

Master of Data Science Online Programme  
Course: Basic Statistics  
SGA #4: Hypothesis testing meets confidence intervals

Student: Andrei Batyrov (Fall 2022)  
`arbatyrov@edu.hse.ru`

Higher School of Economics (HSE)  
National Research University  
Faculty of Computer Science

June 11, 2023

## Contents

<b>Problem 1</b>	<b>1</b>
Solution . . . . .	1
Answer . . . . .	3

## Problem 1

There is a connection between confidence intervals and hypothesis testing. Assume that we have an i.i.d. sample  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  from some random variable  $X$  with finite variance. Consider one-sample  $t$ -test with null hypothesis  $\mathbb{E}X = \mu_0$  and symmetric alternative. For simplicity, let us assume that  $n$  is large enough and replace  $T$ -distribution with standard normal distribution. Assume that one found confidence interval  $\mathcal{I}$  for  $\mathbb{E}X$  with confidence level 95%. Prove that standard decision-making procedure of  $t$ -test is equivalent to the following: reject null hypothesis if and only if  $\mu_0$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{I}$ . Follow the plan:

1. Assume that null hypothesis holds. We believe that  $t$ -statistics in this case is distributed according to standard normal law (due to assumption that  $n$  is large). Recall that  $t$ -statistics for sample  $x$  is defined as

$$t \approx \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SD(x)} \sqrt{n}. \quad (1)$$

2. If  $\mu_0$  does not lie in  $\mathcal{I}$ , either  $\mu_0$  is larger than the right endpoint of  $\mathcal{I}$  or  $\mu_0$  is smaller than the left endpoint of  $\mathcal{I}$ . Let us consider the latter case.
3. Consider event " $\mu_0$  is smaller than the left endpoint of  $\mathcal{I}$ ". Write this condition as an inequality using  $\mu_0, \bar{x}, SD(x), n$  and a constant 1.96. (Recall that we assume that null hypothesis holds.)
4. Transform this inequality such that it becomes  $(\dots) > 1.96$ . Does the left-hand part look similar to something?
5. Recall why we use number 1.96, how it is connected to standard normal distribution.
6. Find probability that  $\mu_0$  is smaller than the left endpoint of  $\mathcal{I}$  provided that null hypothesis holds.
7. Find probability that  $\mu_0$  does not lie in  $\mathcal{I}$  provided that null hypothesis holds.
8. Assume we are following rule "reject null hypothesis if and only if  $\mu_0$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{I}$ ." Find probability that we falsely reject null hypothesis provided that it is true.
9. Explain in what cases (in terms of  $\bar{x}$ ) will we reject null hypothesis if we follow mentioned rule.
10. Explain that this rule is equivalent to the rule used in ordinary two-sided one-sample  $t$ -test.

## Solution

1. Let's consider our hypotheses about  $\mu_0$ :  
 $\mathcal{H}_0 : \mathbb{E}X = \mu_0$ , population mean is equal to  $\mu_0$ ,  
 $\mathcal{H}_1 : \mathbb{E}X \neq \mu_0$ , population mean is not equal to  $\mu_0$ , i.e., symmetric two-sided alternative.
2. As per [1], the confidence interval the population mean  $\mu_0$  belongs to is

$$\mathcal{I}(x) = (\bar{x} - s, \bar{x} + s), \quad (2)$$

where  $s$  is a parameter such that the probability that  $\mu_0$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$

$$P(\mu_0 \in (\bar{x} - s, \bar{x} + s)) \quad (3)$$

is equal to some agreed value, called confidence level  $\in [0, 1]$ .

3. For the confidence level 0.95 (95%), as per [1],

$$s = 1.96 \times \frac{SD(x)}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad (4)$$

where  $SD(x)$  is the unbiased standard deviation of the sample  $x$  and  $n$  is the sample size of  $x$ . Let's consider event " $\mu_0$  is smaller than the left endpoint of  $\mathcal{I}$ ". As per (2) and (4), it can be expressed as

$$\mu_0 < \bar{x} - s \Rightarrow \mu_0 < \bar{x} - 1.96 \times \frac{SD(x)}{\sqrt{n}}. \quad (5)$$

4. Rearranging (5) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_0 &< \bar{x} - 1.96 \times \frac{SD(x)}{\sqrt{n}} \\
1.96 \times \frac{SD(x)}{\sqrt{n}} &< \bar{x} - \mu_0 \\
\bar{x} - \mu_0 &> 1.96 \times \frac{SD(x)}{\sqrt{n}} \\
\frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SD(x)} \sqrt{n} &> 1.96.
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The left-hand side of (6) is exactly the  $t$ -score (1)!

5. The "magic" value 1.96 is in fact simply the value of  $z$ -score of the standard normal distribution, such that

$$P(z > 1.96) = 1 - P(z \leq 1.96) = 1 - CDF_{\mathcal{N}(0,1)}(1.96) = 1 - 0.975 = 0.025. \tag{7}$$

In other words, 1.96 is the 97.5th percentile of  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ . Since, the standard normal distribution is symmetrical around  $\mu_0$ ,

$$P(z < -1.96) = CDF_{\mathcal{N}(0,1)}(-1.96) = 0.025. \tag{8}$$

In other words,  $(-1.96)$  is the 2.5th percentile of  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ . Strictly speaking, we should use the approximately equals sign here, because  $\pm 1.96$  is the rounded value of exact 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles. However, since  $\pm 1.96$  is very close to true values, for simplicity, we are using the equal sign here and below.

6. As per (5), (6), (1), and (7), and recalling that we assumed that  $T \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ , the probability of the event " $\mu_0$  is smaller than the left endpoint of  $\mathcal{I}$  provided that null hypothesis holds" is

$$P(\mu_0 < \bar{x} - s \mid \mathcal{H}_0) = P\left(\frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SD(x)} \sqrt{n} > 1.96\right) = P(t > 1.96) \approx P(z > 1.96) = 0.025. \tag{9}$$

7. The probability of the event " $\mu_0$  does not lie in  $\mathcal{I}$  provided that null hypothesis holds" is

$$P(\mu_0 < \bar{x} - s \cup \mu_0 > \bar{x} + s \mid \mathcal{H}_0) = P(\mu_0 < \bar{x} - s) + P(\mu_0 > \bar{x} + s). \tag{10}$$

To find  $P(\mu_0 > \bar{x} + s)$ , let's do rearrangements similar to (6):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_0 &> \bar{x} + 1.96 \times \frac{SD(x)}{\sqrt{n}} \\
-1.96 \times \frac{SD(x)}{\sqrt{n}} &> \bar{x} - \mu_0 \\
\bar{x} - \mu_0 &< -1.96 \times \frac{SD(x)}{\sqrt{n}} \\
\frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SD(x)} \sqrt{n} &< -1.96.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Finally, As per (5), (6), (11), (1), (7), (8), and recalling that we assumed that  $T \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ , (10) yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
P(\mu_0 < \bar{x} - s \cup \mu_0 > \bar{x} + s \mid \mathcal{H}_0) &= P(\mu_0 < \bar{x} - s) + P(\mu_0 > \bar{x} + s) \\
&= P\left(\frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SD(x)} \sqrt{n} > 1.96\right) + P\left(\frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SD(x)} \sqrt{n} < -1.96\right) \\
&= P(t > 1.96) + P(t < -1.96) \\
&\approx P(z > 1.96) + P(z < -1.96) \\
&= 0.025 + 0.025 = 0.05.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

8. As per [5], probability that we falsely reject null hypothesis provided that it is true is the probability of Type I error. For two-sided alternative hypothesis this probability is

$$P(\text{reject } \mathcal{H}_0 \mid \mathcal{H}_0 \text{ holds}) = P(t > t_{crit} \mid \mathcal{H}_0) + P(t < -t_{crit} \mid \mathcal{H}_0) = \alpha, \quad (13)$$

where  $t_{crit}$  is some value such that (13) is true for some fixed value called significance level  $\alpha$ . Recall, that as per (12), the probability of the event " $\mu_0$  does not lie in  $\mathcal{I}$  provided that null hypothesis holds" is  $P(\mu_0 < \bar{x} - s \cup \mu_0 > \bar{x} + s \mid \mathcal{H}_0) \approx P(z > 1.96) + P(z < -1.96)$ , i.e we used  $t_{crit} \approx z_{crit} = 1.96$  as the critical value to determine if  $\mu_0$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{I}$ :  $t_{crit} \approx z_{crit} = 1.96$  for " $\mu_0$  is smaller than the left endpoint of  $\mathcal{I}$ " and  $-t_{crit} \approx -z_{crit} = -1.96$  for " $\mu_0$  is larger than the right endpoint of  $\mathcal{I}$ ". Thus, the probability of Type I error under the rule "reject null hypothesis if and only if  $\mu_0$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{I}$ " is

$$\begin{aligned} P(t > t_{crit} \mid \mathcal{H}_0) + P(t < -t_{crit} \mid \mathcal{H}_0) &= P(t > 1.96) + P(t < -1.96) \\ &\approx P(z > 1.96) + P(z < -1.96) \\ &= 0.025 + 0.025 = 0.05. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Note, that the results (12) and (14) are essentially the same, i.e., the probability that  $\mu_0$  does not lie in  $\mathcal{I}$  is equal to the probability of falsely rejecting the null hypothesis provided that it is true (probability of Type I error). In fact, we have "reverse-engineered"  $\alpha$ , i.e., if we had set  $\alpha = 0.05$ , we would get  $t_{crit} \approx z_{crit} = 1.96$  for  $\alpha/2$ .

9. To reject the null hypothesis we need to compare the so-called  $p$ -value [2] with the significance level  $\alpha$ : if  $p\text{-value} < \alpha$ , we reject  $\mathcal{H}_0$  in favor of  $\mathcal{H}_1$ , and do not reject otherwise. To satisfy this condition for  $\mu_0$  being outside of  $\mathcal{I}$ , we have to be on either side of the critical region of  $t$ , i.e.,  $p\text{-value} = P\left(t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SD(x)}\sqrt{n} > 1.96\right) + P\left(t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SD(x)}\sqrt{n} < -1.96\right) < \alpha \Rightarrow$  since CDF is a monotonically increasing function [4]  $\Rightarrow t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SD(x)}\sqrt{n} > 1.96$  or  $t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SD(x)}\sqrt{n} < -1.96$ . Rearranging these expressions for  $\bar{x}$  yields:

$$\bar{x} > \mu_0 + 1.96 \times \frac{SD(x)}{\sqrt{n}} \text{ or } \bar{x} < \mu_0 - 1.96 \times \frac{SD(x)}{\sqrt{n}}. \quad (15)$$

We will reject the null hypothesis, if either of the conditions (15) is satisfied.

10. Recalling that for passing the two-tailed one-sample  $t$ -test [3] we need to guarantee that  $p\text{-value} < \alpha$  and for that we need to be on either side of the critical region of  $t$ , i.e.  $p\text{-value} = P\left(t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SD(x)}\sqrt{n} > t_{crit}\right) + P\left(t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SD(x)}\sqrt{n} < -t_{crit}\right) < \alpha \Rightarrow$  since CDF is monotonically increasing function [4]  $\Rightarrow t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SD(x)}\sqrt{n} > t_{crit}$  or  $t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SD(x)}\sqrt{n} < -t_{crit}$ , where  $t_{crit}$  is determined by the fixed significance level  $\alpha$  divided by 2. We can easily see that the condition for rejecting  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is essentially the same as (15):

$$\bar{x} > \mu_0 + t_{crit} \times \frac{SD(x)}{\sqrt{n}} \text{ or } \bar{x} < \mu_0 - t_{crit} \times \frac{SD(x)}{\sqrt{n}}. \quad (16)$$

## Answer

We have shown by (12) and (14), that the probability of falsely rejecting the null hypothesis provided that it is true (probability of Type I error) is essentially the same as the probability that  $\mu_0$  does not lie in  $\mathcal{I}$ . Thus, in order to pass the two-tailed one-sample  $t$ -test we reject the null hypothesis (controlling Type I error) if and only if  $\mu_0$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{I}$ , which is equivalent to checking the conditions (16). In other words, we need to find  $t_{crit}$  for the given  $\alpha/2$ , then compare our  $\bar{x}$  as per (16), and if one of the conditions is satisfied, we reject the null hypothesis and pass the  $t$ -test.

## References

- [1] Ilya Schurov. *Confidence intervals*. Faculty of Computer Science, Higher School of Economics. URL: <https://smartedu.hse.ru/mod/page/0/798193>.
- [2] Ilya Schurov. *Introducing  $p$ -value*. Faculty of Computer Science, Higher School of Economics. URL: <https://smartedu.hse.ru/mod/page/0/798133>.
- [3] Ilya Schurov. *One-sample Student's  $t$ -test*. Faculty of Computer Science, Higher School of Economics. URL: <https://smartedu.hse.ru/mod/page/0/798143>.
- [4] Ilya Schurov. *Properties of CDF*. Faculty of Computer Science, Higher School of Economics. URL: <https://smartedu.hse.ru/mod/page/0/756818>.
- [5] Ilya Schurov. *Type I and type II errors*. Faculty of Computer Science, Higher School of Economics. URL: <https://smartedu.hse.ru/mod/page/0/798130>.