## Project 1 Peer Review

Visualization Narrative			
<u>Choice of Visualization</u> — Was a good choice of accurate and informative visualization used?	□ No Description	☐ Incomplete / ☐ Self- Explanatory	☐ Complete Description
	Comments:		
Design Considerations			
Clear, Detailed, and Thorough Labeling  — Is appropriate and complete labeling used throughout or do missing labels require assumptions about the data?	□ No labels	☐ Some Missing labels	☐ Completely labeled
	Comments:		
Missing Scales — Are scales provided for the data?	□ No Scales	☐ Some Missing Scales	□ All Scales Present
	Comments:		
Missing Legend — Is a legend provided for the data? Does the legend provide useful information?	□ No Legend	☐ Incomplete Legend	☐ Complete Legend
	Comments:		
Scale Distortion — Is any scale distortion or deception used in the visualization?	☐ Severe Distortion	☐ Minor Distortion	□ No Distortion
	Comments:		
<u>Lie Factor</u> — Is there any lie factor? How extreme is the lie factor?	☐ Major Lie	☐ Minor Lie	□ No Lie
	Comments:		
Data/Ink Ratio — Is the data to ink ratio reasonable? Could it be more efficient?	Way Too □ Little / □ Much Ink	Slightly Too □ Little / □ Much Ink	☐ Perfect Amount of Ink
	Comments:		

Design Considerations (cont.)								
Chart Junk, Embellishments, Aesthetics — Are appropriate embellishments used? Are the embellishments distracting? Do the embellishments add to the visualization?	Way Too □ Few / □ Many Embellishments		A Bit Too ☐ Few / ☐ Many Embellishments		☐ Perfect Number of Embellishments			
	Comments:							
Data Density — Has too much data been included in the visualization making interpretation difficult?	☐ Too Sparse		☐ Expected		$\square$ Too Dense			
	Comments:							
<u>Task Selection</u> — Does the visualization enable appropriate visual analysis tasks for the data type and/or dataset?	☐ Unclear Task Selection		☐ Some Tasks Missing		☐ Wide Range of Task Support			
	Comments:							