartheta_1 \rangle = \mathrm{lub}'(\pmb{\tau}^1_1, \pmb{\tau}^1_2, T_0, \pmb{\tau}'_1, \vartheta_0) in
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        (2b)
                               let \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^2, T_2, \vartheta_2 \rangle = \text{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^2, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^2, T_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2', \vartheta_1) in
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        (3b)
                                             let \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^n, T_n, \vartheta_n \rangle = \text{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^n, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^n, T_{n-1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_n', \vartheta_{n-1}) in
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        (4b)
                                                      \langle f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\tau}^n), T_n, \vartheta_n \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        (5b)
        \mathsf{elsif} \ \exists \beta \in \mathsf{dom}(T_0) \ . \ T_0(\beta) = \langle \pmb{\tau}_1, \ \pmb{\tau}_2 \rangle \ \mathsf{then} \quad \  \  /^* \ \pmb{\tau}' = \gamma \in \mathbb{V}_{\!\scriptscriptstyle f} \ ^*/
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          (6)
                \langle \beta, T_0, \vartheta_0 \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          (7)
        else let \beta \in V_{t} \setminus \text{dom}(T_0) in /* \boldsymbol{\tau'} = \gamma \in V_{t} */
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           (8)
                 \langle \beta, \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0], \beta [\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0] \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          (9)
let lcg'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2) =
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        (10)
        if \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 = \overline{\varnothing}^{\nu} then \boldsymbol{\tau}_2
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        (11)
        elsif \tau_2 = \overline{\varnothing}^{\nu} then \tau_1
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        (12)
        else /* assume \exists \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 \ . \ \vartheta_1({\pmb{\tau}}') = {\pmb{\tau}}_1 \wedge \vartheta_2({\pmb{\tau}}') = {\pmb{\tau}}_2 */
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        (13)
                     \mathsf{let}\; \langle \pmb{\tau},\, T,\, \vartheta' \rangle = \mathsf{lub}'(\pmb{\tau}_1,\pmb{\tau}_2,\varnothing,\pmb{\tau}',\pmb{\varepsilon},\varnothing) \; \mathsf{in}\; \pmb{\tau} \quad /^*\; \vartheta'(\pmb{\tau}') = \pmb{\tau}^* /
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        (14)
```

Figure 18: The modified least upper bound algorithm