

From the Institute of Environmental Medicine
Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

NOVEL METHODS FOR DOSE-RESPONSE META-ANALYSIS

Alessio Crippa



**Karolinska
Institutet**

Stockholm 2018

All published papers reproduced with permission
Published by Karolinska Institutet
Printed by E-Print AB 2018

Edited in R using knitr
©Alessio Crippa, 2018
ISBN <include number>

NOVEL METHODS FOR DOSE-RESPONSE META-ANALYSIS

THESIS FOR DOCTORAL DEGREE (Ph.D.)

By

Alessio Crippa

Principal supervisor:

Associate Professor Nicola Orsini
Karolinska Institutet
Department of Public Health Sciences

Opponent:

Professor <name>
<university>
<department>

Co-supervisor:

Professor Alicja Wolk
Karolinska Institutet
Institute of Environmental Medicine

Examination board:

<Title> <name>
<university>
<department>

Professor Donna Spiegelman
Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health
Department of Epidemiology

<Title> <name>
<university>
<department>

Professor Matteo Bottai
Karolinska Institutet
Institute of Environmental Medicine

<Title> <name>
<university>
<department>

“Dedication text.”

—Author’s name, *Source*

Abstract

My abstract:

In Paper I,

In Paper II,

In Paper III,

In Paper IV,

In conclusion,

List of publications

I. Andrea Discacciati, Alessio Crippa, and Nicola Orsini

Goodness of fit tools for dose–response meta-analysis of binary outcomes

Research Synthesis Methods 2015; in press

The articles will be referred to in the text by their Roman numerals, and are reproduced in full at the end of the thesis.

Related publications

- Alessio Crippa, Andrea Discacciati, Nicola Orsini, and Viktor Oskarsson
Letter: coffee consumption and gallstone disease—a cautionary note on the assignment of exposure values in dose–response meta-analyses
Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics 2015; in press

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Background	2
2.1	Title Subsection	2
2.1.1	Title Subsubsection	2
3	Aims of the thesis	3
4	Materials and methods	4
5	Results	5
6	Discussion	6
7	Conclusions	7
8	Future research	8
A	Supplementary figures	9
B	Supplementary tables	10
	References	11
	Acknowledgements	12

List of abbreviations

AIC	Akaike Information Criterion
CI	Confidence Interval
df	Degrees of Freedom
GLS	Generalized Least Squares
GRSS	Generalized Residual Sum of Squares
GTSS	Generalized Total Sum of Squares
FP2	Second-degree Fractional Polynomials
HRR	Hazard Rate Ratio
IR	Incidence Rate
IRR	Incidence Rate Ratio
logRR	log-Relative Risk
MR	Mortality Rate
MRR	Mortality Rate Ratio
RCS	Restricted Cubic Splines
R^2	Coefficient of Determination
RR	Relative Risk
WLS	Weighted Least Squares

Chapter 1

Introduction

Write my introduction

Chapter 2

Background

Write my background with subsections

2.1 Title Subsection

2.1.1 Title Subsubsection

Title Subsubsubsection

Chapter 3

Aims of the thesis

The overall aims of this thesis were to <>.

More specifically, the aims were:

- <>
- <>
- <>
- <>

Chapter 4

Materials and methods

Write materials and methods with subsections as in the background section

Chapter 5

Results

Write the results with subsections as in the background section

Chapter 6

Discussion

Write the discussion with subsections as in the background section

Chapter 7

Conclusions

Write summary of conclusions.

More specifically we conclude the following:

- $\langle \rangle$
- $\langle \rangle$
- $\langle \rangle$
- $\langle \rangle$

Chapter 8

Future research

Based on the conclusions presented in this thesis, future research includes:

- <>
- <>
- <>

Appendix A

Supplementary figures

Figures.

Appendix B

Supplementary tables

Tables.

References

- Crippa, A., P. Khudyakov, M. Wang, N. Orsini, and D. Spiegelman. 2016. A new measure of between-studies heterogeneity in meta-analysis. *Statistics in Medicine* 35(21): 3661–3675.
- Crippa, A., and N. Orsini. 2016a. Dose-response meta-analysis of differences in means. *BMC medical research methodology* 16: 91.
- . 2016b. Multivariate Dose–Response Meta-Analysis: The dosresmeta R Package. *Journal of Statistical Software, Code Snippets* 72(1): 1–15.
- Discacciati, A., A. Crippa, and N. Orsini. 2015. Goodness of fit tools for dose-response meta-analysis of binary outcomes. *Research Synthesis Methods* .

Acknowledgements

There are many people that I would like to thank for their contributions to this thesis, and for their support and encouragement during these years.

Nicola Orsini, my main supervisor for the second half of my doctoral education.

This work was supported by **Karolinska Institutet**'s funding for doctoral students (KID-funding).