Properties In Python

Properties

A hybrid between a method and member variable.

```
class Person:
    def __init__(self, first, last):
        self.first = first
        self.last = last
        @property
    def full_name(self):
        return self.first + " " + self.last
```

Dynamic Attribute

Even though it's defined as a method, you access it like a member variable.

```
>>> guy = Person("Joe", "Smith")
>>> guy.full_name
'Joe Smith'
>>> guy.full_name()
Traceback (most recent call last):
  File "<stdin>", line 1, in <module>
TypeError: 'str' object is not callable
```

In fact, you can't call it like a method, even if you want to.

Read-Only

By default, a property is read-only.

```
>>> guy.full_name
'Joe Smith'
>>> guy.full_name = 'John Doe'
Traceback (most recent call last):
   File "<stdin>", line 1, in <module>
AttributeError: can't set attribute
```

This is either a feature or a bug, depending on what you want.

Setters

You can make a property writable with a **setter** - an extra, specially-marked method.

```
class Person:
    def init (self, first, last):
        self.first = first
        self.last = last
    @property
    def full name(self):
        return self.first + " " + self.last
    @full name.setter
    def full_name(self, value):
        first, last = value.split(" ")
        self.first = first
        self.last = last
```

Setting Properties

```
@full_name.setter
def full_name(self, value):
    first, last = value.split(" ")
    self.first = first
    self.last = last
```

```
>>> guy = Person("Joe", "Smith")
>>> guy.full_name = "Sam Jones"
>>> print(guy.first)
Sam
>>> print(guy.last)
Jones
>>> print(guy.full_name)
Sam Jones
```

Practice: getset.py

```
class Person: # or "Person(object):" for Python 2
    def init (self, first, last):
        self.first = first
        self.last = last
    @property
    def full name(self):
        return self.first + " " + self.last
    @full name.setter
    def full name(self, value):
        first, last = value.split(" ")
        self.first = first
        self.last = last
guy = Person("Joe", "Smith")
print(guy.full name)
guy.full name = "Sam Jones"
print(guy.last + ", " + guy.first)
```

```
# Running "python3 getset.py" should have this output:
Joe Smith
Jones, Sam
```

Read-Only Pattern

A common Python design pattern: Use @property to create a read-only attribute.

```
class Ticket:
    def __init__(self, price):
        self._price = price
    @property
    def price(self):
        return self._price
```

```
>>> ticket = Ticket(42)
>>> ticket.price
42
>>> ticket.price = 41
Traceback (most recent call last):
   File "<stdin>", line 1, in <module>
AttributeError: can't set attribute
```

Validation

Useful setter pattern: ensure a value is set to the correct range.

```
class Ticket:
    def __init__(self, price):
        self._price = price
    @property
    def price(self):
        return self._price
    @price.setter
    def price(self, new_price):
        # Only allow positive prices.
        if new_price < 0:
            raise ValueError("Nice try")
        self._price = new_price</pre>
```

Validation

This will raise a run-time error if we try to cheat:

```
# In class Ticket...
    @price.setter
    def price(self, new_price):
        # Only allow positive prices.
        if new_price < 0:
            raise ValueError("Nice try")
        self._price = new_price</pre>
```

```
>>> t = Ticket(42)
>>> t.price
42
>>> t.price = -1
Traceback (most recent call last):
  File "<stdin>", line 1, in <module>
  File "<stdin>", line 12, in price
ValueError: Nice try
```

Validation

Pop quiz: Do you see a way to improve the constructor?

What's the potential problem lurking in this code?

```
class Ticket:
    def __init__(self, price):
        self._price = price
    @property
    def price(self):
        return self._price
    @price.setter
    def price(self, new_price):
        # Only allow positive prices.
        if new_price < 0:
            raise ValueError("Nice try")
        self._price = new_price</pre>
```

Use Setters In Your Methods

You can use an object's setters in your own methods.

For Ticket, this lets us get the validation check at object-creation time!

```
class Ticket:
    def __init__(self, price):
        # instead of "self._price = price"
        self.price = price

@property
def price(self):
        return self._price
@price.setter
def price(self, new_price):
    # Only allow positive prices.
    if new_price < 0:
        raise ValueError("Nice try")
        self._price = new_price</pre>
```

Lab: Properties

Lab file: patterns/properties.py

- In labs/py3 for 3.x; labs/py2 for 2.7
- When you are done, give a thumbs up...
- ... and then do patterns/properties_extra.py

Instructions: LABS. txt in courseware.

Properties And Refactoring

Here's a money class.

```
class Money:
    def __init__(self, dollars, cents):
        self.dollars = dollars
        self.cents = cents
# And some other methods...
```

Imagine this is released as a library, and many teams are using and relying on this class.

Refactor

One day, we decide to internally just keep track of cents.

```
class Money:
    def __init__(self, dollars, cents):
        self.total_cents = dollars * 100 + cents
```

That creates a maintainability problem. Can you spot it?

The problem

Other code referencing its attributes suddenly breaks.

```
money = Money(27, 12)
template = "I have {:d} dollars and {:d} cents."
# This line breaks, because there's no longer
# dollars or cents attributes.
print(template.format(money.dollars, money.cents))
```

This could be a major impediment to changing the class interface.

The solution

But not in Python.

Properties for Refactoring

```
# And the getter and setter for cents.
@property
def cents(self):
    return self.total_cents % 100
@cents.setter
def cents(self, new_cents):
    self.total_cents =
        100 * self.dollars + new_cents
```