C4W1_Assignment

May 26, 2023

1 Week 1: Working with time series

Welcome! In this assignment you will be working with time series data. All of the data is going to be generated and you will implement several functions to split the data, create forecasts and evaluate the quality of those forecasts.

Let's get started!

NOTE: To prevent errors from the autograder, you are not allowed to edit or delete non-graded cells in this notebook. Please only put your solutions in between the ### START CODE HERE and ### END CODE HERE code comments, and also refrain from adding any new cells. Once you have passed this assignment and want to experiment with any of the non-graded code, you may follow the instructions at the bottom of this notebook.

```
[1]: import numpy as np
import tensorflow as tf
from tensorflow import keras
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
```


The next cell includes a bunch of helper functions to generate and plot the time series:

1.1 Generate time series data

Using the previous functions, generate data that resembles a real-life time series.

Notice that TIME represents the values in the x-coordinate while SERIES represents the values in the y-coordinate. This naming is used to avoid confusion with other kinds of data in which ${\tt x}$ and y have different meanings.

```
[3]: # The time dimension or the x-coordinate of the time series
     TIME = np.arange(4 * 365 + 1, dtype="float32")
     # Initial series is just a straight line with a y-intercept
     y_intercept = 10
     slope = 0.01
     SERIES = trend(TIME, slope) + y_intercept
     # Adding seasonality
     amplitude = 40
     SERIES += seasonality(TIME, period=365, amplitude=amplitude)
     # Adding some noise
     noise level = 2
     SERIES += noise(TIME, noise_level, seed=42)
     # Plot the series
     plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
     plot_series(TIME, SERIES)
     plt.show()
```



Now that we have the time series, let's split it so we can start forecasting.

Complete the train_val_split function below which receives the time (x coordinate) and series (y coordinate) data along with the time_step in which to perform the split. Notice that this value defaults to 1100 since this is an appropriate step to split the series into training and validation:

```
[4]: # Define time step to split the series
SPLIT_TIME = 1100

# GRADED FUNCTION: train_val_split
def train_val_split(time, series, time_step=SPLIT_TIME):

### START CODE HERE
    time_train = time[:time_step]
    series_train = series[:time_step]
    time_valid = time[time_step:]
    series_valid = series[time_step:]
### END CODE HERE

return time_train, series_train, time_valid, series_valid
```

```
[5]: # Test your function


time_train, series_train, time_valid, series_valid = train_val_split(TIME,


→SERIES)

plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
```

```
plot_series(time_train, series_train, title="Training")
plt.show()

plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
plot_series(time_valid, series_valid, title="Validation")
plt.show()
```





1.2 Evaluation Metrics

Now that you have successfully splitted the data into training and validation sets you will need a way of knowing how good your forecasts are. For this complete the compute_metrics below. This function receives the true series and the forecast and returns the mse and the mae between the two curves. These metrics should be numpy numeric types.

Notice that this function does not receive any time (x coordinate) data since it assumes that both series will have the same values for the x coordinate.

```
[6]: # GRADED FUNCTION: compute_metrics
def compute_metrics(true_series, forecast):

    ### START CODE HERE
    mse = np.mean((true_series - forecast)**2)
    mae = np.mean(np.abs(true_series - forecast))
    ### END CODE HERE

    return mse, mae
```

```
[7]: # Test your function

# Define some dummy series for testing
```

```
zeros = np.zeros(5)
ones = np.ones(5)

mse, mae = compute_metrics(zeros, ones)
print(f"mse: {mse}, mae: {mae} for series of zeros and prediction of ones\n")

mse, mae = compute_metrics(ones, ones)
print(f"mse: {mse}, mae: {mae} for series of ones and prediction of ones\n")

print(f"metrics are numpy numeric types: {np.issubdtype(type(mse), np.number)}")

mse: 1.0, mae: 1.0 for series of zeros and prediction of ones

mse: 0.0, mae: 0.0 for series of ones and prediction of ones

metrics are numpy numeric types: True

Expected Output:

mse: 1.0, mae: 1.0 for series of zeros and prediction of ones

mse: 0.0, mae: 0.0 for series of ones and prediction of ones

mse: 0.0, mae: 0.0 for series of ones and prediction of ones

mse: o.0, mae: 0.0 for series of ones and prediction of ones
```

2 Forecasting

Now that you have a way of measuring the performance of your forecasts it is time to actually start doing some forecasts.

Let's start easy by using a naive forecast.

2.1 Naive Forecast

Define the naive_forecast variable below. This series should be identical to the validation one but delayed one time step. It also receives the split time step of the series for ease of computing the delayed series.

Notice that this series should leave out the last element since this element does not exists in the validation set and you will not be able to compute the evaluation metrics if this element is kept.

Hint:


• Use the whole SERIES (training and validation) and the SPLIT_TIME to compute this one.

```
[9]: ### START CODE HERE
naive_forecast = SERIES[SPLIT_TIME-1:-1]
### END CODE HERE
```

validation series has shape: (361,)

naive forecast has shape: (361,)

comparable with validation series: True




Expected Output:

validation series has shape: (361,)

naive forecast has shape: (361,)

comparable with validation series: True

Let's zoom in on the end of the validation period:



You should see that the naive forecast lags 1 step behind the time serie and that both series end on the same time step.

Now let's compute the mean squared error and the mean absolute error between the forecasts and the predictions in the validation period:

```
[11]: mse, mae = compute_metrics(series_valid, naive_forecast)
    print(f"mse: {mse:.2f}, mae: {mae:.2f} for naive forecast")
```

mse: 19.58, mae: 2.60 for naive forecast

Expected Output:

mse: 19.58, mae: 2.60 for naive forecast

That's our baseline, now let's try a moving average.

2.2 Moving Average

Complete the moving_average_forecast below. This function receives a series and a window_size and computes the moving average forecast for every point after the initial window_size values.

This function will receive the complete SERIES and the returned series will then be sliced to match the validation period so your function doesn't need to account for matching the series to the validation period.

You cannot compute the moving average for the first window_size values since there aren't enough values to compute the desired average. So if you use the whole SERIES and a window_size of 30 your function should return a series with the number of elements equal to:

```
len(SERIES) - 30
```

```
[13]: print(f"Whole SERIES has {len(SERIES)} elements so the moving average forecast


→ should have {len(SERIES)-30} elements")
```

Whole SERIES has 1461 elements so the moving average forecast should have 1431 elements

moving average forecast with whole SERIES has shape: (1431,)

moving average forecast after slicing has shape: (361,)

comparable with validation series: True



Expected Output:

```
moving average forecast with whole SERIES has shape: (1431,) moving average forecast after slicing has shape: (361,)
```

comparable with validation series: True

```
[15]: # Compute evaluation metrics
mse, mae = compute_metrics(series_valid, moving_avg)
print(f"mse: {mse:.2f}, mae: {mae:.2f} for moving average forecast")
```

```
mse: 65.79, mae: 4.30 for moving average forecast
```

```
mse: 65.79, mae: 4.30 for moving average forecast
```


That's worse than naive forecast! The moving average does not anticipate trend or seasonality, so let's try to remove them by using differencing.

2.3 Differencing

Since the seasonality period is 365 days, we will subtract the value at time t – 365 from the value at time t.

Define the diff_series and diff_time variables below to achieve this. Notice that diff_time is the values of the x-coordinate for diff_series.

Whole SERIES has 1461 elements so the differencing should have 1096 elements diff series has shape: (1096,)
x-coordinate of diff series has shape: (1096,)



Whole SERIES has 1461 elements so the differencing should have 1096 elements

diff series has shape: (1096,)


x-coordinate of diff series has shape: (1096,)

Great, the trend and seasonality seem to be gone, so now we can use the moving average.

Define the diff_moving_avg variable.

Notice that the window_size has already being defined and that you will need to perform the correct slicing for the series to match the validation period.

moving average forecast with diff series has shape: (1046,)
moving average forecast with diff series after slicing has shape: (361,)
comparable with validation series: True




Expected Output:

moving average forecast with diff series has shape: (1046,) moving average forecast with diff series after slicing has shape: (361,) comparable with validation series: True Now let's bring back the trend and seasonality by adding the past values from t-365:

```
[39]: ### START CODE HERE
      # Slice the whole SERIES to get the past values
      past_series = SERIES[SPLIT_TIME - 365:-365]
      print(f"past series has shape: {past_series.shape}\n")
      # Add the past to the moving average of diff series
      diff_moving_avg_plus_past = past_series + diff_moving_avg
      ### END CODE HERE
      print(f"moving average forecast with diff series plus past has shape:⊔
      →{diff_moving_avg_plus_past.shape}\n")
      print(f"comparable with validation series: {series_valid.shape ==__

→diff_moving_avg_plus_past.shape}")
      plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
      plot_series(time_valid, series_valid)
      plot_series(time_valid, diff_moving_avg_plus_past)
     plt.show()
     past series has shape: (361,)
     moving average forecast with diff series plus past has shape: (361,)
     comparable with validation series: True
```



```
past series has shape: (361,)
```

moving average forecast with diff series plus past has shape: (361,)

comparable with validation series: True

```
[40]: # Compute evaluation metrics
mse, mae = compute_metrics(series_valid, diff_moving_avg_plus_past)
print(f"mse: {mse:.2f}, mae: {mae:.2f} for moving average plus past forecast")
```

mse: 8.50, mae: 2.33 for moving average plus past forecast

Expected Output:

mse: 8.50, mae: 2.33 for moving average plus past forecast


Better than naive forecast, good. However the forecasts look a bit too random because we're just adding past values, which were noisy. You will use a **centered moving average** with a window size of 10 on past values to remove some of the noise. If you get stuck, you can review the lecture on centered windows and the second ungraded lab this week.

Click here for hints

<l

When slicing the <code>SERIES data</code>, start from the <code>SPLIT_TIME</code> minus
The length of <code>smooth_past_series</code> should match the length of <code>diff_mon

```
[42]: ### START CODE HERE
      # Perform the correct split of SERIES
      # Hint: When slicing the `SERIES` data, start from the `SPLIT_TIME` minus 370
      ⇒steps. See the cell above for more hints.
      smooth_past_series = moving average_forecast(SERIES[SPLIT_TIME-370:-359], 10)
      print(f"smooth past series has shape: {smooth_past_series.shape}\n")
      # Adjust the length of smooth_past_series to match diff_moving_avg
      smooth_past_series = smooth_past_series[:len(diff_moving_avg)]
      # Add the smoothed out past values to the moving avg of diff series
      diff_moving_avg_plus_smooth_past = smooth_past_series + diff_moving_avg
      ### END CODE HERE
      print(f"moving average forecast with diff series plus past has shape:⊔
       →{diff_moving_avg_plus_smooth_past.shape}\n")
      print(f"comparable with validation series: {series_valid.shape ==_u
      →diff_moving_avg_plus_smooth_past.shape}")
      plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
      plot_series(time_valid, series_valid)
      plot_series(time_valid, diff_moving_avg_plus_smooth_past)
     plt.show()
     smooth past series has shape: (362,)
     moving average forecast with diff series plus past has shape: (361,)
     comparable with validation series: True
```



```
smooth past series has shape: (361,)
```

moving average forecast with diff series plus past has shape: (361,)

comparable with validation series: True

```
[43]: # Compute evaluation metrics
mse, mae = compute_metrics(series_valid, diff_moving_avg_plus_smooth_past)

print(f"mse: {mse:.2f}, mae: {mae:.2f} for moving average plus smooth past

→forecast")
```

mse: 12.53, mae: 2.20 for moving average plus smooth past forecast

Expected Output:

mse: 12.53, mae: 2.20 for moving average plus smooth past forecast

Congratulations on finishing this week's assignment!

You have successfully implemented functions for time series splitting and evaluation while also learning how to deal with time series data and how to code forecasting methods!

Keep it up!

Please click here if you want to experiment with any of the non-graded code.

Important Note: Please only do this when you've already passed the assignment to avoid problems with the autograder.

```
On the notebook's menu, click "View" > "Cell Toolbar" > "Edit Metadata"
```

Hit the "Edit Metadata" button next to the code cell which you want to lock/unlock

Set the attribute value for "editable" to:

```
"true" if you want to unlock it

"false" if you want to lock it

On the notebook's menu, click "View" > "Cell Toolbar" > "None" 

Here's a short demo of how to do the steps above:
```

<img src="https://drive.google.com/uc?export=view&id=14Xy_Mb17CZVgzVAgq7NCjMVBvSae3x01" al</pre>