Homework 4

1. Using value iteration and setting $v^0 = (0,0,0)$ and $\epsilon = 0.001$, we find that the epsilon-optimal policy is $\pi_{\epsilon}^* : d(s_1) = a_{1,1}, d(s_2) = a_{2,2}, d(s_3) = a_{3,1}$ after 45 iterations. The algorithm was coded in R, and the output for v for selected iterations and the final output for d_{ϵ} are shown in the following:

2. Let u and v be such that $\mathcal{L}v(s) \geq \mathcal{L}u(s)$ for $s \in S$. Then

$$\sup_{a \in A_s} \{r(s,a) + \lambda \sum_{j \in S} p(j|s,a)v(j)\} \ge \sup_{a \in A_s} \{r(s,a) + \lambda \sum_{j \in S} p(j|s,a)u(j)\}.$$

Now, since we are not guaranteed that $a_s^* \in \arg\max_{a \in A_s} \{r(s,a) + \lambda \sum_{j \in S} p(j|s,a)v(j)\}$ exists, let us consider an "epsilon-optimal action," a_s^ϵ , in which $a_s^\epsilon \in \arg\max_{a \in A_s} \{r(s,a) + \lambda \sum_{j \in S} p(j|s,a)v(j) - \epsilon\}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. The existence of a_s^ϵ is shown by the following:

$$\begin{split} \sup_{a \in A_s} \{r(s,a) + \lambda \sum_{j \in S} p(j|s,a)v(j)\} &\geq \sup_{a \in A_s} \{r(s,a) + \lambda \sum_{j \in S} p(j|s,a)u(j)\} \\ \Longrightarrow \sup_{a \in A_s} \{r(s,a) + \lambda \sum_{j \in S} p(j|s,a)v(j)\} - \epsilon &\geq \sup_{a \in A_s} \{r(s,a) + \lambda \sum_{j \in S} p(j|s,a)u(j)\} - \epsilon \\ \Longrightarrow \max_{a \in A_s} \{r(s,a) + \lambda \sum_{j \in S} p(j|s,a)v(j) - \epsilon\} &\geq \max_{a \in A_s} \{r(s,a) + \lambda \sum_{j \in S} p(j|s,a)u(j) - \epsilon\}. \end{split}$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{L}v(s) = \max_{a \in A_s} \{ r(s, a) + \lambda \sum_{j \in S} p(j|s, a)v(j) - \epsilon \} + \epsilon, \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{L}u(s) = \max_{a \in A_s} \{ r(s, a) + \lambda \sum_{j \in S} p(j|s, a)u(j) - \epsilon \} + \epsilon.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \mathcal{L}v(s) - \mathcal{L}u(s) \leq r(s, a_s^{\epsilon}) + \lambda \sum_{j \in S} p(j|s, a_s^{\epsilon})v(j) + \epsilon - \left(r(s, a_s^{\epsilon}) + \lambda \sum_{j \in S} p(j|s, a_s^{\epsilon})u(j) + \epsilon\right) \\ &= \lambda \sum_{j \in S} p(j|s, a_s^{\epsilon})[v(j) - u(j)] \\ &\leq \lambda \sum_{j \in S} p(j|s, a_s^{\epsilon})||v - u|| \\ &= \lambda ||v - u||. \end{aligned} \qquad \left(\text{since } \sum_{i \in S} p(j|s, a_s^{\epsilon}) = 1\right) \end{aligned}$$

We can similarly show that $0 \le \mathcal{L}u(s) - \mathcal{L}v(s) \le \lambda ||v - u||$. Thus, we have that $0 \le |\mathcal{L}v(s) - \mathcal{L}u(s)| \le \lambda ||v - u||$. Finally, when taking the supremum of this last inequality over all $s \in S$, we have that $||\mathcal{L}v(s) - \mathcal{L}u(s)|| \le \lambda ||v - u||$, which proves that \mathcal{L} is a contraction mapping by definition.

3. We just need to carry out one iteration of policy iteration in which you set your initial decision rule d_0 to d^{∞} for which you already have the policy evaluation of $v_{\lambda}^* = (I - \lambda P_{d^{\infty}})^{-1} r_{d^{\infty}}$, which is usually the most difficult part of the policy iteration algorithm to compute. Then, you must complete the policy increment step, i.e. $d' \in \arg\max_{d} \{r_d + \lambda P_d v_{\lambda}^*\}$. If $d' = d^{\infty}$, then d^{∞} is still optimal; otherwise, d^{∞} is no longer optimal. (Note that this is essentially the same as determining if

$$r(s', a') + \lambda \sum_{j \in S} p(j|s', a') v_{\lambda}^*(j) \le v_{\lambda}^*(s')$$

or not. If the above inequality is found to be true, then d^{∞} is still optimal; otherwise, d^{∞} is no longer optimal.)