#### Ways to Assess RAG

Your **Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) pipeline** can be assessed using three broad categories:

- 1. Traditional NLP Metrics (Text Overlap)
- 2. **LLM-Based Metrics** (Coarse-Grained & Rubric-Based)
- 3. RAG-Specific Metrics (Retrieval & Generation Quality)

Each category helps in understanding different aspects of RAG performance.

# 1 Traditional NLP Metrics (Text Overlap)

These metrics compare the **generated answer** with the **reference answer** using token overlap.

#### **✓ ROUGE Score**

https://docs\_ragas.io/en/stable/concepts/metrics/available\_metrics/traditional/#rouge-scorete

- What it does: Measures n-gram overlap between the **generated response** and the reference answer.
- Why it matters: Higher ROUGE scores mean the generated answer has similar words as the expected response.
- Limitations: Doesn't measure semantic correctness or factually grounded responses.

## How you used it:

from ragas.metrics import RougeScore

```
scorer = RougeScore()
score = await scorer.single turn_ascore(sample)
```

## LLM-Based Metrics

LLM-based evaluations provide a more **context-aware assessment** of the response.

## √ Simple Criteria Score

https://docs.ragas.io/en/stable/concepts/metrics/available\_metrics/general\_purpose/#simple-criteria-scoring

- What it does: Provides a 0-10 rating on how similar the response is to the reference.
- Why it matters: Quick way to get a coarse-grained assessment.
- Limitations: Doesn't explain why the response was rated a certain way.

#### How you used it:

```
simple_scorer = SimpleCriteriaScore(
   name="course_grained_score",
   definition="Score 0 to 10 by similarity",
   Ilm=evaluator_llm
)
score = await simple_scorer.single_turn_ascore(sample)
```

#### √ Rubrics Score

https://docs.ragas.io/en/stable/concepts/metrics/available metrics/general purpose/#rubrics-based-criteria-scoring

- What it does: Evaluates responses based on predefined grading rubrics.
- Why it matters: Helps measure answer quality using structured guidelines.
- Limitations: Requires well-defined rubrics.

#### How you used it:

```
rubrics = {
    "score1_description": "Completely incorrect response",
    "score5_description": "Perfectly accurate and complete response",
}
rubrics_scorer = RubricsScore(rubrics=rubrics, Ilm=evaluator_Ilm)
score = await rubrics_scorer.single_turn_ascore(sample)
```

# RAG-Specific Metrics

These metrics directly evaluate both retrieval and generation.

#### √ Factual Correctness

https://docs.ragas.io/en/stable/concepts/metrics/available\_metrics/factual\_correctness/

- What it does: Measures how factually accurate the response is compared to the reference answer.
- Why it matters: Ensures the model is not hallucinating.
- Limitations: Doesn't check if the facts came from the retrieved context.

#### How you used it:

from ragas.metrics. factual correctness import FactualCorrectness

```
scorer = FactualCorrectness(Ilm=evaluator_Ilm)
score = await scorer.single turn ascore(sample)
```

### √ Semantic Similarity

https://docs.ragas.io/en/stable/concepts/metrics/available metrics/semantic similarity/

- What it does: Measures how semantically similar the generated response is to the reference.
- Why it matters: Ensures that answers are contextually accurate, even if they use different wording.
- Limitations: Doesn't consider factual correctness.

## How you used it:

from ragas.metrics import SemanticSimilarity

```
scorer = SemanticSimilarity(embeddings=evaluator_embeddings)
score = await scorer.single_turn_ascore(sample)
```

## **✓** Context Precision (LLMContextPrecisionWithReference)

https://docs.ragas.io/en/stable/concepts/metrics/available metrics/context precision/

- What it does: Measures how much of the retrieved chunks were actually relevant to the answer.
- Why it matters: Ensures retrieved contexts are useful.
- Limitations: Doesn't check if all relevant documents were retrieved.

## How you used it:

from ragas.metrics import LLMContextPrecisionWithReference

```
scorer = LLMContextPrecisionWithReference(Ilm=evaluator_Ilm)
score = await scorer.single_turn_ascore(sample)
```

#### √ Context Recall (LLMContextRecall)

https://docs.ragas.io/en/stable/concepts/metrics/available metrics/context recall/

- What it does: Measures if all necessary information was present in the retrieved context.
- Why it matters: Ensures important evidence is not missing.
- Limitations: Doesn't verify if the answer is actually correct.

#### How you used it:

from ragas.metrics import LLMContextRecall

```
scorer = LLMContextRecall(Ilm=evaluator_Ilm)
score = await scorer.single_turn_ascore(sample)
```

### ✓ Response Relevancy

https://docs.ragas.io/en/stable/concepts/metrics/available metrics/answer relevance/

- What it does: Measures how relevant the generated response is to the original user input.
- Why it matters: Ensures responses are on-topic and not irrelevant.
- Limitations: Doesn't check for factual correctness.

### How you used it:

from ragas.metrics import ResponseRelevancy

```
scorer = ResponseRelevancy(Ilm=evaluator_Ilm, embeddings=evaluator_embeddings) score = await scorer.single_turn_ascore(sample)
```

## **Summary: How to Assess RAG?**

| Category           | Metric                   | What It Measures                           | Key Strength                        | Limitation                             |
|--------------------|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| Traditional<br>NLP | ROUGE Score              | Token overlap                              | Fast & simple                       | Ignores meaning                        |
| LLM-Based          | Simple Criteria<br>Score | 0-10 similarity score                      | Coarse but effective                | No detailed feedback                   |
|                    | Rubrics Score            | Accuracy based on predefined grading scale | Structured evaluation               | Needs well-<br>designed rubrics        |
| RAG-Specific       | Factual<br>Correctness   | Checks factual consistency                 | Avoids<br>hallucinations            | Doesn't check source                   |
|                    | Semantic<br>Similarity   | Measures meaning similarity                | Catches<br>paraphrased<br>responses | Ignores facts                          |
|                    | Context<br>Precision     | Measures retrieval relevance               | Ensures useful chunks               | Doesn't check completeness             |
|                    | Context Recall           | Measures if retrieval is complete          | Ensures key facts are present       | Doesn't check<br>answer<br>correctness |
|                    | Response<br>Relevancy    | Measures alignment with query              | Prevents off-topic responses        | Doesn't check facts                    |

#### **Which Metrics Should You Prioritize?**

- If Retrieval is the Focus  $\rightarrow$  Context Precision + Context Recall
- $\bullet \quad \text{If Answer Correctness is Critical} \rightarrow \textbf{Factual Correctness + Response Relevancy}$
- For Overall Performance → Rubrics Score + Semantic Similarity