Graph Theory

Adam Kelly

January 21, 2021

This set of notes is a work-in-progress account of the course 'Graph Theory', originally lectured by Dr Julian Sahasrabudhe in Lent 2020 at Cambridge. These notes are not a transcription of the lectures, but they do roughly follow what was lectured (in content and in structure).

These notes are my own view of what was taught, and should be somewhat of a superset of what was actually taught. I frequently provide different explanations, proofs, examples, and so on in areas where I feel they are helpful. Because of this, this work is likely to contain errors, which you may assume are my own. If you spot any or have any other feedback, I can be contacted at ak2316@cam.ac.uk.

Contents

1 Introduction

For many people, 'Graph Theory' is a first course in combinatorics. It's an area with a big focus on problem solving, and it can give a perspective on many other areas of mathematics.

§1.1 Definitions

We will begin our course in graph theory naturally by defining what a graph is.

Definition 1.1.1 (Graph)

A **graph** is an ordered pair $G = (V, E)$ where V is the set of **vertices**, and $E \subseteq \{\{x, y\}\} \mid x, y \in V, x \neq y\}$ is a set of unordered pairs of vertices called **edges**.

We have a natural way of drawing a graph. For each vertex we have a point in the plane, and for each edge we draw a line between the corresponding pair of vertices.

Example 1.1.2 (Example of a Graph)

The ordered pair (V, E) where $V = \{1, 2, \ldots, 6\}$ and $E = \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \ldots, \{5, 6\}\}$ is a graph.



This graph is known as P_6, a path on 6 vertices.

§1.1.1 Common Graphs

There are some graphs that will appear repeatedly throughout the course, and we will define them now.

Definition 1.1.3 (Path)

We define P_n to be the graph $V = \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $E = \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \ldots, \{n-1, n\}\}$ as shown.



We call this a **path** on n vertices, and say it has **length** $n - 1$.

Definition 1.1.4 (Cycle)

We define C_n (for $n \geq 3$) to be the graph $V = \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $E = \{\{1, 2\}, \ldots, \{n-1, n\}, \{n, 1\}\}$ as shown.



We call this the **cycle** on n vertices.

Definition 1.1.5 (Complete Graph)

The **complete graph** on n vertices K_n is the graph $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and $E = \{\{i, j\} \mid i \neq j \in V\}$.



Note that there is an edge between every pair of vertices.

Definition 1.1.6 (Empty Graph)

We define the **empty graph** on n vertices $\overline{K_n}$ to have $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$ but $E = \emptyset$.

Remark. In our definition of a graph, we *don't allow* loops, and there *cannot* be multiple edges between the same set of vertices.



These limitations are inherent in our definition, where we use sets rather than multisets. You can define graphs where such things are allowed, but for now we will outlaw them. We also note that edges are *unordered pairs*, so for now edges have no direction.

To be slightly more succinct, we will use some shorthand notation.

Notation. If $G = (V, E)$ is a graph, and we have some edge $\{x, y\} \in E$, we will denote it by xy. We will also define $|G| = |V|$, and $E(G) = |E|$.

Example 1.1.7 (Vertices and Edges of K_n)

Consider the graph K_n. We have $|K_n| = n$, and $E(K_n) = \binom{k}{2}$, as there is an edge between any pair of vertices.

§1.1.2 Subgraphs

Now we will define the notion of a *subgraph*, in the natural way.

Definition 1.1.8 (Subgraph)
We say that $H = (V', E')$ is a **subgraph** of $G = (V, E)$ if $V' \subseteq V$ and $E' \subseteq E$.

Informally, H is a subgraph of G if we can remove vertices and edges from G to get H. Let's look at some examples.

Example 1.1.9 (Example of a Subgraph)
The graph on the right is a subgraph of the graph on the left.



We are also going to use some notation for removing an edge or a vertex from a graph. Of course, when removing a vertex you also have to remove the edges connecting to it.

Notation (Adding/Removing Vertices & Edges)**.** For an edge xy or a vertex x, we define $G - xy$ to be the graph G with the edge xy removed, and $G - x$ to be G with vertex x removed, along with all edges incident to x. We will also define $G + xy$ to be G with the edge xy, and $G + x$ to be G with the vertex x.

§1.1.3 Graph Isomorphism

Now that we have defined graphs, it's natural to define some notion of isomorphism.

Definition 1.1.10 (Graphs Isomorphism)
Let $G = (V, E)$ and $H = (V', E')$ be graphs. We say that $f : V \to V'$ is a **graph isomorphism** if $f(u)f(v) \in E' \iff uv \in E$.

Now for the following discussion, fix some graph $G = (V, E)$, and let $x \in V$.

Definition 1.1.11 (Neighbourhood)
We define the **neighborhood** of x to be the set $N(x) = \{y \in V \mid xy \in E\}$.

Note that as in the diagram below, x is not in its own neighborhood.



Definition 1.1.12 (Degree)

We define the **degree** of a vertex x to be $d(x) = |N(x)|$. This is equal to the number of edges that are incident to x.

Definition 1.1.13 (Regularity)

A graph G is said to be **regular** if all of the degrees are the same. We say G is k-regular if $d(x) = k$ for all $x \in V$.

Example 1.1.14 (Regular and Non-Regular Graphs)

The graphs K_n is $n - 1$ regular, and C_n is 2-regular. The graph P_n is not regular.

§1.1.4 Connectivity

We now want to define some notion of *connectivity*, where a vertex u is connected to vertex v if you can follow some path in the graph to get from u to v.



For example, in the graph above we want to say somehow that u and v are connected, but u and v' are not. To do this, we will introduce some more definitions.

Definition 1.1.15 (uv Path)

A uv **path** is a sequence $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l$ where $x_1, \dots, x_l$ are distinct, $x_1 = u$, $x_l = v$ and $x_i x_{i+1} \in E$.

In the example above, ux_1x_2v is a uv path.

The slight subtlety in this condition is the *distinctness* condition. For example, if $x_1 \dots x_l$ is a uv path and $y_1 \dots y_{l'}$ is a vw path, then $x_1 \dots x_l y_1 \dots y_{l'}$ may *not* be a uw path since we may have reused an edge. Of course, we can just not reuse edges by avoiding cycles.

Proposition 1.1.16 (Joining Paths)

If $x_1 \dots x_l$ is a uv path and $y_1 \dots y_{l'}$ is a vw path, then $x_1 \dots x_l y_1 \dots y_{l'}$ contains a uw path.

Proof. Choose a minimal subsequence $w_1 \dots w_r$ of $x_1 \dots x_l y_1 \dots y_{l'}$ such that

1. $w_i w_{i+1} \in E$.
2. $w_1 = u$, $w_r = w$.

We now claim that $w_1 \dots w_r$ is a uw path. If this was not the case, then it must fail on distinctness, so there would exist some z such that the sequence is

$$w_1 \dots w_a z w_{a+2} \dots w_b z w_{b+2} w_r,$$

but now note that

$$w_1 \dots w_a z w_{b+2} \dots w_r$$

also satisfies the conditions for the subsequence, but is strictly shorter length. This contradicts the minimality condition. □

Now given $G = (V, E)$, let's define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on V, where

$$x \sim y \iff \text{there exists an } xy \text{ path in } G.$$

Proposition 1.1.17

$\sim$ is an equivalence relation.

Proof. Note that $\sim$ is reflexive and symmetric, and we get transitivity from our previous proposition. □

Example 1.1.18

In the graph below, the vertices that are the same colour are in the same equivalence class under $\sim$.



Definition 1.1.19 (Connected Graph)

If there is a path between any two vertices in G then we say that G is **connected**.

Definition 1.1.20 (Connected Components)

We call the equivalence classes of $\sim$ on G the **components** or **connected components** of G.