



math



# Introduction

This manual is not a systematic discussion about math in ConT<sub>E</sub>Xt but more a collection of wrap-ups. The file also serves as testcase. The content can change over time and can also serve as a trigger for discussions on the mailing list. Feel free to contribute.

Hans Hagen  
Hasselt NL



# 1 Vertical spacing

The low level way to input inline math in  $\text{\TeX}$  is

$\$ e = mc^2 \$$

while display math can be entered like:


$\$ \$ e = mc^2 \$ \$$

The inline method is still valid, but for display math the  $\$ \$$  method should not be used. This has to do with the fact that we want to control spacing in a consistent way. In  $\text{\ConTeXt}$  the vertical spacing model is rather stable although in  $\text{\MkIV}$  the implementation is quite different. It has always been a challenge to let this mechanism work well with space round display formulas. This has to do with the fact that (in the kind of documents that we have to produce) interaction with already present spacing is somewhat tricky.

Of course much can be achieved in  $\text{\TeX}$  but in  $\text{\ConTeXt}$  we need to have control over the many mechanisms that can interact. Given the way  $\text{\TeX}$  handles space around display math there is no real robust solution possible that gives visually consistent space in all cases so that is why we basically disable the existing spacing model. Disabling is easier in  $\text{\LuaTeX}$  and recent versions of  $\text{\MkIV}$  have been adapted to that.


In pure  $\text{\TeX}$  what happens is this:

$\$ \$ x \$ \$$



A horizontal box get added which triggers a `baselineskip`. Then the formula is put below it. We can get rid of that box with `\noindent`:

`\noindent`  $\$ \$ x \$ \$$



In addition (not shown here) vertical space is added before and after the formula and left- and rightskip on the edges. In fact typesetting display math goes like this:

- typeset the formula using display mode and wrap it in a box
- add an equation number, if possible in the same line, otherwise on a line below
- in the process center the formula using the available display width and required display indentation
- add vertical space above and below (depending also in displays being short in relation to the previous line)
- at the same time also add penalties that determine the break across pages

Apart from the spacing around the formula and the equation number, typesetting is not different from:

```
\hbox {$ \displaystyle x $}
```

This spacing around math is a sensitive issue. Because math itself can have a narrow band, for instance a lone  $x$ , or relative much depth, as with  $y$ , or both depth and height as in  $(1,2)$  and  $x^2 + y_2$  and because a preceding line can have no or little depth and a following line little height, the visual appearance can become inconsistent. The default approach is to force consistent spacing, but when needed we can implement variants.

Spacing around display math is set up with `\setupformulas`:

```
\setupformulas
  [spacebefore=big,
   spaceafter=big]
```

When the whitespace is larger that setting wins because as usual the larger of blanks or whitespace wins.

In figures 1.1, figures 1.2 and 1.3 we see how things interact. We show lines with and without maximum line height and depth (enforced by struts) alongside.


Because we want to have control over the placement of the formula number but also want to be able to align the formula with the left or right edge of the text area, we don't use the native display handler by default. We still have a way to force this, but this is only for testing purposes. By default a formula is placed centered relative to the current text, including left and right margins.

```
\fakewords{20}{40}
```


```
\startitemize
  \startitem
    \fakewords{20}{40}
    \placeformula
      \startformula
        \fakeformula
      \stopformula
    \stopitem
  \startitem
    \fakewords{20}{40}
  \stopitem
\stopitemize
```

```
\fakewords{20}{40}\epar
```







natural + none + ws none



strut + none + ws none




natural + medium + ws none




strut + medium + ws none


Figure 1.1 No whitespace.




natural + none + ws medium



strut + none + ws medium




natural + medium + ws medium




strut + medium + ws medium

**Figure 1.2** Whitespace the same as display spacing.







natural + none + ws big



strut + none + ws big




natural + medium + ws big



strut + medium + ws big

Figure 1.3 Whitespace larger than display spacing.

• 

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \blacksquare + \blacksquare + \blacksquare + \blacksquare = \blacksquare \\
 \end{array} \tag{1.1}$$

• [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

In the next examples we explicitly align formulas to the left (`flushleft`), center (`middle`) and right (`flushright`):

```
\setupformulas[align=flushleft]
\startformula\fakeformula\stopformula
\setupformulas[align=middle]
\startformula\fakeformula\stopformula
\setupformulas[align=flushright]
\startformula\fakeformula\stopformula
```

The three cases show up as:

$$\begin{array}{l} \blacksquare\blacksquare + \blacksquare + \blacksquare = \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare\blacksquare + \blacksquare\blacksquare + \blacksquare + \blacksquare = \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare\blacksquare + \blacksquare + \blacksquare\blacksquare + \blacksquare + \blacksquare = \blacksquare \end{array}$$

You can also set a left and/or right margin:

[illegible]

With formula numbers these formulas look as follows:

[illegible]

$$\blacksquare + \blacksquare + \blacksquare + \blacksquare + \blacksquare + \blacksquare = \blacksquare \quad (1.3)$$

$$\blacksquare + \blacksquare + \blacksquare + \blacksquare = \blacksquare \quad (1.4)$$

and the same with margins:

$$\blacksquare + \blacksquare + \blacksquare + \blacksquare = \blacksquare \quad (1.5)$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \blacksquare \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \blacksquare \\ \hline \blacksquare \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \blacksquare \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \blacksquare \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \blacksquare \\ \hline \blacksquare \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \blacksquare \\ \hline \blacksquare \\ \hline \blacksquare \\ \hline \end{array} \quad (1.6)$$

$$\blacksquare + \blacksquare + \blacksquare = \blacksquare \quad (1.7)$$





## 2 Framing

The `\framed` macro is one of the core constructors in ConT<sub>E</sub>Xt and it's used all over the place. This macro is unlikely to change its behaviour and as it has evolved over years it comes with quite some options and some can interfere with the expectations one has. In general using this macro works out well but you need to keep an eye on using struts and alignment.

```
\framed{$e=mc^2$}
```

The outcome of this is:

$$e = mc^2$$

There is a bit of offset (that you can set) but also struts are added as can be seen when we visualize them:

$$|e = mc^2|$$

These struts can be disabled:

```
\framed[strut=no]{$e=mc^2$}
```


Now the result is more tight.

$$e = mc^2$$

These struts are the way to get a consistent look and feel and are used frequently in ConT<sub>E</sub>Xt. We mention these struts because they get in the way when we frame a display formula. Let's first look at what happens when we just package a formula in a box:

```
\vbox\bgroup
  \startformula
    e = mc^2
  \stopformula
\egroup
```

We get:



Now there are a few properties of displaymath that one needs to keep in mind when messing around with them this way. First of all display math is meant to be used as part of the page stream. This means that spacing above and below is adapted to what comes before and after. It also means that, because formulas can be numbered, we have some settings that relate to horizontal placement.

The default vertical spacing is easy to get rid of:

```
\vbox\bgroup
  \startformula[packed]
```

```

    e = mc^2
\stopformula
\egroup

```

This gives:

Another handy keyword is `tight`:

```

\ vbox\ bgroup
  \ startformula[tight]
    e = mc^2
  \ stopformula
\ egroup

```

This gives:

We can combine these two:

```

\ vbox\ bgroup
  \ startformula[packed,tight]
    e = mc^2
  \ stopformula
\ egroup

```

This gives:

Just in case you wonder why we need to go through these troubles: keep in mind that we are wrapping something (math) that normally goes in a vertical list with text above and below.

The `packed` and `tight` options can help when we want to wrap a formula in a frame:

```

\ framed
  [strut=no]
  {
    \ startformula[packed,tight]
      e = mc^2
    \ stopformula
  }

```

which renders as:

There is a dedicated math framed instance that is tuned to give better results and automatically switches to math mode:

```
\mframed {
  e = mc^2
}
```

becomes:

$$e = mc^2$$

Multiple formulas can be combined:

```
\startformulas
  \startformula
    a + b = c
  \stopformula
  \startformula
    d - e = f
  \stopformula
\stopformulas
```

We might add some more control in the future, but this is what you get:

$$a + b = c$$

$$d - e = f$$

Framing a formula is also supported as a option, where the full power of framed can be applied to the formula. We will illustrate this in detail on the next pages. For this we use the following sample:

```
\setuplayout[topspace=5mm,bottomspace=5mm,height=middle,header=1cm,footer=0cm]

\starttext

\startbuffer[sample]
  \enabletrackers[formulas.framed] \showboxes
  \startformula
    e = mc^2
  \stopformula
  \par
  \startformula
    e = mc^2
  \stopformula
  \startformula
```

```

        e = mc^2
\stopformula
\startformula
    e \dorecurse{12} { = mc^2 }
\stopformula
\startplaceformula
    \startformula
        e = mc^2
    \stopformula
\stopplaceformula
\startplaceformula
    \startformula
        e \dorecurse{12} { = mc^2 }
    \stopformula
\stopplaceformula
\stopbuffer

\startbuffer[setup-b]
\setupformula
    [option=frame]
\stopbuffer

\startbuffer[setup-d]
\setupformulaafamed
    [frame=on,
    %toffset=10pt,
    %boffset=10pt,
    foregroundcolor=white,
    background=color,
    backgroundcolor=gray]
\stopbuffer

\startbuffer[setup-c]
\setupformula
    [frame=number]
\stopbuffer

\startbuffer[all]
\start
    \typebuffer[setup-a]
    \getbuffer[setup-a]
    \getbuffer[sample]
    \typebuffer[setup-b]
    \typebuffer[setup-d]
    \getbuffer[setup-b]

```



```

\getbuffer[setup-d]
\getbuffer[sample]
\typebuffer[setup-c]
\getbuffer[setup-c]
\getbuffer[sample]
\page
\stop
\stopbuffer

\startbuffer
  \startbuffer[setup-a]
  \setupformula
    [align=flushleft]
  \stopbuffer
  \getbuffer[all]
  \startbuffer[setup-a]
  \setupformula
    [align=flushleft,location=left]
  \stopbuffer
  \getbuffer[all]

  \startbuffer[setup-a]
  \setupformula
    [align=middle]
  \stopbuffer
  \getbuffer[all]
  \startbuffer[setup-a]
  \setupformula
    [align=middle,location=left]
  \stopbuffer
  \getbuffer[all]

  \startbuffer[setup-a]
  \setupformula
    [align=flushright]
  \stopbuffer
  \getbuffer[all]
  \startbuffer[setup-a]
  \setupformula
    [align=flushright,location=left]
  \stopbuffer
  \getbuffer[all]
\stopbuffer

\getbuffer

```

```
\startbuffer[setup-b]
\setupformula
  [option={tight,frame}]
\stopbuffer
```

```
\getbuffer
```

```
\stoptext
```

In figure 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 you see some combinations. You can run this example on your machine and see the details.


With each formula class a framed variants is automatically created:

```
\defineformula
  [foo]

\setupformulaframed
  [foo]
  [frame=on,
   framecolor=red]

\startfooformula[frame]
  e=mc^2
\stopfooformula
```

This time you get a red frame:




$$e = mc^2$$

You can also frame the number, as in:


```
\setupformulaframed[framecolor=red,frame=on,offset=1ex]
\setupformula[option=frame,color=blue]
\setupformula[numbercommand={\inframed[framecolor=green]}]

\startplaceformula
  \startformula
    2 + 2 = 2x
  \stopformula
\stopplaceformula
```


The boxes get properly aligned:




$$2 + 2 = 2x \quad (2.1)$$



right + flushleft



right + flushleft




left + flushleft + tight




left + flushleft + tight


**Figure 2.1** Framed formulas flushed left.




right + middle



right + middle



left + middle + tight



left + middle + tight

**Figure 2.2** Framed formulas centered.

[illegible]

right + flushright

[illegible]

right + flushright

[illegible]

left + flushright + tight

[illegible]

left + flushright + tight

**Figure 2.3** Framed formulas flushed right.

