Machine Learning in Accelerator Physics

S. Kötter, A. Santamaria Garcia, C. Xu

August 30, 2022

1 Overview

1. Auralee Edelen et al., Opportunities in Machine Learning for Particle Accelerators, arXiv (2018). DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.1811.03172

2 Optimization and Tuning Task

achieve desired beam properties or states by tuning machine parameters

2.1 Bayesian Optimization

- 1. Johannes Kirschner et al., *Tuning particle accelerators with safety constraints using Bayesian optimization*, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 25 (2022). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.25.062802
- 2. Y. Gao et al., Bayesian optimization experiment for trajectory alignment at the low energy RHIC electron cooling system, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 25 (2022). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.25.014601
- 3. C. Xu et al, Optimization Studies of Simulated THz Radiation at FLUTE, Proc. 13th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Bangkok, Thailand (2022). DOI: 10.18429/JACOW-IPAC2022-WEPOMS023
- 4. Ryan Roussel, Adi Hanuka, and Auralee Edelen, *Multiobjective Bayesian optimization for online accelerator tuning*, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 24 (2021). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.24.062801
- 5. S. Jalas et al, Bayesian Optimization of a Laser-Plasma Accelerator, Phys. Rev. Let. 126 (2021). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.104801
- 6. R. Roussel et al, Turn-key constrained parameter space exploration for particle accelerators using Bayesian active learning, nature communications 12 (2021). DOI: 10.1038/s41467-021-25757-3
- 7. Auralee Edelen et al., Machine learning for orders of magnitude speedup in multiobjective optimization of particle accelerator systems, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 23 (2020). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.23. 044601
- 8. R. J. Shalloo et al., Automation and control of laser wakefield accelerators using Bayesian optimization, nature communications 11 (2020). DOI: 10.1038/s41467-020-20245-6
- 9. J. Duris et al., Bayesian Optimization of a Free-Electron Laser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124 (2020). DOI: 10.1103/ PhysRevLett.124.124801
- 10. Johannes Kirschner et al., Adaptive and Safe Bayesian Optimization in High Dimensions via One-Dimensional Subspaces, Proc. 36th International Conference on Machine Learning, Long Beach, USA (2019). DOI: 10. 48550/arXiv.1902.03229
- 11. J. Kirschner et al., Bayesian Optimization for Fast and Safe Parameter Tuning of SwissFEL, Proc. 39th Free Electron Laser Conf. Hamburg, German, pp. 707–710 (2019). DOI: 10.18429/JACoW-FEL2019-THP061
- 12. M. McIntire et al., Bayesian optimization of FEL performance at LCLS, Proc. 7th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Busan, Korea, pp. 2972–2975 (2016). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.124801

2.2 ML-based Tuning

- 1. Alexander Scheinker, Adaptive Machine Learning for Robust Diagnostics and Control of Time-Varying Particle Accelerator Components and Beams, Information 12 (2021). DOI: 10.3390/info12040161
- 2. Alexander Scheinker et al., Online multi-objective particle accelerator optimization of the AWAKE electron beam line for simultaneous emittance and orbit control, AIP advances 10 (2020). DOI: 10.1063/5.0003423
- 3. M. D'Andrea et al., Prospects to Apply Machine Learning to Optimize the Operation of the Crystal Collimation System at the LHC, Proc. 13th International Particle Accelerator Conference, pp. 1362–1365 (2022). DOI: 10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2022-TUPOTK061
- S. C. Leemann et al., Demonstration of Machine Learning-Based Model-Independent Stabilization of Source Properties in Synchrotron Light Sources, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 (2019). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.123. 194801
- 5. Jinyu Wan, Paul Chu, and Yi Jiao, Neural network-based multiobjective optimization algorithm for nonlinear beam dynamics, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 23 (2020). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.23.081601

2.2.1 Orbit

- 1. D. Schirmer, Orbit Correction with Machine Learning Techniques at the Sychrotron Light Source DELTA, Proc. 17th International Conference on Accelerator and Large Experimental Physics Control Systems, New York, USA, pp. 1426–1430 (2019). DOI: doi:10.18429/JACOW-ICALEPCS2019-WEPHA138
- 2. E. Meier, G. LeBlanc, and Y. E. Tan, *Orbit Correction Studies using Neural Networks*, Proc. 3rd International Particle Accelerator Conference, New Orleans, USA, p. 28372839 (2012).
- 3. Eva Bozoki and Aharon Friedman, Neural network technique for orbit correction in accelerators/storage rings, AIP Conference Proceedings 315 (1994). DOI: 10.1063/1.46759
- 4. Eva Bozoki and Aharon Friedman, Neural networks and orbit control in accelerators, Proc. 4th European Particle Accelerator Conference, pp. 1589–1591 (1994).

3 Reinforcement Learning

Using RL to control the state of the beam in real time in a dynamically changing environment

- 1. Jan Kaiser, Oliver Stein, and Annika Eichler, Learning-based Optimisation of Particle Accelerators Under Partial Observability Without Real-World Training, Proceedings of the 39th International Conference on Machine Learning, Baltimore, US, pp. 10575–10585 (2022). URL: https://proceedings.mlr.press/v162/kaiser22a.html
- 2. V. Kain et al., Test of Machine Learning at the CERN LINAC4, Proc. 64th ICFA ABDW on High-Intensity and High-Brightness Hadron Beams, Batavia, USA, pp. 181–185 (2022). DOI: 10.18429/JACoW-HB2021-TUEC4
- 3. L. Vera Ramiréz et al., *Machine Learning Tools Improve BESSY II Operation*, Proc. 18th Int. Conf. on Accelerator and Large Experimental Physics Control Systems, Shanghai, China, pp. 784–790 (2022). DOI: 10.18429/JACoW-ICALEPCS2021-THAL01
- 4. Jason St. John et al., Real-time artificial intelligence for accelerator control: A study at the Fermilab Booster, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 24 (2021). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.24.104601
- 5. Weija Wang et al., Accelerated Deep Reinforcement Learning for Fast Feedback of Beam Dynamics at KARA, IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science 68 (2021). DOI: 10.1109/TNS.2021.3084515
- 6. Xiaoying Pang, Sunil Thulasidasan, and Larry Rybarcyk, Autonomous Control of a Particle Accelerator using Deep Reinforcement Learning, arXiv (2020). DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2010.08141
- 7. F. H. O'Shea, N. Bruchon, and G. Gaio, Policy gradient methods for free-electron laser and terahertz source optimization and stabilization at the FERMI free-electron laser at Elettra, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 23 (2020). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.23.122802
- 8. Niky Bruchon et al., Basic Reinforcement Learning Techniques to Control the Intensity of a Seeded Free-Electron Laser, Electronics 9 (2020). DOI: 10.3390/electronics9050781
- 9. Simon Hirlaender and Niky Bruchon, Model-free and Bayesian Ensembling Model-based Deep Reinforcement Learning for Particle Accelerator Control Demonstrated on the FERMI FEL, arXiv (2020). DOI: 10.48550/ arXiv.2012.09737
- 10. Verena Kain et al., Sample-efficient reinforcement learning for CERN accelerator control, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 23 (2020). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.23.124801
- 11. T. Boltz et al., Feedback Design for Control of the Micro-Bunching Instability based on Reinforcement Learning, Proc. 10th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Melbourne, Australia, pp. 104–107 (2019). DOI: doi:10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2019-MOPGW017
- T. Boltz et al., Studies of Longitudinal Dynamics in the Micro-Bunching Instability Using Machine Learning, Proc. 9th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Vancouver, Canada, pp. 3277–3279 (2018). DOI: doi:10.18429/JACOW-IPAC2018-THPAK030
- 13. T. Boltz et al., Accelerating Machine Learning for Machine Physics (an AMALEA-project at KIT), Proc. 17th International Conference on Accelerator and Large Experimental Physics Control Systems, New York, USA, pp. 2226–0358 (2019). DOI: 10.18429/JACOW-ICALEPCS2019-TUCPL06

4 Prediction Task

predict beam properties based on current accelerator parameters

4.1 Surrogate Model, Virtual Diagnostics

- Andreas Adelmann, On Nonintrusive Uncertainty Quantification and Surrogate Model Construction in Particle Accelerator Modeling, SIAM/ASA Journal on Uncertainty Quantification 7 (2019). DOI: 10.1137/16M1061928
- 2. Leander Grech, Gianluca Valentino, and Diogo Alves, A Machine Learning Approach for the Tune Estimation in the LHC, Information 12 (2021). DOI: 10.3390/info12050197

- 3. A. Hanuka et al., Accurate and confident prediction of electron beam longitudinal properties using spectral virtual diagnostics, Scientific Reports 11 (2021). DOI: 10.1038/s41598-021-82473-0
- 4. Owen Convery et al., *Uncertainty quantification for virtual diagnostic of particle accelerators*, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 24 (2021). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.24.074602
- 5. A. L. Edelen et al., Neural Networks for Modeling and Control of Particle Accelerators, IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science 63 (2016). DOI: 10.1109/TNS.2016.2543203
- 6. Auralee Edelen et al., Machine learning for orders of magnitude speedup in multiobjective optimization of particle accelerator systems, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 23 (2020). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.23. 044601
- 7. C. Emma et al., Machine learning-based longitudinal phase space prediction of particle accelerators, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 21 (2018). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.21.112802
- 8. C. Xu et al, Surrogate Modelling of the FLUTE Low-Energy Section, Proc. 13th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Bangkok, Thailand (2022).

4.2 Anomaly Detection, Forecasting

detect outliers and anomalies in archive data or during operation

- 1. G. Azzopardi and G. Ricci, New Machine Learning Model Application for the Automatic LHC Collimator Beam-Based Alignment, Proc. 18th Int. Conf. on Accelerator and Large Experimental Physics Control Systems, Shanghai, China, pp. 953–958 (2022). DOI: 10.18429/JACoW-ICALEPCS2021-THPV040
- 2. E. Fol et al., Detection of faulty beam position monitors using unsupervised learning, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 23 (2020). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.23.102805
- 3. Chris Tennant et al., Superconducting radio-frequency cavity fault classification using machine learning at Jefferson Laboratory, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 23 (2020). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.23.114601
- 4. A. Nawaz et al., Anomaly Detection for the European XFEL using a Nonlinear Parity Space Method, IFAC-PapersOnLine 51 (2018). DOI: 10.1016/j.ifacol.2018.09.554
- 5. Ayla Nawaz et al., Probabilistic model-based fault diagnosis for the cavities of the European XFEL, at -Automatisierungstechnik 69 (2021). DOI: 10.1515/auto-2020-0064
- 6. Sichen Li et al., A Novel Approach for Classification and Forecasting of Time Series in Particle Accelerators, Information 12 (2021). DOI: 10.3390/info12030121
- E. Fol et al., Optics Corrections Using Machine Learning in the LHC, Proc. 10th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Melbourne, Australia, pp. 3990–3993 (2019). DOI: doi:10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2019-THPRB077
- 8. E. Fol et al., Machine Learning Methods for Optics Measurements and Corrections at LHC, Proc. 9th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Vancouver, Canada, pp. 1967–1970 (2018). DOI: doi:10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2018-WEPAF062

5 Misc

- 1. Jonas Degrave et al., $Magnetic\ control\ of\ tokamak\ plasmas\ through\ deep\ reinforcement\ learning,$ Nature 602 (2022). DOI: 10.1038/s41586-021-04301-9
- 2. A. Eichler et al., First Steps Toward an Autonomous Accelerator, a Common Project Between DESY and KIT, Proc. 12th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Campinas, Brazil, pp. 2182–2185 (2021). DOI: 10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2021-TUPAB298
- 3. Andrei Ivanov and Ilya Agapov, *Physics-based deep neural networks for beam dynamics in charged particle accelerators*, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 23 (2020). DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.23.074601
- 4. Jared Willard et al., Integrating Physics-Based Modeling with Machine Learning: A Survey, arXiv (2020). DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2003.04919
- 5. Giuseppe Carleo et al., *Machine learning and the physical sciences*, Rev. Mod. Phys. 91 (2019). DOI: 10.1103/RevModPhys.91.045002
- 6. R. Kersevan and M. Ady, Recent Developments of Monte-Carlo Codes Molflow+ and Synrad+, Proc. 10th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Melbourne, Australia, pp. 1327–1330 (2019). DOI: doi:10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2019-TUPMP037
- 7. K.A. Brown et al., Experience with Machine Learning in Accelerator Controls, Proc. of 16th International Conference on Accelerator and Large Experimental Control Systems, Barcelona, Spain, pp. 258–264 (2018). DOI: 10.18429/JACOW-ICALEPCS2017-TUCPA03