Mathematical Proofs in Complement of the Book

Principles of Abstract Interpretation

MIT Press, 2021

Patrick Cousot New York University

January 5, 2021

Contents

1	Mathematical Proofs of Chapter 4	1
2	Mathematical Proofs of Chapter 41	2
3	Mathematical Proofs of Chapter 44	6
4	Mathematical Proofs of Chapter 47	10
5	Mathematical Proofs of Chapter 48	37
6	Bibliography	53

1 Mathematical Proofs of Chapter 4

Proof of Lemma 4.18 The lemma trivially holds if escape[S] = ff. Otherwise escape[S] = tt and the proof is by induction on the distance $\delta(S)$ of S to the root of the abstract syntax tree of P (where $\delta(P) = 0$).

- For Sl ::= Sl' S, $\delta(Sl') = \delta(Sl) = \delta(Sl) + 1$. So, in case escape [Sl] = tt, we have break-to [Sl] \neq after [Sl] by induction hypothesis. By def. escape [Sl] \triangleq escape [Sl'] \vee escape [S], there are two subcases.

 - If escape[S] = tt then $S \neq \{ ... \{ \epsilon \} ... \}$, after[S] = after[Sl], break-to $[S] \triangleq break$ -to $[Sl] \neq after[Sl]$ by induction hypothesis, so break-to $[S] \neq after[S]$.
- If $S ::= if^{\ell}$ (B) S_t then $escape[S_t] = escape[S] = tt$, $after[S_t] = after[S]$, $break-to[S_t] = break-to[S]$, and $break-to[S] \neq after[S]$ by induction hypothesis because $\delta(S_t) = \delta(S) + 1$, so $break-to[S_t] \neq after[S_t]$.
- The proof is similar for $S ::= if^{\ell}$ (B) S_f else S_f and $S ::= { S1 }.$

2 Mathematical Proofs of Chapter 41

Proof of theorem 41.24 • For the *statement list* Sl ::= Sl' S, by (17.3) (following (6.13), and (6.14)), we have $\mathcal{S}^*[Sl] = \mathcal{S}^*[Sl'] \cup \{\langle \pi_1, \pi_2 \cap \pi_3 \rangle \mid \langle \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[Sl'] \wedge \langle \pi_1 \cap \pi_2, \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[Sl]$.

- A first case is when $Sl' = \epsilon$ is empty. Then,

break-to [S] when $Sl' = \epsilon$

$$\alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \rrbracket (\mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) L_b, L_e$$

$$= \bigcup \{\alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{l} \llbracket \epsilon \mathsf{S} \rrbracket L_b, L_e \langle \pi_0, \pi_1 \rangle \mid \langle \pi_0, \pi_1 \rangle \in \mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \epsilon \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \}$$

$$\langle \text{definition (41.3) of } \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \text{ for SI} ::= \epsilon \mathsf{S} \rbrace$$

$$= \bigcup \{\alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{l} L_b, L_e \langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \pi_1 \rangle \mid \langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \pi_1 \rangle \in \mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \epsilon \rrbracket \cup \{\langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \pi_2 \cap \pi_3 \rangle \mid \langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \pi_2 \rangle \in \mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \epsilon \rrbracket \wedge \langle \pi_0^{\ell} \cap \pi_2, \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \} \}$$

$$= \bigcup \{\alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{l} L_b, L_e \langle \pi_0, \pi_1 \rangle \mid \langle \pi_0, \pi_1 \rangle \in \mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \} \}$$

$$\langle (6.15) \text{ so that } \mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \epsilon \rrbracket = \{\langle \pi_0 \text{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket, \text{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \mid \pi_0 \text{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \in \mathbb{T}^+ \} \text{ and } \langle \pi_0 \text{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket, \text{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \in \mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \rangle$$

$$= \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket (\mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) L_b, L_e \qquad \qquad \langle \text{definition (41.3) of } \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \rangle = \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket (\mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) L_b, L_e \qquad \qquad \langle \text{definition (41.3) of } \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \rangle = \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket (\mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) L_b, L_e \qquad \qquad \langle \text{definition (41.3) of } \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \rangle = \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket (\mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) L_b, L_e \qquad \qquad \langle \text{definition (41.3) of } \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \rangle = \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket (\mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) L_b, L_e \qquad \qquad \langle \text{definition (41.3) of } \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \rangle = \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket (\mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) L_b, L_e \qquad \qquad \langle \text{definition (41.3) of } \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \rangle = \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket (\mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) L_b, L_e \qquad \qquad \langle \text{definition (41.3) of } \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \rangle = \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket (\mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) L_b, L_e \qquad \qquad \langle \text{definition (41.3) of } \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \rangle = \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket (\mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) L_b, L_e \qquad \qquad \langle \text{definition (41.3) of } \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \rangle = \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket (\mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) L_b, L_e \qquad \qquad \langle \text{definition (41.3) of } \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \rangle = \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket (\mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) L_b, L_e \qquad \qquad \langle \mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \rangle = \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \mathbb{S} \rrbracket (\mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S}$$

(41.3) because after [Sl] = after [S], escape [Sl] = escape [S], and break-to [Sl] = after [Sl]

```
\subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\,\exists\exists\,} \llbracket \mathbb{S} \rrbracket \, L_b, L_e \qquad \qquad \text{(induction hypothesis for theorem 41.24)} = \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\,\exists\exists\,} \llbracket \mathbb{S} \rrbracket \, L_b, (\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\,\exists\exists\,} \llbracket \, \epsilon \, \rrbracket \, L_b, L_e) \qquad \qquad \text{(because } \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\,\exists\exists\,} \llbracket \, \epsilon \, \rrbracket \, L_b, L_e \triangleq L_e \text{ by (41.22)} \text{)} proving (41.22) when \mathbb{Sl}' = \epsilon.
```

- $\begin{array}{l} \text{ A second case is when S} = \{ \; \dots \{ \; \epsilon \; \} \dots \} \text{ is empty. Then, as required by } (41.22), \text{ we have, by induction hypothesis, } \alpha_{\mathtt{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathtt{Sl} \rrbracket \; L_b, L_e = \alpha_{\mathtt{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathtt{Sl'} \rrbracket \; L_b, L_e \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\; \exists l} \llbracket \mathtt{Sl'} \rrbracket \; L_b, (\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\; \exists l} \llbracket \mathtt{S} \rrbracket \; L_b, L_e) \\ \triangleq \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\; \exists l} \llbracket \mathtt{Sl} \rrbracket \; L_b, L_e \; \text{ because } \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\; \exists l} \llbracket \mathtt{S} \rrbracket \; L_b, L_e = L_e \; \text{ when S is empty.} \end{array}$
- Otherwise, Sl' ≠ ϵ and S ≠ { ...{ ϵ }...} so, by lemma 4.16, after [S] ϵ in [S]. In that case, let us calculate

$$\alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \text{Sl} \rrbracket \, L_b, L_e$$

- $= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\texttt{S1}] \ L_b, L_e \ \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \rangle \ | \ \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \rangle \ \in \ \pmb{\mathcal{S}}^* [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \end{array} \right. \\ \left\{ \text{definition (41.3) of } \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{\exists l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \end{array} \right. \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \end{array} \right. \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \end{array} \right. \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \end{array} \right. \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \end{array} \right. \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \end{array} \right. \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \end{array} \right. \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \end{array} \right. \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \end{array} \right. \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \end{array} \right. \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \end{array} \right. \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \end{array} \right. \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \end{array} \right. \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \end{array} \right] \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \end{array} \right. \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \end{array} \right] \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \end{array} \right] \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \end{array} \right] \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \end{array} \right] \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \end{array} \right] \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \end{array} \right] \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \end{array} \right] \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \right\} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \end{array} \right] \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \right\} \\ \left\{ \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \right\} \\ \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \right\} \\ \left\{ \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \right\} \\ \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \right\} \\ \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \right\} \right\} \\ \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \right\} \\ \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \right\} \\ \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \right\} \right\} \\ \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} [\![\texttt{S1}]\!] \right\} \right\} \right\} \\ \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \alpha_{$
- $=\bigcup\{\{\mathbf{x}\in V\mid \exists i\in [1,n-1]: \forall j\in [1,i-1]: \mathbf{x}\notin \mathtt{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_j]\!] \land \mathbf{x}\in \mathtt{use}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!]\} \cup \{\![\ell_n=\mathtt{after}[\![\mathtt{Sl}]\!]]^n\} \\ L_e:\varnothing \}\cup \{\![\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathtt{Sl}]\!]] \land \ell_n=\mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathtt{Sl}]\!]]^n\} \cup \{\![\mathtt{d}_0,\pi_1\rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathtt{Sl}]\!]] \land \pi_1=\ell_1\xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_1} \ell_n\} \\ \ell_2\xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_2}\dots\xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_{n-1}}\ell_n\} \qquad \text{ℓ_1 By lemma $41.8, omitting the useless parameters of use and mod}\}$
- $= \bigcup \{ \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, n-1] : \forall j \in [1, i-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathtt{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_j]\!] \land \mathbf{x} \in \mathtt{use}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \} \cup \{\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ ? \\ L_e \mathrel{\circ} \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ ? L_b \mathrel{\circ} \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ ? \\ L_b \mathrel{\circ} \varnothing \} \mid \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \cup \{\langle \pi_0 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_2, \ \pi_2 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3 \rangle \mid \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_2 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^+[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_0 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_2, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_2, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_2, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_2, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_2, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_2, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_2, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \langle \pi_1 \mathrel{\widehat{\cdot}} \pi_3, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!$
 - (definitions of $\mathcal{S}^*[Sl]$, after[Sl] = after[S] in section 4.2.2, escape[Sl] \triangleq escape[Sl'] \vee escape[S], and break-to[Sl'] \triangleq break-to[Sl] in section 4.2.4 \circ
- $= \bigcup \{ \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, n-1] : \forall j \in [1, i-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathtt{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_j]\!] \land \mathbf{x} \in \mathtt{use}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \} \cup \{\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_e} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \} \cup \{\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \mathcal{E}_{L_b} : \varnothing \} \cup$

- - $\begin{array}{ll} \hbox{$\langle$-$ For the first term, \langle\pi_0,$\,$\pi_1$\rangle \in $\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{Sl}']\!],$\,$\pi_1$ ends in ℓ_n, and ℓ_n = after[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]$ is impossible because Sl' and S are not empty. Moreover, if ℓ_n = break-to[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]$ = break-to[\![\mathsf{Sl}']\!]$ then a_{n-1} is a break, so escape[\![\mathsf{Sl}']\!]$ holds. L_b is included in $(\end{scape}[\![\mathsf{Sl}']\!] $\wedge ℓ_n = break-to[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]$ α ℓ_b ∞ ∞ is redundant. Finally, renaming $n \leftarrow m$. α \lefter m.$
 - $\begin{array}{lll} \hbox{$(--)$ For the second term, if ℓ_n = break-to}[Sl']$ = break-to}[S]$ then a_{n-1} is a break, so escape}[S]$ holds. L_b is included in $(escape}[S]]$ \wedge ℓ_n = break-to}[S]$ $\frac{1}{3}$ ϵ ϵ_b \times \emsilon_b and so $(escape}[Sl']]$ $\hat{\alpha}_n$ = break-to}[Sl']$ $\frac{1}{3}$ \emsilon_b \emsilon_b \times \emsilon_b is redundant. Moreover, π_2 $\cap \pi_3$ = ℓ_1 $\frac{a_1}{2}$ \times \emsilon_a = ℓ_1 $\frac{a_1}{2}$ \times \times \emsilon_a = ℓ_1 $\frac{a_1}{2}$ \times \emsilon_a = ℓ_1 $\frac{a_1}{2}$ \times \emsilon_a $\frac{a_{m-1}}{2}$ ℓ_n and π_3 = ℓ_n $\frac{a_{m+1}}{2}$ θ_n \times \emsilon_n where, by $\langle \pi_0$, π_2 $\in S^+[Sl']$ and $\langle \pi_0$ $\cap \pi_2$, π_3 \emsilon_3 \emsilon_3 \emsilon_1 \emsilon_2 \emsilon_1 $\$
- $= \bigcup \{ \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \forall j \in [1, i-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathtt{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_j]\!] \land \mathbf{x} \in \mathtt{use}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \} \cup \{\![\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathtt{Sl}']\!] \land \ell_m = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathtt{Sl}']\!] \ ? \ L_b \otimes \varnothing \} \mid \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathtt{Sl}']\!] \land \pi_1 = \ell_1 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_1} \ell_2 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_{m-1}} \ell_m \} \cup \bigcup \{ \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [m, n-1] : \forall j \in [1, i-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathtt{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_j]\!] \land \mathbf{x} \in \mathtt{use}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \} \cup \{\![\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!] \ ? \ L_e \otimes \varnothing \} \cup \{\![\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!] \ ? \ L_b \otimes \varnothing \} \mid \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^+[\![\mathtt{Sl}']\!] \land \langle \pi_0', \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathtt{S}]\!] \land \pi_1 = \ell_1 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_1} \ell_2 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_{m-1}} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathtt{Sl}']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{Sl}']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{Sl}']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{Sl}']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{Sl}']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{Sl}']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{Sl}']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{Sl}']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{Sl}']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{Sl}']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{Sl}']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{Sl}']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{Sl}']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \to \ell_m \land \ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{Sl}']\!] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \to \ell$

(because the case $i \in [1, m-1]$ of the second term is already incorporated in the first term)

 $= \bigcup \{ \{\mathbf{x} \in V \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \forall j \in [1, i-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \operatorname{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_j]\!] \land \mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{use}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \} \cup [\![\ell_m = \operatorname{after}[\![\mathsf{Sl}']\!] ? \setminus [\![\{\mathbf{x} \in V \mid \exists i \in [m, n-1] : \forall j \in [1, i-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \operatorname{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_j]\!] \land \mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{use}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \} \cup [\![\ell_n = \operatorname{after}[\![\mathsf{Sl}']\!] ? \cup [\![\ell_n = \operatorname{after}[\![\mathsf{Sl}]\!] ? \cup [\![\ell_n = \operatorname$

(incorporating the second term in the first term, in case $\ell_m = after[Sl']$)

• For the *empty statement list* Sl ::= ϵ , we have $\mathcal{S}^*[Sl] = \{\langle \pi_0 \ell, \ell \rangle\}$ by (6.15), where $\ell = \mathsf{at}[Sl]$ and so

$$\begin{split} &\alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \text{S1} \rrbracket \left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^* \llbracket \text{S1} \rrbracket \right) L_b, L_e \\ &= \bigcup \{ \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{l} \llbracket \text{S1} \rrbracket L_b, L_e \left\langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \right\rangle \mid \left\langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \right\rangle \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^* \llbracket \text{S1} \rrbracket \} \\ &= \bigcup \{ \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{l} \llbracket \text{S1} \rrbracket L_b, L_e \left\langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \right\rangle \mid \left\langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \right\rangle \in \left\{ \left\langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \ \ell \right\rangle \right\} \} \\ &= \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \text{S1} \rrbracket L_b, L_e \left\langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \ \ell \right\rangle \\ &= \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid (\ell = \text{after} \llbracket \text{S1} \rrbracket \wedge \mathbf{x} \in L_e) \vee (\text{escape} \llbracket \text{S1} \rrbracket \wedge \ell = \text{break-to} \llbracket \text{S1} \rrbracket \wedge \mathbf{x} \in L_b) \} \end{split}$$

Proof of Theorem 41.27 The proof is by structural induction and essentially consists of applying De Morgan's laws for the complement. For example,

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\,\forall d} \llbracket \text{if (B) S}_t \rrbracket \, D_b, D_e \\ &= \, \neg \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\,\exists \parallel} \llbracket \text{if (B) S}_t \rrbracket \, \neg D_b, \neg D_e \\ &= \, \neg (\text{use} \llbracket \text{B} \rrbracket \cup \neg D_e \cup \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\,\exists \parallel} \llbracket \text{S}_t \rrbracket \, \neg D_b, \neg D_e) \end{split} \qquad \text{$(\text{definition of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\,\forall d}} \llbracket \text{S} \rrbracket \text{ as dual of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\,\exists \parallel}} \llbracket \text{S} \rrbracket)$}$$

```
= \neg \operatorname{use}[B] \cap \neg \neg D_e \cap \neg \widehat{S}^{\exists i}[S_t] \neg D_b, \neg D_e)
                                                                                                                                De Morgan's laws
= \neg use[B] \cap D_e \cap \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\forall d}[s_t] D_b, D_e
                                                                                                         ? structural induction hypothesis \
All other cases are similar.
```

Mathematical Proofs of Chapter 44 3

Proof of theorem 44.38 • In case (44.41) of an empty temporal specification ε , we have

$$\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}[\mathbb{S}]\langle \underline{\varrho}, \varepsilon \rangle$$

$$\triangleq \mathcal{M}^{\dagger}(\underline{\varrho}, \varepsilon) (\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{S}}^{*}[\mathbb{S}]) \qquad (44.26) \beta$$

$$= \{\langle \pi, \mathbf{R}' \rangle \mid \pi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{S}}^{*}[\mathbb{S}] \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, \mathbf{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \rho, \varepsilon \rangle \pi \} \qquad (44.25) \beta$$

$$= \{\langle \pi, \varepsilon \rangle \mid \pi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{S}}^{*}[\mathbb{S}] \} \qquad \text{(because } \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \varepsilon \rangle \pi \triangleq \langle \mathsf{tt}, \varepsilon \rangle \text{ by } (44.24) \beta$$

$$\triangleq \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\dagger}[\mathbb{S}] \langle \underline{\varrho}, \varepsilon \rangle \qquad (44.41) \beta$$
• In case (44.43) of an empty statement list S1 ::= ε

$$\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}[\mathbb{S}] [\mathbb{S}] \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathbf{R} \rangle \qquad (44.26) \beta$$

$$= \{\langle \pi, \mathbf{R}' \rangle \mid \pi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{S}}^{*}[\mathbb{S}] \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, \mathbf{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathbf{R} \rangle \pi \} \qquad (44.25) \beta$$

$$= \{\langle \pi, \mathbf{R}' \rangle \mid \pi \in \{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{S}}^{*}[\mathbb{S}] \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, \mathbf{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathbf{R} \rangle \pi \} \qquad (42.10) \beta$$

$$= \{\langle (\mathsf{at}[\mathbb{S}], \rho), \mathbf{R}' \rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} \forall \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, \mathbf{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathbf{R} \rangle (\langle \mathsf{at}[\mathbb{S}], \rho)) \} \qquad (\mathsf{definition of } \varepsilon) \beta$$

$$= \{\langle (\mathsf{at}[\mathbb{S}], \rho), \mathbf{R}' \rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} \forall \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, \mathbf{R}' \rangle = \mathsf{fstnxt}(\mathbf{R}) \land \langle \underline{\varrho}, \langle \mathsf{at}[\mathbb{S}], \rho \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{r}[\mathbb{L}: \mathbb{B}] \}$$

$$= \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\dagger}[\mathbb{S}] \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathbf{R} \rangle \qquad (44.43) \beta$$
• In case (44.44) of a skip statement $S ::= :$

• In case (44.44) of a skip statement S ::= ;

• In case (44.49) of an iteration statement $S ::= while \ell$ (B) S_b , we apply corollary 18.33 so we have to calculate the abstract transformer that satisfies the commutation property for an iterate X of the concrete transformer $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{S}}^* \|S\|$ (which traces must be of the form $\pi \langle \operatorname{at} \|S\|, \rho \rangle$).

$$\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle \varrho, \mathsf{R}\rangle(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{S}}^{*}\llbracket\mathsf{S}\rrbracket X)$$

$$= \mathcal{M}^{+}\langle \underline{\rho}, \, \mathsf{R}\rangle(\big\{\langle^{\ell}, \, \rho\rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} \mathtt{v}\big\} \cup \big\{\pi_{2}\langle^{\ell'}, \, \rho\rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \, \rho\rangle \mid \pi_{2}\langle^{\ell'}, \, \rho\rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathtt{B}]\!] \, \rho = \mathsf{ff} \land \ell' = \ell \} \cup \big\{\pi_{2}\langle^{\ell'}, \, \rho\rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!], \, \rho\rangle \cdot \pi_{3} \mid \pi_{2}\langle^{\ell'}, \, \rho\rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathtt{B}]\!] \, \rho = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!], \, \rho\rangle \cdot \pi_{3} \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}} \, {}^{*}_{\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!] \land \ell' = \ell \})$$

$$?(42.6) \, ?$$

$$= \ \, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\dagger}\langle\underline{\varrho},\,\mathsf{R}\rangle(\big\{\langle\ell,\,\rho\rangle\mid\rho\in\mathbb{E}\mathsf{v}\big\}) \cup \ \, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\dagger}\langle\underline{\varrho},\,\mathsf{R}\rangle(\big\{\pi_2\langle\ell',\,\rho\rangle\langle\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\mid\pi_2\langle\ell',\,\rho\rangle\in X\wedge\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\,\rho = \\ \text{ff} \ \wedge^{\ell'} = {}^{\ell}\big\}) \cup \ \, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\dagger}\langle\underline{\varrho},\,\mathsf{R}\rangle(\big\{\pi_2\langle\ell',\,\rho\rangle\langle\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_3\mid\pi_2\langle\ell',\,\rho\rangle\in X\wedge\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\,\rho = \\ \text{tt} \ \wedge\,\langle\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_3\in\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}_{\,\mathbb{S}}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\,\wedge^{\ell'} = {}^{\ell}\big\})$$

Galois connection (44.30), so that, by lemma 11.37, $\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle \varrho, R \rangle$ preserves joins

To avoid repeating (44.41), we assume that $R \notin \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}$ so we can let $\langle L' : B', R' \rangle = fstnxt(R)$. There are three subcases.

— The first case is that of an observation of the execution that stops at loop entry $\ell = \text{at}[S]$. This is similar to the previous proof, for example, of (44.44) for a skip statement, and we get

$$\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle \underline{\varrho}, R \rangle (\{\langle \mathsf{at}[\![S]\!], \rho \rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} \mathsf{v}\}$$

$$= \{\langle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![S]\!], \rho \rangle, R' \rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} \mathsf{v} \land \langle \mathsf{L}' : \mathsf{B}', R' \rangle = \mathsf{fstnxt}(R) \land \langle \varrho, \langle \mathsf{at}[\![S]\!], \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r[\![\mathsf{L}' : \mathsf{B}']\!] \}$$

— The second case is that of the loop exit

$$\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle \varrho, \mathsf{R}\rangle(\{\pi_2\langle \mathsf{at}[S]], \rho\rangle\langle \mathsf{after}[S]], \rho\rangle \mid \pi_2\langle \mathsf{at}[S]], \rho\rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[B][\rho = \mathsf{ff}\})$$

$$= \left\{ \langle \pi, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \pi \in \left\{ \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle \mid \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathsf{ff} \right\} \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, \mathsf{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathsf{R} \rangle \pi \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle, \; \mathsf{R}' \rangle \; \middle| \; \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \; \in \; X \land \; \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \; \rho \; = \; \mathsf{ff} \; \land \; \langle \mathsf{tt}, \; \mathsf{R}' \rangle \; = \; \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \; \mathsf{R} \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \rangle$$

$$= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle, \; \mathsf{R}' \rangle \; \middle| \; \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathtt{B}]\!] \; \rho = \mathsf{ff} \land \exists \mathsf{R}'' \in \mathcal{R} \; . \; \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathsf{R} \rangle \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \rangle = \langle \mathsf{tt}, \; \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \land \mathcal{M}^t \langle \varrho, \; \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \langle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \rangle = \langle \mathsf{tt}, \; \mathsf{R}' \rangle \right\} \langle \mathsf{lemma} \; 44.37 \rangle$$

$$= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \left\{ \langle \pi, \, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \mid \pi \in X \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, \, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle \right\} \\ + \mathcal{R} \langle \pi \rangle \wedge \mathcal{R} \langle \mathfrak{g}, \, \mathfrak{g} \rangle \wedge \mathcal{R} \rangle \wedge \mathcal{R} \langle \mathfrak{g}, \, \mathfrak{g} \rangle \wedge \mathcal{R} \langle \mathfrak{g}, \, \mathfrak{g} \rangle \wedge \mathcal{R} \langle \mathfrak{g}, \, \mathfrak{g} \rangle \wedge \mathcal{R} \rangle \wedge \mathcal{R} \langle \mathfrak{g}, \, \mathfrak{g} \rangle \wedge \mathcal{R} \langle \mathfrak{g}, \, \mathfrak{g} \rangle \wedge \mathcal{R} \rangle \wedge \mathcal{R} \rangle \wedge \mathcal{R} \langle \mathfrak{g}, \, \mathfrak{g} \rangle \wedge \mathcal{R} \rangle \wedge \mathcal{R} \langle \mathfrak{g}, \, \mathfrak{g} \rangle \wedge \mathcal{R} \rangle \wedge \mathcal{R} \langle \mathfrak{g}, \, \mathfrak{g} \rangle \wedge \mathcal{R} \rangle \wedge \mathcal{R} \rangle \wedge \mathcal{R} \langle \mathfrak{g}, \, \mathfrak{g} \rangle \wedge \mathcal{R} \rangle \wedge \mathcal{R}$$

(X is an iterate of the concrete transformer $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{S}}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket$ so its traces must be of the form $\pi \langle \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket, \rho \rangle$)

$$= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \, \middle| \, \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^+ \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \, \rho = \mathsf{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \langle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle) = \langle \mathsf{tt}, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \langle (\mathsf{44.25}) \, \middle| \, \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle = \langle \mathsf{tt}, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \right\}$$

```
 = \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket, \; \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket, \; \rho \rangle, \; \varepsilon \rangle \; \middle| \; \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket, \; \rho \rangle, \; \varepsilon \rangle \in \mathscr{M}^{\dagger} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \; \mathsf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \; \rho = \mathsf{ff} \right\} \cup \\ \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket, \; \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket, \; \rho \rangle, \; \mathsf{R}' \rangle \; \middle| \; \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket, \; \rho \rangle, \; \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \mathscr{M}^{\dagger} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \; \mathsf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \; \rho = \mathsf{ff} \wedge \mathsf{R}'' \notin \mathscr{R}_{\varepsilon} \wedge \mathscr{M}^{t} \langle \varrho, \; \mathsf{R}' \rangle \langle \langle \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket, \; \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket, \; \rho \rangle) = \langle \mathsf{tt}, \; \mathsf{R}' \rangle \right\}
```

(case analysis and $\mathcal{M}^t \langle \varrho, \varepsilon \rangle \pi \triangleq \langle \mathsf{tt}, \varepsilon \rangle$ in (44.24)

- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \varepsilon \rangle \; \middle| \; \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \varepsilon \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^+ \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathbf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \right\} \cup \\ \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \varepsilon \rangle \; \middle| \; \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathbf{R}'' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^+ \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathbf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathbf{R}'' \notin \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \wedge \langle \mathbf{L}' : \mathbf{B}', \, \mathbf{R}' \rangle = \operatorname{fstnxt}(\mathbf{R}'') \wedge \mathbf{R}' \in \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r[\![\mathbf{L}' : \mathbf{B}']\!] \right\} \cup \\ \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathbf{R}' \rangle \; \middle| \; \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathbf{R}'' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^+ \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathbf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathbf{R}'' \notin \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \wedge \langle \mathbf{L}' : \mathbf{B}', \, \mathbf{R}''' \rangle = \operatorname{fstnxt}(\mathbf{R}'') \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r[\![\mathbf{L}' : \mathbf{B}']\!] \wedge \mathbf{R}''' \notin \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \wedge \langle \mathbf{L}'' : \mathbf{B}'', \, \mathbf{R}'' \rangle = \operatorname{fstnxt}(\mathbf{R}''') \wedge \langle \varrho, \, \langle \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r[\![\mathbf{L}' : \mathbf{B}']\!] \right\}$
 - $\begin{array}{lll} \text{(because ($\langle \mathsf{tt}, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \varrho, \, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle (\langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle))} &\Leftrightarrow (\langle \mathsf{L}' : \mathsf{B}', \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle = \mathsf{fstnxt}(\mathsf{R}'') \wedge \mathsf{R}' \in \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \wedge \langle \varrho, \, \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r[\![\mathsf{L}' : \mathsf{B}']\!]) \vee (\langle \mathsf{L}' : \mathsf{B}', \, \mathsf{R}''' \rangle = \mathsf{fstnxt}(\mathsf{R}'') \wedge \langle \varrho, \, \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r[\![\mathsf{L}' : \mathsf{B}']\!] \wedge \mathsf{R}''' \notin \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \wedge \langle \mathsf{L}'' : \mathsf{B}'', \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle = \mathsf{fstnxt}(\mathsf{R}''') \wedge \langle \varrho, \, \langle \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r[\![\mathsf{L}'' : \mathsf{B}'']\!]) \text{ as shown previously while proving the second term in case (44.46) of a conditional statement $\mathsf{S} ::= \mathsf{if} \ \ell \ (\mathsf{B}) \ \mathsf{S}_t)$
- The third and last case is that of an iteration executing the loop body.

$$\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle \underline{\rho}, \, \mathsf{R}\rangle(\{\pi_2\langle\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho\rangle\langle\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho\rangle \cdot \pi_3 \mid \pi_2\langle\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho\rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \, \rho = \, \mathsf{tt} \, \wedge \, \langle\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho\rangle \\ \rho\rangle\pi_3 \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \})$$

$$= \left\{ \langle \pi, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \pi \in \left\{ \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3 \mid \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \, \rho = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3 \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{\, \mathbb{S}}^{\, *}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \right\} \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \varrho, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle \pi \right\} \tag{44.25}$$

$$= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!], \; \rho \rangle \pi_3, \; \mathsf{R}' \rangle \; \middle| \; \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathtt{B}]\!] \; \rho = \operatorname{tt} \land \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!], \; \rho \rangle \pi_3 \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{S}}^*[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, \; \mathsf{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \varrho, \; \mathsf{R} \rangle \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!], \; \rho \rangle \pi_3) \right\} \qquad \text{(definition of } \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{S}}^*[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!], \;$$

$$= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!], \; \rho \rangle \pi_3, \; \mathsf{R}' \rangle \; \middle| \; \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B}[\![\mathtt{B}]\!] \; \rho = \operatorname{tt} \land \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!], \; \rho \rangle \pi_3 \in \widehat{\mathscr{S}}_s^* [\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \land \exists \mathsf{R}'' \in \mathcal{R} \; . \; \mathscr{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \; \mathsf{R} \rangle \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle) = \langle \operatorname{tt}, \; \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \land \mathscr{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \; \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \langle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle = \langle \operatorname{tt}, \; \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \rangle$$

$$\langle \operatorname{lemma} \; 44.37 \rangle$$

- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \rho \rangle \pi_3, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \left\{ \langle \pi, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \mid \pi \in X \land \langle \operatorname{tt}, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathsf{R} \rangle \pi_1 \right\} \\ \times \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \rho = \operatorname{tt} \land \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \rho \rangle \pi_3 \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*_{\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \land \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle (\langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \rho \rangle \pi_3) = \langle \operatorname{tt}, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \}$
 - (definition of \in and X is an iterate of the concrete transformer $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{S}}^* \llbracket S \rrbracket$ so its traces must be of the form $\pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at} \llbracket S \rrbracket, \; \rho \rangle \rangle$
- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \rho \rangle \pi_3, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^{\downarrow} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathsf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \rho$

- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}], \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}_b], \rho \rangle \pi_3, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}], \rho \rangle, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathsf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{B}] \rho = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}_b], \rho \rangle \pi_3 \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*_{\mathbb{S}}[\mathbb{S}_b] \wedge (\exists \mathsf{R}''' \in \mathcal{R} : \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle (\langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}], \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}_b], \rho \rangle) = \langle \operatorname{tt}, \mathsf{R}''' \rangle \wedge \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle (\langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}_b], \rho \rangle \pi_3) = \langle \operatorname{tt}, \mathsf{R}' \rangle) \right\}$ (lemma 44.37)
- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \mathbf{R}' \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathbf{R}'' \rangle \in \mathscr{M}^{+} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathbf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathscr{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \, \rho = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \exists \mathbf{R}''' \in \mathscr{R} \cdot \langle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \mathbf{R}' \rangle \in \left\{ \langle \pi, \, \mathbf{R}' \rangle \mid \pi \in \widehat{\mathscr{S}}^*_{\, \mathbb{S}}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \, \wedge \langle \operatorname{tt}, \, \mathbf{R}' \rangle = \mathscr{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathbf{R}''' \rangle \pi \right\} \wedge \mathscr{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathbf{R}''' \rangle \langle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle) = \langle \operatorname{tt}, \, \mathbf{R}''' \rangle \rangle$
 - (definition of \in and definition of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{s}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket$ in chapter 42 so that its traces must be of the form $\langle \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket, \rho \rangle \pi_3 \rangle$
- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \mathscr{M}^{\dagger} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \, \rho = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \mathscr{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \langle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle = \langle \operatorname{tt}, \, \mathsf{R}''' \rangle \wedge \langle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \in \mathscr{M}^{\dagger} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R}''' \rangle \right\} \\ \langle (44.26) \text{ and } (44.25), \wedge \text{ commutative} \rangle$

There are two subcases depending on whether $R'' \in \mathbb{R}_s$ or not.

- If $R'' \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}$, then
- Otherwise $R'' \notin R_{\varepsilon}$
- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \, \middle| \, \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^+ \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \, \rho = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \mathsf{R}'' \notin \mathcal{R}^+ \langle \mathsf{L} : \mathsf{B}, \mathsf{R}'''' \rangle + (\mathsf{L} : \mathsf{B}, \mathsf{R}'''') \wedge (\mathsf{L} : \mathsf{B}, \mathsf{R}'''') \wedge (\mathsf{L} : \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}''') \wedge (\mathsf{L} : \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}''') \wedge (\mathsf{L} : \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}'') \wedge (\mathsf{L} : \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}') \wedge (\mathsf{L} : \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}) \wedge (\mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}) \wedge (\mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}) \wedge (\mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}) \wedge (\mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}) \wedge (\mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R}, \mathsf{R},$

There are two subsubcases, depending on whether R"" is empty or not.

- If $R'''' \in \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}$ then, as shown before, $\mathcal{M}^{t}\langle \underline{\varrho}, R'''' \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[S_{b}], \rho \rangle = \langle \operatorname{tt}, R''' \rangle$ implies that $R''' \in \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}$ and so $\langle \langle \operatorname{at}[S_{b}], \rho \rangle \pi_{3}$, $R' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^{+}[S_{b}] \langle \underline{\varrho}, R''' \rangle$ if and only if $R' \in \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}$ and $\langle \operatorname{at}[S_{b}], \rho \rangle \pi_{3} \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{s}^{*}[S_{b}]$. We get
- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \; \rho \rangle \pi_3, \; \varepsilon \rangle \; \middle| \; \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle, \; \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \mathscr{M}^{\dagger} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \; \mathsf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \; \rho = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \mathsf{R}'' \notin \mathscr{R} \wedge \langle \mathsf{L} : \mathsf{B}, \; \varepsilon \rangle = \operatorname{fstnxt}(\mathsf{R}'') \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \; \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^r [\![\mathsf{L} : \mathsf{B}]\!] \wedge \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \; \rho \rangle \pi_3 \in \widehat{\mathscr{S}}^*_{\,\mathbb{S}} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \right\}$ $\left((44.24) \right)$
- Otherwise $R'''' \notin \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}$.

```
 = \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \, \middle| \, \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \mathscr{M}^{\dagger} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathscr{B}[\![\mathtt{B}]\!] \, \rho = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \mathsf{R}'' \notin \mathscr{R}_{\varepsilon} \wedge \langle \mathsf{L} : \mathsf{B}, \, \mathsf{R}'''' \rangle = \operatorname{fstnxt}(\mathsf{R}'') \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^r[\![\mathtt{L} : \mathsf{B}]\!] \wedge \mathsf{R}'''' \notin \mathscr{R}_{\varepsilon} \wedge \mathscr{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R}''' \rangle \wedge \langle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \in \mathscr{M}^{\dagger}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \langle \varrho, \, \mathsf{R}''' \rangle \}
```

$$= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \mathbf{R}' \rangle \; \middle| \; \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathbf{R}'' \rangle \in \mathscr{M}^+ \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathbf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathscr{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \, \rho = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \mathbf{R}'' \notin \mathscr{R}_{\varepsilon} \wedge \langle \mathbf{L} : \, \mathbf{B}, \, \mathbf{R}'''' \rangle = \operatorname{fstnxt}(\mathbf{R}''') \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^r [\![\mathbf{L} : \, \mathbf{B}]\!] \wedge \langle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \mathbf{R}' \rangle \in \mathscr{M}^+ [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathbf{R}''' \rangle \right\}$$

— Grouping all cases together we get the term (44.50) defining $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}[S]\langle\underline{\varrho},R\rangle$ ($\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle\underline{\varrho},R\rangle X$) and so corollary 18.33 and the commutation condition $\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle\underline{\varrho},R\rangle(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{S}}^{*}[S](X))=\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}[S]\langle\underline{\varrho},R\rangle$ ($\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle\underline{\varrho},R\rangle(X)$) for the iterates X of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{S}}^{*}[S]$ yield $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\dagger}[S]\langle\underline{\varrho},R\rangle$ \triangleq If $p^{\varsigma}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}[S]\langle\underline{\varrho},R\rangle)$ that is (44.49).

• In case (44.48) of a break statement S ::= ℓ break ;

$$m{\mathcal{M}}^{\dagger} \llbracket \mathsf{S}
rbracket \langle arrho, \, \mathsf{R}'
angle \ | \ \pi \in \widehat{m{S}}_{\,\mathbb{S}}^{\,*} \llbracket \mathsf{S}
rbracket \wedge$$

$$= \{ \langle \pi, R' \rangle \mid \pi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{s}^{*} \llbracket S \rrbracket \wedge \langle \mathsf{tt}, R' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \varrho, R \rangle \pi \}$$
 (44.26) and (44.25)

$$= \left\{ \langle \pi, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \pi \in \{ \langle \ell, \, \rho \rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} \mathtt{v} \} \cup \{ \langle \ell, \, \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{break-to}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} \mathtt{v} \} \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\rho}, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle \pi \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \langle (42.14) \rangle \langle (42$$

$$= \left\{ \langle \langle \ell, \rho \rangle, R'' \rangle \mid \langle \mathsf{tt}, R'' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\rho}, R \rangle \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \right\} \cup \left\{ \langle \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{break-to}[\![S]\!], \rho \rangle, R'' \rangle \mid \langle \mathsf{tt}, R'' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\rho}, R \rangle \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{break-to}[\![S]\!], \rho \rangle \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{break-to}[\![S]\!], \rho \rangle \right\}$$

$$= \operatorname{let} \langle \mathsf{L} : \mathsf{B}, \mathsf{R}' \rangle = \operatorname{fstnxt}(\mathsf{R}) \operatorname{in} \left\{ \langle \langle \ell, \rho \rangle, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \langle \underline{\varrho}, \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r \llbracket \mathsf{L} : \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \right\} \cup \left\{ \langle \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{break-to} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket, \rho \rangle, \varepsilon \rangle \mid \mathsf{R}' \in \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r \llbracket \mathsf{L} : \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \right\} \cup \left\{ \langle \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{break-to} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket, \rho \rangle, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \mid \mathsf{R}' \notin \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r \llbracket \mathsf{L} : \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \wedge \langle \mathsf{L}' : \mathsf{B}', \mathsf{R}'' \rangle = \operatorname{fstnxt}(\mathsf{R}') \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \langle \operatorname{break-to} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r \llbracket \mathsf{L}' : \mathsf{B}' \rrbracket \right\}$$

 $R \notin \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}$, case analysis on $R' \in \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}$, and (44.24)

4 Mathematical Proofs of Chapter 47

Proof (47.47) There are three cases depending on whether the program label ℓ is at or after statement S, or in the true branch S_t .

```
= \{ \langle \mathbf{x}', \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \mathbf{\mathcal{S}}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \in \mathcal{D}(\mathsf{after}\llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \langle \mathbf{x}', \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \}  (definition (47.25) of \alpha^d)
```

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}', \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0, \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0', \pi_1' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0') \mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\![\![\!]\!]\!])(\pi_0, \pi_1), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\![\![\!]\!]\!])(\pi_0', \pi_1'))\} \ \langle \mathsf{definition} \ (47.19) \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathcal{D}^\ell \langle \mathsf{x}', \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \rangle$

$$=\{\langle \mathsf{x}',\ \mathsf{y}\rangle\ |\ \exists \langle \pi_0,\ \pi_1\rangle, \langle \pi_0',\ \pi_1'\rangle\ \in\ \{\langle \pi\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!],\ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})}\ \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]\rangle\ |\ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!])\ =\ \mathsf{ff}\}\ \cup\ \{\langle \pi\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!],\ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}}\ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]\pi'\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]\rangle\ |\ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!])\ =\ \mathsf{tt}\ \wedge\ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]\pi'\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]\ \in\ \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!](\pi\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}}\ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!])\}\ .\ (\forall \mathsf{z}\ \in\ V\ \backslash\ \{\mathsf{x}'\}\ .\ \varrho(\pi_0)\mathsf{z}\ =\ \varrho(\pi_0')\mathsf{z})\ \wedge\ \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\![\mathsf{y}]\!](\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!])(\pi_0,\pi_1),\mathsf{seqval}[\![\![\mathsf{y}]\!](\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!])(\pi_0',\pi_1'))\}$$

(definition of $\mathcal{S}^*[\![S]\!]$ in (6.9), (6.19), and (6.18) so that after $[\![S]\!]$ = after $[\![S_t]\!]$

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}', \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \rangle, \langle \pi_0', \ \pi_1' \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \rangle \in \{\langle \pi \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \ \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \rangle \ | \ \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) = \ \mathsf{ff} \} \cup \{\langle \pi \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi' \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \rangle \ | \ \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) = \ \mathsf{tt} \land \\ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi' \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] (\pi \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \} \land (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0') \mathsf{z}) \land \\ \mathsf{diff}(\varrho(\pi_0 \circ \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{y}, \ \varrho(\pi_0' \circ \pi_1' \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{y}) \}$

(definition of ϵ so that π_1 and π_1' must end with after [S] and definition (47.16) of seqval [y]

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \pi_1 \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket, \pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \pi_1' \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \in \{\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\neg (\mathbf{B})} \ \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \ | \ \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) = \mathrm{ff} \} \cup \{\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi' \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \mid \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) = \mathrm{tt} \wedge \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi' \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket (\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) \} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \mathrm{diff} (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \pi_1 \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{y}, \ \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \pi_1' \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{y}) \}$

? definitions of ∈ and of trace concatenation ? §

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \pi_1 \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket, \pi'_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \pi'_1 \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \in \{\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathbf{B})} \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \mid \mathcal{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) = \mathrm{ff} \} \cup \{\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi' \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \mid \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) = \mathrm{tt} \land \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi' \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket (\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) \} \land (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \cdot \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi'_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{z}) \land (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \pi_1 \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi'_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \pi'_1 \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{y} \}$$

$$\text{(definition (47.18) of diff)}$$

There are four subcases, depending upon which branch of the conditional is taken by the two executions π_0 at [S] π_1 after [S] and π_0 at [S] π_1 after [S].

- (2.a) - If both executions π_0 at $[S]\pi_1$ after [S] and π'_0 at $[S]\pi'_1$ after [S] are through the false branch, we have,

(1)

$$= \{\langle \mathsf{x}', \; \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \; . \; \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{ff} \; \land \\ \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{ff} \; \land \; (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{z}) \; \land \; (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{y}) \}$$

$$\exists \mathsf{case} \; \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{ff} \; \mathsf{and} \; \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{ff} \mathsf{y}$$

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] : \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{ff} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{ff} \land (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} : \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{y} \}$

 $\text{(definition (6.6) of } \boldsymbol{\varrho} \text{ so that } \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \text{at}[\![\textbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\neg(\textbf{B})} \text{after}[\![\textbf{S}]\!]) \textbf{y} = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \text{at}[\![\textbf{S}]\!] \textbf{y}) \text{)}$

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}',\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \rho, v \,.\, \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathsf{ff} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \rho[\mathsf{x}' \leftarrow v] = \mathsf{ff} \land \rho(\mathsf{y}) \neq \rho[\mathsf{x}' \leftarrow v] \mathsf{y} \}$ (letting $\rho = \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]), v = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{x}'$ so that $\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\}$. $\varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{z}$ implies $\varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) = \rho[\mathsf{x}' \leftarrow v]$ and, conversely exercise 6.8, so that any environment ρ can be computed as the result $\varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!])$ of an appropriate initialization trace $u' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]$ (otherwise, this is $u' \mathsf{s} = v' \mathsf{s}$)

$$= \ \{ \langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{x}' \rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu \ . \ \rho(\mathbf{x}') \neq \nu \land \mathscr{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathsf{ff} \land \mathscr{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho[\mathbf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \mathsf{ff} \}$$

(because $\rho[x' \leftarrow v](y) = \rho(y)$ when $y \neq x'$)

= $\{\langle x', x' \rangle \mid x' \in \text{nondet}(\neg B, \neg B)\}$ (definition (47.48) of nondet)

= $\mathbb{1}_{V}$ | nondet($\neg B$, $\neg B$) (definition of left restriction])

 $\subseteq 1_{V}$

Described in words for that first case, the initial value of x' flows to the value of x' by the false branch of the conditional **if** (B) S_t when there are at least two different values of x' for which B is false. (If there is only one, x' is constant on the false branch. This can be disproved by a constancy analysis [3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10] or a determinacy analysis [5, 8].) A classic coarser overapproximation is to ignore values, that is, that variables may have only one value making the test false.

- (2.b) - Else, if both executions π_0 at $[S]\pi_1$ after [S] and π'_0 at $[S]\pi'_1$ after [S] are through the true branch, we have,

(1)

$$= \{\langle \mathsf{x}', \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \pi_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \pi_1 \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket, \pi_0' \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \pi_1' \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \in \{\pi \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi' \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \ | \ \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi' \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket (\pi \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) \} \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} .$$

$$\varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \pi_1 \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \pi_1' \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \mathsf{y}) \}$$

$$\ell_0 \mathsf{case} \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \text{ and } \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) = \mathsf{ff} \mathsf{f} \mathsf{f} \mathsf{ft} \mathsf{ft$$

- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}', \, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0', \pi_1' \ . \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] (\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \times \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1' \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] (\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1' \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{y}) \}$
- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \ | \ \exists \langle \pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket, \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_1 \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_2 \rangle, \langle \pi_0' \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket, \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_1 \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_2 \rangle, \langle \pi_0' \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket, \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_1' \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_2' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{+\infty} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket . \quad \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \times \mathsf{e} \wedge \mathsf{at} \mathsf{ete} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \times \mathsf{e} \wedge \mathsf{ete} \wedge \mathsf{ete$
- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket, \ \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_1 \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_2 \rangle, \langle \pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket, \\ \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_1' \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_2' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{+\infty} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket . \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathrm{tt} \land \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathrm{tt} \land \langle \forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} . \quad \varrho (\pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) z = \varrho (\pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) z = \varrho (\pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) z \land \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \notin \pi_1 \land \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \notin \pi_1' \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathbf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) (\pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathrm{at} \mathbb{S}_t \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S$
- $\hspace{0.1in} \subseteq \{\langle \mathsf{x}', \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \bar{\pi}_0, \ \bar{\pi}_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_2 \rangle, \langle \bar{\pi}_0', \ \bar{\pi}_1' \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_2' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \ . \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0) = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0') = \mathsf{tt} \land (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0') \mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \notin \bar{\pi}_1 \land \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \land (\bar{\pi}_0 \widehat{\tau} \widehat{\pi}_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!], \ \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_2), \ \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] (\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) (\bar{\pi}_0' \widehat{\tau} \widehat{\pi}_1' \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!], \ \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!], \ \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!], \ \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] (\bar{\pi}_0' \widehat{\tau} \widehat{\pi}_1' \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!], \ \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!], \ \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) (\bar{\pi}_0' \widehat{\tau} \widehat{\pi}_1' \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) (\bar{\pi}_0' \widehat{\tau} \widehat{\pi}_1' \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!], \ \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) (\bar{\pi}_0' \widehat{\tau} \widehat{\tau} \widehat{\pi}_1' \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) (\bar{\pi}_0' \widehat{\tau} \widehat{\pi}_1' \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!], \ \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) (\bar{\pi}_0' \widehat{\tau} \widehat{\pi}_1' \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!$
 - (letting $\bar{\pi}_0 = \pi_0 \operatorname{at}[\![S]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![S_t]\!], \bar{\pi}_1 = \operatorname{at}[\![S_t]\!] \pi_1, \bar{\pi}_0' = \pi_0' \operatorname{at}[\![S]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![S_t]\!], \text{ and } \bar{\pi}_1' = \operatorname{at}[\![S_t]\!] \pi_1'$
- $\hspace{0.1in} \subseteq \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu \ . \ \rho(\mathbf{x}') \neq \nu \land \mathfrak{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho = \operatorname{tt} \land \mathfrak{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho[\mathbf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \operatorname{tt}\} \cap \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \bar{\pi}_{0},\ \bar{\pi}_{1} \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!] \pi_{2}' \rangle, \langle \bar{\pi}'_{0},\ \bar{\pi}'_{1} \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!] \pi'_{2}' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!] \ . \ (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\bar{\pi}_{0}) \mathbf{z} = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\bar{\pi}'_{0}) \mathbf{z}) \land \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!] \notin \bar{\pi}_{1} \land \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!] \notin \bar{\pi}'_{1} \land \operatorname{diff}(\operatorname{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] (\operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!]) (\bar{\pi}_{0} \widehat{} \bar{\pi}_{1} \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!], \ \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!] \pi_{2}), \\ \operatorname{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] (\operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!]) (\bar{\pi}'_{0} \widehat{} \bar{\pi}'_{1} \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!], \ \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!], \ \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_{t}]\!] \pi'_{2})) \}$
 - The letting $\rho = \rho(\bar{\pi}_0)$ and $\nu = \rho(\bar{\pi}'_0)(x')$
- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu : \rho(\mathbf{x}') \neq \nu \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho[\mathbf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \mathsf{tt}\} \cap \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \in \mathcal{D}(\mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle\}$ $\qquad \qquad \langle \mathsf{definition}(47.19) \; \mathsf{of} \; \mathcal{D}^{\ell} \langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \rangle$
- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}', \, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu : \rho(\mathsf{x}') \neq \nu \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \rho[\mathsf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \mathsf{tt}\} \cap \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{\mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]\}) \text{ after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \}$ $\langle \mathsf{definition of} \subseteq \mathsf{and definition (47.25) of } \alpha^{\mathsf{d}} \rangle$

Described in words for that second case, the initial value of x' flows to the value of y by the true

branch of the conditional if (B) S_t when there are at least two different values of x' for which B is true and x' flows to the value of y in S_t .

 $\subseteq \widehat{\overline{S}}_{\text{diff}}^{\exists} [S_t] \text{ after} [S_t]] \text{ nondet}(B, B)$

(by structural induction hypothesis , definition (47.48) of nondet, and definition of the left restriction \rceil of a relation in section 2.2.2 $\mbox{\^{}}$

$$\subseteq \widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\text{diff}}^{\exists}[S_t]]$$
 after $[S_t]$ (A coarse overapproximation ignoring values)

-(2.c-d) — Otherwise, one execution is through the true branch (let us denote it π_0 at $[S]\pi_1$ after [S]) and the other is through the false branch (let it be π'_0 at $[S]\pi'_1$ after [S]), we have (the other case is symmetric),

(1)

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}', \; \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \pi_1 \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \in \{\pi \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi' \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \mid \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi' \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \in \widehat{\mathscr{S}}^{+\infty} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket (\pi \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) \} \; . \; \exists \pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \pi'_1 \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \in \{\pi \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \mid \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) = \mathsf{ff} \} \; . \; (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \mathsf{z}) \land (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \pi_1 \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \mathsf{y}) \}$

$$\label{eq:case problem} \langle \operatorname{case} \, \mathfrak{B} [\![\mathtt{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at} [\![\mathtt{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{tt} \; \mathrm{and} \; \mathfrak{B} [\![\mathtt{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at} [\![\mathtt{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{ff} \rangle$$

- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}', \; \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' \; . \; \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{tt} \; \wedge \; \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] (\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{ff} \; \wedge \; (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{y} \}$ $\langle \mathsf{definition} \; \mathsf{of} \in \mathcal{S} \rangle = \mathsf{definition}$
- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}', \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \bar{\pi}_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' : \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] (\bar{\pi}_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} : \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\varrho(\bar{\pi}_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{y} \}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{$\left(\text{letting $\bar{\pi}_0$at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket \ = \ \pi_0$at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \right) \ \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket \ \text{so that by definition (6.6) of ϱ, ϱ(π_0at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \ = \\ \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0$at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) \ \text{so \mathcal{B}} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0$at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \ = & \ \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0$at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) \ \text{and ϱ(π'_0at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \ \stackrel{\neg(\mathsf{B})}{\longrightarrow} \ \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \mathsf{y} \ = \\ \varrho(\pi'_0$at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \mathsf{y} \ \rangle \end{array}$

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \bar{\pi}_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' \ . \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathrm{tt} \wedge \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] (\bar{\pi}_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathrm{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \wedge (\varrho(\bar{\pi}_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{y} \}$

 ${\rm Obj}({\mathfrak g}_0)$ by definition (6.6) of ${\mathfrak g}$ so that ${\mathfrak g}(\pi_0'{\rm at}[\![{\mathtt S}]\!]) = {\mathfrak g}(\pi_0'{\rm at}[\![{\mathtt S}]\!]) \xrightarrow{{\mathsf B}} {\rm at}[\![{\mathtt S}_t]\!])$

```
= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' : (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} : \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \mathfrak{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathfrak{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathsf{ff} \wedge \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] (\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \wedge (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{y} \}
```

 $\label{eq:letting_def} \text{(letting π'_0 at $[S_t]] = π'_0 at $[S]$ $\xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}}$ at $[S_t]$, commutativity of \wedge)}$

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \ \mathbf{x}' \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' \ . \ (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathrm{tt} \land \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathrm{ff} \land \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] (\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \land (\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{x}' \neq \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{x}' \}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \cup \; \{\langle \mathsf{x}',\;\mathsf{y}\rangle \;\;|\;\; \mathsf{x}' \; \neq \; \mathsf{y} \; \wedge \; \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' \;\; . \;\; (\forall \mathsf{z} \; \in \; V \; \backslash \; \{\mathsf{x}'\} \;\; . \;\; \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \mathsf{z} \;\; = \; \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \mathsf{z}) \; \wedge \\ \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \;\; = \;\; \mathsf{tt} \; \wedge \; \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \;\; = \;\; \mathsf{ff} \; \wedge \;\; \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]\pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \;\; \in \;\; \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\; + \infty}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!](\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \; \wedge \\ (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]\pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \;\; \neq \;\; \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \mathsf{y} \}$

(because when $x' \neq y$, $\varrho(\pi'_0 at[S_t])y = \varrho(\pi_0 at[S_t])y$)

Described in words for that third case, x' flows to x' if and only if changing x' changes the Boolean expression B, and when B is true, S_t changes x' to a value different from that when B is false. A counterexample is if (x' != 1) x' = 1;

Moreover, x' flows to $y \neq x'$ if and only if changing x' changes the Boolean expression B and when B is true, S_t changes y.

```
= \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' \ . \ (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \\ \mathrm{tt} \wedge \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \\ \mathrm{ff} \wedge \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] (\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \wedge (\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{y} \neq \\ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{y} \} \qquad \qquad \langle \text{grouping cases together} \rangle
```

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}',\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \pi_0,\pi_1,\pi_0' \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!])\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!])\mathsf{z}) \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0'\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathsf{ff} \land \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]\pi_1\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!](\pi_0\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \land (\varrho(\pi_0\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]\pi_1\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!])\mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!])\mathsf{y}\} \ \rceil \ \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})$

(letting $\rho = \varrho(\pi_0 \text{at}[S])$, $\nu = \varrho(\pi'_0 \text{at}[S]) x'$ so that $\forall z \in V \setminus \{x'\}$. $\varrho(\pi_0 \text{at}[S]) z = \varrho(\pi'_0 \text{at}[S]) z$ implies $\varrho(\pi'_0 \text{at}[S]) = \rho[x' \leftarrow \nu]$. It follows that $\exists \rho, \nu \cdot \rho(x') \neq \nu \land \Re[B] \rho = \text{tt} \land \Re[B] \rho[x' \leftarrow \nu] = \text{ff.}$ Therefore, by definition (47.48) of nondet, $x' \in \text{nondet}(B, \neg B)$

 $\subseteq \{\langle x', y \rangle \mid x' \in \text{nondet}(B, \neg B) \land y \in \text{mod}[S_t]\}$

(Because $\{x \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1 : at[S] \pi_1 \text{ after}[S] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*[S](\pi_0 \text{ at}[S]) \land \varrho(\pi_0 \text{ at}[S] \pi_1 \text{ after}[S]) \times \varrho(\pi_0 \text{ at}[S]) \times g \in \mathbb{S}^*[S](\pi_0 \text{ at}[S]) \times g \in \mathbb{S}^*[S](\pi_$

```
= \operatorname{nondet}(B, \neg B) \times \operatorname{mod}[S_t]] \qquad \text{(definition of the Cartesian product)} \\ \subseteq \{\langle x', y \rangle \mid x' \in \operatorname{wars}[B] \land y \in \operatorname{mod}[S_t]]\}
```

(nondet(B, \neg B) can be overapproximated by the set of variables x' occurring in the Boolean expression B as defined in exercise 3.3 \(\)

Exercise 2 Prove that for all program components $S \in Pc$,

```
\{x \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1 : at[S] \pi_1 after[S] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[S] (\pi_0 at[S]) \land A
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    \varrho(\pi_0 \operatorname{at}[S][\pi_1 \operatorname{after}[S]]) \times \neq \varrho(\pi_0 \operatorname{at}[S]]) \times \subseteq \operatorname{mod}[S].
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   П
 - (3) - Finally, assume \ell \in \text{in}[S_{\ell}].
                     \alpha^{d}(\{S^*[S]\}) \ell
 = \{ \langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \in \mathcal{D}^{\ell} \langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  ? definition (47.25) of \alpha^{d}
 = \{\langle \mathsf{x}',\ \mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0,\ \pi_1\rangle, \langle \pi_0',\ \pi_1'\rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*\llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0')\mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}\llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket^\ell(\pi_0,\pi_1),\mathsf{seqval}\llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket^\ell(\pi_0',\pi_1'))\} \qquad \qquad \mathring{\mathsf{definition}} \ (47.19) \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathcal{D}^\ell\langle \mathsf{x}',\ \mathsf{y}\rangle \land \mathsf{v} \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0')\mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{v} \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0')\mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{v} \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0')\mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{v} \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0')\mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{v} \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0')\mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{v} \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0')\mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{v} \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0')\mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{v} \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0')\mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{v} \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0')\mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{v} \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0')\mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{v} \in \mathcal{S}^* \rrbracket \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0')\mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{v} \in \mathcal{S}^* \rrbracket \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0')\mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{v} \in \mathcal{S}^* \rrbracket \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0')\mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{v} \in \mathcal{S}^* \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0')\mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{v} \in \mathcal{S}^* \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0')\mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{v} \in \mathcal{S}^* \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0')\mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{v} \in \mathcal{S}^* \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0)\mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{v} \land \mathsf
=\{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle\ |\ \exists \langle \pi_0,\ \pi_1\rangle, \langle \pi_0',\ \pi_1'\rangle\ \in\ \{\langle \pi\mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket,\ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}}\ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \llbracket \pi'^\ell \pi''\rangle\ |\ \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi\mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket)\ =
                   \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}_t ]\!] \pi'^\ell \pi'' \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*[\![ \mathsf{S}_t ]\!] (\pi \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S} ]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}_t ]\!]) \} \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0') \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![ \mathsf{v}]\!] \ell(\pi_0, \pi_1)) \}  (definition (6.19) of \mathcal{S}^*[\![ \mathsf{S} ]\!])
=\{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle\ |\ \exists \langle \pi_0,\ \pi_1\rangle, \langle \pi_0',\ \pi_1'\rangle\ \in\ \{\langle \pi\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!],\ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}}\ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi'^\ell \pi''\rangle\ |\ \mathscr{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!])\ =
                     \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi'^\ell \pi'' \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket (\pi \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) \} \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{s} = \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{g}(\pi'_0) \mathsf{z} + 
                     \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!]\ell(\pi_0,\pi_1),\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!]\ell(\pi_0',\pi_1'))\}
                                                                     (because if \langle \pi_0, \pi_1 \rangle (or \langle \pi_0', \pi_1' \rangle) has the form \langle \pi \operatorname{at}[\![ S ]\!], \operatorname{at}[\![ S ]\!]
                                                                              after [S] then \ell does not appear in \pi_1 (resp. \pi'_1) so that, by (47.16), seqval [y]\ell(\pi_0,\pi_1) = \emptyset (resp. seqval [y]\ell(\pi'_0,\pi'_1) = \emptyset and therefore, by (47.18),
                                                                               diff(seqval\llbracket y \rrbracket(\ell)(\pi_0, \pi_1), seqval\llbracket y \rrbracket(\ell)(\pi'_0, \pi'_1)) is false
   = \{ \langle \mathsf{x}', \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_0', \pi_1', \pi_2' \ . \ \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{tt} \ \land \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \ell \pi_2 \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] (\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{st}[\![\mathsf{B}_t]\!] \pi_1 \ell \pi_2 \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \ell \pi_2 \in \mathsf{st}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_2 \ell \pi_2 \in \mathsf{st}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_2 \ell \pi_2 \in \mathsf{st}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_2 \ell 
                     \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!])\} \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1' \ell \pi_2' \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] (\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\}).
                     \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \ell \notin \pi_1 \wedge \ell \notin \pi_1' \wedge \mathrm{diff}(\mathrm{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] \ell(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \ell, \ \ell \pi_2),
                     seqval\llbracket y \rrbracket \ell(\pi'_0 \text{at} \llbracket S \rrbracket \xrightarrow{B} \text{at} \llbracket S_t \rrbracket \pi'_1 \ell, \ \ell \pi'_2)) \}
                     \ell definition \in and if \ell has multiple occurrences in \pi'_1\ell\pi'_2, we choose the first one, same for \pi'_1\ell\pi'_2
 = \{ \langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \bar{\pi}_0, \pi_1, \pi_2, \bar{\pi}_0', \pi_1', \pi_2' \; . \; \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathrm{tt} \wedge \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \ell \pi_2 \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] (\bar{\pi}_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \} \wedge \\ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathrm{tt} \wedge \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1' \ell \pi_2' \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] (\bar{\pi}_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \wedge (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \; .
                     \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0 \text{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0' \text{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \ell \notin \pi_1 \wedge \ell \notin \pi_1' \wedge \text{diff}(\text{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] \ell(\bar{\pi}_0 \text{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \ell, \ell \pi_2),
                     seqval[y]^{\ell}(\bar{\pi}'_0 \text{at}[S_t] \pi'_1^{\ell}, \ell \pi'_2))
                                                                   \text{$\langle$ letting $\bar{\pi}_0$ at $[\![S_t]\!] = \underline{\pi}_0$ at $[\![S_t]\!]$} \xrightarrow{B} \text{$at[\![S_t]\!]$}, \\ \bar{\pi}_0' \text{$at[\![S_t]\!]} = \pi_0' \text{$at[\![S_t]\!]$} \xrightarrow{B} \text{$at[\![S_t]\!]$} \text{$so$ that by definition }
                                                                               (6.6) of \varrho, \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0 \text{at}[S_t]) = \varrho(\pi_0 \text{at}[S]) and \varrho(\bar{\pi}'_0 \text{at}[S_t]) = \varrho(\pi'_0 \text{at}[S])
```

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu : \rho(\mathbf{x}') \neq \nu \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho[\mathbf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \mathsf{tt} \} \cap \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \in \mathcal{D}(\ell) \langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle\}$$

$$\langle \text{letting } \rho = \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0), \nu = \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0')(\mathbf{x}') \text{ and definition (47.19) of } \mathcal{D}^\ell \langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle \rangle$$

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu : \rho(\mathbf{x}') \neq \nu \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho[\mathbf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \mathsf{tt} \} \cap \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \{\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]\} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\ell) \langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle \}$$

$$\langle \mathsf{definition} \; \mathsf{of} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\ell) \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \rangle \cap \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \{\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]\} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\ell) \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \rangle$$

$$= \{ \langle \mathsf{x}', \; \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu : \rho(\mathsf{x}') \neq \nu \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \rho[\mathsf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \mathsf{tt} \} \cap \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{s}_t]\!]\}) \in \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{s}_t]\!] \cap \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{s}_t]\!$$

(definition (47.25) of α^{d})

$$\subseteq \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu \, . \, \rho(\mathbf{x}') \neq \nu \land \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \rho = \mathbf{tt} \land \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \rho[\mathbf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \mathbf{tt} \} \cap \mathcal{S}^{\mathbf{d}} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \ell$$

?structural induction hypothesis?

$$= \mathcal{S}^{\mathsf{d}} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket \; \ell \; \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B}) \qquad \qquad \mathsf{(definition (47.48) of nondet)}$$

Described inn words, the initial value of x' flows to the value of y at ℓ in the true branch S_t of the conditional if (B) S_t when there are at least two different values of x' for which B is true and x' flows to the value of y at ℓ in S_t .

$$\subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{q}}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]^{\ell}$$

(A coarse overapproximation ignoring values, that is, that the conditional holds for only one value of x')

Proof of (47.63) By lemma 47.23, the definition 47.28 of value dependency using the maximal traces semantics is equivalent to the definition of value dependency for finite prefix traces, as defined by (17.4). So the soundness of (47.63) follows from the following (3):

$$\alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) = \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\mathsf{lfp}^{\varsigma} \mathcal{F}^* \llbracket \mathsf{while} \, \ell \, (\mathsf{B}) \, \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket)$$

$$\dot{\subseteq} \quad \mathsf{lfp}^{\dot{\varsigma}} \mathcal{F}^{\mathsf{diff}} \llbracket \mathsf{while} \, \ell \, (\mathsf{B}) \, \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket = \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathsf{diff}}^{\exists} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket$$

The proof of (3) is an application of exercise 18.18. $\langle C, \sqsubseteq, \bot, \sqcup \rangle$ is the complete lattice $\langle \wp(\wp(\mathbb{T}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^{+\infty})), \subseteq, \varnothing, \cup \rangle$. $\langle \mathcal{A}, \prec, 0, \vee \rangle$ is the complete lattice $\langle \mathbb{P}^d, \subseteq^d, \bot^d, \cup^d \rangle$. The Galois connection $\langle C, \sqsubseteq \rangle \xrightarrow{\gamma} \langle \mathcal{A}, \prec \rangle$ is given by lemma 47.26. The transformer f is (17.4). It preserves arbitrary nonempty unions so it is continuous. The transformer g is (47.63). It preserves arbitrary nonempty unions pointwise so it is pointwise continuous (i.e., for \subseteq^d and \cup^d defined pointwise). The main point of the proof is to check the semicommutation condition

$$\alpha^{\mathbf{d}} \circ \mathcal{F}^* \llbracket \mathsf{while} \, \ell \, (\mathsf{B}) \, \mathsf{S}_h \rrbracket \, \stackrel{.}{\subseteq} \, \mathcal{F}^{\mathsf{diff}} \llbracket \mathsf{while} \, \ell \, (\mathsf{B}) \, \mathsf{S}_h \rrbracket \circ \alpha^{\mathsf{d}} \,.$$
 (4)

By exercise 18.18, we need to make the proof only for elements $X \in \mathcal{X}$ where \mathcal{X} is chosen to be exactly the iterates of the transformer $\mathcal{F}^*[[\mathbf{while}\ \ell\ (B)\ S_b]]$ from \emptyset .

In practice, we have discovered $\mathscr{F}^{\text{diff}}[\![\mathbf{while}\,^{\ell}(B)\,S_{b}]\!]$ knowing $\mathscr{F}^{*}[\![\mathbf{while}\,^{\ell}(B)\,S_{b}]\!]$ and α^{d} by rewriting until getting a formula of the form $\mathscr{F}^{\text{diff}}[\![\mathbf{while}\,^{\ell}(B)\,S_{b}]\!] \circ \alpha^{\text{d}}$ and using \subseteq -overapproximations to ignore values in the static analysis. By exercise 18.18, we conclude that

$$\alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\mathsf{lfp}^{\subseteq}\,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{\,*}[\![\mathsf{while}\,\,^{\ell}\,\,(\mathsf{B})\,\,\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!])\,\dot\subseteq\,\,\mathsf{lfp}^{\,\dot\subseteq}\,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{\,\mathrm{diff}}[\![\mathsf{while}\,\,^{\ell}\,\,(\mathsf{B})\,\,\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!]\,.$$

The proof of semicommutation (4) is by calculational design as follows. By definition (47.18) of diff, we do not have to compare futures of prefix traces in which one is a prefix of the other.

There are three main cases depending on whether the dependency observation point ℓ' is (1) at the iteration (so $\ell' = \ell = \text{at}[\text{while } \ell \text{ (B) S}_b]$), (2) is in the loop body (so $\ell' \in \text{in}[S_b]$), or (3) is after the iteration (so $\ell' = \text{after}[\text{while } \ell \text{ (B) S}_b]$).

For each of these case, we have to consider all possible ways the traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi_1'$ in (5) can go through the dependency observation program point ℓ' . The definition of \mathcal{F}^* below shows all possible choices (A), (B), or (C) of $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi_1'$ in (5). Notice that diff in (47.16) is commutative so $\langle \pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_1 \rangle$ and $\langle \pi_0' \ell, \ell \pi_1' \rangle$ play symmetric rôles in (5) which reduces the number of cases to be considered.

The case (B) covers essentially 3 subcases depending of where is ℓ'' , that is, where the prefix observation at $[S_h] \pi_3 \ell''$ of the execution of the body S_h has terminated:

(Ba) within the loop body $\ell'' \in \inf[S_h]$;

```
(Bb) after the loop body \ell'' = \text{after}[S_b] = \text{at}[S] = \ell, because of the normal termination of the loop body, and thus at \ell, just before the next iteration or the loop exit;
```

(Bc) after the loop
$$\ell'' = \text{after}[S]$$
 because of a **break**; statement in the loop body S_b ;

- (1) If the dependency observation point ℓ' is at loop entry then $\ell' = \ell = \text{at}[\text{while } \ell \text{ (B) } S_b]$. There are three subcases, depending on how $\ell' = \ell$ is reached $\ell \pi_1$ by (A), (B), or (C) of $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi'_1$ in (5).
- (1–A) In the first case $\ell \pi_1 = \ell$ so $\pi_1 = \ni$ in (A). We have seqval $[\![y]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0^{\ell}, \ell) = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell})y$ by (47.16). Whether $\ell \pi_1'$ is determined by (A), (B), or (C) we have in all cases that seqval $[\![y]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0'^{\ell}, \ell \pi_1') = \varrho(\pi_0'^{\ell}) \circ \sigma$ where σ is a possibly empty sequence of values of y at $\ell' = \ell$. By definition (47.18) of diff, we don't care about σ because diff(seqval $[\![y]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0^{\ell}, \ell \pi_1)$, seqval $[\![y]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0'^{\ell}, \ell \pi_1')$) is true if and only if $\varrho(\pi_0^{\ell})y \neq \varrho(\pi_0'^{\ell})$. In that case, we have

(5)

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \, \ell \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \, \ell \pi_1' \rangle \in \mathcal{F}^* \llbracket \mathbf{while} \, \ell \, (\mathbf{B}) \, \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \, X \, . \, (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathbf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathbf{y} \}$$

$$\subseteq \{\langle \mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \pi_0\ell, \pi_0'\ell \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0\ell)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'\ell)\mathsf{z}) \land (\varrho(\pi_0\ell)\mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0'\ell)\mathsf{y})\} \ \text{$\widehat{\ell}$ definition of \subseteq} \}$$

$$= \{ \langle x, y \rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu : \rho(y) \neq \rho[x \leftarrow \nu](y) \}$$

l letting
$$\rho = \varrho(\pi_0 \ell)$$
, $\rho[x \leftarrow v] = \varrho(\pi'_0 \ell)$ and exercise 6.8 \ *l*

$$= \{\langle x, x \rangle \mid x \in V\}$$

¿definition (19.10) of the environment assignment

= $\mathbb{1}_V$ (definition of the identity relation on the set V of variables in section 2.2.2) — (1-Ba/Bc/C) In this second case the trace $\ell \pi_1$ corresponds to one or more iterations of the loop followed by an execution of the loop body or a loop exit.

- In case (Ba), we have

$$\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0^{}\ell,\ell\pi_1^{})$$

$$= \operatorname{seqval}[\![y]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0^\ell, \ell\pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''}) \text{ where } \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ \ell\pi_2^\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^\ell\pi_2^\ell) = \operatorname{tt} \land \langle \pi_0^\ell\pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \qquad \qquad \langle (\mathsf{B}) \text{ with } \ell'' \in \operatorname{in}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \rangle$$

= seqval
$$\llbracket y \rrbracket \ell'(\pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_2 \ell)$$
 where $\langle \pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \in X \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket B \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell) = tt$

(definition (47.16) of seqval[[y]] because $\langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]$ with $\ell'' \in \mathsf{in} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]$ so that ℓ cannot appear in the trace $\mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \rangle$

- In case (Bc), we have

seqval
$$[y]\ell'(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_1)$$

$$= \operatorname{seqval}[\![y]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0^\ell, \ell\pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{\mathbf{break}}} \operatorname{break-to}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \text{ where } \langle \pi_0^\ell, \quad \ell\pi_2^\ell \rangle \qquad \in \qquad X \quad \land \\ \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell) = \operatorname{tt} \land \langle \pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \ \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{\mathbf{break}}} \operatorname{break-to}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]$$

$$(B)$$
 with $\ell'' \in \text{breaks-of}[S]$ and $\text{break-to}[S] = \text{after}[S]$

 $= \ \operatorname{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0^{\ell}, {}^{\ell}\pi_2^{\ell}) \ \operatorname{where} \ \langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \, {}^{\ell}\pi_2^{\ell} \rangle \in X \wedge \mathscr{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\pi_2^{\ell}) = \operatorname{tt}$

- In case (C), we have

seqval $[y]^{\ell'}(\pi_0^{\ell},\ell\pi_1)$

$$= \operatorname{seqval}[\![y]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0^{\,\ell}, \ell\pi_2^{\,\ell} \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \operatorname{after}[\![S]\!]) \text{ where } \langle \pi_0^{\,\ell}, \, \ell\pi_2^{\,\ell} \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![B]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^{\,\ell}\pi_2^{\,\ell}) = \operatorname{ff} \qquad \text{ℓ (C)$}$$

= seqval
$$\llbracket y \rrbracket \ell'(\pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_2 \ell)$$
 where $\langle \pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B} \llbracket B \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell) = f \ell \text{ definition (47.16) of seqval} \llbracket y \rrbracket \rangle$

In all of these cases, the future observation seqval $[y]^{\ell'}(\pi_0^{\ell}, \ell \pi_1)$ is the same so we can handle all cases (1–Ba/Bc/C) as follows:

(5)

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \, \ell \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \, \ell \pi_1' \rangle \in \mathscr{F}^* \llbracket \mathbf{while} \, \ell \, (\mathbf{B}) \, \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \, X \, . \, (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathscr{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \operatorname{diff}(\operatorname{seqval} \llbracket \mathbf{y} \rrbracket \ell'(\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_1), \operatorname{seqval} \llbracket \mathbf{y} \rrbracket \ell'(\pi_0'^\ell, \ell \pi_1')) \}$$

$$\subseteq \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \, \ell \pi_2^{\ell} \rangle \in X \, . \, \exists \langle \pi_0'^{\ell}, \, \ell \pi_1' \rangle \in \mathcal{F}^* [\text{while } \ell \text{ (B) } S_b] X \, . \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \\ \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\mathsf{y}] \ell'(\pi_0^{\ell}, \ell \pi_2^{\ell}), \mathsf{seqval}[\mathsf{y}] \ell'(\pi_0'^{\ell}, \ell \pi_1')) \}$$

abstracting away the value of the conditions \

The possible choices for $\langle \pi_0'^{\ell} \ell, \ell \pi_1' \rangle \in \mathcal{F}^*[\![\text{while } \ell \ (B) \ S_b]\!] X$ are given by (A), (B), and (C) and are considered below.

- (1-Ba/Bc/C-A) This case is the symmetric of (1-A), and so has already bean considered.
- (1-Ba/Bc/C-Ba/Bc/C) In this case the above reasoning that we have done in (1-Ba/Bc/C) for the first trace $\ell \pi_1$ is also valid for the second trace $\ell \pi_1'$, and so we get

(6)

$$= \{\langle \mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0\ell,\,\ell\pi_2\ell\rangle \in X \;.\; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\,\ell\pi_1'\rangle \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^* \llbracket \mathsf{while}\; \ell \; (\mathsf{B}) \; \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \; X \;.\; (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \;.\; \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0\ell)\mathsf{z} = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0'\ell)\mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}\llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket^{\ell'}(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_2\ell), \mathsf{seqval}\llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket^{\ell'}(\pi_0'\ell,\ell\pi_1')) \}$$

$$\hspace{.5cm} \subseteq \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_2^{\ell} \rangle \in X \ . \ \exists \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_2'^\ell \rangle \in X \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \\ \hspace{.5cm} \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\![\![\!]\!]\!]^\ell (\pi_0^\ell, ^\ell \pi_2^\ell), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\![\![\!]\!]\!]^\ell (\pi_0'^\ell, ^\ell \pi_2'^\ell))\}$$

? abstracting away the value of the conditions \

$$\subseteq \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0', \ \pi_1' \rangle \in X \quad . \quad (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \quad . \quad \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0') \mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]^\ell(\pi_0, \pi_1), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]^\ell(\pi_0', \pi_1'))\}$$

(letting
$$\pi_0 \leftarrow \pi_0 \ell$$
, $\pi_1 \leftarrow \ell \pi_2 \ell$, $\pi'_0 \leftarrow \pi'_0 \ell$, $\pi'_1 \leftarrow \ell \pi'_2 \ell$, and $\ell' = \ell$ in case (1))

```
\varrho(\pi'_0)z) \land diff(seqval\llbracket y \rrbracket \ell(\pi_0, \pi_1), seqval\llbracket y \rrbracket \ell(\pi'_0, \pi'_1))}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                7 definition of ∈ \S
   = \{ \langle \mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid X \in \mathcal{D}^{\ell} \langle \mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \rangle \}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        7 definition (47.19) of \mathcal{D}^{\ell}\langle x', y \rangle
      = \alpha^{d}(\{X\})\ell
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 \langle definition (47.25) of \alpha^{\rm d} \rangle
   - (1-Ba/Bc/C-Bb) In this case we are in case (1-Ba/Bc/C) for the first prefix observation trace
   \ell \pi_1 corresponding to one or more iterations of the loop followed by an execution of the loop body
   or a loop exit and in case Bb for the second trace \ell \pi'_1 so that, after zero or more executions, the
   loop body has terminated normally at \ell'' = \text{after}[S_b] = \text{at}[S] = \ell and the prefix observation stops
      there, just before the next iteration or the loop exit. We have
                                                (6)
      = \left\{ \langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0 \ell, \, \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \in X \, . \, \exists \langle \pi_0' \ell, \, \ell \pi_1' \rangle \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^* \right[ \mathbf{while} \, \ell \, \left( \mathbf{B} \right) \, \mathbf{S}_b \right] \, X \, . \, \left( \forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{ \mathbf{x} \} \, . \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \exists \langle \pi_0 \ell, \, \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \in X \, . \, \exists \langle \pi_0' \ell, \, \ell \pi_1' \rangle \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^* \left[ \mathbf{while} \, \ell \, \left( \mathbf{B} \right) \, \mathbf{S}_b \right] \, X \, . \, \left( \forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{ \mathbf{x} \} \, . \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \exists \langle \pi_0 \ell, \, \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \in X \, . \, \exists \langle \pi_0' \ell, \, \ell \pi_1' \rangle \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^* \left[ \mathbf{while} \, \ell \, \left( \mathbf{B} \right) \, \mathbf{S}_b \right] \, X \, . \, \left( \forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{ \mathbf{x} \} \, . \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \exists \langle \pi_0 \ell, \, \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \in X \, . \, \, \exists \langle \pi_0' \ell, \, \ell \pi_1' \rangle \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^* \left[ \mathbf{while} \, \ell \, \left( \mathbf{B} \right) \, \mathbf{S}_b \right] \, X \, . \, \left( \forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{ \mathbf{x} \} \, . \, \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \, \mathbf{S}_b \} \, . \, \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \, \mathbf{S}_b \} \, . \, \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \, \mathbf{S}_b \} \, . \, \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \, \mathbf{S}_b \} \, . \, \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \, \mathbf{S}_b \} \, . \, \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \, \mathbf{S}_b \} \, . \, \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \, \mathbf{S}_b \} \, . \, \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \, \mathbf{S}_b \} \, . \, \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \, \mathbf{S}_b \} \, . \, \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \, \mathbf{S}_b \} \, . \, \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \, \mathbf{S}_b \} \, . \, \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \, \mathbf{S}_b \} \, . \, \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \, \mathbf{S}_b \} \, . \, \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \, \mathbf{S}_b \} \, . \, \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \, \mathbf{S}_b \} \, . \, \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \, \mathbf{S}_b \} \, . \, \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \, \mathbf{S}_b \} \, . \, \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \, \mathbf{S}_b \} \, . \, \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \, \mathbf{S}_b \} \, . \, \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \, \mathbf{S}_b \} \, . \, \, \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \, \mathbf{S}_b \} \, . \, \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \, \mathbf{S}_b \} \, . \, \, \, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \{ (\mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y}) \mid \, \mathbf{S}
                                                \varrho(\pi_0'^{\ell})z) \wedge diff(seqval\llbracket y \rrbracket \ell(\pi_0^{\ell}, \ell \pi_2^{\ell}), seqval\llbracket y \rrbracket \ell(\pi_0'^{\ell}, \ell \pi_1'))
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \cos(t) = \frac{1}{2} = \operatorname{at}[\mathbf{while} (\mathbf{B}) \mathbf{S}_h] 
=\{\langle \mathsf{x},\;\mathsf{y}\rangle\;|\;\exists\langle \pi_0\ell,\;\ell\pi_2\ell\rangle\;\in\;X\;\;.\;\;\exists\langle \pi_0'\ell,\;\ell\pi_1'\rangle\;\in\;\{\langle \pi_0'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell\;\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\;\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_h]\!]\pi_3\ell''\rangle\;\;|\;\;\langle \pi_0'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle\;\in\;\{\langle \pi_0'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle\;\in\;\{\langle \pi_0'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell\}\;\in\;\{\langle \pi_0'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'\ell,\;\ell\pi_2'
                                             X \wedge \mathcal{B}\llbracket \mathbb{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell) = \mathbb{t} \wedge \langle \pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbb{S}_b \rrbracket, \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbb{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3^{\ell''} \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathbb{S}_b \rrbracket \wedge \ell'' = \operatorname{after} \llbracket \mathbb{S}_b \rrbracket = \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbb{S} \rrbracket = \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbb{S}_b \rrbracket = \operatorname
                                                \ell\} \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell(\pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_2 \ell), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell(\pi_0' \ell, \ell \pi_1'))\}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         \langle \text{case (Bb) for } \ell \pi_1' \rangle
= \{ \langle \mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \, \ell \pi_2^{\ell} \ell \rangle \in X : \exists \langle \pi_0^{\prime} \ell, \, \ell \pi_2^{\prime} \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_h \rrbracket \pi_3^{\ell} \ell \rangle : \langle \pi_0^{\prime} \ell, \, \ell \pi_2^{\prime} \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0^{\prime} \ell \pi_2^{\prime} \ell) = \emptyset \}
                                             \mathsf{tt} \, \wedge \, \langle \pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \, \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}_b]\!], \, \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^\ell \rangle \, \in \, \mathcal{S}^*[\![ \mathsf{S}_b]\!] \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} \, = \, \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{z}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{z}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{z}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{z}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{z}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{z}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{z}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{z}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{z}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{z}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{z}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{z}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{z}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \rangle \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{z}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{z}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{z}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \rangle \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{z}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \rangle \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{z}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \rangle \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{z}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \rangle \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{z}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \rangle \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{z}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \rangle \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{z}\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)
                                             \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!]^\ell(\pi_0^\ell,\ell\pi_2^\ell),\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!]^\ell(\pi_0^\prime\ell,\ell\pi_2^\prime\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_h]\!]\pi_3^\ell)))\}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      ? definition of \in and \ell'' = \ell \}
= \{ \langle \mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^{\ell},\, {}^{\ell}\pi_2^{\ell} \rangle \in X \;.\; \exists \langle \pi_0'^{\ell},\, {}^{\ell}\pi_2'^{\ell} \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{at} [\![ \mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell} \rangle \;.\; \langle \pi_0'^{\ell},\, {}^{\ell}\pi_2'^{\ell} \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B}[\![ \mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0'^{\ell}\pi_2'^{\ell}) = 0 \}
                                             \mathsf{tt} \, \wedge \, \langle \pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \, \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}_b]\!], \, \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^\ell \rangle \, \in \, \mathcal{S}^*[\![ \mathsf{S}_b]\!] \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} \, = \, \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \rangle \, \rangle \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \rangle \, \rangle \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \rangle \, \rangle \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \rangle \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \rangle \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \rangle \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \rangle \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \rangle \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \rangle \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \rangle \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \rangle \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \rangle \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \rangle \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \rangle \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \, \rangle \, \rangle \, (\forall \mathsf{x} \, \in \, V \, \backslash \, \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(
                                                \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!]\ell(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_2\ell),\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!]\ell(\pi_0'\ell,\ell\pi_2'\ell))\}
                                             \{\langle \mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle\mid \exists \langle \pi_0^{\,\ell},\,{}^{\ell}\pi_2^{\,\ell}\rangle\in X \;.\;\exists \langle \pi_0'^{\,\ell},\,{}^{\ell}\pi_2'^{\,\ell}\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3^{\,\ell}\rangle\;.\; \langle \pi_0'^{\,\ell},\,{}^{\ell}\pi_2'^{\,\ell}\rangle\in X \land \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0'^{\,\ell}\pi_2'^{\,\ell})=\emptyset
                                             \mathsf{tt} \ \wedge \ \langle \pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \ \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}_b]\!], \ \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^\ell \rangle \ \in \ \mathcal{S}^*[\![ \mathsf{S}_b]\!] \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} \ = \ \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \ \mathcal{S}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \mathcal{S}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \ \mathcal{S}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \ \mathcal{S}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \ \mathcal{S}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \ \mathcal{S}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \ \mathcal{S}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \ \mathcal{S}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \ \ \mathcal{S}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \ \ \mathcal{S}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \in \ V \ \backslash \ \ \ \ \mathcal{S}) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ ) \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{x} \ \cap \ \ \ \ \ \ \
                                             \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!]\ell(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_2\ell),\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!]\ell(\pi_0'\ell\pi_2'\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!],\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3\ell))\}
```

 $= \{ \langle \mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid X \in \{ \Pi \in \wp(\mathbb{T}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^{+\infty}) \mid \exists \langle \pi_0, \, \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0', \, \pi_1' \rangle \in \Pi : (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{ (\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y}) \mid X \in \{\Pi \in \wp(\mathbb{T}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^{+\infty}) \mid \exists \langle \pi_0, \, \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0', \, \pi_1' \rangle \in \Pi : (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{ (\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y}) \mid X \in \{\Pi \in \wp(\mathbb{T}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^{+\infty}) \mid \exists \langle \pi_0, \, \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0', \, \pi_1' \rangle \in \Pi : (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{ (\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y}) \mid X \in \{\Pi \in \wp(\mathbb{T}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^{+\infty}) \mid \exists \langle \pi_0, \, \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0', \, \pi_1' \rangle \in \Pi : (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{ (\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y}) \mid X \in \{\Pi \in \wp(\mathbb{T}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^{+\infty}) \mid \exists \langle \pi_0, \, \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0', \, \pi_1' \rangle \in \Pi : (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{ (\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y}) \mid X \in \{\Pi \in \wp(\mathbb{T}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^{+\infty}) \mid \exists \langle \pi_0, \, \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0', \, \pi_1' \rangle \in \Pi : (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{ (\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y}) \mid X \in \{\Pi \in \wp(\mathbb{T}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^{+\infty}) \mid \exists \langle \pi_0, \, \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0', \, \pi_1' \rangle \in \Pi : (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \{\mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \{\mathsf$

(By definition (47.16) of seqval [[y]] and (47.18) of diff, there is an instance of ℓ in $\ell \pi_2 \ell$ and one in $\ell \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{B}$ at $[\![S_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell$ at which the values of y do differ, whereas they were the same previously. So there are two possible cases in which this ℓ is either in $\ell \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{B}$ at $[\![S_b]\!]$ or in at $[\![S_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell$. So we have diff(seqval $[\![y]\!] \ell(\pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_2 \ell)$, seqval $[\![y]\!] \ell(\pi_0' \ell, \ell \pi_2' \ell)$ at $[\![S_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell$)) = diff(seqval $[\![y]\!] \ell(\pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_2 \ell)$, seqval $[\![y]\!] \ell(\pi_0' \ell, \ell \pi_2' \ell)$) \vee diff(seqval $[\![y]\!] \ell(\pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_2 \ell)$, seqval $[\![y]\!] \ell(\pi_0' \ell, \ell \pi_2' \ell)$) \wedge

$$\begin{split} &\subseteq \{\langle \mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^{\,\ell},\, \ell\pi_2^{\,\ell}\rangle \in X \,.\,\, \exists \langle \pi_0'^{\,\ell},\, \ell\pi_2'^{\,\ell} \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \, \mathrm{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\,\ell}\rangle \,.\,\, \langle \pi_0'^{\,\ell},\, \ell\pi_2'^{\,\ell}\rangle \in X \,\wedge\, (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \,.\,\, \varrho(\pi_0^{\,\ell})\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^{\,\ell})\mathsf{z}) \,\wedge\, \mathrm{diff}(\mathrm{seqval}[\![\!y]\!] \ell(\pi_0^{\,\ell},\ell\pi_2^{\,\ell}),\mathrm{seqval}[\![\!y]\!] \ell(\pi_0'^{\,\ell},\ell\pi_2'^{\,\ell}))\} \\ &\cup \\ &\{\langle \mathsf{x},\,\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \,\exists \langle \pi_0^{\,\ell},\, \ell\pi_2''^{\,\ell} \in \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \, \mathrm{at}[\![\!\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^{\,\ell}\rangle \,.\,\, \langle \pi_0^{\,\ell},\, \ell\pi_2''^{\,\ell}\rangle \in X \,\wedge\, \mathscr{B}[\![\!\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^{\,\ell}\pi_2''^{\,\ell}) = \mathrm{tt} \,\wedge\, \langle \pi_0^{\,\ell}\ell\pi_2''^{\,\ell} \in \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \, \mathrm{at}[\![\![\!\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\,\ell}\rangle \,.\,\, \langle \pi_0'^{\,\ell},\, \ell\pi_2'^{\,\ell}\rangle \in X \,\wedge\, \mathscr{B}[\![\![\!\!B]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^{\,\ell}\pi_2''^{\,\ell}) = \mathrm{tt} \,\wedge\, \langle \pi_0'^{\,\ell}\ell\pi_2'^{\,\ell} \in \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \, \mathrm{at}[\![\!\!S_b]\!] \pi_3^{\,\ell}\rangle \,\in\, \mathscr{S}^*[\![\!\!S_b]\!] \pi_3^{\,\ell}\rangle \,.\,\, \langle \pi_0'^{\,\ell},\, \ell\pi_2'^{\,\ell}\ell\rangle \in X \,\wedge\, \mathscr{B}[\![\!\!B]\!] \varrho(\pi_0'^{\,\ell}\pi_2'^{\,\ell}) = \mathrm{tt} \,\wedge\, \langle \pi_0'^{\,\ell}\ell\pi_2'^{\,\ell} \in \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \, \mathrm{at}[\![\!\!S_b]\!] \pi_3^{\,\ell}\rangle \,\in\, \mathscr{S}^*[\![\!\!S_b]\!] \,\wedge\, \langle \forall \mathsf{z} \in V \,\backslash\, \{\mathsf{x}\} \,.\, \varrho(\pi_0^{\,\ell}\ell\pi_2'\ell) \supset \wedge \, \mathrm{diff}(\mathrm{seqval}[\![\!\!y]\!] \ell(\pi_0\ell\pi_2''\ell} \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \, \mathrm{at}[\![\!\!S_b]\!],\, \mathrm{at}[\![\!\!S_b]\!],\, \mathrm{at}[\![\!\!S_b]\!] \pi_3^{\,\ell}\rangle,\, \mathrm{seqval}[\![\!\!y]\!] \ell(\pi_0'\ell\pi_2'^{\,\ell} \in \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \, \mathrm{at}[\![\!\!S_b]\!],\, \mathrm{at}[\![\!\!S_b]\!],\, \mathrm{at}[\![\!\!S_b]\!] \pi_3^{\,\ell}\rangle)\} \end{split}$$

(7) (for the second term, we are in the case $\langle \pi_0 \ell, \, \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \in X$ with $\ell \pi_2 \ell = \ell \pi_1$ corresponding to one or more iterations of the loop (so $\ell \pi_2 \ell \neq \ell$ because otherwise we would be in case (1–A)), X is an iterate of $\mathcal{F}^*[\text{while } \ell \text{ (B) } S_b]$, and so, by (17.4), can be written in the form $\ell \pi_2 \ell = \ell \pi_2'' \ell \stackrel{B}{\longrightarrow} \text{at}[S_b][\pi_3'' \ell \text{ (where } \ell \pi_2'' \ell \text{ may be reduced to } \ell \text{ for the first iteration) with } \ell \pi_2'' \ell \in X$, $\mathfrak{B}[B][\varrho(\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell)] = \text{tt and } \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell \stackrel{B}{\longrightarrow} \text{at}[S_b]]$, $\text{at}[S_b][\pi_3' \ell) \in \mathcal{S}^*[S_b][S_b]$. Moreover if the difference on y is in $\ell \pi_2'' \ell$, the case is covered by the first term.)

$$\begin{split} &\subseteq \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \\ & \cup \\ & \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \ell \pi_2''^{\ell} \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^{\ell} \ell \rangle \cdot \langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \ell \pi_2''^{\ell} \ell \rangle \in X \wedge \langle \pi_0^{\ell} \pi_2''^{\ell} \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^{\ell} \ell \rangle \in \{\langle \pi, \pi' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \mid \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi) \} \wedge \exists \langle \pi_0'^{\ell}, \ell \pi_2'^{\ell} \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell} \ell \rangle \cdot \langle \pi_0'^{\ell}, \ell \pi_2'^{\ell} \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell} \ell \rangle \in \{\langle \pi, \pi' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \mid \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi) \} \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^{\ell}\ell) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell(\pi_0^{\ell} \pi_2''^{\ell} \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell} \ell)) \} \end{split}$$

 $\langle \operatorname{because} \varrho(\pi) = \varrho(\pi \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket) \rangle$

 $=\alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\{X\})\ell\cup\{\langle\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\rangle\mid\exists\langle\pi_{0}^{\ell},\ell\pi_{2}^{\prime\prime}\ell\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!]\pi_{3}^{\prime}\ell\rangle\;.\;\langle\pi_{0}^{\ell},\ell\pi_{2}^{\prime\prime}\ell\rangle\in X\wedge\langle\pi_{0}^{\ell}\pi_{2}^{\prime\prime}\ell,\ell\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!]\pi_{3}^{\prime}\ell\rangle\in \{\langle\pi_{0}^{\ell},\ell\pi_{2}^{\prime\prime}\ell,\ell\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!]\pi\rangle\;|\;\langle\pi_{0}^{\ell}\ell\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!],\;\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!]\pi\rangle\in \{\langle\pi,\pi^{\prime}\rangle\in\mathcal{S}^{*}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!]\mid\mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi)\}\}\wedge\\\exists\langle\pi_{0}^{\prime}\ell,\ell\pi_{2}^{\prime}\ell\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!]\pi_{3}^{\ell}\ell\rangle\;.\;\langle\pi_{0}^{\prime}\ell,\ell\pi_{2}^{\prime}\ell\rangle\in X\wedge\langle\pi_{0}^{\prime}\ell\pi_{2}^{\prime}\ell,\ell\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!]\pi_{3}^{\ell}\ell\rangle\in \{\langle\pi_{0}^{\ell},\ell\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!]\pi\rangle\;|\;\langle\pi_{0}^{\ell}\ell\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!]\pi\rangle\;\in \{\langle\pi,\pi^{\prime}\rangle\in\mathcal{S}^{*}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!]\;|\;\mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi)\}\}\wedge(\forall\mathsf{z}\in\mathcal{V}\setminus\{\mathsf{x}\}\;.\;\varrho(\pi_{0}^{\ell}\ell)\mathsf{z}=\varrho(\pi_{0}^{\prime}\ell)\mathsf{z})\wedge\mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]\ell(\pi_{0}^{\ell}\pi_{2}^{\prime\prime}\ell,\ell\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!]\pi_{3}^{\prime\prime}\ell),\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]\ell(\pi_{0}^{\ell}\pi_{2}^{\prime\prime}\ell,\ell\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!]\pi_{3}^{\prime\prime}\ell),\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]\ell(\pi_{0}^{\ell}\pi_{2}^{\prime\prime}\ell,\ell\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!]\pi_{3}^{\prime\prime}\ell))\}$

(definition of \in , definition (47.18) of diff, and definition (47.16) of sequal [y] with $\ell \neq at [S_b]$

 $\hspace{0.1in} \subseteq \alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \cup \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \pi_{0}^{\ell_{0}} \pi_{1}^{\ell'} \pi_{2}^{\ell} \pi_{3}, \ \pi_{0}^{\prime} \ell_{0} \pi_{1}^{\prime} \ell' \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell \pi_{3}' \ . \ \langle \pi_{0} \ell_{0}, \ \ell_{0} \pi_{1} \ell' \rangle \in X \land \langle \pi_{0} \ell_{0} \pi_{1} \ell', \ell', \ell' \pi_{2}^{\prime} \ell \pi_{3}' \} \in \{\langle \pi_{0} \ell, \ell \rangle \cap \mathbb{S}^{\mathsf{g}} = \mathbb{S}^{\mathsf{g}}$

(by letting $\pi_0 \ell_0 \leftarrow \pi_0 \ell$, $\ell_0 \pi_1 \ell' \leftarrow \ell \pi_2'' \ell$, $\ell' \pi_2 \ell \leftarrow \ell$, $\ell \pi_3 \leftarrow \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'' \ell$, and similarly for the second trace (

 $\hspace{0.5cm} \subseteq \hspace{0.1cm} \alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \hspace{0.1cm} \cup \hspace{0.1cm} (\alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \hspace{0.1cm} \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \hspace{0.1cm} \alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\{\{\langle \pi_{0}{}^{\ell}, \hspace{0.1cm} \ell \hspace{0.1cm} \xrightarrow{\hspace{0.1cm} \mathsf{B}} \hspace{0.1cm} \mathsf{at} [\![\hspace{0.1cm} \mathsf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi \rangle \hspace{0.1cm} \mid \hspace{0.1cm} \langle \pi_{0}{}^{\ell} \hspace{0.1cm} \xrightarrow{\hspace{0.1cm} \mathsf{B}} \hspace{0.1cm} \mathsf{at} [\![\hspace{0.1cm} \mathsf{S}_{b}]\!], \hspace{0.1cm} \mathsf{at} [\![\hspace{0.1cm} \mathsf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi \rangle \hspace{0.1cm} \in \hspace{0.1cm} \{\langle \pi, \hspace{0.1cm} \pi' \rangle \hspace{0.1cm} \in \hspace{0.1cm} \langle \pi, \hspace{0.1cm} \pi' \rangle \hspace{0.1cm} \in \hspace{$

 $(\text{lemma 47.59 with } \mathcal{S} \leftarrow X \text{ and } \mathcal{S}' \leftarrow \{ \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi \rangle \mid \langle \pi_0 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi \rangle \\ \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi \rangle \in \{ \langle \pi, \pi' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \mid \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi) \} \})$

 $= \alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \cup (\alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \circ \alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{\{\langle \pi, \pi' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_h \rrbracket \mid \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi)\}\})^{\ell})$

? definition (47.25) of α^4 , (47.18) of diff, and (47.16) of sequal [y] with $\ell \neq \ell$

$$= \alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{X\})\ell \cup (\alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{X\})\ell \circ (\alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{S^* \llbracket S_h \rrbracket \})\ell \rceil \text{ nondet}(B,B)))$$
 \(\lambda \text{lemma 47.62}\right\)

$$= \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \cup (\alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \circ (\alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{\mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\})^{\ell}) \cap \mathsf{nondet}(\mathbf{B},\mathbf{B}))$$
 (lemma 47.23)

- $\subseteq \alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \cup (\alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \,\,\mathring{\mathfrak{S}}_{\text{diff}}^{\exists} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_{b} \rrbracket \,\,^{\ell} \,\, \rceil \,\, \text{nondet}(\mathbf{B},\mathbf{B}))) \qquad \text{$\widehat{(}$ induction hypothesis (47.32), $\widehat{,}$ and $\widehat{]}$ are \subseteq-increasing $\widehat{)}$}$
- (1–Bb) In this third and last case for (1), we have $\ell \pi_1 = \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell$ so the prefix observation ends after the normal termination of the loop body at $\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket = \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket = \ell$ (just before the next iteration or the loop exit).

The possible choices for $\langle \pi_0'^{\ell}, \ell \pi_1' \rangle \in \mathcal{F}^*[[\text{while } \ell \text{ (B) } S_b]] X$ are given by (A), (B), and (C) and are considered below.

- (1-Bb-A) This case is the symmetric of (1-A), and so has already been considered.
- (1-Bb-Ba/Bc/C) This case is the symmetric of (1-Ba/Bc/C-Bb), and so has already been considered.
- (1-Bb-Bb) This is the case when the prefix observation traces $\langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \, \ell \pi_1 \rangle$ and $\langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \, \ell \pi_1 ^\prime \rangle$ in (5) both end after the normal termination of the loop body at after $[\![S_b]\!] = \operatorname{at}[\![S]\!] = \ell$ and so belong to $\{\langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \, \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!] \pi_3 ^\ell \rangle \mid \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \, \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![B]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell) = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \langle \pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!], \operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!] \pi_3 ^\ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \}$. In that case, we have

(5)

- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0 '^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_1 ' \rangle \ \in \ \{\langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell \ \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 ^\ell \rangle \ | \ \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell \rangle \ \in \ X \land \\ \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell \ \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \ \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 ^\ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \} \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell' (\pi_0 ^\ell, \ell \pi_1), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell' (\pi_0 ^\ell, \ell \pi_1')) \} \qquad \qquad \big(\mathsf{case} \ (1-\mathsf{Bb}-\mathsf{Bb}) \big)$
- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0\ell,\,\ell\pi_2\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3\ell \rangle \; . \; \langle \pi_0\ell,\,\ell\pi_2\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3\ell \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\ell\pi_2'\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'\ell \rangle \; . \; \langle \pi_0'\ell,\ell\pi_2'\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0'\ell\pi_2'\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0'\ell\pi_2'\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \; \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'\ell \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0'\ell)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'\ell)\mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket \ell'(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_2'\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3\ell)) \} \quad (\mathsf{definition} \; \mathsf{of} \in \mathring{\mathsf{S}})$
- $\hspace{0.1cm} \subseteq \hspace{0.1cm} \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \hspace{0.1cm} | \hspace{0.1cm} \exists \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell}, \ell \pi_{2}^{\ell} \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_{b} \rrbracket \pi_{3}^{\ell} \rangle \hspace{0.1cm} . \hspace{0.1cm} \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell}, \ell \pi_{2}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \in X \wedge \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell} \ell \pi_{2}^{\ell} \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_{b} \rrbracket, \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_{b} \rrbracket, \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_{b} \rrbracket \pi_{3}^{\ell} \rangle \in \{\langle \pi, \pi' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_{b} \rrbracket \hspace{0.1cm} | \hspace{0.1cm} \mathcal{B} \hspace{0.1cm} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_{b} \rrbracket \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \hspace{0.1cm} . \hspace{0.1cm} \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell}, \ell \pi_{2}^{\ell} \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_{b} \rrbracket \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \hspace{0.1cm} . \hspace{0.1cm} \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell}, \ell \pi_{2}^{\ell} \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_{b} \rrbracket \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell \rangle \rangle \\ \hspace{0.1cm} \operatorname{diff}(\operatorname{seqval} \llbracket \mathbf{y} \rrbracket \ell' (\pi_{0}^{\ell}, \ell \pi_{2}^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_{b} \rrbracket \pi_{3}^{\ell}), \operatorname{seqval} \llbracket \mathbf{y} \rrbracket \ell' (\pi_{0}^{\ell}, \ell \pi_{2}^{\ell} \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_{b} \rrbracket \pi_{3}^{\ell} \ell)) \} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{(definition of } \in \mathcal{S} \hspace{0.1cm} \rangle \}$
- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3^\ell \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell \rangle \in X \land \langle \pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3^\ell \rangle \in \{\langle \pi, \pi' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \mid \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi) \} \land \exists \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ell \pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'^\ell \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ell \pi_2'^\ell \rangle \in X \land \langle \pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'^\ell \rangle \in \{\langle \pi, \pi' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \mid \mathcal{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi) \} \land (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket \ell(\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell), \mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket \ell(\pi_0'^\ell, \ell \pi_2'^\ell)) \}$

(47.18) of diff, and definition (47.16) of seqval [[y]] because in case (1), $\ell' = \ell$ does not appear in $\xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}}$ at [[S_b]]π₃ and the value of y is the same at ℓ after $\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}}$ at [[S_b]]π₃ ℓ and at ℓ after $\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell$. The same holds for $\pi'_0 \ell \pi'_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}}$ at [[S_b]]π'₃ ℓ . (5)

- Summing up for case (1) we get $(5) \subseteq \mathbb{1}_V \cup \alpha^{\mathbb{I}}(\{X\})^\ell \cup (\alpha^{\mathbb{I}}(\{X\})^\ell \circ \widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\text{diff}}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]^\ell)$] nondet(B, B) which yields (47.63.a) of the form

However, the term $X(\ell)$ does not appear in (47.63.a) because it can be simplified using exercise 15.8.

— (2) Else, if the dependency observation point ℓ' on prefix traces is in the loop body S_b after zero or more loop iterations. So the two traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi'_1$ in (5) cannot be generated by (17.4.A). The case $\ell' = \ell$ = after $|S_b| = at |S|$ has already been considered in case (1) (for subcases involving

(B) and (C)). By definition (47.16) of seqval [y] the case $\ell' = \text{at}[S_b]$ is equivalent to $\ell' = \text{at}[S]$ already considered in (1) because the evaluation of Boolean expressions has no side effect so the value of variables y at $\text{at}[S_b]$ and at[S] are the same. Similarly, the value of variables y before a **break**; statement at labels in breaks-of $[S_b]$ that can escape the loop body S_b is the same as the value at break-to $[S_b]$ = after [S] and will be handled with case (3).

It follows that in this case (2) we only have to consider the case $\ell' \in \inf[S_b] \setminus (\{at[S_b], after[S_b]\} \cup breaks-of[S_b])$ and the two traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi_1'$ in (5) are generated by (B) or (C). There are three cases to consider.

— (2–B–B) The dependency observation point ℓ' on the two prefix observation traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi_1'$ in (5) is in the loop body S_b after zero or more loop iterations and the observation along these two traces stops in the loop body.

(5)

- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_1' \rangle \ \in \ \{\langle \pi_0^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_2^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \ \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \rangle \ | \ \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_2^\ell \rangle \ \in \ X \land \\ \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \ \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \ \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \} \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell'(\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_1), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell'(\pi_0'^\ell, \ell \pi_1')) \} \qquad \qquad (\mathsf{case} \ 2-\mathsf{B}-\mathsf{B})$
- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^{\ell''} \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \rangle \ \in \ X \ \land \ \mathfrak{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \ \langle \pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^{\ell''} \rangle \ \in \ \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \exists \langle \pi_0 ^\ell \ell, \ \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^{\ell''} \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0 ^\ell \ell, \ \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \ell \rangle \ \in \ X \land \ \mathfrak{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0 ^\ell \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^\ell \ell'' \rangle \ \in \ \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \ \mathcal{V} \backslash \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell \ell) \mathsf{z} = \ \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell \ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket \ell' (\pi_0 ^\ell, \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^\ell''), \mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket \ell' (\pi_0 ^\ell, \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^\ell'')) \rbrace \ \langle \mathsf{definition} \in \S$
- $\hspace{0.1in} \subseteq \hspace{0.1in} \{\langle \mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell},\, {}^{\ell}\pi_{2}^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell''}\rangle \; . \; \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell},\, {}^{\ell}\pi_{2}^{\ell}\rangle \in X \wedge \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell}\pi_{2}^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!], \; \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell''}\rangle \in \{\langle \pi,\pi'\rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{*}[\![\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!] \mid \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi)\} \wedge \exists \langle \pi'_{0}^{\ell}\ell,\, {}^{\ell}\pi'_{2}^{\ell}\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi'_{3}^{\ell''}\rangle \; . \; \langle \pi'_{0}^{\ell}\ell,\, {}^{\ell}\pi'_{2}^{\ell}\ell \in X \wedge \langle \pi'_{0}^{\ell}\ell\pi'_{2}^{\ell}\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!], \; \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi'_{3}^{\ell''}\rangle \in \{\langle \pi,\,\pi'\rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{*}[\![\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!] \mid \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi)\} \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_{0}^{\ell}\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi'_{0}^{\ell}\ell) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell'(\pi_{0}^{\ell}\ell,\ell\pi_{2}^{\ell}\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi'_{3}^{\ell''}))\} \; (\mathsf{definition} \; \mathsf{of} \in \mathcal{S})$

U

 $\{\langle \mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell,\, \ell\pi_2^\ell \ell\rangle \in X \;.\; \exists \langle \pi_0'^\ell,\, \ell\pi_2'^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^{\ell''}\rangle \;.\; \langle \pi_0'^\ell,\, \ell\pi_2'^\ell \ell\rangle \in X \land \langle \pi_0'^\ell\pi_2'^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!],\, \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^{\ell''}\rangle \in \{\langle \pi,\,\pi'\rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \mid \mathcal{B}^\mathsf{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi)\} \land (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \;.\; \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell'(\pi_0^\ell,\ell\pi_2^\ell),\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell'(\pi_0'^\ell,\ell\pi_2'^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell))\}$

$$\begin{split} &\{\langle \mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle\mid\exists\langle\pi_0^\ell,\,\ell\pi_2^\ell\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3^{\ell''}\rangle\;.\;\langle\pi_0^\ell,\,\ell\pi_2^\ell\rangle\in X\wedge\langle\pi_0^\ell\pi_2^\ell\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!],\,\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3^{\ell''}\rangle\in\{\langle\pi,\pi'\rangle\in\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\mid\mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi)\}\wedge\exists\langle\pi_0'^\ell,\,\ell\pi_2'^\ell\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3'^{\ell''}\rangle\;.\;\langle\pi_0'^\ell,\,\ell\pi_2'^\ell\rangle\in X\wedge\langle\pi_0'^\ell\pi_2'^\ell\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!],\,\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3'^{\ell''}\rangle\in\{\langle\pi,\pi'\rangle\in\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\mid\mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi)\}\wedge(\forall\mathsf{z}\in V\setminus\{\mathsf{x}\}\;.\;\varrho(\pi_0^\ell)\mathsf{z}=\varrho(\pi_0'^\ell)\mathsf{z})\wedge\{\mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]\ell'(\pi_0^\ell,\ell\pi_2'^\ell\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3'^\ell))\}\end{split}$$

 ℓ by definition (47.18) of diff and (47.16) of seqval [y] ℓ , there is an instance of ℓ in both $\ell\pi_2'\ell$ \xrightarrow{B} at [S_b] $\pi_3'\ell''$ and $\ell\pi_2'\ell$ \xrightarrow{B} at [S_b] $\pi_3'\ell''$ before which the values of y at ℓ and at which they differ. There are four cases (indeed three by symmetry), depending on whether the occurrence of ℓ is before or after the transition \xrightarrow{B} . ℓ

 $\subseteq \alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{X\})\ell' \cup$

$$\begin{split} &\{\langle \mathbf{x},\,\mathbf{y}\rangle\mid\exists\langle \pi_0^\ell,\,\ell\pi_2^\ell\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3^{\ell''}\rangle\;.\;\langle \pi_0^\ell,\,\ell\pi_2^\ell\rangle\in X\wedge\langle \pi_0^\ell\pi_2^\ell\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!],\,\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3^{\ell''}\rangle\in \\ &\{\langle \pi,\pi'\rangle\in\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\mid\mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi)\}\wedge\exists\langle \pi_0'^\ell,\,\ell\pi_2'^\ell\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3'^{\ell''}\rangle\;.\;\langle \pi_0'^\ell,\,\ell\pi_2'^\ell\rangle\in X\wedge\langle \pi_0'^\ell\pi_2'^\ell\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!],\,\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3'^{\ell''}\rangle\in \\ &\{\langle \pi,\pi'\rangle\in\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\mid\mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi)\}\wedge(\forall \mathbf{z}\in\mathcal{V}\setminus\{\mathbf{x}\}\;.\;\varrho(\pi_0^\ell)\mathbf{z}=\varrho(\pi_0'^\ell)\mathbf{z})\wedge \\ &\mathrm{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!]\ell'(\pi_0^\ell,\ell\pi_2^\ell\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3^{\ell''}),\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!]\ell'(\pi_0'^\ell,\ell\pi_2'^\ell\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3'^{\ell''}))\} \end{split}$$

(For the second term where ℓ' occurs in $\ell \pi_2 \ell$, the trace $\ell \pi_2 \ell$ must have reached the loop body, and so, by the reasoning of (7), this second term is an instance of the third one.)

$$\subseteq \, \alpha^{\operatorname{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell'} \cup \left(\alpha^{\operatorname{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \, \, {}_{\circ}^{\circ} \, ((\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\operatorname{diff}}^{\exists} \llbracket \operatorname{S}_b \rrbracket \, \, ^{\ell'}) \, \, \right] \, \operatorname{nondet}(\operatorname{B},\operatorname{B}))\right)$$

(by a reasoning similar to the one we did in case (1-Ba/Bc/C-Bb) from (7) on.)

— (2–B–C/2–C–B) The dependency observation point ℓ' on the two prefix observation traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi_1'$ in (5) is in the loop body S_b after zero or more loop iterations and the observation along these two traces stops in the loop body for one and at the loop exit for the other.

(5)

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0 \ell,\, \ell \pi_1 \rangle \in \{\langle \pi_0 \ell,\, \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'' \rangle \mid \langle \pi_0 \ell,\, \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell) = \\ \mathsf{tt} \, \wedge \, \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket,\, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \} \; . \; \exists \langle \pi_0' \ell,\, \ell \pi_1' \rangle \in \{\langle \pi_0 \ell,\, \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\neg (\mathsf{B})} \\ \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \mid \langle \pi_0 \ell,\, \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell) = \mathsf{ff} \} \; . \; (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) \mathsf{z} = \\ \varrho(\pi_0' \ell) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket \ell'(\pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_1), \mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket \ell'(\pi_0' \ell, \ell \pi_1')) \} \; \qquad \qquad (\mathsf{case} \, 2 - \mathsf{B} - \mathsf{C})$

$$\subseteq \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell'} \cup (\alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \circ ((\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\mathsf{diff}}^{\exists} \mathsf{S}_h)^{\ell'}) \cap \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B}))$$

(This case is handled exactly as the previous one because the program point ℓ' where the change of value of variable y is observed is within the loop body so the loop must be entered in part $\ell \pi_2 \ell$ of $\ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\neg (B)}$ after $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ and the loop exit $\ell \xrightarrow{\neg (B)}$ after $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ does not affect the variable y.)

— (2–C–C) The dependency observation point ℓ' on the two prefix observation traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi_1'$ in (5) is in the loop body S_b after zero or more loop iterations and the observation along these two traces stops at the loop exit.

(5)

$$= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ \ell \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ \ell \pi_1' \rangle \in \{\langle \pi_0^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2^\ell \ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \ \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \rangle \mid \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2^\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell) = \mathsf{ff} \} . \quad (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathscr{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \quad \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell'(\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_1), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell'(\pi_0'^\ell, \ell \pi_1')) \}$$

$$\subseteq \, \alpha^{\operatorname{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell'} \cup \left(\alpha^{\operatorname{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \, \, {}_{\circ}^{\circ}\left((\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\operatorname{diff}}^{\exists}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \, \, \ell'\right) \, \,] \, \, \operatorname{nondet}(\mathtt{B},\mathtt{B}))\right)$$

(This case is handled exactly as the two previous ones because , again, the program point ℓ' where the change of value of variable y is observed is within the loop body so the loop must be entered in part $\ell\pi_2\ell$ of $\ell\pi_2\ell$ $\xrightarrow{\neg(B)}$ after $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ and the loop exit ℓ $\xrightarrow{\neg(B)}$ after $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ does not affect the variable y. Similarly for the second trace $\ell\pi_1'$.

— Summing up for case (2), we get $(5) \subseteq \alpha^{4}(\{X\})\ell' \cup (\alpha^{4}(\{X\})\ell \circ (\widehat{\overline{S}}_{diff}[\![s_b]\!]\ell')]$ nondet(B, B))) which yields (47.63.b) of the form

$$\big[\!\big[\!\big[\ell'\in\inf\!\big[\!\big[\mathsf{S}_h\big]\!\big]\!\big]\!\big]\otimes \big(X(\ell)\,\S\,((\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\mathrm{diff}}^{\exists}\big[\!\big[\mathsf{S}_h\big]\!\big]\!\big]\,\ell')\,\big]\,\,\mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B}))\big)\,\colon\varnothing\,\big]\!\big).$$

where the term $X(\ell')$ does not appear in (47.63.b) by the simplification following from exercise 15.8.

— (3) Otherwise, the dependency observation point $\ell' = \text{after}[S]$ on prefix traces is after the loop statement $S = \text{while } \ell$ (B) S_b .

(5)

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \, \ell \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \, \ell \pi_1' \rangle \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^* \llbracket \mathbf{while} \, \ell \; (\mathbf{B}) \; \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \; X \; . \; (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0^\ell) \mathbf{z} = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \operatorname{diff}(\operatorname{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] (\operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) (\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_1), \operatorname{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] (\operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) (\pi_0'^\ell, \ell \pi_1')) \}$$

 $\ell' = after[S]$

$$= \{\langle \mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0\ell,\,\ell\pi_1\rangle, \langle \pi_0'\ell,\,\ell\pi_1'\rangle \in \{\langle \pi_0\ell,\,\ell\pi_2\ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]\rangle \mid \langle \pi_0\ell,\,\ell\pi_2\ell\rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) = \mathsf{ff}\} \cup \{\langle \pi_0\ell,\,\ell\pi_2\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3\ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]\rangle \mid \langle \pi_0\ell,\,\ell\pi_2\ell\rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \land \langle \pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]\rangle = \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \\ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3\ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]\rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\} . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} . \ \varrho(\pi_0\ell)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'\ell)\mathsf{z}) \land \\ \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\![\mathsf{y}]\!](\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!])(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_1), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\![\![\mathsf{y}]\!](\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!])(\pi_0'\ell,\ell\pi_1'))\}$$

(The only cases in (17.4) where $\ell' = \text{after}[S]$ is reachable is either via (C) for normal termination after zero or more iterations or via (B) through a **break**; in the loop body S_b during the first or later iteration \hat{S}_b

There are now three subcases, depending on whether the observation prefix traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi_1'$ are both from a normal exit, a both from a break, or one is from a break and the other from a normal exit.

— (3–C–C) This is the case when the observation prefix traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi_1'$ are both from a normal exit.

$$= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \text{ after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \ \in \ X \ \land \ \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell) \ = \\ \text{ ff } \land \ \exists \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^\ell \ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \text{ after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^\ell \ell \rangle \ \in \ X \ \land \ \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell) \ = \\ \text{ ff } \land \ \exists \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^\ell \ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \text{ after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^\ell \ell \rangle \ \in \ X \ \land \ \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell) \ = \\ \text{ ff } \land \ \exists \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^\ell \ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \text{ after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \rangle \ . \ \langle \mathsf{Miff}(\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket)(\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket)(\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell \ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \) \ \exists \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \rangle \\ \text{ after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle, \mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket)(\pi_0'^\ell, \ell \pi_2'^\ell \ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \ \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle)) \} \ \ \langle \mathsf{definition of } \in \mathsf{and} \ \ell' \ = \\ \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \\ \text{ after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle, \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \rangle \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ell \pi_2'^\ell \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell) = \\ \mathsf{ff } \land (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell) \land \varrho(\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell) \land \varrho(\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell) \neq \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell)) \} \\ \langle \mathsf{X} \ \mathsf{is an iterate of} \ \mathscr{F}^* \llbracket \mathsf{while} \ell \ (\mathsf{B}) \ \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \ \mathsf{so} \ \ell \pi_2^\ell \ \mathsf{and} \ \ell \pi_2^\ell \ \mathsf{are iterates of the loop} \\ \mathsf{body.} \ \mathsf{By definition of } \ \mathsf{the } \ \mathsf{labeling in } \ \mathsf{section } \ 4.2, \ \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \ \mathsf{appears } \ \mathsf{neither} \ \mathsf{in} \ \ell \pi_2^\ell \\ \mathsf{nor } \ \mathsf{in} \ \ell \pi_2^\ell \ell \ . \ \mathsf{It } \ \mathsf{follows} \ \mathsf{by } \ \mathsf{definition} \ (47.18) \ \mathsf{of } \ \mathsf{diff} \ \mathsf{and} \ (47.16) \ \mathsf{of } \ \mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \rangle \rangle \\ \mathsf{equility} \ \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \rangle = \ \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \rangle \\ \mathsf{equility} \ \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \rangle = \ \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \rangle = \ \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \rangle \rangle = \ \mathsf{equility} \ \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \rangle \rangle$$

From there on, the development is very similar to the cases (2.a), (2.b), and (2.c-d) of the conditional with execution traces that may go through the true branch (here entering the loop) or the false branch (here not entering the iteration). There are four subcases (three by symmetry).

- (3–C–C.a) If none of the executions $\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell$ and $\pi_0' \ell \pi_2' \ell$ enter the loop body because in both cases the condition B is false, we have $\ell \pi_2 \ell = \ell$ and $\ell \pi_2' \ell = \ell$.

(9)

(because if $x \notin \text{nondet}(\neg B, \neg B)$ then $x \in \text{det}(\neg B, \neg B)$ so $\mathfrak{B}[\![\neg B]\!]\varrho(\pi_0^\ell)$ and $\mathfrak{B}[\![\neg B]\!]\varrho(\pi_0^\ell)$ would imply $\varrho(\pi_0^\ell)x = \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)x$. Therefore $\varrho(\pi_0^\ell) = \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)$ in contradiction to $\varrho(\pi_0^\ell)y \neq \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)y$.

- (3-C-C.b) Else, if both executions $\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell$ and $\pi_0' \ell \pi_2' \ell$ enter the loop body because in both cases the condition B is true, we have $\ell \pi_2 \ell \neq \ell$ and $\ell \pi_2' \ell \neq \ell$

(9)

$$= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \, \ell \pi_2^\ell \rangle, \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \, \ell \pi_2'^\ell \rangle \in \mathsf{X} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell) = \mathsf{ff} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell) = \mathsf{ff} \land (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell) \mathsf{y}\} \mid \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \mathsf{B})$$

(case (3–C–C.b) and X belongs to the iterates of $\mathcal{F}^*[[\text{while }\ell] (B) S_b]]$ so this is possible only when $\mathcal{B}[[B]]\varrho(\pi_0^\ell) = \text{tt}$ and $\mathcal{B}[[B]]\varrho(\pi_0^\ell) = \text{tt}$ and definition (47.48) of nondet

$$\hspace{0.5cm} \begin{array}{l} \subseteq \; \{\langle \mathsf{x},\; \mathsf{y}\rangle \; | \; \exists \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell}\ell,\; \ell\pi_{2}^{\ell}\ell\rangle \in X \; . \; \exists \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime}\ell,\; \ell\pi_{2}^{\prime}\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{3}^{\ell}\ell\rangle \; . \; \langle \pi_{0}^{\prime}\ell,\; \ell\pi_{2}^{\prime}\ell\rangle \in X \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \; . \\ \varrho(\pi_{0}^{\ell}\ell)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_{0}^{\prime}\ell)\mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval} [\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell(\pi_{0}^{\ell}\ell,\ell\pi_{2}^{\prime}\ell), \mathsf{seqval} [\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell(\pi_{0}^{\prime}\ell,\ell\pi_{2}^{\prime}\ell))\} \end{array}$$

 $\text{(because } \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell) \text{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0^\prime \ell \pi_2^\prime \ell) \text{y implies diff(seqval} \llbracket \text{y} \rrbracket \ell(\pi_0^\ell \ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell), \text{seqval} \llbracket \text{y} \rrbracket \ell(\pi_0^\prime \ell, \ell \pi_2^\prime \ell)) \text{)}$

$$\subseteq \alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{X\})\ell$$
 (47.25) of $\alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}$

- (3-C-C.c) Otherwise, one execution enters the loop body (say $\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell$) and the other does not (say $\pi'_0 \ell \pi'_2 \ell$), we have (the other case is symmetric) $\ell \pi_2 \ell \neq \ell$ and $\ell \pi'_2 \ell = \ell$. The calculation is similar to (2.c-d) for the simple conditional.

(9)

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \ell \pi_2^{\ell} \rangle, \langle \pi_0'^{\ell}, \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}) = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell} \pi_2^{\ell}) = \mathsf{ff} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0'^{\ell}) = \mathsf{ff} \land \langle \forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^{\ell}) \mathbf{z}) \land \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell} \pi_2^{\ell}) \mathbf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0'^{\ell}) \mathbf{y} \}$$

(case (3–C–C.c) and X is included in the iterates of $\mathscr{F}^*[\text{while }\ell \text{ (B) S}_b]$ so this is possible only when $\mathscr{B}[B]\varrho(\pi_0\ell) = \operatorname{tt}, \mathscr{B}[B]\varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) = \operatorname{ff}$, and $\mathscr{B}[B]\varrho(\pi_0'\ell) = \operatorname{ff}$

$$= \{\langle \mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0\ell,\,\ell\pi_2\ell\rangle, \langle \pi_0'\ell,\,\ell\rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) = \mathsf{ff} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0'\ell) = \mathsf{ff} \land \langle \mathsf{yz} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \;.\; \varrho(\pi_0\ell)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'\ell)\mathsf{z}) \land \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell)\mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0'\ell)\mathsf{y}\} \;] \; \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \neg\mathsf{B})$$

(because , by definition (47.48) of nondet, if $\mathbf{x} \notin \text{nondet}(\mathbb{B}, \neg \mathbb{B})$ then $\mathbf{x} \in \text{det}(\mathbb{B}, \neg \mathbb{B})$ so by (47.48), $\mathfrak{B}[\![\![}\mathbb{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0^\ell)$ and $\mathfrak{B}[\![\![}\neg \mathbb{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0^{\ell})$ would imply $\varrho(\pi_0^\ell)\mathbf{x} = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)\mathbf{x}$ and therefore $\varrho(\pi_0^\ell) = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)$. X being included in the iterates of $\mathfrak{F}^*[\![\![}\text{while }\ell]\!](\mathbb{B}) \times \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{b}}[\!]\!]$ and, by exercises 17.13 and 17.21, the language being deterministic, this would imply that $\ell \pi_2 \ell = \ell$, in contradiction to $\mathfrak{B}[\![\![\![}\mathbb{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0^\ell) = \mathbb{H}$ and $\mathfrak{B}[\![\![\![\![}\mathbb{B}]\!]\!]\varrho(\pi_0^\ell\pi_2^\ell) = \mathbb{H}$

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \, \ell \pi_2''^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'^\ell \rangle \; . \; \langle \pi_0^\ell, \, \ell \pi_2''^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'^\ell \rangle \; \in \; X \land \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \; = \\ \mathsf{tt} \land \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2''^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'^\ell) \; = \; \mathsf{ff} \land \langle \pi_0^\ell \pi_2''^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'^\ell \rangle \in \; \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \exists \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ell \rangle \} \\ \ell \rangle \in \; X \land \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \; = \; \mathsf{ff} \land (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} \; = \; \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2''^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'^\ell) \mathsf{y} \; \neq \\ \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{y} \} \; \mathsf{I} \; \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})$

(by the argument (7) that if $\langle \pi_0^\ell, \, \ell \pi_2^\ell \rangle \in X$ corresponds to one or more iterations of the loop then it can be written in the form $\ell \pi_2^\ell = \ell \pi_2'' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'^\ell$ (where $\ell \pi_2'' \ell$ may be reduced to ℓ for the first iteration) with $\ell \pi_2'' \ell \in X$, $\mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) = \operatorname{tt} \operatorname{and} \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'^\ell \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \S$

 $\hspace{0.1in} \subseteq \hspace{0.1in} \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3' \ell, \pi_0' \ell : \langle \pi_0 \ell, \, \ell \pi_2'' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3' \ell \rangle \in X \wedge \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2''' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \\ \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3' \ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \wedge \mathcal{B} [\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3' \ell) = \mathsf{ff} \wedge \langle \pi_0' \ell, \, \ell \rangle \in X \wedge \mathcal{B} [\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell) = \\ \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} [\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho (\pi_0' \ell) = \mathsf{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \ell) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} [\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \mathsf{after} [\![\mathsf{S}]\!] (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}]\!])) \} \\ \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3' \ell \xrightarrow{\neg \mathsf{B}} \mathsf{after} [\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \mathsf{after} [\![\mathsf{S}]\!]), \mathsf{seqval} [\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \mathsf{after} [\![\mathsf{S}]\!] (\pi_0' \ell \xrightarrow{\neg \mathsf{B}} \mathsf{after} [\![\mathsf{S}]\!])) \} \\ \mathsf{nondet} (\mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B}) \\ \end{array}$

(definition (6.6) of ϱ , definition (47.16) of seqval[[y]] and program labeling so that after[[S]] does not appear in the trace (in particular $\ell \neq \text{after}[[S]]$), and definition (47.18) of diff()

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' \ell \xrightarrow{\neg \mathsf{B}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket, \pi_0' \ell \xrightarrow{\neg \mathsf{B}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \ . \ \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \ell \pi_2'' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \times \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \ell \pi_2'' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \times \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \ell \pi_2'' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \times \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \ell \times \langle \pi_0' \ell, \ell \rangle \in X \land \langle \pi_0' \ell, \ell \rangle \times \langle \pi_0'$

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{(where } \mathcal{S}' &= \{\langle \pi_1'^\ell & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} & \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell, \ \ell & \xrightarrow{\neg \mathsf{B}} & \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \rangle & | \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_1'^\ell) &= \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \\ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2''^\ell & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} & \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell) &= \ \mathsf{ff} \land \langle \pi_1'^\ell & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} & \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \} \cup \{\langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ell, \ell \rangle & \xrightarrow{\neg \mathsf{B}} & \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \rangle & | \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) &= \ \mathsf{ff} \} \\ \end{array}$

7 lemma 47.59 with $\ell_0 \leftarrow \ell, \ell' \leftarrow \ell$, and $\ell \leftarrow \text{after}[S]$

We have to calculate the second term

$$\alpha^4(\{\mathcal{S}'\})$$
 after $[\![S]\!]$ (10)

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \mathcal{S}' \in \mathcal{D}(\mathsf{after}[S]) \langle \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \rangle\}$ \(\lambda \definition (47.25) \text{ of } \alpha^\definition

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \; \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0, \; \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0', \; \pi_1' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}' \; . \; (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0') \mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] (\pi_0, \pi_1'), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] (\pi_0', \pi_1')) \} \qquad (\mathsf{definition} \; (47.19) \; \mathsf{of} \; \mathcal{D}^{\ell} \langle \mathsf{x}, \; \mathsf{y} \rangle)$

```
= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'^\ell \stackrel{\neg \mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \ . \ \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_2'^\ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \ \langle \pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \ \exists \pi_0'^\ell \ . \ \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \ = \ \mathsf{ff} \} \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \ \in \ \mathbb{V} \ \setminus \ \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'^\ell) \mathsf{z} \ = \ \varrho(\pi_0') \mathsf{z}) \ \land \ \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket (\pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'^\ell, \ell \xrightarrow{\neg \mathsf{B}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket )) \}
```

(definition \mathcal{S}' and the other two combinations have already been considered in (3–C–C.a) and (3–C–C.b) \mathcal{S}'

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell \xrightarrow{\neg \mathsf{B}} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] . \, \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_2'^\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \langle \pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \wedge \, \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2''^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell) = \mathsf{ff} \wedge \exists \pi_0'^\ell . \, \, \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) = \mathsf{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} . \\ \varrho(\pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \varrho(\pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{y}) \}$

(definition (6.6) of ϱ , definition (47.16) of seqval [y] and program labeling so that after [S] does not appear in the trace (in particular $\ell \neq \text{after}[S]$), and definition (47.18) of diff)

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell \xrightarrow{\neg \mathsf{B}} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] . \ \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_2'^\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \langle \pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \wedge \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2''^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell) = \mathsf{ff} \wedge \exists \pi_0'^\ell . \ \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) = \mathsf{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} . \\ \varrho(\pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \varrho(\pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{y}) \} \ \mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})$

(because if $x \notin \text{nondet}(\neg B, \neg B)$ then $x \in \text{det}(\neg B, \neg B)$ so by (47.48), $\mathfrak{B}[\neg B]\varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2''\ell \xrightarrow{B} \text{at}[S_b]\pi_3'\ell)$, and $\mathfrak{B}[\neg B]\varrho(\pi_0'\ell_0'\ell)$, we would have $\varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2''\ell \xrightarrow{B} \text{at}[S_b]\pi_3'\ell) = \varrho(\pi_0'\ell)$, which with $\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\}$. $\varrho(\pi_2'\ell \xrightarrow{B} \text{at}[S_b]\pi_3'\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0'\ell)z$, would imply $\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\}$. $\varrho(\pi_2'\ell \xrightarrow{B} \text{at}[S_b]\pi_3'\ell) = \varrho(\pi_0'\ell)$, in contradiction to $\varrho(\pi_2'\ell \xrightarrow{B} \text{at}[S_b]\pi_3'\ell)y \neq \varrho(\pi_0'\ell)y)$

 $\hspace{0.1cm} \subseteq \hspace{0.1cm} \{ \langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_{0}, \pi_{1}, \pi'_{0} \; . \; (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_{0} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!]) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi'_{0} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!]) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \langle \pi_{0} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!], \; \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{1}^{\ell} \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{*} [\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \wedge \langle \varrho(\pi_{0} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!] \pi_{1}^{\ell} \rangle \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi'_{0} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_{b}]\!]) \mathsf{y} \} \; | \; \mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})$

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{(letting π_0 at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket & \leftarrow & \pi_2'^\ell & \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \text{ with } \varrho(\pi_2'^\ell & \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket) & = & \varrho(\pi_2'^\ell), \, \pi_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket & \leftarrow & \pi_2'^\ell & \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'^\ell, \, \mathsf{and} \, \pi_1^\ell & \leftarrow & \pi_3'^\ell & \mathsf{S}_b \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$

 $= (\{\langle \mathsf{x},\, \mathsf{x}\rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' : (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]) \mathsf{z}) \land \langle \pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \, \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_1^\ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \land (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_1^\ell) \mathsf{x} \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]) \mathsf{x}\}$

 $\bigcup \left\{ \langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y} \wedge \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' \text{ . } (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \text{ . } \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \langle \pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_1 \ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \wedge (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_1 \ell) \mathbf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]) \mathbf{y} \}) \] \text{ nondet}(\neg \mathbf{B}, \neg \mathbf{B})$

 $\langle \text{because when } x \neq y, \varrho(\pi'_0 \text{at} [S_h]) y = \varrho(\pi_0 \text{at} [S_h]) y \rangle$

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' : (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]) \mathsf{z}) \land \langle \pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \, \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_1 \ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \land (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_1 \ell) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]) \mathsf{y}\} \mid \mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B}) \qquad \text{$(\mathsf{grouping cases together)}$}$

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' : (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]) \mathsf{z}) \land \langle \pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \, \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_1 \ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \land (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_1 \ell) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]) \mathsf{y}\} \mid \mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})$

(letting $\rho = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell})$, $\nu = \varrho(\pi_0^{\prime}\ell)x$ so that $\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\}$. $\varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell)z = \varrho(\pi_0^{\prime}\ell)z$ implies $\varrho(\pi_0^{\prime}\ell) = \rho[x \leftarrow \nu]$.)

```
\subseteq (\{\langle x, x \rangle \mid x \in V\} \cup \{\langle x, y \rangle \mid x \in V \land y \in mod[S_h]\}) \rceil nondet(\neg B, \neg B)
```

(A coarse approximation is to consider the variables $y \neq x$ appearing to the left of an assignment in S_b , a necessary condition for y to be modified by the execution of S_b in which the set mod [S] of variables that may be modified by the execution of S is syntactically defined as in (47.50). \S

- $= \mathbb{1}_{\mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})} \cup \mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B}) \times \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]$ (definition 1)
- Summing up for all subcases of (3–C–C), we get $(5) \subseteq \mathbb{1}_{\mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})} \cup \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \cup (\alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell})^{\ell} \otimes (\mathbb{1}_{\mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})} \cup \mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B}) \times \mathsf{mod}[[\mathsf{S}_b]]) \cap \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B}).$
- (3–B–B) This is the case when the observation prefix traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi_1'$ are both from a **break**; in the iteration body S_b .

(8)

- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ \ell \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ \ell \pi_1' \rangle \ \in \ \{\langle \pi_0^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2^\ell \ \overset{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \ \overset{\mathsf{break}}{\longrightarrow} \ \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \rangle \ | \ \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2^\ell \rangle \ \in \ X \land \ \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \ \in \ \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \land \langle \pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell \ \overset{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \\ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \ \overset{\mathsf{break}}{\longrightarrow} \ \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \rangle \ \in \ \mathscr{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \} \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \ \in \ V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} \ = \ \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \\ \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] (\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) (\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_1), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] (\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) (\pi_0'^\ell, \ell \pi_1')) \} \qquad \qquad \langle \mathsf{case} \ (3-\mathsf{B}-\mathsf{B}) \rangle$
- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3^{\ell''} . \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \exists \pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'^\ell \wedge \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ell \pi_2'^\ell \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'^\ell \wedge \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \backslash \{\mathsf{x}\} . \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) (\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'^\ell \wedge \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket)) \\ \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \rbrace \qquad \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket), \mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) (\pi_0'^\ell, \ell \pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'^\ell \wedge \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket)) \rbrace \qquad (\mathsf{definition of } \in \S)$
- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'' \ . \ \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathfrak{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rceil \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rceil \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rceil \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rceil \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rceil \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rceil \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rceil \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rceil \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rceil \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rceil \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \pi_2 \ell \pi_2 \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \pi_2$
 - $\begin{array}{l} (\langle \pi_0^\ell,\ ^\ell\pi_2^\ell\rangle \in X \ \text{and} \ X \ \text{contains only iterates of} \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^*[\![\hspace{-1.5pt}[\hspace{-1.5pt}]\hspace{-1.5pt}]^\ell \ (\mathsf{B}) \ \mathsf{S}_b] \ \text{so after}[\![\hspace{-1.5pt}]\hspace{-1.5pt}] \neq \ell \\ \text{cannot appear in} \ ^\ell\pi_2^\ell. \ \text{Moreover}, \ \langle \pi_0^\ell\pi_2^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \ \text{at}[\![\hspace{-1.5pt}]\hspace{-1.5pt}] \ \text{at}[\![\hspace{-1.5pt}]\hspace{-1.5pt}] \ \mathsf{mat}[\![\hspace{-1.5pt}]\hspace{-1.5pt}] \ \mathsf{mat}[\hspace{-1.5pt}] \ \mathsf{mat}[\hspace{-1.5pt}]\hspace{-1.5pt}] \ \mathsf{mat}[\hspace{-1.5$

 $= \bigcup_{\substack{\ell'' \in \text{breaks-of}[\mathbb{S}_b] \\ \text{$\ell'' \in \text{breaks-of}[\mathbb{S}_b] $}}} \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \ . \ \langle \pi_0^\ell, \, \ell \pi_2^\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \{\pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \land \exists \pi_0^\prime \ell \pi_2^\prime \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^\prime \ell'' \ . \ \langle \pi_0^\prime \ell, \ell \pi_2^\prime \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \land \exists \pi_0^\prime \ell \pi_2^\prime \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^\prime \ell'' \ . \ \langle \pi_0^\prime \ell, \ell \pi_2^\prime \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \land \exists \pi_0^\prime \ell \pi_2^\prime \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^\prime \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \land (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0^\prime \ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^\ell \ell'') \neq \varrho(\pi_0^\prime \ell \pi_2^\prime \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^\prime \ell'') \}$ $\subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{\ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \\ \mathcal{C}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \cap \mathcal{C}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]$

by a reasoning similar to the one we did in case (1-Ba/Bc/C-Bb) from (7) on.

$$= \alpha^{\operatorname{d}}(\{X\}) \ell \circ \left(\left(\bigcup_{\ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![S_b]\!]} \widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}^{\exists}_{\mathsf{diff}}[\![S_b]\!] \ell'' \right) \mid \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B}) \right) \qquad \text{$(\circ, \mathsf{and} \mid \mathsf{preserve arbitrary joins)}$}$$

— (3–B–C) This is the case when the observation prefix trace $\ell \pi_1$ is from a normal exit of the iteration and $\ell \pi_1'$ is from a **break**; in the iteration body S_b . By symmetry of diff this also covers the inverse case.

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{y}\rangle \ | \ \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ \ell \pi_1 \rangle \in \ \{\langle \pi_0^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2^\ell \ \overset{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3^{\ell''} \ \overset{\mathsf{break}}{\longrightarrow} \ \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \ | \ \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2^\ell \rangle \in \ X \land \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell) = \ \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \in \ \mathsf{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell \overset{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \rangle \\ \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2^\ell \rangle \in \ X \land \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell) = \ \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \in \ \mathsf{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell \overset{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \ \mathsf{att} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \rangle \\ \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2^\ell \rangle \in \ X \land \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell) = \ \mathsf{ff} \} \quad (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \ V \land \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \land \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) (\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_1^\ell)) \} \qquad (\mathsf{case} \ (3-\mathsf{B}-\mathsf{C})) \land \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) (\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_1^\ell)) \} \qquad (\mathsf{case} \ (3-\mathsf{B}-\mathsf{C})) \land \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) (\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_1^\ell)) \land \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) (\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_1^\ell)) \land \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) (\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell) \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket))) \land \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket))) \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket))) \land \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket))) \land \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket))) \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket))) \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket))) \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket))) \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket))) \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket))) \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket))) \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket))) \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket))) \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket))) \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket))) \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket))) \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket))) \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket))) \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket))) \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket$

 $\begin{array}{ll} (\langle \pi_0^\ell, \, \ell \pi_2^\ell \ell \rangle, \langle \pi_0'^\ell \ell, \, \, \ell \pi_2'^\ell \ell \rangle \in X \text{ and } X \text{ contains only iterates of } \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^*[\![\textbf{while} \, \ell \, (\textbf{B}) \, \textbf{S}_b]\!] \text{ so} \\ & \text{after}[\![\textbf{S}]\!] \neq \ell \text{ can appear neither in } \ell \pi_2 \ell \text{ nor in } \ell \pi_2' \ell. \text{ Moreover, } \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \stackrel{\textbf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \text{ at}[\![\textbf{S}_b]\!], \\ & \text{at}[\![\textbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \stackrel{\textbf{break}}{\longrightarrow} \text{ after}[\![\textbf{S}]\!] \rangle \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^*[\![\textbf{S}_b]\!] \text{ so, by definition of program labeling in section 4.2, after}[\![\textbf{S}]\!] \neq \text{ at}[\![\textbf{S}_b]\!] \text{ cannot appear in at}[\![\textbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell''. \text{ Therefore, by definition (6.6)} \\ & \text{of } \boldsymbol{\varrho} \text{ and } (47.16) \text{ of seqval}[\![\textbf{y}]\!] \ell, \text{ seqval}[\![\textbf{y}]\!] (\text{after}[\![\textbf{S}]\!]) (\pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_2 \ell \stackrel{\textbf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \text{ at}[\![\textbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \stackrel{\textbf{break}}{\longrightarrow} \\ & \text{after}[\![\textbf{S}]\!]) = \text{seqval}[\![\textbf{y}]\!] (\text{after}[\![\textbf{S}]\!]) (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \stackrel{\textbf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \text{ at}[\![\textbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \stackrel{\textbf{break}}{\longrightarrow} \\ & \text{after}[\![\textbf{S}]\!], \text{ after}[\![\textbf{S}]\!]) (\pi_0' \ell, \ell \pi_2' \ell \stackrel{\neg(\textbf{B})}{\longrightarrow} \text{ after}[\![\textbf{S}]\!]) = \text{seqval}[\![\textbf{y}]\!] (\text{after}[\![\textbf{S}]\!]) (\pi_0' \ell \pi_2' \ell \stackrel{\neg(\textbf{B})}{\longrightarrow} \text{ after}[\![\textbf{S}]\!]). \\ & \text{after}[\![\textbf{S}]\!], \text{ after}[\![\textbf{S}]\!]). \\ \end{array}$

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket, \pi'_0 \ell \pi'_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket : \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_2 \ell, \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \in \{\langle \pi^\ell, \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \in \{\langle \pi^\ell, \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle : \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi^\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \wedge \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{break} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \wedge \langle \pi'_0 \ell, \ell \pi'_2 \ell \rangle \in \mathcal{X} \wedge \langle \pi'_0 \ell \pi'_2 \ell, \ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \in \{\langle \pi^\ell, \ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \rangle : \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi^\ell) = \mathsf{ff} \rangle \wedge \langle \forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi'_0 \ell) \mathsf{z} \rangle \wedge \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) : \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle : \mathsf$

 $\text{$\langle$ by lemma 47.59 where $\mathcal{S}' = \{\langle \pi^{\ell}, \ \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \rangle \mid \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) = \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \land \langle \pi^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \} \cup \{\langle \pi^{\ell}, \ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \rangle \mid \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) = \mathsf{ff} \} \text{ with } \pi_0 \ell_0 \leftarrow \pi_0 \ell, \ell_0 \pi_1 \ell' \leftarrow \ell \pi_2 \ell, \ell \leftarrow \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \ell' \pi_2 \ell \leftarrow \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]} \mathsf{so } \pi_3 = \mathsf{and } \pi'_0 \ell_0 \leftarrow \pi'_0 \ell, \ell_0 \pi'_1 \ell' \leftarrow \ell_0 \pi'_2 \ell, \ell' \pi'_2 \ell \leftarrow \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]} \mathsf{so } \pi'_3 = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]} \mathsf{so } \pi'_3 = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]} \mathsf{so } \pi'_3 = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]$

Similar to the calculation starting at (10), we have to calculate the second term

 $lpha^{ ext{ iny d}}(\{oldsymbol{\mathcal{S}'}\}) ext{ after} [\![oldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}]\!]$

- $= \{ \langle \mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \mathcal{S}' \in \mathcal{D}(\mathsf{after}[S]) \langle \mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \rangle \} \qquad \qquad \langle \mathsf{definition} (47.25) \text{ of } \alpha^4 \rangle$
- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0', \ \pi_1' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}' \quad \text{.} \quad (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \quad . \quad \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0') \mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] (\pi_0, \pi_1'), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] (\pi_0', \pi_1')) \} \qquad \text{\langle definition (47.19) of $\mathcal{D}^{\varrho}(\mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{y}) \rangle}$

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \ \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket, \pi' \ell \xrightarrow{\neg (\mathsf{B})} \ \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \ .$ $\mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi^\ell) = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \wedge \ \ell'' \ \in \ \mathsf{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \ \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \ \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \in \ \mathscr{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \wedge \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi'^\ell) = \ \mathsf{ff} \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \ \in \ V \ \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi^\ell) \mathsf{z} \ = \ \varrho(\pi'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \rangle \\ \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \rangle \}$

(definition of S' and the other two combinations have already been considered in (3–B–B) and (2–C–C)

- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \pi'^\ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] . \ \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi^\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \wedge \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \wedge \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi'^\ell) = \mathsf{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} . \varrho(\pi^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \varrho(\pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi'^\ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{y}) \}$
 - $\begin{array}{lll} & & & \\$

(because if $x \notin \text{horizet}(B, \neg B)$ then $x \in \text{det}(B, \neg B)$ so by (47.46), $\mathfrak{B}[B][\varrho(\pi^{\ell})] = \text{tt}$ and $\mathfrak{B}[B][\varrho(\pi^{\ell})] = \text{tt}$ imply $\varrho(\pi^{\ell})x = \varrho(\pi^{\ell}^{\ell})x$, which together with $\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\}$. $\varrho(\pi^{\ell})z = \varrho(\pi^{\ell}^{\ell})z$, implies that $\varrho(\pi^{\ell}) = \varrho(\pi^{\ell}^{\ell})$, in contradiction to $\mathfrak{B}[B][\varrho(\pi^{\ell})] = \text{tt}$ and $\mathfrak{B}[B][\varrho(\pi^{\ell})] = \text{ff}$

 $= \bigcup_{\substack{\ell'' \in \text{breaks-of}[\![S_b]\!]}} \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \; \mathsf{y} \rangle \; | \; \exists \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \; \mathsf{at}[\![S_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \; \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!], \pi'^\ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \; \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \; . \; \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \; \mathsf{at}[\![S_b]\!], \; \mathsf{at}[\![S_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \; \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \varrho(\pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \; \mathsf{at}[\![S_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \; \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!]) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi'^\ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \; \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!]) \mathsf{y}) \} \; | \; \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})$ $? \; \mathsf{definition} \; \mathsf{of} \cup \emptyset$

 $\subseteq \bigcup_{\ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[S_b]} (\{\langle \mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{x} \rangle \mid \mathsf{x} \in \mathcal{V}\} \cup \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \mathsf{x} \in \mathcal{V} \land \mathsf{y} \in \mathsf{mod}[\![S_b]\!]\}) \mid \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})$

(because if $y \neq x$ then $\varrho(\pi^\ell)y = \varrho(\pi'^\ell)y = \varrho(\pi'^\ell)y = \varrho(\pi'^\ell)y$ after [S]) y so for the value of y to be different in $\varrho(\pi^\ell)y = \varrho(\pi^\ell)y = \varrho(\pi'^\ell)y = \varrho(\pi^\ell)y = \varrho(\pi^\ell)y$

 $(\mathbb{1}_{V} \cup \{\langle x, y \rangle \mid x \in V \land y \in mod[s_b]\}) \cap nondet(B, \neg B) \land definition of the identity relation 1 and <math>\cup \land$

$$= \mathbb{1}_{\mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B},\neg\mathsf{B})} \cup (\mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B},\neg\mathsf{B}) \times \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!])$$
 (definition of \rceil)

- Summing up for cases (3-B-B) and (3-B-C), we get

$$(5) \subseteq \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{X\}) \ell_{9}^{\circ} \left(\left(\bigcup_{\ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![S_{b}]\!]} \widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\mathsf{diff}}^{\exists} [\![S_{b}]\!] \ell'' \right) \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \mathsf{B}) \right) \cup \mathbb{1}_{\mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})} \cup (\mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B}) \times \mathsf{mod}[\![S_{b}]\!]).$$

— Summing up for all subcases of (3) for a dependency observation point $\ell' = \text{after}[S]$, we would get a term (47.63.c) of the form

that can be simplified as follows (while losing precision)

(5)

$$\subseteq \mathbb{1}_{\mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})} \cup \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \cup (\alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell}{}_{9}^{\circ}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})} \cup \mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B}) \times \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!])) \rceil \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B}) \cup \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell}{}_{9}^{\circ}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})} \cup (\mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B}) \times \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!])) \rceil \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B}) \times \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!])$$

$$\leq \ \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{V}} \cup \alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \cup (\alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\{X\}) \ \ell \ \circ \ (\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{V}} \cup \mathcal{V} \times \mathrm{mod}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!])) \cup \alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \ \circ \ \left(\left(\bigcup_{\ell'' \in \mathrm{breaks-of}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]} \widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\mathrm{diff}}^{\exists} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \ \ell''\right) \ \rceil$$

$$\mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B})\bigg) \cup \mathbb{1}_{\mathit{V}} \cup (\mathit{V} \times \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!])$$

(because $\operatorname{nondet}(B_1,B_2)\subseteq V$ so $\mathbb{1}_{\operatorname{nondet}(B_1,B_2)}\subseteq \mathbb{1}_V$ and definition of $\mathbb{1}$)

$$\subseteq \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{V}} \cup \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\}) \ell \cup (\alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\}) \ell_{\mathfrak{I}}^{\mathfrak{d}} \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{V}}) \cup (\alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\}) \ell_{\mathfrak{I}}^{\mathfrak{d}} \mathcal{V} \times \mathsf{mod} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_{b} \rrbracket)) \cup \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\}) \ell_{\mathfrak{I}}^{\mathfrak{d}} \Big(\Big(\bigcup_{\ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_{b} \rrbracket} \widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\mathsf{diff}}^{\exists} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_{b} \rrbracket \ell'' \Big) \rceil$$

$$\mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \mathsf{B}) \Big) \cup \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{V}} \cup (\mathcal{V} \times \mathsf{mod} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_{b} \rrbracket)$$

?because ; distributes over ∪ \

$$= \ \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{V}} \cup \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \cup \left((\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{V}} \cup \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell}) \ \mathring{,} \ (\mathbb{V} \times \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!])\right) \cup \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \ \mathring{,} \left(\left(\bigcup_{\substack{\ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]}} \widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\mathsf{diff}}^{\exists}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \ \ell''\right) \rceil$$

$$nondet(B,B)$$
 (idempotency law for \cup and \S distributes over \cup)

After simplification, we get a term (47.63.c) of the form

For fixpoints X of $\mathcal{F}^{\text{diff}}[\![\text{while }\ell\ (B)\ S_b]\!]$, we have $\mathbb{1}_V\subseteq X(\ell)$ by (47.63.a) so that, by the chaotic iteration theorem [1, 2], $\mathbb{1}_V\cup X(\ell)$ can be replaced by $X(\ell)$. We get a term (47.63.c) of the form

$$\begin{split} \big\|\,\ell' &= \mathsf{after}\big[\![\mathsf{S} \big]\!] \,\, \widehat{\mathscr{E}} \,\, X(\ell) \cup \big(X(\ell\,\,{}^\circ_{\mathfrak{I}} \,\, (\mathbb{V} \times \mathsf{mod}\big[\![\mathsf{S}_b \big]\!]) \big) \, \cup \\ &\quad X(\ell)\,\,{}^\circ_{\mathfrak{I}} \, \Big(\Big(\bigcup_{\ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}\big[\![\mathsf{S}_b \big]\!]} \widehat{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}}_{\mathsf{diff}}^{\exists} \Big[\![\mathsf{S}_b \big]\!] \,\, \ell'' \Big) \,\, \big] \,\, \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B}) \Big) \, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \,\, \emptyset \, \big]. \end{aligned}$$

— Summing up for all cases (1), (2), and (3) for all dependency observation points, we conclude that

$$\forall \ell' \in \mathsf{labx}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!] \ . \ \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^*[\![\mathtt{while}\ \ell\ (\mathtt{B})\ \mathsf{S}_b]\!](X)\})\ \ell' \subseteq \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathsf{diff}}[\![\mathtt{while}\ \ell\ (\mathtt{B})\ \mathsf{S}_b]\!]\ \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\})\ \ell'$$

proving pointwise semicommutation.

5 Mathematical Proofs of Chapter 48

Proof of Lemma 48.63 By induction on the sequence of calls to unify. We proceed by by calculational design and case analysis on the structure of τ_1 and τ_2 which can be a variable or a structured term and may belong to the domain of θ_0 , or not.

• If unify(τ_1 , τ_2 , ϑ_0) = Ω_s^r in case (48.47.8) of an occurs check, we have $\gamma_s^r(\Omega_s^r) = \varnothing$ by (48.46). By the test (48.47.8), $\alpha \in \text{Vars}[\![\tau_2]\!]$. If $\tau_2 = \beta \in V_t$ were a variable then the test $\alpha \in \text{Vars}[\![\tau_2]\!]$ at (48.47.8) would be true only if $\alpha = \beta$ but this case is prevented by the test (48.47.7). By contradiction, $\tau_2 \notin V_t$ in case (48.47.8). It follows, by definition (48.51) of γ_e that $\gamma_e(\tau_1 \doteq \tau_2) = \gamma_e(\alpha \doteq \tau_2) = \varnothing$ because otherwise, there would be some $\boldsymbol{\varrho}$ such that $\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\tau_1) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(f(\ldots \alpha \ldots))$ which would be an infinite object not in \boldsymbol{P}^v , as shown in lemma 48.9.

- By lemma 48.58, unify does terminate so that, in case (48.47.6) with $\vartheta_n = \Omega_s^r$ there must be a series of recursive calls ending up in (48.47.8). So τ_1 or τ_2 has a recursive subterm, which again by lemma 48.9, implies $\gamma_s^r(\text{unify}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \vartheta_0)) = \gamma_s^r(\text{unify}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \vartheta_0)) = \gamma_s^r(\Omega_s^r) = \emptyset$;
- In case (48.47.6) with $\theta_n \neq \Omega_s^r$, we have,

$$\begin{split} & \gamma_{\mathbf{e}}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1} \doteq \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}) \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{c}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}) \\ & = \gamma_{\mathbf{e}}(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{n}) \doteq g(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{n})) \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{c}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}) \\ & = \gamma_{\mathbf{e}}(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{n}) \doteq f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{n})) \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{c}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}) \\ & = \gamma_{\mathbf{e}}(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{n}) \doteq f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{n})) \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{c}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}) \\ & = \{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathbf{v}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{n})) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{n}))\} \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{c}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}) \\ & = \{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathbf{v}} \mid \boldsymbol{\rho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1})\} \\ & = \{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathbf{v}} \mid \boldsymbol{\rho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1})\} \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{c}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}) \\ & = (\{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathbf{v}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1})\} \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{c}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0})) \\ & = (\{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathbf{v}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1})\} \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{c}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0})) \cap \bigcap_{2=1}^{n} \{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathbf{v}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1})\} \\ & = (\{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathbf{v}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1})\} \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{c}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0})) \cap \bigcap_{2=1}^{n} \{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathbf{v}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1})\} \\ & = (\{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathbf{v}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1})\} \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{c}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0})) \cap \bigcap_{2=1}^{n} \{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathbf{v}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1})\} \\ & = (\{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathbf{v}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1})\} \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{c}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1})) \cap \bigcap_{2=1}^{n} \{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathbf{v}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1})\} \\ & = (\{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathbf{v}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}) \text{ in} \\ & \cap \{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathbf{v}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}) \text{ in} \\ & \cap \{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathbf{v}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1})\} \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{c}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}) \\ & = (\{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathbf{v}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}) \text{ in} \\ & \cap \{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathbf{v}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}) \text{ in} \\ & \cap \{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathbf{v}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}) \text{ in} \\ & \cap \{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\mathbf{v}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}$$

```
= let \theta_1 = unify(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i^1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^1, \theta_0) in
                                          \begin{split} & \text{let } \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_j = \text{unify}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i^j, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^j, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{j-1}) \text{ in} \\ & \text{let } \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{j+1} = \text{unify}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i^{j+1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^{j+1}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_j) \text{ in} \end{split}
                                                                                 \bigcap_{i=j+2}^{n} \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\nu} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{i}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{i}) \} \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\vartheta_{j+1}) \text{ induction hypothesis and } \bigcap \text{ commutative} \}
            = let \theta_1 = unify(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i^1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^1, \theta_0) in
                                               let \theta_j = \mathrm{unify}(\pmb{\tau}_i^n, \pmb{\tau}_2^n, \theta_{n-1}) in
                                                                  \bigcap_{i=n+2}^{n} \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\nu} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{i}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{i}) \} \cap \gamma_{\mathsf{s}}^{\mathsf{r}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{n})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               (by recurrence when j + 1 = n)
            = let \theta_1 = \text{unify}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i^1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^1, \theta_0) in
                                               let \theta_i = \text{unify}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i^n, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^n, \theta_{n-1}) in
                                                                                                                         \text{\ensuremath{$\rangle$}} \text{\e
• In case (48.47.7), we have
                          \gamma_{e}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1} \doteq \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}) \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\vartheta_{0})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   \alpha \in V_{t} by test (48.47.7)
            = \gamma_{e}(\alpha \doteq \alpha) \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\vartheta_{0})
             = \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\nu} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\alpha) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\alpha) \} \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\vartheta_{0})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     \langle \text{ definition (48.51) of } \gamma_e \rangle
             = \mathbf{P}^{\nu} \cap \nu_{s}^{\mathsf{r}}(\vartheta_{0})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  ? because \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\nu} \triangleq V_{f} \rightarrow \mathbf{T} by (48.6)
            = \gamma_s^r(\theta_0)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    \langle \mathbf{P}^{\nu}  is the identity for \cap \mathcal{S}
            = \gamma_s^r(\text{unify}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_0))
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       ? definition of unify in case (48.47.7) \
• In case (48.47.11), we have
                          \gamma_{e}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1} \doteq \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}) \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{0})
            = \gamma_{e}(\alpha \doteq \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}) \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\vartheta_{0})
                                                     (where \alpha \in V_t by test (48.47.9), \alpha \notin \text{vars}[\tau_2] because test (48.47.8) is ff, \alpha \notin \text{dom}(\theta_0)
                                                          by test (48.47.10), and \tau_2 \notin V_{\ell} because test (48.47.1) is ff)
             = \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\nu} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\alpha) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_2) \} \cap \gamma_{\mathsf{s}}^{\mathsf{r}}(\vartheta_0)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     definition (48.51) of \gamma_e
             = \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\nu} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\alpha) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}) \} \cap \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\nu} \mid \forall \beta \in V_{\hat{x}} : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\vartheta_{0}(\beta)) \}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     definition (48.52) of \gamma_s^r
             = \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\nu} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\alpha) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}) \wedge \forall \beta \in V_{f} : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\vartheta_{0}(\beta)) \}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 7 definition of ∩ \
             = \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\vee} \mid \forall \beta \in V_{t} : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta) = [\![ \beta = \alpha \ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varrho}} \ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\vartheta_{0}(\beta)[\beta \in \mathtt{Vars}[\![ \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}]\!] \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}]) : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}[\alpha \leftarrow \vartheta_{0}(\beta)]) ]\!] \}
```

(definition (48.7) of assignment application where $\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\alpha)$ is replaced by its equal $\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_2)$ and for $\beta \in V_{t} \setminus \{\alpha\}$, $\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta)$ is replaced by its equal $\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\vartheta_0(\beta))$

$$=\{\boldsymbol{\varrho}\in \mathbf{P}^{\nu}\mid \forall \beta\in V_{t}: \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta)=[\![\beta=\alpha\ \widehat{\varepsilon}\ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\vartheta_{0}(\beta)[\beta\in\mathbb{Vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}]\!]\leftarrow\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}]\!]): \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\{\langle\alpha,\ \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}\rangle\}(\vartheta_{0}(\beta)))]\!]\}$$
 (by exercise 48.60 where $\boldsymbol{\tau}'=\vartheta_{0}(\beta)$)

$$= \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\nu} \mid \forall \beta \in V_{\hat{t}} : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta) = [\![\beta = \alpha \ \widehat{s} \ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\vartheta_{0}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2})) \ \hat{s} \ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\{\langle \alpha, \ \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2} \rangle\}(\vartheta_{0}(\beta)))]\!] \}$$
 (by exercise 48.62)
$$= \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\nu} \mid \forall \beta \in V_{\hat{t}} : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}([\![\beta = \alpha \ \widehat{s} \ \vartheta_{0}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}) \hat{s} \ (\{\langle \alpha, \ \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2} \rangle\} \cdot \vartheta_{0})(\beta)]\!] \}$$

? definitions the conditional and function composition • \

$$=\{\boldsymbol{\varrho}\in \mathbf{P}^{\vee}\mid\forall\beta\in\mathbb{V}_{t}:\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta)=\boldsymbol{\varrho}([\![\beta=\alpha\ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varsigma}}\ (\{\langle\alpha,\ \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}\rangle\}\circ\vartheta_{0})(\alpha)\ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varsigma}}\ (\{\langle\alpha,\ \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}\rangle\}\circ\vartheta_{0})(\beta)\]\!])\}$$

$$(\text{because }X\notin \mathsf{dom}(\vartheta_{0})\ \text{so}\ (\{\langle\alpha,\ \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}\rangle\}\circ\vartheta_{0})(\alpha)=\{\langle\alpha,\ \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}\rangle\}(\vartheta_{0}(\alpha))=\{\langle\alpha,\ \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}\rangle\}(\alpha)=\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2})$$

$$= \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{v} \mid \forall \beta \in V_{t} : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\{\langle \alpha, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2} \rangle\} \circ \vartheta_{0})(\beta)$$
 (definition of the conditional)
$$= \gamma_{s}^{r}\{\langle \alpha, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2} \rangle\} \circ \vartheta_{0}$$
 (definition (48.52) of γ_{s}^{r})
$$= \gamma_{s}^{r}(\operatorname{unify}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}, \vartheta_{0}))$$
 (48.47.11)

• In case (48.47.12), we have $\tau_1 = \alpha \in \text{dom}(\theta_0)$ by tests (48.47.9) and (48.47.10) and $\tau_2 \notin V_{\tilde{x}}$ because test (48.47.1) is ff.

• In case (48.47.13) we are back to (48.47.11) or (48.47.12) by the symmetry argument of remark 48.49.

The following lemma 11 shows that new entries are successively added to the table T_0 .

Lemma 11 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0 \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{v}}}$, if $\mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0)$ is (recursively) called from the main call $\mathsf{lcg}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0)$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T' \rangle = \mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0)$, then

preinvariant:
$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \wedge T_0 \in V_{\bar{t}} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$$
 (12) postinvariant: $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \wedge T' \in V_{\bar{t}} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \wedge \text{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}]\!] \subseteq \text{dom}(T') \wedge \forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(T_0) . T_0(\alpha) = T'(\alpha)$

Proof of Lemma 11 By induction on the sequence of calls to lub and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (48.68.2), ..., (48.68.4), and by case analysis on the conditional.

The first call at (48.68.12) satisfies the preinvariant of (48.39) because $\tau_1^0, \tau_2^0 \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$ by hypothesis and $T_0 = \emptyset \in V_{\ell} \to \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$;

Assuming that an intermediate call to $lub(\tau_1, \tau_2, T_0)$ satisfies the preinvariant (48.39), the proof that it satisfies the postinvariant (48.39) is by case analysis.

- In case (48.68.5), $\tau_j \in \mathbf{T}^v$ by hypothesis on the intermediate call, so $\tau_j^i \in \mathbf{T}^v$, i = 1, ..., n, j = 1, 2, by the test (48.68.1). Then we proceed by recurrence on the recursive calls.
 - For the basis i = 0, T_0 satisfies (48.39) by hypothesis on the intermediate call;
 - Assume, by recurrence hypothesis for $i \in [0, n[$, that $T_i \in V_t \to \mathbf{T}^v \times \mathbf{T}^v \wedge \forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(T_0)$. $T_0(\alpha) = T_i(\alpha)$. Then, by induction on the sequence of calls to lub, $\mathbf{\tau}^{i+1} \in \mathbf{T}^v$ and $T_{i+1} \in V_t \to \mathbf{T}^v \times \mathbf{T}^v \wedge \text{vars}[\![\mathbf{\tau}^{i+1}]\!] \subseteq \text{dom}(T_{i+1}) \wedge \forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(T_i)$. $T_i(\alpha) = T_{i+1}(\alpha)$. By transitivity, $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(T_0)$. $T_0(\alpha) = T_{i+1}(\alpha)$.

By recurrence for $i=n, T'=T_n$ at (48.68.5) satisfies (48.39) because $\boldsymbol{\tau}^i \in \mathbf{T}^v$, $i=1,\ldots,n$, implies $f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\tau}^n) \in \mathbf{T}^v$ and $\text{vars}[f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\tau}^n)] = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{vars}[\boldsymbol{\tau}^i]$;

- The case (48.68.7) is trivial because $\beta \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$, $T' = T_0$, and $\beta \in \text{dom}(T_0)$;
- In case (48.68.9), $T_0 \in V_{\bar{t}} \to \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$ by hypothesis, $\beta \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$, and $\beta \in V_{\bar{t}} \setminus \text{dom}(T_0)$ by the test (48.68.8) so $T' = \langle \mathbf{\tau}_1, \mathbf{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0] \in V_{\bar{t}} \to \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$ and for all $\alpha \in \text{dom}(T_0)$, $\alpha \neq \beta$ so $T'(\alpha) = \langle \mathbf{\tau}_1, \mathbf{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0](\alpha) = T_0(\alpha)$. Moreover $\beta \in \text{Vars}[\![\langle \mathbf{\tau}_1, \mathbf{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0]]\!] = \text{Vars}[\![T']\!]$. \square

Remark Lemma 11 shows that T_0 can be declared as a variable local to lcg and global to lub, which would be unitialized to \emptyset and updated by an assignment at (48.68.9).

For $T \in V_{t} \to \mathbf{T}^{v} \times \mathbf{T}^{v}$, let us define, when $\alpha \in \text{dom}(T)$,

$$\overline{\zeta}_1(T)\alpha \triangleq |\det \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle = T(\alpha) \text{ in } \boldsymbol{\tau}_1$$

$$\overline{\zeta}_2(T)\alpha \triangleq |\det \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle = T(\alpha) \text{ in } \boldsymbol{\tau}_2$$

$$(13)$$

(which is undefined when $\alpha \notin \text{dom}(T)$ in which case (48.30) applies, in particular when $T = \emptyset$). The following lemma 14 shows that table T_0 maintains two substitutions $\overline{\zeta}_1(T)$ and $\overline{\zeta}_1(T)$ which can be used to instantiate the term resulting from the call to the parameters.

Lemma 14 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}$ and $T_0 \in \wp(V_{\bar{t}} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu})$, if $\mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0)$ is (recursively) called from the main call $\mathsf{lcg}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0)$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T' \rangle = \mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0)$, then

$$\bar{\zeta}_1(T')\boldsymbol{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\zeta}_2(T')\boldsymbol{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2$$
 (15)

Proof of Lemma 14 The preinvariant is tt. By induction on the sequence of calls to lub and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (48.68.2), ..., (48.68.4), and by case analysis for the conditional.

- In case (48.68.5), by recurrence and induction on the sequence of recursive calls to leq, we have $\overline{\zeta}_1(T_i)\boldsymbol{\tau}^i = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^i$ and $\overline{\zeta}_2(T_i)\boldsymbol{\tau}^i = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^i$ for all $i \in [1,n]$. By the postinvariant of (48.39), we have $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(T_i)$. $T_0(\alpha) = T_{i+1}(\alpha)$. It follows, by (13) that $\forall \alpha \in \text{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}^i]\!] \subseteq \text{dom}(T_i)$. $T_i(\alpha) = T_{i+1}(\alpha)$. Therefore, by (13), $\forall \alpha \in \text{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}^i]\!]$. $\theta_j(T_{i+1})(\boldsymbol{\tau}^i) = \theta_j(T_i)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^i)$. It follows by (48.30) that $\theta_j(T_n)(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1,\boldsymbol{\tau}^2,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\tau}^n)) = f(\theta_j(T_n)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1),\theta_j(T_n)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^2),\ldots,\theta_j(T_n)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^n)) = f(\theta_j(T_1)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1),\theta_j(T_2)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^2),\ldots,\theta_j(T_n)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^n)) = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\tau}_i^n) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_i,\ j=1,2;$
- In case (48.68.7), (15) directly follows from $\tau = \beta$, $T' = T_0$, $\beta \in \text{dom}(T_0)$, $T_0(\beta) = \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$, and (13);
- In case (48.68.9), $\bar{\zeta}_j(T')\boldsymbol{\tau} = \vartheta_j(\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0])\beta = \text{if } \beta \in \text{dom}(T) \text{ then let } \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1', \, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2' \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0](\beta) \text{ in } \boldsymbol{\tau}_j' \text{ else } \alpha = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j, \, j = 1, 2.$

 $lgc(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)$ computes an upper bound of $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}_2$.

Lemma 16 For all
$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}$$
, the lgc algorithm terminates with $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_1]_{=^{\nu}} \leq_{=^{\nu}} [\lg c(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)]_{=^{\nu}}$ and $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_2]_{=^{\nu}} \leq_{=^{\nu}} [\lg c(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)]_{=^{\nu}}$.

Proof of Lemma 16 The termination proof of $lub(\tau_1, \tau_2, T_0)$ is by structural induction on τ_1 (or τ_2). So the main call $lub(\tau_1, \tau_2, \emptyset)$ at (48.68.12) does terminate.

Lemma 16 follows by definition of the infimum \overline{Q}^{ν} in cases (48.68.11).

Otherwise, at (48.68.12), $\lg c(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2) = \boldsymbol{\tau}$ where $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T \rangle = \mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \varnothing)$. By (48.42), $\overline{\zeta}_j(T)\boldsymbol{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j, j = 1, 2$. So by exercise 48.16, $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_j]_{=^{\nu}} \leq_{=^{\nu}} [\boldsymbol{\tau}]_{=^{\nu}} = [\lg c(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)]_{=^{\nu}}$.

Let $[\boldsymbol{\tau}']_{=^{\nu}}$ be an upper bound of $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_1]_{=^{\nu}}$ and $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_2]_{=^{\nu}}$ i.e. $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \leq_{=^{\nu}} \boldsymbol{\tau}'$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \leq_{=^{\nu}} \boldsymbol{\tau}'$ so that, by theorem 48.31, there exists substitutions ϑ_1 and ϑ_2 such that $\vartheta_1(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1$ and $\vartheta_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2$. We must prove that $[\lg c(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)]_{=^{\nu}} \leq_{=^{\nu}} [\boldsymbol{\tau}']_{=^{\nu}}$ that is, by theorem 48.31, that there exist a substitution ϑ' such that $\vartheta'(\lg c(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)) = \boldsymbol{\tau}'$.

We modify the lub algorithm into lub' (which calls lub) as given in figure 18 to construct this substitution θ' given any upper bound τ' .

Example 19 The assumption (17.13) prevents a call like lub' $(f(a, b), f(b, a), \emptyset, f(\alpha, \alpha), \varepsilon, \emptyset)$ where $f(\alpha, \alpha)$ is not an upper bound of $\{f(a, b), f(b, a)\}$.

```
Example 20 For \tau_1 = f(g(a), g(g(a)), g(a), b, b), \tau_2 = f(g(b), g(h(b)), g(b), a, a) and \tau' = f(g(a), g(h(b)), g(h(b)), g(h(b)), g(h(b)), g(h(b))
f(q(\alpha), \beta, q(\alpha), \gamma, U), we have
lub'(f(g(a), g(g(a)), g(a), b, b), f(g(b), g(h(b)), g(b), a, a), \emptyset, f(g(\alpha), \beta, g(\alpha), \gamma, U), \varepsilon)
           lub'(q(a), q(b), \emptyset, q(\alpha), \varepsilon)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      (17.2b)
                       lub'(a, b, \emptyset, \alpha, \varepsilon)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      (17.2b)
                        = \langle \beta, \{ \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle \}, \{ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \} \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          (17.9)
            = \langle g(\beta), \{\langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle\}, \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle\} \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      (17.5b)
           lub'(g(g(a)), g(h(b)), \{\langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle\}, \beta, \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle\})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      (17.3b)
                        lub(g(a), h(b), \{\langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle\})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       (17.2a)
                        = \langle \gamma, \{ \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \} \rangle
            = \langle g(\gamma), \{ \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \}, \{ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle \} \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      (17.5a)
            \mathsf{lub}'(g(a), g(b), \{\langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \}, g(\alpha), \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle \})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      (17.4b)
                       lub'(a, b, \{\langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \}, \alpha, \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle \})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          (17.6)
                        = \langle \beta, \{ \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \rangle \}, \{ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle \} \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           (17.7)
            = \langle g(\beta), \{\langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \rangle \}, \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle \} \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      (17.5b)
            lub'(b, a, \{\langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \}, \gamma, \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle \})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           (17.8)
            =\langle \alpha, \{\langle \alpha, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle, \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \rangle\}, \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle, \langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle \} \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           (17.9)
           lub'(b, a, {{\langle \alpha, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle, \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \rangle}, U, {\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle, \langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (17.8)
           = \langle \alpha, \{ \{ \langle \alpha, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle, \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \} \}, \{ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \rangle, \langle U, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \} \}
\alpha\rangle\}\rangle
= \langle f(g(\beta), g(\gamma), g(\beta), \alpha, \alpha), \{\langle \alpha, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle, \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \rangle \}, \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle \}
\alpha, \langle U, \alpha \rangle}
so that \tau = f(g(\beta), g(\gamma), g(\beta), \alpha, \alpha), T = \{\langle \alpha, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle, \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \rangle\}, and \theta' = \{\langle \alpha, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle, \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \rangle\}
\beta, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle, \langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle, \langle U, \alpha \rangle}. Let us check that
1. \vartheta'(\tau') = \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle, \langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle, \langle U, \alpha \rangle\} (f(g(\alpha), \beta, g(\alpha), \gamma, U)) = f(g(\beta), g(\gamma), g(\beta), \alpha, \alpha)
              = \tau;
2. \overline{\varsigma}_1(T) = \overline{\varsigma}_1(\{\langle \alpha, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle, \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \}) = \{\langle \alpha, b \rangle, \langle \beta, a \rangle, \langle \gamma, g(a) \rangle \};
3. \overline{\varsigma}_1(T)(\tau) = \{\langle \alpha, b \rangle, \langle \beta, a \rangle, \langle \gamma, g(a) \rangle\} (f(g(\beta), g(\gamma), g(\beta), \alpha, \alpha)) = f(g(a), g(g(a)), g(a), b, b) = f(g(a), g(a), g(a), b, b) = f(g(a), g(a), g(a), g(a), b, b) = f(g(a), g(a), g
               \boldsymbol{\tau}_1;
4. \bar{\zeta}_2(T) = \bar{\zeta}_2(\{\langle \alpha, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle, \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \}) = \{\langle \alpha, a \rangle, \langle \beta, b \rangle, \langle \gamma, h(b) \rangle \};
5. \overline{\varsigma}_2(T)(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = \{\langle \alpha, a \rangle, \langle \beta, b \rangle, \langle \gamma, h(b) \rangle\} (f(g(\beta), g(\gamma), g(\beta), \alpha, \alpha)) = f(g(b), g(h(b)), g(b), a, a) = f(g(b), g(h(b)), g(b), a, a) = f(g(b), g(h(b)), g(h(b)), g(h(b)), g(h(b)), g(h(b)) = f(g(b), g(h(b)), g(h(b)),
```

We must show that lub' and lub compute the same result τ .

```
Lemma 21 For all \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\tau}'' \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}, T_0, T, T'' \in \wp(V_{\bar{t}} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}), and \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_0, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}' \in V_{\bar{t}} \nrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}, if \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}' \rangle = \mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_0) and \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}'', T'' \rangle = \mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0) then \boldsymbol{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\tau}'' and T = T''. \square
```

Proof of Lemma 21 Any execution trace of lub'($\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_0$) can be abstracted into an execution trace of lub($\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0$) simply by ignoring the input ϑ_0 , the resulting substitution ϑ' , ignoring the program point (17.a) and mapping (17.2a), ..., (17.5a) and (17.2b), ..., (17.5b) to the program point (48.68.2), ..., (48.68.5). The proof is by induction on the calls to lub and lub' which are synchronous in the two traces. The point is that the result $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T \rangle$ of a call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle = \text{lub'}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_0)$ does not depend during its computation on the parameters $\boldsymbol{\tau}'$, and ϑ_0 . An exception is the test (17.a) but the two alternative yield the same result. (17.2a), ..., (17.4a) is identical to (48.68.2), ..., (48.68.4) while, by induction on the sequence of calls to lub' (17.2b), ..., (17.4b) is abstracted to that of (48.68.2), ..., (48.68.4). It follows that $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T \rangle$ at (48.68.12) is equal to $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T \rangle$ at (17.14).

The following lemma 22 proves the well-typing of algorithm lub'.

```
Lemma 22 For all \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\tau}' \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}, T_0 \in \wp(V_{\bar{t}} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu}), and \vartheta_0, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 \in V_{\bar{t}} \to \mathbf{T}^{\nu}, if lub'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_0) is (recursively) called from the main call lub'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \varepsilon) with hypothesis \vartheta_1(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0 \wedge \vartheta_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, then the case analysis in the definition of lub' is complete (i.e., there is no missing case) and \exists \gamma \in V_{\bar{t}}. \boldsymbol{\tau}' = \gamma at (17.6) and (17.8).
```

Proof of Lemma 22 Notice that Lemmas 11, 14, and 16 are valid for lub' because they do not involve the extra parameters τ' , θ_0 or result θ' . The proof is by case analysis.

- For (17.1), the only possible cases for τ' are (17.a) and (17.b), by definition (48.2) of terms with variables.
- For (17.6) and (17.8), the test (17.1) is false so, by the preinvariant of lemma 11 and definition (48.2) of terms with variables, at least one τ_j , j = 1, 2 of τ_1 or τ_2 is a variable. Then τ' must be a variable because otherwise $\tau' = g(\tau'_1, \dots, \tau'_m)$ so that it is impossible that $\theta_j(\tau') = \tau_j$ be a variable.

The following lemma 23 shows that variables recorded in T_0 are for nonmatching subterms only.

Lemma 23 For all
$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}$$
, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2} \in \mathbf{T}^{v}$ and $T_{0} \in \wp(V_{\underline{t}} \times \mathbf{T}^{v} \times \mathbf{T}^{v})$, if $\mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}, T_{0})$ is (recursively) called from the main call $\mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}, \varnothing)$, then for all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}', \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}'^{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}'^{n}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}', \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}'^{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}'^{n} \in \mathbf{T}^{v}$, if $\exists f \in \mathbf{F}_{n} : \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}' = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}'^{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}'^{n}) \land \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}' = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}'^{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}'^{n})$ then $\forall \beta \in \mathsf{dom}(T_{0}) : T_{0}(\beta) \neq \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}', \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}' \rangle$.

Proof of Lemma 23 Let us prove the contraposition, that is, "if $\exists \beta \in \text{dom}(T_0)$. $T_0(\beta) = \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_2', \boldsymbol{\tau}_1' \rangle$ then $\forall f \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{F}}_n$. $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1' \neq f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1'^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1'^n) \vee \boldsymbol{\tau}_2' \neq f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_2'^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2'^n)$ ".

The proof is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub and lemma 23 is obviously true for the initial value of $T_0 = \emptyset$. Then observe that the only modification to the parameter T_0 in calls to lub is (48.68.9) for which (48.68.1) is false so that the returned T' is $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0]$ with $\neg(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1 = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^n) \wedge \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_2^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^n))$. This property is preserved by the recursive calls (17.2a) to (17.4a) for T_n returned at (17.5a) as well as for the unmodified T_0 returned at (17.7). By induction, lemma 23 holds for all calls from the main call (17.14).

Lemma 24 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}'$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}' \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{v}$, T_{0} , $T \in V_{\ell} \rightarrow (\boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{v} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{v})$, and $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{1}$, $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{2}$, $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}' \in V_{\ell} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{v}$, if $\mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}, T_{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{0})$ is (recursively) called from the main call $\mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\varnothing}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}', \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$ with hypothesis $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{1}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0} \wedge \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{2}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}' \rangle$, then

$$(\exists \beta \in \mathsf{dom}(T_0) \ . \ T_0(\beta) = \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \ \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle \wedge \boldsymbol{\tau}' = \gamma) \Rightarrow (\gamma \in \mathsf{dom}(\vartheta_0) \wedge \vartheta_0(\gamma) = \beta)$$

Proof of Lemma 24 We prove the stronger property that the following preinvariant and postinvariant do hold for any call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle = \text{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_0)$.

preinvariant
$$(\exists \beta \in \text{dom}(T_0) : T_0(\beta) = \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle \wedge \boldsymbol{\tau}' = \gamma) \Rightarrow (\gamma \in \text{dom}(\theta_0) \wedge \theta_0(\gamma) = \beta)$$
 (25) postinvariant $(\exists \beta \in \text{dom}(T) : T(\beta) = \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle \wedge \boldsymbol{\tau}' = \gamma) \Rightarrow (\gamma \in \text{dom}(\theta') \wedge \theta'(\gamma) = \beta)$

The proof is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub' and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (17.2b), (17.3b),..., (17.4b), and by case analysis for the conditional.

- For the basis, the preinvariant of (25) holds vacuously at the first call (17.14) because $T_0 = \emptyset$;
- For the induction step, we proceed by case analysis.
 - In case (17.5a), there is no recursive call to lub' and, by lemma 23, the premise of the postin-variant of (25) is ff so it does hold vacuously.
 - In case (17.5b), the first recursive call at (17.2a) satisfies the preinvariant because this preinvariant is assumed to hold for the intermediate call at (17).

In case n = 0, this is also the postinvariant.

Otherwise n > 0. Assume, by recurrence hypothesis, that the preinvariant holds before the call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^i, T_i, \vartheta_i \rangle = \text{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^i, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^n, T_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_i', \vartheta_{i-1})$. Then, by induction hypothesis on the sequence of calls to lub', the postinvariant (25) holds for T_i and ϑ_i , which is the preinvariant of the next recursive call, if any.

It follows, by recurrence, that the postinvariant of (25) holds at (17.5b) for T_n and ϑ_n .

- In case (17.7), we know by the test (17.6) and lemma 22 that $\exists \beta \in \text{dom}(T_0)$. $T_0(\beta) = \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle \wedge \boldsymbol{\tau}' = \gamma$ so by the preinvariant $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0)$ and $\vartheta_0(\gamma) = \beta$. Because $T = T_0$ and $\vartheta' = \vartheta_0$, we have $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta') \wedge \vartheta'(\gamma) = \beta$;

- In case (17.9), $\vartheta' = \beta[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0]$, which implies the postinvariant (25).

Let us prove the converse of lemma 24.

Lemma 26 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}'$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}'$, $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{v}$, T_{0} , $T \in \wp(V_{\ell} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{v} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{v})$, and $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{1}$, $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{2}$, $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}' \in V_{\ell} \to \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{v}$, if lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}, T_{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{0})$ is (recursively) called from the main call lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}', \varepsilon)$ with hypothesis $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{1}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0} \wedge \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{2}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}' \rangle$, then

$$\forall \beta, \gamma \in V_{\bar{t}} : (\gamma \in \mathsf{dom}(\vartheta_0) \land \vartheta_0(\gamma) = \beta) \Rightarrow (\beta \in \mathsf{dom}(T_0)).$$

Proof of Lemma 26 We prove the stronger property that the following preinvariant and postinvariant do hold for any call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle = \text{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_0)$.

preinvariant
$$\forall \beta, \gamma \in V_{\bar{t}}$$
 . $(\gamma \in \text{dom}(\theta_0) \land \theta_0(\gamma) = \beta) \Rightarrow (\beta \in \text{dom}(T_0))$ postinvariant $\forall \beta, \gamma \in V_{\bar{t}}$. $(\gamma \in \text{dom}(\theta') \land \theta'(\gamma) = \beta) \Rightarrow (\beta \in \text{dom}(T))$ (27)

The proof is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub' and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (17.2b), (17.3b),..., (17.4b), and by case analysis for the conditional.

- For the basis, $\theta_0 = \varepsilon$ so dom(θ_0) = \varnothing so the preinvariant (27) holds vacuously;
- The induction step is by case analysis.
 - In case (17.5a), there is no recursive call to lub' and $\vartheta' = f(\tau^1, ..., \tau^n)[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0]$. So if $\alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta') \setminus \{\gamma\}$ then the postinvariant follows from the preinvariant. For $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta')$, we have $\vartheta'(\gamma) = f(\tau^1, ..., \tau^n) \notin V_{\pi}$ so that the postcondition holds vacuously;
 - In case (17.5b), the preinvariant of the first recursive call (17.2a) holds by the preinvariant of (27) on the main call (17). Assuming the preinvariant holds for a following recursive call, the postinvariant holds by induction on the sequence of calls to lub', which is also the preinvariant of the next call. By recurrence the postinvariant of (27) holds for $\theta' = \theta_n$ and $T = T_n$ after the last call at (17.5b);
 - In case (17.7), we have γ ∈ dom(θ') ∧ θ' (γ) = β so the preinvariant (27) on the intermediate call trivially implies the postinvariant;
 - In case (17.9), $T = \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0]$ and $\vartheta' = \beta [\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0]$. If $\alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta') \setminus \{\gamma\}$ and $\vartheta'(\alpha) = \beta'$ then $\alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0)$ and $\vartheta_0(\alpha) = \beta'$ then, by the preinvariant on the intermediate call, $\beta' \in \text{dom}(T_0) = \text{dom}(T)$. Otherwise, for $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta')$, we have $\vartheta'(\gamma) = \beta [\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0](\gamma) = \beta$ with $\beta \in \text{dom}(\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0]) = \text{dom}(T)$.

The next lemma 28 shows how the term variables are used.

Lemma 28 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}'$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}'$, $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$, T_{0} , $T \in \wp(V_{\bar{t}} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu})$, and ϑ_{0} , ϑ_{1}^{0} , ϑ_{2}^{0} , $\vartheta' \in V_{\bar{t}} \to \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$, if lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}, T_{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_{0})$ is (recursively) called from the main call lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}'_{0}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$ with hypothesis $\vartheta_{1}^{0}(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_{0}) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0} \wedge \vartheta_{2}^{0}(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_{0}) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle$, then

preinvariant
$$\operatorname{vars} [\![\vartheta_0(V_{\tilde{t}})]\!] \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T_0)$$
 (29)
postinvariant $\operatorname{vars} [\![\vartheta'(V_{\tilde{t}})]\!] \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T)$

(where
$$\theta_0(S) = \{\theta_0(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in S\}$$
 and $vars[S] = \bigcup \{vars[\tau] \mid \tau \in S\}$.)

Proof of Lemma 28 The proof is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub' and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (17.2b), (17.3b),..., (17.4b), and by case analysis for the conditional.

- $\bullet \ \ \text{For the first call at (17.14), } \\ \vartheta_0 = \pmb{\varepsilon} \text{ so vars} [\![\vartheta_0(V_{\!_{\vec{u}}})]\!] = \text{vars} [\![\varnothing]\!] = \varnothing \subseteq \text{dom}(T_0);$
- Otherwise the preinvariant of (29) holds for T_0 and ϑ_0 at the first recursive call (17.2b). Assume, by induction hypothesis, that $\text{vars}[\![\vartheta_{i-1}(V_t)]\!] \subseteq \text{dom}(T_{i-1})$ before the i^{th} call (17.2b),..., (17.4b), $i \in [1,n]$. By induction hypothesis on the sequence of calls to lub', we have $\text{vars}[\![\vartheta_i(V_t)]\!] \subseteq \text{dom}(T_i)$ after that call, which is also the preinvariant of the next call, if any. By recurrence, $\text{vars}[\![\vartheta'(V_t)]\!] = \text{vars}[\![\vartheta_n(V_t)]\!] \subseteq \text{dom}(T_n) = \text{dom}(T)$ in case the call (17) to lub' terminates at (17.5b);
- If lub' terminates at (17.5a), there are two cases.
 - $\text{ vars} \llbracket \vartheta'(\{\gamma\}) \rrbracket = \text{vars} \llbracket f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n) [\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0](\{\gamma\}) \rrbracket = \text{vars} \llbracket f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n) \rrbracket = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{ vars} \llbracket \boldsymbol{\tau}^i \rrbracket.$ By lemma 11 and 21, we have $\text{vars} \llbracket \boldsymbol{\tau}^i \rrbracket \subseteq \text{dom}(T_i)$, $i=1,\dots,n$ and $\text{dom}(T_i) \subseteq \text{dom}(T_n)$ so that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{vars} \llbracket \boldsymbol{\tau}^i \rrbracket \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{dom}(T_i) \subseteq \text{dom}(T_n) = \text{dom}(T)$;
 - $\text{ wars} \llbracket \vartheta'(V_t \setminus \{\gamma\}) \rrbracket = \text{ wars} \llbracket f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n) [\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0] (V_t \setminus \{\gamma\}) \rrbracket = \text{ wars} \llbracket \vartheta_0(V_t \setminus \{\gamma\}) \rrbracket \subseteq \text{ wars} \llbracket \vartheta_0(V_t) \rrbracket$ which, by the preinvariant (29), is included in $\text{dom}(T_0)$. By lemma 11 and 21, $\text{dom}(T_{i-1}) \subseteq \text{dom}(T_i)$, $i=1,\dots,n$ so that, by transitivity, $\text{dom}(T_0) \subseteq \text{dom}(T_n) = \text{dom}(T)$. Therefore $\text{wars} \llbracket \vartheta'(V_t \setminus \{\gamma\}) \rrbracket \subseteq \text{dom}(T)$;
 - Because $\vartheta'(V_{\tilde{t}}) = \vartheta'(\{\gamma\}) \cup \vartheta'(V_{\tilde{t}} \setminus \{\gamma\})$, we conclude that $\operatorname{vars}[\![\vartheta'(V_{\tilde{t}})]\!] = \operatorname{vars}[\![\vartheta'(\{\gamma\})]\!] \cup \operatorname{vars}[\![\vartheta'(V_{\tilde{t}} \setminus \{\gamma\})]\!] \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta') \cup \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta') = \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta')$;
- If lub' terminates at (17.7) then the postinvariant directly follows from the preinvariant of (29) because $T = T_0$ and $\theta' = \theta_0$;
- Finally, if lub' terminates at (17.9), there are two subcases.
 - We have $\operatorname{vars}[\theta'(\{\gamma\})] = \operatorname{vars}[\beta[\gamma \leftarrow \theta_0](\{\gamma\})] = \operatorname{vars}[\{\beta\}] = \{\beta\} \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \ \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0]) = \operatorname{dom}(T);$

- Moreover $\operatorname{Vars} [\![\vartheta'(V_t \setminus \{\gamma\})]\!] = \operatorname{Vars} [\![\beta[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0](V_t \setminus \{\gamma\})]\!] = \operatorname{Vars} [\![\vartheta_0(V_t \setminus \{\gamma\})]\!] \subseteq \operatorname{Vars} [\![\vartheta_0(V_t)]\!] \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T_0),$ by the preinvariant of (29). But $\operatorname{dom}(T_0) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T_0) \cup \{\beta\} = \operatorname{dom}(\langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0]) = \operatorname{dom}(T),$ proving the postinvariant of vars-codom-substitution by transitivity;
- We conclude because vars preserves joins.

The following series of lemmas aims at proving that the substitution built by lub' is the one allowing us to prove that lub returns the least common generalization.

Lemma 30 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}'$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}' \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{v}$, T_{0} , $T \in \wp(V_{t} \times \boldsymbol{T}^{v} \times \boldsymbol{T}^{v})$, and ϑ_{0} , ϑ_{1}^{0} , ϑ_{2}^{0} , $\vartheta' \in V_{t} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{T}^{v}$, if $lub'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}, T_{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_{0})$ is (recursively) called from the main call $lub'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}'_{0}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$ with hypothesis $\vartheta_{1}^{0}(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_{0}) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0} \wedge \vartheta_{2}^{0}(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_{0}) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle$, then

$$\vartheta_1^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \wedge \vartheta_2^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2. \tag{31}$$

Proof of Lemma 30 For the first call at (17.14), (31) holds by the hypothesis $\vartheta_1^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0 \wedge \vartheta_2^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0$ on the actual parameters. Assume that $\vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$, j = 1, 2 before an intermediate call (17). Then (31) holds before the recursive calls (17.2b), ..., (17.4b) because the induction hypothesis $\vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}' = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1', \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_n')$ by the test (17.a) which is false, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_j = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_j', \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_j')$ by the test (17.1) which is true, and (48.30) imply that $\vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \vartheta_j^0(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1', \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_n')) = f(\vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1'), \dots, \vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}_n')) = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_j^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_j') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$ and therefore $\vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j'$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. We conclude by induction on the sequence of calls to lub'.

Lemma 32 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}', \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{T}^{v}, T_{0}, T \in \wp(V_{\bar{t}} \times \mathbf{T}^{v} \times \mathbf{T}^{v})$, and $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{1}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{2}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}' \in V_{\bar{t}} \to \mathbf{T}^{v}$, if lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}, T_{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{0})$ is (recursively) called from the main call lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\varnothing}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}', \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$ with hypothesis $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{1}^{0}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0} \wedge \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{2}^{0}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}' \rangle$, then

preinvariant
$$\forall j = 1, 2 . \ \forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\theta_0) . \ \theta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_0)(\theta_0(\alpha))$$
 (33)
postinvariant $\forall j = 1, 2 . \ \forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\theta') . \ \theta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T)(\theta'(\alpha)) \land \overline{\varsigma}_j(T)(\tau) = \tau_j$

Proof of Lemma 32 Notice again that lemma 11, 14, and 16 are valid for lub' because they do not involve the extra parameters τ' , ϑ_0 , or result ϑ' . It follows, by lemma 14, that the postinvariant of (33) satisfies $\bar{\zeta}_j(T)(\tau) = \tau_j$, j = 1, 2. The proof of (33) is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub' and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (17.2b), (17.3b),..., (17.4b), and by case analysis for the conditional.

- For the basis, the preinvariant (33) holds vacuously for the main call (17.14) because $\theta_0 = \varepsilon$ so $dom(\theta_0) = \emptyset$;
- Assume that the preinvariant (33) holds before any intermediate call (17) of lub'. We must show that it holds before all recursive calls (17.2b), ..., (17.4b).

By hypothesis on the intermediate call, we have $\forall j = 1, 2$. $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta')$. $\vartheta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_0)(\vartheta'(\alpha))$ at the first recursive call (17.2b).

Assume that $\forall j=1,2$. $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_{i-1})$. $\vartheta_j^0(\alpha)=\overline{\varsigma}_j(T_{i-1})(\vartheta_{i-1}(\alpha))$ before the i^{th} recursive call. By induction on the sequence of calls to lub', the postinvariant of (33) holds. Therefore we have $\forall j=1,2$. $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_i)$. $\vartheta_j^0(\alpha)=\overline{\varsigma}_j(T_i)(\vartheta_i(\alpha))$ before the $i+1^{\text{th}}$ call. By recurrence, all recursive calls do satisfy (33).

We must also show that the intermediate call satisfies the postinvariant of (33). We proceed by cases.

- In case (17.5b), we have $T = T_n$ and ϑ_n which satisfy the postinvariant of (33), as shown above.
- In case (17.5a), the postinvariant is $\forall j = 1, 2$. $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n)[\gamma \leftarrow \theta_0])$. $\theta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\zeta}_i(T_n)(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n)[\gamma \leftarrow \theta_0](\alpha))$.
 - $\begin{array}{l} \cdot \text{ If } \alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \setminus \{\gamma\}, \text{ we must show that } \vartheta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_n)(\vartheta_0(\alpha)). \\ \text{By lemma } 11, \ \forall \alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(T_{i-1}) \ . \ T_{i-1}(\alpha) = T_i(\alpha), \ i = 1, \ldots, n \text{ so that, by transitivity,} \\ \forall \alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(T_0) \ . \ T_0(\alpha) = T_n(\alpha). \ \text{Therefore, by (13), for all } \beta \in \operatorname{dom}(T_0), \ \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_0)\beta \triangleq \operatorname{let} \ \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle = T_0(\beta) \text{ in } \boldsymbol{\tau}_j = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_n)\beta. \text{ By lemma } 28, \text{ wars}[\![\vartheta_0(V_{\underline{\tau}})\!]\!] \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T_0) \text{ so, in particular, } \forall \alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \setminus \{\gamma\} \ . \ \text{vars}[\![\vartheta_0(\alpha)]\!] \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T_0). \text{ This implies that } \forall \alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \setminus \{\gamma\} \ . \ \forall \beta \in \text{ wars}[\![\vartheta_0(\alpha)]\!] \ . \ \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_0)\beta = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_n)\beta. \text{ By (48.30) and (48.30), we infer that } \forall \alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \setminus \{\gamma\} \ . \ \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_0)\boxtimes_{\theta}(\boxtimes) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_n)\boxtimes_{\theta}(\boxtimes). \text{ By the preinvariant of } (33), \text{ we have } \forall \alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \ . \ \vartheta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_0)(\vartheta_0(\alpha)). \text{ Therefore, by transitivity, } \vartheta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_n)(\vartheta_0(\alpha)). \end{array}$
 - · Otherwise $\alpha = \gamma$, in which case we must show that $\vartheta_j^0(\gamma) = \overline{\zeta}_j(T_n)(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n))$. By lemma 30, (48.42) of lemma 48.40, and (17.5a), we have $\vartheta_j^0(\gamma) = \vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j = \overline{\zeta}_j(T)(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = \overline{\zeta}_j(T)(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n))$.
- In case (17.7), the postinvariant of (31) immediately follows from the preinvariant because $T = T_0$ and $\theta' = \theta_0$;
- In case (17.9), we must show that $\forall j = 1, 2$. $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\beta[\gamma \leftarrow \theta_0])$. $\theta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\zeta}_j(\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0])(\beta[\gamma \leftarrow \theta_0](\alpha))$. There are two cases.
 - · If $\alpha = \gamma$ then we must prove that $\vartheta_j^0(\gamma) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0])(\beta)$, that is, by (13), $\vartheta_j^0(\gamma) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$. It is not possible that $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0)$ because otherwise, we would have $\forall \beta \in \text{dom}(T_0)$. $T_0(\beta) \neq \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle$ because the test (17.6) is ff and $\boldsymbol{\tau}' = \gamma \in V_{\ell}$ by lemma 22, which is in contradiction to (the contrapositive of) lemma 26. Therefore $\vartheta_0(\gamma) = \gamma$ by (48.30). It follows that we have to prove that $\vartheta_j^0(\vartheta_0(\gamma)) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$, which directly follows from the preinvariant of (31);
 - · Otherwise, $\alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0) \setminus \{\gamma\}$ and we must show that $\vartheta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(\langle \pmb{\tau}_1, \pmb{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0])(\vartheta_0(\alpha))$. The test (17.8) implies $\beta \notin \text{dom}(T_0)$ and so $\beta \notin \text{vars}[\![\vartheta_0(\alpha)]\!]$ because $\text{vars}[\![\vartheta_0(V_{\ell})]\!] \subseteq \text{dom}(T_0)$ by (29) of lemma 28. Therefore, by (13), $\forall \gamma \in \text{vars}[\![\vartheta_0(\alpha)]\!]$. $\overline{\varsigma}_j(T_0)(\gamma) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(\langle \pmb{\tau}_1, \pmb{\tau}_2 \rangle [T_0)(\gamma) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(\langle \pmb{\tau}$

 $\tau_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0])(\gamma)$. It follows, by (48.30) and (48.30), that $\overline{\varsigma}_j(T_0)(\vartheta_0(\alpha)) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(\langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0])(\vartheta_0(\alpha))$. We conclude, by the preinvariant (31) and transitivity that $\overline{\varsigma}_j(\langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0])(\vartheta_0(\alpha)) = \vartheta_i^0(\alpha)$.

Lemma 34 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}'$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}'$, $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$, T_{0} , $T \in \wp(V_{t} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu})$, and ϑ_{0} , ϑ_{1} , ϑ_{2} , $\vartheta' \in V_{t} \to \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$, if $\mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}, T_{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_{0})$ is (recursively) called from the main call $\mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}'_{0}, \varepsilon)$ with hypothesis $\vartheta_{1}(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_{0}) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0} \wedge \vartheta_{2}(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_{0}) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle$, then the following postinvariant holds after the call.

$$dom(\theta') = dom(\theta_0) \cup vars[[\tau']]$$
 (35)

Proof of Lemma 34 The proof of (35) is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub' and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (17.2b), (17.3b),..., (17.4b), and by case analysis for the conditional.

Consider any intermediate call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle = \mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$. We proceed by case analysis of the returned values $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle$.

- In case (17.5a), we have $\operatorname{dom}(\vartheta') = \operatorname{dom}(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n)[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0]) = \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \cup \{\gamma\} = \operatorname{do$
- In case (17.5b), we have $\operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_i) = \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_{i-1}) \cup \operatorname{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}^i]\!], i = 1, \ldots, n$, by induction hypothesis on the sequence of calls to lub'. It follows that $\operatorname{dom}(\vartheta') = \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_n) = \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n \operatorname{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}^i]\!] = \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \cup \operatorname{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}^i]\!];$
- In case (17.7), we have $\theta' = \beta[\gamma \leftarrow \theta_0]$ so $dom(\theta') = dom(\theta_0) \cup \{\gamma\} = dom(\theta_0) \cup \text{vars}[\tau']$ because $\tau' = \gamma$ by lemma 22;
- Finally, in case (17.9), $\operatorname{dom}(\vartheta') = \operatorname{dom}(\beta[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0]) = \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \cup \{\gamma\} = \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \cup \operatorname{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}']\!]$ because $\boldsymbol{\tau}' = \gamma$ by lemma 22.

Lemma 36 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\tau}'^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}'^{n-1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}'^n, \boldsymbol{\tau}^{m-1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}'^m \in \mathbf{T}^v, T_n, T_m \in \wp(V_{\tilde{x}} \times \mathbf{T}^v \times \mathbf{T}^v),$ consider any computation trace for the main call lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}'^0, \varepsilon, \varnothing)$ at (17.14) with hypothesis $\vartheta_1(\boldsymbol{\tau}'^0) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0 \wedge \vartheta_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}'^0) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0$. Assume that in this computation trace, a call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^k, T_k \rangle = \text{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_{k-1})$ is followed by a later call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^m, T_m \rangle = \text{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_{m-1})$ with the same parameters $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}_2$. Then $\boldsymbol{\tau}^k = \boldsymbol{\tau}^m$.

By lemma 21, this also holds for calls to lub' independently of the other two parameters.

Proof of Lemma 36 By (12) in lemma 11, lemma 21, (17.2a), ..., (17.4a), and (17.2b), ..., (17.4b) and recurrence, the successive calls of lub and lub' in the trace have parameters T_i and result T_{i+1}

with increasing domains and preservation of the previous values so that $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(T_k)$. $T_k(\alpha) = T_m(\alpha)$.

To prove that $\boldsymbol{\tau}^k = \boldsymbol{\tau}^m$, we consider the calls $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^k, T_k \rangle = \text{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_{k-1})$ and the later $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^m, T_m \rangle = \text{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_{m-1})$ to lub (by lemma 21, the reasoning is the same for lub'). The only possible executions are the following.

- If one execution follows the true branch of (48.68.1), so does the other because they have the same parameters. By recurrence and induction on the sequence of calls for (48.68.2), ..., (48.68.4) with $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(T_{i-1})$. $T_{i-1}(\alpha) = T_i(\alpha)$, i = 1, ..., n, we have $\boldsymbol{\tau}^k = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^{1^k}, ..., \boldsymbol{\tau}^{n^k}) = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^{1^m}, ..., \boldsymbol{\tau}^{n^m}) = \boldsymbol{\tau}^m$;
- If both calls go through (48.68.7) then obviously $\tau^k = \tau^m = \beta$;
- Both calls cannot go through (48.68.9) because the first ones (which is $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^k, T_k \rangle = \mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_{k-1})$) that goes through (48.68.9) will add β to the $\mathsf{dom}(T_k) \subseteq \mathsf{dom}(T_{m-1})$;
- If $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^k, T_k \rangle = \text{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_{k-1})$ goes through (48.68.9) then the call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^m, T_m \rangle = \text{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_{m-1})$ must go through (48.68.7) because $\text{dom}(T_k) \subseteq \text{dom}(T_{m-1})$ with $\beta \in \text{dom}(T_{m-1})$ so that $\boldsymbol{\tau}^k = \boldsymbol{\tau}^m = \beta$.

Lemma 37 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}'$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}'$, $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$, T_{0} , $T \in \wp(V_{\bar{t}} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu})$, and ϑ_{0} , ϑ_{1} , ϑ_{2} , $\vartheta' \in V_{\bar{t}} \to \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$, if $\mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}, T_{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_{0})$ is (recursively) called from the main call $\mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}'_{0}, \varepsilon)$ with hypothesis $\vartheta_{1}(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_{0}) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0} \wedge \vartheta_{2}(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_{0}) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle$, then the following postinvariant holds after the call.

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathsf{dom}(\vartheta_0) \ . \ \vartheta_0(\alpha) = \vartheta'(\alpha) \tag{38}$$

Proof of Lemma 37 The proof of (35) is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub' and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (17.2b), (17.3b),..., (17.4b), and by case analysis for the conditional.

Consider any intermediate call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle = \mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$. We proceed by case analysis of the returned values $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle$.

• In case (17.5a), we have $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\theta_0) \setminus \{\gamma\}$. $\theta_0(\alpha) = f(\tau^1, \dots, \tau^n)[\gamma \leftarrow \theta_0](\alpha) = \theta'(\alpha)$.

It may also be that $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0)$. Because the main call starts with ε and by (35) the domain of ϑ_0 grows along the calls, there must be a previous call that added γ to $\text{dom}(\vartheta_0)$. At that previous call, say $\text{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^k, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^k, T_0^k, \boldsymbol{\tau}'^k, \vartheta_0^k)$, we had $\boldsymbol{\tau}'^k = \gamma$ because (17.5a) and (17.9) are the two only cases where the domain of ϑ_0^k is extending with γ . By the initial hypothesis and (31) of lemma 30, $\vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}'^k) = \vartheta_j^0(\gamma) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j^k$. At the current call $\text{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_0)$ where $\boldsymbol{\tau}'_0 = \gamma$, we also have, by the initial hypothesis and (31) of lemma 30, that $\vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \vartheta_j^0(\gamma) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$. By transitivity $\boldsymbol{\tau}_j^k = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$. So the current and previous calls had the same first two parameters. It follows, by lemma 36, that they have the same results. This implies that necessarily, $\vartheta_0(\gamma) = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n)$.

- In case (17.5b), we have $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_{i-1})$. $\vartheta_{i-1}(\alpha) = \vartheta_i(\alpha), i = 1, ..., n$, by induction hypothesis on the sequence of calls to lub'. It follows, by transitivity, that $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0)$. $\vartheta_0(\alpha) = \vartheta_n(\alpha) = \vartheta'(\alpha)$;
- In case (17.7), for all $\alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0) \setminus \{\gamma\}$, we have $\vartheta_0(\alpha) = \beta[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0](\alpha) = \vartheta'(\alpha)$. We may also have $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0)$, in which case the test (17.6), lemma 22, and lemma 24 imply that $\vartheta_0(\gamma) = \beta$ so $\vartheta_0(\gamma) = \beta = \beta[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0](\gamma) = \vartheta'(\gamma)$;
- Finally, in case (17.9), it is not possible that $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0)$ because otherwise, we would have $\forall \beta \in \text{dom}(T_0)$. $T_0(\beta) \neq \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$ because the test (17.6) is ff and $\tau' = \gamma \in V_t$ by lemma 22, which is in contradiction to (the contrapositive of) lemma 26. It follows that $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0)$. $\vartheta_0(\alpha) = \beta[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0](\alpha) = \vartheta'(\alpha)$ because $\alpha \neq \gamma$.

Lemma 39 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}, T_0, T \in \wp(V_{\bar{t}} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu})$, and $\vartheta_0, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta' \in V_{\bar{t}} \nrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}$, if $\mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_0)$ is (recursively) called from the main call $\mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \varepsilon)$ with hypothesis $\vartheta_1(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0 \land \vartheta_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle$, then the following postinvariant holds after the call.

$$\vartheta'(\tau') = \tau \tag{40} \quad \Box$$

Proof of Lemma 39 The proof of (40) is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub' and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (17.2b), (17.3b),..., (17.4b), and by case analysis for the conditional.

Consider any intermediate call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle = \mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$. We proceed by case analysis of the returned values $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle$.

- In case (17.5a), we have $\vartheta'(\tau') = f(\tau^1, \dots, \tau^n)[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0](\gamma) = f(\tau^1, \dots, \tau^n) = \tau$;
- In case (17.5b), we handle (17.2b), ..., (17.4b) by recurrence.
 - For the basis at (17.2b), we have $dom(\theta_1) = dom(\theta_0) \cup vars[[\tau'_1]]$ by (35) of lemma 34, and $\theta_1(\tau'_1) = \tau^1$, by induction on the sequence of calls to lub';
 - Assume, by recurrence hypothesis, that for the i^{th} call (17.2b), ..., (17.4b), $i \in [1, n[$, we have

$$\operatorname{dom}(\theta_{i}) = \operatorname{dom}(\theta_{0}) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{i} \operatorname{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}'_{j}]\!] \wedge$$

$$\forall j \in [1, i] . \ \forall \alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(\theta_{j}) . \ \theta_{i}(\alpha) = \theta_{j}(\alpha) \wedge$$

$$\forall j \in [1, i] . \ \theta_{i}(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_{j}) = \theta_{j}(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_{j}) = \boldsymbol{\tau}^{j}$$

$$(41)$$

- At the next $i + 1^{th}$ call, we have
 - 1. By (35) of lemma 34 and recurrence hypothesis (41), $\operatorname{dom}(\theta_{i+1}) = \operatorname{dom}(\theta_i) \cup \operatorname{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}'_{i+1}]\!] = \operatorname{dom}(\theta_0) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^i \operatorname{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}'_j]\!] \in \operatorname{dom}(\theta_0) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{i+1} \operatorname{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}'_j]\!];$

- 2. By (38) of lemma 37, we have $\forall \alpha \in \mathsf{dom}(\vartheta_i)$. $\vartheta_i(\alpha) = \vartheta_{i+1}(\alpha)$ so that by recurrence hypothesis (41), $\forall j \in [1, i+1]$. $\forall \alpha \in \mathsf{dom}(\vartheta_i)$. $\vartheta_{i+1}(\alpha) = \vartheta_i(\alpha) = \vartheta_i(\alpha)$
- 3. By (1)., $\forall j \in [1, i+1]$. $\text{Vars}[\boldsymbol{\tau}'_j] \subseteq \text{dom}(\vartheta_j) \subseteq \text{dom}(\vartheta_{i+1})$ and by (2)., $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_j)$. $\vartheta_{i+1}(\alpha) = \vartheta_j(\alpha)$ so that, by (48.30) and (48.30), $\forall j \in [1, i]$. $\vartheta_{i+1}(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_j) = \vartheta_i(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_j) = \vartheta_j(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_j) = \boldsymbol{\tau}^j$. Moreover, $\vartheta_{i+1}(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_{i+1}) = \boldsymbol{\tau}^{i+1}$, by induction on the sequence of calls to lub'. Grouping all cases $j \in [1, i]$ and j = i+1 together, we have $\forall j \in [1, i+1]$. $\vartheta_{i+1}(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_j) = \vartheta_j(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_j) = \boldsymbol{\tau}^j$.

By recurrence, (41) holds for i = n. Therefore $\vartheta'(\tau') = \vartheta_n(f(\tau'_1, \dots, \tau'_n)) = f(\vartheta_n(\tau'_1), \dots, \vartheta_n(\tau'_n)) = f(\tau^1, \dots, \tau^n) = \tau$.

- In case (17.7), we have $\exists \beta \in \text{dom}(T_0)$. $T_0(\beta) = \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle \wedge \boldsymbol{\tau}' = \gamma$ so that by lemma 24, we have $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0) \wedge \vartheta_0(\gamma) = \beta$. It follows that $\vartheta'(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \vartheta_0(\gamma) = \beta = \boldsymbol{\tau}$.
- Finally, in case (17.9), by (17.9) and lemma 22, we have $\vartheta'(\tau') = \beta[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0](\gamma) = \beta = \tau$.

Proof of Theorem 48.103 By lemma 16, $[\lg c(\tau_1, \tau_2)]_{=v}$ is a $\leq_{=v}$ -upper bound of $[\tau_1]_{=v}$ and $[\tau_2]_{=v}$. By lemma 21, so is $[\lg c'(\tau_1, \tau_2)]_{=v}$.

Now if $[\boldsymbol{\tau}']_{=v}$ is any $\leq_{=v}$ -upper bound of $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_1]_{=v}$ and $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_2]_{=v}$ then by exercise 48.16, $\exists \theta_1, \theta_2$. $\theta_1(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \land \theta_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2$, which is the precondition (17.13). It follows that the call to lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \varnothing)$ terminates (by lemma 16 and 21) and returns $\langle \lg c'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2), T, \theta' \rangle$ such that $\theta'(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \lg c'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)$ (by (40) of lemma 39). By exercise 48.16, this means that $\lg c'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2) \leq_{=v} [\boldsymbol{\tau}']_{=v}$. This proves by lemma 21 that $\lg c(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)$ is the $\leq_{=v}$ -least upper bound of $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_1]_{=v}$ and $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_2]_{=v}$.

6 Bibliography

- [1] Patrick Cousot. *Asynchronous Iterative Methods for Solving a Fixed Point System of Monotone Equations in a Complete Lattice*. Tech. rep. R.R. 88. 15 p. Laboratoire IMAG, Université de Grenoble Alpes, Sept. 1977 (273, 286, 430, 738, 37).
- [2] Patrick Cousot and Radhia Cousot. "Automatic Synthesis of Optimal Invariant Assertions: Mathematical Foundations." *SIGART Newsl.* 64 (1977), pp. 1–12 (271, 430, 582, 37).
- [3] Michael Karr. "Affine Relationships Among Variables of a Program." *Acta Inf.* 6 (1976), pp. 133–151 (477, 480, 638, 643, 653, 12).
- [4] Gary A. Kildall. "A Unified Approach to Global Program Optimization." In *POPL*. ACM Press, 1973, pp. 194–206 (34, 111, 265, 273, 339, 364, 433, 523, 638, 653, 12).
- [5] Oleg Kiselyov. "Effects Without Monads: Non–Determinism Back to the Meta Language." In *ML/OCaml*. Vol. 294. EPTCS. 2017, pp. 15–40 (12).
- [6] Jens Knoop, Dirk Koschützki, and Bernhard Steffen. "Basic–Block Graphs: Living Dinosaurs?." In *CC*. Vol. 1383. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 1998, pp. 65–79 (638, 12).

- [7] Jens Knoop and Oliver Rüthing. "Constant Propagation on the Value Graph: Simple Constants and Beyond." In *CC*. Vol. 1781. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2000, pp. 94–109 (638, 653, 12).
- [8] Pedro López–García, Francisco Bueno, and Manuel V. Hermenegildo. "Automatic Inference of Determinacy and Mutual Exclusion for Logic Programs Using Mode and Type Analyses." *New Generation Comput.* 28.2 (2010), pp. 177–206 (12).
- [9] Markus Müller-Olm and Oliver Rüthing. "On the Complexity of Constant Propagation." In *ESOP*. Vol. 2028. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2001, pp. 190–205 (638, 653, 12).
- [10] Mark N. Wegman and F. Kenneth Zadeck. "Constant Propagation with Conditional Branches." *ACM Trans. Program. Lang. Syst.* 13.2 (1991), pp. 181–210 (416, 539, 638, 653, 12).

```
let rec lub'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_0) =
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (17)
       if \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^n) \wedge \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_2^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^n) then
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 (1)
                if \boldsymbol{\tau'} = \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in V_{\scriptscriptstyle ff} then
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  (a)
                        let \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^1, T_1 \rangle = \text{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^1, T_0) in
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               (2a)
                                let \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^2, T_2 \rangle = \text{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^2, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^2, T_1) in
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               (3a)
                                               let \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^n, T_n \rangle = \text{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^n, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^n, T_{n-1}) in
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               (4a)
                                                        \langle f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\tau}^n), T_n, f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\tau}^n)[\gamma \leftarrow \theta_0] \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               (5a)
                else /* \boldsymbol{\tau}' = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}'_n) */
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 (b)
                       let \langle \pmb{\tau}^1, T_1, \vartheta_1 \rangle = \mathrm{lub}'(\pmb{\tau}^1_1, \pmb{\tau}^1_2, T_0, \pmb{\tau}'_1, \vartheta_0) in
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               (2b)
                                let \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^2, T_2, \vartheta_2 \rangle = \text{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^2, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^2, T_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2', \vartheta_1) in
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               (3b)
                                              let \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^n, T_n, \vartheta_n \rangle = \text{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^n, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^n, T_{n-1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_n', \vartheta_{n-1}) in
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               (4b)
                                                       \langle f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\tau}^n), T_n, \vartheta_n \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               (5b)
        \mathsf{elsif} \ \exists \beta \in \mathsf{dom}(T_0) \ . \ T_0(\beta) = \langle \pmb{\tau}_1, \ \pmb{\tau}_2 \rangle \ \mathsf{then} \quad \  \  /^* \ \pmb{\tau}' = \gamma \in \mathbb{V}_{\!\scriptscriptstyle f} \ ^*/
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 (6)
                 \langle \beta, T_0, \vartheta_0 \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 (7)
        else let \beta \in V_t \setminus \text{dom}(T_0) in /* \boldsymbol{\tau'} = \gamma \in V_t */
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  (8)
                 \langle \beta, \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0], \, \beta [\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0] \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 (9)
let lcg'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2) =
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               (10)
        if {\boldsymbol{\tau}}_1 = {\overline{\varnothing}}^{\nu} then {\boldsymbol{\tau}}_2
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               (11)
        elsif \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 = \overline{\varnothing}^{\nu} then \boldsymbol{\tau}_1
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               (12)
        else /* assume \exists \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 . \vartheta_1(\pmb{\tau'}) = \pmb{\tau}_1 \wedge \vartheta_2(\pmb{\tau'}) = \pmb{\tau}_2 * /
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               (13)
                     \mathsf{let}\; \langle \pmb{\tau},\, T,\, \vartheta' \rangle = \mathsf{lub}'(\pmb{\tau}_1,\pmb{\tau}_2,\varnothing,\pmb{\tau}',\pmb{\varepsilon},\varnothing) \; \mathsf{in}\; \pmb{\tau} \quad /^*\; \vartheta'(\pmb{\tau}') = \pmb{\tau}^{\,*}/
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               (14)
```

Figure 18: The modified least upper bound algorithm