Project 8 Peer Review

Algorithmic Design						
Correct Implementation — Does the algorithm appear to produce the correct result, given your knowledge of the data?	□ No		□ Minor Errors		☐ Appears Correct	
	Comments:					
Efficient Implementation — Is the performance (speed) of the algorithm what you expected? Is it slower? Is it faster?	□ Much Slower		☐ As Expected		□ Much Faster	
	Comments:					
Featureful Implementation — Does the implementation contain the basic required features or are additional features included?	□ Major Features		☐ As Expected		☐ Major	
	Missing				Features Added	
	Comments:					
	1					
Visual Design						
Visual Channels — What visual channels were used to encode data?	□ Position $ □ $ Curvature $ □ $ Area $ □ $ Color Hue $ □ $ Depth $ □ $ Shape $ □ $ Volume $ □ $ Texture $ □ $ Angle $ □ $ Length $ □ $ Luminance/ $ □ $ Motion/ Saturation Animation					
	Comments:					
Intended/Unintended Encodings — Do all of the visual encoding appear to be intended, or were some accidentally created?	☐ Many Unintended		☐ Few Unintended		☐ AII Intended	
	Comments:					
Expressiveness of Encodings — Are the visual encodings attached to the correct type of data for that encoding (i.e. are quantitative data attached to quantitative encodings and categorical data to categorical encodings)?	□ Many Errors		☐ Few Errors		☐ Correctly Assigned	
	Comments:					
Effectiveness of Encodings — Have the maximally effective visual encodings been selected in all cases?	☐ Many Ineffective		☐ Few Ineffective		☐ Most Effective	
	Comments:		menderve		Literative	
Effective Use of Color — Is color used in a same fashion? Do the colors chosen and the application of those colors make the visualization effective?	☐ Mostly Ineffective		\square None Used		☐ Highly Effective	
	Comments:		3300		356,10	

Design Considerations							
Clear, Detailed, and Thorough Labeling — Is appropriate and complete labeling	□ No labels		☐ Some Missing labels		☐ Completely labeled		
used throughout or do missing labels require assumptions about the data?	Comments:						
Missing Scales — Are scales provided for the data?	□ No Scales		☐ Some Missing Scales		☐ All Scales Present		
	Comments:						
Missing Legend — Is a legend provided for the data? Does the legend provide useful information?	□ No Legend		☐ Incomplete Legend		☐ Complete Legend		
	Comments:						
Scale Distortion — Is any scale	☐ Severe Distortion		☐ Minor Distortion		□ No Distortion		
distortion or deception used in the visualization?	Comments:						
<u>Lie Factor</u> — Is there any lie factor?	☐ Major Lie		☐ Minor Lie		□ No Lie		
How extreme is the lie factor?	Comments:						
Data/Ink Ratio — Is the data to ink ratio reasonable? Could it be more efficient?	Way Too □ Little /		Slightly Too □ Little /		☐ Perfect		
	☐ Much Ink		☐ Much Ink		Amount of Ink		
	Comments:						
Junk, Embellishments, Aesthetics — Are appropriate embellishments used? Are the embellishments distracting? Do the embellishments add to the visualization?	Way Too □ Few		A Bit Too □ Few /		☐ Perfect		
	$/ \square$ Many Embellishments		☐ Many Embellishments		Number of Embellishments		
	Comments:		Linbellishments				
Data Density — Has too much data	☐ Too Sparse		☐ Expected		☐ Too Dense		
been included in the visualization making interpretation difficult?	Comments:						
Gestalt Principals — Have Gestalt principals been used to improve analysis?	□ No Gestalt Principals		☐ Some Gestalt Principals		☐ Many Gestalt Principals		
	Comments:						