

Hyperdrive Smart Contracts Review

By: ChainSafe Systems

June 2023

Hyperdrive Smart Contracts Review

Auditors: Tanya Bushenyova, Anderson Lee, Oleksii Matiiasevych

WARRANTY

This Code Review is provided on an "as is" basis, without warranty of any kind, express or implied. It is not intended to provide legal advice, and any information, assessments, summaries, or recommendations are provided only for convenience (each, and collectively a "recommendation"). Recommendations are not intended to be comprehensive or applicable in all situations. ChainSafe Systems does not guarantee that the Code Review will identify all instances of security vulnerabilities or other related issues.

Introduction

Delv requested ChainSafe Systems to perform a review of the Hyperdrive contracts implementing their protocol for trading assets that can be redeemed for their full face value at maturity. The contracts can be identified by the following git commit hash:

9e960c556654225345ddaad1ce81c81871e218d1

After the initial review, Delv team applied a number of updates which can be identified by the following git commit hash:

1bcf5fe45b9d3dd02741302dd639104338e79c21

Additional verification was performed after that.

Disclaimer

The review makes no statements or warranties about the utility of the code, safety of the code, suitability of the business model, regulatory regime for the business model, or any other statements about the fitness of the contracts for any specific purpose, or their bug free status.

Executive Summary

There are no known compiler bugs for the specified compiler version (0.8.19), that might affect the contracts' logic.

There were 0 critical, 0 major, 1 minor, 28 informational/optimizational issues identified in the initial version of the contracts. All the minor and most of the informational/optimizational issues found in the contracts were not present in the final verified version of the contracts. They are described below for historical purposes. We enjoyed working with the Delv team, and liked how engaged they were in the discussion and improvement process throughout the review and how they tracked and implemented the recommendations of the audit.

Critical Bugs and Vulnerabilities

No critical issues were identified.

Line by Line Review. Fixed Issues

1. HyperdriveFactory.sol, line 52: Note, the updateImplementation(), updateGovernance(), updateHyperdriveGovernance(), updateFees() functions have the same code for checking msg.sender. Consider adding an internal function or a modifier.

2. HyperdriveFactory.sol, line 65: Note, wrong comments.

- 3. HyperdriveFactory.sol, line 74: Note, wrong comments.
- 4. HyperdriveFactory.sol, line 83: Note, wrong comments.
- 5. DSRHyperdriveFactory.sol, line 36: Note, wrong comment: the comment mentions "aave hyperdrive instance" and it should mention DSR.
- 6. AaveHyperdrive.sol, line 108: Note, it's not clear why it's necessary to proceed with the withdraw() function if withdrawValue == 0 and not to revert in this case. Removing this condition or adding a comment might be helpful.
- 7. AaveHyperdrive.sol, line 125: Minor, sharePrice is calculated incorrectly in the _ withdraw() function, it should be the opposite (withdrawValue / shares).
- 8. AaveHyperdrive.sol, line 138: Optimization, total Shares is read multiple times from storage in the _pricePerShare() function.
- 9. DsrHyperdrive.sol, line 58: Note, wrong comment in the _deposit() function. If a s Underlying is false, the transaction is reverted.
- 10. DsrHyperdrive.sol, line 100: Note, the as Underlying parameter of the _withdraw() function is not described.
- 11. DsrHyperdrive.sol, line 115: Note, a check that the shares amount doesn't exceed total Shares could be added in the withdraw() function (like in AaveHyperdrive contract).
- 12. DsrHyperdrive.sol, line 155: Optimization, pot is read multiple times from storage in the chi() function.
- 13. AaveHyperdriveDataProvider.sol, line 29: Note, there is no getter for totalShares.
- 14. ERC20Permit.sol, line 47: Note, wrong comment. The comment "By setting these addresses to 0" is incorrect: the balances of these addresses are set to type (uint256). max.
- 15. ERC20Permit.sol, line 207: Optimization, nonces [owner] is read multiple times from storage in the permit() function.
- 16. BondWrapper.sol, line 17: Note, a check in the constructor could be added to check that the mintPercent is less than 10000 (100%).
- 17. BondWrapper.sol, line 57: Optimization, checking maturityTime could be performed at the first line of the mint() function before creating assetId.

- 18. HyperdriveDataProvider.sol, line 155. Note, the query() function is expected to revert with a success output as part of a force-revert delegatecall pattern. Doing an unsuccessful revert could have undefined behavior. Consider using a staticcall wrapped delegate call pattern, or make sure there are never unexpected reverts in DataProviders.
- 19. HyperdriveLP.sol, line 305. Note, the removeLiquidity() function has overestimatedProceeds calculation which would be more readable as startingPresentValue.mulDivDown(uint256(-withdrawalShares), lpTotalSupply), because in this way the coefficient part will have the same units.
- 20. HyperdriveLP.sol, line 483. Note, the _compensateWithdrawalPool() function has maxSharesReleased calculation which would be more readable as _lpTotalSupply. mulDivDown(_withdrawalProceeds, _presentValue), because in this way the coefficient part will have the same units.
- 21. HyperdriveTWAP.sol, line 33. Optimization, the recordPrice() function excessively reads the _buffer[head].timestamp which is equal to already loaded _oracle. lastTimestamp.

Line by Line Review. Acknowledged Findings.

- 1. AaveHyperdrive.sol, line 79: Optimization, total Shares is read multiple times from storage in the deposit() function.
- 2. AaveHyperdrive.sol, line 106: Optimization, total Shares is read multiple times from storage in the withdraw() function.
- 3. DsrHyperdrive.sol, line 86: Optimization, total Shares is read multiple times from storage in the _deposit() function.
- 4. DsrHyperdrive.sol, line 115: Optimization, total Shares is read multiple times from storage in the withdraw() function.
- 5. DsrHyperdriveDataProvider.sol, line 73: Note, the _pricePerShare() function code is repeated in DsrHyperdrive and DsrHyperdriveDataProvider contracts. Consider including this code once (rewriting the contracts or putting the duplicated code in a library).
- 6. BondWrapper.sol, line 110: Note, mintedFromBonds could be calculated earlier and passed as minOutput to the hyperdrive.closeLong() function.
- 7. BondWrapper.sol, line 119: Note, mintedFromBonds could be subtracted from receivedA-mount only if (!andBurn) in the close() function. In this case, double calculation would not be necessary (subtracting and then adding mintedFromBonds).

8. HyperdriveTWAP.sol, line 34. Optimization, the recordPrice() function could omit reading the _buffer[head] at all, if all the values from it would be duplicated into the _oracle.

Anderson Lee

auf wear

Tanya Bushenyova

Oleksii Matiiasevych