Mathematical proofs in complement of the book

Principles of Abstract Interpretation

(MIT Press, 2021)

Patrick Cousot
New York University
July 20, 2020

1 Mathematical proofs of chapter 4

Proof of Lemma 4.18 The lemma trivially holds if escape [S] = ff. Otherwise escape [S] = tt and the proof is by induction on the distance $\delta(S)$ of S to the root of the abstract syntax tree of P (where $\delta(P) = 0$).

- For Sl ::= Sl' S, δ (Sl') = δ (S) = δ (Sl)+1. So, in case escape [Sl] = tt, we have break-to [Sl] \neq after [Sl] by induction hypothesis. By def. escape [Sl] \triangleq escape [Sl'] \vee escape [S], there are two subcases.
 - If escape [Sl'] = tt then, on one hand, Sl ≠ { ... { ϵ }... }, after [Sl'] = at [S], break-to [Sl'] \triangleq break-to [Sl], at [S] \in in [S] by Lemma 4.15, so after [Sl'] \in in [S].

 On the other hand break-to [Sl'] \notin in [S] since otherwise break-to [Sl] = break-to [Sl'] \in in [S] \subseteq in [Sl] in contradiction with Lemma 4.17, proving break-to [Sl'] ≠ after [Sl'];
 - If escape[S] = tt then S ≠ { ... { ε }... }, after[S] = after[Sl], break-to[S] ≜ break-to[Sl], break-to[Sl] ≠ after[Sl] by induction hypothesis, so break-to[S] ≠ after[S].
- If $S ::= if^{\ell}$ (B) S_t then $escape[S_t] = escape[S] = tt$, $after[S_t] = after[S]$, $break-to[S_t] = break-to[S]$, and $break-to[S] \neq after[S]$ by induction hypothesis since $\delta(S_t) = \delta(S) + 1$, so $break-to[S_t] \neq after[S_t]$.
- The proof is similar for $S := if \ell$ (B) S_t else S_f and $S := \{ Sl \}$.

Mathematical proofs of chapter 41

Proof of Theorem 41.24 • For the statement list Sl ::= Sl' S, by (17.3) (following (6.13), and (6.14)), we have $\mathcal{S}^*[Sl] = \mathcal{S}^*[Sl'] \cup \{\langle \pi_1, \pi_2 \cap \pi_3 \rangle \mid \langle \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[Sl'] \land \langle \pi_1 \cap \pi_2, \pi_3 \rangle \}$ $\pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \}.$ - A first case is when $Sl' = \epsilon$ is empty. Then, $\alpha^{\exists l}_{\text{mod}}[\![\mathtt{Sl}]\!](\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathtt{Sl}]\!])L_b, L_e$ $= \left[\left[\left\{ \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{l} \left[\epsilon \right] \right\} \right] L_{b}, L_{e} \left\langle \pi_{0}, \pi_{1} \right\rangle \mid \left\langle \pi_{0}, \pi_{1} \right\rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \left[\epsilon \right] \right] \right]$ $\langle \operatorname{def.} (41.3) \operatorname{of} \alpha_{\text{use mod}}^{\exists l} [S] \text{ for Sl} ::= \epsilon S \rangle$ $= \bigcup \{\alpha_{\texttt{use}, \texttt{mod}}^l \ L_b, L_e \ \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ \pi_1 \rangle \ | \ \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ \pi_1 \rangle \ \in \ \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \ \epsilon \ \rrbracket \ \cup \ \{\langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ \pi_2 \ \widehat{} \ \pi_3 \rangle \ | \ \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ \pi_2 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \epsilon \ \rrbracket \}\}$ $= \bigcup \{\alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^l \ L_b, L_e \ \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \rangle \ | \ \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \rangle \ \in \ \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]\}\}$ $\langle (6.15) \text{ so that } \mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \epsilon \rrbracket = \{\langle \pi_0 \text{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \mid \pi_0 \text{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \in \mathbb{T}^+ \} \text{ and } \langle \pi_0 \text{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \in \mathbb{T}^+ \}$ $S^*[S]$ by (6.11) $= \alpha_{\text{use,mod}}^{\exists l} [\text{Sl}] (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^* [\![\text{S}]\!]) L_b, L_e$ $\langle \operatorname{def.}(41.3) \operatorname{of} \alpha_{use,mod}^{\exists l} [s] \rangle$ $= \alpha_{\text{disp.mod}}^{\exists l} [S] (S^*[S]) L_b, L_e$ (41.3) since after [S] = after [S], escape [S] = escape [S], and break-to [S] = break-to [S] when $SI' = \epsilon \$ $\subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\, \boxplus \mathbb{I}}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!] \ L_b, L_e$ 7 ind. hyp. for Theorem 41.245 $=\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\parallel} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket L_{b}, (\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\parallel} \llbracket \epsilon \rrbracket L_{b}, L_{e})$ i since $\widehat{S}^{\exists \parallel} \llbracket \epsilon \rrbracket L_b, L_e \triangleq L_e$ by (41.22) proving (41.22) when $Sl' = \epsilon$. - A second case is when $S = \{ \ldots \{ \epsilon \} \}$ is empty. Then, as required by (41.22), we have, by induction hypothesis, $\alpha_{\mathtt{use}, \mathtt{mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathtt{Sl} \rrbracket L_b, L_e = \alpha_{\mathtt{use}, \mathtt{mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathtt{Sl}' \rrbracket L_b, L_e \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\exists l} L_b, L_e \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal$

- Otherwise, $Sl' \neq \epsilon$ and $S \neq \{ ... \{ \epsilon \} ... \}$ so, by Lemma 4.16, after $[S] \notin in [S]$. In that case, let us calculate

$$\begin{split} &\alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \texttt{Sl} \rrbracket \ L_b, L_e \\ &= \bigcup \{\alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{l} \llbracket \texttt{Sl} \rrbracket \ L_b, L_e \ \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \rangle \ | \ \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \rangle \ \in \ \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \texttt{Sl} \rrbracket \} \end{split} \qquad \text{$$(\texttt{def. (41.3) of } \alpha_{\texttt{use},\texttt{mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \texttt{S} \rrbracket)$}$$

```
=\bigcup\{\{\mathbf{x}\in V\mid \exists i\in[1,n-1]:\forall j\in[1,i-1]:\mathbf{x}\notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_j]\!]\land\mathbf{x}\in \mathsf{use}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!]\}\cup \{\ell_n=\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{Sl}]\!]\ ? L_e\otimes\varnothing)\cup \{\{\mathsf{escape}[\![\mathsf{Sl}]\!]\land\ell_n=\mathsf{break-to}[\![\mathsf{Sl}]\!]\ ?\ L_b\otimes\varnothing)\}\mid \langle\pi_0,\,\pi_1\rangle\in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{Sl}]\!]\land\pi_1=\ell_1\xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_1}\ell_2\xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_2}\dots\xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_{n-1}}\ell_n\}\quad \text{$\rat{By Lemma $41.8$, omitting the useless parameters of use and $\bmod \S$}
```

- $= \bigcup \{ \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, n-1] : \forall j \in [1, i-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathtt{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_j]\!] \land \mathbf{x} \in \mathtt{use}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \} \cup \{\ell_n = \mathtt{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ ? \\ L_e \mathrel{\circ} \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]' \} \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]' \} \mathrel{\circ} L_b \mathrel{\circ} \varnothing \} \cup \{\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathtt{break-to}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ ? \\ L_b \mathrel{\circ} \varnothing \} \mid \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]' \} \cup \{\langle \pi_0 \mathrel{\widehat{}} \tau_2, \ \pi_2 \mathrel{\widehat{}} \tau_3 \rangle \mid \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_2 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^+[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]' \} \land \langle \pi_0 \mathrel{\widehat{}} \tau_2, \ \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \} \land \pi_1 = \ell_1 \xrightarrow{a_1} \ell_2 \xrightarrow{a_2} \ldots \xrightarrow{a_{n-1}} \ell_n \}$
- $= \bigcup \{ \{ \mathbf{x} \in V \mid \exists i \in [1, n-1] : \forall j \in [1, i-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[[\mathbf{a}_j]] \land \mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{use}[[\mathbf{a}_i]] \} \cup (\ell_n = \mathsf{after}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? \\ L_e \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]'] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]'] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? \\ L_b \circ \varnothing) \mid \langle \pi_0, \pi_1 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[[\mathbf{S}]'] \land \pi_1 = \ell_1 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_1} \ell_2 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_{n-1}} \ell_n \} \cup \\ \bigcup \{ \{ \mathbf{x} \in V \mid \exists i \in [1, n-1] : \forall j \in [1, i-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[[\mathbf{a}_j]] \land \mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{use}[[\mathbf{a}_i]] \} \cup (\ell_n = \mathsf{after}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? \\ L_e \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]'] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]'] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[[\mathbf{S}]] ? L_b \circ \varnothing) \cup (\mathsf{escape}[[\mathbf{S}]] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-$
- - $\begin{array}{ll} {\color{blue} \langle -\text{ For the first term, } \langle \pi_0, \, \pi_1 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\hspace{-0.1em}[\hspace{-0.1em} \mathtt{Sl'}]\hspace{-0.1em}], \pi_1 \text{ ends in ℓ_n, and ℓ_n = after[\hspace{-0.1em}[\hspace{-0.1em} \mathtt{S}]\hspace{-0.1em}]$ is impossible since <math>\mathtt{Sl'}$ and \mathtt{S} are not empty. Moreover, if ℓ_n = break-to[[\hspace{-0.1em} \mathtt{S}]\hspace{-0.1em}] = break-to[\hspace{-0.1em}[\hspace{-0.1em} \mathtt{Sl'}]\hspace{-0.1em}] \land \ell_n\$ = break-to[\hspace{-0.1em}[\hspace{-0.1em} \mathtt{Sl'}]\hspace{-0.1em}] \land \ell_n\$ = break-to[\hspace{-0.1em}[\hspace{-0.1em} \mathtt{Sl'}]\hspace{-0.1em}] ? \$L_b \otimes \varnothing \]\$ and so ([\hspace{-0.1em} \mathtt{escape}[\hspace{-0.1em}[\hspace{-0.1em} \mathtt{S}]\hspace{-0.1em}] \land \ell_n\$ = break-to[\hspace{-0.1em}[\hspace{-0.1em} \mathtt{S}]\hspace{-0.1em}] ? \$L_b \otimes \varnothing \]\$ is redundant. Finally, renaming $n \leftarrow m$.
 - $\begin{array}{lll} \hbox{$(--]$ For the second term, if ℓ_n = break-to} & \hbox{$[\tt Sl']$ } & \ell_n$ = break-to}$

```
= \left| \left| \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in V \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \forall j \in [1, i-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod} \left[ \mathbf{a}_i \right] \right\} \land \mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{use} \left[ \mathbf{a}_i \right] \right\} \lor \left[ \mathsf{escape} \left[ \mathsf{Sl'} \right] \land \ell_m = \mathsf{escape} \left[ \mathsf{sl'} \right] \land \ell_
                                              break-to \llbracket \mathsf{Sl'} \rrbracket \ \widehat{\ } \ L_h : \varnothing \rrbracket \ | \ \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{Sl'} \rrbracket \land \pi_1 = \ell_1 \xrightarrow{a_1} \ell_2 \xrightarrow{a_2} \dots \xrightarrow{a_{m-1}} \ell_m \rbrace \cup
                                   \left|\left|\left\{\left\{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{V}\mid\exists i\in[m,n-1]\ .\ \forall j\in[1,i-1]\ .\ \mathbf{x}\notin\mathsf{mod}\llbracket\mathbf{a}_{i}\rrbracket\wedge\mathbf{x}\in\mathsf{use}\llbracket\mathbf{a}_{i}\rrbracket\right\}\cup\left[\ell_{n}=1,i-1]\right\}\right|
                                              \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!] \ ? \ L_e \mathbin{\circ} \varnothing \ ) \cup [\![\![\mathtt{escape}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!]\!] \land \ell_n = \mathsf{break-to}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!] \ ? \ L_b \mathbin{\circ} \varnothing \ ) \ | \ \langle \pi_0, \, \pi_1 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^+[\![\![\mathtt{Sl}']\!]\!] \land \langle \pi_0', \, \pi_1 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^+[\![
                                   \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \wedge \pi_1 = \ell_1 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_1} \ell_2 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_{m-1}} \ell_m \wedge \ell_m = \operatorname{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \mathbf{1}' \rrbracket \wedge \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_m} \ell_m = \ell_m 
                                      \ell_{m+1} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_{m+1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_{n-1}} \ell_n \}
                                                                                                               Isince the case i \in [1, m-1] of the second term is already incorporated in the first
                                                                                                                          term \
= \left| \begin{array}{c} \left| \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \forall j \in [1, i-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \land \mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{use}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \cup \left[\![\ell_m = 1] : \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right] \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \forall j \in [1, i-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \forall j \in [1, i-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \forall j \in [1, i-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in [1, m-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in [1, m-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in [1, m-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{
                                                           [Sl'] ? (| \{x \in V \mid \exists i \in [m, n-1] : \forall j \in [1, i-1] : x \notin mod[a_i] \land x \in after[Sl'] ? (| \{x \in V \mid \exists i \in [m, n-1] : x \notin after[Sl'] \} 
                                 \begin{split} & \text{Use}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \} \cup [\![\ell_n = \text{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ \widehat{\otimes} \ L_e \ \widehat{\otimes} \ \mathbb{O} \
                                           \mathsf{break-to}[\![\mathsf{Sl'}]\!] \ ? \ L_b \ : \ \varnothing \ ) \ | \ \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \rangle \ \in \ \mathscr{S}^*[\![\mathsf{Sl'}]\!] \land \pi_1 = \ell_1 \xrightarrow{\mathsf{a}_1} \ell_2 \xrightarrow{\mathsf{a}_2} \ldots \xrightarrow{\mathsf{a}_{m-1}} \ell_m \}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                ? incorporating the second term in the first term, in case \ell_m = \text{after}[Sl']
  \subseteq \bigcup \{\{x \in V \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \forall j \in [1, i-1] : x \notin \text{mod}[a_i] \land x \in \text{use}[a_i]\} \cup [\ell_m = \text{after}[Sl']] \}
                                                (||| \{\{\mathsf{x} \in V \mid \exists i \in [m,n-1] : \forall j \in [m,i-1] : \mathsf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[[\mathsf{a}_i]] \land \mathsf{x} \in \mathsf{use}[[\mathsf{a}_i]] \} \cup [\ell_n = \mathsf{after}[[\mathsf{S}]]] \} 
                                              L_e \otimes \varnothing \ ) \cup ( [escape] S \ ] \land \ell_n = break-to[ S ] \ ? \ L_b \otimes \varnothing \ ) \mid \langle \pi'_0, \, \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^*[ S ] \land \pi_3 = \ell_m \xrightarrow{\mathsf{a}_m} \mathsf{a}_m \land \mathsf{
                                dropping the test \forall j \in [1, m-1] . x \notin mod[a_i]
= \left| \begin{array}{l} \left| \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V} \mid \exists i \in [1, m-1] : \forall j \in [1, i-1] : \mathbf{x} \notin \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \land \mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{use}[\![\mathbf{a}_i]\!] \right\} \cup \left[\![\ell_m = \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{Sl}']\!] \right] \right. \end{aligned} \right| \right|
                                                         ( \left| \left\{ \alpha_{\mathsf{use},\mathsf{mod}}^{l} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \right\} \right| L_b, L_e \langle \pi_0', \pi_3 \rangle + \langle \pi_0', \pi_3 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \} ) \otimes \varnothing \rrbracket \cup \llbracket \mathsf{escape} \llbracket \mathsf{Sl}' \rrbracket \wedge \ell_m = 0
                                           \subseteq \left| \left| \left\{ \alpha_{\text{use mod}}^{l} \left[ \mathsf{Sl}' \right] \right| L_{h}, \left( \mathcal{S}^{\exists \mathbb{I}} \left[ \mathsf{S} \right] L_{h}, L_{e} \right) \left\langle \pi_{0}, \, \pi_{1} \right\rangle \right| \left\langle \pi_{0}, \, \pi_{1} \right\rangle \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{*} \left[ \left[ \mathsf{Sl}' \right] \right] \right\rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         ? Lemma 41.8 and (41.3) \
= \alpha_{\text{use mod}}^{\exists l} [\text{Sl}'] (\mathcal{S}^*[\text{Sl}']) L_b, (\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\exists l}[\text{S}] L_b, L_e)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    ? def. (41.3) of \alpha_{\text{use mod}}^{\exists l}
\subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\exists \parallel} \llbracket \mathsf{Sl}' \rrbracket L_h, (\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\exists \parallel} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket L_h, L_e)
```

 $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\exists \parallel} \llbracket \mathsf{Sl}' \rrbracket \ L_b, (\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\exists \parallel} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \ L_b, L_e)$, Q.E.D. \S

 $\text{``ind. hyp. of Theorem 41.24:} \quad \alpha_{\mathtt{use,mod}}^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathtt{Sl'} \rrbracket (\widehat{\mathcal{S}} \ ^* \llbracket \mathtt{Sl'} \rrbracket) \ L_b, (\widehat{\mathcal{S}} \ ^{\exists l} \llbracket \mathtt{S} \rrbracket \ L_b, L_e) \quad \subseteq \quad L_b, L_b, L_e$

• For the *empty statement list* Sl ::= ϵ , we have $\mathcal{S}^*[Sl] = \{\langle \pi_0 \ell, \ell \rangle\}$ by (6.15), where $\ell = \mathsf{at}[Sl]$ and so

$$\begin{split} &\alpha_{\texttt{use}, \texttt{mod}}^{\exists l} \texttt{[Sl]]} \left(\mathcal{S}^* \texttt{[Sl]]} \right) L_b, L_e \\ &= \bigcup \{ \alpha_{\texttt{use}, \texttt{mod}}^l \texttt{[Sl]]} L_b, L_e \left< \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \right> \mid \left< \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \right> \in \mathcal{S}^* \texttt{[Sl]]} \} \\ &= \bigcup \{ \alpha_{\texttt{use}, \texttt{mod}}^l \texttt{[Sl]]} L_b, L_e \left< \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \right> \mid \left< \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \right> \in \left< \left< \pi_0 \ell, \ \ell \right> \right\} \} \\ &= \alpha_{\texttt{use}, \texttt{mod}}^{\exists l} \texttt{[Sl]]} L_b, L_e \left< \pi_0 \ell, \ \ell \right> \\ &= \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{V} \mid (\ell = \texttt{after[Sl]} \land \mathbf{x} \in L_e) \lor (\texttt{escape[Sl]} \land \ell = \texttt{break-to[Sl]} \land \mathbf{x} \in L_b) \} \\ &= L_e \quad \ell \in \texttt{after[Sl]} = \texttt{after[Sl]} \text{ in Appendix 4.2.1 and escape[Sl]} = \texttt{ff in 4.2.4 when Sl} = \epsilon \) \end{split}$$

Proof of Theorem 41.27 The proof is by structural induction and essentially consists in applying De Morgan laws for complement. For example,

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\,\,\forall d} & \llbracket \text{if (B) S}_t \rrbracket \, D_b, D_e \\ &= \, \neg \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\,\,\exists l} \llbracket \text{if (B) S}_t \rrbracket \, \neg D_b, \neg D_e \\ &= \, \neg (\text{use}\llbracket \text{B} \rrbracket \cup \neg D_e \cup \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\,\,\exists l} \llbracket \text{S}_t \rrbracket \, \neg D_b, \neg D_e) \\ &= \, \neg \, \text{use} \llbracket \text{B} \rrbracket \cap \neg \neg D_e \cap \neg \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\,\,\exists l} \llbracket \text{S}_t \rrbracket \, \neg D_b, \neg D_e) \\ &= \, \neg \, \text{use} \llbracket \text{B} \rrbracket \cap D_e \cap \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\,\,\forall d} \llbracket \text{S}_t \rrbracket \, D_b, D_e \\ \end{split}$$
 (definition of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\,\,\forall d} \llbracket \text{S} \rrbracket$ as dual of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\,\,\exists l} \llbracket \text{S} \rrbracket \rrbracket$ (41.22))

3 Mathematical proofs of chapter 44

Proof of Theorem 44.38 • In case (44.41) of an empty temporal specification ε , we have

$$\mathcal{M}^{+}[S]]\langle \underline{\varrho}, \varepsilon \rangle$$

$$\triangleq \mathcal{M}^{+}\langle \underline{\varrho}, \varepsilon \rangle (\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{s}^{*}[S]) \qquad (44.26)$$

$$= \{\langle \pi, R' \rangle \mid \pi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{s}^{*}[S] \land \langle tt, R' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^{t}\langle \rho, \varepsilon \rangle \pi\} \qquad (44.25)$$

$$= \{\langle \pi, \varepsilon \rangle \mid \pi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{s}^{*}[S] \} \qquad (since \mathcal{M}^{t}\langle \underline{\varrho}, \varepsilon \rangle \pi \triangleq \langle tt, \varepsilon \rangle \text{ by } (44.24)$$

$$\triangleq \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{+}[S]\langle \varrho, \varepsilon \rangle \qquad (44.41)$$

- In case (44.43) of an empty statement list S1 ::= ϵ

$$\mathcal{M}^{\dagger} \llbracket \mathsf{Sl} \rrbracket \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathsf{R} \rangle$$

$$= \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathsf{R} \rangle (\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{\$}^{*} \llbracket \mathsf{Sl} \rrbracket) \qquad (44.26)$$

$$= \{ \langle \pi, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \pi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{\$}^{*} \llbracket \mathsf{Sl} \rrbracket \wedge \langle \mathsf{tt}, \mathsf{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \varrho, \mathsf{R} \rangle \pi \} \qquad (44.25)$$

$$= \left\{ \langle \pi, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \pi \in \left\{ \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{Sl}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} \mathsf{v} \right\} \wedge \langle \mathsf{tt}, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle \pi \right\} \qquad ((42.10))$$

$$= \left\{ \langle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{Sl}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} \mathsf{v} \wedge \langle \mathsf{tt}, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle (\langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{Sl}]\!], \, \rho \rangle) \right\} \qquad (\mathsf{def.} \in \mathcal{S})$$

$$= \left\{ \langle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{Sl}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} \mathsf{v} \wedge \langle \mathsf{L} : \mathsf{B}, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle = \mathsf{fstnxt}(\mathsf{R}) \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{Sl}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r[\![\mathsf{L} : \mathsf{B}]\!] \right\}$$

$$= \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^+[\![\![\mathsf{Sl}]\!] \langle \varrho, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle \qquad ((44.43))$$

• In case (44.44) of a skip statement S ::= ;

$$\mathcal{M}^{+}[\![S]\!] \langle \underline{\varrho}, R \rangle$$

$$= \{\langle \pi, R' \rangle \mid \pi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{S}}^{*}[\![S]\!] \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, R' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, R \rangle \pi \} \qquad (44.26) \text{ and } (44.25) \}$$

$$= \{\langle \pi, R' \rangle \mid \pi \in \{\langle \mathsf{at}[\![S]\!], \rho \rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} \mathbf{v} \} \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, R' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, R \rangle \pi \} \qquad (42.11) \}$$

$$= \{\langle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![S]\!], \rho \rangle, R' \rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} \mathbf{v} \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, R' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, R \rangle (\langle \mathsf{at}[\![S]\!], \rho \rangle) \} \qquad (\mathsf{def.} \in \S)$$

$$= \{\langle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![S]\!], \rho \rangle, R' \rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} \mathbf{v} \land \langle \mathsf{L} : \mathsf{B}, R' \rangle = \mathsf{fstnxt}(R) \land \langle \underline{\varrho}, \langle \mathsf{at}[\![S]\!], \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{r}[\![L : \mathsf{B}]\!] \}$$

$$(44.24) \text{ with } \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \underline{\varrho}, R' \rangle \Rightarrow = \langle \mathsf{tt}, R' \rangle \}$$

$$= \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{+}[\![S]\!] \langle \varrho, R \rangle \qquad (44.44) \}$$

• In case (44.49) of an iteration statement $S := \text{while } \ell$ (B) S_b , we apply Corollary 18.31 so we have to calculate the abstract transformer that satisfies the commutation property for an iterate X of the concrete transformer $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{S}}^* \llbracket S \rrbracket$ (which traces must be of the form $\pi \langle \text{at} \llbracket S \rrbracket, \rho \rangle$).

$$\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle\underline{\varrho},\,\mathsf{R}\rangle(\{\xi^{\ast},\,\rho\rangle\mid\rho\in\mathbb{E}\mathtt{v}\}\cup\{\pi_{2}\langle\ell',\,\rho\rangle\,\langle\mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\mid\pi_{2}\langle\ell',\,\rho\rangle\in X\wedge\mathcal{B}[\![\mathtt{B}]\!]\,\rho=\mathsf{ff}\wedge\ell'=\ell\}\cup\{\pi_{2}\langle\ell',\,\rho\rangle\,\langle\mathsf{at}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\mid\pi_{2}\langle\ell',\,\rho\rangle\in X\wedge\mathcal{B}[\![\mathtt{B}]\!]\,\rho=\mathsf{tt}\wedge\langle\mathsf{at}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\ell'=\ell\})$$

$$(42.6)$$

$$=\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle\underline{\varrho},\,\mathsf{R}\rangle(\{\langle\ell,\,\rho\rangle\mid\rho\in\mathbb{E}\mathtt{v}\})\cup\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle\underline{\varrho},\,\mathsf{R}\rangle(\{\pi_{2}\langle\ell',\,\rho\rangle\langle\mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\mid\pi_{2}\langle\ell',\,\rho\rangle\in X\wedge\mathcal{B}[\![\mathtt{B}]\!]\,\rho=\mathsf{tt}\wedge\langle\mathsf{at}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\mid\pi_{2}\langle\ell',\,\rho\rangle\in X\wedge\mathcal{B}[\![\mathtt{B}]\!]\,\rho=\mathsf{tt}\wedge\langle\mathsf{at}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\ast}_{\,\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!],\,\rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3}\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!]$$

To avoid repeating (44.41), we assume that $R \notin \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}$ so we can let $\langle L' : B', R' \rangle = fstn \times t(R)$. There are three subcases.

— The first case is that of an observation of the execution that stops at loop entry $\ell = at[S]$. This is similar to the above proof *e.g.* of (44.44) for a skip statement, and we get

$$\begin{split} & \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{+}\langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R}\rangle(\big\{\langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho\rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} \mathsf{v} \big\} \\ & = \big\{\langle\langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho\rangle, \, \mathsf{R}'\rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} \mathsf{v} \wedge \langle \mathsf{L}' : \mathsf{B}', \, \mathsf{R}'\rangle = \mathsf{fstnxt}(\mathsf{R}) \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho\rangle\rangle \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^r[\![\mathsf{L}' : \mathsf{B}']\!] \big\} \end{split}$$

— The second case is that of the loop exit

```
\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle \varrho, \, \mathsf{R}\rangle(\{\pi_2\langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho\rangle \, \langle \, \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho\rangle \, | \, \pi_2\langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho\rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \, \rho = \mathsf{ff}\})
```

- $= \left\{ \langle \pi, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \; \middle| \; \pi \in \left\{ \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \; \middle| \; \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \; \rho = \mathsf{ff} \right\} \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, \; \mathsf{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \varrho, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle \pi \right\} \qquad \qquad ((44.25))$
- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle, \; \mathsf{R}' \rangle \; \middle| \; \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \; \rho = \mathsf{ff} \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, \; \mathsf{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \; \mathsf{R} \rangle \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \rangle \right\}$
- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \left\{ \langle \pi, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \mid \pi \in X \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathsf{R} \rangle \right\} \\ + \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathsf{ff} \land \mathcal{M}^t \langle \varrho, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \langle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle) = \langle \mathsf{tt}, \mathsf{R}' \rangle$

(X is an iterate of the concrete transformer $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{S}}^*[S]$ so its traces must be of the form $\pi(\text{at}[S], \rho)$)

- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \, \rho = \mathsf{ff} \wedge \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle) = \langle \mathsf{tt}, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \right\}$ (44.25)
- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \varepsilon \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \varepsilon \rangle \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\dagger} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle X \wedge \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \, \rho = \mathrm{ff} \right\} \cup \\ \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{\dagger} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle X \wedge \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \, \rho = \mathrm{ff} \wedge \mathsf{R}'' \notin \\ \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon} \wedge \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^t \langle \varrho, \, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle (\langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle) = \langle \operatorname{tt}, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \right\}$

 $\langle \alpha \rangle = \alpha$ case analysis and $\mathcal{M}^t \langle \varrho, \varepsilon \rangle \pi \triangleq \langle \mathsf{tt}, \varepsilon \rangle$ in (44.24)

 $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \varepsilon \rangle \, \middle| \, \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \varepsilon \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^+ \langle \varrho, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \right\} \cup \\ \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \varepsilon \rangle \, \middle| \, \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^+ \langle \varrho, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathsf{R}'' \notin \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \wedge \langle \mathsf{L}' : \mathsf{B}', \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle = \operatorname{fstnxt}(\mathsf{R}'') \wedge \mathsf{R}' \in \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \wedge \langle \varrho, \, \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r[\![\mathsf{L}' : \mathsf{B}']\!] \} \cup \\ \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \, \middle| \, \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^+ \langle \varrho, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \, \rho = \operatorname{ff} \wedge \mathsf{R}'' \notin \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \wedge \langle \mathsf{L}' : \mathsf{B}', \, \mathsf{R}''' \rangle = \operatorname{fstnxt}(\mathsf{R}''') \wedge \langle \varrho, \, \langle \operatorname{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r[\![\mathsf{L}' : \mathsf{B}']\!] \}$

The third and last case is that of an iteration executing the loop body.

 $\mathcal{M}^{+}\langle \underline{\rho}, \ \mathsf{R}\rangle(\{\pi_{2}\langle\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \ \rho\rangle\langle\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!], \ \rho\rangle\cdot\pi_{3} \ | \ \pi_{2}\langle\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \ \rho\rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \ \rho = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!], \ \rho\rangle\pi_{3} \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{*}_{\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!] \})$

```
= \{\langle \pi, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \pi \in \{\pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3 \mid \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \, \rho = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3 \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*_{\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \, \wedge \langle \mathsf{tt}, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \rho, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle \pi \} 
(44.25)
```

$$= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \; \rho \rangle \pi_3, \; \mathsf{R}' \rangle \; \middle| \; \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \; \rho = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \; \rho \rangle \pi_3 \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*_{\,\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, \; \mathsf{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \varrho, \; \mathsf{R} \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \; \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \; \rho \rangle \pi_3 \rangle \right\} \qquad \langle \mathsf{def}. \in \mathcal{S}$$

- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \rho \rangle \pi_3, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \; \middle| \; \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \left\{ \langle \pi, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \; \middle| \; \pi \in X \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathsf{R} \rangle \pi_1 \rangle \right\} \\ + \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \; \rho = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \rho \rangle \pi_3 \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}} \; {}^*_{\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \land \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle (\langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \rho \rangle \pi_3) = \langle \mathsf{tt}, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \}$

(def. \in and X is an iterate of the concrete transformer $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{S}}^*[S]$ so its traces must be of the form $\pi_2\langle \operatorname{at}[S], \rho \rangle$)

$$= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \rho \rangle \pi_3, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathsf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \rho \rangle \pi_3 \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*_{\mathbb{S}}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \wedge \mathcal{M}^t \langle \varrho, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \langle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \rho \rangle \pi_3) = \langle \mathsf{tt}, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \right\}$$
 (44.25)

$$= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}], \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}_b], \rho \rangle \pi_3, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}], \rho \rangle, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} \langle \underline{\rho}, \mathsf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{B}] \rho = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}_b], \rho \rangle \pi_3 \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*_{\mathbb{S}}[\mathbb{S}_b] \wedge (\exists \mathsf{R}''' \in \mathcal{R} : \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\rho}, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle (\langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}], \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}_b], \rho \rangle) = \langle \operatorname{tt}, \mathsf{R}''' \rangle \wedge \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\rho}, \mathsf{R}''' \rangle (\langle \operatorname{at}[\mathbb{S}_b], \rho \rangle \pi_3) = \langle \operatorname{tt}, \mathsf{R}' \rangle) \right\}$$

$$\langle \operatorname{Lemma} 44.37 \rangle$$

- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[S]], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[S_b]], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[S]], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[B]] \, \rho = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \exists \mathsf{R}''' \in \mathcal{R} : \langle \langle \operatorname{at}[S_b]], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \in \left\{ \langle \pi, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \pi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{\,\mathbb{S}}^* [S_b] \right\} \wedge \langle \operatorname{tt}, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R}''' \rangle \pi \right\} \wedge \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R}''' \rangle \langle \langle \operatorname{at}[S]], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[S_b]], \, \rho \rangle = \langle \operatorname{tt}, \, \mathsf{R}''' \rangle \rangle$ $\left\{ \operatorname{def.} \in \operatorname{and} \operatorname{def.} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{\,\mathbb{S}}^* [S_b] \right\} \text{ in Chapter 42 so that its traces must be of the form } \langle \operatorname{at}[S_b]], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3 \rangle$
- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \rho \rangle \pi_3, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathsf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \langle \langle \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle \pi_3, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \langle \underline{\varrho}, \mathsf{R}''' \rangle \right\}$ $\left\{ \langle \mathsf{44.26} \rangle \text{ and } \langle \mathsf{44.25} \rangle, \wedge \text{ commutative} \right\}$

There are two subcases depending on whether $R'' \in \mathbb{R}_s$ or not.

- If $R'' \in \mathbb{R}_s$, then
- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \varepsilon \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \varepsilon \rangle \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^{+} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle X \wedge \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \, \rho = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \pi_3 \in \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}_{\,\, \mathbb{S}}^* [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \right\} \\ \langle \operatorname{since} \, \mathsf{R}'' \in \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon} \, \operatorname{and} \, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle (\langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle) = \langle \operatorname{tt}, \, \mathsf{R}''' \rangle \, \operatorname{imply} \, \operatorname{that} \, \mathsf{R}''' = \varepsilon \\ \operatorname{by} \, (44.24) \, \operatorname{and} \, \operatorname{so} \, \langle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^+ [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R}''' \rangle = \{\langle \pi, \, \varepsilon \rangle \mid \pi \in \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}_{\,\, \mathbb{S}}^* [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \} \, \operatorname{by} \\ (44.26) \, \operatorname{and} \, (44.25) \, \operatorname{implies} \, \mathsf{R}' = \varepsilon \, \operatorname{and} \, \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3 \in \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}_{\,\, \mathbb{S}}^* [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \}$
- Otherwise $R'' \notin R_{\varepsilon}$

```
 = \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \mathscr{M}^\dagger \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathscr{B}[\![\mathtt{B}]\!] \, \rho = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \mathsf{R}'' \notin \mathscr{R}_\epsilon \wedge \langle \mathsf{L} : \mathsf{B}, \mathsf{R}'''' \rangle = \operatorname{fstnxt}(\mathsf{R}'') \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^r [\![\mathtt{L} : \mathsf{B}]\!] \wedge \widetilde{\mathscr{M}}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R}'''' \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle = \langle \operatorname{tt}, \, \mathsf{R}'''' \rangle \wedge \langle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \in \mathscr{M}^\dagger [\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \langle \varrho, \, \mathsf{R}''' \rangle \right\}
```

There are two subsubcases, depending on whether R"" is empty or not.

- If $R'''' \in \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}$ then, as shown before, $\mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, R'''' \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!], \rho \rangle = \langle \operatorname{tt}, R''' \rangle$ implies that $R''' \in \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}$ and so $\langle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!], \rho \rangle \pi_3$, $R' \rangle \in \mathcal{M}^+ [\![S_b]\!] \langle \underline{\varrho}, R''' \rangle$ if and only if $R' \in \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}$ and $\langle \operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!], \rho \rangle \pi_3 \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^* [\![S_b]\!]$. We get
- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \varepsilon \rangle \mid \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \mathscr{M}^{+} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \, \rho = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \mathsf{R}'' \notin \mathscr{R}_{\varepsilon} \wedge \langle \mathsf{L} : \mathsf{B}, \, \varepsilon \rangle = \operatorname{fstnxt}(\mathsf{R}'') \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^r[\![\mathsf{L} : \mathsf{B}]\!] \wedge \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3 \in \widehat{\mathscr{S}}^*_{s}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \right\}$ $\left\{ \langle 44.24 \rangle \right\}$
- Otherwise $R'''' \notin \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}$.
- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \, \middle| \, \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \mathscr{M}^\dagger \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathscr{B}[\![\mathtt{B}]\!] \, \rho = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \mathsf{R}'' \notin \mathscr{R}_{\varepsilon} \wedge \langle \mathsf{L} : \mathsf{B}, \, \mathsf{R}'''' \rangle = \operatorname{fstnxt}(\mathsf{R}'') \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^r[\![\mathtt{L} : \mathsf{B}]\!] \wedge \mathsf{R}'''' \notin \mathscr{R}_{\varepsilon} \wedge \mathscr{M}^t \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R}'''' \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle = \langle \operatorname{tt}, \, \mathsf{R}''' \rangle \wedge \langle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \in \mathscr{M}^\dagger [\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \langle \varrho, \, \mathsf{R}''' \rangle \}$
- $= \left\{ \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \, \middle| \, \langle \pi_2 \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \, \rho \rangle, \, \mathsf{R}'' \rangle \in \mathscr{M}^{\dagger} \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R} \rangle X \wedge \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \, \rho = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \mathsf{R}'' \notin \mathscr{R}_{\varepsilon} \wedge \langle \mathsf{L} : \mathsf{B}, \, \mathsf{R}'''' \rangle = \operatorname{fstnxt}(\mathsf{R}''') \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^{\mathsf{r}} [\![\mathsf{L} : \mathsf{B}]\!] \wedge \mathsf{R}'''' \notin \mathscr{R}_{\varepsilon} \wedge \langle \mathsf{L}' : \mathsf{B}', \, \mathsf{R}''' \rangle + \left[\operatorname{fstnxt}(\mathsf{R}'''') \wedge \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^{\mathsf{r}} [\![\mathsf{L}' : \mathsf{B}']\!] \wedge \langle \langle \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \, \rho \rangle \pi_3, \, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \in \mathscr{M}^{\dagger} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \langle \underline{\varrho}, \, \mathsf{R}''' \rangle \right\}$
- Grouping all cases together we get the term (44.50) defining $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}[S]\langle \varrho, R \rangle$ ($\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle \varrho, R \rangle X$) and so Corollary 18.31 and the commutation condition $\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle \varrho, R \rangle (\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{S}}^{*}[S](X)) = \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}[S]\langle \varrho, R \rangle$ ($\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}\langle \varrho, R \rangle (X)$) for the iterates X of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{S}}^{*}[S]$ yield $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\dagger}[S]\langle \varrho, R \rangle \triangleq |\mathsf{ffp}^{\varsigma}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}[S]\langle \varrho, R \rangle)$ that is (44.49).
- In case (44.48) of a break statement $S := \ell$ break;

$$\mathcal{M}^{\dagger} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \langle \varrho, \mathsf{R} \rangle$$

- $= \left\{ \langle \pi, R' \rangle \mid \pi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{s}^{*}[\![s]\!] \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, R' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^{t} \langle \varrho, R \rangle \pi \right\}$ (44.26) and (44.25))
- $= \left\{ \langle \pi, \mathsf{R}' \rangle \mid \pi \in \{ \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} \mathsf{v} \} \cup \{ \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{break-to}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle \mid \rho \in \mathbb{E} \mathsf{v} \} \land \langle \mathsf{tt}, \mathsf{R}' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\rho}, \mathsf{R} \rangle \pi \right\}$ $\left\{ \langle 42.14 \rangle \right\}$
- $= \left\{ \langle \langle \ell, \rho \rangle, R'' \rangle \mid \langle \mathsf{tt}, R'' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\rho}, R \rangle \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \right\} \cup \left\{ \langle \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{break-to}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle, R'' \rangle \mid \langle \mathsf{tt}, R'' \rangle = \mathcal{M}^t \langle \underline{\rho}, R \rangle \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{break-to}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle \right\}$ $\left\{ \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \langle \mathsf{break-to}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \rho \rangle \right\}$

```
= let \langle L : B, R' \rangle = fstnxt(R) in \{\langle \langle \ell, \rho \rangle, R' \rangle \mid \langle \underline{\varrho}, \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r \llbracket L : B \rrbracket \} \cup \{\langle \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \langle \text{break-to} \llbracket S \rrbracket, \rho \rangle, \varepsilon \rangle \mid R' \in \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon} \land \langle \underline{\varrho}, \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r \llbracket L : B \rrbracket \} \cup \{\langle \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \langle \text{break-to} \llbracket S \rrbracket, \rho \rangle, R'' \rangle \mid R' \notin \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon} \land \langle \underline{\varrho}, \langle \ell, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r \llbracket L : B \rrbracket \land \langle L' : B', R'' \rangle = \text{fstnxt}(R') \land \langle \underline{\varrho}, \langle \text{break-to} \llbracket S \rrbracket, \rho \rangle \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^r \llbracket L' : B' \rrbracket \}
\langle R \notin \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}, \text{case analysis on } R' \in \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}, \text{and}(44.24) \rangle \quad \Box
```

4 Mathematical proofs of chapter 47

Proof (47.47) There are three cases depending on whether the program label ℓ is at or after statement S, or in the true branch S_t.

```
— (1) — The cases \ell = \text{at}[S] was handled in (47.41) and \ell \notin \text{labx}[S] in (47.42).
     - (2) - Assume \ell = after [S].
                              \alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]\}) after[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]
  = \alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{\mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket\}) \text{ after } \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           7 Lemma 47.23 \
     = \{ \langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \in \mathcal{D}(\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            \partial \det (47.25) of \alpha^d 
  = \{\langle \mathsf{x}',\ \mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0,\ \pi_1\rangle, \langle \pi_0',\ \pi_1'\rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0')\mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!](\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!])(\pi_0,\pi_1), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!](\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!])(\pi_0',\pi_1'))\} \qquad \langle \mathsf{def.}\ (47.19)\ \mathsf{of}\ \mathcal{D}^\ell\langle \mathsf{x}',\ \mathsf{y}\rangle \rangle
=\{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle\ |\ \exists \langle \pi_0,\ \pi_1\rangle, \langle \pi_0',\ \pi_1'\rangle\ \in\ \{\langle \pi\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!],\ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})}\ \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]\rangle\ |\ \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!])\ =
                                                  \mathsf{ff}\} \cup \{\langle \pi \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}]\!], \ \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi' \mathsf{after}[\![ \mathsf{S}]\!] \rangle \ | \ \mathscr{B}[\![ \mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}]\!]) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \ \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi' \mathsf{after}[\![ \mathsf{S}]\!] \in \mathsf{tt} 
                                                                      \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket (\pi \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \quad \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \quad \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) \} \quad . \quad (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \quad . \quad \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0') \mathsf{z}) \ \land \quad . \quad \mathsf{p}(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \mathsf{p}(\pi_0') \mathsf{z} = \mathsf{p}(\pi_0'
                                 \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathtt{y}]\!](\mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!])(\pi_0,\pi_1),\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathtt{y}]\!](\mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!])(\pi_0',\pi_1'))\}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            \( \) \( \mathbb{S}^* \[ \] \] \( \mathbb{S} \) \( \mathbb{S} \] \( \math
  = \{\langle \mathsf{x'}, \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \ \mid \ \exists \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![ \mathsf{S}]\!] \rangle, \langle \pi_0', \ \pi_1' \mathsf{after}[\![ \mathsf{S}]\!] \rangle \ \in \ \{\langle \pi \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}]\!], \ \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}]\!] \ \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \ \mathsf{after}[\![ \mathsf{S}]\!] \rangle \ \mid \ \mathsf{after}[\![ \mathsf{S}]\!] \rangle \ | \ \mathsf{a
                                                             \mathcal{B}[\![B]\!]\varrho(\pi\mathsf{at}[\![S]\!]) = \mathsf{ff}\} \cup \{\langle \pi\mathsf{at}[\![S]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![S]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![S]\!] \mathsf{at}[\![S]\!]\rangle \mid \mathcal{B}[\![B]\!]\varrho(\pi\mathsf{at}[\![S]\!]) = \mathsf{ff}\}
                                                        \mathsf{tt} \, \wedge \, \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}_{\!_{}}]\!] \pi' \, \mathsf{after}[\![ \mathsf{S}]\!] \, \in \, \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![ \mathsf{S}_{\!_{}}]\!] (\pi \, \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}]\!] \, \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \, \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}_{\!_{}}]\!]) \} \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{Z} \, \in \, \mathcal{V} \, \setminus \, \{\mathsf{x}'\} \, . \, \, \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{Z} \, = \, \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![ \mathsf{S}_{\!_{}}]\!] \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{Z}_{\!_{}}) \, \in \, \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![ \mathsf{S}_{\!_{}}]\!] \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{Z}_{\!_{}}) \, \in \, \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![ \mathsf{S}_{\!_{}}]\!] \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{Z}_{\!_{}}) \, \in \, \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![ \mathsf{S}_{\!_{}}]\!] \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{Z}_{\!_{}}) \, \in \, \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![ \mathsf{S}_{\!_{}}]\!] \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{Z}_{\!_{}}) \, \in \, \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![ \mathsf{S}_{\!_{}}]\!] \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{Z}_{\!_{}}) \, \in \, \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![ \mathsf{S}_{\!_{}}]\!] \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{Z}_{\!_{}}) \, \in \, \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![ \mathsf{S}_{\!_{}}]\!] \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{Z}_{\!_{}}) \, \in \, \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![ \mathsf{S}_{\!_{}}]\!] \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{Z}_{\!_{}}) \, \in \, \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![ \mathsf{S}_{\!_{}}]\!] \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{Z}_{\!_{}}) \, \in \, \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![ \mathsf{S}_{\!_{}}]\!] \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{Z}_{\!_{}}) \, \in \, \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![ \mathsf{S}_{\!_{}}]\!] \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{Z}_{\!_{}}) \, \in \, \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![ \mathsf{S}_{\!_{}}]\!] \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{Z}_{\!_{}}) \, \in \, \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![ \mathsf{S}_{\!_{}}]\!] \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{Z}_{\!_{}}) \, \in \, \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![ \mathsf{S}_{\!_{}}]\!] \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{Z}_{\!_{}}) \, \in \, \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![ \mathsf{S}_{\!_{}}]\!] \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{Z}_{\!_{}}) \, \in \, \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![ \mathsf{S}_{\!_{}}]\!] \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{Z}_{\!_{}}) \, \in \, \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![ \mathsf{S}_{\!_{}}]\!] \, \wedge \, (\forall \mathsf{Z}_{\!_{}}) \, \otimes 
                                            \varrho(\pi'_0)z) \wedge \mathsf{diff}(\varrho(\pi_0 \widehat{\ } \pi_1 \mathsf{after} \llbracket S \rrbracket) y, \ \varrho(\pi'_0 \widehat{\ } \pi'_1 \mathsf{after} \llbracket S \rrbracket) y) \}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    \langle \operatorname{def.} \in \operatorname{so} \operatorname{that} \pi_1 \operatorname{and} \pi'_1 \operatorname{must} \operatorname{end} \operatorname{with} \operatorname{after} [\![S]\!] \operatorname{and} \operatorname{def.} (47.16) \operatorname{of} \operatorname{seqval} [\![y]\!] \rangle
= \{\langle \mathbf{x'}, \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \quad | \quad \exists \pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \pi_1 \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket, \pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \pi_1' \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \quad \in \quad \{\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \quad \xrightarrow{\neg (\mathbf{B})} \quad \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \quad | \quad \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket 
                                                                \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{B}]\varrho(\pi\mathsf{at}[\mathbb{S}]) \ = \ \mathsf{ff}\} \ \cup \ \{\pi\mathsf{at}[\mathbb{S}]\} \ \stackrel{\square}{\longrightarrow} \ \mathsf{at}[\mathbb{S}_t]\pi'\mathsf{after}[\mathbb{S}] \ | \ \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{B}]\varrho(\pi\mathsf{at}[\mathbb{S}]) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land
                                               \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi' \mathsf{after}[\![ \mathsf{S}]\!] \; \in \; \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![ \mathsf{S}_t]\!] (\pi \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}]\!] \; \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \; \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \} \; \wedge \; (\forall \mathsf{z} \; \in \; V \; \backslash \; \{\mathsf{x}'\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{z} \; = 0 \; \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}_t]\!] ) 
                                            \varrho(\pi'_0 \text{at}[S])z) \wedge \text{diff}(\varrho(\pi_0 \text{at}[S]\pi_1 \text{after}[S])y, \varrho(\pi'_0 \text{at}[S]\pi'_1 \text{after}[S])y)
```

def. ∈ and trace concatenation

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \pi'_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \pi'_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \in \{\pi \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathbf{B})} \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \mid \mathcal{B} \}$$

$$= \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) = \mathcal{B} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \pi' \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \mid \mathcal{B} \mathbb{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) = \mathcal{B} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi' \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \mid \mathcal{B} \mathbb{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) = \mathcal{B} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi' \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \wedge (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \mid \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{U} \cap$$

There are four subcases, depending upon which branch of the conditional is taken by the two executions π_0 at $[S]\pi_1$ after [S] and π'_0 at $[S]\pi'_1$ after [S].

- (2.a) - If both executions π_0 at [S] π_1 after [S] and π'_0 at [S] π'_1 after [S] are through the false branch, we have,

(??)

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!], \pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] . \quad \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{ff} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{ff} \land (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\}) . \\ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{z}) \land (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!])) \mathsf{y} \}$$

$$\langle \mathsf{def.} \ (6.6) \ \mathsf{of} \ \varrho \ \mathsf{so} \ \mathsf{that} \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{y} = \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \mathsf{y}) \rangle$$

$$= \{\langle \mathsf{x}',\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \rho,\nu \ . \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathsf{ff} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \rho[\mathsf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \mathsf{ff} \land \rho(\mathsf{y}) \neq \rho[\mathsf{x}' \leftarrow \nu]\mathsf{y} \}$$
 (letting $\rho = \varrho(\pi_0\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]), \ \nu = \varrho(\pi'_0\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!])\mathsf{x}'$ so that $\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!])\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi'_0\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!])\mathsf{z}$ implies $\varrho(\pi'_0\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) = \rho[\mathsf{x}' \leftarrow \nu]$ and, conversely Exercise 6.8, so that any environment ρ can be computed as the result $\varrho(\pi'_0\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!])$ of an appropriate initialization trace ι_0 at $[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]$ (otherwise, this is \subseteq) \mathcal{L}

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{x}' \rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu \, . \, \rho(\mathbf{x}') \neq \nu \, \land \, \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathrm{ff} \, \land \, \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho[\mathbf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \mathrm{ff} \}$$

$$\langle \operatorname{since} \rho[\mathbf{x}' \leftarrow \nu](\mathbf{y}) = \rho(\mathbf{y}) \text{ when } \mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{x}' \rangle$$

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{x}' \rangle \mid \mathbf{x}' \in \operatorname{nondet}(\neg \mathbf{B}, \neg \mathbf{B}) \}$$

$$\langle \operatorname{def.} (47.48) \text{ of nondet} \rangle$$

$$= \mathbb{1}_{V} \setminus \operatorname{nondet}(\neg \mathbf{B}, \neg \mathbf{B})$$

$$\langle \operatorname{def.} \operatorname{left} \operatorname{restriction} \rangle \rangle$$

$$\subseteq \mathbb{1}_{V}$$

In words for that first case, the initial value of x' flows to the value of x' by the false branch of the conditional if (B) S_t when there are at least two different values of x' for which B is false. (If

there is only one, x' is constant on the false branch. This can be disproved by a constancy analysis [DBLP:conf/popl/Kildall73, DBLP:journals/toplas/WegmanZ91, DBLP:journals/acta/Karr76, DBLP:conf/cc/KnoopKS98, DBLP:conf/cc/KnoopR00, DBLP:conf/esop/Muller-OlmR01] or a determinacy analysis [DBLP:journals/ngc/Lopez-GarciaBH10, DBLP:journals/corr/abs-1905-06544].) A classical coarser over-approximation is to ignore values *i.e.* that variables may have only one value making the test false.

- (2.b) - Else, if both executions π_0 at $[S]\pi_1$ after [S] and π'_0 at $[S]\pi'_1$ after [S] are through the true branch, we have,

(??)

- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \ | \ \exists \pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \pi_1 \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket, \pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \pi_1' \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \in \{\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi' \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \mid \\ \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) = \mathrm{tt} \wedge \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi' \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket (\pi \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) \} \wedge (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} .$ $\varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{z}) \wedge (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \pi_1 \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \pi_1' \mathrm{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) \mathbf{y} \}$
 - $\langle \mathsf{case} \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{tt} \ \mathsf{and} \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{ff} \rangle$
- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0', \pi_1' : \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) = \mathrm{tt} \wedge \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] (\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) = \mathrm{tt} \wedge \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1' \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] (\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \wedge (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} : \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \wedge (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1' \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{y}) \}$
- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}', \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \langle \pi_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket, \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_1 \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_2 \rangle, \langle \pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket, \\ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi'_1 \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi'_2 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{+\infty} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket . \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \Vert \mathsf{B} \Vert \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \Vert \mathsf{B} \Vert \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \Vert \mathsf{B} \Vert \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \Vert \mathsf{B} \Vert \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \Vert \mathsf{B} \Vert \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \Vert \mathsf{B} \Vert \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \Vert \mathsf{B} \Vert \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \Vert \mathsf{B} \Vert \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \Vert \mathsf{B} \Vert \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \Vert \mathsf{B} \Vert \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \Vert \mathsf{B} \Vert \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \Vert \mathsf{B} \Vert \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \Vert \mathsf{S} \Vert \mathsf{S}$
- $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \ | \ \exists \langle \pi_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket, \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_1 \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_2 \rangle, \langle \pi'_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket, \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_1 \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_2 \rangle, \langle \pi'_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket, \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi_2 \rangle, \langle \pi'_0 \mathrm{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \rangle = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \llbracket \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \llbracket \varrho (\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_t \rrbracket \times \mathbb{B} + \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathsf{tt} \mathsf{t$

 $\hspace{0.1in} \subseteq \{\langle \mathsf{x}', \; \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \bar{\pi}_0, \; \bar{\pi}_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_2 \rangle, \langle \bar{\pi}_0', \; \bar{\pi}_1' \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_2' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \; . \; \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0) = \; \mathsf{tt} \; \land \; \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0') = \; \mathsf{tt} \; \land \; \langle \forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \; . \; \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0) \mathsf{z} = \; \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0') \mathsf{z}) \; \land \; \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \notin \; \bar{\pi}_1' \; \land \; \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] (\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) (\bar{\pi}_0 \; \widehat{\pi}_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!], \; \; \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_2), \; \; \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] (\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) (\bar{\pi}_0' \; \widehat{\pi}_1' \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!], \; \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!], \; \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!], \; \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_2')) \}$

(letting $\bar{\pi}_0 = \pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!], \ \bar{\pi}_1 = \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1, \ \bar{\pi}_0{}' = \pi_0{}' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!], \ \mathrm{and} \ \bar{\pi}_1{}' = \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1{}')$

$$\begin{split} & \subseteq \{\langle \mathsf{x}', \; \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu \; . \; \rho(\mathsf{x}') \neq \nu \land \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \rho[\mathsf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \mathsf{tt} \} \cap \{\langle \mathsf{x}', \; \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \bar{\pi}_0, \; \bar{\pi}_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_2 \rangle, \langle \bar{\pi}_0', \; \bar{\pi}_1' \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_2' \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^{+\infty}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \; . \; (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathscr{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \; . \\ & \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0') \mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \notin \bar{\pi}_1 \land \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \notin \bar{\pi}_1' \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] (\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) (\bar{\pi}_0 \cap \bar{\pi}_1') \cap \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!], \; \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] (\bar{\pi}_0' \cap \bar{\pi}_1') \cap \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!], \; \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] (\bar{\pi}_0' \cap \bar{\pi}_1') \cap \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \cap \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] (\bar{\pi}_0' \cap \bar{\pi}_1') \cap \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \cap \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] (\bar{\pi}_0' \cap \bar{\pi}_1') \cap \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] (\bar{\pi}_0' \cap \bar{\pi}_1') \cap \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \cap \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] (\bar{\pi}_$$

(letting
$$\rho = \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0)$$
 and $\nu = \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0')(x')$)

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\,\mathbf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu : \rho(\mathbf{x}') \neq \nu \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho[\mathbf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \mathsf{tt}\} \cap \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\,\mathbf{y}\rangle \mid \mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \in \mathcal{D}(\mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \langle \mathbf{x}',\,\mathbf{y}\rangle\}$$

$$\langle \mathsf{def.}(47.19) \; \mathsf{of} \; \mathcal{D}\ell\langle \mathbf{x}',\,\mathbf{y}\rangle \rangle$$

$$= \{ \langle \mathsf{x}', \, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu \, . \, \rho(\mathsf{x}') \neq \nu \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \rho[\mathsf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \mathsf{tt} \} \cap \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{\mathcal{S}^{+\infty}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]\}) \text{ after}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \})$$

$$? \det. \subseteq \text{and def. } (47.25) \text{ of } \alpha^{\mathsf{d}} \}$$

In words for that second case, the initial value of x' flows to the value of y by the true branch of the conditional if (B) S_t when there are at least two different values of x' for which B is true and x' flows to the value of y in S_t .

 $\subseteq \widehat{\overline{\mathbf{S}}}_{\text{diff}}^{\exists} [\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \text{ after} [\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \mid \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B})$

(by structural ind. hyp. , def. (47.48) of nondet, and def. of the left restriction \rceil of a relation in Section 2.2.2 \S

$$\subseteq \widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\text{diff}}^{\exists}[S_t]$$
 after $[S_t]$ (A coarse over-approximation ignoring values)

-(2.c-d) — Otherwise, one execution is through the true branch (say π_0 at $[S]\pi_1$ after [S]) and the other is through the false branch (say π'_0 at $[S]\pi'_1$ after [S]), we have (the other case is symmetric),

(??)

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}', \, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \pi_1 \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \in \{\pi \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi' \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \mid \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket \pi' \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket (\pi \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket) \} . \ \exists \pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \pi'_1 \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \in \{\pi \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \mid \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) = \mathsf{ff} \} . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \mathsf{z}) \land (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \pi_1 \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \pi'_1 \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \mathsf{y}) \}$

$$\langle \text{case } \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \llbracket \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) = \mathsf{tt} \text{ and } \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \llbracket \varrho(\pi'_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) = \mathsf{ff} \rangle$$

```
= \{\langle \mathsf{x}', \; \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' : \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] (\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} : \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{y} \}
\langle \mathsf{def.} \in \mathcal{S} \rangle
```

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}', \; \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \bar{\pi}_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' \; . \; \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] (\bar{\pi}_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \wedge \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \; . \; \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{z}) \wedge (\varrho(\bar{\pi}_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{y} + \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{y} \}$

(letting $\bar{\pi}_0$ at $\llbracket S_t \rrbracket = \pi_0$ at $\llbracket S \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}}$ at $\llbracket S_t \rrbracket$ so that by def. (6.6) of ϱ , $\varrho(\pi_0$ at $\llbracket S \rrbracket) = \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0$ at $\llbracket S_t \rrbracket$ so \mathfrak{B} $\llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0$ at $\llbracket S \rrbracket) = \mathfrak{B}$ $\llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0$ at $\llbracket S_t \rrbracket$ and $\varrho(\pi'_0$ at $\llbracket S \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})}$ after $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ by $g(\pi_0$ at $\llbracket S \rrbracket)$ and $g(\pi'_0$ at $\llbracket S \rrbracket)$ after $g(\pi'_0$ at $g(\pi'_0$ at g

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}', \; \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \bar{\pi}_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' : \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] (\bar{\pi}_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathsf{ff} \land (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} : \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \mathsf{z}) \land (\varrho(\bar{\pi}_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \mathsf{y}\}$

(by def. (6.6) of ϱ so that $\varrho(\pi'_0 \text{at}[S]) = \varrho(\pi'_0 \text{at}[S] \xrightarrow{B} \text{at}[S_t])$

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' : (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} : \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{S} [\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathbf{S} [\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathsf{ff} \land \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty} [\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] (\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \land (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathsf{y} \}$

(letting π'_0 at $[S_t] = \pi'_0$ at $[S] \xrightarrow{B}$ at $[S_t]$, commutativity of \land)

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \ \mathbf{x}' \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' \ . \ (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land \mathfrak{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathrm{tt} \land \mathfrak{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathrm{ff} \land \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]\pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!](\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \land (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]\pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{x}' \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{x}'\}$ $\cup \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \mathbf{x}' \neq \mathbf{y} \land \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' \ . \ (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land (\mathbf{y} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]))$

 $\begin{array}{l} \cup \; \{\langle \mathsf{x}',\;\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \mathsf{x}' \neq \mathsf{y} \wedge \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' \; . \; (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \\ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) = \; \mathsf{tt} \wedge \; \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) = \; \mathsf{ff} \wedge \; \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]\pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \in \; \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\; + \infty}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!](\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \wedge \\ (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]\pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \mathsf{y} \}$

 $\langle \text{since when } \mathbf{x}' \neq \mathbf{y}, \varrho(\pi'_0 \text{at}[S_t]) \mathbf{y} = \varrho(\pi_0 \text{at}[S_t]) \mathbf{y} \rangle$

In words for that third case, x' flows to x' if and only if changing x' changes the boolean expression B and when B is true, S_t changes x' to a value different from that when B is false. A counter-example is if (x' != 1) x' = 1;

Moreover, x' flows to $y \neq x'$ if and only if changing x' changes the boolean expression B and when B is true, S_t changes y.

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' : (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \backslash \{\mathbf{x}'\} : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathrm{tt} \land \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathrm{ft} \land \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] (\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \land (\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathrm{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{y} \neq \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \mathbf{y} \}$ \(\text{\text{grouping cases together}}\)

```
= \{\langle \mathsf{x}', \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' : (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \backslash \{\mathsf{x}'\} : \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \mathsf{z}) \land \mathcal{B}[\![\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\![\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) = \mathsf{ff} \land \mathsf{at}[\![\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\![\mathsf{S}]\!]] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] (\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \land (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \mathsf{after}[\![\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) ) \neq \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \mathsf{y}\} \mid \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})
```

(letting $\rho = \varrho(\pi_0 \text{at}[S])$, $\nu = \varrho(\pi'_0 \text{at}[S]) x'$ so that $\forall z \in V \setminus \{x'\}$. $\varrho(\pi_0 \text{at}[S]) z = \varrho(\pi'_0 \text{at}[S]) z$ implies $\varrho(\pi'_0 \text{at}[S]) = \rho[x' \leftarrow \nu]$. It follows that $\exists \rho, \nu \cdot \rho(x') \neq \nu \land \Re[B] \rho = \text{tt} \land \Re[B] \rho[x' \leftarrow \nu] = \text{ff.}$ Therefore, by def. (47.48) of nondet, $x' \in \text{nondet}(B, \neg B)$

 $\subseteq \{\langle x', y \rangle \mid x' \in \text{nondet}(B, \neg B) \land y \in \text{mod}[S_t]\}$

(Since $\{x \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1 : at[S] \pi_1 \text{after}[S] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*[S] (\pi_0 \text{at}[S]) \land \varrho(\pi_0 \text{at}[S] \pi_1 \text{after}[S]) x \neq \varrho(\pi_0 \text{at}[S]) x \} \subseteq \text{mod}[S]$, a simple coarse approximation is to consider the variables y appearing to the left of an assignment in S_t , a necessary condition for y to be modified by the execution of S_t where the set mod[S] of variables that may be modified by the execution of S is syntactically defined as in (47.50). S

= nondet(B,
$$\neg$$
B) × mod[S_t]] (def. cartesian product)

$$\subseteq \{\langle x', y \rangle \mid x' \in \text{wars}[B] \land y \in \text{mod}[S_t]\}$$

(nondet(B, \neg B) can be over-approximated by the set of variables x' occurring in the boolean expression B as defined in Exercise 3.3 \(\)

Exercise 2 Prove that for all program components $S \in Pc$,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbf{x} \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1 \text{ . at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \pi_1 & \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{+\infty}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] (\pi_0 & \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \land \\ & \varrho(\pi_0 & \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \pi_1 & \mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{x} \neq \varrho(\pi_0 & \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \mathbf{x}\} \subseteq & \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]. \end{aligned}$$

— (3) — Finally, assume $\ell \in \inf[S_t]$. $\alpha^{d}(\{\mathcal{S}^*[S]\}) \ell$

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \mathbf{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \in \mathcal{D}^{\ell} \langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \}$$
 (def. (47.25) of α^4)

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0,\ \pi_1\rangle, \langle \pi_0',\ \pi_1'\rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \ . \ (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0)\mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0')\mathbf{z}) \land \\ \mathrm{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!]\ell(\pi_0,\pi_1), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!]\ell(\pi_0',\pi_1'))\} \qquad \qquad \big\langle \mathrm{def.} \ (47.19) \ \mathrm{of} \ \mathcal{D}^\ell\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \big\rangle$$

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\ \mathbf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0,\ \pi_1\rangle, \langle \pi_0',\ \pi_1'\rangle \in \{\langle \pi \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!],\ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi'^\ell \pi''\rangle \mid \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) = \\ \mathsf{tt} \wedge \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi'^\ell \pi'' \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] (\pi \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \} \ . \ (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0') \mathbf{z}) \wedge \\ \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] \ell(\pi_0,\pi_1), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] \ell(\pi_0',\pi_1')) \} \qquad \qquad \langle \mathsf{def.} \ (6.19) \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \rangle$$

$$= \{\langle \mathsf{x}',\ \mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0,\ \pi_1\rangle, \langle \pi_0',\ \pi_1'\rangle \in \{\langle \mathsf{\piat}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!],\ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi'^\ell \pi''\rangle \mid \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) = \\ \text{tt } \wedge \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] \pi'^\ell \pi'' \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!] (\pi \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]) \} \ . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}'\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0') \mathsf{z}) \wedge \\ \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell(\pi_0,\pi_1), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell(\pi_0',\pi_1')) \}$$

```
(since if \langle \pi_0, \pi_1 \rangle (or \langle \pi'_0, \pi'_1 \rangle) has the form \langle \pi \text{at}[S], \text{at}[S] \rangle \longrightarrow \text{after}[S] \rangle then \ell does not appear in \pi_1 (resp. \pi'_1) so that, by (47.16), seqval[y]\ell(\pi_0, \pi_1) = \emptyset (resp. seqval[y]\ell(\pi'_0, \pi'_1) = \emptyset and therefore, by (47.18), diff(seqval[y](\ell)(\pi_0, \pi_1), seqval[y](\ell)(\pi'_0, \pi'_1)) is false \int d^{-1} d^{
```

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}',\,\mathbf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_0', \pi_1', \pi_2' : \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) = \mathrm{tt} \wedge \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \ell \pi_2 \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] (\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) = \mathrm{tt} \wedge \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1' \ell \pi_2' \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] (\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!]) \wedge (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \backslash \{\mathbf{x}'\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!]) \times \ell \notin \pi_1 \wedge \ell \notin \pi_1' \wedge \mathrm{diff}(\mathrm{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] \ell(\pi_0 \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1 \ell, \ell \pi_2),$ $\mathrm{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!] \ell(\pi_0' \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!] \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \pi_1' \ell, \ell \pi_2')) \}$

 ℓ def. ϵ and if ℓ has multiple occurrences in $\pi'_1\ell\pi'_2$, we choose the first one, same for $\pi'_1\ell\pi'_2$

(letting $\bar{\pi}_0$ at $\llbracket S_t \rrbracket = \pi_0$ at $\llbracket S_t \rrbracket \xrightarrow{B}$ at $\llbracket S_t \rrbracket$, $\bar{\pi}_0'$ at $\llbracket S_t \rrbracket = \pi_0'$ at $\llbracket S_t \rrbracket = \pi_0'$ at $\llbracket S_t \rrbracket$ so that by def. (6.6) of ϱ , $\varrho(\bar{\pi}_0$ at $\llbracket S_t \rrbracket) = \varrho(\pi_0$ at $\llbracket S_t \rrbracket)$ and $\varrho(\bar{\pi}_0'$ at $\llbracket S_t \rrbracket) = \varrho(\pi_0'$ at $\llbracket S_t \rrbracket)$ so that by

 $= \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu : \rho(\mathbf{x}') \neq \nu \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho[\mathbf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \mathsf{tt} \} \cap \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \in \mathcal{D}(\ell) \langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle\}$ $\text{?letting } \rho = \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0), \nu = \varrho(\bar{\pi}_0')(\mathbf{x}') \text{ and def. (47.19) of } \mathcal{D}^\ell \langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y} \rangle \}$

 $= \{ \langle \mathsf{x}', \, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu : \rho(\mathsf{x}') \neq \nu \land \mathfrak{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathfrak{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \rho[\mathsf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \mathsf{tt} \} \cap \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]\}) \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathsf{d}}(\{\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_t]\!]\}) \cap \mathcal{S}^{\mathsf{d}}(\{\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{$

 $\partial def. (47.25) of \alpha^d$

$$\subseteq \{\langle \mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu \, . \, \rho(\mathbf{x}') \neq \nu \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho = \mathbf{tt} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!] \rho[\mathbf{x}' \leftarrow \nu] = \mathbf{tt}\} \cap \mathcal{S}^{\mathsf{d}}[\![\mathbf{S}_t]\!] \ell$$

?structural induction hypothesis \

$$= \mathcal{S}^{d} \llbracket S_t \rrbracket \ell \rceil \text{ nondet(B, B)}$$

 $\langle \text{def.} (47.48) \text{ of nondet} \rangle$

In words, the initial value of x' flows to the value of y at ℓ in the true branch S_t of the conditional if (B) S_t when there are at least two different values of x' for which B is true and x' flows to the value of y at ℓ in S_t .

 $\subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\operatorname{d}} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_t \rrbracket \ \ell$

(A coarse over-approximation ignoring values *i.e.* that the conditional holds for only one value of x' \

Proof of (47.63) By Lemma 47.23, the Definition 47.28 of value dependency using the maximal traces semantics is equivalent to the definition of value dependency for finite prefix traces, as defined by (17.4). So the soundness of (47.63) follows from the following (??):

$$\alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{S}^{*}[[\mathbf{S}]]) = \alpha^{\mathbf{d}}(\mathsf{lfp}^{\varsigma}\mathcal{F}^{*}[[\mathbf{while}\,^{\ell}(\mathbf{B})\,\mathbf{S}_{b}]])$$

$$\dot{\subseteq} \mathsf{lfp}^{\varsigma}\mathcal{F}^{\mathsf{diff}}[[\mathbf{while}\,^{\ell}(\mathbf{B})\,\mathbf{S}_{b}]] = \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathsf{diff}}^{\exists}[[\mathbf{S}]]$$
(3)

The proof of (??) is an application of Exercise 18.17. $\langle C, \sqsubseteq, \bot, \sqcup \rangle$ is the complete lattice $\langle \wp(\wp(\mathbb{T}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^{+\infty})), \subseteq, \varnothing, \cup \rangle$. $\langle \mathcal{A}, \prec, 0, \vee \rangle$ is the complete lattice $\langle \mathbb{P}^d, \subseteq^d, \bot^d, \cup^d \rangle$. The Galois connection $\langle C, \sqsubseteq \rangle \xrightarrow[\alpha]{\gamma} \langle \mathcal{A}, \prec \rangle$ is given by Lemma 47.26. The transformer f is (17.4). It preserves arbitrary non-empty unions so it is continuous. The transformer g is (47.63). It preserves arbitrary non-empty unions pointwise so it is pointwise continuous (*i.e.* for \subseteq^d and \cup^d defined pointwise). The main point of the proof is to check the semi-commutation condition

$$\alpha^{\mathbf{d}} \circ \mathcal{F}^* \llbracket \mathsf{while} \, \ell \; (\mathsf{B}) \; \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \; \stackrel{.}{\subseteq} \; \mathcal{F}^{\mathsf{diff}} \llbracket \mathsf{while} \, \ell \; (\mathsf{B}) \; \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \circ \alpha^{\mathsf{d}} \,.$$
 (4)

By Exercise 18.17, we need to make the proof only for elements $X \in \mathcal{X}$ where \mathcal{X} is chosen to be exactly the iterates of the transformer $\mathcal{F}^*[\![\text{while}\ ^\ell\ (B)\ S_b]\!]$ from \emptyset .

In practice, we have discovered $\mathcal{F}^{\text{diff}}[\![\text{while }\ell\ (B)\ S_b]\!]$ knowing $\mathcal{F}^*[\![\text{while }\ell\ (B)\ S_b]\!]$ and α^d by rewriting until getting a formula of the form $\mathcal{F}^{\text{diff}}[\![\text{while }\ell\ (B)\ S_b]\!] \circ \alpha^d$ and using $\dot{\subseteq}$ -overapproximations to ignore values in the static analysis. By Exercise 18.17, we conclude that

$$\alpha^{\operatorname{d}}(\mathsf{lfp}^{\varsigma}\, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^*[\![\mathsf{while}\,\,{}^{\ell}\,\,(\mathsf{B})\,\,\mathsf{S}_b]\!]) \stackrel{.}{\subseteq} \mathsf{lfp}^{\varsigma}\, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{\operatorname{diff}}[\![\mathsf{while}\,\,{}^{\ell}\,\,(\mathsf{B})\,\,\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\,.$$

The proof of semi-commutation (??) is by calculational design as follows. By def. (47.18) of diff, we do not have to compare futures of prefix traces where one is a prefix of the other.

```
\alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{\mathscr{F}^{*}[\![\mathsf{while}\,^{\ell}(\mathsf{B})\,\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!]\,X\})\,^{\ell'}\\ = \{\langle\mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle\,|\,\,\mathscr{F}^{*}[\![\mathsf{while}\,^{\ell}(\mathsf{B})\,\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!]\,X\in\mathcal{D}(\ell')\langle\mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle\} \qquad \qquad \big\langle \mathrm{def.}\,(47.25)\,\mathrm{of}\,\alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}\big\rangle\\ = \{\langle\mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle\,|\,\,\exists\langle\pi_{0},\,\pi_{1}\rangle,\langle\pi_{0}',\,\pi_{1}'\rangle\in\,\mathscr{F}^{*}[\![\mathsf{while}\,^{\ell}(\mathsf{B})\,\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!]\,X\,\,.\,\,(\forall\mathsf{z}\in\mathscr{V}\setminus\{\mathsf{x}\}\,.\,\varrho(\pi_{0})\mathsf{z}=\varrho(\pi_{0}')\mathsf{z})\,\wedge\\ \mathrm{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]\ell'(\pi_{0},\pi_{1}),\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]\ell'(\pi_{0}',\pi_{1}'))\} \qquad \qquad \big\langle \mathrm{def.}\,(47.19)\,\mathrm{of}\,\mathcal{D}^{\ell}\langle\mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle\big\rangle\\ = \{\langle\mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle\,|\,\,\,\exists\langle\pi_{0}^{\ell},\,\ell\pi_{1}\rangle,\langle\pi_{0}'^{\ell},\,\ell\pi_{1}'\rangle\in\,\mathscr{F}^{*}[\![\mathsf{while}\,^{\ell}(\mathsf{B})\,\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!]\,X\,\,.\,\,(\forall\mathsf{z}\in\mathscr{V}\setminus\{\mathsf{x}\}\,\,.\\ \varrho(\pi_{0}^{\ell})\mathsf{z}=\varrho(\pi_{0}'^{\ell})\mathsf{z})\,\wedge\,\,\mathrm{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]\ell'(\pi_{0}^{\ell},\ell\pi_{1}),\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]\ell'(\pi_{0}'^{\ell},\ell\pi_{1}'))\}\\ \big\langle\mathsf{since}\,\langle\pi_{0}^{\ell}\ell,\,\ell''\pi_{1}\rangle\,\notin\,\mathscr{F}^{*}[\![\mathsf{while}\,^{\ell}(\mathsf{B})\,\mathsf{S}_{b}]\!](X)\,\,\mathrm{when}\,\ell'\neq\ell\,\,\mathrm{or}\,\ell''\neq\ell\big\rangle\\ \end{aligned}
```

There are three main cases depending on whether the dependency observation point ℓ' is (1) at the iteration (so $\ell' = \ell = \text{at}[\text{while } \ell \text{ (B) } S_b]$), (2) is in the loop body (so $\ell' \in \text{in}[S_b]$), or (3) is after the iteration (so $\ell' = \text{after}[\text{while } \ell \text{ (B) } S_b]$).

For each of these case, we have to consider all possible ways the traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi'_1$ in (??) can go through the dependency observation program point ℓ' . The definition of \mathcal{F}^* below shows all possible choices (A), (B), or (C) of $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi'_1$ in (??). Notice that diff in (47.16) is commutative so $\langle \pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_1 \rangle$ and $\langle \pi'_0 \ell, \ell \pi'_1 \rangle$ play symmetric rôles in (??) which reduces the number of cases to be considered.

$$\mathcal{F}^*[\text{while } \ell \text{ (B) } S_b](X) \triangleq \{\langle \pi_0 \ell, \ell \rangle\}$$
 (A) (17.4)

$$\cup \left\{ \langle \pi_0 ^{\ell}, \ ^{\ell} \pi_2 ^{\ell} \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^{\ell''} \rangle \ \middle| \ \langle \pi_0 ^{\ell}, \ ^{\ell} \pi_2 ^{\ell} \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0 ^{\ell} \pi_2 ^{\ell}) = \mathsf{tt} \right. \tag{B}$$

$$\wedge \ \langle \pi_0^{}\ell \pi_2^{}\ell \xrightarrow{\;\;\mathsf{B}\;\;} \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \ \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \}$$

$$\cup \left\{ \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \xrightarrow{\neg (\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \ \middle| \ \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell) = \mathsf{ff} \right\} \tag{C}$$

The case (B) covers essentially 3 subcases depending of where is ℓ'' that is where the prefix observation at $[S_b]\pi_3\ell''$ of the execution of the body S_b has terminated:

- **(Ba)** within the loop body $\ell'' \in \inf[S_h]$;
- **(Bb)** after the loop body $\ell'' = \text{after}[S_b] = \text{at}[S] = \ell$, because of the normal termination of the loop body, and thus at ℓ , just before the next iteration or the loop exit;
- (Bc) after the loop $\ell'' = \text{after}[S]$ because of a **break**; statement in the loop body S_h ;
- (1) If the dependency observation point ℓ' is at loop entry then $\ell' = \ell = \text{at}[\text{while } \ell \text{ (B) } S_b]$. There are three subcases, depending on how $\ell' = \ell$ is reached $\ell \pi_1$ by (A), (B), or (C) of $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi'_1$ in (??).
- (1–A) In the first case $\ell \pi_1 = \ell$ so $\pi_1 = \ni$ in (A). We have seqval $[\![y]\!]\ell'(\pi_0\ell,\ell) = \varrho(\pi_0\ell)y$ by (47.16). Whether $\ell \pi_1'$ is determined by (A), (B), or (C) we have in all cases that seqval $[\![y]\!]\ell'(\pi_0'\ell,\ell\pi_1') = \varrho(\pi_0'\ell) \cap \sigma$ where σ is a possibly empty sequence of values of y at $\ell' = \ell$. By def. (47.18) of diff, we dont't care about σ since diff(seqval $[\![y]\!]\ell'(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_1)$, seqval $[\![y]\!]\ell'(\pi_0'\ell,\ell\pi_1')$) is true if and only if $\varrho(\pi_0\ell)y \neq \varrho(\pi_0'\ell)$. In that case, we have

(§§)

$$= \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \ell \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0' \ell, \ \ell \pi_1' \rangle \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^* \llbracket \mathbf{while} \ \ell \ (\mathbf{B}) \ \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \ X \ . \ (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \ . \ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{z} = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0' \ell) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{y} \neq \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \ell) \mathbf{y} \}$$

$$\subseteq \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0^{\ell}, \pi_0'^{\ell} \cdot (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \land (\varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0'^{\ell}) \mathsf{y})\} \qquad \text{ℓ def. } \subseteq \}$$

$$= \{ \langle \mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \rho, \nu \, . \, \rho(\mathsf{y}) \neq \rho[\mathsf{x} \leftarrow \nu](\mathsf{y}) \}$$

letting
$$\rho = \varrho(\pi_0 \ell)$$
, $\rho[x \leftarrow v] = \varrho(\pi'_0 \ell)$ and Exercise 6.8

=
$$\{\langle x, x \rangle \mid x \in V\}$$
 \(\lambda\) def. (19.10) of the environment assignment\(\rangle\)

```
— (1-Ba/Bc/C) In this second case the trace \ell \pi_1 corresponds to one or more iterations of the
loop followed by an execution of the loop body or a loop exit.
- In case (Ba), we have
              seqval\llbracket y \rrbracket \ell'(\pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_1)
= \operatorname{seqval}[\![y]\!] \ell'(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_2\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3\ell'') \text{ where } \langle \pi_0\ell,\ \ell\pi_2\ell \rangle \ \in \ X \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) \ = \ \operatorname{tt} \ \land \ 
               \langle \pi_0^{\ell} \pi_2^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![ \mathsf{S}_h ]\!], \; \mathsf{at} [\![ \mathsf{S}_h ]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* [\![ \mathsf{S}_h ]\!]
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           \{(B) \text{ with } \ell'' \in \operatorname{in}[S_b]\}
 = seqval[\![y]\!]\ell'(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_2\ell) where \langle \pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_2\ell \rangle \in X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![B]\!]\varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) = tt
                                       (def. (47.16) of seqval [y] since \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![ \mathsf{S}_b ]\!], \mathsf{at} [\![ \mathsf{S}_b ]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* [\![ \mathsf{S}_b ]\!] \mathsf{ with } \ell'' \in \mathsf{in} [\![ \mathsf{S}_b ]\!] \mathsf{ so that } \ell \mathsf{ cannot appear in the trace } \mathsf{at} [\![ \mathsf{S}_b ]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \rangle
 - In case (Bc), we have
              seqval\llbracket y \rrbracket \ell'(\pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_1)
= \ \mathsf{seqval}[\![\![ \mathsf{y}]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0^\ell, {}^\ell\!\pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\![ \mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{break-to}[\![\![ \mathsf{S}]\!]) \ \mathsf{where} \ \langle \pi_0^\ell,
             X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\![B]\!]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) = \mathrm{tt} \wedge \langle \pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\!], \, \mathrm{at}[\![\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\!] \pi_3\ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathrm{break-to}[\![\![\mathsf{S}]\!]\!] \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\!]
                                                                                                                                                                                                                 ?(B) with \ell'' \in \text{breaks-of}[S] and \text{break-to}[S] = \text{after}[S]
 = seqval\llbracket y \rrbracket \ell'(\pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_2 \ell) where \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \in X \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket B \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell) = tt
                                        \langle \operatorname{def.} (47.16) \operatorname{ of seqval}[\![y]\!] \operatorname{ since } \langle \pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell \xrightarrow{\quad \mathsf{B} \quad} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \operatorname{ at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 ^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\quad \mathsf{break} \quad} \operatorname{break-to}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \rangle \in \mathbb{R} 
                                                 \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket S_h \rrbracket so that \ell cannot appear in the trace at \llbracket S_h \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{break-to} \llbracket S \rrbracket \rbrace
 - In case (C), we have
              seqval[y]^{\ell'}(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_1)
= \operatorname{seqval}[\![y]\!] \ell'(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_2\ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \operatorname{after}[\![S]\!]) \text{ where } \langle \pi_0\ell,\,\ell\pi_2\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B}[\![B]\!] \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) = \operatorname{ff} \qquad \mathsf{\r{l}}(\mathsf{C}) \mathsf{\r{l}}
 = seqval[y]\ell'(\pi_0\ell, \ell\pi_2\ell) where \langle \pi_0\ell, \ell\pi_2\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[B]\varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) = ff
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          \frac{1}{2} def. (47.16) of sequal [y]
                     In all of these cases, the future observation sequal \|y\|^{\ell'}(\pi_0^{\ell}, \ell \pi_1) is the same so we can handle
 all cases (1-Ba/Bc/C) as follows:
 = \left\{ \langle \mathsf{x}, \; \mathsf{y} \rangle \; | \; \exists \langle \pi_0 \ell, \; \ell \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0' \ell, \; \ell \pi_1' \rangle \; \in \; \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^* \llbracket \mathsf{while} \; \ell \; (\mathsf{B}) \; \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \; X \; . \; (\forall \mathsf{z} \; \in \; \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) \mathsf{z} \; = \; \mathcal{V} \right\} 
                  \varrho(\pi'_0\ell)z) \wedge \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!]\ell'(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_1),\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!]\ell'(\pi'_0\ell,\ell\pi'_1))\}
 \subseteq \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \in X . \exists \langle \pi_0' \ell, \ell \pi_1' \rangle \in \mathcal{F}^* \llbracket \mathsf{while} \ \ell \ (\mathsf{B}) \ \mathsf{S}_h \rrbracket X . (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} . )
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           (6)
```

 $\langle def. identity relation on the set V of variables in Section 2.2.2 \rangle$

abstracting away the value of the conditions \

 $\varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0^\prime \ell) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell^\prime(\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell^\prime(\pi_0^\prime \ell, \ell \pi_1^\prime)) \}$

The possible choices for $\langle \pi'_0 \ell, \ell \pi'_1 \rangle \in \mathcal{F}^*[\text{while } \ell \text{ (B) } S_b] X$ are given by (A), (B), and (C) and are considered below.

- (1-Ba/Bc/C-A) This case is the symmetric of (1-A), and so has already bean considered.
- (1-Ba/Bc/C-Ba/Bc/C) In this case the above reasoning that we have done in (1-Ba/Bc/C) for the first trace $\ell \pi_1$ is also valid for the second trace $\ell \pi_1'$, and so we get

(??)

- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell \rangle \in X : \exists \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ell \pi_1' \rangle \in \mathscr{F}^* \llbracket \mathsf{while} \ \ell \ (\mathsf{B}) \ \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket X : (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket \ell'(\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell), \mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket \ell'(\pi_0'^\ell, \ell \pi_1')) \}$
- $\leq \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \, \ell \pi_2^\ell \rangle \in X \; . \; \exists \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \, \ell \pi_2'^\ell \rangle \in X \; . \; (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \\ \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\![\mathsf{y}]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\![\![\mathsf{y}]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0'^\ell, \ell \pi_2'^\ell))\}$

abstracting away the value of the conditions \

 $\subseteq \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0', \ \pi_1' \rangle \in X \quad . \quad (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \quad . \quad \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0') \mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]^\ell(\pi_0, \pi_1), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]^\ell(\pi_0', \pi_1'))\}$

(letting
$$\pi_0 \leftarrow \pi_0 \ell$$
, $\pi_1 \leftarrow \ell \pi_2 \ell$, $\pi'_0 \leftarrow \pi'_0 \ell$, $\pi'_1 \leftarrow \ell \pi'_2 \ell$, and $\ell' = \ell$ in case (1))

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid X \in \{\Pi \in \wp(\mathbb{T}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^{+\infty}) \mid \exists \langle \pi_0, \ \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0', \ \pi_1' \rangle \in \Pi : (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0')\mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]^\ell(\pi_0, \pi_1), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]^\ell(\pi_0', \pi_1'))\}\}$ $\langle \mathsf{def}. \in \mathcal{G} \rangle$

$$= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid X \in \mathcal{D}^{\ell} \langle \mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \rangle\}$$
 \(\lambda \text{def. (47.19) of } \mathcal{D}^{\ell} \lambda \text{x}', \, \mathcal{y} \rangle \rangle

$$= \alpha^{4}(\lbrace X \rbrace)^{\varrho} \qquad \qquad (\text{def. } (47.25) \text{ of } \alpha^{4})$$

- (1-Ba/Bc/C-Bb) In this case we are in case (1-Ba/Bc/C) for the first prefix observation trace $\ell \pi_1$ corresponding to one or more iterations of the loop followed by an execution of the loop body or a loop exit and in case Bb for the second trace $\ell \pi'_1$ so that, after zero or more executions, the loop body has terminated normally at $\ell'' = \text{after}[S_b] = \text{at}[S] = \ell$ and the prefix observation stops there, just before the next iteration or the loop exit. We have

(??)

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell \rangle \in X : \exists \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ell \pi_1' \rangle \in \mathscr{F}^* \llbracket \mathsf{while} \ \ell \ (\mathsf{B}) \ \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket X : (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \backslash \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket^\ell(\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell), \mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket^\ell(\pi_0'^\ell, \ell \pi_1')) \}$

$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 case (1) so $\ell' = \ell = \text{at}[\text{while } \ell \text{ (B) } S_{k}]$

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0 ^\ell,\, \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \rangle \in X \;.\; \exists \langle \pi_0 ^\prime ^\ell,\, \ell \pi_1 ^\prime \rangle \in \{\langle \pi_0 ^\prime ^\ell,\, \ell \pi_2 ^\prime \ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{s}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^{\ell''} \rangle \mid \langle \pi_0 ^\prime ^\ell,\, \ell \pi_2 ^\prime \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0 ^\prime ^\ell \pi_2 ^\prime \ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0 ^\prime ^\ell \ell \pi_2 ^\prime \ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{s}_b \rrbracket,\, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{s}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^{\ell''} \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{s}_b \rrbracket \land \ell'' = \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{s}_b \rrbracket = \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{s} \rrbracket = \ell \} \;.\; (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \;.\; \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho (\pi_0 ^\prime ^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket \ell (\pi_0 ^\ell, \ell \pi_2 ^\ell), \mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket \ell (\pi_0 ^\prime ^\ell, \ell \pi_1 ^\prime)) \}$ $\ell \mathsf{case} \; (\mathsf{Bb}) \; \mathsf{for} \; \ell \pi_1 ^\prime \mathsf{s} \mathsf{seqval} \; \ell \mathsf{$

```
=\{\langle \mathsf{x},\ \mathsf{y}\rangle\ \mid\ \exists \langle \pi_0^{\,\ell},\ {}^\ell\!\pi_2^{\,\ell}\rangle\ \in\ X\ .\ \exists \langle \pi_0^{\prime}{}^\ell,\ {}^\ell\!\pi_2^{\prime}{}^\ell\xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3{}^\ell\rangle\ .\ \langle \pi_0^{\prime}{}^\ell,\ {}^\ell\!\pi_2^{\prime}{}^\ell\rangle\ \in\ X\ \land
                                        \mathcal{B}[\![B]\!]\varrho(\pi_0'^{\ell}\pi_2'^{\ell}\ell) = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \langle \pi_0'^{\ell}\pi_2'^{\ell}\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!], \operatorname{at}[\![S_b]\!]\pi_3\ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \cdot 
                                           \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell)\mathbf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!]\ell(\pi_0^\ell,\ell\pi_2^\ell),\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!]\ell(\pi_0'^\ell,\ell\pi_2'^\ell \overset{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3^\ell))\}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               7 \operatorname{def.} \in \operatorname{and} \ell'' = \ell 
   \mathcal{B}[\![B]\!] \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell) = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \langle \pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^\ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \operatorname{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]^\ell(\pi_0^\ell, \ell\pi_2^\ell), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]^\ell(\pi_0'^\ell, \ell\pi_2'^\ell)) \} 
                                   \{\langle \mathsf{x},\;\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0\ell,\; \ell\pi_2\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; . \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_h \rrbracket \pi_3\ell\rangle \; . \; \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'\ell,\; \ell\pi_2'\ell\rangle \; \in \; X \; \land \; \exists \langle
                                        \mathcal{B}[\![B]\!]\varrho(\pi'_0\ell\pi'_2\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \langle \pi'_0\ell\pi'_2\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![S_h]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![S_h]\!]\pi_3\ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_h]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_h]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_h]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_h]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_h]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_h]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_h]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_h]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_h]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_h]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_h]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_h]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_h]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_h]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_h]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_h]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_h]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_h]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \mathsf{z} = \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_h]\!] \wedge (\mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0\ell) \cdot \varrho(\pi
                                           \varrho(\pi_0'^{\ell})z) \wedge \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!]^{\ell}(\pi_0^{\ell}, \ell\pi_2^{\ell}), \mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!]^{\ell}(\pi_0'^{\ell}\pi_2'^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_h]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_h]\!]\pi_3^{\ell}))\}
                                                                                                  ? By def. (47.16) of seqval \llbracket y \rrbracket and (47.18) of diff, there is an instant of \ell in \ell \pi_2 \ell
                                                                                                             and one in \ell \pi'_2 \ell \xrightarrow{B} \text{at} [S_h] \pi_3 \ell where the values of y while being the same be-
                                                                                                                fore. So there are two possible cases whether this \ell is in \ell \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{B} at [S_h] or
                                                                                                             in \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{s}_b]\!]\pi_3^\ell. So we have \operatorname{diff}(\operatorname{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!]^\ell(\pi_0^\ell,\ell\pi_2^\ell),\operatorname{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!]^\ell(\pi_0^\prime\ell,\ell\pi_2^\prime\ell)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3\ell)) \qquad = \qquad \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]\ell(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_2\ell),\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]\ell(\pi_0'\ell,\ell\pi_2'\ell))
                                                                                                             \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!]^\ell(\pi_0^\ell,\ell\pi_2^\ell),\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!]^\ell(\pi_0^\ell\ell\pi_2^\prime\ell\xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}}\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!],\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3^\ell)))
 \begin{split} & \subseteq \ \{ \langle \mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^{\,\ell}, \, \ell \pi_2^{\,\ell} \ell \rangle \in X \, . \, \exists \langle \pi_0'^{\,\ell}, \, \ell \pi_2'^{\,\ell} \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![ \mathsf{S}_b ]\!] \pi_3^{\,\ell} \ell \rangle \, . \, \langle \pi_0'^{\,\ell}, \, \ell \pi_2'^{\,\ell} \ell \rangle \in X \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \\ & \varrho(\pi_0^{\,\ell}) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^{\,\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![ \mathsf{y} ]\!] \ell(\pi_0^{\,\ell}, \ell \pi_2^{\,\ell}), \mathsf{seqval}[\![ \mathsf{y} ]\!] \ell(\pi_0'^{\,\ell}, \ell \pi_2'^{\,\ell})) \} \end{split} 
                                 \{\langle \mathsf{x},\;\mathsf{y}\rangle\;\;|\;\;\exists\langle \pi_0\ell,\;\ell\pi''_2\ell\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\;\;\mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{s}_b]\!]\pi'_3\ell\rangle\;\;.\;\;\langle \pi_0\ell,\;\ell\pi''_2\ell\rangle\;\in\;X\;\wedge\;\mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi''_2\ell)\;=\;\mathsf{tt}\;\wedge
                                   \langle \pi_0 ^\ell \pi''_2 ^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{at} [\![ \mathsf{S}_b ]\!], \mathsf{at} [\![ \mathsf{S}_b ]\!] \pi'_3 ^\ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* [\![ \mathsf{S}_b ]\!] \wedge \exists \langle \pi'_0 ^\ell, \, \ell \pi'_2 ^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{at} [\![ \mathsf{S}_b ]\!] \pi_3 ^\ell \rangle \; . \; \langle \pi'_0 ^\ell, \ell \pi'_2 ^\ell \rangle \in X \wedge \mathbb{R}^* 
                                      \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{B}]\varrho(\pi_0'^\ell\pi_2'^\ell) = \operatorname{tt} \wedge \langle \pi_0'^\ell\pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3^\ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\}) \cdot \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \operatorname{diff}(\operatorname{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]\ell(\pi_0\ell\pi_2'^\ell) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3'^\ell), \operatorname{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]\ell(\pi_0'^\ell\pi_2'^\ell) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3'^\ell) = \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3'^\ell = \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3'^\ell = \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3'^\ell = \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] = \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3'^\ell = \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] = \operatorname{at}[\![\mathsf{
                                               \operatorname{at}[S_h], \operatorname{at}[S_h]\pi_3\ell))
                                                                                                  \ell for the second term, we are in the case \langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \ell \pi_2^{\ell} \rangle \in X with \ell \pi_2^{\ell} = \ell \pi_1 correspond-
                                                                                                                ing to one or more iterations of the loop (so \ell \pi_2 \ell \neq \ell since otherwise we would be in
```

 $\subseteq \alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{X\})\ell$

ered by the first term. §

case (1–A)), X is an iterate of \mathcal{F}^* [while ℓ (B) S_b], and so, by (17.4), can be written in the form $\ell \pi_2 \ell = \ell \pi''_2 \ell \xrightarrow{B} \operatorname{at} [\![S_b]\!] \pi''_3 \ell$ (where $\ell \pi''_2 \ell$ may be reduced to ℓ for

the first iteration) with $\ell\pi''_2\ell \in X$, $\mathfrak{B}[\![B]\!]\varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi''_2\ell) = \mathrm{tt}$ and $\langle\pi_0\ell\pi''_2\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathrm{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]$, at $[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi'_3\ell\rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]$. Moreover if the difference on y is in $\ell\pi''_2\ell$, the case is cov-

 $\begin{array}{l} \cup \\ \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ell \pi_2'' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3' \ell \rangle \; . \; \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ell \pi_2'' \ell \rangle \in X \wedge \langle \pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2'' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3' \ell \rangle \in \{\langle \pi, \pi' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \mid \mathcal{B} [\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi) \} \wedge \exists \langle \pi_0' ^\ell, \ell \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell \rangle \; . \; \langle \pi_0' ^\ell, \ell \pi_2' \ell \rangle \in X \wedge \langle \pi_0' \ell \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell \rangle \in \{\langle \pi, \pi' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \mid \mathcal{B} [\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi) \} \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \backslash \{\mathsf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0 ^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' ^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} [\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell (\pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2'' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3' \ell), \mathsf{seqval} [\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell (\pi_0' \ell \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell)) \}$

 $\langle \operatorname{since} \varrho(\pi) = \varrho(\pi \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_h \rrbracket) \rangle$

 $=\alpha^4(\{X\})^\ell \cup \{\langle \mathsf{x},\ \mathsf{y}\rangle \ |\ \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell,\ \ell\pi''_2^\ell \in \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi'_3^\ell \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0^\ell,\ \ell\pi''_2^\ell \rangle \in X \land \langle \pi_0^\ell\pi''_2^\ell,\ \ell\xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi'_3^\ell \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0^\ell,\ \ell\pi''_2^\ell \rangle \in X \land \langle \pi_0^\ell\pi''_2^\ell,\ \ell\xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi'_3^\ell \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi_0^\ell,\ \ell\pi''_2^\ell \in \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi \rangle \ \in \{\langle \pi,\pi'\rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \ |\ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi)\}\} \land \exists \langle \pi'_0^\ell,\ \ell\pi'_2^\ell \in \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3^\ell \rangle \ . \ \langle \pi'_0^\ell,\ \ell\pi'_2^\ell \rangle \in X \land \langle \pi'_0^\ell\pi'_2^\ell,\ \ell\xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3^\ell \rangle \ \in \{\langle \pi,\pi'\rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \ |\ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi)\}\} \land (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi'_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]\ell(\pi_0^\ell\pi''_2^\ell,\ell\xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3^\ell))\}$

 $\langle \text{def. } \in \text{, def. } (47.18) \text{ of diff, and def. } (47.16) \text{ of seqval}[[y]] \text{ with } \ell \neq \text{at}[[S_h]] \rangle$

 $\hspace{0.1in} \subseteq \alpha^{\mathbb{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \cup \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_{0}^{\ell_{0}} \pi_{1}^{\ell'} \pi_{2}^{\ell} \pi_{3}, \ \pi'_{0}^{\ell_{0}} \pi'_{1}^{\ell'} \pi'_{2}^{\ell} \pi'_{3} \ . \ \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell_{0}}, \ell_{0} \pi_{1}^{\ell'} \rangle \in X \wedge \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell_{0}} \pi_{1}^{\ell'}, \ell' \pi_{2}^{\ell} \pi_{3} \rangle \in \{\langle \pi_{0}^{\ell}, \ell \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at}[[\mathbf{S}_{b}]] \pi \rangle \mid \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell} \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at}[[\mathbf{S}_{b}]], \ \operatorname{at}[[\mathbf{S}_{b}]] \pi \rangle \in \{\langle \pi, \pi' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{*}[[\mathbf{S}_{b}]] \mid \mathcal{B}[[\mathbf{B}]] \varrho(\pi)\}\} \wedge \langle \pi'_{0}^{\ell_{0}}, \ell_{0}^{\ell} \pi'_{1}^{\ell'} \rangle \in X \wedge \langle \pi'_{0}^{\ell_{0}} \pi'_{1}^{\ell'}, \ell' \pi'_{2}^{\ell} \pi'_{3} \rangle \in \{\langle \pi_{0}^{\ell}, \ell \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at}[[\mathbf{S}_{b}]] \pi \rangle \mid \langle \pi_{0}^{\ell} \stackrel{\mathbb{B}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{at}[[\mathbf{S}_{b}]], \ \operatorname{at}[[\mathbf{S}_{b}]] \pi \rangle \in \{\langle \pi, \pi' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^{*}[[\mathbf{S}_{b}]] \mid \mathcal{B}[[\mathbf{B}]] \varrho(\pi)\}\} \wedge \langle \forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_{0}^{\ell_{0}}) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi'_{0}^{\ell_{0}}) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \operatorname{diff}(\operatorname{seqval}[[\mathbf{y}]] \ell(\pi'_{0}^{\ell_{0}} \pi_{1}^{\ell'} \pi_{2}^{\ell}, \ell \pi'_{3}), \operatorname{seqval}[[\mathbf{y}]] \ell(\pi'_{0}^{\ell_{0}} \pi'_{1}^{\ell'} \pi'_{2}^{\ell}, \ell \pi'_{3}))\})$

(by letting $\pi_0\ell_0 \leftarrow \pi_0\ell$, $\ell_0\pi_1\ell' \leftarrow \ell\pi''_2\ell$, $\ell'\pi_2\ell \leftarrow \ell$, $\ell\pi_3 \leftarrow \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi''_3\ell$, and similarly for the second trace (

(Lemma 47.59 with \mathcal{S} ← X and \mathcal{S}' ← $\{\langle \pi_0^{\ell}, \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi \rangle \mid \langle \pi_0^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi \rangle \}$ at $\{ \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \mid \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi) \} \}$

 $= \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\})^{\varrho} \cup (\alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\})^{\varrho} \circ \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{\{\langle \pi, \pi' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_h \rrbracket \mid \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi)\}\})^{\varrho})$

7 def. (47.25) of α^{d} , (47.18) of diff, and (47.16) of sequal [y] with $\ell \neq \ell$

$$=\alpha^{4}(\lbrace X\rbrace)^{\ell}\cup(\alpha^{4}(\lbrace X\rbrace)^{\ell}\,\,^{\circ}\,(\alpha^{4}(\lbrace \mathcal{S}^{*} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_{h} \rrbracket \rbrace)^{\ell}\,\,^{\uparrow}\,\,\mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B})))$$

$$= \alpha^{4}(\lbrace X \rbrace) \ell \cup (\alpha^{4}(\lbrace X \rbrace) \ell \circ (\alpha^{4}(\lbrace S^{+\infty} \llbracket S_{h} \rrbracket \rbrace) \ell) \rceil \text{ nondet(B, B)}$$
 \(\lambda Lemma 47.23 \lambda

 $\subseteq \alpha^{\mathbb{I}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \cup (\alpha^{\mathbb{I}}(\{X\})^{\ell})^{\ell} \circ (\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{diff}}[S_h]^{\ell}] \text{ nondet}(B,B)))$ ind. hyp. (47.32), \S and I are \subseteq -increasing I

— (1–Bb) In this third and last case for (1), we have $\ell \pi_1 = \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell$ so the prefix observation ends after the normal termination of the loop body at $\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket = \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket = \ell$ (just before the next iteration or the loop exit).

The possible choices for $\langle \pi'_0 \ell, \bar{\ell} \pi'_1 \rangle \in \mathcal{F}^*[\![\text{while } \ell \ (B) \ S_b]\!] X$ are given by (A), (B), and (C) and are considered below.

- (1-Bb-A) This case is the symmetric of (1-A), and so has already been considered.
- (1-Bb-Ba/Bc/C) This case is the symmetric of (1-Ba/Bc/C-Bb), and so has already been considered.
- $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{-} & \textbf{(1-Bb-Bb)} & \textbf{This is the case when the prefix observation traces } \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \ell \pi_1 \rangle \ \text{and} \ \langle \pi_0' \ell, \ \ell \pi_1' \rangle \ \text{in} \\ \textbf{(??) both end after the normal termination of the loop body at after} [\![\textbf{S}_b]\!] = \text{at} [\![\textbf{S}]\!] = \ell \ \text{and so belong} \\ \textbf{to} \ \{ \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \text{at} [\![\textbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell \rangle \ | \ \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B} [\![\textbf{B}]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell) = \text{tt} \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \text{at} [\![\textbf{S}_b]\!], \\ \textbf{at} [\![\textbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^* [\![\textbf{S}_b]\!] \}. \ \text{In that case, we have} \\ \end{array}$

(??)

- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ \ell \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ \ell \pi_1' \rangle \in \{\langle \pi_0^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3^\ell \rangle \mid \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2^\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3^\ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \} . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket^{\ell'}(\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_1), \mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket^{\ell'}(\pi_0'^\ell, \ell \pi_1')) \} \qquad \qquad \langle \mathsf{case} \ (1-\mathsf{Bb}-\mathsf{Bb}) \rangle$
- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell,\, \ell\pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^\ell \rangle \; . \; \langle \pi_0^\ell,\, \ell\pi_2^\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^\ell\pi_2^\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0^\ell\pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \; \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^\ell \rangle \; \in \; \mathscr{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \land \; \exists \langle \pi_0'^\ell,\, \ell\pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell \rangle \; . \; \langle \pi_0'^\ell,\, \ell\pi_2'^\ell \rangle \; \in \; X \land \\ \mathscr{B}[\![\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell\pi_2'^\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0'^\ell\pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \; \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell \rangle \in \; \mathscr{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \land (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \backslash \{\mathsf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \; \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell'(\pi_0^\ell, \ell\pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^\ell), \; \mathsf{seqval}[\![\![\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell'(\pi_0'^\ell, \ell\pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell)) \} \; \land \; \mathsf{def.} \in \mathsf{S}$
- $\hspace{0.1cm} \subseteq \hspace{0.1cm} \{ \langle \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \rangle \hspace{0.1cm} | \hspace{0.1cm} \exists \langle \pi_0 ^{\ell}, {}^{\ell} \pi_2 ^{\ell} \rangle \hspace{0.1cm} \exists \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^{\ell} \rangle \hspace{0.1cm} . \hspace{0.1cm} \langle \pi_0 ^{\ell}, {}^{\ell} \pi_2 ^{\ell} \rangle \in X \wedge \langle \pi_0 ^{\ell} \pi_2 ^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathtt{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \mathtt{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^{\ell} \rangle \in \{ \langle \pi, \pi' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \hspace{0.1cm} | \hspace{0.1cm} \mathcal{B} \backslash \{ \mathsf{B} \backslash \{ \pi_0 ^{\ell}, {}^{\ell} \pi_2 ^{\ell} \in \mathcal{S}^* \} \} = \mathtt{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^{\ell} \rangle \hspace{0.1cm} . \hspace{0.1cm} \langle \pi_0 ^{\ell}, {}^{\ell} \pi_2 ^{\ell} \in \mathcal{S}^* \backslash \{ \pi_0 ^{\ell}, {}^{\ell} \pi_2 ^{\ell} \in \mathcal{S}^* \} = \mathtt{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^{\ell} \rangle \rangle = \mathtt{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^{\ell} \rangle + \mathtt{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}$
- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^\ell \rangle \cdot \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell \rangle \in X \land \langle \pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^\ell \rangle \in \{\langle \pi, \pi' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \mid \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi) \} \land \exists \langle \pi'_0^\ell, \ell \pi'_2^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi'_3^\ell \rangle \cdot \langle \pi'_0^\ell, \ell \pi'_2^\ell \rangle \in X \land \langle \pi'_0^\ell \ell \pi'_2^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi'_3^\ell \rangle \in \{\langle \pi, \pi' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \mid \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi) \} \land (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi'_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi'_0^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell(\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell(\pi'_0^\ell, \ell \pi'_2^\ell)) \}$
 - (by def. (47.18) of diff, and def. (47.16) of seqval [[y]] since in case (1), $\ell' = \ell$ does not appear in $\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}$ at $[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3$ and the value of y is the same at ℓ after $\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}$ at $[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell$ and at ℓ after $\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell$. Same for $\pi'_0 \ell \pi'_2 \ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}$ at $[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi'_3 \ell$. \mathcal{S}

— Summing up for case (1) we get $(??) \subseteq \mathbb{1}_V \cup \alpha^4(\{X\})^{\ell} \cup (\alpha^4(\{X\})^{\ell}, \widehat{\overline{S}}_{\text{diff}}^{\exists} \llbracket s_b \rrbracket \ell) \rceil$ nondet(B, B) which yields (47.63.a) of the form

However, the term $X(\ell)$ does not appear in (47.63.a) since it can be simplified thanks to Exercise 15.8.

— (2) Else, if the dependency observation point ℓ' on prefix traces is in the loop body S_b after zero or more loop iterations. So the two traces $\ell\pi_1$ and $\ell\pi'_1$ in (??) cannot be generated by (17.4.A). The case $\ell' = \ell$ = after $[S_b] = at[S]$ has already been considered in case (1) (for subcases involving (B) and (C)). By def. (47.16) of seqval [y] the case $\ell' = at[S_b]$ is equivalent to $\ell' = at[S]$ already considered in (1) since the evaluation of boolean expressions has no side effect so the value of variables y at $at[S_b]$ and at[S] are the same. Similarly, the value of variables y before a **break**; statement at labels in breaks-of $[S_b]$ that can escape the loop body S_b is the same as the value at break-to $[S_b] = after [S]$ and will be handled with case (3).

It follows that in this case (2) we only have to consider the case $\ell' \in \inf[S_b] \setminus (\{at[S_b], after[S_b]\} \cup breaks-of[S_b])$ and the two traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi'_1$ in(??) are generated by (B) or (C). There are three cases to consider.

— (2–B–B) The dependency observation point ℓ' on the two prefix observation traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi'_1$ in (??) is in the loop body S_b after zero or more loop iterations and the observation along these two traces stops in the loop body.

 $at[S_h][\pi'_3\ell''))$

```
 \begin{split} &\subseteq \{\langle \mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0\ell,\,\ell\pi_2\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3\ell''\rangle \;.\; \langle \pi_0\ell,\,\ell\pi_2\ell \rangle \in X \land \langle \pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!],\, \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3\ell''\rangle \in \\ &\{\langle \pi,\,\pi'\rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \mid \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi)\} \land \exists \langle \pi'_0\ell,\,\ell\pi'_2\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi'_3\ell''\rangle \;.\; \langle \pi'_0\ell,\,\ell\pi'_2\ell \rangle \in X \land \\ &\langle \pi'_0\ell\pi'_2\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!],\, \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi'_3\ell''\rangle \in \{\langle \pi,\,\pi'\rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \mid \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi)\} \land (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \;.\\ &\varrho(\pi_0\ell)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi'_0\ell)\mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]\ell'(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_2\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3\ell''), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]\ell'(\pi'_0\ell,\ell\pi'_2\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\pi'_3\ell''))\} \end{aligned}
```

 $\subseteq \{\langle \mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^{\ell},\, \ell \pi_2^{\ell}\rangle \in X \;.\; \exists \langle \pi_0'^{\ell},\, \ell \pi_2'^{\ell}\rangle \in X \;.\; (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \;.\; \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell})\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^{\ell})\mathsf{z}) \land \\ \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0^{\ell},\ell \pi_2^{\ell}), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]^{\ell'}(\pi_0'^{\ell},\ell \pi_2'^{\ell}))\}$

$$\begin{split} &\{\langle \mathbf{x},\,\mathbf{y}\rangle\mid\exists\langle \pi_0^{\,\ell},\,\ell\pi_2^{\,\ell}\rangle\in X\,.\,\,\exists\langle \pi_0'^{\,\ell},\,\ell\pi_2'^{\,\ell}\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\,\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3'^{\,\ell''}\rangle\,.\,\,\langle\pi_0'^{\,\ell},\,\ell\pi_2'^{\,\ell}\rangle\in X\,\wedge\,\langle\pi_0'^{\,\ell}\pi_2'^{\,\ell}\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\,\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!],\,\,\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3'^{\,\ell''}\rangle\in\,\{\langle\pi,\,\pi'\rangle\in\,\mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\mid\,\mathcal{B}[\![\mathbf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi)\}\,\wedge\,(\forall\mathsf{z}\,\in\,V\,\setminus\,\{\mathsf{x}\}\,\,.\,\,\varrho(\pi_0^{\,\ell})\mathsf{z}\,=\,\varrho(\pi_0'^{\,\ell})\mathsf{z})\,\wedge\,\mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]\ell'(\pi_0^{\,\ell},\ell\pi_2^{\,\ell}),\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]\ell'(\pi_0'^{\,\ell},\ell\pi_2'^{\,\ell}\stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow}\,\mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3'^{\,\ell''}))\} \end{split}$$

 $\{\langle \mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell,\, \ell\pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''}\rangle \; . \; \langle \pi_0^\ell,\, \ell\pi_2^\ell\rangle \in X \wedge \langle \pi_0^\ell\pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \; \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''}\rangle \in \{\langle \pi,\,\pi'\rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \mid \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi)\} \wedge \exists \langle \pi'_0^\ell,\, \ell\pi'_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi'_3^{\ell''}\rangle \; . \; \langle \pi'_0^\ell,\, \ell\pi'_2^\ell\rangle \in X \wedge \langle \pi'_0^\ell\pi'_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \; \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \; \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi'_3^{\ell''}\rangle \in \{\langle \pi,\,\pi'\rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \mid \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi)\} \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \; . \\ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi'_0^\ell)\mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell'(\pi_0^\ell,\ell\pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''}), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell'(\pi'_0^\ell,\ell\pi'_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''})) \}$

(by def. (47.18) of diff and (47.16) of seqval $[y]^{\ell'}$, there is an instance of ℓ' in both $\ell \pi'_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} [S_b] \pi'_3 \ell''$ and $\ell \pi'_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} [S_b] \pi'_3 \ell''$ before which the values of y at ℓ' and at which they differ. There are four cases (indeed 3 by symmetry), depending on whether the occurrence of ℓ'' is before or after the transition $\xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}}$.

 $\subseteq \alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{X\})\ell' \cup$

 $\{\langle \mathbf{x},\,\mathbf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^{\ell},\, \ell\pi_2^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3^{\ell''} \rangle \; . \; \langle \pi_0^{\ell},\, \ell\pi_2^{\ell} \rangle \in X \land \langle \pi_0^{\ell}\pi_2^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket, \; \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3^{\ell''} \rangle \in \{\langle \pi,\,\pi' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \mid \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi) \} \land \exists \langle \pi'_0^{\ell},\, \ell\pi'_2^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi'_3^{\ell''} \rangle \; . \; \langle \pi'_0^{\ell},\, \ell\pi'_2^{\ell} \rangle \in X \land \langle \pi'_0^{\ell}\pi'_2^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket, \; \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket, \; \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi'_3^{\ell''} \rangle \in \{\langle \pi,\,\pi' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \mid \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi) \} \land (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \; . \\ \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell})\mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi'_0^{\ell}\ell)\mathbf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathbf{y} \rrbracket \ell'(\pi_0^{\ell}\ell,\ell\pi_2^{\ell}\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3^{\ell''}), \mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathbf{y} \rrbracket \ell'(\pi'_0^{\ell}\ell,\ell\pi'_2^{\ell}\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi'_3^{\ell''})) \}$

(For the second term where ℓ' occurs in $\ell \pi_2 \ell$, the trace $\ell \pi_2 \ell$ must have reached the loop body, and so, by the reasoning of (??), this second term is an instance of the third one.

$$\subseteq \alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell'} \cup \left(\alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \circ ((\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\mathrm{diff}}^{\exists} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_{h} \rrbracket \ell') \rceil \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B}))\right)$$

(by a reasoning similar to the one we did in case (1-Ba/Bc/C-Bb) from (??) on.

— (2–B–C/2–C–B) The dependency observation point ℓ' on the two prefix observation traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi'_1$ in (??) is in the loop body S_h after zero or more loop iterations and the observation

along these two traces stops in the loop body for one and at the loop exit for the other.

(??)

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0 ^\ell,\, \ell \pi_1 \rangle \in \big\{\langle \pi_0 ^\ell,\, \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{s}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^{\ell''} \rangle \mid \langle \pi_0 ^\ell,\, \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell) = \\ \text{tt} \land \langle \pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{s}_b \rrbracket,\, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{s}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 ^{\ell''} \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{s}_b \rrbracket \big\} \; . \; \exists \langle \pi_0 ^\ell \ell,\, \ell \pi_1 ^\ell \rangle \in \big\{\langle \pi_0 ^\ell,\, \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \ell \xrightarrow{\neg (\mathsf{B})} \\ \text{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \mid \langle \pi_0 ^\ell,\, \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell) = \mathrm{ff} \big\} \; . \; (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \\ \text{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket \ell' (\pi_0 ^\ell, \ell \pi_1), \mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket \ell' (\pi_0 ^\ell, \ell \pi_1')) \} \qquad \qquad (\mathsf{case} \; 2-\mathsf{B}-\mathsf{C})$

$$\subseteq \alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell'} \cup \left(\alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \circ ((\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\mathrm{diff}}^{\exists} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_{h} \rrbracket \ \ell') \ \rceil \ \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B}))\right)$$

(This case is handled exactly as the previous one since the program point ℓ' where the change of value of variable y is observed is within the loop body so the loop must be entered in part $\ell \pi_2 \ell$ of $\ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\neg (B)}$ after $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ and the loop exit $\ell \xrightarrow{\neg (B)}$ after $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ does not affect the variable y.)

— (2–C–C) The dependency observation point ℓ' on the two prefix observation traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi'_1$ in (??) is in the loop body S_b after zero or more loop iterations and the observation along these two traces stops at the loop exit.

(??)

$$= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0 ^\prime ^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_1 ^\prime \rangle \in \{\langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell \xrightarrow{\neg (\mathsf{B})} \ \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \mid \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2 ^\ell) = \mathsf{ff} \} . \quad (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} . \quad \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket \ell' (\pi_0 ^\ell, \ell \pi_1), \mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket \ell' (\pi_0 ^\ell, \ell \pi_1')) \}$$

$$\subseteq \alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell'} \cup \left(\alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \circ (\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\mathrm{diff}}^{\exists} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_{h} \rrbracket \ell') \mid \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B}))\right)$$

(This case is handled exactly as the two previous ones since, again, the program point ℓ' where the change of value of variable y is observed is within the loop body so the loop must be entered in part $\ell\pi_2\ell$ of $\ell\pi_2\ell \xrightarrow{\neg(B)}$ after $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ and the loop exit $\ell \xrightarrow{\neg(B)}$ after $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ does not affect the variable y. Similarly for the second trace $\ell\pi'_1$.

— Summing up for case (2), we get $(??) \subseteq \alpha^{4}(\{X\})\ell' \cup (\alpha^{4}(\{X\})\ell \circ (\widehat{\overline{S}}_{diff}[S_b]\ell')]$ nondet(B, B))) which yields (47.63.b) of the form

$$\llbracket \ell' \in \operatorname{in} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \ \widehat{\mathscr{E}} \ \big(X(\ell) \ \widehat{\boldsymbol{S}} \ (\widehat{\overline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}}^{\sharp}_{\operatorname{diff}} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \ \ell') \ \rceil \ \operatorname{nondet}(\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B})) \big) \ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \ \emptyset \ \big].$$

where the term $X(\ell')$ does not appear in (47.63.b) by the simplification following from Exercise 15.8.

— (3) Otherwise, the dependency observation point $\ell' = \operatorname{after}[S]$ on prefix traces is after the loop statement $S = \operatorname{while} \ell$ (B) S_h .

(??)

$$= \{ \langle \mathbf{x}, \, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \, \ell \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \, \ell \pi_1' \rangle \in \mathcal{F}^* [\![\mathbf{while} \, \ell \, (\mathsf{B}) \, \mathsf{S}_b]\!] \, X \, . \, (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \, . \, \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \, \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval} [\![\mathsf{y}]\!] (\mathsf{after} [\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) (\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_1), \mathsf{seqval} [\![\mathsf{y}]\!] (\mathsf{after} [\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) (\pi_0'^\ell, \ell \pi_1')) \}$$

 $\ell' = after[S]$

$$= \{\langle \mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell,\, \ell\pi_1\rangle, \langle \pi_0'^\ell,\, \ell\pi_1'\rangle \in \{\langle \pi_0^\ell,\, \ell\pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]\rangle \mid \langle \pi_0^\ell,\, \ell\pi_2^\ell\rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^\ell\pi_2^\ell) = \mathsf{ff}\} \cup \{\langle \pi_0^\ell,\, \ell\pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]\rangle \mid \langle \pi_0^\ell,\, \ell\pi_2^\ell\rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^\ell\pi_2^\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \land \langle \pi_0^\ell\pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \\ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]\rangle \in \mathscr{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]\} . \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} . \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \\ \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] (\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) (\pi_0^\ell, \ell\pi_1), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] (\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) (\pi_0'^\ell, \ell\pi_1')) \}$$

(The only cases in (17.4) where $\ell' = \text{after}[S]$ is reachable is either via (C) for normal termination after zero or more iterations or via (B) through a **break**; in the loop body S_b during the first or later iteration (S)

There are now three subcases, depending on whether the observation prefix traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi'_1$ are both from a normal exit, a both from a break, or one is from a break and the other from a normal exit.

— (3–C–C) This is the case when the observation prefix traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi_1'$ are both from a normal exit.

From there on, the development is very similar to the cases (2.a), (2.b), and (2.c-d) of the condi-

tional with execution traces that may go through the true branch (here entering the loop) or the false branch (here not entering the iteration). There are four subcases (three by symmetry).

- (3-C-C.a) If none of the executions $\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell$ and $\pi'_0 \ell \pi'_2 \ell$ enter the loop body since in both cases the condition B is false, we have $\ell \pi_2 \ell = \ell$ and $\ell \pi'_2 \ell = \ell$.

(??)

(since if $x \notin \text{nondet}(\neg B, \neg B)$ then $x \in \text{det}(\neg B, \neg B)$ so $\mathfrak{B}[\![\neg B]\!]\varrho(\pi_0^\ell)$ and $\mathfrak{B}[\![\neg B]\!]\varrho(\pi_0^\ell)$ would imply $\varrho(\pi_0^\ell)x = \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)x$. Therefore $\varrho(\pi_0^\ell) = \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)$ in contradiction with $\varrho(\pi_0^\ell)y \neq \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)y$.)

- (3-C-C.b) Else, if both executions $\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell$ and $\pi'_0 \ell \pi'_2 \ell$ enter the loop body since in both cases the condition B is true, we have $\ell \pi_2 \ell \neq \ell$ and $\ell \pi'_2 \ell \neq \ell$

(??)

$$= \{\langle \mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^{\,\ell},\, {}^{\ell}\pi_2^{\,\ell}\rangle, \langle \pi_0^{\prime}{}^{\ell},\, {}^{\ell}\pi_2^{\prime}{}^{\ell}\rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0^{\,\ell}\pi_2^{\,\ell}) = \mathsf{ff} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0^{\prime}{}^{\ell}\pi_2^{\prime}{}^{\ell}) = \mathsf{ff} \land (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \; .\; \varrho(\pi_0^{\,\ell})\mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0^{\prime}{}^{\ell})\mathsf{z}) \land \varrho(\pi_0^{\,\ell}\pi_2^{\,\ell})\mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0^{\prime}{}^{\ell}\pi_2^{\prime}{}^{\ell})\mathsf{y}\} \; | \; \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B})$$

(case (3–C–C.b) and X belongs to the iterates of $\mathcal{F}^*[[\text{while }\ell] \ (B) \ S_b]]$ so this is possible only when $\mathcal{B}[[B]]\varrho(\pi_0^\ell) = \text{tt}$ and $\mathcal{B}[[B]]\varrho(\pi_0^\ell) = \text{tt}$ and def. (47.48) of nondet

$$\hspace{.5cm} \begin{array}{l} \subseteq \; \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^{\ell} \ell, \, \ell \pi_2^{\ell} \ell \rangle \in X \; . \; \exists \langle \pi_0'^{\ell} \ell, \, \ell \pi_2'^{\ell} \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell} \ell \rangle \; . \; \langle \pi_0'^{\ell} \ell, \, \ell \pi_2'^{\ell} \ell \rangle \in X \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \; . \\ \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell}) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^{\ell}) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval} [\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell(\pi_0^{\ell} \ell, \ell \pi_2^{\ell} \ell), \mathsf{seqval} [\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \ell(\pi_0'^{\ell} \ell, \ell \pi_2'^{\ell} \ell)) \} \end{array}$$

 $\{\operatorname{since} \varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell)\mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0'\ell\pi_2'\ell)\mathsf{y} \text{ implies diff}(\operatorname{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]\ell(\pi_0\ell,\ell\pi_2\ell), \operatorname{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!]\ell(\pi_0'\ell,\ell\pi_2'\ell))\}$

$$\subseteq \alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{X\})\ell$$
 (def. (47.25) of $\alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}$)

- (3-C-C.c) Otherwise, one execution enters the loop body (say $\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell$) and the other does not (say $\pi'_0 \ell \pi'_2 \ell$), we have (the other case is symmetric) $\ell \pi_2 \ell \neq \ell$ and $\ell \pi'_2 \ell = \ell$. The calculation is similar to (2.c-d) for the simple conditional.

(??)

$$= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell \rangle, \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell) = \mathsf{ff} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) = \mathsf{ff} \land \langle \mathsf{yz} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \cdot \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{y} \}$$

(case (3–C–C.c) and X is included in the iterates of $\mathscr{F}^*[\text{while }\ell \text{ (B) } S_b]$ so this is possible only when $\mathscr{B}[\![B]\!]\varrho(\pi_0\ell) = \text{tt}$, $\mathscr{B}[\![B]\!]\varrho(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell) = \text{ff}$, and $\mathscr{B}[\![B]\!]\varrho(\pi_0\ell) = \text{ff}$)

$$= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle, \langle \pi_0' \ell, \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell) = \mathsf{ff} \land \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0' \ell) = \mathsf{ff} \land \langle \mathsf{yz} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \varrho(\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \ell) \mathsf{y} \} \ | \ \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})$$

(since, by def. (47.48) of nondet, if $x \notin \text{nondet}(B, \neg B)$ then $x \in \text{det}(B, \neg B)$ so by (47.48), $\mathfrak{B}[B]\varrho(\pi_0^\ell)$ and $\mathfrak{B}[\neg B]\varrho(\pi_0^{\ell})$ would imply $\varrho(\pi_0^\ell)x = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell})x$ and therefore $\varrho(\pi_0^\ell) = \varrho(\pi_0^{\ell})$. X being included in the iterates of $\mathfrak{F}^*[\text{while }\ell \text{ (B) S}_b]$ and, by Exercises 17.13 and 17.21, the language being deterministic, this would imply that $\ell\pi_2^\ell = \ell$, in contradiction with $\mathfrak{B}[B]\varrho(\pi_0^\ell) = \text{tt}$ and $\mathfrak{B}[B]\varrho(\pi_0^\ell\pi_2^\ell) = \text{ff}$

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ell \pi_2'' ^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' ^\ell \rangle \; . \; \langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ell \pi_2'' ^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' ^\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2'' ^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' ^\ell) = \mathsf{ff} \land \langle \pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2'' ^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \; \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' ^\ell \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \exists \langle \pi_0' ^\ell, \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0' ^\ell) = \mathsf{ff} \land (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \backslash \{\mathsf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho (\pi_0' ^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2'' ^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' ^\ell) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho (\pi_0' ^\ell) \mathsf{y} \} \;] \; \mathsf{nondet} (\mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})$

(by the argument (??) that if $\langle \pi_0 ^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2 ^\ell \rangle \in X$ corresponds to one or more iterations of the loop then it can be written in the form $\ell \pi_2 ^\ell = \ell \pi_2'' ^\ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3' ^\ell$ (where $\ell \pi_2'' ^\ell \ell$ may be reduced to ℓ for the first iteration) with $\ell \pi_2'' ^\ell \ell \in X$, $\mathfrak{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho (\pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2'' ^\ell \ell) = \mathrm{tt}$ and $\langle \pi_0 ^\ell \pi_2'' ^\ell \ell \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \mathrm{at} [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3' ^\ell \ell \rangle \in \mathfrak{S}^* [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \rangle$

(def. (6.6) of ϱ , def. (47.16) of seqval[[y]] and program labelling so that after[[S]] does not appear in the trace (in particular $\ell \neq \text{after}[S]$), and def. (47.18) of diff()

 $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \pi_0 \ell \pi''_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi'_3 \ell \xrightarrow{\neg \mathsf{B}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket, \pi'_0 \ell \xrightarrow{\neg \mathsf{B}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \ . \ \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \ell \pi''_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi'_3 \ell, \ \ell \xrightarrow{\neg \mathsf{B}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \ . \ \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \ell \pi''_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \in \mathcal{S}' \land \langle \pi'_0 \ell, \ \ell \xrightarrow{\neg \mathsf{B}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \in \mathcal{S}' \land \langle \pi'_0 \ell, \ \ell \xrightarrow{\neg \mathsf{B}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \in \mathcal{S}' \land \langle \forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \\ \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi'_0 \ell) \mathsf{z} \land \mathsf{diff} (\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) (\pi_0 \ell \pi''_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) \exists \mathsf{nondet} (\mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})$

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{\langle where \mathcal{S}' = $ \{\langle \pi_1'^\ell & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} & \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell, \ \ell & \xrightarrow{\neg \mathsf{B}} & \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \rangle \ | \ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_1'^\ell) \ = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \\ \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2''^\ell & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} & \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell) = \mathsf{ff} \land \langle \pi_1'^\ell & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} & \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3'^\ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \} \cup \{\langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ell, \ell' \rangle \\ \ell & \xrightarrow{\neg \mathsf{B}} & \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \rangle \mid \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) = \mathsf{ff} \} \\ \end{array}$

? Lemma 47.59 with $\ell_0 \leftarrow \ell$, $\ell' \leftarrow \ell$, and $\ell \leftarrow \mathsf{after}[S]$ \}

We have to calculate the second term

$$\alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}'\}) \text{ after } \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket$$
 (10)

```
= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \mathcal{S}' \in \mathcal{D}(\mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \langle \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \rangle\}  \(\lambda \, \def(\def(47.25)) \, \operatorname{\text{of }} \alpha^\def(\sqrt{\text{of }})
```

- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \; \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0, \; \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0', \; \pi_1' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}' \; . \; (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \; . \; \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0') \mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!](\pi_0, \pi_1), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!](\pi_0', \pi_1'))\} \qquad \qquad \langle \mathsf{def.} \; (47.19) \; \mathsf{of} \; \mathcal{D}^{\varrho} \langle \mathsf{x}, \; \mathsf{y} \rangle \rangle$
- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \; \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'^\ell \xrightarrow{\neg \mathsf{B}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \; . \; \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_2'^\ell) \; = \; \mathsf{tt} \; \land \; \langle \pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \; \mathsf{at} \llbracket, \; \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \; \mathsf{St} \llbracket, \; \mathsf{St} \llbracket, \; \mathsf{St} \llbracket, \; \mathsf{St} \rrbracket, \; \mathsf{St} \llbracket, \; \mathsf{St} \llbracket, \; \mathsf{St} \llbracket, \; \mathsf{St} \rrbracket, \; \mathsf{St} \llbracket, \; \mathsf{St} \llbracket$

(def. 8' and the other two combinations have already been considered in (3–C–C.a) and (3–C–C.b)

- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' \ell \xrightarrow{\neg \mathsf{B}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \ . \ \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_2' \ell) = \ \mathsf{tt} \ \land \ \langle \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \\ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' \ell \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0 \ell \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' \ell) = \ \mathsf{ff} \ \land \ \exists \pi_0' \ell \ . \ \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0' \ell) = \\ \mathsf{ff} \land (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' \ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \varrho(\pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' \ell) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \ell) \mathsf{y}) \} \\ \land \mathsf{def.} \ (6.6) \ \mathsf{of} \ \varrho, \ \mathsf{def.} \ (47.16) \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket \ \mathsf{and} \ \mathsf{program} \ \mathsf{labelling} \ \mathsf{so} \ \mathsf{that} \ \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \ \mathsf{does} \ \mathsf{not} \\ \mathsf{appear} \ \mathsf{in} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{trace} \ (\mathsf{in} \ \mathsf{particular} \ \ell \neq \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket), \ \mathsf{and} \ \mathsf{def.} \ (47.18) \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathsf{diff} \ \rbrace$
- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \; \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' \ell \xrightarrow{\neg \mathsf{B}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \; . \; \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_2' \ell) \; = \; \mathsf{tt} \; \land \; \langle \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \\ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' \ell \rangle \; \in \; \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \; \land \; \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0 \ell \pi_2'' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' \ell) \; = \; \mathsf{ff} \; \land \; \exists \pi_0' \ell \; . \; \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi_0' \ell) \; = \\ \mathsf{ff} \; \land \; (\forall \mathsf{z} \; \in \; V \; \backslash \; \{\mathsf{x}\} \; . \; \; \varrho(\pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' \ell) \mathsf{z} \; = \; \varrho(\pi_0' \ell) \mathsf{z}) \; \land \; \varrho(\pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3' \ell) \mathsf{y} \; \neq \\ \varrho(\pi_0' \ell) \mathsf{y}) \} \; \mid \; \mathsf{nondet} (\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})$

(since if $\mathbf{x} \notin \operatorname{nondet}(\neg \mathbf{B}, \neg \mathbf{B})$ then $\mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{det}(\neg \mathbf{B}, \neg \mathbf{B})$ so by (47.48), $\mathfrak{B}[\![\neg \mathbf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2''^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3'^\ell)$, and $\mathfrak{B}[\![\neg \mathbf{B}]\!]\varrho(\pi_0'^\ell)$, we would have $\varrho(\pi_0^\ell \pi_2''^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3'^\ell) = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell)$, which, with $\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\}$. $\varrho(\pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3'^\ell)\mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell)\mathbf{z}$, would imply $\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\}$. $\varrho(\pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3'^\ell) = \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell)$, in contradiction with $\varrho(\pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}} \operatorname{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]\pi_3'^\ell)\mathbf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell)\mathbf{y}$)

 $\hspace{0.1 cm} \subseteq \hspace{0.1 cm} \{ \langle \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \rangle \hspace{0.1 cm} | \hspace{0.1 cm} \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' \hspace{0.1 cm} . \hspace{0.1 cm} (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \hspace{0.1 cm} . \hspace{0.1 cm} \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \langle \pi_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \hspace{0.1 cm} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_1 \ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \wedge (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_1 \ell) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket) \mathsf{y} \} \hspace{0.1 cm} \hspace{0.1 cm} \hspace{0.1 cm} \mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \left(\operatorname{letting} \ \pi_0 \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \ \leftarrow \ \pi_2'^\ell \ \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \ \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \ \text{with} \ \varrho(\pi_2'^\ell \ \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \ \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket) \ = \ \varrho(\pi_2'^\ell), \ \pi_0 \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \ \leftarrow \ \pi_2'^\ell \ \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \ \operatorname{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'^\ell, \ \operatorname{and} \ \pi_1^\ell \leftarrow \pi_3'^\ell \ \right)$

 $= (\{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi'_0 : (\forall \mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]) \mathbf{z} = \varrho(\pi'_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \land \langle \pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \pi_1^\ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \land \langle \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \pi_1^\ell \rangle + \varrho(\pi'_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]) \mathbf{z}) \wedge \langle \pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \wedge \langle \pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf$

 $\langle \text{ since when } \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi'_0 \text{at} [S_h]) \mathbf{y} = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0 \text{at} [S_h]) \mathbf{y} \rangle$

- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' : (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]) \mathsf{z}) \land \langle \pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_1^{\ell} \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \land (\varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_1^{\ell}) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]) \mathsf{y} \} \mid \mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B}) \quad \text{grouping cases together} \rangle$
- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_0' : (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0' \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]) \mathsf{z}) \land \langle \pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_1 \ell \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* [\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \land \langle \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_1 \ell \rangle \neq \varrho(\pi_0 \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]) \mathsf{y} \} \cap \mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})$
 - (letting $\rho = \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)$, $\nu = \varrho(\pi_0^\prime \ell) x$ so that $\forall z \in V \setminus \{x\}$. $\varrho(\pi_0^\ell) z = \varrho(\pi_0^\prime \ell) z$ implies $\varrho(\pi_0^\prime \ell) = \rho[x \leftarrow \nu]$.)
- $\subseteq (\{\langle x, x \rangle \mid x \in V\} \cup \{\langle x, y \rangle \mid x \in V \land y \in mod[S_h]\})] nondet(\neg B, \neg B)$
 - (A coarse approximation is to consider the variables $y \neq x$ appearing to the left of an assignment in S_b , a necessary condition for y to be modified by the execution of S_b where the set mod[S] of variables that may be modified by the execution of S is syntactically defined as in (47.50). S
- $= \mathbb{1}_{\mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})} \cup \mathsf{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B}) \times \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!]$ (def.])
- Summing up for all subcases of (3–C–C), we get $(??) \subseteq \mathbb{1}_{\operatorname{nondet}(\neg B, \neg B)} \cup \alpha^{\triangleleft}(\{X\})^{\ell} \cup (\alpha^{\triangleleft}(\{X\}) \ \ell \ \S \ (\mathbb{1}_{\operatorname{nondet}(\neg B, \neg B)} \cup \operatorname{nondet}(\neg B, \neg B) \times \operatorname{mod}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!])) \]$ nondet $(\mathbf{B}, \neg B)$.
- (3-B-B) This is the case when the observation prefix traces $\ell \pi_1$ and $\ell \pi_1'$ are both from a **break**; in the iteration body S_b .

(??)

- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'' \ . \ \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \ \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \exists \pi'_0 \ell \pi'_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi'_3 \ell'' \ . \ \langle \pi'_0 \ell, \ell \pi'_2 \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi'_0 \ell \pi'_2 \ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{break} \land \mathsf{break} \land$
- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3^{\ell''} \ . \ \langle \pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathfrak{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \land \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \exists \pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3'^\ell ^{\ell''} \ . \ \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ell \pi_2'^\ell \rangle \in X \land \mathfrak{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \mathsf{T}_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \mathsf{T}_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \mathsf{T}_0' \ell \pi_2' \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \mathsf{T}_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \mathsf{T}_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \mathsf{T}_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \mathsf{T}_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \mathsf{T}_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \mathsf{T}_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \mathsf{T}_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \mathsf{T}_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \mathsf{T}_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \mathsf{T}_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \mathsf{T}_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \mathsf{T}_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \mathsf{T}_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \mathsf{T}_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \mathsf{T}_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \mathsf{T}_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \mathsf{T}_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \mathsf{T}_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \land \langle \mathsf{T}_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{T}_0 \ell + \mathsf{T}_0 \ell$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \langle\langle\pi_0^\ell,\ \ell\pi_2^\ell\rangle\in X \ \text{and} \ X \ \text{contains only iterates of} \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^*[\![\text{while}\ \ell\ (B)\ S_b]\!] \ \text{so after}[\![S]\!] \neq \ell \ \text{cannot appear in} \ \ell\pi_2^\ell. \ \ \text{Moreover}, \ \langle\pi_0^\ell\pi_2^\ell \stackrel{B}{\longrightarrow} \ \text{at}[\![S_b]\!], \ \text{at}[\![S_b]\!]\pi_3^{\ell''} \stackrel{\text{break}}{\longrightarrow} \ \text{after}[\![S]\!] \neq \delta^*[\![S_b]\!] \ \text{so, by def. Section 4.2 of program labelling, after}[\![S]\!] \neq \text{at}[\![S_b]\!] \ \text{cannot appear in} \ \text{at}[\![S_b]\!]\pi_3^{\ell''}. \ \ \text{Therefore, by def. (6.6) of} \ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \ \text{and} \ (47.16) \ \text{of seqval}[\![y]\!]\ell, \ \text{seqval}[\![y]\!](\text{after}[\![S]\!])(\pi_0^\ell,\ell\pi_2^\ell \stackrel{B}{\longrightarrow} \ \text{at}[\![S_b]\!]\pi_3^{\ell''} \stackrel{\text{break}}{\longrightarrow} \ \text{after}[\![S]\!]) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\pi_0^\ell\pi_2^\ell \stackrel{B}{\longrightarrow} \ \text{at}[\![S_b]\!]\pi_3^{\ell''}). \ \text{We conclude by def. (47.18) of diff} \end{array}$

 $= \bigcup_{\ell'' \in \text{breaks-of}[[S_b]]} \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \; \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[[S_b]] \pi_3 \ell'' : \langle \pi_0 \ell, \; \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[[\mathsf{B}]] \varrho(\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[[S_b]], \mathsf{at}[[S_b]] \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[[\mathsf{S}]] \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* [[S_b]] \land \exists \pi'_0 \ell \pi'_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[[S_b]] \pi'_3 \ell'' : \langle \pi'_0 \ell, \; \ell \pi'_2 \ell \rangle \in X \land \mathcal{B}[[\mathsf{B}]] \varrho(\pi'_0 \ell \pi'_2 \ell) = \mathsf{tt} \land \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[[S_b]] \land \langle \pi'_0 \ell \pi'_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[[S_b]], \mathsf{at}[[S_b]] \pi'_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[[\mathsf{S}]] \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* [[S_b]] \land (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi_0 \ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi'_0 \ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \varrho(\pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[[S_b]] \pi'_3 \ell'') \}$

 $\subseteq \bigcup_{\ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!]} \alpha^{\mathtt{d}}(\{X\}) \ell \ {}^{\circ}_{\vartheta} \ (\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\mathsf{diff}}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \ \ell'' \ \rceil \ \mathsf{nondet}(\mathtt{B},\mathtt{B}))$

(by a reasoning similar to the one we did in case (1-Ba/Bc/C-Bb) from (??) on.)

$$= \alpha^{\operatorname{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \circ \left(\left(\bigcup_{\ell'' \in \operatorname{breaks-of}[\![S_b]\!]} \widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\operatorname{diff}}^{\exists} [\![S_b]\!] \ell'' \right) \upharpoonright \operatorname{nondet}(\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B}) \right) \qquad \ \ \langle \circ \rangle \text{ and } \upharpoonright \operatorname{preserve arbitrary joins} \rangle$$

— (3–B–C) This is the case when the observation prefix trace $\ell \pi_1$ is from a normal exit of the iteration and $\ell \pi'_1$ is from a **break**; in the iteration body S_b . By symmetry of diff this also covers the inverse case.

 $\begin{array}{lll} & \text{tt } \wedge \ \ell'' & \in \ \text{breaks-of}[\![S_b]\!] \wedge \langle \pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \text{at}[\![S_b]\!], \ \text{at}[\![S_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \ \text{after}[\![S]\!] \rangle \in \\ & \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \wedge \langle \pi_0'^\ell \ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^\ell \ell \rangle & \in \ X \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![B]\!] \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell \ell \pi_2'^\ell \ell) & = \ \text{if } \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \ V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \\ & \varrho(\pi_0^\ell) \mathsf{z} & = \ \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \ \text{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] (\mathsf{after}[\![S]\!]) (\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell \ell) & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \text{at}[\![S_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \\ & \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!]), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] (\mathsf{after}[\![S]\!]) (\pi_0^\ell, \ell \pi_2^\ell \ell) & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{B})} \ \text{after}[\![S]\!]) \} & \qquad \qquad \land \mathsf{def}. \in \S \end{array}$

```
= \{ \langle \mathsf{x}, \; \mathsf{y} \rangle \quad | \quad \exists \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \quad \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \quad \mathsf{at} [\![ \mathsf{S}_b ]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \quad \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \quad \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathsf{S} ]\!], \pi_0' \ell \pi_2' \ell \quad \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \quad \mathsf{after} [\![ \mathsf{S} ]\!] \quad .
                \wedge^{\ell''} \; \in \; \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![ \mathsf{S}_b]\!] \langle \pi_0^{\;\ell}, \; {}^\ell \pi_2^{\;\ell} \rangle \; \in \; X \; \wedge \; \mathscr{B}[\![ \mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho (\pi_0^{\;\ell} \pi_2^{\;\ell}) \; = \; \mathsf{tt} \; \wedge \; \langle \pi_0^{\;\ell} \pi_2^{\;\ell} \; \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \; \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}_b]\!],
                   \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_h \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_h \rrbracket \wedge \langle \pi_0' \ell, \, \ell \pi_2' \ell \rangle \in X \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi_0' \ell \pi_2' \ell) = \mathsf{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{S}^* \mathsf{ff}) \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{S}^* \mathsf{ff}
                         \mathbb{V}\setminus \{\mathbf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0\ell)\mathbf{z} \ = \ \varrho(\pi_0'\ell)\mathbf{z}) \wedge \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathbf{y}]\!](\mathsf{after}[\![\mathbf{S}]\!])(\pi_0\ell\pi_2\ell \ \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at}[\![\mathbf{S}_h]\!]\pi_3\ell'' \ \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}}
                      \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!], \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!]), \mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!] (\mathsf{after}[\![S]\!]) (\pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!]), \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!]))
                                               (7/\pi_0 \ell, \ell \pi_2 \ell), (\pi'_0 \ell, \ell \pi'_2 \ell) \in X and X contains only iterates of \mathcal{F}^* [while \ell (B) S_h]
                                                      so after [S] \neq \ell can appear neither in \ell \pi_2 \ell nor in \ell \pi_2' \ell. Moreover, \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}}
                                                              \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}_b ]\!], \ \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}_b ]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![ \mathsf{S} ]\!] \rangle \ \in \ \mathcal{S}^*[\![ \mathsf{S}_b ]\!] \ \text{so, by def. Section 4.2 of program}
                                                      labelling, after [S] \neq at[S_h] cannot appear in at [S_h] \pi_3 \ell''. Therefore, by def. (6.6) of
                                                     \varrho \text{ and } (47.16) \text{ of seqval} \llbracket \mathbf{y} \rrbracket^{\ell}, \text{ seqval} \llbracket \mathbf{y} \rrbracket (\text{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket) (\pi_0^{\ell}, \ell \pi_2^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\quad \mathbf{B} \quad } \text{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\quad \mathbf{break} \quad }
                                                           \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!]) = \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathtt{y}]\!] (\mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!]) (\pi_0^\ell \pi_2^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathtt{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!], \mathsf{after}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!]) \ \mathsf{and}
                                                     \mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!](\mathsf{after}[\![S]\!])(\pi_0'^{\ell},^{\ell}\pi_2'^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!]) = \mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!](\mathsf{after}[\![S]\!])(\pi_0'^{\ell}\pi_2'^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!])
                                                           after [S], after [S]).
 = \{ \langle \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell \ \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathrm{at} [\![ \mathbf{S}_b ]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \ \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \ \mathrm{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!], \pi_0' \ell \pi_2' \ell \ \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \ \mathrm{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \ . \\ \langle \pi_0 \ell, \ \ell \pi_2 \ell \rangle \ \in \ X \ \land \ \langle \pi_0 \ell \pi_2 \ell, \ \ell \ \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathrm{at} [\![ \mathbf{S}_b ]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \ \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \ \mathrm{after} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \rangle \ \in \ \{ \langle \pi \ell, \ \ell \ \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{ater} [\![ \mathbf{S} ]\!] \} 
                             \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_h \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \mid \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho (\pi^{\ell}) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_h \rrbracket \wedge \langle \pi \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}}
                             \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}_b]\!], \ \mathsf{at}[\![ \mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![ \mathsf{S}]\!] \rangle \ \in \ \mathcal{S}^*[\![ \mathsf{S}_b]\!] \} \ \land \ \langle \pi_0'^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^\ell \rangle \ \in \ X \ \land \ \langle \pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell, \ \ell \pi_2'^\ell \rangle 
                \ell \xrightarrow{\neg (B)} \text{ after} \llbracket S \rrbracket \rangle \in \{ \langle \pi \ell, \ \ell \xrightarrow{\neg (B)} \text{ after} \llbracket S \rrbracket \rangle \ | \ \mathcal{B} \llbracket B \rrbracket \varrho(\pi \ell) \ = \ \text{ff} \} \ \land \ (\forall z \ \in \ V \ \setminus B) \}
                 \{x\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi_0^\ell)z \ = \ \varrho(\pi_0'^\ell)z) \ \wedge \ \mathrm{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!](\mathsf{after}[\![S]\!])(\pi_0^\ell\pi_2^\ell) \ \stackrel{\mathsf{B}}{\longrightarrow} \ \mathsf{at}[\![S_b]\!]\pi_3^{\ell''} \ \stackrel{\mathsf{break}}{\longrightarrow} \
```

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{(by Lemma 47.59 where } \mathcal{S}' = \{\langle \pi^{\ell}, \ \ell \xrightarrow{\ B\ } \text{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\ break\ } \text{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \ | \ \mathcal{B} \llbracket \mathbf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi^{\ell}) = \\ \text{tt} \wedge^{\ell''} \in \text{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \wedge \langle \pi^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\ B\ } \text{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket, \text{at} \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\ break\ } \text{after} \llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathbf{S}_b \rrbracket \} \cup \{\langle \pi^{\ell}, \ell^{\ell}, \ell^$

Similar to the calculation starting at (??), we have to calculate the second term

 $\mathsf{after}[\![S]\!], \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!]), \mathsf{seqval}[\![y]\!] (\mathsf{after}[\![S]\!]) (\pi_0'^\ell \pi_2'^\ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!], \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!])) \}$

 $\subseteq \alpha^{d}(\{X\}) \ell \circ \alpha^{d}(\{S'\}) \text{ after}[S]$

 $\alpha^{\mathfrak{q}}(\{\mathcal{S}'\}) \text{ after}[\![S]\!]$ $= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \mathcal{S}' \in \mathcal{D}(\text{after}[\![S]\!]) \langle \mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \rangle\}$ $\text{? def. (47.25) of } \alpha^{\mathfrak{q}} \}$

```
= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \; \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \langle \pi_0, \, \pi_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_0', \, \pi_1' \rangle \in \mathcal{S}' \quad . \quad (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \quad . \quad \varrho(\pi_0) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi_0') \mathsf{z}) \land \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{s}]\!] (\pi_0, \pi_1), \mathsf{seqval}[\![\mathsf{y}]\!] \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{s}]\!] (\pi_0', \pi_1')) \} \qquad \qquad (\mathsf{def.} \ (47.19) \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathcal{D}^{\varrho} \langle \mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \rangle)
```

$$= \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \ | \ \exists \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \ \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket, \pi'^\ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \ \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \ .$$

$$\mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi^\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \ \wedge \ \ell'' \ \in \ \mathsf{breaks-of} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \ \wedge \ \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \ \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \in \mathscr{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \wedge \mathscr{B} \llbracket \mathsf{B} \rrbracket \varrho(\pi'^\ell) = \mathsf{ff} \ \wedge \ (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} \ . \ \varrho(\pi^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \ \wedge \ \mathsf{diff}(\mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket (\pi^\ell, \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket), \mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket (\pi'^\ell, \ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{C}} \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket))\}$$

(def. \mathcal{S}' and the other two combinations have already been considered in (3–B–B) and (2–C–C)

- $= \{\langle \mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{y}\rangle \mid \exists \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!], \pi'^\ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] . \quad \mathfrak{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi^\ell) = \mathsf{tt} \wedge \ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \wedge \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!], \; \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!] \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \wedge \mathcal{B}[\![\mathsf{B}]\!] \varrho(\pi'^\ell) = \mathsf{ff} \wedge (\forall \mathsf{z} \in V \setminus \{\mathsf{x}\} . \; \varrho(\pi^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \wedge \varrho(\pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!] \pi_3^{\ell''} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi'^\ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after}[\![\mathsf{S}]\!]) \mathsf{y}) \}$
 - $\begin{array}{l} (\langle \pi^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket, \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^* \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \ \mathsf{so}, \ \mathsf{by} \ \mathsf{def}. \ \mathsf{Section} \ \mathsf{4.2} \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathsf{program} \ \mathsf{labelling}, \ \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \neq \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \ \mathsf{cannot} \ \mathsf{appear} \ \mathsf{in} \ \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell''. \ \mathsf{Therefore}, \ \mathsf{by} \ \mathsf{def}. \ \mathsf{(6.6)} \ \mathsf{of} \ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \ \mathsf{and} \ \mathsf{(47.16)} \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) (\pi_0^{\ell}, \ell \pi_2^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) = \boldsymbol{\varrho} (\pi^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \pi_3 \ell'') \ \mathsf{and} \ \mathsf{seqval} \llbracket \mathsf{y} \rrbracket (\mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) (\pi'^{\ell}\ell, \ell \pi'_2 \ell \xrightarrow{\neg (\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket) = \boldsymbol{\varrho} (\pi'^{\ell}\ell''_2 \ell). \ \mathsf{We} \ \mathsf{conclude} \ \mathsf{by} \ \mathsf{def}. \ \mathsf{(47.18)} \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathsf{diff} \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathsf{diff} \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathsf{of}$
- $= \bigcup_{\ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[S_b]} \{ \langle \mathsf{x}, \, \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \exists \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![S_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!], \pi'^\ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] : \langle \pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![S_b]\!], \mathsf{at}[\![S_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!] \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*[\![S_b]\!] \land (\forall \mathsf{z} \in \mathcal{V} \backslash \{\mathsf{x}\} : \varrho(\pi^\ell) \mathsf{z} = \varrho(\pi'^\ell) \mathsf{z}) \land \varrho(\pi^\ell \xrightarrow{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{at}[\![S_b]\!] \pi_3 \ell'' \xrightarrow{\mathsf{break}} \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!]) \mathsf{y} \neq \varrho(\pi'^\ell \xrightarrow{\neg(\mathsf{B})} \mathsf{after}[\![S]\!]) \mathsf{y} \} \upharpoonright \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})$

and $\mathfrak{B}[B]\varrho(\pi'\ell) = ff$

?def.∪∫

$$\subseteq \bigcup_{\ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![S_b]\!]} (\{\langle \mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{x} \rangle \mid \mathsf{x} \in \mathcal{V}\} \cup \{\langle \mathsf{x}, \ \mathsf{y} \rangle \mid \mathsf{x} \in \mathcal{V} \land \mathsf{y} \in \mathsf{mod}[\![S_b]\!]\}) \mid \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})$$

(since if $y \neq x$ then $\varrho(\pi^\ell)y = \varrho(\pi'^\ell)y = \varrho(\pi'^\ell)y = \varrho(\pi'^\ell)y$ after [S] y so for the value of y to be different in $\varrho(\pi^\ell) \to \operatorname{at}[S_b] = \operatorname$

 $(\mathbb{1}_{V} \cup \{\langle x, y \rangle \mid x \in V \land y \in mod[S_{b}]\}) \mid nondet(B, \neg B)$ (def. identity relation 1 and \cup)

$$= \mathbb{1}_{\mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})} \cup (\mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B}) \times \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathsf{S}_b]\!])$$
 (def. \rightarrow)

- Summing up for cases (3-B-B) and (3-B-C), we get

$$(\ref{eq:continuous_and_states}) \in \alpha^{\mbox{\dots}}(\{X\}) \ell_{\mbox{\dots}}^{\mbox{\dots}} \bigg(\bigg(\bigcup_{\ell'' \in \mbox{breaks-of}[\mbox{$\sc S$}_b]\mbox{\dots}} \widehat{\mbox{\dots}}^{\mbox{\dots}}_{\mbox{diff}} [\mbox{$\sc S$}_b] \ell'' \bigg) \ended{(B, B)} \cup \mathbbm{1}_{\mbox{nondet}(B, \neg B)} \cup (\mbox{nondet}(B, \neg B) \times \mbox{mod}[\mbox{$\sc S$}_b]\mbox{\dots}).$$

— Summing up for all subcases of (3) for a dependency observation point $\ell' = \text{after}[S]$, we would get a term (47.63.c) of the form

that can be simplified as follows (while loosing precision)

(??)

$$\subseteq \mathbb{1}_{\operatorname{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})} \cup \alpha^{\triangleleft}(\{X\})^{\ell} \cup (\alpha^{\triangleleft}(\{X\})^{\ell})^{\beta} \otimes (\mathbb{1}_{\operatorname{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})} \cup \operatorname{nondet}(\neg \mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B}) \times \operatorname{mod}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!])) \mid \\ \operatorname{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B}) \cup \alpha^{\triangleleft}(\{X\})^{\ell} \otimes \left(\left(\bigcup_{\ell'' \in \operatorname{breaks-of}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]} \widehat{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}}^{\exists}_{\operatorname{diff}}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \ell'' \right) \mid \operatorname{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \mathsf{B}) \right) \cup \mathbb{1}_{\operatorname{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B})} \cup \\ \operatorname{(nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \neg \mathsf{B}) \times \operatorname{mod}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!])$$

$$\subseteq \mathbb{1}_{\mathscr{V}} \cup \alpha^{\operatorname{d}}(\{X\})^{\varrho} \cup (\alpha^{\operatorname{d}}(\{X\})^{\varrho} \circ (\mathbb{1}_{\mathscr{V}} \cup \mathscr{V} \times \operatorname{mod}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!])) \cup \alpha^{\operatorname{d}}(\{X\})^{\varrho} \circ \left(\left(\bigcup_{\ell'' \in \operatorname{breaks-of}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]} \widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}_{\operatorname{diff}}^{\exists} [\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \ell'' \right) \right)$$

$$\operatorname{nondet}(\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B}) \right) \cup \mathbb{1}_{\mathscr{V}} \cup (\mathscr{V} \times \operatorname{mod}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!])$$

(since nondet(B₁, B₂) $\subseteq V$ so $\mathbb{1}_{\text{nondet}(B_1,B_2)} \subseteq \mathbb{1}_V$ and def.]

$$\subseteq \mathbb{1}_{\mathscr{V}} \ \cup \ \alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \ \cup \ (\alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\{X\}) \ ^{\ell} \ ^{\circ}_{\circ} \ \mathbb{1}_{\mathscr{V}}) \ \cup \ (\alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\{X\}) \ ^{\ell} \ ^{\circ}_{\circ} \ \mathscr{V} \ \times \ \mathrm{mod}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!])) \ \cup \ \alpha^{\mathrm{d}}(\{X\})^{\ell} \ ^{\circ}_{\circ} \ \left(\left(\bigcup_{\mathfrak{C}'' \in \mathrm{breaks-of}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!]} \widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}^{\exists}_{\mathrm{diff}}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!] \ ^{\ell''} \right) \ \mathsf{l} \ \mathrm{nondet}(\mathtt{B},\mathtt{B}) \right) \cup \ \mathbb{1}_{\mathscr{V}} \cup \ (\mathscr{V} \times \mathrm{mod}[\![\mathtt{S}_{b}]\!])$$

? since ; distributes over ∪ \

$$= \ \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{V}} \cup \alpha^{\mathbb{q}}(\{X\}) \ell \cup \left((\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{V}} \cup \alpha^{\mathbb{q}}(\{X\}) \ell) \circ (\mathbb{V} \times \mathsf{mod}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!])\right) \cup \alpha^{\mathbb{q}}(\{X\}) \ell \circ \left(\left(\bigcup_{\ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!]} \widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}^{\mathbb{q}}_{\mathsf{diff}}[\![\mathbf{S}_b]\!] \ell''\right) \rceil$$

nondet(B, B) (idempotency law for
$$\cup$$
 and \S distributes over \cup)

After simplification, we get a term (47.63.c) of the form

$$\begin{split} \llbracket \, \ell' &= \mathsf{after} \llbracket \mathsf{S} \rrbracket \, \, \widehat{\mathbb{S}} \, \, \, \rrbracket_{\mathcal{V}} \cup X(\ell) \cup \left(\left(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{V}} \cup X(\ell) \right) \, \mathring{\varsigma} \, \left(\mathcal{V} \times \mathsf{mod} \llbracket \mathsf{S}_b \rrbracket \right) \right) \cup \\ & X(\ell) \, \mathring{\varsigma} \, \left(\left(\bigcup_{\ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}} \widehat{\overline{\mathbb{S}}_b} \right] \, \ell'' \right) \, \rceil \, \, \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B}, \mathsf{B}) \right) \, \mathring{\varsigma} \, \, \, \mathscr{O} \, \, \mathring{\rbrace}. \end{aligned}$$

For fixpoints X of $\mathcal{F}^{\text{diff}}[\text{while }\ell$ (B) $S_b]$, we have $\mathbb{1}_V \subseteq X(\ell)$ by (47.63.a) so that, by the chaotic iteration theorem [DBLP:journals/sigart/CousotC77, Cousot-IMAG-RR88-1977], $\mathbb{1}_V \cup X(\ell)$ can be replaced by $X(\ell)$. We get a term (47.63.c) of the form

$$\begin{split} \big\|\,\ell' &= \mathsf{after}\big[\![\mathsf{S} \big]\!] \,\, \widehat{\mathscr{E}} \,\, X(\ell) \cup \big(X(\ell\, \begin{subarray}{c} \circ \ (\mathcal{V} \times \mathsf{mod}\big[\![\mathsf{S}_b \big]\!] \big) \big) \, \cup \\ & X(\ell)\, \begin{subarray}{c} \circ \ \left(\Big(\bigcup_{\ell'' \in \mathsf{breaks-of}\big[\![\mathsf{S}_b \big]\!]} \,\, \widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}^{\exists}_{\mathsf{diff}}\big[\![\mathsf{S}_b \big]\!] \,\, \ell'' \, \right) \, \big] \,\, \mathsf{nondet}(\mathsf{B},\mathsf{B}) \, \big) \, \circ \,\, \emptyset \, \big]. \end{split}$$

— Summing up for all cases (1), (2), and (3) for all dependency observation points, we conclude that

$$\forall \ell' \in \mathsf{labx}[\![\mathtt{S}]\!] \ . \ \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^*[\![\mathtt{while}\ \ell\ (\mathtt{B})\ \mathtt{S}_b]\!](X)\})\ \ell' \subseteq \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathsf{diff}}[\![\mathtt{while}\ \ell\ (\mathtt{B})\ \mathtt{S}_b]\!]\ \alpha^{\mathsf{d}}(\{X\})\ \ell' \subseteq \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathsf{diff}}[\![\mathtt{while}\ \ell\ (\mathtt{B})\ \mathtt{S}_b]\!]$$

proving pointwise semi-commutation.

5 Mathematical proofs of chapter 48

Proof of Lemma 48.63 By induction on the sequence of calls to unify. We proceed by by calculational design and case analysis on the structure of τ_1 and τ_2 which can be a variable or a structured term and may belong to the domain of θ_0 , or not.

• If unify $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \vartheta_0) = \Omega_s^r$ in case (48.47.8) of an occur-check, we have $\gamma_s^r(\Omega_s^r) = \emptyset$ by (48.46). By the test (48.47.8), $\alpha \in \text{Vars}[\![\tau_2]\!]$. If $\tau_2 = \beta \in V_t$ were a variable then the test $\alpha \in \text{Vars}[\![\tau_2]\!]$ at (48.47.8) would be true only if $\alpha = \beta$ but this case is prevented by the test (48.47.7). By contradiction, $\tau_2 \notin V_t$ in case (48.47.8). It follows, by def. (48.51) of γ_e that $\gamma_e(\tau_1 \doteq \tau_2) = \gamma_e(\alpha \doteq \tau_2) = \emptyset$ since otherwise, there would be some $\boldsymbol{\varrho}$ such that $\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\tau_1) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(f(\dots \alpha \dots))$ which would be an infinite object not in \mathbf{P}^{ν} , as shown in Lemma 48.9.

- By Lemma 48.58, unify does terminate so that, in case (48.47.6) with $\theta_n = \Omega_s^r$ there must be a series of recursive calls ending up in (48.47.8). So τ_1 or τ_2 has a recursive subterm which, again by Lemma 48.9, implies $\gamma_s^r(\text{unify}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \theta_0)) = \gamma_s^r(\text{unify}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \theta_0)) = \gamma_s^r(\Omega_s^r) = \emptyset$;
- In case (48.47.6) with $\vartheta_n \neq \Omega_s^r$, we have,

$$\begin{split} & \gamma_{\mathbf{e}}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1} \doteq \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}) \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{f}}(\vartheta_{0}) \\ & = \gamma_{\mathbf{e}}(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{n}) \doteq g(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{m})) \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{f}}(\vartheta_{0}) \\ & = \gamma_{\mathbf{e}}(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{n}) \doteq g(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{m})) \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{f}}(\vartheta_{0}) \\ & = \{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{n})) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{m}))\} \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{f}}(\vartheta_{0}) \\ & = \{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{n})) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{m}))\} \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{f}}(\vartheta_{0}) \\ & = \{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mid \boldsymbol{\rho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1})\} \\ & = \{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1})\} \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{f}}(\vartheta_{0}) \\ & = (\{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1})\} \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{f}}(\vartheta_{0})) \cap \bigcap_{l=1}^{n} \{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1})\} \\ & = (\{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1})\} \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{f}}(\vartheta_{0})) \cap \bigcap_{l=1}^{n} \{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1})\} \\ & = (\{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1})\} \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{f}}(\vartheta_{0})) \cap \bigcap_{l=1}^{n} \{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1})\} \\ & = (\{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1})\} \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{f}}(\vartheta_{0})) \cap \bigcap_{l=1}^{n} \{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1})\} \\ & = (\{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1})\} \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{f}}(\vartheta_{1})) \quad \text{$(\text{ind. hyp. and } \cap \text{commutative})$} \\ & = (\{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1}, \vartheta_{0}) \text{ in} \\ & \dots \\ & = (\{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1}, \vartheta_{0}) \text{ in} \\ & \dots \\ & = (\{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1}, \vartheta_{0}) \text{ in} \\ & \dots \\ & = (\{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1}, \vartheta_{0}) \text{ in} \\ & \dots \\ & = (\{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1}, \vartheta_{0}) \text{ in} \\ & \dots \\ & = (\{\boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{1}, \vartheta_{0}) \text{ in} \\ & \dots \\ & = (\{\boldsymbol{\varrho}$$

```
= let \theta_1 = unify(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i^1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^1, \theta_0) in
                           let \theta_j = \text{unify}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i^j, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^j, \theta_{j-1}) in let \theta_{j+1} = \text{unify}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i^{j+1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^{j+1}, \theta_j) in
                                                \bigcap_{i=i+2}^{n} \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{v} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{i}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{i}) \} \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{j+1})
                                                                                                                                                                                                              ?ind. hyp. and ∩ commutative \
       = let \theta_1 = unify(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i^1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^1, \theta_0) in
                            let \theta_j = \text{unify}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i^n, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^n, \theta_{n-1}) in
                                        \bigcap_{i=n+2}^{n} \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathsf{P}^{\vee} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{i}^{1}) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{i}) \} \cap \gamma_{\mathsf{s}}^{\mathsf{r}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{n})
                                                                                                                                                                                                               (by recurrence when j + 1 = n)
       = let \theta_1 = unify(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i^1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^1, \theta_0) in
                             let \theta_i = \text{unify}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i^n, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^n, \theta_{n-1}) in v_2^n(\theta_n)
                                                                            \langle \text{since } \bigcap_{i=n+2}^n \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\vee} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i^1) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_2^i) \} = \bigcap \emptyset = \mathbf{P}^{\vee} \text{ is the identity for } \cap \mathcal{L}
• In case (48.47.7), we have
                \gamma_{e}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1} \doteq \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}) \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\vartheta_{0})
       = \gamma_{\mathsf{e}}(\alpha \doteq \alpha) \cap \gamma_{\mathsf{s}}^{\mathsf{r}}(\vartheta_0)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    \alpha \in V_t by test (48.47.7)
        = \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\nu} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\alpha) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\alpha) \} \cap \gamma_{\mathsf{s}}^{\mathsf{r}}(\vartheta_{\mathsf{o}})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        \langle \text{def.} (48.51) \text{ of } \gamma_e \rangle
        = \mathbf{P}^{\nu} \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\vartheta_{0})
                                                                                                                                                                                                    \langle \text{since } \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\nu} \triangleq V_{t} \rightarrow \mathbf{T} \text{ by } (48.6) \rangle
       = \gamma_s^r(\theta_0)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    \langle \mathbf{P}^{\nu}  is the identity for \cap \mathcal{S}
       = \gamma_s^r(\text{unify}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0))
                                                                                                                                                                                                                          ? def. unify in case (48.47.7) \( \)
• In case (48.47.11), we have
                \gamma_{\rm e}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \doteq \boldsymbol{\tau}_2) \cap \gamma_{\rm s}^{\rm r}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_0)
       = \gamma_{e}(\alpha \doteq \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}) \cap \gamma_{s}^{r}(\vartheta_{0})
                                (where \alpha \in V_t by test (48.47.9), \alpha \notin \text{vars}[[\tau_2]] since test (48.47.8) is ff, \alpha \notin \text{dom}(\theta_0) by
                                   test (48.47.10), and \tau_2 \notin V_{\ell} since test (48.47.1) is ff)
        = \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\nu} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\alpha) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_2) \} \cap \gamma_{\mathsf{s}}^{\mathsf{r}}(\vartheta_0)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        (48.51) of \gamma_e
        = \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\vee} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\alpha) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}) \} \cap \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\vee} \mid \forall \beta \in V_{f} : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\vartheta_{0}(\beta)) \}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        (48.52) of \gamma_{s}^{r}
        = \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\vee} \mid \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\alpha) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}) \land \forall \beta \in V_{f} : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\vartheta_{0}(\beta)) \}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           ?def. ∩ \
        =\{\boldsymbol{\varrho}\in\mathbf{P}^{\vee}\mid\forall\beta\in\mathcal{V}_{t}:\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta)=[\![\beta=\alpha\ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varrho}}\ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\vartheta_{0}(\beta)[\beta\in\mathbb{Vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}]\!]\leftarrow\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}]\!]):\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}[\alpha\leftarrow\vartheta_{0}(\beta)])]\!\}
```

(def. (48.7) of assignment application where $\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\alpha)$ is replaced by its equal $\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_2)$ and for $\beta \in V_{\tilde{\tau}} \setminus \{\alpha\}$, $\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta)$ is replaced by its equal $\boldsymbol{\varrho}(\vartheta_0(\beta))$)

$$=\{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\vee} \mid \forall \beta \in V_{\hat{t}} : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta) = [\![\beta = \alpha \]\!] \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\vartheta_0(\beta)[\beta \in \mathrm{Vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}_2]\!] \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\tau}_2]) : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\{\langle \alpha, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle\}(\vartheta_0(\beta)))] \}$$

by Exercise 48.60 where $\tau' = \theta_0(\beta)$

$$= \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\vee} \mid \forall \beta \in V_{\hat{\pi}} : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta) = [\![\beta = \alpha \ \widehat{\epsilon} \ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\vartheta_0(\boldsymbol{\tau}_2)) \ \hat{\epsilon} \ \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\{\langle \alpha, \ \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle\}(\vartheta_0(\beta)))]\!] \}$$
 (by Exercise 48.62)
$$= \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\vee} \mid \forall \beta \in V_{\hat{\pi}} : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}([\![\beta = \alpha \ \widehat{\epsilon} \ \vartheta_0(\boldsymbol{\tau}_2) \ \hat{\epsilon} \ (\{\langle \alpha, \ \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle\} \cdot \vartheta_0)(\beta)]\!]) \}$$

?def. conditional and function composition • \

$$= \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\vee} \mid \forall \beta \in V_{\bar{t}} : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}([\![\beta = \alpha\ \widehat{s}\ (\{\langle \alpha, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle\} \circ \vartheta_0)(\alpha) \circ (\{\langle \alpha, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle\} \circ \vartheta_0)(\beta)\]\!]) \}$$

$$\text{? since } X \notin \text{dom}(\vartheta_0) \text{ so } (\{\langle \alpha, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle\} \circ \vartheta_0)(\alpha) = \{\langle \alpha, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle\}(\vartheta_0(\alpha)) = \{\langle \alpha, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle\}(\alpha) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \}$$

$$= \{ \boldsymbol{\varrho} \in \mathbf{P}^{\vee} \mid \forall \beta \in V_{\hat{\tau}} : \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\beta) = \boldsymbol{\varrho}(\{\langle \alpha, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2} \rangle\} \circ \vartheta_{0})(\beta)$$
 (def. conditional)

$$= \gamma_{s}^{r} \{\langle \alpha, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2} \rangle\} \circ \vartheta_{0}$$
 (def. (48.52) of γ_{s}^{r})

$$= \gamma_{s}^{r} (\text{unify}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}, \vartheta_{0}))$$
 (48.47.11))

• In case (48.47.12), we have $\tau_1 = \alpha \in \text{dom}(\theta_0)$ by tests (48.47.9) and (48.47.10) and $\tau_2 \notin V_{\tilde{x}}$ since test (48.47.1) is ff.

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{\mathbf{e}}(\pmb{\tau}_1 &\doteq \pmb{\tau}_2) \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{r}}(\vartheta_0) \\ &= \gamma_{\mathbf{e}}(\alpha \doteq \pmb{\tau}_2) \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{r}}(\vartheta_0) \\ &= \{ \pmb{\varrho} \in \pmb{\mathsf{P}}^{\nu} \mid \pmb{\varrho}(\alpha) = \pmb{\varrho}(\pmb{\tau}_2) \land \forall \beta \in V_{\hat{t}} : \pmb{\varrho}(\beta) = \pmb{\varrho}(\vartheta_0(\beta)) \} \\ &= \{ \pmb{\varrho} \in \pmb{\mathsf{P}}^{\nu} \mid \pmb{\varrho}(\vartheta_0(\alpha)) = \pmb{\varrho}(\pmb{\tau}_2) \land \forall \beta \in V_{\hat{t}} : \pmb{\varrho}(\beta) = \pmb{\varrho}(\vartheta_0(\beta)) \} \\ &= \{ \pmb{\varrho} \in \pmb{\mathsf{P}}^{\nu} \mid \pmb{\varrho}(\vartheta_0(\alpha)) = \pmb{\varrho}(\pmb{\tau}_2) \land \forall \beta \in V_{\hat{t}} : \pmb{\varrho}(\beta) = \pmb{\varrho}(\vartheta_0(\beta)) \} \\ &= \gamma_{\mathbf{e}}(\vartheta_0(\alpha) \doteq \pmb{\tau}_2) \cap \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{r}}(\vartheta_0) \\ &= \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{r}}(\mathsf{unify}(\vartheta_0(\alpha), \pmb{\tau}_2, \vartheta_0)) \\ &= \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{r}}(\mathsf{unify}(\vartheta_0(\alpha), \pmb{\tau}_2, \vartheta_0)) \\ &= \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{r}}(\mathsf{unify}(\pmb{\tau}_1, \pmb{\tau}_2, \vartheta_0)) \\ &= \gamma_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{r}}(\mathsf{unify}(\pmb{\tau}$$

 In case (48.47.13) we are back to (48.47.11) or (48.47.12) by the symmetry argument of Remark 48.49.

The following Lemma ?? shows that new entries are successively added to the table T_0 .

Lemma 11 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0 \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$, if $\mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0)$ is (recursively) called from the main call $\mathsf{lcg}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0)$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T' \rangle = \mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0)$, then

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{preinvariant:} & \pmb{\tau}_1, \pmb{\tau}_2 \in \pmb{\mathsf{T}}^{\scriptscriptstyle V} \wedge T_0 \in V_{\bar{t}} \nrightarrow \pmb{\mathsf{T}}^{\scriptscriptstyle V} \times \pmb{\mathsf{T}}^{\scriptscriptstyle V} \\ \text{postinvariant:} & \pmb{\tau} \in \pmb{\mathsf{T}}^{\scriptscriptstyle V} \wedge T' \in V_{\bar{t}} \nrightarrow \pmb{\mathsf{T}}^{\scriptscriptstyle V} \times \pmb{\mathsf{T}}^{\scriptscriptstyle V} \wedge \text{vars}[\![\pmb{\tau}]\!] \subseteq \text{dom}(T') \wedge \end{array}$$

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathsf{dom}(T_0) : T_0(\alpha) = T'(\alpha)$$

Proof of Lemma ?? By induction on the sequence of calls to lub and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (48.68.2), ..., (48.68.4), and by case analysis on the conditional.

The first call at (48.68.12) satisfies the preinvariant of (48.39) since $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0 \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$ by hypothesis and $T_0 = \varnothing \in V_{\bar{\tau}} \to \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$;

Assuming that an intermediate call to $lub(\tau_1, \tau_2, T_0)$ satisfies the preinvariant (48.39), the proof that it satisfies the postinvariant (48.39) is by case analysis.

- In case (48.68.5), $\tau_j \in \mathsf{T}^{\nu}$ by hypothesis on the intermediate call, so $\tau_j^i \in \mathsf{T}^{\nu}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, j = 1, 2, by the test (48.68.1). Then we proceed by recurrence on the recursive calls.
 - For the basis i = 0, T_0 satisfies (48.39) by hypothesis on the intermediate call;
 - Assume, by recurrence hypothesis for $i \in [0, n[$, that $T_i \in V_t \to \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \wedge \forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(T_0)$. $T_0(\alpha) = T_i(\alpha)$. Then, by induction on the sequence of calls to lub, $\mathbf{\tau}^{i+1} \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$ and $T_{i+1} \in V_t \to \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \wedge \text{vars}[\![\mathbf{\tau}^{i+1}]\!] \subseteq \text{dom}(T_{i+1}) \wedge \forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(T_i)$. $T_i(\alpha) = T_{i+1}(\alpha)$. By transitivity, $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(T_0)$. $T_0(\alpha) = T_{i+1}(\alpha)$.

By recurrence for $i = n, T' = T_n$ at (48.68.5) satisfies (48.39) since $\boldsymbol{\tau}^i \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^v$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, implies $f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n) \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^v$ and $\text{vars}[f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n)] = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{vars}[\boldsymbol{\tau}^i]$;

- The case (48.68.7) is trivial since $\beta \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$, $T' = T_0$, and $\beta \in \text{dom}(T_0)$;
- In case (48.68.9), $T_0 \in V_{\bar{t}} \to \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$ by hypothesis, $\beta \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$, and $\beta \in V_{\bar{t}} \setminus \text{dom}(T_0)$ by the test (48.68.8) so $T' = \langle \mathbf{\tau}_1, \mathbf{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0] \in V_{\bar{t}} \to \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$ and for all $\alpha \in \text{dom}(T_0)$, $\alpha \neq \beta$ so $T'(\alpha) = \langle \mathbf{\tau}_1, \mathbf{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0](\alpha) = T_0(\alpha)$. Moreover $\beta \in \text{Vars}[\langle \mathbf{\tau}_1, \mathbf{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0]] = \text{Vars}[T']$. \square

Remark Lemma ?? shows that T_0 can be declared as a variable local to lcg and global to lub, which would be unitialized to \emptyset and updated by an assignment at (48.68.9).

For $T \in V_{t} \to \mathbf{T}^{v} \times \mathbf{T}^{v}$, let us define, when $\alpha \in \text{dom}(T)$,

$$\overline{\zeta}_1(T)\alpha \triangleq \det \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle = T(\alpha) \text{ in } \boldsymbol{\tau}_1$$

$$\overline{\zeta}_2(T)\alpha \triangleq \det \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle = T(\alpha) \text{ in } \boldsymbol{\tau}_2$$

$$(13)$$

(which is undefined when $\alpha \notin \text{dom}(T)$ in which case (48.30) applies, in particular when $T = \emptyset$). The following Lemma **??** shows that table T_0 maintains two substitutions $\bar{\varsigma}_1(T)$ and $\bar{\varsigma}_1(T)$ which can be used to instantiate the term resulting from the call to the parameters.

Lemma 14 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}$ and $T_0 \in \wp(V_t \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu})$, if $\mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0)$ is (recursively) called from the main call $\mathsf{lcg}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0)$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T' \rangle = \mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0)$, then

$$\bar{\zeta}_1(T')\boldsymbol{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\zeta}_2(T')\boldsymbol{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2$$
 (??)

Proof of Lemma ?? The preinvariant is tt. By induction on the sequence of calls to lub and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (48.68.2), ..., (48.68.4), and by case analysis for the conditional.

- In case (48.68.5), by recurrence and induction on the sequence of recursive calls to leq, we have $\overline{\zeta}_1(T_i)\boldsymbol{\tau}^i = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^i$ and $\overline{\zeta}_2(T_i)\boldsymbol{\tau}^i = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^i$ for all $i \in [1,n]$. By the postinvariant of (48.39), we have $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(T_i)$. $T_0(\alpha) = T_{i+1}(\alpha)$. It follows, by (??) that $\forall \alpha \in \text{vars}[\boldsymbol{\tau}^i] \subseteq \text{dom}(T_i)$. $T_i(\alpha) = T_{i+1}(\alpha)$. Therefore, by (??), $\forall \alpha \in \text{vars}[\boldsymbol{\tau}^i]$. $\theta_j(T_{i+1})(\boldsymbol{\tau}^i) = \theta_j(T_i)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^i)$. It follows by (48.30) that $\theta_j(T_n)(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1,\boldsymbol{\tau}^2,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\tau}^n)) = f(\theta_j(T_n)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1),\theta_j(T_n)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^2),\ldots,\theta_j(T_n)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^n)) = f(\theta_j(T_1)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1),\theta_j(T_2)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^2),\ldots,\theta_j(T_n)(\boldsymbol{\tau}^n)) = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^i,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\tau}_n^i) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_i, j = 1,2;$
- In case (48.68.7), (??) directly follows from $\tau = \beta$, $T' = T_0$, $\beta \in \text{dom}(T_0)$, $T_0(\beta) = \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$, and (??);
- In case (48.68.9), $\bar{\zeta}_j(T')\boldsymbol{\tau} = \vartheta_j(\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0])\beta = \text{if } \beta \in \text{dom}(T) \text{ then let } \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1', \, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2' \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0](\beta) \text{ in } \boldsymbol{\tau}_j' \text{ else } \alpha = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j, \, j = 1, 2.$

 $lgc(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)$ computes an upper-bound of $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}_2$.

Lemma 16 For all
$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}$$
, the lgc algorithm terminates with $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_1]_{=^{\nu}} \leq_{=^{\nu}} [\lg c(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)]_{=^{\nu}}$ and $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_2]_{=^{\nu}} \leq_{=^{\nu}} [\lg c(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)]_{=^{\nu}}$.

Proof of Lemma ?? The termination proof of lub(τ_1 , τ_2 , T_0) is by structural induction on τ_1 (or τ_2). So the main call lub(τ_1 , τ_2 , \varnothing) at (48.68.12) does terminate.

Lemma ?? follows by def. of the infimum $\overline{\varnothing}^{\nu}$ in cases (48.68.11).

Otherwise, at (48.68.12), $\lg c(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2) = \boldsymbol{\tau}$ where $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T \rangle = \mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \varnothing)$. By (48.42), $\overline{\varsigma}_j(T)\boldsymbol{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j, j = 1, 2$. So by Exercise 48.16, $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_j]_{=^{\nu}} \leq_{=^{\nu}} [\boldsymbol{\tau}]_{=^{\nu}} = [\lg c(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)]_{=^{\nu}}$.

Let $[\boldsymbol{\tau}']_{=^{\nu}}$ be an upper bound of $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_1]_{=^{\nu}}$ and $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_2]_{=^{\nu}}$ i.e. $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \leq_{=^{\nu}} \boldsymbol{\tau}'$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \leq_{=^{\nu}} \boldsymbol{\tau}'$ so that, by Theorem 48.31, there exists substitutions ϑ_1 and ϑ_2 such that $\vartheta_1(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1$ and $\vartheta_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2$. We must prove that $[\lg c(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)]_{=^{\nu}} \leq_{=^{\nu}} [\boldsymbol{\tau}']_{=^{\nu}}$ that is, by Theorem 48.31, that there exist a substitution ϑ' such that $\vartheta'(\lg c(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)) = \boldsymbol{\tau}'$.

We modify the lub algorithm into lub' (which calls lub) as given in Figure $\ref{eq:theta}$ to construct this substitution ϑ' given any upper bound $\ref{eq:theta}$.

Example 19 The assumption (??.??) prevents a call like lub' $(f(a,b), f(b,a), \emptyset, f(\alpha,\alpha), \varepsilon, \emptyset)$ where $f(\alpha,\alpha)$ is not an upper bound of $\{f(a,b), f(b,a)\}$.

```
Example 20 For \tau_1 = f(g(a), g(g(a)), g(a), b, b), \tau_2 = f(g(b), g(h(b)), g(b), a, a) and \tau' = f(g(a), g(h(b)), g(h(b)), g(h(b)), g(h(b)), g(h(b))
f(q(\alpha), \beta, q(\alpha), \gamma, U), we have
lub'(f(q(a), q(q(a)), q(a), b, b), f(q(b), q(h(b)), q(b), a, a), \emptyset, f(q(\alpha), \beta, q(\alpha), \gamma, U), \varepsilon)
           lub'(q(a), q(b), \emptyset, q(\alpha), \varepsilon)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??.??)
                       lub'(a, b, \emptyset, \alpha, \varepsilon)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??.??)
                        = \langle \beta, \{ \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle \}, \{ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \} \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??.??)
            = \langle g(\beta), \{\langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle\}, \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle\} \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??.??)
           lub'(g(g(a)), g(h(b)), \{\langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle\}, \beta, \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle\})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??.??)
                        lub(g(a), h(b), \{\langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle\})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??.??)
                        = \langle \gamma, \{ \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \} \rangle
            = \langle g(\gamma), \{ \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \}, \{ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle \} \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??.??)
            \mathsf{lub}'(g(a), g(b), \{\langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \}, g(\alpha), \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle \})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??.??)
                       lub'(a, b, \{\langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \}, \alpha, \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle \})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??.??)
                        = \langle \beta, \{ \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \rangle \}, \{ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle \} \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??.??)
            = \langle g(\beta), \{\langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \rangle \}, \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle \} \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??.??)
            lub'(b, a, \{\langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \}, \gamma, \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle \})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??.??)
            =\langle \alpha, \{\langle \alpha, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle, \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \rangle\}, \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle, \langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle \} \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??.??)
           lub'(b, a, {{\langle \alpha, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle, \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \rangle}, U, {\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle, \langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??.??)
           = \langle \alpha, \{ \{ \langle \alpha, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle, \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \} \}, \{ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \rangle, \langle U, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \} \}
\alpha\rangle\}\rangle
= \langle f(g(\beta), g(\gamma), g(\beta), \alpha, \alpha), \{\langle \alpha, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle, \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \rangle \}, \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle \}
\alpha, \langle U, \alpha \rangle}
so that \tau = f(g(\beta), g(\gamma), g(\beta), \alpha, \alpha), T = \{\langle \alpha, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle, \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \rangle\}, and \theta' = \{\langle \alpha, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle, \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \rangle\}
\beta, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle, \langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle, \langle U, \alpha \rangle}. Let us check that
1. \vartheta'(\tau') = \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \langle \beta, g(\gamma) \rangle, \langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle, \langle U, \alpha \rangle\} (f(g(\alpha), \beta, g(\alpha), \gamma, U)) = f(g(\beta), g(\gamma), g(\beta), \alpha, \alpha)
              = \tau;
2. \overline{\varsigma}_1(T) = \overline{\varsigma}_1(\{\langle \alpha, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle, \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \}) = \{\langle \alpha, b \rangle, \langle \beta, a \rangle, \langle \gamma, g(a) \rangle \};
3. \overline{\varsigma}_1(T)(\tau) = \{\langle \alpha, b \rangle, \langle \beta, a \rangle, \langle \gamma, g(a) \rangle\} (f(g(\beta), g(\gamma), g(\beta), \alpha, \alpha)) = f(g(a), g(g(a)), g(a), b, b) = f(g(a), g(a), g(a), g(a), b, b) = f(g(a), g(a), g(a),
               \boldsymbol{\tau}_1;
4. \bar{\zeta}_2(T) = \bar{\zeta}_2(\{\langle \alpha, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle, \langle \beta, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle, \langle \gamma, \langle g(a), h(b) \rangle \}) = \{\langle \alpha, a \rangle, \langle \beta, b \rangle, \langle \gamma, h(b) \rangle \};
5. \overline{\varsigma}_2(T)(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = \{\langle \alpha, a \rangle, \langle \beta, b \rangle, \langle \gamma, h(b) \rangle\} (f(g(\beta), g(\gamma), g(\beta), \alpha, \alpha)) = f(g(b), g(h(b)), g(b), a, a) = f(g(b), g(h(b)), g(b), a, a) = f(g(b), g(h(b)), g(h(b)), g(h(b)), g(h(b)), g(h(b)) = f(g(b), g(h(b)), g(h(b)),
```

We must show that lub' and lub compute the same result τ .

```
Lemma 21 For all \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\tau}'' \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}, T_0, T, T'' \in \wp(V_{\ell} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}), and \vartheta_0, \vartheta' \in V_{\ell} \nrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}, if \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle = \mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_0) and \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}'', T'' \rangle = \mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0) then \boldsymbol{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\tau}'' and T = T''. \square
```

Proof of Lemma ?? Any execution trace of lub'(τ_1 , τ_2 , T_0 , τ' , ϑ_0) can be abstracted into an execution trace of lub(τ_1 , τ_2 , T_0) simply by ignoring the input ϑ_0 , the resulting substitution ϑ' , ignoring the program point (??.??) and mapping (??.??), ..., (??.??) and (??.??), ..., (??.??) to the program point (48.68.2), ..., (48.68.5). The proof is by induction on the calls to lub and lub' which are synchronous in the two traces. The point is that the result $\langle \tau, T \rangle$ of a call $\langle \tau, T, \vartheta' \rangle = \text{lub'}(\tau_1, \tau_2, T_0, \tau', \vartheta_0)$ does not depend during its computation on the parameters τ' , and ϑ_0 . An exception is the test (??.??) but the two alternative yield the same result. (??.??), ..., (??.??) is identical to (48.68.2), ..., (48.68.4) while, by induction on the sequence of calls to lub' (??.??), ..., (??.??) is abstracted to that of (48.68.2), ..., (48.68.4). It follows that $\langle \tau, T \rangle$ at (48.68.12) is equal to $\langle \tau, T \rangle$ at (??.??).

The following Lemma ?? proves the well-typing of algorithm lub'.

```
Lemma 22 For all \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\tau}' \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}, T_0 \in \wp(V_{\bar{t}} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}), and \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_0, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_1, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_2 \in V_{\bar{t}} \to \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}, if \mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_0) is (recursively) called from the main call \mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\varnothing}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) with hypothesis \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_1(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0 \wedge \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, then the case analysis in the definition of \mathsf{lub}' is complete (i.e., there is no missing case) and \exists \gamma \in V_{\bar{t}} : \boldsymbol{\tau}' = \gamma at (??.??) and (??.??).
```

Proof of Lemma ?? Notice that Lemmata **??**, **??**, and **??** are valid for lub' since they do not involve the extra parameters τ' , θ_0 or result θ' . The proof is by case analysis.

- For (??.??), the only possible cases for τ' are (??.??) and (??.??), by definition (48.2) of terms with variables.
- For (??.??) and (??.??), the test (??.??) is false so, by the preinvariant of Lemma ?? and def. (48.2) of terms with variables, at least one τ_j , j=1,2 of τ_1 or τ_2 is a variable. Then τ' must be a variable since otherwise $\tau'=g(\tau'_1,\ldots,\tau'_m)$ so that it is impossible that $\theta_j(\tau')=\tau_j$ be a variable.

The following Lemma $\ref{lem:sphere}$ shows that variables recorded in T_0 are for non-matching subterms only.

Lemma 23 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{v}$ and $T_0 \in \wp(V_{\hat{\boldsymbol{t}}} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{v} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{v})$, if lub $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0)$ is (recursively) called from the main call lub $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \varnothing)$, then for all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1', \boldsymbol{\tau}_1'^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1'^n, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2', \boldsymbol{\tau}_2'^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2'^n \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{v}$, if $\exists f \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{F}}_n : \boldsymbol{\tau}_1' = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1'^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1'^n) \land \boldsymbol{\tau}_2' = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_2'^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2'^n)$ then $\forall \beta \in \mathsf{dom}(T_0)$.

$$T_0(\beta) \neq \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_2', \ \boldsymbol{\tau}_1' \rangle.$$

Proof of Lemma ?? Let us prove the contraposition, that is "if $\exists \beta \in \text{dom}(T_0)$. $T_0(\beta) = \langle \pmb{\tau}_2', \pmb{\tau}_1' \rangle$ then $\forall f \in \pmb{\mathsf{F}}_n$. $\pmb{\tau}_1' \neq f(\pmb{\tau}_1'^1, \dots, \pmb{\tau}_1'^n) \vee \pmb{\tau}_2' \neq f(\pmb{\tau}_2'^1, \dots, \pmb{\tau}_2'^n)$ ". The proof is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub and Lemma **??** is obviously true for

The proof is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub and Lemma ?? is obviously true for the initial value of $T_0 = \emptyset$. Then observe that the only modification to the parameter T_0 in calls to lub is (48.68.9) for which (48.68.1) is false so that the returned T' is $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0]$ with $\neg(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1 = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^n) \wedge \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_2^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^n))$. This property is preserved by the recursive calls (??.??) to (??.??) for T_n returned at (??.??) as well as for the unmodified T_0 returned at (??.??). By induction, Lemma ?? holds for all calls from the main call (??.??).

Lemma 24 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}'$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}'$ \in $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{v}$, T_{0} , $T \in V_{\varepsilon} \nrightarrow (\boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{v} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{v})$, and $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{1}$, $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{2}$, $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{1}' \in V_{\varepsilon} \nrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{v}$, if lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}, T_{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{0})$ is (recursively) called from the main call lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}', \varepsilon)$ with hypothesis $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{1}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0} \land \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{2}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}' \rangle$, then

$$(\exists \beta \in \mathsf{dom}(T_0) \ . \ T_0(\beta) = \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \ \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle \wedge \boldsymbol{\tau}' = \gamma) \Rightarrow (\gamma \in \mathsf{dom}(\vartheta_0) \wedge \vartheta_0(\gamma) = \beta)$$

Proof of Lemma ?? We prove the stronger property that the following preinvariant and postinvariant do hold for any call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle = \text{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_0)$.

preinvariant
$$(\exists \beta \in \text{dom}(T_0) : T_0(\beta) = \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle \wedge \boldsymbol{\tau}' = \gamma) \Rightarrow (\gamma \in \text{dom}(\theta_0) \wedge \theta_0(\gamma) = \beta)$$
 (25) postinvariant $(\exists \beta \in \text{dom}(T) : T(\beta) = \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle \wedge \boldsymbol{\tau}' = \gamma) \Rightarrow (\gamma \in \text{dom}(\theta') \wedge \theta'(\gamma) = \beta)$

The proof is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub' and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (??.??), (??.??),..., (??.??), and by case analysis for the conditional.

- For the basis, the preinvariant of (??) holds vacuously at the first call (??.??) since $T_0 = \emptyset$;
- For the induction step, we proceed by case analysis.
 - In case (??.??), there is no recursive call to lub' and, by Lemma ??, the premiss of the postin-variant of (??) is ff so it does hold vacuously.
 - In case (??.??), the first recursive call at (??.??) satisfies the preinvariant because this preinvariant is assumed to hold for the intermediate call at (??).

In case n = 0, this is also the postinvariant.

Otherwise n > 0. Assume, by recurrence hypothesis, that the preinvariant holds before the call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^i, T_i, \vartheta_i \rangle = \text{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^i, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^n, T_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_i', \vartheta_{i-1})$. Then, by induction hypothesis on the sequence of calls to lub', the postinvariant (??) holds for T_i and ϑ_i , which is the preinvariant of the next recursive call, if any.

It follows, by recurrence, that the postinvariant of (??) holds at (????) for T_n and ϑ_n .

- In case (??.??), we know by the test (??.??) and Lemma ?? that $\exists \beta \in \text{dom}(T_0)$. $T_0(\beta) = \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle \wedge \tau' = \gamma$ so by the preinvariant $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0)$ and $\vartheta_0(\gamma) = \beta$. Since $T = T_0$ and $\vartheta' = \vartheta_0$, we have $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta') \wedge \vartheta'(\gamma) = \beta$;
- In case (??.??), $\vartheta' = \beta[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0]$, which implies the postinvariant (??).

Let us prove the converse of Lemma ??.

Lemma 26 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}, T_0, T \in \wp(V_{\bar{\varepsilon}} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu})$, and $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_0, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_1, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_2, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}' \in V_{\bar{\varepsilon}} \to \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}$, if lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_0)$ is (recursively) called from the main call lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \varepsilon)$ with hypothesis $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_1(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0 \wedge \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}' \rangle$, then

$$\forall \beta, \gamma \in V_{\bar{t}} : (\gamma \in \mathsf{dom}(\vartheta_0) \land \vartheta_0(\gamma) = \beta) \Rightarrow (\beta \in \mathsf{dom}(T_0)).$$

П

Proof of Lemma ?? We prove the stronger property that the following preinvariant and postinvariant do hold for any call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle = |\text{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_0)$.

preinvariant
$$\forall \beta, \gamma \in V_{\hat{t}}$$
 . $(\gamma \in \text{dom}(\theta_0) \land \theta_0(\gamma) = \beta) \Rightarrow (\beta \in \text{dom}(T_0))$ postinvariant $\forall \beta, \gamma \in V_{\hat{t}}$. $(\gamma \in \text{dom}(\theta') \land \theta'(\gamma) = \beta) \Rightarrow (\beta \in \text{dom}(T))$ (27)

The proof is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub' and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (??.??), (??.??), and by case analysis for the conditional.

- For the basis, $\theta_0 = \varepsilon$ so dom $(\theta_0) = \emptyset$ so the preinvariant (??) holds vacuously;
- The induction step is by case analysis.
 - In case (??.??), there is no recursive call to lub' and $\vartheta' = f(\tau^1, ..., \tau^n)[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0]$. So if $\alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta') \setminus \{\gamma\}$ then the postinvariant follows from the preinvariant. For $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta')$, we have $\vartheta'(\gamma) = f(\tau^1, ..., \tau^n) \notin V_{\pi}$ so that the postondition holds vacuously;
 - In case (??.??), the preinvariant of the first recursive call (??.??) holds by the preinvariant of (??) on the main call (??). Assuming the preinvariant holds for a following recursive call, the postinvariant holds by induction on the sequence of calls to lub', which is also the preinvariant of the next call. By recurrence the postinvariant of (??) holds for $\theta' = \theta_n$ and $T = T_n$ after the last call at (??.??);
 - In case (??.??), we have $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta') \wedge \vartheta'(\gamma) = \beta$ so the preinvariant (??) on the intermediate call trivially implies the postinvariant;
 - In case (??.??), $T = \langle \mathbf{\tau}_1, \mathbf{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0]$ and $\vartheta' = \beta [\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0]$. If $\alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta') \setminus \{\gamma\}$ and $\vartheta'(\alpha) = \beta'$ then $\alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0)$ and $\vartheta_0(\alpha) = \beta'$ then, by the preinvariant on the intermediate call, $\beta' \in \text{dom}(T_0) = \text{dom}(T)$. Otherwise, for $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta')$, we have $\vartheta'(\gamma) = \beta [\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0](\gamma) = \beta$ with $\beta \in \text{dom}(\langle \mathbf{\tau}_1, \mathbf{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0]) = \text{dom}(T)$.

The next Lemma ?? shows how the term variables are used.

```
Lemma 28 For all \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}', \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}, T_{0}, T \in \wp(V_{\ell} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}), and \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{1}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{2}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}' \in V_{\ell} \to \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}, if lub'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}, T_{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{0}) is (recursively) called from the main call lub'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}', \varepsilon) with hypothesis \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{1}^{0}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0} \wedge \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{2}^{0}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0} and returns \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}' \rangle, then

\text{preinvariant} \quad \text{wars} [[\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{0}(V_{\ell})]] \subseteq \text{dom}(T_{0}) \tag{29}
```

(where
$$\theta_0(S) = \{\theta_0(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in S\}$$
 and $\text{vars}[S] = \bigcup \{\text{vars}[\tau] \mid \tau \in S\}$.)

postinvariant $vars[\theta'(V_{\pi})] \subseteq dom(T)$

Proof of Lemma ?? The proof is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub' and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (??.??), (??.??),..., (??.??), and by case analysis for the conditional.

- For the first call at (??.??), $\theta_0 = \varepsilon$ so $vars[\theta_0(V_t)] = vars[\varnothing] = \varnothing \subseteq dom(T_0)$;
- Otherwise the preinvariant of $(\ref{eq:thm.eq})$ holds for T_0 and ϑ_0 at the first recursive call $(\ref{eq:thm.eq})$. Assume, by induction hypothesis, that $\operatorname{vars}[\vartheta_{i-1}(V_t)] \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T_{i-1})$ before the i^{th} call $(\ref{eq:thm.eq})$,..., $(\ref{eq:thm.eq})$, $i \in [1,n]$. By induction hypothesis on the sequence of calls to lub' , we have $\operatorname{vars}[\vartheta_i(V_t)] \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T_i)$ after that call, which is also the preinvariant of the next call, if any. By recurrence, $\operatorname{vars}[\vartheta'(V_t)] = \operatorname{vars}[\vartheta_n(V_t)] \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T_n) = \operatorname{dom}(T)$ in case the call $(\ref{eq:thm.eq})$ to lub' terminates at $(\ref{eq:thm.eq})$;
- If lub' terminates at (??.??), there are two cases.
 - $\operatorname{vars}[\theta'(\{\gamma\})] = \operatorname{vars}[f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n)[\gamma \leftarrow \theta_0](\{\gamma\})] = \operatorname{vars}[f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n)] = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \operatorname{vars}[\boldsymbol{\tau}^i].$ By Lemma $\ref{eq:substantial}$ and $\ref{eq:substantial}$, we have $\operatorname{vars}[\boldsymbol{\tau}^i] \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T_i)$, $i=1,\dots,n$ and $\operatorname{dom}(T_i) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T_n)$ so that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \operatorname{vars}[\boldsymbol{\tau}^i] \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \operatorname{dom}(T_i) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T_n) = \operatorname{dom}(T)$;
 - $\operatorname{vars}[\theta'(V_{\ell}\setminus\{\gamma\})] = \operatorname{vars}[f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\tau}^n)[\gamma\leftarrow\theta_0](V_{\ell}\setminus\{\gamma\})] = \operatorname{vars}[\theta_0(V_{\ell}\setminus\{\gamma\})] \subseteq \operatorname{vars}[\theta_0(V_{\ell})]$ which, by the preinvariant (??), is included in $\operatorname{dom}(T_0)$. By Lemma ?? and ??, $\operatorname{dom}(T_{i=1}) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T_i)$, $i=1,\ldots,n$ so that, by transitivity, $\operatorname{dom}(T_0) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T_n) = \operatorname{dom}(T)$. Therefore $\operatorname{vars}[\theta'(V_{\ell}\setminus\{\gamma\})] \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T)$;
 - Since $\vartheta'(V_{\tilde{t}}) = \vartheta'(\{\gamma\}) \cup \vartheta'(V_{\tilde{t}} \setminus \{\gamma\})$, we conclude that $\operatorname{vars}[\![\vartheta'(V_{\tilde{t}})]\!] = \operatorname{vars}[\![\vartheta'(\{\gamma\})]\!] \cup \vartheta'(V_{\tilde{t}} \setminus \{\gamma\})]\!] = \operatorname{vars}[\![\vartheta'(\{\gamma\})]\!] \cup \operatorname{vars}[\![\vartheta'(V_{\tilde{t}} \setminus \{\gamma\})]\!] \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta') \cup \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta') = \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta');$
- If lub' terminates at (??.??) then the postinvariant directly follows from the preinvariant of (??) since $T = T_0$ and $\theta' = \theta_0$;
- Finally, if lub' terminates at (??.??), there are two subcases.
 - We have $\operatorname{vars}[\theta'(\{\gamma\})] = \operatorname{vars}[\beta[\gamma \leftarrow \theta_0](\{\gamma\})] = \operatorname{vars}[\{\beta\}] = \{\beta\} \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \ \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0]) = \operatorname{dom}(T);$

- Moreover $\operatorname{Vars} [\![\vartheta'(V_{\!\!\!t} \setminus \{\gamma\})]\!] = \operatorname{Vars} [\![\beta[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0](V_{\!\!\!t} \setminus \{\gamma\})]\!] = \operatorname{Vars} [\![\vartheta_0(V_{\!\!\!t} \setminus \{\gamma\})]\!] \subseteq \operatorname{Vars} [\![\vartheta_0(V_{\!\!\!t} \setminus \{\gamma\}]\!] \subseteq \operatorname{Vars} [\![\vartheta_0(V_{\!\!\!t$
- We conclude since vars preserves joins.

The following series of lemmata aims at proving that the substitution built by lub' is the one allowing us to prove that lub returns the least common generalization.

Lemma 30 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\tau}' \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\vee}, T_0, T \in \wp(V_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\vee} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\vee})$, and $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_0, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}' \in V_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}} \to \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\vee}$, if $\mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_0)$ is (recursively) called from the main call $\mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \varepsilon)$ with hypothesis $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_1^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0 \wedge \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_2^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}' \rangle$, then

$$\vartheta_1^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \wedge \vartheta_2^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2. \tag{??}$$

Proof of Lemma ?? For the first call at (??.??), (??) holds by the hypothesis $\vartheta_1^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0 \wedge \vartheta_2^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0$ on the actual parameters. Assume that $\vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$, j = 1, 2 before an intermediate call (??). Then (??) holds before the recursive calls (??.??), ..., (??.??) since the induction hypothesis $\vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}' = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1', \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_n')$ by the test (??.??) which is false, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_j = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_j^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_j^n)$ by the test (??.??) which is true, and (48.30) imply that $\vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \vartheta_j^0(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1', \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_n')) = f(\vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1'), \dots, \vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}_n')) = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_j^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_j^n) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$ and therefore $\vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}_i') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j'$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. We conclude by induction on the sequence of calls to lub'.

Lemma 32 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}'$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}'$, $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$, T_{0} , $T \in \wp(V_{\bar{\epsilon}} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu} \times \mathbf{T}^{\nu})$, and ϑ_{0} , ϑ_{1}^{0} , ϑ_{2}^{0} , $\vartheta' \in V_{\bar{\epsilon}} \to \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$, if $\mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}, T_{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_{0})$ is (recursively) called from the main call $\mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}', \varepsilon)$ with hypothesis $\vartheta_{1}^{0}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}^{0} \wedge \vartheta_{2}^{0}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}^{0}$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle$, then

preinvariant
$$\forall j = 1, 2 . \forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\theta_0) . \theta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_0)(\theta_0(\alpha))$$
 (33)
postinvariant $\forall j = 1, 2 . \forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\theta') . \theta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T)(\theta'(\alpha)) \land \overline{\varsigma}_j(T)(\tau) = \tau_j$

Proof of Lemma ?? Notice again that Lemma **??**, **??**, and **??** are valid for lub' since they do not involve the extra parameters τ' , ϑ_0 , or result ϑ' . It follows, by Lemma **??**, that the postinvariant of (**??**) satisfies $\bar{\varsigma}_j(T)(\tau) = \tau_j$, j = 1, 2. The proof of (**??**) is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub' and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (**???**, **??**), (**??.**, **??**), and by case analysis for the conditional.

- For the basis, the preinvariant (??) holds vacuously for the main call (??.??) since $\theta_0 = \varepsilon$ so $dom(\theta_0) = \emptyset$;
- Assume that the preinvariant (??) holds before any intermediate call (??) of lub'. We must show that it holds before all recursive calls (??.??), ..., (??.??).

By hypothesis on the intermediate call, we have $\forall j = 1, 2 . \forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta') . \vartheta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_0)(\vartheta'(\alpha))$ at the first recursive call (??.??).

Assume that $\forall j=1,2$. $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_{i-1})$. $\vartheta_j^0(\alpha)=\overline{\varsigma}_j(T_{i-1})(\vartheta_{i-1}(\alpha))$ before the i^{th} recursive call. By induction on the sequence of calls to lub', the postinvariant of (??) holds. Therefore we have $\forall j=1,2$. $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_i)$. $\vartheta_j^0(\alpha)=\overline{\varsigma}_j(T_i)(\vartheta_i(\alpha))$ before the $i+1^{\text{th}}$ call. By recurrence, all recursive calls do satisfy (??).

We must also show that the intermediate call satisfies the postinvariant of (??). We proceed by cases.

- In case (??.??), we have $T = T_n$ and θ_n which satisfy the postinvariant of (??), as shown above.
- In case (??.??), the postinvariant is $\forall j = 1, 2$. $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n)[\gamma \leftarrow \theta_0])$. $\theta_j^0(\alpha) = \bar{\zeta}_j(T_n)(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n)[\gamma \leftarrow \theta_0](\alpha))$.
 - $\begin{array}{l} \cdot \text{ If } \alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \setminus \{\gamma\}, \text{ we must show that } \vartheta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_n)(\vartheta_0(\alpha)). \\ \text{ By Lemma } ??, \ \forall \alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(T_{i-1}) \ . \ T_{i-1}(\alpha) = T_i(\alpha), \ i = 1, \ldots, n \text{ so that, by transitivity,} \\ \forall \alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(T_0) \ . \ T_0(\alpha) = T_n(\alpha). \ \text{Therefore, by } (??), \text{ for all } \beta \in \operatorname{dom}(T_0), \ \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_0)\beta \triangleq \operatorname{let} \ \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle = T_0(\beta) \text{ in } \boldsymbol{\tau}_j = \operatorname{let} \ \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle = T_n(\beta) \text{ in } \boldsymbol{\tau}_j = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_n)\beta. \text{ By Lemma } ??, \text{ vars}[\vartheta_0(V_{\boldsymbol{t}})] \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T_0) \text{ so, in particular, } \forall \alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \setminus \{\gamma\} \ . \ \text{ vars}[\vartheta_0(\alpha)] \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(T_0). \text{ This implies that } \forall \alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \setminus \{\gamma\} \ . \ \forall \beta \in \text{ vars}[\vartheta_0(\alpha)] \ . \ . \ \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_0)\beta = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_n)\beta. \text{ By } (48.30) \text{ and } (48.30), \text{ we infer that } \forall \alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \setminus \{\gamma\} \ . \ . \ \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_0)\boxtimes_{\vartheta}(\Xi) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_n)\boxtimes_{\vartheta}(\Xi). \text{ By the preinvariant of } (??), \text{ we have } \forall \alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \ . \ \vartheta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_0)(\vartheta_0(\alpha)). \text{ Therefore, by transitivity, } \vartheta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_n)(\vartheta_0(\alpha)). \end{array}$
 - · Otherwise $\alpha = \gamma$, in which case we must show that $\vartheta_j^0(\gamma) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T_n)(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n))$. By Lemma ??, (48.42) of Lemma 48.40, and (??.??), we have $\vartheta_j^0(\gamma) = \vartheta_j^0(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T)(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(T)(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n))$.
- In case (??.??), the postinvariant of (??) immediately follows from the preinvariant since $T = T_0$ and $\vartheta' = \vartheta_0$;
- In case (??.??), we must show that $\forall j = 1, 2$. $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\beta[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0])$. $\vartheta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0])(\beta[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0](\alpha))$. There are two cases.
 - · If $\alpha = \gamma$ then we must prove that $\vartheta_j^0(\gamma) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0])(\beta)$, that is, by (??), $\vartheta_j^0(\gamma) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$. It is not possible that $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0)$ since otherwise, we would have $\forall \beta \in \text{dom}(T_0)$. $T_0(\beta) \neq \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle$ since the test (??.??) is if and $\boldsymbol{\tau}' = \gamma \in V_{\ell}$ by Lemma ??, which is in contradiction with (the contrapositive of) Lemma ??. Therefore $\vartheta_0(\gamma) = \gamma$ by (48.30). It follows that we have to prove that $\vartheta_j^0(\vartheta_0(\gamma)) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$, which directly follows from the preinvariant of (??):
 - · Otherwise, $\alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0) \setminus \{\gamma\}$ and we must show that $\vartheta_j^0(\alpha) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(\langle \pmb{\tau}_1, \pmb{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0])(\vartheta_0(\alpha))$. The test (??.??) implies $\beta \notin \text{dom}(T_0)$ and so $\beta \notin \text{vars}[\![\vartheta_0(\alpha)]\!]$ since $\text{vars}[\![\vartheta_0(V_{\!\!\!\ell})]\!] \subseteq \text{dom}(T_0)$ by (??) of Lemma ??. Therefore, by (??), $\forall \gamma \in \text{vars}[\![\vartheta_0(\alpha)]\!]$. $\overline{\varsigma}_j(T_0)(\gamma) = \overline{\varsigma}_j(\langle \pmb{\tau}_1, \pmb{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0])(\gamma)$. It follows, by (48.30) and (48.30), that $\overline{\varsigma}_i(T_0)(\vartheta_0(\alpha)) = \overline{\varsigma}_i(\langle \pmb{\tau}_1, \pmb{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0])(\gamma)$.

 $\boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0])(\vartheta_0(\alpha))$. We conclude, by the preinvariant (??) and transitivity that $\bar{\zeta}_j(\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0])(\vartheta_0(\alpha)) = \vartheta_i^0(\alpha)$.

Lemma 34 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, T_0, T \in \wp(V_t \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}})$, and $\vartheta_0, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta' \in V_t \to \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$, if $\mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_0)$ is (recursively) called from the main call $\mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \varepsilon)$ with hypothesis $\vartheta_1(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0 \wedge \vartheta_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle$, then the following postinvariant holds after the call.

$$dom(\theta') = dom(\theta_0) \cup vars[\tau']$$
 (??)

Proof of Lemma ?? The proof of (??) is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub' and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (??.??), (??.??),..., (??.??), and by case analysis for the conditional.

Consider any intermediate call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle = \mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$. We proceed by case analysis of the returned values $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle$.

- In case (??.??), we have $\operatorname{dom}(\vartheta') = \operatorname{dom}(f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n)[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0]) = \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \cup \{\gamma\} = \operatorname{do$
- In case (??.??), we have $\mathsf{dom}(\vartheta_i) = \mathsf{dom}(\vartheta_{i-1}) \cup \mathsf{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}^i]\!]$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, by induction hypothesis on the sequence of calls to lub' . It follows that $\mathsf{dom}(\vartheta') = \mathsf{dom}(\vartheta_n) = \mathsf{dom}(\vartheta_0) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathsf{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}^i]\!]$ = $\mathsf{dom}(\vartheta_0) \cup \mathsf{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}^i]\!]$;
- In case (??.??), we have $\theta' = \beta[\gamma \leftarrow \theta_0]$ so $dom(\theta') = dom(\theta_0) \cup \{\gamma\} = dom(\theta_0) \cup vars[\tau']$ since $\tau' = \gamma$ by Lemma ??;
- Finally, in case (??.??), $dom(\theta') = dom(\beta[\gamma \leftarrow \theta_0]) = dom(\theta_0) \cup \{\gamma\} = dom(\theta_0) \cup vars[\tau']$ since $\tau' = \gamma$ by Lemma ??.

Lemma 36 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\tau}'^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}^{n-1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}^n, \boldsymbol{\tau}^{m-1}.\boldsymbol{\tau}^m \in \mathbf{T}^v, T_n, T_m \in \wp(V_{\hat{t}} \times \mathbf{T}^v \times \mathbf{T}^v),$ consider any computation trace for the main call $\mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}'^0, \varepsilon, \varnothing)$ at (??.??) with hypothesis $\vartheta_1(\boldsymbol{\tau}'^0) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0 \wedge \vartheta_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}'^0) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0$. Assume that in this computation trace, a call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^k, T_k \rangle = \mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_{k-1})$ is followed by a later call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^m, T_m \rangle = \mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_{m-1})$ with the same parameters $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}_2$. Then $\boldsymbol{\tau}^k = \boldsymbol{\tau}^m$.

By Lemma ??, this also holds for calls to lub' independently of the other two parameters.

Proof of Lemma ?? By (??) in Lemma ??, Lemma ??, (??.??), ..., (??.??), and (??.??), ..., (??.??) and recurrence, the successive calls of lub and lub' in the trace have parameters T_i and result T_{i+1} with increasing domains and preservation of the previous values so that $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(T_k)$. $T_k(\alpha) = T_m(\alpha)$.

L o

To prove that $\boldsymbol{\tau}^k = \boldsymbol{\tau}^m$, we consider the calls $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^k, T_k \rangle = \text{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_{k-1})$ and the later $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^m, T_m \rangle = \text{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_{m-1})$ to lub (by Lemma ??, the reasoning is the same for lub'). The only possible executions are the following.

- If one execution follows the true branch of (48.68.1), so does the other since they have the same parameters. By recurrence and induction on the sequence of calls for (48.68.2), ..., (48.68.4) with $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(T_{i-1})$. $T_{i-1}(\alpha) = T_i(\alpha)$, i = 1, ..., n, we have $\boldsymbol{\tau}^k = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^{1^k}, ..., \boldsymbol{\tau}^{n^k}) = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^{1^m}, ..., \boldsymbol{\tau}^{n^m}) = \boldsymbol{\tau}^m$;
- If both calls go through (48.68.7) then obviously $\tau^k = \tau^m = \beta$;
- Both calls cannot go through (48.68.9) since the first ones (which is $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^k, T_k \rangle = \mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_{k-1})$) that goes through (48.68.9) will add β to the dom $(T_k) \subseteq \mathsf{dom}(T_{m-1})$;
- If $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^k, T_k \rangle = \mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_{k-1})$ goes through (48.68.9) then the call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^m, T_m \rangle = \mathsf{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_{m-1})$ must go through (48.68.7) since $\mathsf{dom}(T_k) \subseteq \mathsf{dom}(T_{m-1})$ with $\beta \in \mathsf{dom}(T_{m-1})$ so that $\boldsymbol{\tau}^k = \boldsymbol{\tau}^m = \beta$.

Lemma 37 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}, T_0, T \in \wp(V_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu})$, and $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_0, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_1, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_2, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}' \in V_{\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}} \to \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\nu}$, if lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_0)$ is (recursively) called from the main call lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\varnothing}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$ with hypothesis $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_1(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0 \wedge \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}' \rangle$, then the following postinvariant holds after the call.

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathsf{dom}(\vartheta_0) \ . \ \vartheta_0(\alpha) = \vartheta'(\alpha) \tag{??} \quad \Box$$

Proof of Lemma ?? The proof of (??) is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub' and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (??.??), (??.??),..., (??.??), and by case analysis for the conditional.

Consider any intermediate call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle = \mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$. We proceed by case analysis of the returned values $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle$.

• In case (??.??), we have $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\theta_0) \setminus \{\gamma\}$. $\theta_0(\alpha) = f(\tau^1, \dots, \tau^n)[\gamma \leftarrow \theta_0](\alpha) = \theta'(\alpha)$.

It may also be that $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0)$. Since the main call starts with ε and by (??) the domain of ϑ_0 grows along the calls, there must be a previous call that added γ to $\text{dom}(\vartheta_0)$. At that previous call, say $\text{lub}'(\tau_1^k, \tau_2^k, T_0^k, \tau'^k, \vartheta_0^k)$, we had $\tau'^k = \gamma$ since (??.??) and (??.??) are the two only cases where the domain of ϑ_0^k is extending with γ . By the initial hypothesis and (??) of Lemma ??, $\vartheta_j^0(\tau'^k) = \vartheta_j^0(\gamma) = \tau_j^k$. At the current call $\text{lub}'(\tau_1, \tau_2, T_0, \tau', \vartheta_0)$ where $\tau'_0 = \gamma$, we also have, by the initial hypothesis and (??) of Lemma ??, that $\vartheta_j^0(\tau') = \vartheta_j^0(\gamma) = \tau_j$. By transitivity $\tau_j^k = \tau_j$. So the current and previous calls had the same first two parameters. It follows, by Lemma ??, that they have the same results. This implies that necessarily, $\vartheta_0(\gamma) = f(\tau^1, \dots, \tau^n)$.

- In case (??.??), we have $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\theta_{i-1})$. $\theta_{i=1}(\alpha) = \theta_i(\alpha)$, i = 1, ..., n, by induction hypothesis on the sequence of calls to lub'. It follows, by transitivity, that $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\theta_0)$. $\theta_0(\alpha) = \theta_n(\alpha) = \theta'(\alpha)$;
- In case (??.??), for all $\alpha \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0) \setminus \{\gamma\}$, we have $\vartheta_0(\alpha) = \beta[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0](\alpha) = \vartheta'(\alpha)$. We may also have $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\vartheta_0)$, in which case the test (??.??), Lemma ??, and Lemma ?? imply that $\vartheta_0(\gamma) = \beta$ so $\vartheta_0(\gamma) = \beta = \beta[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0](\gamma) = \vartheta'(\gamma)$;
- Finally, in case (??.??), it is not possible that $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\theta_0)$ since otherwise, we would have $\forall \beta \in \text{dom}(T_0)$. $T_0(\beta) \neq \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$ since the test (??.??) is ff and $\tau' = \gamma \in V_{\bar{x}}$ by Lemma ??, which is in contradiction with (the contrapositive of) Lemma ??. It follows that $\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\theta_0)$. $\theta_0(\alpha) = \beta[\gamma \leftarrow \theta_0](\alpha) = \theta'(\alpha)$ since $\alpha \neq \gamma$.

Lemma 39 For all $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\tau}', \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\vee}, T_0, T \in \wp(V_{\bar{t}} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\vee} \times \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\vee})$, and $\vartheta_0, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta' \in V_{\bar{t}} \to \boldsymbol{\mathsf{T}}^{\vee}$, if lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_0)$ is (recursively) called from the main call lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \varepsilon)$ with hypothesis $\vartheta_1(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0 \wedge \vartheta_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}_0') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0$ and returns $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle$, then the following postinvariant holds after the call.

$$\vartheta'(\tau') = \tau$$
 (??)

Proof of Lemma ?? The proof of (??) is by induction on the sequence of calls to lub' and, for any given call, by recurrence to handle the recursive calls at (??.??), (??.??),..., (??.??), and by case analysis for the conditional.

Consider any intermediate call $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle = \mathsf{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^0, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}_0', \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$. We proceed by case analysis of the returned values $\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, T, \vartheta' \rangle$.

- In case (??.??), we have $\vartheta'(\tau') = f(\tau^1, \dots, \tau^n)[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0](\gamma) = f(\tau^1, \dots, \tau^n) = \tau$;
- In case (??.??), we handle (??.??), ..., (??.??) by recurrence.
 - For the basis at (??.??), we have $dom(\theta_1) = dom(\theta_0) \cup vars[\tau'_1]$ by (??) of Lemma ??, and $\theta_1(\tau'_1) = \tau^1$, by induction on the sequence of calls to lub';
 - Assume, by recurrence hypothesis, that for the i^{th} call (??.??), ..., (??.??), $i \in [1, n[$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_i) &= \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^i \operatorname{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}_j']\!] \land \\ \forall j \in [1, i] \ . \ \forall \alpha \in \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_j) \ . \ \vartheta_i(\alpha) = \vartheta_j(\alpha) \land \\ \forall j \in [1, i] \ . \ \vartheta_i(\boldsymbol{\tau}_j') = \vartheta_i(\boldsymbol{\tau}_j') = \boldsymbol{\tau}^j \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

- At the next $i + 1^{th}$ call, we have
 - 1. By (??) of Lemma ?? and recurrence hyp. (??), $\operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_{i+1}) = \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_i) \cup \operatorname{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}'_{i+1}]\!] = \operatorname{dom}(\vartheta_0) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{i+1} \operatorname{vars}[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}'_j]\!];$

- 2. By (??) of Lemma ??, we have $\forall \alpha \in \mathsf{dom}(\vartheta_i)$. $\vartheta_i(\alpha) = \vartheta_{i+1}(\alpha)$ so that by recurrence hyp. (??), $\forall j \in [1, i+1]$. $\forall \alpha \in \mathsf{dom}(\vartheta_j)$. $\vartheta_{i+1}(\alpha) = \vartheta_i(\alpha) = \vartheta_i(\alpha)$
- 3. By $(\ref{eq:total_sign})$, $\forall j \in [1,i+1]$. extstyle extsty

By recurrence, (??) holds for i = n. Therefore $\vartheta'(\tau') = \vartheta_n(f(\tau'_1, \dots, \tau'_n)) = f(\vartheta_n(\tau'_1), \dots, \vartheta_n(\tau'_n)) = f(\tau^1, \dots, \tau^n) = \tau$.

- In case (??.??), we have $\exists \beta \in \text{dom}(T_0)$. $T_0(\beta) = \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle \wedge \tau' = \gamma$ so that by Lemma ??, we have $\gamma \in \text{dom}(\theta_0) \wedge \theta_0(\gamma) = \beta$. It follows that $\theta'(\tau') = \theta_0(\gamma) = \beta = \tau$.
- Finally, in case (??.??), by (??.??) and Lemma ??, we have $\vartheta'(\tau') = \beta[\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0](\gamma) = \beta = \tau$.

Proof of Theorem 48.72 By Lemma **??**, $[\lg c(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)]_{=^{\nu}}$ is a $\leq_{=^{\nu}}$ -upper-bound of $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_1]_{=^{\nu}}$ and $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_2]_{=^{\nu}}$. By Lemma **??**, so is $[\lg c'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)]_{=^{\nu}}$.

Now if $[\boldsymbol{\tau}']_{=^{\nu}}$ is any $\leq_{=^{\nu}}$ -upper-bound of $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_1]_{=^{\nu}}$ and $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_2]_{=^{\nu}}$ then by Exercise 48.16, $\exists \theta_1, \theta_2$. $\theta_1(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \land \theta_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \boldsymbol{\tau}_2$, which is the precondition (??.??). It follows that the call to lub' $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, \varnothing, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \varepsilon, \varnothing)$ terminates (by Lemma ?? and ??) and returns $\langle \lg c'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2), T, \theta' \rangle$ such that $\theta'(\boldsymbol{\tau}') = \lg c'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)$ (by (??) of Lemma ??). By Exercise 48.16, this means that $\lg c'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2) \leq_{=^{\nu}} [\boldsymbol{\tau}']_{=^{\nu}}$. This proves by Lemma ?? that $\lg c(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2)$ is the $\leq_{=^{\nu}}$ -least upper-bound of $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_1]_{=^{\nu}}$ and $[\boldsymbol{\tau}_2]_{=^{\nu}}$.

```
let rec lub'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}', \vartheta_0) =
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        (17)
       if \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1^n) \wedge \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}_2^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^n) then
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??)
                if \tau' = \gamma \in V_{\#} then
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??)
                       let \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^1, T_1 \rangle = \text{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^1, T_0) in
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??)
                               let \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^2, T_2 \rangle = \text{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^2, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^2, T_1) in
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??)
                                               let \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^n, T_n \rangle = \text{lub}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^n, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^n, T_{n-1}) in
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??)
                                                       \langle f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\tau}^n), T_n, f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\tau}^n)[\gamma \leftarrow \theta_0] \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??)
                else /* \boldsymbol{\tau}' = f(\boldsymbol{\tau}'_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\tau}'_n) */
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??)
                       let \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^1, T_1, \vartheta_1 \rangle = \text{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^1, T_0, \boldsymbol{\tau}_1', \vartheta_0) in
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??)
                               let \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^2, T_2, \vartheta_2 \rangle = \text{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^2, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^2, T_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2', \vartheta_1) in
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??)
                                              let \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}^n, T_n, \vartheta_n \rangle = \text{lub}'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^n, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^n, T_{n-1}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_n', \vartheta_{n-1}) in
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??)
                                                       \langle f(\boldsymbol{\tau}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\tau}^n), T_n, \vartheta_n \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??)
        \mathsf{elsif} \ \exists \beta \in \mathsf{dom}(T_0) \ . \ T_0(\beta) = \langle \pmb{\tau}_1, \ \pmb{\tau}_2 \rangle \ \mathsf{then} \quad \  \  /^* \ \pmb{\tau}' = \gamma \in \mathbb{V}_{\!\scriptscriptstyle f} \ ^*/
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??)
                \langle \beta, T_0, \vartheta_0 \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??)
        else let \beta \in V_{t} \setminus \text{dom}(T_0) in /* \boldsymbol{\tau'} = \gamma \in V_{t} */
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??)
                 \langle \beta, \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2 \rangle [\beta \leftarrow T_0], \beta [\gamma \leftarrow \vartheta_0] \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??)
let lcg'(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\tau}_2) =
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??)
        if \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 = \overline{\varnothing}^{\nu} then \boldsymbol{\tau}_2
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??)
        elsif \tau_2 = \overline{\varnothing}^{\nu} then \tau_1
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??)
        else /* assume \exists \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 . \vartheta_1(\pmb{\tau}') = \pmb{\tau}_1 \wedge \vartheta_2(\pmb{\tau}') = \pmb{\tau}_2 * /
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??)
                     \mathsf{let}\; \langle \pmb{\tau},\, T,\, \vartheta' \rangle = \mathsf{lub}'(\pmb{\tau}_1,\pmb{\tau}_2,\varnothing,\pmb{\tau}',\pmb{\varepsilon},\varnothing) \; \mathsf{in}\; \pmb{\tau} \quad /^*\; \vartheta'(\pmb{\tau}') = \pmb{\tau}^* /
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            (??)
```

Figure 18: The modified least upper bound algorithm