

Quantization of bounded domains

Andrea Loi*

University of Warwick, United Kingdom

Abstract

We consider the quantization of a complex manifold endowed with the Bergman form following the ideas contained in [2], [3], [4] and [5]. In particular we give a geometric interpretation for the quantization to be regular in terms of the Hilbert space of square integrable holomorphic n -forms on M and the Hilbert space of holomorphic n -forms on M bounded with respect to the Liouville element.

Keywords: Kähler metrics ; Quantization.

Subj. Class: 53C55, 58F06.

1 Preliminaries

A geometric quantization of a Kähler manifold (M, ω) is a pair (L, h) , where L is a holomorphic line bundle over M and h is a hermitian structure on L such that $\text{curv}(L, h) = -2\pi i\omega$. The curvature $\text{curv}(L, h)$ is calculated with respect to the *Chern connection*. If $\sigma : U \rightarrow L^+$ is a trivialising *holomorphic* section (where L^+ denotes the complement of the zero section in L) then the following formula holds

$$\text{curv}(L, h) = -\partial\bar{\partial} \log h(\sigma(x), \sigma(x)). \quad (1)$$

Let (s_0, \dots, s_N) ($N \leq \infty$) be a unitary basis of the separable complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_h of all global holomorphic sections s of L , which are bounded with respect to the Liouville element defined by

$$\langle s, s \rangle_h = \|s\|_h^2 = \int_M h(s(x), s(x)) \frac{\omega^n(x)}{n!}$$

*e-mail: loi@vaxca1.unica.it

(see [2]). Define the smooth function on M by

$$\epsilon_{(L,h)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^N h(s_j(x), s_j(x)). \quad (2)$$

Assuming that for all $x \in M$ there exists $s \in \mathcal{H}_h$ such that $s(x)$ is different from zero one can define the map

$$\phi_\sigma : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \setminus \{0\} : x \mapsto \left(\frac{s_0(x)}{\sigma(x)}, \dots, \frac{s_N(x)}{\sigma(x)} \right), \quad (3)$$

where $\sigma : U \rightarrow L^+$ is a trivialising holomorphic section on an open set $U \subset M$. It follows easily that (3) is the local expression of a globally defined map $\phi_{(L,h)} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. This is called the *coherent states map*.

Theorem 1.1 ([2]) *The coherent states map is full, i.e. $\phi(M)$ is not contained in $\mathbb{P}^r(\mathbb{C})$ with $r < N$. Furthermore*

$$\phi_{(L,h)}^* \Omega_{FS}^N = \omega + \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \epsilon_{(L,h)}. \quad (4)$$

Corollary 1.2 *Let (L, h) be a quantization of a Kähler manifold (M, ω) . If $\epsilon_{(L,h)}$ is a positive constant, then ω is projectively induced.*

Recall that a Kähler form ω on a complex manifold M is *projectively induced* if there exists $N \leq \infty$ and a holomorphic map

$$\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$$

such that

$$\phi^* \Omega_{FS}^N = \omega. \quad (5)$$

In the proof of Theorem 2.1 we need

Theorem 1.3 (cfr. [6]) *Let $N, N' \leq \infty$. Let M be a complex manifold, $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ and $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N'}(\mathbb{C})$ full holomorphic maps such that $\phi^* \Omega_{FS}^N = \psi^* \Omega_{FS}^{N'}$ is a Kähler form on M . Then $N = N'$ and there exists a unitary transformation U of $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\phi = U \circ \psi$.*

2 The results

Let M be a n -dimensional complex manifold and K its canonical bundle. If α is a holomorphic section of K , i.e. a holomorphic n -form on M then in a complex coordinate system U , endowed with local coordinates (z_1, \dots, z_n) , there exists a holomorphic function f_α such that

$$\alpha(z) = f_\alpha(z) dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n, \quad \forall z \in U. \quad (6)$$

Let $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{N'})$ ($N' \leq \infty$) be an unitary basis for the separable complex Hilbert space $(\mathcal{F}, (\cdot, \cdot))$ of all holomorphic n -forms α bounded with respect to $\|\alpha\|^2 = (\alpha, \alpha) := \frac{i^n}{2^n} \int_M \alpha \wedge \bar{\alpha}$. Let K^* be the smooth function on U given by

$$K^*(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{j=0}^{N'} f_{\alpha_j}(z) \bar{f}_{\alpha_j}(z). \quad (7)$$

The expression $\partial \bar{\partial} \log K^*$ does not depend on the coordinates. Hence $\omega_B = \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log K^*$ is a globally defined 2-form on M . In the sequel we will suppose ω_B is a Kähler form. For example this happens for the bounded domains in \mathbb{C}^N (see [7] for details).

Formula

$$h(\alpha, \alpha) := \frac{|f_\alpha|^2}{K^*}, \quad \forall \alpha \in H^0(K). \quad (8)$$

defines a hermitian structure on K and it is immediate to verify that the pair (K, h) is a geometric quantization for (M, ω_B) .

Furthermore it follows by (2) that

$$\epsilon_{(K, h)} = \sum_{j=0}^N |f_{s_j}|^2 / K^*, \quad (9)$$

where $s_j = f_{s_j} dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n$, $j = 0, \dots, N$ is a unitary basis for $(\mathcal{H}_h, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_h)$.

Theorem 2.1 *Let M be a complex manifold such that ω_B is a Kähler form. Then $\epsilon_{(K, h)}$ equals a positive constant λ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied*

- (i) *the complex dimension of \mathcal{F} is equal to the complex dimension of \mathcal{H}_h ;*
- (ii) *$(\cdot, \cdot) = \lambda \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_h$.*

Proof: Suppose that $\dim \mathcal{H}_h = \dim \mathcal{F} = N + 1$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_h = \lambda \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let α_j and s_j , $j = 0, 1, \dots, N$ be unitary bases for $(\mathcal{F}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_h, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_h)$ respectively. Then there exist a $(N + 1) \times (N + 1)$ unitary matrix u_{jk} and a complex number C such that

$$f_{s_j} = C \sum_{k=0}^N u_{jk} f_{\alpha_k}, j = 0, \dots, N, \quad (10)$$

and $|C|^2 = \lambda$. Hence, by (9),

$$\epsilon_{(K,h)} = \sum_{j=0}^N |f_{s_j}|^2 / K^* = \sum_{j=0}^N |f_{s_j}|^2 / \sum_{j=0}^N |f_{\alpha_j}|^2 = \lambda.$$

Conversely, suppose that $\epsilon_{(K,h)} = \lambda$ and let $N + 1$ be the dimension of \mathcal{H}_h . By Theorem 1.1 the coherent states map

$$\phi_{(L,h)} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C}) : x \mapsto [(f_{s_0}(x), \dots, f_{s_N}(x))],$$

is a full holomorphic map and $\phi_{(L,h)}^* \Omega_{FS}^N = \omega_B$. On the other hand ω_B is projectively induced via the full holomorphic map

$$j : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N'}(\mathbb{C}) : x \mapsto [(f_{\alpha_0}(x), \dots, f_{\alpha_{N'}}(x))].$$

(see [7] for details). By Theorem 1.3 $N = N'$, i.e. $\dim \mathcal{H}_h = \dim \mathcal{F} = N + 1$. Moreover, there exist a $(N + 1) \times (N + 1)$ unitary matrix u_{jk} and a complex number C such that 10 holds, i.e. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = |C|^2 \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_h$. and, by the proof of the first part, $|C|^2 = \lambda$. \square

Theorem 2.2 *Let M be a simply connected complex manifold such that ω_B is Kähler-Einstein with scalar curvature -1 and $\epsilon_{(K,h)}$ is a positive constant, say λ . Then $K^* = \lambda \det(g_{j\bar{k}})$ (see [7, p. 274] for a discussion of this condition).*

Proof: Let $\omega_B = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j,\bar{k}=1}^n g_{j\bar{k}} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_{\bar{k}}$ be the expression of the Bergman form in local coordinates (z_1, \dots, z_n) . If ω_B is Kähler-Einstein then

$$\omega_B = \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log K^* = \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \det(g_{j\bar{k}}) = -\rho_{\omega_B}, \quad (11)$$

where ρ_{ω_B} is the Ricci form on M (see [1])

This is equivalent to $\partial\bar{\partial} \log \frac{K^*}{\det(g_{j\bar{k}})} = 0$. It follows by the simply connectedness of M that there exists a holomorphic function k on M such that $\frac{K^*}{\det(g_{j\bar{k}})} = e^{\Re(k)}$.

Since $\epsilon_{(K,h)}$ is constant it follows by (2.1) that $\dim \mathcal{H}_h = \dim \mathcal{F} = N + 1$. Then it is not hard to see that $s_j := e^{\frac{k}{2}} \alpha_j$ is a unitary basis for $(\mathcal{H}_h, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_h)$, where $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_N)$ is a unitary basis for $(\mathcal{F}, (\cdot, \cdot))$. By formula (9) and by hypothesis $\epsilon_{(K,h)} = e^{\Re(k)} = \lambda$. \square

References

- [1] *Première classe de Chern et courbure de Ricci: preuve de la conjecture de Calabi*, Séminaire Palaiseau, Astérisque 58, 1978.
- [2] M. Cahen, S. Gutt, J. H. Rawnsley, *Quantization of Kähler manifolds I: Geometric interpretation of Berezin's quantization*, JGP 7 (1990), pp. 45-62.
- [3] M. Cahen, S. Gutt, J. H. Rawnsley, *Quantization of Kähler manifolds II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 337 (1993), pp. 73-98.
- [4] M. Cahen, S. Gutt, J. H. Rawnsley, *Quantization of Kähler manifolds III*, Lett. Math. Phys. 30 (1994), pp. 291-305.
- [5] M. Cahen, S. Gutt, J. H. Rawnsley, *Quantization of Kähler manifolds IV*, Lett. Math. Phys. 34 (1995), pp. 159-168.
- [6] E. Calabi, *Isometric Imbeddings of Complex Manifolds*, Ann. Math. 58 (1953), pp. 1-23.
- [7] S. Kobayashi, *Geometry of Bounded Domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 92 (1996), pp. 267-290.