## A CODE-VERIFICATION PLAN FOR COLLISIONAL PLASMA DYNAMICS

Brian A. Freno
Thomas M. Smith Christopher H. Moore
William J. McDoniel Duncan A. O. McGregor
Sandia National Laboratories

ASME Verification, Validation, and Uncertainty Quantification Symposium April 9–10, 2025

Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology and Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC., a wholly owned subsidiary of Honopewell International, Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA-0003525





- Introduction
- Particle-in-Cell Method
- Existing Work
- Proposed Approach
- Summary

#### • Introduction

- Plasma Dynamics
- Verification and Validation
- Code Verification
- Code-Verification Goal
- Particle-in-Cell Method
- Existing Work
- Proposed Approach
- Summary

000000

- Plasma dynamics important for many scientific and engineering applications
  - Fusion energy research stable conditions for nuclear fusion
  - Space physics interactions between solar wind and planetary magnetospheres
  - Accelerator physics particle beam dynamics for research, medicine, industry
  - Semiconductor manufacturing plasma-assisted processes for circuits
- Plasma dynamics commonly modeled by particle-in-cell (PIC) method
  - Maxwell's equations to compute electromagnetic fields on grid
  - Equations of motion due to Lorentz force for large number of charged particles
  - Fields interpolated to particles, particle properties distributed to grid



#### Verification and Validation

Credibility of computational physics codes requires verification and validation

- Validation assesses how well models represent physical phenomena
  - Compare computational results with experimental results
  - Assess suitability of models, model error, and bounds of validity
- Verification assesses accuracy of numerical solutions against expectations
  - Solution verification estimates numerical error for particular solution
  - Code verification assesses correctness of numerical-method implementation



#### Discretization Error

Introduction

Code verification assesses correctness of numerical-method implementation

Continuous equations are numerically discretized

$$\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0} \quad o \quad \mathbf{r}_h(\mathbf{u}_h) = \mathbf{0}$$

• Discretization error is introduced in solution

$$\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{u}_h - \mathbf{u}$$

Discretization error should decrease as discretization is refined

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\mathbf{e}=\mathbf{0}$$

• More rigorously, should decrease at an expected rate

$$\|\mathbf{e}\| \approx Ch^p$$

Measuring error requires exact solution – usually unavailable

#### Manufactured solutions are popular alternative

- Manufacture an arbitrary solution **u**<sub>MS</sub>
- Insert manufactured solution into continuous equations to get residual term

$$\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{MS}}) \neq \mathbf{0}$$

• Add residual term to discretized equations

$$\mathbf{r}_h(\mathbf{u}_h) = \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{MS}})$$

to coerce solution to manufactured solution

$$\mathbf{u}_h \to \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{MS}}$$

#### Existing code-verification work

- Plasma dynamics without collisions: distribution modeled by Vlasov equation
- Electrostatics (negligible magnetic field influence): Poisson equation
- 1D-1V, 2D-2V
- Our code-verification goal
  - Plasma dynamics with collisions: distribution modeled by Boltzmann equation
  - Electromagnetics: Maxwell's equations
  - -3D-3V

- Particle-in-Cell Method
  - Overview
  - Equations of Motion for Charged Particles
  - Collision Term
  - Maxwell's Equations
- Existing Work
- Proposed Approach
- Summary

- Place weighted computational particles randomly in phase space (according to distribution function)
- Interpolate particle charge onto spatial mesh nodes
- Solve Maxwell's equations on spatial mesh for electromagnetic fields
- Interpolate fields onto particles
- For each particle, integrate equations of motion

Equations of motion for each particle:

$$\frac{dw_p}{dt} = \frac{(\delta f/\delta t)_{\text{coll}}}{f(\mathbf{x}_p(0), \mathbf{v}_p(0), 0)}, \qquad \frac{d\mathbf{x}_p}{dt} = \mathbf{v}_p, \qquad \frac{d\mathbf{v}_p}{dt} = \frac{\mathbf{F}_p}{m}$$

- $w_p$  is computational particle weight,  $(\delta f/\delta t)_{\text{coll}}$  is numerical collision term
- $f(\mathbf{x}_{p}, \mathbf{v}_{p}, t)$  is particle distribution function
- $\mathbf{F}_p = \frac{q}{m} (\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}_p,t) + \mathbf{v}_p \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}_p,t))$  is Lorentz force
- E and B are electric and magnetic fields
- m and q are species mass and charge

Increasing  $N_p$ , distribution function evolution approaches Boltzmann equation:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f + \frac{\mathbf{F}}{m} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{coll}}$$

•  $(\partial f/\partial t)_{\text{coll}}$  is analytical collision term

## Equations of Motion for Charged Particles (Single Species)

Equations of motion for each particle (collisionless):

$$\frac{dw_p}{dt} = \frac{\underbrace{(\delta f/\delta t)_{\text{coll}}}^0}{f(\mathbf{x}_p(0), \mathbf{v}_p(0), 0)}, \qquad \frac{d\mathbf{x}_p}{dt} = \mathbf{v}_p, \qquad \frac{d\mathbf{v}_p}{dt} = \frac{\mathbf{F}_p}{m}$$

- $w_p$  is computational particle weight,  $(\delta f/\delta t)_{\text{coll}}$  is numerical collision term
- $f(\mathbf{x}_p, \mathbf{v}_p, t)$  is particle distribution function
- $\mathbf{F}_p = \frac{q}{m} (\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}_p, t) + \mathbf{v}_p \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}_p, t))$  is Lorentz force
- ullet E and B are electric and magnetic fields
- ullet m and q are species mass and charge

Increasing  $N_p$ , distribution function evolution approaches Boltzmann equation:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f + \frac{\mathbf{F}}{m} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{coll}}$$

•  $(\partial f/\partial t)_{\text{coll}}$  is analytical collision term

## Equations of Motion for Charged Particles (Single Species)

Equations of motion for each particle (electrostatic):

$$\frac{dw_p}{dt} = \frac{\left(\delta f/\delta t\right)_{\text{coll}}}{f\left(\mathbf{x}_p(0), \mathbf{v}_p(0), 0\right)}, \qquad \frac{d\mathbf{x}_p}{dt} = \mathbf{v}_p, \qquad \frac{d\mathbf{v}_p}{dt} = \frac{\mathbf{F}_p}{m}$$

- $w_p$  is computational particle weight,  $(\delta f/\delta t)_{\text{coll}}$  is numerical collision term
- $f(\mathbf{x}_p, \mathbf{v}_p, t)$  is particle distribution function
- $\mathbf{F}_p = \frac{q}{m} (\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}_p, t) + \mathbf{v}_p \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}_p, t))$  is Lorentz force
- E and B are electric and magnetic fields
- m and q are species mass and charge

Increasing  $N_p$ , distribution function evolution approaches Boltzmann equation:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f + \frac{\mathbf{F}}{m} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{coll}}$$

•  $(\partial f/\partial t)_{\text{coll}}$  is analytical collision term

#### Analytical collision term for binary elastic collisions is 6D integral

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{coll}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} [f(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}, t) f(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}', t) - f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, t) f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}', t)] g\sigma(g, \Omega) d\Omega d\mathbf{v}'$$

- v and v' are pre-collision velocities of two particles
- $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}'$  are post-collision velocities of two particles
- $q = |\mathbf{v'} \mathbf{v}| = |\tilde{\mathbf{v}}' \tilde{\mathbf{v}}|$  is relative speed
- $\sigma$  is differential scattering cross section of collision
- $\Omega$  is solid angle defining direction of post-collision particle scattering

Odd power of g complicates analytical evaluation of integral

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \left( \mathbf{J} + \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \right)$$

- Charge conservation  $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0$
- Charge density  $\rho(\mathbf{x},t) = q \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, t) d\mathbf{v}$
- Electric current density  $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x},t) = q \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v},t) \mathbf{v} d\mathbf{v}$
- $\epsilon_0$  and  $\mu_0$  are permittivity and permeability of free space

Gauss's law

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

 $\begin{array}{c} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \end{array} \right\} \ \, \text{Satisfied due to} \\ \text{charge conservation}$ 

Gauss's law for magnetism

Faraday's law of induction  $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$ 

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \left( \mathbf{J} + \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \right)$$

Ampère's circuital law

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \left( \mathbf{J} + \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} \right)$$

- Charge conservation  $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0$
- Charge density  $\rho(\mathbf{x},t) = q \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v},t) d\mathbf{v}$
- Electric current density  $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x},t) = q \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v},t) \mathbf{v} d\mathbf{v}$
- $\epsilon_0$  and  $\mu_0$  are permittivity and permeability of free space

## Maxwell's Equations (Electrostatic Case)

Gauss's law

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$
  $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \phi \to \Delta \phi = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$ 

Gauss's law for magnetism

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$

Faraday's law of induction  $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$ 

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$$

Ampère's circuital law

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \left( \mathbf{J} + \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \right)$$

- Charge conservation  $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0$
- Charge density  $\rho(\mathbf{x},t) = q \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v},t) d\mathbf{v}$
- Electric current density  $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x},t) = q \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v},t) \mathbf{v} d\mathbf{v}$
- $\epsilon_0$  and  $\mu_0$  are permittivity and permeability of free space

#### Outline

- Introduction
- Particle-in-Cell Method
- Existing Work
  - Collisionless, Electrostatic Plasma Dynamics
  - Manufactured Solutions
- Proposed Approach
- Summary

Collisionless electrostatic plasma dynamics:

$$\frac{dw_p}{dt} = 0, \qquad \frac{d\mathbf{x}_p}{dt} = \mathbf{v}_p, \qquad \frac{d\mathbf{v}_p}{dt} = \frac{q}{m}\mathbf{E}_p, \qquad \Delta\phi = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

- Riva et al., Physics of Plasmas (2017)
  - 1D, electrons
  - Maximum error in **E** computed over all  $\mathbf{x}_p$  and t
  - Multiple approaches with varying expense to measure error in f
  - Results convincingly converge at expected rates
- Tranquilli et al., Journal of Computational Physics (2022)
  - 2D, positively and negatively charged particles
  - $L^2$  norm of error in  $\rho$ , **E**, and  $\phi$
  - Argues against the need to measure error in f



#### Manufacture

- Particle distribution function  $f_M(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, t) = f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}, t) f_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{v})$
- Electromagnetic field  $\mathbf{E}_{M}(\mathbf{x},t)$

Compute source terms based on Vlasov and Poisson equations

$$S_f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, t) = \frac{\partial f_M}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f_M + \frac{q}{m} \mathbf{E}_M \cdot \frac{\partial f_M}{\partial \mathbf{v}}, \qquad S_{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_M - \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

Modify weight evolution equation to be

$$\frac{d}{dt}w_p(t) = \frac{\frac{d}{dt}f_M(\mathbf{x}_p(t), \mathbf{v}_p(t), 0)}{f_0(\mathbf{x}_p(0), \mathbf{v}_p(0))} = \frac{S_f(\mathbf{x}_p(t), \mathbf{v}_p(t), t)}{f_0(\mathbf{x}_p(0), \mathbf{v}_p(0))},$$

where

$$w_p(0) = \frac{f_M(\mathbf{x}_p(0), \mathbf{v}_p(0), 0)}{f_0(\mathbf{x}_p(0), \mathbf{v}_p(0))}$$



- Introduction
- Particle-in-Cell Method
- Existing Work
- Proposed Approach
  - Particle Distribution Function
  - Collisionless Plasma Dynamics
  - Collisional Plasma Dynamics
  - Error Metrics
- Summary

#### Particle Distribution Function

Assume  $f_M$  takes the form of 3D analog of previous work:

$$f_M(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, t) = f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}, t) f_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{v}),$$

where

$$f_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{v}) = f_v(u)f_v(v)f_v(w), \qquad f_v(u) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{u^2}{\bar{v}^3} e^{-u^2/\bar{v}^2}$$

Dependencies require

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_v(u) du = 1, \qquad \int_{V} f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x} = \bar{n} \cdot V,$$

where  $\bar{n}$  is average number density and V is domain volume

- Follow approach of Riva et al., start with 1D electrostatic plasma dynamics
- After achieving expected convergence rates, generalize to account for
  - Additional dimensions
  - Magnetic field influence
  - Multiple species

## Collisional Plasma Dynamics: Weight Evolution

With collisions, weight evolution is

$$\frac{d}{dt}w_p(t) = \frac{\left(\delta f/\delta t\right)_{\text{coll}}}{f_0(\mathbf{x}_p(0), \mathbf{v}_p(0))}$$

Method of manufactured solutions modifies collisional weight evolution to be

$$\frac{d}{dt}w_p(t) = \frac{S_f + (\delta f/\delta t)_{\text{coll}}}{f_0(\mathbf{x}_p(0), \mathbf{v}_p(0))},$$

where

$$S_f = \frac{\partial f_M}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_p \cdot \nabla f_M + \frac{\mathbf{F}_M}{m} \cdot \frac{\partial f_M}{\partial \mathbf{v}_p} - \left(\frac{\partial f_M}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{coll}}$$

## Collisional Plasma Dynamics: Collision Integral

Assume isotropic scattering, same mass for particles, and cross-section form

$$\sigma = \sum_{n=0}^{n_{\text{max}}} \sigma_n g^{2n-1}$$

- Precedent for manufacturing convenient cross sections: Maxwell molecules
- $\sigma_n$  can be chosen to optimally fit actual cross-section data

Cross-section form yields closed-form expression for collision integral

$$\left(\frac{\partial f_M}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{coll}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, t) = f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}, t) \sum_{n=0}^{n_{\text{max}}} \sigma_n F_n(\mathbf{v}),$$

where, in spherical coordinates with  $\chi$  and  $\epsilon$  polar and azimuthal angles,

$$F_n(\mathbf{v}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} [f_{\mathbf{v}}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}) f_{\mathbf{v}}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}') - f_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{v}) f_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{v}')] g^{2n} \sin \chi d\chi \, d\epsilon \, d\mathbf{v}'$$

## Collisional Plasma Dynamics: Collision Integral (n=0)

$$\begin{split} F_0(\mathbf{v}) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \left[ f_{\mathbf{v}}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}) f_{\mathbf{v}}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}') - f_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{v}) f_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{v}') \right] \sin\chi d\chi \, d\epsilon \, d\mathbf{v}' \qquad (\hat{u} = u/\bar{v}, \, \hat{v} = v/\bar{v}, \, \hat{w} = w/\bar{v}) \\ &= \frac{e^{-(\hat{u}^2 + \hat{v}^2 + \hat{w}^2)}}{720720\sqrt{\pi} \hat{v}^3} \left[ 360(\hat{u}^{12} + \hat{v}^{12} + \hat{w}^{12}) - 700(\hat{u}^{10}\hat{v}^2 + \hat{u}^{10}\hat{w}^2 + \hat{v}^{10}\hat{w}^2 + \hat{u}^2\hat{v}^{10} + \hat{v}^2\hat{w}^{10} + \hat{v}^2\hat{w}^{10}) \right. \\ &\quad + 2969(\hat{u}^8\hat{v}^4 + \hat{u}^4\hat{v}^8 + \hat{u}^8\hat{w}^4 + \hat{v}^8\hat{w}^4 + \hat{u}^4\hat{w}^8 + \hat{v}^4\hat{w}^8) + 1362(\hat{u}^8\hat{v}^2\hat{w}^2 + \hat{u}^2\hat{v}^8\hat{w}^2 + \hat{u}^2\hat{v}^2\hat{w}^8) \\ &\quad + 8058(\hat{u}^6\hat{v}^6 + \hat{u}^6\hat{w}^6 + \hat{v}^6\hat{w}^6) - 4426(\hat{u}^6\hat{v}^4\hat{w}^2 + \hat{u}^4\hat{v}^6\hat{w}^2 + \hat{u}^6\hat{v}^2\hat{w}^4 + \hat{u}^2\hat{v}^6\hat{w}^4 + \hat{u}^4\hat{v}^2\hat{w}^6 + \hat{u}^2\hat{v}^4\hat{w}^6) \\ &\quad + 9234\hat{u}^4\hat{v}^4\hat{w}^4 \\ &\quad - 988(\hat{u}^{10} + \hat{v}^{10}) + 34814(\hat{u}^8\hat{v}^2 + \hat{u}^2\hat{v}^8 + \hat{u}^8\hat{w}^2 + \hat{v}^8\hat{w}^2 + \hat{u}^2\hat{w}^8 + \hat{v}^2\hat{w}^8) \\ &\quad - 4732(\hat{u}^6\hat{v}^4 + \hat{u}^4\hat{v}^6 + \hat{u}^6\hat{w}^4 + \hat{v}^6\hat{w}^4 + \hat{u}^4\hat{w}^6 + \hat{v}^4\hat{w}^6) - 76960(\hat{u}^6\hat{v}^2\hat{w}^2 + \hat{u}^2\hat{v}^6\hat{w}^2 + \hat{u}^2\hat{v}^2\hat{w}^6) \\ &\quad + 52728(\hat{u}^4\hat{v}^4\hat{w}^4 + \hat{u}^4\hat{v}^2\hat{w}^4 + \hat{u}^2\hat{v}^4\hat{w}^4) \\ &\quad + 3718(\hat{u}^8 + \hat{v}^8 + \hat{w}^8) - 103532(\hat{u}^6\hat{v}^2 + \hat{u}^2\hat{v}^6 + \hat{u}^6\hat{w}^2 + \hat{v}^6\hat{w}^2 + \hat{u}^2\hat{v}^2\hat{w}^4) \\ &\quad + 58344(\hat{u}^6 + \hat{v}^6 + \hat{u}^6) + 386100(\hat{u}^4\hat{v}^2 + \hat{u}^2\hat{v}^4 + \hat{u}^2\hat{v}^4 + \hat{u}^2\hat{v}^4 + \hat{v}^2\hat{w}^4 - 19459440\hat{u}^2\hat{v}^2\hat{w}^2 \\ &\quad + 65208(\hat{u}^4 + \hat{v}^4 + \hat{w}^4) - 401544(\hat{u}^2\hat{v}^2 + \hat{u}^2\hat{v}^2 + \hat{v}^2\hat{w}^2) + 329472(\hat{u}^2 + \hat{v}^2 + \hat{v}^2) + 277992 \end{split}$$

## Collisional Plasma Dynamics: Collision Integral (n = 1)

$$\begin{split} F_1(\mathbf{v}) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \left[ f_{\mathbf{v}}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}) f_{\mathbf{v}}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}') - f_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{v}) f_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{v}') \right] g^2 \sin\chi d\chi \, d\epsilon \, d\mathbf{v}' \\ &= \frac{e^{-(\hat{u}^2 + \hat{v}^2 + \hat{w}^2)}}{1441440\sqrt{\pi \hat{v}}} \left[ 720(\hat{u}^{14} + \hat{v}^{14} + \hat{w}^{14}) - 680(\hat{u}^2 \hat{v}^{12} + \hat{u}^{12} \hat{v}^2 + \hat{u}^{12} \hat{w}^2 + \hat{v}^{12} \hat{w}^2 + \hat{u}^2 \hat{w}^{12} + \hat{v}^2 \hat{w}^{12}) \right. \\ &\quad + 4538(\hat{u}^{10} \hat{v}^4 + \hat{u}^4 \hat{v}^{10} + \hat{u}^{10} \hat{w}^4 + \hat{v}^{10} \hat{w}^4 + \hat{u}^4 \hat{w}^{10} - 76(\hat{u}^{10} \hat{v}^2 \hat{w}^2 + \hat{u}^2 \hat{v}^{10} \hat{w}^2 + \hat{u}^2 \hat{v}^2 \hat{w}^{10}) \\ &\quad + 22054(\hat{u}^8 \hat{v}^6 + \hat{u}^6 \hat{v}^8 + \hat{u}^8 \hat{w}^6 + \hat{v}^8 \hat{w}^6 + \hat{u}^6 \hat{w}^8 + \hat{v}^6 \hat{w}^8) \\ &\quad - 190(\hat{u}^8 \hat{v}^4 \hat{w}^2 + \hat{u}^4 \hat{v}^8 \hat{w}^2 + \hat{u}^8 \hat{v}^2 \hat{w}^4 + \hat{u}^2 \hat{v}^8 \hat{w}^4 + \hat{u}^4 \hat{v}^2 \hat{w}^8 + \hat{u}^2 \hat{v}^4 \hat{w}^8) \\ &\quad - 1588(\hat{u}^6 \hat{v}^6 \hat{w}^2 + \hat{u}^6 \hat{v}^2 \hat{w}^6 + \hat{u}^2 \hat{v}^6 \hat{w}^6) + 764(\hat{u}^6 \hat{v}^4 \hat{w}^4 + \hat{u}^4 \hat{v}^6 \hat{w}^4 + \hat{u}^4 \hat{v}^4 \hat{w}^6) \\ &\quad + 1504(\hat{u}^{12} + \hat{v}^{12} + \hat{v}^{12}) + 77664(\hat{u}^{10} \hat{v}^2 + \hat{u}^2 \hat{v}^{10} + \hat{u}^{10} \hat{w}^2 + \hat{v}^{10} \hat{w}^2 + \hat{u}^2 \hat{v}^{10} + \hat{v}^2 \hat{w}^{10}) \\ &\quad + 23847(\hat{u}^8 \hat{v}^4 + \hat{u}^4 \hat{v}^8 + \hat{u}^8 \hat{w}^4 + \hat{v}^8 \hat{w}^4 + \hat{u}^4 \hat{w}^8 + \hat{v}^4 \hat{w}^8) - 55266(\hat{u}^8 \hat{v}^2 \hat{w}^2 + \hat{u}^2 \hat{v}^8 \hat{w}^2 + \hat{u}^2 \hat{v}^2 \hat{w}^8) \\ &\quad - 104626(\hat{u}^6 \hat{v}^6 + \hat{u}^6 \hat{w}^6 + \hat{v}^6 \hat{w}^6) + 70506(\hat{u}^6 \hat{v}^4 \hat{w}^2 + \hat{u}^4 \hat{v}^6 \hat{w}^2 + \hat{u}^6 \hat{v}^2 \hat{w}^4 + \hat{u}^2 \hat{v}^6 \hat{w}^4 + \hat{u}^4 \hat{v}^2 \hat{w}^6) \\ &\quad + 45270(\hat{u}^4 \hat{v}^4 \hat{w}^4 + \hat{u}^4 \hat{v}^6 \hat{w}^4 + \hat{u}^4 \hat{v}^6 \hat{w}^4 + \hat{u}^4 \hat{v}^6 \hat{w}^2 + \hat{u}^2 \hat{v}^8 \hat{w}^2 + \hat{v}^2 \hat{w}^8) \\ &\quad + 347568(\hat{u}^6 \hat{v}^4 + \hat{u}^4 \hat{v}^6 + \hat{u}^6 \hat{w}^4 + \hat{u}^4 \hat{w}^6 + \hat{v}^4 \hat{w}^6) \\ &\quad + 409500(\hat{u}^4 \hat{v}^4 \hat{w}^2 + \hat{u}^4 \hat{v}^2 \hat{w}^4 + \hat{u}^2 \hat{v}^4 \hat{w}^4) \\ &\quad + 257114(\hat{u}^8 + \hat{v}^8 + \hat{w}^8) + 800228(\hat{u}^6 \hat{v}^2 + \hat{u}^2 \hat{v}^6 + \hat{u}^6 \hat{w}^2 + \hat{u}^2 \hat{w}^6 + \hat{v}^2 \hat{w}^6) \\ &\quad + 1512654(\hat{u}^4 \hat{v}^4 + \hat{u}^4 \hat{v}^4 \hat{v}^4 + \hat{u}^4 \hat{v}^4) - 43229472(\hat{u}^4 \hat{v}^2 \hat{v$$

## Error Metrics: Electromagnetic Field

Measure maximum error in **E** and **B** on mesh over all time:

$$\varepsilon_{E_x} = \max_{t} \max_{\mathbf{x}} |E_x^h(\mathbf{x}, t) - E_{M_x}(\mathbf{x}, t)|,$$

$$\varepsilon_{E_y} = \max_{t} \max_{\mathbf{x}} |E_y^h(\mathbf{x}, t) - E_{M_y}(\mathbf{x}, t)|,$$

$$\varepsilon_{E_z} = \max_{t} \max_{\mathbf{x}} |E_z^h(\mathbf{x}, t) - E_{M_z}(\mathbf{x}, t)|,$$

$$\varepsilon_{B_x} = \max_{t} \max_{\mathbf{x}} |B_x^h(\mathbf{x}, t) - B_{M_x}(\mathbf{x}, t)|,$$

$$\varepsilon_{B_y} = \max_{t} \max_{\mathbf{x}} |B_y^h(\mathbf{x}, t) - B_{M_y}(\mathbf{x}, t)|,$$

$$\varepsilon_{B_z} = \max_{t} \max_{\mathbf{x}} |B_z^h(\mathbf{x}, t) - B_{M_z}(\mathbf{x}, t)|,$$

Measure difference between manufactured and empirical f on boundaries:

$$\begin{split} &\varepsilon_{f_x} = \max_t \max_x \left| \int_{-\infty}^x \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty f_{\mathbf{x}}(x',y,z,t) dy \, dz \, dx' - \sum_{p=1}^{N_p} \hat{w}_p \theta(x-x_p) \right|, \\ &\varepsilon_{f_y} = \max_t \max_y \left| \int_{-\infty}^y \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty f_{\mathbf{x}}(x,y',z,t) dx \, dz \, dy' - \sum_{p=1}^{N_p} \hat{w}_p \theta(y-y_p) \right|, \\ &\varepsilon_{f_z} = \max_t \max_z \left| \int_{-\infty}^z \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty f_{\mathbf{x}}(x,y,z',t) dx \, dy \, dz' - \sum_{p=1}^{N_p} \hat{w}_p \theta(z-z_p) \right|, \\ &\varepsilon_{f_u} = \max_t \max_u \left| \left( \int_{-\infty}^u f_v(u') du' \right) \left( \int_{-\infty}^\infty f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x},t) d\mathbf{x} \right) - \sum_{p=1}^{N_p} \hat{w}_p \theta(u-u_p) \right|, \\ &\varepsilon_{f_v} = \max_t \max_v \left| \left( \int_{-\infty}^v f_v(v') dv' \right) \left( \int_{-\infty}^\infty f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x},t) d\mathbf{x} \right) - \sum_{p=1}^{N_p} \hat{w}_p \theta(v-v_p) \right|, \\ &\varepsilon_{f_w} = \max_t \max_w \left| \left( \int_{-\infty}^w f_v(w') dw' \right) \left( \int_{-\infty}^\infty f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x},t) d\mathbf{x} \right) - \sum_{p=1}^{N_p} \hat{w}_p \theta(w-w_p) \right| \end{split}$$

Extension of approach from Riva et al. – most tractable option for multiple dimensions

#### Error Metrics: Discretization Error

- Discretization error depends on
  - Time step  $\Delta t$
  - Mesh size  $\Delta x$
  - Number of computational particles  $N_p$
  - Problem dimension
- Convergence rates are less straightforward

### Outline

- Introduction
- Particle-in-Cell Method
- Existing Work
- Proposed Approach
- Summary
  - Closing Remarks

- Presented a code-verification plan for 3D-3V collisional plasma dynamics
- Collisionless contributions follow established approaches
- Collisional approach achieved by analytically evaluating integral
- Manufacture differential scattering cross section of collision
- Expected convergence rates are not straightforward

brianfreno.github.io

bafreno@sandia.gov

#### References

- F. Riva and C. Beadle and P. Ricci A methodology for the rigorous verification of particle-in-cell simulations Physics of Plasmas (2017)
- P. Tranquilli and L. Ricketson and L. Chacón A deterministic verification strategy for electrostatic particle-in-cell algorithms in arbitrary spatial dimensions using the method of manufactured solutions Journal of Computational Physics (2022)



