Some Remarks on Bergmann metrics

Andrea Loi

Dipartimento di Matematica – Università di Sassari – Italy e-mail address: loi@unica.it

and

Daniele Zuddas
Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa – Italy
e-mail address: zuddas@cibs.sns.it

Keywords: Kähler metrics; Bergmann metrics; Quantization.

Subj. Class: 53C55, 58F06.

1 Introduction

Let L be a holomorphic line bundle on a compact complex manifold M. A Kähler metric on M is polarized with respect to L if the Kähler form ω_g associated to g represents the Chern class $c_1(L)$ of L. Recall that if in a complex coordinate system (z_1, \ldots, z_n) of M the metric g is expressed by a tensor $(g_{j\bar{k}})_{1 \leq j,\bar{k} \leq n}$ then ω_g is the d-closed (1,1)-form defined by $\frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{j,\bar{k}=0}^{n} g_{j\bar{k}} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k$.

The line bundle L is called a polarization of (M,g). In terms of cohomology classes, a Kähler manifold (M,g) admits a polarization if and only if ω_g is integral, i.e. its cohomology class $[\omega_g]_{dR}$ in the de Rham group, is in the image of the natural map $H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow H^2(M,\mathbb{C})$. The integrality of ω_g implies, by a well-known theorem of Kodaira, that M is a projective algebraic manifold. This mean that M admits a holomorphic embedding into some complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^N$. In this case a polarization L of (M,g) is given by the restriction to M of the hyperplane line bundle on $\mathbb{C}P^N$. Given a polarized Kähler metric g with respect to L, one can find a hermitian metric h on L with its Ricci curvature form $\mathrm{Ric}(h) = \omega_g$ (see Lemma 1.1 in [12]). Here $\mathrm{Ric}(h)$ is the 2-form on M defined by the equation:

$$\operatorname{Ric}(h) = -\frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log h(\sigma(x), \sigma(x)), \tag{1}$$

for a trivializing holomorphic section $\sigma: U \subset M \to L \setminus \{0\}$ of L.

For each positive integer k, we denote by $L^{\otimes k}$ the k-th tensor power of L. It is a polarization of the Kähler metric kg and the hermitian metric h induces a natural hermitian metric h^k on $L^{\otimes k}$ such that $\text{Ric}(h^k) = kg$.

Denote by $H^0(M, L^{\otimes k})$ the space of global holomorphic sections of $L^{\otimes k}$. It is in a natural way a complex Hilbert space with respect to the norm

$$||s||_{h^k} = \langle s, s \rangle_{h^k} = \int_M h^k(s(x), s(x)) \frac{\omega_g^n(x)}{n!} < \infty,$$

for $s \in H^0(M, L^{\otimes k})$.

For sufficiently large k we can define a holomorphic embedding of M into a complex projective space as follows. Let (s_0, \ldots, s_{N_k}) , be a orthonormal basis for $(H^0(M, L^{\otimes k}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{h^k})$ and let $\sigma: U \to L$ be a trivialising holomorphic section on the open set $U \subset M$. Define the map

$$\varphi_{\sigma}: U \to \mathbb{C}^{N_k+1} \setminus \{0\}: x \mapsto \left(\frac{s_0(x)}{\sigma(x)}, \dots, \frac{s_{N_k}(x)}{\sigma(x)}\right).$$
 (2)

If $\tau: V \to L$ is another holomorphic trivialisation then there exists a non-vanishing holomorphic function f on $U \cap V$ such that $\sigma(x) = f(x)\tau(x)$. Therefore one can define a holomorphic map

$$\varphi_k: M \to \mathbb{C}P^{N_k},\tag{3}$$

whose local expression in the open set U is given by (2). It follows by the above mentioned Theorem of Kodaira that, for k sufficiently large, the map φ_k is an embedding into $\mathbb{C}P^{N_k}$ (see, e.g. [6] for a proof).

Let $g_{FS}^{N_k}$ be the Fubini–Study metric on $\mathbb{C}P^{N_k}$, namely the metric whose associated Kähler form is given by

$$\omega_{FS}^{N_k} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \sum_{j=0}^{N_k} |z_j|^2 \tag{4}$$

for a homogeneous coordinate system $[z_0,\ldots,z_{N_k}]$ in $\mathbb{C}P^{N_k}$. This restricts to a Kähler metric $g_k=\varphi_k^*g_{FS}^{N_k}$ on M which is cohomologous to $k\omega_g$ and is polarized with respect to $L^{\otimes k}$. In [12] Tian christined the set of normalized metrics $\frac{1}{k}g_k$ as the Bergmann metrics on M with respect to L and he proves that the sequence $\frac{1}{k}g_k$ converges to the metric g in the C^2 -topology (see Theorem A in [12]). This theorem was further generalizes by Ruan [10] who proved that the sequence $\frac{1}{k}g_k$ C^{∞} -converges to the metric g (see also [13]).

The aim of this paper is twofold. On one hand, in Section 2 we study, the polarized metrics g on M satisfying the equation

$$g_k = kg \tag{5}$$

(for some natural number k) which we call self-Bergmann metrics of degree k. If our Kähler manifold (M,g) is homogeneous and simply connected then the metric g is self-Bergmann of degree k for all sufficiently large k (for a proof see Theorem 2.1 below and cf. also [2]). In Theorem 2.4 and 2.6 we prove a sort of converse of Theorem 2.1 in the case of self-Bergmann metrics of degree 2 on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ induced by the Veronese map and in the case of self-Bergmann metrics of degree 1 on $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ induced by the Segre map.

On the other hand, in Section 3, we consider the polarizations on non-compact Kähler manifolds (M,g). In particular we deal with the case of the punctured plane $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ equipped with the complete Kähler metric g^* whose associated Kähler form is given by

$$\omega^* = \frac{i}{2} \frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{|z|^2}$$

and the polarization L given by the trivial bundle $L = \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$.

Our main results are contained in Theorem 3.5 where we describe all the hermitian metrics h^k on $L^{\otimes k} = L$ such that $\mathrm{Ric}(h) = \omega^*$ (in other words all the geometric quantizations on (\mathbb{C}^*, ω^*) (see Remark 2.3)). Moreover in Theorem 3.6 we calculate the set of Bergmann metrics $\frac{g_k}{k}$ and we prove that the sequence $\frac{g_k}{k}$ C^{∞} -converges to the metric g^* on every compact set $K \subset M$.

2 Self-Bergmann Metrics

As we pointed our in the introduction a large class of self-Bergmann metrics is given by the following:

Theorem 2.1 (cfr. [2]) Let L be a polarization of a homogeneous and simply-connected compact Kähler manifold (M,g). Then g is self-Bergmann of degree k for every sufficiently large positive integer k.

Proof: Recall that a Kähler manifold (M, g) is homogeneous if the group $\operatorname{Aut}(M) \cap \operatorname{Isom}(M, g)$ acts transitively on M, where $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ denotes the

group of holomorphic diffeomorphisms of M and Isom(M, g) the isometry group of M. Let k be large enough in such a way that the map $\varphi_k : M \to \mathbb{C}P^{N_k}$ given by (3) is an embedding. An easy calculation shows that

$$\omega_{g_k} = \varphi_k^*(\omega_{FS}^{N_k}) = k\omega_g + \frac{i}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\log\sum_{j=0}^{N_k} h^k(s_j, s_j)$$
 (6)

where $\{s_0, \ldots, s_{N_k}\}$ is the orthonormal basis for $(H^0(M, L^{\otimes k}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{h^k}))$, and where ω_{g_k} , in accordance with out notation, is the Kähler form associated to g_k . It turns out the if the manifold M is symply-connected then the holomorphic line bundle f^*L is isomorphic to L for any $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(M) \cap \operatorname{Isom}(M,g)$. Moreover the smooth function $\sum_{j=0}^{N_k} h^k(s_j, s_j)$ is invariant under the group $\operatorname{Aut}(M) \cap \operatorname{Isom}(M,g)$. Therefore, if (M,g) is assumed to be homogeneous then this function is constant which, by formula (6), implies that the metric g is self-Bergmann of degree k.

Remark 2.2 Note that the condition of simply-connectedness in Theorem 2.1 can not be relaxed. In fact the the n-dimensional complex torus M can be naturally endowed with a polarized flat metric g invariant by translation, making (M,g) into a homogeneous Kähler manifold. On the other hand the flat metric can not be the pull-back of the Fubini–Study metric via a holomorphic map (see Lemma 2.2 in [11] for a proof) and hence in particular condition (5) can not hold for any k (cf. also [8]).

Remark 2.3 In the terminology of quantization of a Kähler manifold (M, g) a pair (L, h) satisfying $\operatorname{Ric}(h) = \omega_g$ is called a geometric quantization of (M, g). In the work of Cahen–Gutt–Rawnsley the function $\sum_{j=0}^{N_k} h^k(s_j, s_j)$ is the central object of the theory (see [2], [3], [4], [5]). Infact it is one of the main ingredient needed to apply a procedure called quantization by deformation introduced by Berezin in his foundational paper [1]. Observe also that our definition of self-Bergmann metrics above is equivalent to the regularity of a quantization as defined in [2] and [3].

In view of Theorem 2.1 the following question naturally arises: Let (M, g) be a homogenous and simply connected Kähler manifold (and hence g is self-Bergmann of degree k for k large) and let \tilde{g} be a Kähler metric on M which is self-Bergmann of degree k. Can we conclude that also \tilde{g} is homogeneous, namely there exists $f \in Aut(M)$ such that $\tilde{g} = f^*g$?

When $M=\mathbb{C}P^N$, $g=g_{\omega_{FS}^N}$ and L is the hyperplane bundle, then the space $H^0(M,L)$ consisting of global holomorphic sections of L can be identified with the space of degree 1 homogeneous polynomials in the variables $\{z_0,\ldots,z_n\}$ (see, e.g. [6]). Let \tilde{g} be a self-Bergmann metric of degree k=1 then $N_k=\dim H^0(M,L)-1=N$ and the embedding φ_1 given by ((3) goes from $\mathbb{C}P^N$ to $\mathbb{C}P^N$. By the very definition of self-Bergmann metrics $\varphi_1^*g=\tilde{g}$ and since φ_1 belongs to the group $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}P^N)=\operatorname{PGL}(N+1,\mathbb{C})$ we deduce that the previous question has a positive answer for $M=\mathbb{C}P^N$, $g=g_{\omega_{FS}^N}$ and k=1.

The case of self–Bergmann metrics of any degree $k \geq 2$ on $\mathbb{C}P^N$ is much more complicated to handle even when N = 1. Nevertheless we prove the following:

Theorem 2.4 Let \tilde{g} be a self-Bergmann metric of degree 2 on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ induced by the Veronese map:

$$\varphi: \mathbb{C}P^1 \to \mathbb{C}P^2: [z_0, z_1] \mapsto [az_0^2, bz_0z_1, cz_1^2], \ a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}^*,$$
 (7)

Then there exists $f \in PGL(2,\mathbb{C})$ such that $f^*(2g) = \tilde{g}$, where $g = g_{\omega_{FS}^1}$.

Proof: Under the action of $f \in PGL(2, \mathbb{C})$, we can suppose that the map (7) is given by

$$\varphi([z_0, z_1]) = [z_0^2, \alpha z_0 z_1, z_1^2],$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$ (one simply defines $f([z_0, z_1]) = [\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} z_0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} z_1]$).

Observe that if $|\alpha|^2=A=2$ then $\varphi^*g_{FS}^2=\varphi_2^*g_{FS}^2=2g$ which is self-Bergmann of degree k for large k by Theorem 2.1. Hence it is enough to show that if \tilde{g} is self-Bergmann of degree 2 then A=2. Let \tilde{h} denote the hermitian structure on $H^0(M,L^{\otimes 2})$ such that $\mathrm{Ric}(\tilde{h})=\omega_{\tilde{g}}$. Since $H^0(M,L^{\otimes 2})$ can be identified with the space homogeneous polynomials of degree 2 in z_0 and z_1 , in order to prove our Theorem we need to show that if $\{z_0^2,\alpha z_0z_1,z_1^2\}$ is a othonormal basis for $(H^0(M,L^{\otimes 2}),\langle \cdot ,\cdot \rangle_{\tilde{h}})$ then A=2.

In the chart $U_0 = \{z_0 \neq 0\}$, equipped with coordinate $z = \frac{z_1}{z_0}$, the Kähler form $\omega_{\tilde{g}}$ associated to $\tilde{g} = \varphi^* g_{FS}^2$ is given by:

$$\omega_{\tilde{g}} = \varphi^*(\omega_{FS}^2) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log(1 + A|z|^2 + |z|^4) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \frac{A + 4|z|^2 + A|z|^4}{(1 + A|z|^2 + |z|^4)^2} dz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

Let $P(z_0, z_1)$ and $Q(z_0, z_1)$ be homogeneous polynomials of degre 2 in z_0 and z_1 . We denote by small letter p and q their expression in U_0 , namely

 $p(z) = P(1, \frac{z_1}{z_0})$ and $q(z) = Q(1, \frac{z_1}{z_0})$. With the above notation the hermitian structure \tilde{h} on U_0 is given by:

$$\tilde{h}(P,Q) = \frac{p(z)q(\bar{z})}{1 + A|z|^2 + |z|^4}.$$

Hence,

$$\langle P, Q \rangle_{\tilde{h}} = \int_{\mathbb{C}P^1} \tilde{h}(P, Q) \omega_{\tilde{g}} = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{(A+4|z|^2+A|z|^4)p(z)q(\bar{z})}{(1+A|z|^2+|z|^4)^3} \frac{i}{2\pi} dz \wedge d\bar{z},$$

This can be written in polar coordinates $z = re^{i\theta}$ as

$$\langle P, Q \rangle_{\tilde{h}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{r=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(A + 4r^2 + Ar^4)p(re^{i\theta})q(re^{-i\theta})}{(1 + Ar^2 + r^4)^3} r dr d\theta.$$

By the change of variable $r^2 = \rho$, one obtains:

$$\langle P, Q \rangle_{\tilde{h}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\rho=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(A + 4\rho + A\rho^2)p(\sqrt{\rho}e^{i\theta})q(\sqrt{\rho}e^{-i\theta})}{(1 + A\rho + \rho^2)^3} d\rho. \tag{8}$$

It follows immediately by (8) that $\{z_0^2, z_0 z_1, z_2^2\}$ (which on U_0 is given by $\{1, z, z^2\}$) is an orthogonal basis of $(H^0(M, L^{\otimes 2}), \langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle_{\tilde{h}})$. Furthermore,

$$||z_0||_{\tilde{h}}^2 = \int_{\rho=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(A+4\rho+A\rho^2)}{(1+A\rho+\rho^2)^3} d\rho,$$

$$||\alpha z_0 z_1||_{\tilde{h}}^2 = A \int_{\rho=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(A\rho+4\rho^2+A\rho^3)}{(1+A\rho+\rho^2)^3} d\rho,$$

$$||z_2^2||_{\tilde{h}}^2 = \int_{\rho=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(A\rho^2+4\rho^3+A\rho^4)}{(1+A\rho+\rho^2)^3} d\rho.$$

A direct calculation, using Lemma 2.5 below gives:

$$||z_0||_{\tilde{h}}^2 = (\frac{A^3}{4} - A)I_3 + \frac{A}{4}I_2 + 1 - \frac{A^2}{8},\tag{9}$$

$$\|\alpha z_0 z_1\|_{\tilde{h}}^2 = \left(\frac{A^3}{2} - \frac{A^5}{8}\right) I_3 + \left(A - \frac{3A^3}{8}\right) I_2 + \frac{A^4}{16},\tag{10}$$

$$||z_2^2||_{\tilde{h}}^2 = \left(\frac{A^5}{16} - \frac{A^3}{4}\right)I_3 + \left(\frac{3A^3}{8} - \frac{5A}{4}\right)I_2 + \frac{3A}{8}I_1 + 1 - \frac{3A^2}{16} - \frac{A^4}{32}.$$
 (11)

Hence it remains to show that if $A \neq 2$, then either $\|z_0\|_{\tilde{h}}^2 \neq A\|z_0z_1\|_{\tilde{h}}^2$, or $\|z_0\|_{\tilde{h}}^2 \neq \|z_2\|_{\tilde{h}}^2$. Indeed we prove that $\|z_0\|_{\tilde{h}}^2 \neq A\|z\|_{\tilde{h}}^2$. Suppose, by a contradiction that $\|z_0\|_{\tilde{h}}^2 = A\|z_0z_1\|_{\tilde{h}}^2$. By subtracting (9) from (10) one obtains:

$$-32 + 6A^{2} + 3A^{4} - 12AI_{1} + (72A - 24A^{3})I_{2} + 6A^{3}(A^{2} - 4)I_{3} = 0.$$
 (12)

We distinguish two cases: 0 < A < 2 and A > 2.

For 0 < A < 2, we easily obtain:

$$I_{1} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{4 - A^{2}}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{4 - A^{2}}} \arctan \frac{A}{\sqrt{4 - A^{2}}},$$

$$I_{2} = \frac{2\pi}{(\sqrt{4 - A^{2}})^{3}} - \frac{A}{4 - A^{2}} - \frac{4}{(\sqrt{4 - A^{2}})^{3}} \arctan \frac{A}{\sqrt{4 - A^{2}}},$$

$$I_{3} = \frac{6\pi}{(\sqrt{4 - A^{2}})^{5}} + \frac{A^{3} - 10A}{2(4 - A^{2})^{2}} - \frac{12}{(\sqrt{4 - A^{2}})^{5}} \arctan \frac{A}{\sqrt{4 - A^{2}}}.$$

By (12) one gets:

$$-(8+A^2)\sqrt{4-A^2} + 6A\pi - 12A\arctan\frac{A}{\sqrt{4-A^2}} = 0,$$

which can be easily seen to be impossible for 0 < A < 2. Indeed the function $F(A) = -(8+A^2)\sqrt{4-A^2} + 6A\pi - 12A \arctan \frac{A}{\sqrt{4-A^2}}$ satisfies F(0) = -16, $\lim_{A \to 2^-} F(A) = 0$, $F'(0) = 6\pi$, $\lim_{A \to 2^-} F'(A) = 0$ and $F''(A) = -6\sqrt{4-A^2}$ which implies that F(A) < 0 on the interval (0,2).

For A > 2, we get:

$$I_{1} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{A^{2} - 4}} \log \frac{A - \sqrt{A^{2} - 4}}{A + \sqrt{A^{2} - 4}},$$

$$I_{2} = \frac{A}{A^{2} - 4} + \frac{2}{(\sqrt{A^{2} - 4})^{3}} \log \frac{A - \sqrt{A^{2} - 4}}{A + \sqrt{A^{2} - 4}},$$

$$I_{3} = \frac{A^{3} - 10A}{2(A^{2} - 4)^{2}} - \frac{6}{(\sqrt{A^{2} - 4})^{5}} \log \frac{A - \sqrt{A^{2} - 4}}{A + \sqrt{A^{2} - 4}}$$

By (12) one gets:

$$(8+A^2)\sqrt{A^2-4}+6A\log\frac{A-\sqrt{A^2-4}}{A+\sqrt{A^2-4}}=0,$$

which can not hold for A > 2.

Indeed the function $G(A)=(8+A^2)\sqrt{A^2-4}+6A\log\frac{A-\sqrt{A^2-4}}{A+\sqrt{A^2-4}}$ satisfies $\lim_{A\to 2^+}F(A)=\lim_{A\to 2^+}F'(A)=0,\ \lim_{A\to +\infty}F(A)=\lim_{A\to +\infty}F'(A)=+\infty,$ and $F''(A)=6\sqrt{A^2-4}$ which implies that F(A)>0 on $(2,+\infty)$.

Lemma 2.5 The following equalities hold:

$$\int_{\rho=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho}{(1+A\rho+\rho^2)^3} d\rho = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{A}{2} I_3;$$

$$\int_{\rho=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho^2}{(1+A\rho+\rho^2)^3} d\rho = \frac{1}{4} I_2 + \frac{A^2}{4} I_3 - \frac{A}{8};$$

$$\int_{\rho=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho^3}{(1+A\rho+\rho^2)^3} d\rho = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{A^2}{16} - \frac{3A}{8} I_2 - \frac{A^3}{8} I_3;$$

$$\int_{\rho=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho^4}{(1+A\rho+\rho^2)^3} d\rho = \frac{3}{8} I_1 + \frac{3A^2}{8} I_2 + \frac{A^4}{16} I_3 - \frac{5A}{16} - \frac{A^3}{32},$$

where

$$I_n = \int_{\rho=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(1 + A\rho + \rho^2)^n} d\rho, \quad n = 1, 2, 3.$$

Proof: Direct calculation integrating by parts.

Let consider now $M = \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ endowed with the metric $g = g_{FS}^1 + g_{FS}^1$ which we know to be self-Bergmann of degree k for all k (compare Theorem 2.1). In this case the map φ_1 (given by 3)) (which satisfies $\varphi_1^*g_{FS}^3 = g$) is given by:

$$\varphi_1: \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \to \mathbb{C}P^3: ([z_0, z_1], [w_0, w_1]) \mapsto [z_0 w_0, z_0 w_1, z_1 w_0, z_1 w_1].$$

The polarization L on M is the restriction to M of the hyperplane bundle on $\mathbb{C}P^3$ via the map φ_1 and a basis of $H^0(M, L)$ is $\{z_0w_0, z_0w_1, z_1w_0, z_1w_1\}$.

Theorem 2.6 Let \tilde{g} be a self-Bergmann metric of degree k=1 on $M=\mathbb{C}P^1\times\mathbb{C}P^1$ induced by the Segree embedding $\varphi:M\to\mathbb{C}P^3$ give by:

$$\varphi([z_0, z_1], [w_0, w_1]) \mapsto [az_0w_0, bz_0w_1, cz_1w_0, dz_1w_1], a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$
 (13)

Then there exists $f \in Aut(M) = PGL(2, \mathbb{C}) \times PGL(2, \mathbb{C})$ such that $f^*g = \tilde{g}$.

Proof: The proof follows the same pattern of that of Theorem 2.4. First of all under the action of $f \in Aut(M)$, we can suppose that the map (13) is given by

$$\varphi([z_0, z_1], [w_0, w_1]) = [\alpha z_0 w_0, z_0 w_1, z_1 w_0, z_1 w_1],$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Indeed one takes $f([z_0, z_1], [w_0, w_1]) = [\frac{1}{b}z_0, \frac{1}{d}z_1], [\frac{d}{c}w_0, w_1]$. Hence it is enough to show that if $\tilde{g} = \varphi^* g_{FS}^3$ is a self-Bergmann metric of degree 1 then $A = |\alpha|^2 = 1$. Let \tilde{h} be the hermitian structure on $H^0(M, L)$ such that $Ric(\tilde{h}) = \omega_{\tilde{q}}$. In order to prove our Theorem it suffices to show that if $\{\alpha z_0 w_0, z_0 w_1, z_1 w_0, z_1 w_1\}$ is a othonormal basis for $(H^0(M, L), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\tilde{b}})$ then A=1. Let $U\cong \mathbb{C}^2$ be the chart on M defined by $(z_0,w_0)\neq (0,0)$ equipped with coordinates $(z,w)=(\frac{z_1}{z_0},\frac{w_1}{w_0})$. We can easily calculate the Kähler form $\omega_{\tilde{g}} = \varphi^*(\omega_{FS}^3)$ on U and obtain:

$$\omega_{\tilde{g}}^2 = \omega_g \wedge \omega_g = \frac{A(1+|z|^2+|w|^2)+|z|^2|w|^2}{(A+|z|^2+|w|^2+|z|^2|w|^2)^3}d\nu,$$

where $d\nu = (\frac{i}{2\pi})^2 dz \wedge d\bar{z} \wedge dw \wedge d\bar{w}$. Let $P \in H^0(M, L) = span\{z_0w_0, z_0w_1, z_1w_0, z_1w_1\}$ We denote by small letter p its expression in the chart U, namely $p(z,w) = P(1, \frac{w_1}{w_0}, \frac{z_1}{z_0}, \frac{z_1}{z_0}, \frac{w_1}{w_0})$. With the above notation the hermitian structure h on U is given by:

$$\tilde{h}(P,Q) = \frac{p(z,w)q(\bar{z},\bar{w})}{A + |z|^2 + |w|^2 + |z|^2|w|^2}.$$

Hence,

$$\langle P, Q \rangle_{\tilde{h}} = \int_{M} \tilde{h}(P, Q) \frac{\omega_{\tilde{g}}^{2}}{2!} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \frac{(A(1 + |z|^{2} + |w|^{2}) + |z|^{2}|w|^{2})p\bar{q}}{(A + |z|^{2} + |w|^{2} + |z|^{2}|w|^{2})^{4}} d\nu,$$

for $P, Q \in H^0(M, L)$.

It follows that $\{\alpha z_0 w_0, z_0 w_1, z_1 w_0, z_1 w_1\}$ (which on *U* is given by $\{\alpha, w, z, zw\}$) is a othogonal basis of $(H^0(M,L),\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\tilde{h}}).$ By passing in polar coordinates, a straightforward calculation gives:

$$\|\alpha z_0 w_0\|_{\tilde{h}}^2 = \|z_1 w_1\|_{\tilde{h}}^2 = \frac{1 - 3A + 2A^2 - A\log A}{48(A - 1)^2}$$
 (14)

and

$$||z_0 w_1||_{\tilde{h}}^2 = ||z_1 w_0||_{\tilde{h}}^2 = \frac{2 - 3A + A^2 + A \log A}{48(A - 1)^2}.$$
 (15)

It is now easy to see that (14) and (15) are equal if and only if A = 1 which concludes the proof of our theorem.

3 Quantizations and Bergmann metrics of (\mathbb{C}^*, g^*)

In this section we consider the case of a complete Kähler manifold (M, g). Let L be a holomorphic line bundle on M endowed with an hermitian structure h. Following Tian (Sect. 4 in [12]) we denote by $H_{(2)}^0(M, L^{\otimes k})$ the Hilbert space consisting of all L^2 integrable global holomorphic sections of $L^{\otimes k}$, namely

$$s \in H^0_{(2)}(M, L^{\otimes k}) \Leftrightarrow \langle s, s \rangle_{h^k} = \int_M h^k(s(x), s(x)) \frac{\omega_g^n(x)}{n!} < \infty.$$

Let $\{s_j\}_{j\geq 0}$ be an orthonormal basis of $(H^0_{(2)}(M,L^{\otimes k}),\langle \cdot,\cdot \rangle_{h^k})$. One if his main result, which generalizes the above mentioned Theorem A, is summarized in the following:

Theorem 3.1 (Tian) Let M be a complete Kähler manifold with a polarized Kähler metric g and let L be a holomorphic line bundle with hermitian metric h such that its Ricci curvature form satisfies: $Ric(h) = \omega_g$. Then for any compact set $K \subset M$ and k sufficiently large

$$\omega_k = \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} |s_j|^2 \tag{16}$$

defines a Kähler form on K. Moreover if g_k denotes the Kähler metric on K associated to ω_k (i.e. $\omega_{g_k} = \omega_k$) then the sequence of metrics $\frac{g_k}{k}$ C^2 -converges to the Kähler metric g on K.

As in the compact case, a geometric quantization of a complete Kähler manifold (M,g) is given by a pair (L,h), where L is a holomorphic line bundle on M equipped with a hermitian metric h such that $\mathrm{Ric}(h) = \omega_g$ (see Remark 2.3)). The metrics $\frac{g_k}{k}$ (defined only on compact sets $K \subset M$) are called the $Bergmann\ metrics$ on (M,g).

Remark 3.2 In analogy with the compact case, we say that a Kähler metric on a complete manifold is *self-Bergmann* of degree k if $g_k = kg$. Observe that this implies that g_k is globally defined on M and not only in a compact set $K \subset M$. A slight modification of Theorem 2.1 shows that in a homogeneous and simply-connected Kähler manifold (M, g) then the metric g is self-Bergmann of degree k for all k. Therefore, for example, the flat metric on the complex Euclidean space \mathbb{C}^n is self-Bergmann of degree k.

In order to describe all the geometric quantizations of a Kähler manifold (M, g) one gives the following (cf. e.g. [9]):

Definition 3.3 Two holomorphic hermitian line bundles (L_1, h_1) and (L_2, h_2) on a Kähler manifold (M, g) are called equivalent if there exists an isomorphism of holomorphic line bundles $\psi : L_1 \to L_2$ such that $\psi^* h_2 = h_1$.

Let us denote by [L, h] the equivalence class of (L, h) and by $\mathcal{L}(M, g)$ the set of equivalence classes. We refer the reader to [2] for the proof of the following:

Theorem 3.4 The group $Hom(\pi_1(M), S^1)$ acts transitively on the set of equivalence classes $\mathcal{L}(M, g)$.

In Theorem 3.5 below we describe this action in the case of (\mathbb{C}^*, g^*) . We first observe that any holomorphic line bundle L on \mathbb{C}^* is holomorphically trivial. Let h be the hermitian metric on L given by:

$$h(f(z), f(z)) = e^{\frac{-\pi}{2} \log^2 |z|^2} |f(z)|^2.$$

for a holomorphic function f on \mathbb{C}^* . It is easily seen that $\operatorname{Ric}(h_0) = \omega^*$ and hence L is a quantization of (\mathbb{C}^*, g^*) . We can prove now the first result of this section:

Theorem 3.5 The group

$$Hom(\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*), S^1) = Hom(\mathbb{Z}, S^1) \cong S^1 \cong \frac{\mathbb{R}}{\mathbb{Z}}$$

acts on the set of equivalence classes $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^*, g^*)$ by defining:

$$[\lambda] \cdot (L, h) = (L, h_{\lambda}), \tag{17}$$

where $[\lambda]$ denotes the equivalence class of λ in $S^1 \cong \mathbb{R}$ and h_{λ} is the hermitian metric on L defined by:

$$h_{\lambda}(f(z), f(z)) = |z|^{2\lambda} h(f(z), f(z)), \tag{18}$$

for a holomorphic function f on \mathbb{C}^* .

Proof: Let λ and μ be real numbers such that $\lambda - \mu \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to see that the map

$$\psi: (L, h_{\mu}) \to (L, h_{\lambda}): (z, t) \mapsto (z, z^{\nu - \lambda}t)$$

is a holomorphic automorphism of the trivial bundle and $\psi^*(h_{\lambda}) = h_{\nu}$, namely $[L_0, h_{\mu}] = [L_0, h_{\lambda}]$. Furthermore, if $\lambda - \mu \notin \mathbb{Z}$ then $[L, h_{\lambda}] \neq [L, h_{\mu}]$. Indeed, suppose that $\psi : L \to L$ is a holomorphic automorphism of the trivial bundle, such that $\psi^*h_{\lambda} = h_{\mu}$. It follows that $\psi(z,t) = (z, f(z)t)$, where f is a holomorphic function on \mathbb{C}^* , satisfying $|f(z)|^2 = |z|^{2(\mu - \lambda)}$. This is impossible unless $\lambda - \mu$ is an integer.

Given a natural number k it follows immediately that the trivial bundle L endowed with the hermitian structure

$$h^k(f(z), f(z)) = e^{\frac{-k\pi}{2}\log^2|z|^2}|f(z)|^2$$

defines a quantization of (\mathbb{C}^*, kg^*) . By Theorem 3.5 we know that every class in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^*, kg^*)$ can be represented by a pair (L, h_{λ}^k) , where

$$h_{\lambda}^{k}(f(z), f(z)) := e^{\frac{-k\pi}{2}\log^{2}|z|^{2}}|z|^{2\lambda}|f(z)|^{2}.$$
(19)

and two such pairs (L, h_{λ}^k) and (L, h_{μ}^k) are equivalent iff $[\lambda] = [\mu]$. In what follows, to simplify the notation, we consider the class corresponding to $\lambda = 0$, namely the trivial bundle L on \mathbb{C}^* endowed with the hermitian metric

$$h^k(f(z), f(z)) := e^{\frac{-k\pi}{2}\log^2|z|^2} |f(z)|^2.$$

It follows that the space $((H_{(2)}^0(\mathbb{C}^*, L), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{h^k}),$ which we will denote by \mathcal{H}_k , equals the space of holomorphic functions f in \mathbb{C}^* such that

$$||f||_{h^k}^2 = \langle f, f \rangle_{h^k} = \int_{\mathbb{C}^*} e^{\frac{-k\pi}{2} \log^2 |z|^2} |f(z)|^2 k \frac{i}{2} \frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{|z|^2} < +\infty.$$

One can check that the functions z^j , with $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, form an orthogonal system for \mathcal{H}_k . Since every holomorphic function in \mathbb{C}^* can be expanded in Laurent series, it follows that z^j are in fact a complete orthogonal system. Their norms are given by

$$||z^{j}||_{h_{0}^{k}}^{2} = k \int_{\mathbb{C}^{*}} e^{\frac{-k\pi}{2} \log^{2}|z|^{2}} |z|^{2j} \frac{i}{2} \frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{|z|^{2}}$$
$$= k\pi \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{\frac{-k\pi}{2} \log^{2} r^{2}} r^{2j} \frac{2r}{r^{2}} dr.$$

By the change of variable $e^{\rho} = r^2$ one gets

$$||z^{j}||_{h^{k}}^{2} = k\pi \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\frac{-k\pi}{2}\rho^{2}} e^{j\rho} d\rho = k\pi e^{\frac{j^{2}}{2k\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\left(\sqrt{\frac{k\pi}{2}}\rho - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2k\pi}}j\right)^{2}} d\rho$$
$$= k\pi e^{\frac{j^{2}}{2k\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{k\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-t^{2}} dt = \sqrt{2k\pi} e^{\frac{j^{2}}{2k\pi}},$$

Then a orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H}_k is given by

$$s_j = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2k\pi}}e^{-\frac{j^2}{2k\pi}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}z^j$$

and by formula (16) we get:

$$\omega_k = \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\frac{j^2}{2k\pi}} |z|^{2j}.$$
 (20)

Let $\frac{g_k}{k}$ be the corresponding sequence of Bergmann metrics (which are defined, by Theorem 3.1, on every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ for k sufficiently large). The following Theorem extends Tian's theorem 3.1 in the case of the punctured plane endowed with the metric g^* .

Theorem 3.6 Let \mathbb{C}^* be endowed with the complete metric g^* . Then the sequence of Bergmann metrics $\frac{g_k}{k}$ C^{∞} -converges to the metric g^* on every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C}^*$.

Proof: By formula (20) it is enough to show that the sequence of functions

$$f_k(x) = \frac{1}{k} \log(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\frac{-j^2}{2k\pi}} x^j)$$
 (21)

(defined on \mathbb{R}^+) C^{∞} -converges to the function $f(x) = \frac{\pi}{2}\log^2 x$ on every compact set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^+$. In order to prove it we apply the Poisson summation formula (see p. 347, Theorem 24 in [7]) to the function $f(j) = e^{\frac{-j^2}{2k\pi}}x^j = e^{\frac{-j^2}{2k\pi}+j\log x}$. Namely, one has: $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}f(j) = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\hat{f}(j)$, where $\hat{f}(j) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}e^{-2\pi i j\nu}f(\nu)$. By a straightforward calculation one gets:

$$\begin{split} \hat{f}(j) &= e^{k\frac{\pi}{2}(2\pi i j - \log x)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2\pi k}(\nu + 2\pi^2 i j k - \pi k \log x)^2} \\ &= 2\pi \sqrt{k} e^{k\frac{\pi}{2} \log^2 x} e^{-2k\pi^2 j (\pi j - i \log x)}. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} f(j) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(j)$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{2} \log^2 x + \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-2k\pi^2 j(\pi j - i \log x)}.$$

It is now immediate to see that the sequence $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{-2k\pi^2 j(\pi j - i\log x)} C^{\infty}$ converges to the constant function 1 on every compact set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^+$, which
concludes the proof of our Theorem. Indeed,

$$|\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{-2k\pi^2 j(\pi j - i\log x)}| \le 1 + \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}} e^{-2k\pi^3 j^2} < 1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-2k\pi^3 t^2} dt = 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}\pi}.$$

References

- [1] F.A. Berezin, *Quantization*, Math. USSR Izvestija 8 (1974), pp. 1109-1165.
- [2] M. Cahen, S. Gutt, J. H. Rawnsley, Quantization of Kähler manifolds I: Geometric interpretation of Berezin's quantization, JGP 7 (1990), pp. 45-62.
- [3] M. Cahen, S. Gutt, J. H. Rawnsley, *Quantization of Kähler manifolds II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 337 (1993), pp. 73-98.
- [4] M. Cahen, S. Gutt, J. H. Rawnsley, *Quantization of Kähler manifolds III*, Lett. Math. Phys. 30 (1994), pp. 291-305.
- [5] M. Cahen, S. Gutt, J. H. Rawnsley, Quantization of Kähler manifolds IV, Lett. Math. Phys. 34 (1995), pp. 159-168.
- [6] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, Principles of Algebraic Geometry, John Wiley and Sons Inc. 1978.
- [7] R. Godement, Analyse Mathématique II, Springer 1998.
- [8] A. Loi, The function epsilon for complex tori and Riemann surfaces, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 7 no. 2 (2000), pp. 229-236. University of Warwick, 1997.
- [9] J. H. Rawnsley, *Coherent states and Kähler manifolds*, The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics (1977), pp. 403-415.
- [10] W. D. Ruan, Canonical coordinates and Bergmann metrics, Comm. in Anal. and Geom. (1998), 589-631.

- [11] M. Takeuchi, *Homogeneous Kähler Manifolds in Complex Projective Space*, Japan J. Math. vol. 4 (1978), pp. 171-219.
- [12] G. Tian, On a set of polarized Kähler metrics on algebraic manifolds,
 J. Diff. Geometry 32 (1990), pp. 99-130.
- [13] S. Zeldtich, Szegö Kernel and a Theorem of Tian, J. Diff. Geometry 32 (1990), pp. 99-130.