

# NUMBER THEORY

## MATHEMATICAL TRIPOS PART II

*Familiarity with IA Numbers and Sets is assumed.*

### 1. DIVISIBILITY

**Theorem 1.1** (Division Algorithm). *Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $b > 0$ , there exists  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $a = bq + r$  and  $0 \leq r < b$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S = \{a - nb : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , then  $S$  contains some nonnegative integer. Let the smallest be  $r$ . Then  $r < b$ , as otherwise  $r - b \in S$  would be nonnegative and smaller than  $r$ . So  $a - qb = r$  for some  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , or  $a = qb + r$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.2.** If  $r = 0$ , we write  $b \mid a$  (“ $b$  divides  $a$ ”), otherwise we write  $b \nmid a$ .

Given  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  not all zero, let  $I = \{\lambda_1 a_1 + \dots + \lambda_n a_n \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Then for  $a, b \in I$  and  $l, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $la + mb \in I$ .

**Lemma 1.3.**  $I = d\mathbb{Z} = \{md \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  for some  $d > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $d$  be the least positive element in  $I$ . Then  $d\mathbb{Z} \subset I$ . Conversely, if  $a \in I$ , write  $a = qd + r$  for some  $0 \leq r < d$ . If  $r = 0$ , then  $a \in d\mathbb{Z}$ . Otherwise,  $r = a - qd \in I$  is positive and smaller than  $d$ , contradiction.  $\square$

In particular,  $d \mid a_i$  for all  $i$ ; Conversely, if  $c \mid a_i$  for all  $i$ , then  $d\mathbb{Z} = I \subset c\mathbb{Z}$ , hence  $c \mid d$ .

**Definition 1.4.** We write  $d = \gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  or  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and say  $d$  is the *greatest common divisor* of  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ .

**Corollary 1.5** (Bézout). *Suppose  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a, b$  not both 0. There exists  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $ax + by = c$  if and only if  $(a, b) \mid c$ .*

**Theorem 1.6** (Euclid’s Algorithm). *Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $a > b$ , setting  $b = r_0 > 0$  we can repeatedly apply the division algorithm to get*

$$\begin{aligned} a &= q_1 r_0 + r_1 \\ b &= q_2 r_1 + r_2 \\ r_1 &= q_3 r_2 + r_3 \\ &\vdots \\ r_{k-1} &= q_{k+1} r_k + 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 < r_i < r_{i-1}$  for  $i \leq k$ . Then  $r_k = (a, b)$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $r_k \mid r_0$  and  $r_k \mid a$ , so  $r_k \leq (a, b)$ . Also if  $m \mid a$  and  $m \mid b$  then  $m \mid r_k$ . Hence  $(a, b) \leq r_k$ , and  $(a, b) = r_k$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.7** (Euclid’s Lemma). *Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $p \mid ab$  if and only if  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ .*

*Proof.* The “if” direction is clear. Conversely, suppose  $p \mid ab$  yet  $p \nmid a$ , then  $(a, p) \neq p$  but  $(a, p) \mid p$  and  $p$  is prime, so  $(a, p) = 1$ , therefore there are some integers  $n, y$  such that  $ax + py = 1$ . Now  $b = b(ax + py) = x(ab) + (by)p \implies p \mid b$   $\square$

**Theorem 1.8** (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic). *Every  $n > 1$  can be written as a product of primes. Furthermore, this is unique up to reordering.*

*Proof.* Existence follows easily by strong induction. For uniqueness we also use induction. Suppose that  $n$  has two prime factorisations  $n = p_1 \cdots p_k = q_1 \cdots q_l$ . We have  $p_1 \mid q_1 \cdots q_l$ , so  $p_1 \mid q_i$  for some  $i$ . We can label that prime  $q_1$ . Hence  $p_1 = q_1$ . So  $n/p_1 = p_2 \cdots p_k = q_2 \cdots q_l$ , and by induction  $k = l$  and  $p_2 = q_2, p_3 = q_3$ , etc.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.9** (Euclid). *The number of primes is infinite.*

*Proof.* If there was finitely many primes, say  $p_1, \dots, p_k$ , then the number  $N = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k + 1$  would have no prime factors which is a contradiction.  $\square$

## 2. CONGRUENCES

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer. We say  $a$  is *congruent* to  $b$  modulo  $n$ , written  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ , if  $n \mid a - b$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *There exists  $x$  such that  $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  if and only if  $(a, n) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* The “only if” direction is clear. For the “if” direction, by Bézout we can write  $ax + ny = 1$  for some  $d$ , and so  $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  as required.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3** (Chinese Remainder Theorem). *Given  $m_1, \dots, m_k \in \mathbb{N}$  pairwise coprime, the set of congruences  $x \equiv a_i \pmod{m_i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , has a unique solution  $x$  modulo  $M = m_1 \cdots m_k$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $M_i = M/m_i$ , then  $(m_i, M_i) = 1$  for all  $i$ . Therefore for each  $i$  there is a  $b_i$  such that  $M_i b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{m_i}$ . We also have  $M_i b_i \equiv 0 \pmod{m_j}$  for all  $j \neq i$ . Take  $x = \sum_i a_i b_i M_i$ . For uniqueness, if  $x, y$  satisfies the system, then  $m_i \mid x - y$  for all  $i$ , therefore  $M \mid x - y$  since there is no prime that divides two distinct  $m_i$ 's.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4** (Fermat's Little Theorem). *If  $a, p \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $p$  prime, then  $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$ .*

*Proof.* For  $p = 2$  this is true. Then for  $p \neq 2$ ,  $a^p - (a - 1)^p \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  by the binomial theorem.  $\square$

**Definition 2.5** (Euler Totient Function). Let  $\phi(n)$  denote the number of integers  $a$ ,  $1 \leq a \leq n$ , with  $(a, n) = 1$ .

**Remark.** Directly from the definition we get that  $\phi(p^k) = p^k - p^{k-1}$  for  $p$  prime, that  $\phi(n)$  is multiplicative and thus  $\phi(n) = n \prod_{p \mid n} (1 - 1/p)$ .

**Theorem 2.6** (Euler-Fermat). *If  $a, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  have  $(a, n) = 1$ , then  $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .*

*Proof.* By Lagrange's theorem (on groups), the order of  $a$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$  divides the order of the group,  $\phi(n)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7** (Wilson).  $(p - 1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .

*Proof.* We can pair up terms in the product  $(p - 1)!$  with their inverses which then multiply to one, but this leaves only 1 and  $p - 1$  unpaired.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8** (Lagrange). *Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $f(x)$  be an integer polynomial of degree  $n$ . Then  $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  has at most  $n$  solutions modulo  $p$ .*

**Definition 2.9.** For  $a, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $n > 0$ , the *order* of  $a$  modulo  $n$  is the least positive integer  $d$  such that  $a^d \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . We say that  $a$  is a *primitive root* if its order is  $\phi(n)$ .

**Theorem 2.10.** *There exists a primitive root mod  $n$  if and only if  $n = 2, 4, p^j$  or  $2p^j$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime.*

*Proof.* For  $n = 2, 4$  this is easy. We first find a primitive root modulo  $p$ . Let  $\psi_p(d)$  count the number of  $1, 2, \dots, p-1$  of order  $d$  modulo  $p$ . Observe that  $\psi_p(d) = 0$  if  $d \nmid p-1$ , so  $\sum_{d|p-1} \psi_p(d) = p-1$ . If  $a$  has order  $d$ ,  $\{a, a^2, \dots, a^d\}$  are solutions to  $x^d \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and hence are all of them by Lagrange. So  $\psi(d) \leq \phi(d)$ . But  $\sum_{d|n} \phi(d) = n$  as  $\phi(d)$  counts  $\{m \mid (m, n) = n/d\}$ . Thus  $\psi(d) = \phi(d)$  for all  $d$ . In particular, there are  $\phi(p-1)$  elements of order  $p-1$ . Let  $g$  be one of them.

If  $n = p^j$ ,  $j > 1$ , suppose  $g$  has orders  $p^k s$  modulo  $n$ ,  $s \mid p-1$ . By Fermat's Little Theorem  $g^{p^k s} \equiv g^s \pmod{p}$ , so  $s = p-1$ .  $g^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$  implies  $(g+p)^{p-1} \equiv 1 + (p-1)g^{p-2}p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ , so WLOG  $g^{p-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ , thus  $g$  is a primitive root mod  $p^2$ .  $g^{p^{j-2}(p-1)} = (1 + \ell p)^{p^{j-2}} \equiv 1 + \ell p^{j-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^j}$ , so  $g$  is also a primitive root mod  $p^j$  for all  $j \geq 2$ . One of  $g, g+p^j$  is odd, and thus also serves as a primitive root mod  $2p^j$ , noting  $\phi(2p^j) = \phi(p^j)$ .

For the other direction, for  $n$  not a prime power,  $n = rs$  with  $(r, s) = 1$  and  $\phi(r), \phi(s)$  both even.  $a^{\phi(n)/2} \equiv a^{\phi(r)\phi(s)/2} \equiv 1$  modulo  $r$  and  $s$ . So  $a^{\phi(n)/2} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . For  $n = 2^j$ ,  $j \geq 3$ ,  $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  for all odd  $a$ , thus  $a^{2^{k+1}} \equiv (a^2)^{2^k} \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{k+3}}$ .  $\square$

### 3. QUADRATIC RESIDUES

**Definition 3.1.** We call  $a$  a *quadratic residue* modulo  $n$  if there exists  $x$  such that  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{n}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime. Then there are exactly  $(p-1)/2$  quadratic residues modulo  $p$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $g$  to be a primitive root modulo  $p$ . Then the set of quadratic residues is exactly  $\{g^{2n} \pmod{p} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  which has size  $(p-1)/2$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The *Legendre symbol* is defined as

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \mid a, \\ 1 & \text{if } a \text{ is a quadratic residue modulo } p, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 3.4** (Euler's Criterion). *Let  $p$  be an odd prime, and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then*

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \equiv a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \pmod{p}.$$

*Proof.* If  $a$  is a quadratic residue, we can write  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$  and then  $a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , as required. By Lagrange,  $a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  has at most  $(p-1)/2$  solutions, so if  $a$  is not a quadratic residue, we must have  $a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then*

$$\left(\frac{ab}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \left(\frac{b}{p}\right).$$

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime, then*

$$\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

**Definition 3.7.** The *numerically least residue*  $a'$  of  $a \pmod{n}$  to  $a' \equiv a$  with  $-n/2 < a' \leq n/2$ .

**Theorem 3.8** (Gauss' Lemma). *Given an odd prime  $p$  and  $a$  with  $(a, p) = 1$ , let  $a_j$  denote the numerically least residue of  $a \cdot j \pmod{p}$ . Then  $(a/p) = (-1)^\ell$ , where  $\ell = |\{j \leq (p-1)/2 \mid a_j < 0\}|$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $a_j = \pm a_k$  if and only if  $j = \pm k$ , so  $|a_j|$  takes all values  $1, \dots, (p-1)/2$ . Hence  $\prod_{j \leq (p-1)/2} a_j = (-1)^\ell r!$ , so  $a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv (-1)^\ell \pmod{p}$ . The result then follows from Euler's Criterion.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9** (Law of Quadratic Reciprocity). *If  $p, q$  are distinct odd primes,  $(p/q) = (q/p)$  unless  $p \equiv q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , in which case  $(p/q) = -(q/p)$ . More concisely,  $(p/q)(q/p) = (-1)^{((p-1)/2)((q-1)/2)}$ .*

*Proof.* By Gauss' lemma,  $(p/q) = (-1)^\ell$ , where  $\ell$  is the number of lattice points  $(x, y)$  satisfying  $0 < x < q/2$ ,  $-q/2 < px - qy < 0$ . For such points  $q(y - 1/2) < px$ , so  $y < p/2 + 1/2$ . We therefore lose nothing in imposing the extra symmetrising condition  $0 < y < p/2$ . Similarly  $(q/p) = (-1)^m$  where  $m$  is the number of lattice points in the rectangle  $0 < x < q/2$ ,  $0 < y < p/2$ , satisfying  $-p/2 < qx - py < 0$  or equivalently  $0 < px - qy < p/2$ . Now it suffices to prove that  $((p-1)/2)((q-1)/2) - (\ell + m)$  is even. But  $((p-1)/2)((q-1)/2)$  is just the number of lattice points in our rectangle and we have a bijection between such points with  $px - qy \leq -q/2$  and those with  $px - qy \geq p/2$  by means of transformation  $x' = (q+1)/2 - x$ ,  $y' = (p+1)/2 - y$ . (This does *not* fix the line  $px - qy = 0$ , so we cannot say the same for our original sets.  $\square$ )

**Definition 3.10.** For  $n$  odd,  $(m, n) = 1$ , we define the *Jacobi symbol*  $(m/n)$  by

$$\left(\frac{m}{p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}}\right) = \left(\frac{m}{p_1}\right)^{\alpha_1} \cdots \left(\frac{m}{p_k}\right)^{\alpha_k}$$

in terms of Legendre symbols.

**Remark.** All of our previously stated theorems hold for Jacobi symbols, though Jacobi symbols are not a test for quadratic residuality, only a computational technique.

#### 4. QUADRATIC FORMS

**Definition 4.1.** A *binary quadratic form* is a function  $f(x, y) = ax^2 + byx + cy^2$ ,  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This may sometimes be represented more simply as  $(a, b, c)$ . Note that

$$f(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b/2 \\ b/2 & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Definition 4.2.** A *unimodular substitution* is a transformation  $X = px + qy$ ,  $Y = rs + sy$  with  $ps - qr = 1$ . Equivalently,  $\begin{pmatrix} X & Y \end{pmatrix}^\top = A \begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix}^\top$ , with  $A \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Definition 4.3.** Two binary quadratic forms  $f$  and  $f'$  are *equivalent* if they are related by a unimodular substitution. We then write  $f \sim f'$ .

**Definition 4.4.**  $4af(x, y) = (2ax + by)^2 - dy^2$  where  $d = \text{disc}(f) = b^2 - 4ac$  is the *discriminant* of  $f$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** *Equivalent forms have the same discriminant.*

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{disc}(f) &= -4 \left| \begin{pmatrix} a & b/2 \\ b/2 & c \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ &= -4 \left| \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix}^\top \begin{pmatrix} a & b/2 \\ b/2 & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix} \right| \end{aligned}$$

as  $(ps - qr)^2 = 1$ , and this is equal to  $\text{disc}(f')$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.6.** A binary quadratic form with  $d \neq 0$  is *positive definite* if  $f(x, y) \geq 0$  for all  $x, y$ . It's *negative definite* if  $f(x, y) \leq 0$ , and *indefinite* otherwise.

**Theorem 4.7.** A positive definite form  $(a, b, c)$  is equivalent to some reduced form satisfying  $-a < b \leq a < c$  or  $0 \leq b \leq a = c$ .

*Proof.* Using unimodular substitution  $S : (a, b, c) \mapsto (c, -b, a)$  and  $T_\pm : (a, b, c) \rightarrow (a, b \pm 2a, a \pm b + c)$ , if  $a > c$ . i.e.  $S$  to decrease  $a$  while keeping  $|b|$  fixed. If  $a < c$  and  $|b| > a$ , then use  $T_+$  or  $T_-$  to decrease  $|b|$  whilst keeping  $a$  fixed, noting all the while that  $a + |b|$  is strictly decreasing so this process must stop. Finally if  $b = -a$ , apply  $T_+$  to get  $+a$  and if  $a = c$ , apply  $S$  to get  $b > 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.8.** The smallest integer  $\neq 0$  represented by a reduced positive definite form  $(a, b, c)$  all coprime are  $a, c$  and  $a - |b| + c$  in that order.

*Proof.*  $f(0, 0) = 0$ .  $f(1, 0) = a$ ,  $f(0, 1) = c$ ,  $0 < a \leq c$  since  $f$  is reduced. Now, for  $|x| \geq |y| > 0$ ,  $f(x, y) \geq a|x|^2 - |b||x|^2 + c|y|^2 = (a - |b|)|x|^2 + c|y|^2 \geq a - |b| + c$ . Similarly if  $|y| \geq |x| > 0$ , and we can only achieve equality at  $(\pm 1, \pm 1)$  and indeed we do.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.9.** No two reduced forms are equivalent.

*Proof.* By our result on the smallest represented integer,  $f \sim f'$  implies  $a = a'$  and  $c = c'$ . Then by equivalent forms having the same discriminant,  $d = d'$  hence  $b = \pm b'$ . If  $b = 0$  or  $b = b'$  we are done, so suppose  $b > 0$  and  $(a, b, c) \sim (a, -b, c)$ . Then both reduced implies  $a < c$  and  $|b| < a$ , or  $b = -a$  (which cannot happen). Now  $f, f'$  both satisfy  $f(x, y) = a$  if and only if  $(x, y) = (\pm 1, 0)$  and  $f(x, y) = c$  if and only if  $(x, y) = (0, \pm 1)$ . so if they are equivalent under substitution  $\begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix}$  we must have  $\begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  implying  $p = \pm 1, r = 0$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \pm 1 \end{pmatrix}$  implying  $q = 0, s = \pm 1$ .  $\det \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix} = \pm 1$  implies  $f = f'$  as required.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.10.** There are finitely many reduced forms with discriminant  $d$ . Indeed,  $|b| \leq a \leq \sqrt{d/2}$ .

*Proof.*  $d = b^2 - 4ac \leq ac - 4ac \leq -3a^2$  which implies our claim.  $c = (b^2 - d)/4a$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.11.** Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n$  is properly represented by a form  $f$ , that is  $f(x, y) = n$  for some coprime  $x, y$  if and only if  $f$  is equivalent to a form  $(n, w, c)$  with first coefficient  $n$ .

*Proof.* If  $f$  is equivalent to this form  $f \sim f'$ ,  $f'(1, 0) = n$  so  $f(x, y) = n$  properly represented as coprimality is preserved under  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . If  $f(x, y) = n$  with  $(x, y) = 1$  then there exists  $q, s$  such that  $xs - qy = 1$ . Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & q \\ y & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

so applying this matrix being in  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  to  $f$ , we get  $f'$  with  $f'(1, 0) = n$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.12.** *Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n$  is properly represented by some form of discriminant  $d$  if and only if there is a solution  $w$  to  $w^2 = d \pmod{4n}$ .*

*Proof.* By the previous theorem,  $w^2 - 4nc = d$ . Conversely,  $w^2 \equiv d \pmod{4n}$  implies there exists  $c$  such that  $w^2 = d + 4nc$  implies  $(n, w, c)$  has discriminant  $d$ .  $\square$

## 5. PRIME NUMBERS

**Definition 5.1.** The *prime counting function* is  $\pi(x)$ , the number of primes  $\leq x$ .

**Definition 5.2.** The *Möbius function*  $\mu(n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is such that  $\mu(n) = 0$  if  $n$  is not squarefree, and  $\mu(n) = (-1)^r$  if  $n$  is a product of  $r$  distinct primes.

Observe that  $\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = 0$  for  $n > 1$ .

**Theorem 5.3** (Legendre's Formula).  $\pi(x) - \pi(\sqrt{x}) + 1 = \sum_{d|P} \mu(d) \lfloor x/d \rfloor$ , where  $P$  denotes the product  $P = p_1 \cdots p_k$  of primes  $\leq \sqrt{x}$ .

*Proof.* The Eratosthenes sieve up to  $\sqrt{x}$  applied to  $\{1, 2, \dots, x\}$  crosses out all multiples of  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  leaving behind only primes  $p$  with  $\sqrt{x} < p \leq x$  and 1. The result now follows by the Inclusion-Exclusion principle.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.4.**  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 1/p_i$  diverges.

*Proof.* Otherwise, there exists  $k$  such that the truncated series  $\sum_{i>k} 1/p_i < 1/2$ . Set  $P = p_1 \cdots p_k$  and observe that every number equivalent to 1  $\pmod{P}$  can be factorised as a product of  $j$  of the primes  $P_{k+1}, p_{k+2}, \dots$  for some  $j$ . Hence

$$\sum_{n \equiv 1 \pmod{P}} \frac{1}{n} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_i} \right)^j \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^j = 1,$$

which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.5.**  $\prod_{p \leq n} p \leq 4^n$ .

*Proof.* The proof is by induction, observing

$$\prod_{n < p \leq 2n} p \mid \binom{2n}{n} \leq 2^{2n} = 4^n.$$

$\square$

**Corollary 5.6.**  $\pi(x) \leq x \log 4 / \log x$ .

**Theorem 5.7** (Prime Number Theorem).  $\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$ .

**Definition 5.8.** The *Riemann zeta function* is defined for  $s = \sigma + it$  for  $\sigma > 1$  by  $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$ .

**Theorem 5.9** (Euler Product).  $\zeta(s) = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$ ,  $\sigma > 1$ .

*Proof.* For any  $N$ ,  $\prod_{p \leq N} (1 - p^{-s})^{-1} = \prod_{p \leq N} (1 + p^{-s} + p^{-2s} + \cdots) = \sum_m m^{-s}$ , where  $m$  runs through all integers with no prime factors  $> N$ . the difference between this and  $\sum_{n \leq N} n^{-s}$  is bounded in absolute value by  $\sum_{n > N} n^{-\sigma} \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.10.** *There are infinitely many primes in the arithmetic progression  $a, a + d, \dots$  provided  $(a, d) = 1$ .*

## 6. DIOPHANTINE APPROXIMATION

**Theorem 6.1** (Dirichlet). *Given  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists integers  $p, q$  with  $q \leq N$  such that  $|\theta - p/q| \leq 1/q^N \leq 1/q^2$ .*

*Proof.* Two of  $\theta, 2\theta, \dots, N\theta$  must differ by  $\leq 1/N$  modulo 1. Their difference  $q\theta$  differs from some  $p$  by  $\leq 1/N$ .  $\square$

**Definition 6.2.** Given  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , define  $a_0 = \lfloor \theta \rfloor$ ,  $\theta_1 = \frac{1}{\theta - a_0}$ ,  $a_1 = \lfloor \theta_1 \rfloor$ ,  $\theta_2 = \frac{1}{\theta_1 - a_1}$ , etc. terminating if some  $\theta_i$  is an integer. Then

$$\theta = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \dots}}$$

is the *continued fraction* representation of  $\theta$ . The integers  $a_i$  are called *partial quotients* of  $\theta$ , and we write  $\theta = [a_0, a_1, \dots]$ .  $p_n/q_n = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n]$  are called *convergents*.

**Theorem 6.3.** (i) *The  $p_n, q_n$  satisfy the recurrence relations*

$$\begin{aligned} p_n &= a_n p_{n-1} + p_{n-2}, \\ q_n &= a_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}, \end{aligned}$$

*with  $p_0 = a_0$  and  $q_0 = 1$ ,  $p_1 = a_0 a_1 + 1$  and  $q_1 = a_1$ . (ii)  $|p_{n-1}/q_{n-1} - p_n/q_n| = \frac{1}{q_{n-1}q_n}$ . (iii)  $\theta$  lies in  $[p_{n-1}/q_{n-1}, p_n/q_n]$  and thus  $|\theta - p_n/q_n| \leq 1/q_n^2$ .*

*Proof.* (i) We check this is true for  $n = 2$ . Then supposing it is true for  $n = m - 1 \geq 2$ , we observe  $p_j/q_j = a_0 + q'_j/p'_j$ , where  $p'_j/q'_j = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j]$ . Then  $p_j = a_0 p'_j + q'_j$  and  $q_j = p'_j$  expanded implies our result. (ii)  $p_n q_{n+1} - p_{n+1} q_n = (-1)^{n+1}$  follows from (i) by induction. (iii) Observe  $\theta = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, \theta_{n+1}]$  and  $0 < 1/\theta_{n+1} \leq 1/a_{n+1}$  so  $\theta$  lies in the interval  $[p_n/q_n, p_{n+1}/q_{n+1}]$  and this with the other results gives the stated bound.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.4.** *The continued fractions process terminates if  $\theta$  is rational.*

*Proof.* If  $\theta = a/b$  then  $1/q_n^2 \geq |\theta - p_n/q_n| \geq 1/q_n b$  for  $p_n/q_n \neq a/b$ , so  $q_n$  may never exceed  $b$ . The partial quotients  $a_0, a_1, \dots$  are in fact the  $q_i$  of Euclid's algorithm on  $(a, b)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.5.**  $\theta = \frac{p_n \theta_{n+1} + p_{n-1}}{q_n \theta_{n+1} + q_{n-1}}$  from  $\theta = [a_0, \dots, a_n, \theta_{n+1}]$ . *The  $p_n/q_n$  give successively better approximations of  $\theta$  as  $n$  increases.*

*Proof.* Multiplying up  $|q_n \theta - p_n|$ , we find that it equals  $(q_n \theta_{n+1} + q_{n-1})^{-1}$  and the denominator of the latter exceeds  $q_n + q_{n-1} = (a_n + 1)q_{n-1} + q_{n-2} > q_{n-1} \theta_n + q_{n-2}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.6.** *If  $0 < q < q_{n+1}$ ,  $|q\theta - p| \geq |q_n \theta - p_n|$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $u, v$  by  $p = up_n + vp_{n+1}$ ,  $q = uq_n + vq_{n+1}$ . Solving by multiplying the former by  $q_{n+1}$  and the latter by  $p_{n+1}$  and  $q_n, p_n$  respectively observe that  $u, v$  are integers.  $|q\theta - p| = |u(q_n \theta - p_n) + v(q_{n+1} \theta - p_{n+1})| \geq |q_n \theta - p_n|$  as  $u \neq 0$  and  $\text{sgn}(v) \neq \text{sgn}(u)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.7.** *If rational  $p/q$  satisfies  $|\theta - p/q| < \frac{1}{2q^2}$ , then it is convergent to  $\theta$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $q_n < q < q_{n+1}$ . Then  $|p/q - p_n/q_n| \leq |\theta - p/q| + |\theta - p_n/q_n| \leq 2|q\theta - p|/q_n < 1/qq_n$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Definition 6.8.** The equation  $x^2 - dy^2 = 1$ , where  $d$  is a positive integer which is not a square is known as *Pell's equation*.

**Theorem 6.9.** *If  $(x, y)$  is a solution to the Pell equation  $x^2 - dy^2 = 1$ , then  $x/y$  must be a convergent to  $\sqrt{d}$ .*

*Proof.*  $(x - y\sqrt{d})(x + y\sqrt{d}) = 1$  implies  $x - y\sqrt{d} = \frac{1}{x + y\sqrt{d}}$ . Certainly  $x > y\sqrt{d}$ , whence  $x - y\sqrt{d} < \frac{1}{2y\sqrt{d}}$  and thus  $|\sqrt{d} - x/y| < \frac{1}{2y^2}$ . The previous theorem then gives us our result.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.10.** *If  $(x, y)$  is the solution of  $x^2 - dy^2 = 1$  with  $x + y\sqrt{d}$  minimal, then every solution is given by  $(x_n, y_n)$ , where  $x_n + y_n\sqrt{d} = (x + y\sqrt{d})^n$  for some  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Denoting  $x + y\sqrt{d} \in \mathbb{R}$  by  $\varepsilon > 1$ , suppose to the contrary we have a solution  $(a, b)$  with  $\varepsilon^k < a + b\sqrt{d} < \varepsilon^{k+1}$ . Define  $N(a + b\sqrt{d}) = a^2 - db^2$  and observe that  $N(\alpha\beta) = N(\alpha)N(\beta)$ ,  $N(\varepsilon) = 1 = N(\varepsilon^{-k}(a + b\sqrt{d}))$ , where  $\varepsilon^{-1} = x - y\sqrt{d}$ , which gives us a contradiction.  $\square$

**Definition 6.11.**  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  is *algebraic* if it is the root of a polynomial with integer coefficients. If  $P(\alpha) = 0$  with  $P$  irreducible and  $\deg P = n$  then  $\alpha$  is said to have *degree*  $n$ . Non-algebraic numbers are called *transcendental*.

**Theorem 6.12** (Liouville's Theorem). *If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  is algebraic of degree  $n > 1$ , there exists  $c$  depending on  $\alpha$  such that  $|\alpha - p/q| > c/q^n$  for all  $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}$ .*

*Proof.* Observe  $P(\alpha) - P(p/q) = (\alpha - p/q)p'(\xi)$  for some  $\xi$  between  $\alpha$  and  $p/q$ . Choose  $P$  to be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ , so  $P(\alpha) = 0$  and  $P$  irreducible implies  $0 \neq |P(p/q)| \geq 1/q^n$ . WLOG  $|\alpha - p/q| < p$  and choose  $C$  so that  $|P'(\xi)| < C$  for  $|\xi - \alpha| < 1$ . Then  $|\alpha - p/q| \geq c/q^n$  with  $c = 1/C$ .  $\square$

## 7. PRIMALITY TESTING

**Definition 7.1.** If  $n$  is an odd composite number and  $(b, n) = 1$ , then  $n$  is a *Fermat pseudoprime* to the base  $b$  if  $b^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . If it is a pseudoprime to every  $b$ , then it is a *Carmichael number*.

**Theorem 7.2.** *Let  $N > 1$ . If  $N$  is not a Fermat pseudoprime to some base  $b_0$ , then it is not a Fermat pseudoprime to base  $b$  for at least half of  $b$  coprime to  $N$ .*

*Proof.* The set  $B$  of integers  $1 \leq b < N$  such that  $(b, N) = 1$  where  $N$  is a Fermat pseudoprime to base  $b$  is clearly a subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ . It's proper as  $b_0 \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$  is not in  $B$ . Consequently  $|B| \leq |(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times|/2$  which concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Definition 7.3.** Let  $b \in \mathbb{N}$ . An odd composite integer  $N > 1$  is said to be an Euler pseudoprime to base  $b$  if  $b^{(N-1)/2} \equiv \left(\frac{b}{N}\right) \pmod{N}$ .

**Theorem 7.4.** *Let  $N > 1$ . If  $N$  is not an Euler pseudoprime to some base  $b_0$ , then it is not an Euler pseudoprime to base  $b$  for at least half of  $b$  coprime to  $N$ .*

*Proof.* Same as the corresponding theorem for Fermat pseudoprimes.  $\square$