

1 Negative Niche Construction Favors the 2 Evolution of Cooperation

3
4 **Abstract**

5 TODO

6 **Introduction**

7 Cooperative behaviors are common across all branches of the tree of life. In-
8 sects divide labor within their colonies, plants and soil bacteria exchange es-
9 sential nutrients, birds care for others' young, and the trillions of cells in the
10 human body coordinate to provide vital functions. Each instance of cooper-
11 ation presents an evolutionary challenge: How can individuals that sacrifice
12 their own well-being to help others avoid subversion by those that do not? Over
13 time, we would expect these *defectors* to rise in abundance at the expense of
14 others, eventually driving cooperators—and perhaps the entire population—to
15 extinction.

16 Several factors can prevent this *tragedy of the commons* (Hamilton, 1964;
 17 Nowak, 2006; West *et al.*, 2007b). One such factor involves non-random so-
 18 cial interaction, in which cooperators benefit more from the cooperative act
 19 than defectors. This can occur when cooperators are clustered together in
 20 spatially-structured populations (Fletcher and Doebeli, 2009; Nadell *et al.*,
 21 2010; Kuzdzal-Fick *et al.*, 2011) or when cooperators use communication
 22 (Brown and Johnstone, 2001; Darch *et al.*, 2012) or other cues (Sinervo *et*
 23 *al.*, 2006; Gardner and West, 2010; Veelders *et al.*, 2010) to cooperate condi-
 24 tionally with kin. Cooperation can also be bolstered by pleiotropic connections
 25 to personal benefits (Foster *et al.*, 2004; Dandekar *et al.*, 2012) or through as-
 26 sociation with alleles encoding self-benefitting traits (Asfahl *et al.*, 2015). In
 27 these cases, the alleles may provide private benefits that are completely inde-
 28 pendent from the public benefits of cooperation. In an asexual population of
 29 cooperators and defectors, this sets the stage for an “adaptive race” in which
 30 both types vie for the first highly beneficial adaptation (Waite and Shou, 2012;
 31 Morgan *et al.*, 2012). The tragedy of the commons can be deferred if a coop-
 32 erator, by chance, wins the adaptive race.

33 Hammarlund et al. (2015) recently demonstrated that in spatially-structured
 34 populations, the “Hankshaw effect” can give cooperators a substantial leg up
 35 on defectors in an adaptive race. When cooperation increases local popula-
 36 tion density, the likelihood of acquiring beneficial mutations is also increased.
 37 The cooperative trait can then rise in abundance by hitchhiking along with
 38 these adaptations. Nevertheless, this advantage is fleeting. As soon as the
 39 opportunities for adaptation are exhausted, cooperators are once again at a

selective disadvantage against equally-adapted defectors that arise via mutation. However, Hammarlund et al. (2015) demonstrated that cooperation can be maintained indefinitely when frequent environmental changes produce a steady stream of new adaptive opportunities. Although organisms typically find themselves in dynamic environments, the nature and frequency of these changes might not ensure long-term cooperator survival.

Importantly, however, organisms do more than simply experience changing environments passively. Through their activities, their interactions with others, and even their death, organisms constantly modify their environment. These changes can produce evolutionary feedback loops in which environmental change alters selection, which, in turn, alters the distribution of phenotypes and their corresponding influence on the environment (Odling-Smee *et al.*, 2003). The nature of this feedback can have dramatic evolutionary consequences. One critical distinction is whether the constructing phenotype or some other phenotype is most adapted in the constructed environment. Under positive niche construction, selection favoring the constructor is reinforced, and evolution eventually stagnates. Under negative niche construction, the constructed environment favors a different phenotype than the constructor. In this latter case, populations find themselves continually chasing beneficial mutations as their adaptive landscape perpetually shifts.

Here, we explore whether the selective feedbacks that result from niche construction can prolong cooperation. We build upon the model presented by Hammarlund et al. (2015) to allow populations to modify their local environments in ways that affect fitness. We use this model to address whether

64 niche construction can extend the Hawkshaw effect, enabling cooperation to
65 continue to hitchhike as populations continually adapt. As part of this, we
66 focus on how niche construction influences local interactions when isolated
67 cooperator populations encounter populations of defectors, either through mi-
68 gration or through mutations that inevitably produce defectors that share the
69 same adaptations. Finally, niche construction has frequently been shown to
70 increase diversity (???). We explore whether this diversity helps or hinders
71 the evolution of cooperation.

72 We find that niche construction can promote and sustain cooperation indef-
73 initely. However, the niche construction must have a negative component.
74 Furthermore, we show that the level of diversity promoted by this negative
75 feedback must be sufficiently low to favor the evolution of cooperation.

76 **Methods**

77 Building upon Hammarlund et al. (2015), we develop an individual-based
78 model in which populations of cooperators and defectors evolve and compete
79 in a metapopulation (a collection of populations). Through mutations, individ-
80 uals gain adaptations to their environment, which increase reproductive fitness,
81 and allow those lineages to rise in abundance. Migration among neighboring
82 populations allows more successful lineages to spread.

83 In our expanded model, populations modify their local environment. As this
84 process occurs, environmental changes feed back to affect selection. We per-
85 form simulations using this model to explore how niche construction affects

86 this adaptation process and whether selective feedbacks enable cooperation to
87 be maintained.

88 **Model Description**

89 **Individual Genotypes and Adaptation**

90 Each individual has a haploid genome with $L+1$ loci (see [Table 1](#) for model
91 parameters and their values). Different alleles at each locus are represented
92 by different integers. A binary allele at locus $L + 1$ determines whether that
93 individual is a cooperator (1), which carries fitness cost c , or a defector (0).
94 Cooperation is independent from adaptation to the environment. The first
95 L loci are *adaptive loci*, and are each occupied by 0 or a value from the set
96 $\{1, 2, \dots, A\}$. Allele 0 represents a lack of adaptation, while a non-zero allele
97 represents one of the A possible adaptations at that locus. Adaptations confer
98 a fitness benefit δ , regardless of which non-zero allele is present. We assume
99 $\delta > c$, which allows a minimally adapted cooperator to recoup the cost of
100 cooperation and gain a fitness advantage. The benefits that these adaptations
101 engender are purely exogenous, and are not affected by the other individuals
102 or the state of the environment.

103 **Niche Construction and Selective Feedbacks**

104 Individual fitness is also affected by the current state of the local environment.
105 Here, we represent the “niche” implicitly based on the allelic states present

106 in the population. As allelic states change, populations alter aspects of their
 107 environment, creating a unique niche.

108 We use a form of density dependent selection to favor individuals that better
 109 match their niche. Specifically, the selective value of adaptive allele a at locus
 110 l increases with the number of individuals in the population that have allele
 111 $a + 1$ at locus $l + 1$. As a consequence, genotypes with sequentially increasing
 112 allelic states will tend to evolve. We treat both adaptive loci and allelic states
 113 as “circular”: the selective value of an allele at locus L is affected by the allelic
 114 composition of the population at locus 1. Similarly, the selective value of allele
 115 A at any locus increases with the number of individuals carrying allele 1 at
 116 the next locus. This circularity is represented by the function $\beta(x, X)$, which
 117 gives the integer that follows an arbitrary value x in the set $\{1, 2, \dots, X\}$:

$$\beta(x, X) = \text{mod}_X(x) + 1 \quad (1)$$

118 Here, $\text{mod}_X(x)$ is the integer remainder when dividing x by X . The selective
 119 value of adaptive allele a at locus l is increased by ϵ for each individual in
 120 the population that has allele $\beta(a, A)$ at locus $\beta(l, L)$. Thus, ϵ specifies the
 121 intensity of niche construction.

122 Consider a genotype g with the allelic state at locus l given by $a_{g,l}$; the fitness
 123 of an individual with this genotype is defined as:

$$W_g = z + \delta \sum_{l=1}^L I(a_{g,l}) + \epsilon \sum_{l=1}^L n(\beta(a_{g,l}, A), \beta(l, L)) - ca_{g,L+1} \quad (2)$$

124 where z is a baseline fitness and $I(a)$ indicates whether a given adaptive allele
 125 is non-zero:

$$I(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in \{1, 2, \dots, A\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

126 Thus, an individual's fitness is determined both exogenously by adaptation (δ)
 127 and endogenously by its niche (ϵ).

128 Because mutations occur randomly (see below), each population will evolve
 129 different consecutive sequences. These different sequences represent the unique
 130 niches constructed by populations.

131 **Population Growth and the Benefit of Cooperation**

132 Cooperation benefits a population by enabling it to reach greater density. This
 133 benefit affects all individuals equally and accumulates linearly with the propor-
 134 tion of cooperators in the population. If p is the proportion of cooperators in
 135 a population at the beginning of a growth cycle, then that population reaches
 136 the following size:

$$S(p) = S_{min} + p(S_{max} - S_{min}) \quad (4)$$

137 During population growth, individuals compete through differential reproduc-
 138 tion. Each individual's probability of success is determined by its fitness. The
 139 composition of a population with size P and cooperator proportion p after

140 growth is multinomial with parameters $S(p)$ and $\{\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_P\}$, where:

$$\pi_i = \frac{W_{\gamma(i)}}{\sum_{j=1}^P W_{\gamma(j)}} \quad (5)$$

141 Here, $W_{\gamma(i)}$ is the fitness of an individual i with genotype $\gamma(i)$ (see Equation 2).

142 The value π_i represents an individual's reproductive fitness relative to others
143 in the population.

144 **Mutation**

145 For simplicity, we apply mutations after population growth. Mutations occur
146 independently at each locus and cause an allelic state change. At each adaptive
147 locus, mutations occur at rate μ_a . These mutations replace the existing allele
148 with a random selection from the set $\{0\} \cup \{1, 2, \dots, A\}$. Note that this allows
149 for the possibility of an allele replacing itself, thus slightly reducing the effective
150 mutation rate. At the binary cooperation locus, mutations occur at rate μ_c .
151 These mutations flip the allelic state, causing cooperators to become defectors
152 and vice versa.

153 **Migration**

154 Metapopulations are composed by N^2 patches arranged as an $N \times N$ lattice,
155 where each patch can support a population. After mutation, individuals emi-
156 grate to an adjacent patch with probability m . During each migration event,
157 a single destination patch is randomly chosen with uniform probability from

158 each source patch’s Moore neighborhood, which is composed of the nearest
159 8 patches on the lattice. Because the metapopulation lattice has boundaries,
160 patches located on the periphery have smaller neighborhoods.

161 **Metapopulation Initialization and Simulation**

162 At the beginning of each simulation, populations are seeded at all patches
163 with cooperator proportion p_0 and grown to density $S(p_0)$. An environmental
164 challenge is then introduced, which subjects all populations to a bottleneck.
165 For each individual, the probability of survival is μ_t , which represents the
166 likelihood that tolerance arises via mutation. Because individuals have not yet
167 adapted to this new environment, the allelic state of each individual’s genotype
168 is 0 at each adaptive locus. Following initialization, simulations are run for
169 T cycles, where each discrete cycle consists of population growth, mutation,
170 migration, and thinning. Thinning allows for growth in the next cycle. Each
171 individual remains with probability d , regardless of allelic state.

172 **Simulation Source Code and Software Dependencies**

173 The simulation software and configurations for the experiments reported are
174 available online.¹ Simulations used Python 3.4, NumPy 1.9.1, Pandas 0.15.2
175 (McKinney, 2010), and NetworkX 1.9.1 (Hagberg *et al.*, 2008). Data analy-
176 ses were performed with R 3.1.3 (R Core Team, 2015). Reported confidence
177 intervals were estimated by bootstrapping with 1000 resamples.

¹To be made public at the time of publication

Results

Using the model described in the previous section, we perform simulations that follow the evolution of cooperation in a metapopulation consisting of populations that are connected by spatially-limited migration. Individuals compete in these populations by gaining a limited number of adaptations that confer fitness benefits. While cooperation does not directly affect the selective value of these adaptations, cooperation can have indirect effects on the adaptive process. Specifically, cooperation increases population density. As a result, larger populations of cooperators experience more mutational opportunities to gain adaptations. Cooperation can hitchhike along with these adaptations, which compensate for the cost of cooperation. During this process, populations alter their local environments, which, in turn, influences selection. Here, we explore how niche construction affects the evolution of cooperation in the simulation environment defined by the parameter values listed in [Table 1](#).

Cooperation Persists with Niche Construction

Without any opportunity for adaptation ($L = 0$), cooperators are swiftly eliminated in competition with defectors ([Figure 2A](#)). Despite an initial lift in cooperator abundance due to increased productivity, the cost of cooperation becomes disadvantageous as migration mixes the initially isolated populations. When there are opportunities for adaptation ($L = 5$) but no niche construction ($\epsilon = 0$), cooperators are maintained transiently ([Figure 2B](#)). Here, larger cooperator populations can more quickly adapt to their environment as before.

200 As previously described by Hammarlund et al. (2015), however, cooperation
201 is subsequently lost once populations become fully adapted to their environ-
202 ment. Once this has occurred, adapted defectors that arise via mutation at the
203 cooperation locus have a selective advantage and displace cooperators. How-
204 ever, when niche construction creates selective feedbacks, cooperation persists
205 in over 2/3 of the replicate populations (Figure 3A).

206 **Fitness Increases Alone do not Support Persisting Coop-** 207 **eration**

208 In the model, both adaptation and niche construction contribute to an indi-
209 vidual's fitness. To determine whether cooperation is maintained solely due to
210 the larger selective values that result from the contributions of niche construc-
211 tion (ϵ), we performed simulations in which these contributions were removed
212 ($\epsilon = 0$), and we instead increased the fitness benefits conferred by adaptation
213 ($\delta = 0.6$). In doing so, we conservatively estimate the selective effects of niche
214 construction, as fitness benefits of this magnitude would only be given for se-
215 quential allelic states that are fixed in full populations. We find that simply
216 increasing selective values does not enable cooperators to persist (Figure 3B).
217 Niche construction therefore plays an important role here.

218 Negative Niche Construction is Critical to Cooperator 219 Persistence

220 Negative niche construction can occur in our model due to the selection for
221 sequentially-increasing allelic states and the circular arrangement of these alle-
222 les. This occurs when the number of adaptive alleles (A) does not divide evenly
223 into the number of adaptive loci (L). In such a case, any sequence of integers
224 on the circular genome will always contain a break in the sequence; that is,
225 one locus with an allele that is not one less than the allele at the next locus
226 (see Figure 1). Given this unavoidable mismatch, any genotype that has fixed
227 will always favor selection for a new genotype (see Figure). However, if this
228 negative niche construction is removed (by setting $L = 5$, $A = 5$), cooperators
229 are again driven extinct after an initial lift in abundance (Figure 3C).

230 Selective Feedbacks Limit Defector Invasion

231 The adaptation resulting from selective feedbacks can limit invasion by de-
232 fectors, which arise either through immigration from neighboring patches or
233 through mutation from a cooperator ancestor. The challenge is particularly
234 threatening, as they are equally adapted, yet do not incur the cost of coopera-
235 tion. When homologous defectors (i.e., defectors with identical adaptive loci)
236 are introduced as a single population in the center of an 11×11 metapopu-
237 lation of cooperators, they quickly spread if no mutations are allowed (Figure
238 4A). However, when resident cooperators can adapt (mutations occur at adap-
239 tive loci), cooperators evade defector invasion in over half of the replicate

metapopulations (Figure 4B). Figure 5 depicts one such instance where cooperators gained an adaptation that stopped and eliminated invading defectors. We further highlight this process in Figure 4C, where an adapted cooperator genotype can rapidly invade a population of defectors.

Diversity Hampers the Evolution of Cooperation

TODO: defector can invade a diverse population of cooperators, while adaptation to a matching defector can't spread to stop invasion.

Discussion

Despite their negative effects, deleterious traits can rise in abundance due to genetic linkage with other traits that are strongly favored by selection (Maynard Smith and Haigh, 1974). In a process termed the “Hankshaw effect”, Hammarlund et al. (2015) recently demonstrated that cooperation can prolong its existence by increasing the likelihood of hitchhiking with a beneficial trait. While this process does favor cooperation in the short term, it eventually reaches a dead end; when the opportunities for adaptation are exhausted, and cooperators can no longer hitchhike, they face extinction. In this work, we have considered whether niche construction might serve to perpetually generate new adaptive opportunities, and thus favor cooperation indefinitely.

When niche construction occurs, cooperation can indeed persist (Figure 3A). In our model, niche construction introduces additional selective effects that could

influence the evolutionary process, leading to a more pronounced Hankshaw effect. However, simply raising the selective benefits provided by adaptations does not prolong cooperation (Figure 3B), and indicates that niche construction plays an important role.

We find that cooperator success is due to niche construction. Further, we find that it is specifically negative niche construction that maintains cooperation (Figure 3C). Without adaptive opportunities, adaptation eventually grinds to a halt. Once this occurs, cooperators face the threat of invasion by defectors that arise de novo through mutation. Since these defectors are equally adapted but do not bear the cost of cooperation, they quickly drive cooperators to extinction. Because every genotype constructs an environment in which a different genotype is more fit, negative niche construction creates continual adaptive opportunities. These opportunities can allow cooperators to resist invasion by defectors, even when defectors are equally adapted (Figure 4B). Here we observe another facet of the Hankshaw effect: because populations of cooperators are larger, they are better able to respond to the adaptive opportunities that result from negative niche construction.

TODO: diversity results TODO: references about diversity

In our model, cooperation and niche construction are orthogonal, which allows us to focus on hitchhiking. However, the form of cooperation used in this model could itself be seen as a niche constructing behavior. Explicitly modeling this cooperative behavior, which is akin to the production of public goods, would likely yield additional insights into the relationship between

283 cooperation and niche construction. For example, previous work has shown
284 that niche construction can favor deleterious alleles (Laland *et al.*, 1996, 1999).
285 Cooperation, especially in competition against equally-adapted defectors, can
286 be considered deleterious, so introducing selective feedbacks from cooperation
287 could further bolster cooperation. Van Dyken and Wade (2012) showed that
288 when two cooperative behaviors co-evolve and niche construction feedbacks
289 benefit the other type, niche construction can increasingly favor these traits,
290 which were otherwise disfavored when alone. Arguably, this can be viewed
291 as another instance of hitchhiking: the maladaptive form of cooperation is
292 maintained by association with the adaptive form. However, negative niche
293 construction then reverses these roles and perpetuates the cycle.

294 By their very nature, public goods benefit populations by making their envi-
295 ronment more hospitable (West *et al.*, 2007a). For example, bacteria produce
296 a host of extracellular products that scavenge soluble iron (Griffin *et al.*, 2004),
297 digest large proteins (Diggle *et al.*, 2007; Darch *et al.*, 2012), and reduce the
298 risk of predation (Cosson *et al.*, 2002). While many studies have focused on
299 how the environment affects the evolution of cooperative behaviors such as
300 the production of these public goods, relatively few have examined how the
301 resulting selective feedbacks influence evolution as public goods modify the
302 environment. In these instances, environmental changes are likely to occur
303 on different timescales than reproduction. These differences can have pro-
304 found effects. For example, a multitude of factors including protein durabil-
305 ity (Brown and Taddei, 2007; Kümmerli and Brown, 2010), diffusion (Allison,
306 2005; Driscoll and Pepper, 2010), and resource availability (Zhang and Rainey,

2013; Ghoul *et al.*, 2014) influence both the rate and the degree to which public goods alter the environment. Lehmann (2007) demonstrated that cooperative, niche constructing behaviors can be favored when they affect selection for future generations. When this occurs, conflict among contemporary kin is reduced. The evolutionary inertia that this creates, however, may ultimately work against cooperators. When public goods accumulate in the environment, cooperators must decrease production to remain competitive (Kümmerli and Brown, 2010; Dumas and Kümmerli, 2012). This favors cooperation that occurs facultatively, perhaps by sensing the abiotic (Bernier *et al.*, 2011; Koestler and Waters, 2014) or biotic environment (Brown and Johnstone, 2001; Darch *et al.*, 2012).

In many instances where cooperation occurs, the environment is itself a biological entity, which can introduce additional evolutionary feedbacks. As the host population changes, so too does selection on their symbiont populations. Here, evolutionary outcomes depend greatly on the degree of shared interest between the host and symbiont. For example, the cooperative production of virulence factors by the human pathogen *P. aeruginosa* in lung infections is harmful to hosts with cystic fibrosis (Harrison, 2007). Conversely, cooperative light production by *A. fischeri* is vital for the survival of its host, the Hawaiian bobtail squid (Ruby, 1996). It was recently argued that incorporating the effects of niche construction is critical for improving our understanding of viral evolution (Hamblin *et al.*, 2014) and evolution in co-infecting parasites (Hafer and Milinski, 2015). Incorporating host dynamics, co-evolution, and the feedbacks that they produce into models is likely to be equally important for gaining

331 an understanding of how cooperative behaviors evolve in these host-symbiont
332 settings.

333 **Acknowledgments**

334 We are grateful to TODO for helpful comments on the manuscript and to
335 Anuraag Pakanati for assistance with simulations. This material is based upon
336 work supported by the National Science Foundation Postdoctoral Research
337 Fellowship in Biology under Grant No. DBI-1309318 (to BDC) and under
338 Cooperative Agreement No. DBI-0939454 (BEACON STC). Computational
339 resources were provided by an award from Google Inc. (to BDC and BK).

340 Figures

341 Figure 1




Figure 1: Negative niche construction is illustrated for the case of five adaptive loci ($L = 5$) and six alleles ($A = 6$). The adaptive loci are wrapped into a circle, where niche construction at each locus influences selection at the next locus in the clockwise direction. Suppose we start with a population fixed for the genotype on the far left, $[1,2,3,4,5]$. There is a mismatch in this genotype (highlighted by the red arc), because the niche constructed by allele 5 favors allele 6 (not 1) at its immediate clockwise neighbor. If the fitter mutant $[6,2,3,4,5]$ arises (see next genotype to the right), it will fix (we note that the strength of selection will drop as its frequency increases). However, now there is a new mismatch in the genotype (highlighted again with a red arc). Thus, we see that correcting one mismatch generates a new mismatch. Thus, this system will never escape these mismatches—the red arc just moves clockwise around the genome. Indeed, after six (or A) rounds of mismatch correction/generation, we have ended back where we started with the original genotype turned clockwise by one locus. Here, the adaptation to previous niche construction generates further niche construction that leads to novel adaptation.

342 **Figure 2**




Figure 2: Adaptation, Hitchhiking, and the Evolution of Cooperation. The proportion of cooperators present in the population is shown for the duration of simulations. Curves show the average among replicate populations, and shaded areas indicate 95% confidence intervals. Unless otherwise noted, parameter values are listed in [Table 1](#). **(A)** Without any opportunity to adapt (L , the number of adaptive loci, is zero), cooperation is quickly lost. **(B)** When adaptation can occur ($L = 5$), but populations do not alter their environment (ϵ , the intensity of niche construction, is zero), cooperation hitchhikes along with adaptations, allowing cooperators to temporarily rise in abundance before eventually going extinct.

343 **Figure 3**




Figure 3: Niche Construction and the Evolution of Cooperation. The proportion of cooperators present in the population is shown for the duration of simulations. Curves show the average among replicate populations, and shaded areas indicate 95% confidence intervals. **(A)** Niche construction enables cooperation to be maintained indefinitely. In the majority of populations (13/18), cooperation remained the dominant strategy. **(B)** When niche construction is removed and the fitness benefit of adaptation is increased to compensate ($\epsilon = 0$, $\delta = 0.6$), adapted defectors arise and drive cooperators to extinction. **(C)** Without negative niche construction, cooperation is not maintained ($A = 5$).

344 **Figure 4**




Figure 4: **Niche Construction and Invasion.** Curves trace the proportion of cooperators present in the population for the duration of 160 replicate simulations ($T = 1000$). These experiments examine whether a rare cooperator or defector strategy can invade when initiated at a single population in the center of the metapopulation lattice ($N^2 = 121$). Unless otherwise noted, mutations ($\mu_a = 0, \mu_c = 0$) are disabled in these ecological simulations to focus on the dynamics of invasion. Figure S1 shows results from simulations where this limitation is removed. **(A)** When cooperators and defectors are matched at their adaptive loci (i.e., genotypes $[1,2,3,4,5]$) and mutation cannot occur, rare defectors quickly invade and drive cooperators to extinction due to the cost of cooperation. Defectors were stochastically eliminated in 2 replicate populations. **(B)** However, the adaptive opportunities produced by negative niche construction can allow cooperators to resist invasion by initially-matching defectors. Here, cooperation persisted in the majority of populations ($\mu_a = 0.00005$, the base mutation rate). **(C)** Here we demonstrate that these adaptations can enable an adapted cooperator (genotype $[1,2,3,4,6]$) to displace a population of defectors when defectors cannot arise or adapt via mutation.

345 **Figure 5**




Figure 5: **Defector Invasion Stopped by Cooperator Adaptation.** Here we depict the distribution of dominant genotypes among populations over time for one representative simulation in which matched defectors arise. For clarity, mutations occurred at the adaptive loci, but not at the cooperation locus ($\mu_c = 0$) during this ecological simulation. A time $t = 0$ (leftmost panel), a single matched defector population (red) is placed among cooperator populations (blue). Because it does not bear the costs of cooperation, it spreads ($t = 272$, second panel). However, one cooperator population gains an adaptation giving it a fitness advantage over defectors (purple, lower left). At $t = 325$ (third panel), defectors continue to invade cooperator populations. However, the adapted cooperator genotype, which can invade both defector populations and ancestral cooperator populations, can spread more quickly as populations with that genotype reach greater densities. Eventually, this strategy spreads and fixes in all populations (rightmost panel).

³⁴⁶ **Figure 6**

³⁴⁷ TODO: A: defector invading diverse C population, B: Adapted cooperators
³⁴⁸ cannot spread to resist defector invasion.

³⁴⁹ **Supplemental Figure 1**




Figure S1: **Defector Invasion with Mutations.** With mutations occurring both at the adaptive loci and the cooperation locus ($\mu_a = \mu_c = 0.00005$), cooperation remains the dominant strategy in 58 replicate simulations. Curves trace the proportion of cooperators present in the population for the duration of 160 replicate simulations ($T = 1000$)

Table 1: Model parameters and their value

Parameter	Description	Base Value
L	Number of adaptive loci	5
c	Fitness cost of cooperation	0.1
A	Number of alleles	6
δ	Fitness benefit, nonzero alleles	0.3
ϵ	Fitness benefit, sequential alleles	0.00015
z	Baseline fitness	1
S_{min}	Minimum population size	800
S_{max}	Maximum population size	2000
μ_a	Mutation rate (adaptation)	10^{-5}
μ_c	Mutation rate (cooperation)	10^{-5}
N^2	Number of metapopulation sites	625
m	Migration rate	0.05
d	Population dilution factor	0.1
p_0	Initial cooperator proportion	0.5
μ_t	Mutation rate (tolerance to new environment)	10^{-5}
T	Number of simulation cycles	3000

References

Allison, S.D. 2005. Cheaters, diffusion and nutrients constrain decomposition by microbial enzymes in spatially structured environments. *Ecology Letters*, **8**: 626–635.

Asfahl, K.L., Walsh, J., Gilbert, K. and Schuster, M. 2015. Non-social adaptation defers a tragedy of the commons in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* quorum sensing. *The ISME Journal*, doi: [10.1038/ismej.2014.259](https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.259).

Bernier, S.P., Ha, D.-G., Khan, W., Merritt, J.H.M. and O'Toole, G.A. 2011. Modulation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* surface-associated group behaviors by individual amino acids through c-di-GMP signaling. *Research in Microbiology*, **162**: 680–688.

Brown, S.P. and Johnstone, R.A. 2001. Cooperation in the dark: Signalling and collective action in quorum-sensing bacteria. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, **268**: 961–965.

Brown, S.P. and Taddei, F. 2007. The durability of public goods changes the dynamics and nature of social dilemmas. *PLoS ONE*, **2**: e593.

Cosson, P., Zulianello, L., Join-Lambert, O., Faurisson, F., Gebbie, L. and Benghezal, M.*et al.* 2002. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* virulence analyzed in a *Dictyostelium discoideum* host system. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **184**: 3027–3033.

Dandekar, A.A., Chugani, S. and Greenberg, E.P. 2012. Bacterial quorum sensing and metabolic incentives to cooperate. *Science*, **338**: 264–266.

373 Darch, S.E., West, S.A., Winzer, K. and Diggle, S.P. 2012. Density-dependent
374 fitness benefits in quorum-sensing bacterial populations. *Proceedings of the*
375 *National Academy of Sciences*, **109**: 8259–8263.

376 Diggle, S.P., Griffin, A.S., Campbell, G.S. and West, S.A. 2007. Cooperation
377 and conflict in quorum-sensing bacterial populations. *Nature*, **450**: 411–414.

378 Driscoll, W.W. and Pepper, J.W. 2010. Theory for the evolution of diffusible
379 external goods. *Evolution*, **64**: 2682–2687.

380 Dumas, Z. and Kümmerli, R. 2012. Cost of cooperation rules selection for
381 cheats in bacterial metapopulations. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **25**:
382 473–484.

383 Fletcher, J.A. and Doebeli, M. 2009. A simple and general explanation for the
384 evolution of altruism. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,
385 **276**: 13–19.

386 Foster, K., Shaulsky, G., Strassmann, J., Queller, D. and Thompson, C. 2004.
387 Pleiotropy as a mechanism to stabilize cooperation. *Nature*, **431**: 693–696.

388 Gardner, A. and West, S.A. 2010. Greenbeards. *Evolution*, **64**: 25–38.

389 Ghoul, M., West, S.A., Diggle, S.P. and Griffin, A.S. 2014. An experimental
390 test of whether cheating is context dependent. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*,
391 **27**: 551–556.

392 Griffin, A.S., West, S.A. and Buckling, A. 2004. Cooperation and competition
393 in pathogenic bacteria. *Nature*, **430**: 1024–1027.

394 Hafer, N. and Milinski, M. 2015. When parasites disagree: Evidence

395 for parasite-induced sabotage of host manipulation. *Evolution*, doi:
396 [10.1111/evo.12612](https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.12612).

397 Hagberg, A.A., Schult, D.A. and Swart, P.J. 2008. Exploring network struc-
398 ture, dynamics, and function using NetworkX. In: *Proceedings of the 7th*
399 *Python in Science Conference (SciPy2008)*, pp. 11–15.

400 Hamblin, S.R., White, P.A. and Tanaka, M.M. 2014. Viral niche construction
401 alters hosts and ecosystems at multiple scales. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*,
402 **29**: 594–599.

403 Hamilton, W.D. 1964. The genetical evolution of social behaviour I & II.
404 *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, **7**: 1–52.

405 Hammarlund, S.P., Connelly, B.D., Dickinson, K.J. and Kerr, B. 2015. The
406 evolution of cooperation by the Hankshaw effect. *bioRxiv*, doi: [10.1101/016667](https://doi.org/10.1101/016667).
407 Cold Spring Harbor Labs Journals.

408 Harrison, F. 2007. Microbial ecology of the cystic fibrosis lung. *Microbiology*,
409 **153**: 917–923.

410 Koestler, B.J. and Waters, C.M. 2014. Bile acids and bicarbonate inversely
411 regulate intracellular cyclic di-GMP in *Vibrio cholerae*. *Infection and Immu-*
412 *nity*, **82**: 3002–3014.

413 Kuzdzal-Fick, J.J., Fox, S.A., Strassmann, J.E. and Queller, D.C. 2011. High
414 relatedness is necessary and sufficient to maintain multicellularity in *Dic-*
415 *tyostelium*. *Science*, **334**: 1548–1551.

416 Kümmerli, R. and Brown, S.P. 2010. Molecular and regulatory properties of

417 a public good shape the evolution of cooperation. *Proceedings of the National*
418 *Academy of Sciences*, **107**: 18921–18926.

419 Laland, K.N., Odling-Smee, F.J. and Feldman, M.W. 1999. Evolutionary con-
420 sequences of niche construction and their implications for ecology. *Proceedings*
421 *of the National Academy of Sciences*, **96**: 10242–10247.

422 Laland, K.N., Odling-Smee, F.J. and Feldman, M.W. 1996. The evolutionary
423 consequences of niche construction: A theoretical investigation using two-locus
424 theory. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **9**: 293–316.

425 Lehmann, L. 2007. The evolution of trans-generational altruism: Kin selection
426 meets niche construction. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **20**: 181–189.

427 Maynard Smith, J. and Haigh, J. 1974. The hitch-hiking effect of a favourable
428 gene. *Genetics Research*, **23**: 23–35.

429 McKinney, W. 2010. Data structures for statistical computing in Python. In:
430 *Proceedings of the 9th Python in Science Conference* (S. van der Walt and J.
431 Millman, eds), pp. 51–56.

432 Morgan, A.D., Quigley, B.J.Z., Brown, S.P. and Buckling, A. 2012. Selection
433 on non-social traits limits the invasion of social cheats. *Ecology Letters*, **15**:
434 841–846.

435 Nadell, C.D., Foster, K.R. and Xavier, J.B. 2010. Emergence of spatial struc-
436 ture in cell groups and the evolution of cooperation. *PLoS Computational*
437 *Biology*, **6**: e1000716.

438 Nowak, M.A. 2006. Five rules for the evolution of cooperation. *Science*, **314**:

439 1560–1563.

440 Odling-Smee, F.J., Laland, K.N. and Feldman, M.W. 2003. *Niche construc-*
441 *tion: The neglected process in evolution*. Princeton University Press.

442 R Core Team. 2015. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*.
443 Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

444 Ruby, E.G. 1996. Lessons from a cooperative, bacterial-animal association:
445 The *Vibrio fischeri*–*Euprymna scolopes* light organ symbiosis. *Annual Review*
446 *of Microbiology*, **50**: 591–624.

447 Sinervo, B., Chaine, A., Clobert, J., Calsbeek, R., Hazard, L. and Lancaster,
448 L.*et al.* 2006. Self-recognition, color signals, and cycles of greenbeard mutu-
449 alism and altruism. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **103**:
450 7372–7377.

451 Van Dyken, J.D. and Wade, M.J. 2012. Origins of altruism diversity II: Run-
452 away coevolution of altruistic strategies via “reciprocal niche construction”.
453 *Evolution*, **66**: 2498–2513.

454 Veelders, M., Brückner, S., Ott, D., Unverzagt, C., Mösch, H.-U. and Essen,
455 L.-O. 2010. Structural basis of flocculin-mediated social behavior in yeast.
456 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **107**: 22511–22516.

457 Waite, A.J. and Shou, W. 2012. Adaptation to a new environment allows coop-
458 erators to purge cheaters stochastically. *Proceedings of the National Academy*
459 *of Sciences*, **109**: 19079–19086.

460 West, S.A., Diggle, S.P., Buckling, A., Gardner, A. and Griffin, A.S. 2007a.

- 461 The social lives of microbes. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Sys-*
462 *tematics*, **38**: 53–77.
- 463 West, S.A., Griffin, A.S. and Gardner, A. 2007b. Evolutionary explanations
464 for cooperation. *Current Biology*, **17**: R661–R672.
- 465 Zhang, X.-X. and Rainey, P.B. 2013. Exploring the sociobiology of pyoverdin-
466 producing *Pseudomonas*. *Evolution*, **67**: 3161–3174.