#### preamble

#### Browser Meta Info

This question will not be displayed to the recipient.

Browser: Chrome

Version: 71.0.3578.98

Operating System: Macintosh

Screen Resolution: 1280x800

Flash Version: -1

Java Support: 0

User Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10\_14\_2) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML,

like Gecko) Chrome/71.0.3578.98 Safari/537.36

On each survey screen, you will be shown a web page that will undergo automatic translation to another language (e.g., English to Spanish). We are evaluating two techniques in terms of their ability to generate translated pages that are similar in appearance to the original. For each web page, you will be shown portions of the original (reference) page and the corresponding parts of the two translated pages. You will be asked to rate the output of the two techniques for attractiveness and legibility (readability), and compare the outputs to the reference in terms of their appearance similarity, which includes aspects such as text size, spacing, and alignment.


Does the sample image shown below fit completely on your screen? (Please resize the browser window, if required.)

# Sample Image





Before you proceed to the survey, please complete the captcha below.





#### thunderbird

Q. For the below images, how would you rate the <u>legibility</u> and <u>attractiveness</u> of **Version 1** and **Version 2**, and their <u>appearance</u> <u>similarity</u> to the **Reference**?



## Reference





**Version 2** 

	Which version is more legible?	Which version is more attractive?	Which version is more similar in appearance to the Reference?
	= are the letters clear? easy to read?)	(Attractive = looks visually appealing?)	(Appearance similarity = similar text size, spacing, alignment?)
Version 1 is much better than Version 2	0	0	0
Version 1 is somewhat better than Version 2	0	0	0
Both versions are the same	0	0	0
Version 2 is somewhat better than Version 1	0	0	0
Version 2 is much better than Version 1	0	0	0
I am not able to decide	0	0	0
Q. Could you explain your answers? We would like of version for legibility, attractiveness, and appeara			-

## familySearch

Q. For the below images, how would you rate the <u>legibility</u> and <u>attractiveness</u> of **Version 1** and **Version 2**, and their <u>appearance</u> <u>similarity</u> to the **Reference**?

English Feedback Cookie Preferences About Blog Find Ancestors Site Map Solutions Gallery Reference ¿Quiénes somos? Blog Galería de soluciones Encontrar antepasados Comentarios Mapa del sitio Preferencias para cookies Español **Version 1** ¿Quiénes somos? Blog Encontrar antepasados Comentarios Mapa del sitio Galería de soluciones Preferencias para cookies S Español

## **Version 2**

	Which version is more legible?	Which version is more	Which version is more similar in appearance to the Reference?
	(Legible = are the letters clear? easy to read?)	(Attractive = looks visually appealing?)	
Version 1 is much better than Version 2	0	0	0
Version 1 is somewhat better than Version 2	0	0	0
Both versions are the same	0	0	0
Version 2 is somewhat better than Version 1	0	0	0
Version 2 is much better than Version 1	0	0	0
I am not able to decide	0	0	0

Q. Could you explain your answers? We would like to know the reasons for your	choice	
of version for legibility, attractiveness, and appearance similarity. (Optional)		
	_	
	_/,	

## limburg

Q. For the below images, how would you rate the <u>legibility</u> and <u>attractiveness</u> of **Version 1** and **Version 2**, and their <u>appearance</u> <u>similarity</u> to the **Reference**?



## Reference



## **Version 1**



## **Version 2**

	Which version is more legible?  (Legible = are the letters clear? easy to read?)	Which version is more attractive?  (Attractive = looks visually appealing?)	Which version is more similar in appearance to the Reference?  (Appearance similarity = similar text size, spacing, alignment?)
Version 1 is much better than Version 2	0	0	0
Version 1 is somewhat better than Version 2	0	0	0
Both versions are the same	0	0	0
Version 2 is somewhat better than Version 1	0	0	0
Version 2 is much better than Version 1	0	0	0
I am not able to decide	0	0	0
Q. Could you explain your answers? We would like of version for legibility, attractiveness, and appears			

checkQ

QX. For this question, please don't rate anything... rather, **select** "*I am not able to decide*" for all legiblility, attractiveness, and similarity in appearance.



Reference



**Version 1** 



**Version 2** 

Which		Which
version is more		version is
legible?		more
icgibic.		similar in
	Which	appearance
(Legible	version is	to the
= are	more	Reference?
the	attractive?	
letters		(Appearance
clear?		similarity =
easy to	(Attractive	similar text
read?)	= looks	size,
	visually	spacing,
(Select "I am	appealing?)	alignment?)
not able	(Select "I	(Select "I am
to	am not able	not able to
decide")	to decide")	decide")
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0

Version 1 is much better than Version 2

Version 1 is somewhat better than Version 2


Планируйте свое путешествие с 20 авиакомпаниями-членами альянса Скайтим						
Откуда	Куда	Departing	📋 в одну сторону	👃 1 Пассажир	~	найти

## Version 2

	Which version is more legible?  (Legible = are the letters clear? easy to read?)	Which version is more attractive?  (Attractive = looks visually appealing?)	alignment?)
Version 1 is much better than Version 2	0	O	0
Version 1 is somewhat better than Version 2	0	0	0
Both versions are the same	0	0	0
<b>Version 2 is somewhat better than Version 1</b>	0	0	0
Version 2 is much better than Version 1	0	0	0
I am not able to decide	0	0	0
Q. Could you explain your answers? We would like of version for legibility, attractiveness, and appeara			-

### worldsCustom

Q. For the below images, how would you rate the *legibility* and *attractiveness* of **Version 1** and **Version 2**, and their *appearance similarity* to the **Reference**?



Reference





Version 1

**Version 2** 

Which

Which version

is more

leaible?

Which version is

more similar in

appearance

		version is more	to the Reference?
	(Legible	attractive?	
	= are the	(4	(Appearance similarity =
	letters clear? easy to read?)	(Attractive = looks visually appealing?)	similar text size, spacing, alignment?)
Version 1 is much better than Version 2	0	0	0
Version 1 is somewhat better than Version 2	0	0	0
Both versions are the same	0	0	0
Version 2 is somewhat better than Version 1	0	0	0
Version 2 is much better than Version 1	0	0	0

l am not able to	o decide	Qualtrics Survey So	ftware	0	0
-	explain your answers				
bookCrossing	]				
	ow images, how wou nd <u>attractiveness</u> o ne <b>Reference</b> ?	-	ersion 2,	and their <u>ap</u>	<u>pearance</u>
Email					
Password					
	Remember me?				

Reference

Adresse de Messagerie			
Mot de passe			
	☐ Se souvenir de moi ?		
	Se Connecter		
Version 1			
Adresse de			
Adresse de Messagerie			
Messagerie	Se souvenir de moi ?		

#### Version 2

Which version is Which more version similar in is more Which appearance legible? to the version is Reference? more (Legible attractive? (Appearance = are similarity = the (Attractive similar text letters

	clear? easy to read?)	= looks visually appealing?)	size, spacing, alignment?)
Version 1 is much better than Version 2	0	0	0
Version 1 is somewhat better than Version 2	0	0	0
Both versions are the same	0	0	0
Version 2 is somewhat better than Version 1	0	0	0
Version 2 is much better than Version 1	0	0	0
I am not able to decide	0	0	0
Q. Could you explain your answers? We would like of version for legibility, attractiveness, and appeara			

Powered by Qualtrics